

THE HRUSHOVSKI PROPERTY FOR HYPERTOURNAMENTS AND PROFINITE TOPOLOGIES

JINGYIN HUANG, MICHAEL PAWLIUK, MARCIN SABOK, AND DANIEL WISE

ABSTRACT. We study the problem of extending partial isomorphisms for hypertournaments, which are relational structures generalizing tournaments. This is a generalized version of an old question of Herwig and Lascar. We show that the generalized problem has a negative answer, and we provide a positive answer in a special case. As a corollary, we show that the extension property holds for tournaments in case the partial isomorphisms have pairwise disjoint ranges and pairwise disjoint domains.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [12] Hrushovski showed the following property for finite graphs: whenever G is a finite graph and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are partial isomorphisms of G , there exists a finite graph G' containing G as an induced subgraph such that $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ all extend to automorphisms of G' . This property appears in the literature under various names (e.g. as the *EPPA* for *Extending Property for Partial Automorphisms* or simply as the *Hrushovski property*) and we say that a class C of structures has the *Hrushovski property* if for any structure M in C and a finite collection $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ of partial isomorphisms of M there exists a structure M' in C which contains M as a substructure and such that all φ_i extend to automorphisms of M' . A general criterion sufficient for the Hrushovski property was given by Herwig and Lascar [10] for structures in finite relational languages and also by Hodkinson and Otto in [11]. Recently, both these theorems were generalized by Siniora and Solecki in [4]. The Hrushovski property was also studied and extended to other classes of homogeneous structures, for instance by Solecki to the class of finite metric spaces [26] (for other proofs see [28, 22, 24, 25, 13]) or by Evans, Hubička, Konečný and Nešetřil [6, 5]. For a detailed discussion of this and related problems the reader is advised to consult a recent survey of Nguyen Van Thé [21] on the topic or the ICM survey article of Lascar [18].

This research was partially supported by the FRQNT (Fonds de recherche du Québec) grant *Nouveaux chercheurs* 2018-NC-205427 and by the NCN (Polish National Science Centre) through the grant *Harmonia* no. 2015/18/M/ST1/00050.

The Hrushovski property for a Fraïssé class of finite structures is useful for the study of automorphism groups of the corresponding Fraïssé limits. A topological group G has *ample generics* if for each n there exists a dense G_δ orbit in the diagonal action of G on G^n (i.e. the action $g \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_n) = (gg_1, \dots, gg_n)$). Ample generics have strong consequences, such as the automatic continuity property of the group. Kechris and Rosendal [15] gave a general criterion sufficient for ample generics in an automorphism group of a Fraïssé structure that involves the Hrushovski property for the corresponding Fraïssé class. In particular, they used the original Hrushovski property for finite graphs in showing that the automorphism group of the random graph has ample generics, and consequently the automatic continuity property.

Fraïssé classes of graphs have been classified by Lachlan and Woodrow [17] and for directed graphs a complete classification has been given by Cherlin [2]. The Hrushovski property for many classes of directed graphs has been studied in the literature and proved or disproved for many classes. In fact, the Hrushovski property implies amenability of the automorphism group of the automorphism group of the appropriate Fraïssé structure. The latter does not hold for the linear tournament, the generic p.o., some weak local orders (see e.g [20, page 4] for details).

The question for the class of tournaments is well-known and open and appears in the Herwig and Lascar paper [10].

Question 1.1. (Herwig and Lascar [10]) Does the class of finite tournaments have the Hrushovski property?

This question is related with the problem whether the automorphism group of the random tournament has ample generics. In fact, as proved recently by Siniora [3], the two questions are equivalent. It is worth noting that the automorphism group of the random tournament has a comeager conjugacy class (see e.g. [19]).

This paper we show that the Hrushovski property does hold for tournaments in a special case when we make an extra assumption on the partial automorphisms. In fact, this works in a more general setting of *hypertournaments* (for definitions see Section 7).

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose L is a nontrivial set of prime numbers and M is an L -hypertournament. If $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are partial automorphisms of M with pairwise disjoint domains and pairwise disjoint ranges, then there is an L -hypertournament M' extending M such that all φ_i extend to automorphisms of M' .*

While the above result does cover the case of tournaments (when $L = \{2\}$), we show that the assumption on domains and ranges of the partial automorphisms cannot be dropped completely.

Theorem 1.3. *It is not true that the Hrushovski property holds for all classes of L -hypertournaments.*

Herwig and Lascar [10] connected the question about tournaments with a problem concerning profinite topologies on the free group. A group G is called *residually finite* if for every $g \in G$ with $g \neq e$ there exists a finite-index subgroup H of G such that $g \notin H$. It is well known that the free groups are residually finite. The *profinite topology* on a residually finite group is the one with the basis neighborhood of the identity consisting of finite-index subgroups. A subgroup H of a residually finite group is *separable* if it is closed in the profinite topology and a group G is *LERF* if all its finitely generated subgroups are separable. Free groups are LERF, by a result of Hall [8].

Herwig and Lascar [10] found a proof of Hrushovski's theorem using the fact that free groups are LERF and the Ribes–Zalesskii theorem saying that products of f.g. subgroups are closed in the profinite topology on the free group. In a similar spirit, they showed that Question 1.1 is equivalent to the following Question 1.4. Here, we say that a subgroup H of G is *closed under square roots* if whenever $g^2 \in H$, then $g \in H$ for any $g \in G$ and the *odd adic topology* is a refinement of the profinite topology where we take only finite index normal subgroups of odd index as the basic neighborhoods of the identity.

Question 1.4. (Herwig and Lascar [10]) Is it true that for every finitely generated subgroup H of the free group F_n the following are equivalent:

- (i) H is closed in the odd-adic topology on F_n ,
- (ii) H is closed under square roots?

The implication from (i) to (ii) above is true and not very difficult, so the actual part of Conjecture 1.4 that is equivalent to Conjecture 1.1 is the implication from (ii) to (i).

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on showing that the answer to the above question is true for cyclic subgroups of F_n even in a more general context (for definitions see Sections 3 and 7).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $C < F_n$ be a cyclic subgroup and L be a nontrivial set of prime numbers. If C is closed under l -roots for any $l \in L$, then C is closed in the topology generated by the collection of pro- p topologies with p ranging over L^\perp .*

On the other hand, the negative result in Theorem 1.3 is based on the following result (for definitions see Section 2).

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a malnormal subgroup of F_2 which is not closed in any pro- p topology.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

A *graph* is a 1-dimensional cell (CW) complex, in which vertices are 0-cells (points) and edges are the 1-cells (intervals) glued to the 0-skeleton by their end points. Loops and multiple edges allowed. A *morphism* of

graphs is a cellular map that sends each open edge (1-cell without endpoints) homeomorphically onto an open edge. A graph morphism $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is an *immersion* if it is locally injective (i.e. each point has a neighborhood on which f is injective). When X and X' are connected, an immersion between them induces injective maps between their fundamental groups.

Note that if we label the circles in the wedge of n many circles with letters a_1, \dots, a_n , then we can pull back this labelling to a labelling of the graph immersed to the wedge of n circles. Here we use the convention that if an edge is labelled with a_i , then the reverse edge is labelled with a_i^{-1} . By an *immersed graph with n letters* we mean a directed graph with edges labelled with one of the n letters, say a_1, \dots, a_n such that for each vertex and $i \leq n$ there is at most one incoming edge labelled with a_i and at most one outgoing edge labelled with a_i . Note that immersed graphs with n letters on a vertex set X correspond to the sets of n -many partial bijections of X . Note also that an immersed graph with n letters is a cover of the bouquet of n circles if and only if the partial bijections are total. Given an immersed graph on X with n letters and $x_0 \in X$, the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ is the subgroup of $F_n = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ consisting of those words on a_1, \dots, a_n which form loops at x_0 .

The following standard theorem (which follows from the work of Stallings) shows that any finitely generated subgroup of F_n is of the above form.

Theorem 2.1. *Write X_n for the wedge of n -circles with its based vertex x_n . For any finitely generated subgroup $H \leq F_n$, there is a based finite graph (X, x) and an immersion*

$$f : (X, x) \rightarrow (X_n, x_n)$$

such that $\pi_1(X, x) \cong H$ and $f_ : \pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_n, x_n)$ is exactly the inclusion $H \leq F_n$.*

Given a graph X and a ring R , by its *first chain group* $C_1(X, R)$ we mean the cellular chain group, where each edge gives a generator in the cellular chain group. We will always view the first homology group $H_1(X, R)$ as a subgroup of the first chain group $C_1(X, R)$ (for details see e.g. [9, Chapter 2.2.]).

Given a group G and a space X , we say that a covering space $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$ is a *G -cover* if it is a regular cover and the deck transformation group is isomorphic to G .

We will also need the following Nielsen-Schreier formula.

Theorem 2.2 ([14]). *Let H be a subgroup of index k inside a free group on n generators. Then H is a free group of rank $1 + k(n - 1)$.*

Definition 2.3. A subgroup H of G is *malnormal* if for any $g \in G \setminus H$, we have $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{1\}$.

Definition 2.4. Given a positive integer l , we say that a subgroup H of G is *closed under l -roots* if for every $g \in G$ whenever $g^l \in H$, then $g \in H$.

If $l = 2$, then we refer to the above by saying that G is *closed under square roots*.

Claim 2.5. *If G is torsion-free and $H \leq G$ is malnormal, then H is closed under l -roots for all l .*

Proof. Suppose $g^l \in H$ but $g \notin H$. Then $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{1\}$ by malnormality. However, $H \cap gHg^{-1} = \{1\}$ contains the infinite cyclic group generated by g^l , which is a contradiction. \square

3. PROFINITE TOPOLOGIES

We consider several profinite topologies on the free group.

Definition 3.1. Given a set P of prime numbers consider the topology on the free group generated by cosets of those normal subgroups whose index is finite and divisible only by prime numbers in P . We refer to this topology as to the *pro- P* topology.

Note that the neighborhoods of the identity in the pro- P topology are those normal subgroups H of finite index such that all orders of elements of F_n/H are divisible only by numbers in P .

In the case P consists of a single prime number p , we get the pro- p topology on the free group. Another special case when P consists of all odd primes was considered by Herwig and Lascar [10] who considered the above topology under the name of the *odd-adic* topology. For more on the pro- P topologies, the reader can consult [23].

Recall that a group G is *residually p* if the trivial subgroup is closed in the pro- p topology of G . The free group F_n is residually p for any prime p (for a short proof see e.g. [16, Lemma 2.23]).

Definition 3.2. Given a set L of positive integers write

$$L^\perp = \{p \text{ prime} \mid (p, l) = 1 \text{ for any } l \in L\}.$$

We say that L is a *nontrivial* set of positive integers if $L^\perp \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 3.3. *If $H \leq F_n$ is closed in the pro- L^\perp topology, then H is closed under l -roots for all $l \in L$.*

Proof. Indeed, if $g^l \in H$ but $g \notin H$, then g cannot be separated from H in a finite quotient of rank relatively prime with l because for an element \bar{g} of such a group the subgroups generated by \bar{g}^l and \bar{g} are the same. \square

4. FIBER PRODUCTS OF GRAPHS

Definition 4.1. Let $A \rightarrow X$ and $B \rightarrow X$ be maps between sets. Their *fiber-product* $A \otimes_X B$ is the collection of points (a, b) in $A \times B$ such that a and b are mapped to the same point in X . In the case when $A \rightarrow X$ and $B \rightarrow X$ are graph morphisms, $A \otimes_X B$ has a natural graph structure, whose vertices (resp. edges) are pairs of vertices (resp. edges) in A, B that map to

the same vertex (resp. edge) in X . There is a commutative diagram whose arrows are graph morphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_X B & \rightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

In general $A \otimes_X B$ may not be connected even if all of A , B and X are connected (cf. Figure 8). If $B \rightarrow X$ is a covering map of degree n , then $A \otimes_X B \rightarrow A$ is also a covering map of the same degree. In this case it is also called the *pull-back* of the covering. The pull-back behaves in a covariant way, i.e. for two consecutive coverings, the pull-back of the composition is canonically homeomorphic to the pull-back by the second map of the pull-back by first map (see e.g. [27, page 49]).

Note that there is a canonical embedding from A to $A \otimes_X A$, whose image is called the *diagonal component* of $A \otimes_X A$. Other components of $A \otimes_X A$ (if they exist) are called *non-diagonal*.

The following lemma is standard, we include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose $h_A : A \rightarrow X$ and $h_B : B \rightarrow X$ are immersions. Choose base points $a \in A$, $b \in B$ such that they are mapped to the same base point $x \in X$.

- (1) Let Z be the connected component of $A \otimes_X B$ that contains $z = (a, b) \in A \otimes_X B$. Then

$$\pi_1(Z, z) = \pi_1(A, a) \cap \pi_1(B, b)$$

(we view $\pi_1(Z, z)$, $\pi_1(A, a)$ and $\pi_1(B, b)$ as subgroups of $\pi_1(X, x)$.)

- (2) for any $g \in \pi_1(X, x)$ such that

$$\pi_1(A, a) \cap g\pi_1(B, b)g^{-1} \neq \{1\}$$

there is a component C of $A \otimes_X B$ such that

$$\pi_1(C) = \pi_1(A, a) \cap g\pi_1(B, b)g^{-1}$$

up to choices of base points.

Proof. The commutativity of the diagram implies $\pi_1(Z, z) \subseteq \pi_1(A, a) \cap \pi_1(B, b)$. To see the other inclusion, let $g \in \pi_1(A, a) \cap \pi_1(B, b)$ and let $h : \omega \rightarrow X$ be a graph morphism from a (possibly subdivided) circle to X representing the shortest edge loop in X based at x corresponding to g . We claim h lifts to an edge loop based at a . To see the claim, let

$$(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}) \rightarrow (X, x)$$

be the universal cover of X with a lift \tilde{x} of x . Let $\tilde{h}_A : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ be a base pointed lift of h_A . Since \tilde{X} and \tilde{A} are simply connected and \tilde{h}_A is an immersion, h_A is an embedding and we view \tilde{A} as a subspace of \tilde{X} . Note that h lifts to an shortest edge path $\tilde{\omega} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ whose two endpoints are in \tilde{A} . Hence $\tilde{\omega} \subseteq \tilde{A}$ as \tilde{A} is a subtree. Now the claim follows. Similarly, we can

also lift h to an edge loop in B based at b . This defines an edge loop in $A \otimes_X B$ based at z . Thus (1) follows.

Now we prove (2). We define $\tilde{h}_B : \tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ in a way similar to the previous paragraph. Note that $\pi_1(A, a)$ stabilizes \tilde{A} and $g\pi_1(B, b)g^{-1}$ stabilizes $g\tilde{B}$. Let $h \in \pi_1(A, a) \cap g\pi_1(B, b)g^{-1}$ be a non-trivial element. Then h stabilizes a unique line $\ell \subset \tilde{X}$ and acts on ℓ by translation. The uniqueness of ℓ implies that $\ell \subset \tilde{A}$ and $\ell \subset g\tilde{B}$. Thus $\tilde{A} \cap g\tilde{B}$ is non-empty and is stabilized by $\pi_1(A, a) \cap g\pi_1(B, b)g^{-1}$. Let $v \in \tilde{A} \cap g\tilde{B}$ be a vertex. Then v gives rise to a pair of vertices $a' \in A$ and $b' \in B$ via $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A$ and $g\tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$ such that a' and b' are mapped to the same vertex in X . Let K be the component of $A \otimes_X B$ containing (a', b') . Then any edge path of K can be lifted to an edge path inside $\tilde{A} \cap g\tilde{B}$. Thus the universal cover of K can be identified with $\tilde{A} \cap g\tilde{B}$ and $\pi_1 K$ can be identified with $\pi_1(A, a) \cap g\pi_1(B, b)g^{-1}$ up to a change of base points. \square

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.2.

Corollary 4.3. Let $A \rightarrow X$ be an immersion between connected graphs. Then $\pi_1 A$ is malnormal in $\pi_1 X$ if and only if each non-diagonal component of $A \otimes_X A$ is a tree (i.e. simply-connected)

Note that the statement of the corollary does not depend on choices of base points in A and X , so we omit the base points in the statements.

Now, we record another application of the fiber product, which together with Theorem 2.1 give an algorithm to detect whether a finitely generated subgroup of a finitely generated free group is square free or not.

Corollary 4.4. Let $H \leq F_n$ be a finitely generated group, X_n the wedge of n circles and $f : X \rightarrow X_n$ a graph immersion such that $f_*(\pi_1(X)) = H$. Then H is square free in F_n if and only if for any two different vertices $x_1, x_2 \in X$, (x_1, x_2) and (x_2, x_1) are in different connected components of $X \otimes_{X_n} X$.

Proof. If (x_1, x_2) and (x_2, x_1) are connected by an edge path $\omega \subset X \otimes_{X_n} X$, then ω maps to a loop in X_n which gives a word $w \in F_n$ such that $w \notin H$ (since ω does not map to a loop in X) and $w^2 \in H$ (since the word w travels from x_1 to x_2 , and it also travels from x_2 to x_1). Conversely, suppose there exists $w \in F_n$ such that $w \notin H$ and $w^2 \in H$. Note that w stabilizes an embedded line ℓ in the universal cover \tilde{X}_n of X_n . Since $w^2 \in H$, w^2 stabilizes an embedded line ℓ' in a lift \tilde{X} of X in \tilde{X}_n . Then $\ell' = \ell$. Pick a vertex $\tilde{x}_1 \in \ell' \subset \tilde{X}_n$ and let $\tilde{x}_2 = w\tilde{x}_1 \in \tilde{X}_n$. Let x_i be the image of \tilde{x}_i under $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Then $x_1 \neq x_2$ (since $w \notin H$) and (x_1, x_2) and (x_2, x_1) are in the same component (since $w^2 \in H$). \square

5. CONNECTEDNESS

Another consequence of Lemma 4.2 which will be useful is the following.

Corollary 5.1. Suppose $p : B \rightarrow X$ is a covering map of degree $d > 1$ and $f : A \rightarrow X$ is an immersion. If f lifts to an embedding $\tilde{f} : A \rightarrow B$, then the fiber product $A \otimes_X B$ is disconnected.

Proof. Note that by the definition of the fiber product, A is contained the fiber product (identifying A with its image under the embedding \tilde{f}). On the other hand, the fiber product is a cover of A , so A itself has to be a connected component of the fiber product, which shows that the fiber product is disconnected when $d > 1$. \square

On the other hand, the following lemma provides a useful condition for when the fiber product is connected.

Lemma 5.2. Let p be a prime and let $f : A \rightarrow X$ be an immersion between two connected graphs such that

$$f_X : H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. Let $X' \rightarrow X$ be a regular cover of degree p . Then $A \otimes_X X'$ is connected, $A \otimes_X X' \rightarrow A$ is a regular cover of degree p , and $H_1(A \otimes_X X', \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(X', \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ have the same rank.

Proof. Let $G = \pi_1(X, x)$ and let $x' \in X'$ be a lift of x . Then $G' = \pi_1(X', x')$ can be identified as the kernel of a surjective homomorphism $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Let $H = \pi_1(A, a)$ where $f(a) = x$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \\ & & \downarrow & & \nearrow \\ & & H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Note that the map $G \rightarrow H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ factors as $G \rightarrow H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$, where the first map is the abelianization map, and the second map is tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since p is prime, $G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ factors as the composition of two surjective homomorphisms $G \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Since $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, the composition $H \rightarrow g \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective. Thus $H \cap G'$ is a normal subgroup of index p in H . It follows that the connected component of $A \otimes_X X'$ containing (a, x) is a p -sheet regular cover of A , thus $A \otimes_X X'$ can not have other connected components.

Since $H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ and $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ are isomorphic, $\pi_1 A$ and $\pi_1 X$ have the same rank. By Theorem 2.2 and the previous paragraph, $\pi_1(A \otimes_X X')$ and $\pi_1 X'$ have the same rank. Thus the lemma follows. \square

6. GERSTEN'S LEMMA

In this section we prove a version of the Adams lemma [1], proved originally for \mathbb{Z} . The statement we need in Lemma 6.2 below appears implicitly in the work of Gersten [7] but we provide a short proof for completeness.

Let p be a prime number. Given a ring R with identity 1, write $R[t]_p$ for $R[t]/(1-t^p)$. Suppose M and N are free R -modules and \hat{M} and \hat{N} are free $R[t]_p$ modules such that M and \hat{M} as well as N and \hat{N} have the same rank. Given bases a_i and \hat{a}_i of M and \hat{M} , respectively, and b_j and \hat{b}_j be bases of N and \hat{N} , respectively. Write ϕ_M for the map induced by $a_i \mapsto \hat{a}_i$ and $t \mapsto 1$ and ϕ_N for the map induced by $b_j \mapsto \hat{b}_j$ and $t \mapsto 1$.

We are going to use the following claim

Claim 6.1. *Let M and N be free $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ -modules and \hat{M} and \hat{N} be free $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[t]_p$ -modules such that M and \hat{M} as well as N and \hat{N} have the same rank and $\varphi_M : M \rightarrow \hat{M}$, $\varphi_N : N \rightarrow \hat{N}$ are as above. Suppose $f : M \rightarrow N$ is an $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ -homomorphism and $\hat{f} : \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{N}$ is an $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[t]_p$ -homomorphism such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{M} & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & \hat{N} \\ \downarrow \phi_M & & \downarrow \phi_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

If f is 1-1, then \hat{f} is 1-1 too.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \hat{M}$ be a nonzero element. Note that in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}[t]_p$ we have $(1-t)^p = 1-t^p = 0$ and choose maximal $k < p$ such that $\alpha = (1-t)^k \alpha_1$ for some α_1 . Note that $\phi_M(\alpha_1) \neq 0$ because otherwise all coordinates of α_1 would be divisible by $(1-t)$, which contradicts maximality of k .

Now, we have $f(\phi_M(\alpha_1)) \neq 0$ because f is 1-1. Thus, $\phi_N(\hat{f}(\alpha_1)) \neq 0$ too. This means that at least one coordinate of $\hat{f}(\alpha_1)$ is not divisible by $(1-t)$. Therefore, at least one coordinate of $(1-t)^k \hat{f}(\alpha_1)$ is not divisible by $(1-t)^p$ and thus is not zero. Consequently, $\hat{f}(\alpha) = (1-t)^k \hat{f}(\alpha_1)$ is nonzero. \square

Lemma 6.2 (Gersten). *Let X and Y be graphs. Let p be a prime and $\pi_X : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$, $\pi_Y : \hat{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -covers. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map and $\hat{f} : \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{Y}$ is a lift*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{X} & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & \hat{Y} \\ \downarrow \pi_X & & \downarrow \pi_Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

and the map

$$f_* : H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

basis elements of N correspond to the edges of Y . The module \hat{N} is free as a $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[t]_p$ -module and if for each edge e of Y we pick a lift \hat{e} of e to \hat{Y} , the the set of all \hat{e} forms a basis of \hat{N} as a $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[t]_p$ -module.

Since all spaces considered are graphs, we treat the first homology group as a subgroup of the first chain group. Note that the map $M \rightarrow N$ is injective, since M is first mapped to the first homology group of Y (which is injective by assumption), then the first homology group of Y is inside the first chain group of Y .

We have a diagram induced by the continuous maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{M} & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & \hat{N} \\ \downarrow \phi_M & & \downarrow \phi_N \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \end{array}$$

So the map \hat{f} is injective by Claim 6.1, hence we have injectivity restricted to the homology of \hat{X} , which is a subgroup of \hat{M} . □

Corollary 6.4. Let p be a prime and let $f : A \rightarrow X$ be an immersion between two connected graphs such that

$$f_X : H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. Let $X' \rightarrow X$ be a regular cover of degree p and $\hat{f} : A \otimes_X X' \rightarrow X'$ be a lift of f .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_X X' & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Then $A \otimes_X X'$ is connected, and

$$\hat{f}_* : H_1(A \otimes_X X', \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X', \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 6.2 since a 1-1 map between $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ vector spaces of the same dimension must be an isomorphism. □

7. EXTENDING PARTIAL AUTOMORPHISMS

For a natural number l , a sequence of l -tuples of distinct elements of a set X is a cycle if it is of the form

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l), (x_2, \dots, x_l, x_1), \dots, (x_l, x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}).$$

Given a group G acting on a set X , the natural action of G on X^l is the coordinatewise action. Note that for $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_l) \in X^l$, the G -orbit

of \bar{x} contains a cycle if and only if there is a $g \in G$ such that $g(x_1) = x_2, \dots, g(x_l) = x_1$.

Definition 7.1. Given a set L of natural numbers, an L -hypergraph is a relational structure with one relational symbol of arity l for every $l \in L$.

Note that a $\{2\}$ -hypergraph is simply a directed graph.

Given a relational symbol R of arity l and a permutation $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(l)$ we write R_σ for the relation

$$R_\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_l) \quad \text{iff} \quad R(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(l)}).$$

Definition 7.2. Suppose L is a set of natural numbers. An L -hypergraph M is an L -hypertournament if whenever R is an l -relational symbol for $l \in L$, then for every tuple of distinct elements $\bar{x} \in M^l$ there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(\{1, \dots, l\})$ such that

$$M \models R_\sigma(\bar{x})$$

and for every R and permutation σ there does not exist a cycle $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_l$ such that that

$$M \models R_\sigma(\bar{x}_i)$$

for every $i \leq l$.

Note that a $\{2\}$ -hypertournament is just a tournament.

The following lemma is a revamp of the analogous equivalence proved by Herwig and Lascar for tournaments [10].

Lemma 7.3. *Let L be a nontrivial set of prime numbers. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The class of L -hypertournaments has the Hrushovski property.*
- (ii) *Every finitely generated subgroup of F_n which is closed under l -roots for all $l \in L$ is closed in the pro- L^\perp topology.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let H be a f.g. subgroup of F_n and suppose H is closed under l -roots for every $l \in L$. We will show that H is closed in the pro- L^\perp -topology. Let $a \in F_n$ be a word which does not belong to H . Consider $X = F_n/H$ and note that F_n acts naturally on X . We introduce a structure of an L -hypertournament on X as follows.

For every $l \in L$ and a tuple of distinct elements $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_l) \in X^l$, consider the F_n -orbit of \bar{x} and note that the orbit does not contain a cycle because H is closed under l -roots. Indeed, if such a cycle $\bar{x} = (w_1H, \dots, w_lH)$ existed, then for some $g \in F_n$ we would have $g^l w_1H = w_1H$, so $w_1^{-1} g^l w_1 \in H$, and thus $w_1^{-1} g w_1 \in H$, which would mean that $w_1H = w_2H$ and contradict the assumption that \bar{x} consists of distinct elements.

This implies that we can choose for each $l \in L$ a collection of orbits which does not contain a cycle and interpret R_l as tuples in this collection.

Now choose a subset X_0 of X which contains the generators of H , the word a and all initial subwords of the above. Note that the generators of F_n induce partial automorphisms of X_0 .

By our assumption there exists a finite L -hypertournament Y containing X_0 . Then $G = \text{Aut}(Y)$ has no elements of order in L and we get a homomorphism φ from F_n to G such that all elements of H stabilize $H \in Y$ and a does not stabilize H . This implies that $\varphi(a) \notin \varphi(H)$, as needed.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Now suppose M is a finite L -hypertournament and P is a finite set of partial automorphisms of M . Let k be the size of P . Let G_M be the immersed graph induced by P on M . We can assume that G_M is connected (extending P if needed). For $x \in M$ let $H_x = \pi_1(G_M, x)$. Recall that we treat H_x as a subgroup of F_k .

First note that each H_x is closed under l -roots for every $l \in L$. Indeed, fix $x \in M$ and suppose that $w \in F_k$ is such that $w^l \in H_x$. Let $x_i = w^i(x)$ with $x_0 = x$. Since M is an L -hypertournament, there is a permutation σ such that $M \models (R_l)_\sigma(x_0, \dots, x_{l-1})$. Then w induces a cycle $\bar{x}_0, \dots, \bar{x}_{l-1}$ starting with $\bar{x}_0 = (x_0, \dots, x_{l-1})$ such that $M \models (R_l)_\sigma(\bar{x}_i)$ for all $i < l$, which contradicts the assumption that M is an L -hypertournament. Thus, by our assumption, every H_x is closed in the pro- L^\perp topology.

Choose $x_0 \in M$. For each $x \in M$ choose a word w_x such that $w_x(x_0) = x$. For two elements $y, z \in M$ write $w_{z,y} = w_z w_y^{-1}$.

For each pair of tuples of distinct elements $\bar{y} = (y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ and $\bar{z} = (z_0, \dots, z_{n-1})$ in M such that $M \models R_n(\bar{y})$ but $M \not\models R_n(\bar{z})$ note that

$$w_{z_0, y_0} H_{y_0} \cap \dots \cap w_{z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}} H_{y_{n-1}} = \emptyset.$$

Since all H_{y_i} are closed in the pro- L^\perp topology and the latter is compact Hausdorff, there exists a basic open neighborhood of 1, i.e. a normal subgroup J whose index is finite and not divisible by any number in L such that

$$(*) \quad w_{z_0, y_0} H_{y_0} J \cap \dots \cap w_{z_{n-1}, y_{n-1}} H_{y_{n-1}} J = \emptyset.$$

for all tuples \bar{y} and \bar{z} as above and $w_x^{-1} w_y \notin H_{x_0} J$ for any $x \neq y$.

Now consider the subgroup $K = H_{x_0} J$ and let N be the set F_k/K . Since for each $x \in M$ we chose a word w_x such that $w_x(x_0) = x$, we can map $x \mapsto w_x K$ and since K does not contain any $w_x^{-1} w_y$ for $x \neq y$, this is an embedding. We need to introduce a structure of an L -hypertournament on N such that M is a substructure.

We have the natural action of F_k on F_k/K and write $j : F_k \rightarrow \text{Sym}(N)$. Note that the kernel of j contains J , so the index of the kernel of j is not divisible by any number in L . Write $G = F_k/\ker(j)$ and note that G is a finite group without elements of order divisible by a number in L , thus its rank is only divisible by numbers in L^\perp .

Now we can extend the structure from M to N as follows. First, for every tuple $\bar{y} = (y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ in M such that $M \models R(y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ extend R to all tuples in the G -orbit of \bar{y} . Note that $(*)$ implies that this does not change the structure on M . Indeed, otherwise there is a $\bar{z} = (z_0, \dots, z_{n-1})$ such that $M \models \neg R(z_0, \dots, z_{n-1})$. Suppose $g \in F_k$ is such that g maps $w_{y_i} K$ to $w_{z_i} K$, for all $i < n$. Then $g w_{y_i} K = w_{z_i} K$ for all $i < n$. Since $K = H_{x_0} J$

we have $w'_{y_i} \in w_{y_i} H_{x_0}$ and $w'_{z_i} \in w_{z_i} H_{x_0}$ such that

$$g \in w'_{z_i} J (w'_{y_i})^{-1} = w'_{z_i} (w'_{y_i})^{-1} J$$

since J is normal. Now $w'_{z_i} (w'_{y_i})^{-1} \in w_{z_i, y_i} H_{y_i}$, so we get a contradiction with (*).

Moreover, since G does not have elements of order divisible by a number in L , this does not introduce cycles of order in L . Next, to get a hypertournament, we extend the structure to all remaining tuples in N in the same way, i.e. if $\bar{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1})$ is a tuple such that R_n does not hold on any permutation of \bar{x} , then we extend R_n to the G -orbit of \bar{x} . Again, since G does not contain elements of order in L , this defines a hypertournament. \square

Definition 7.4. Suppose that G is an immersed graph. We say that G is a *subtadpole* if G has at most one vertex of degree 3 at all other vertices have degree at most 2.

Note that a connected subtadpole graph looks like a tadpole or a cycle or a tripod or a line. If a subtadpole graph is disconnected, then at most one of its connected components is a tadpole or a tripod and the remaining ones are cycles or lines.

Given a family P of n partial bijections of a set X , we say that P forms a *subtadpole* if the corresponding immersed graph is a subtadpole.

The following proposition is written in the spirit of the previous lemma and gives equivalent conditions to the fact that (ii) in the lemma holds only for cyclic groups.

Proposition 7.5. *Let L be a nontrivial set of prime numbers. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The class of finite L -hypertournaments has the Hrushovski property for families of partial isomorphisms which form subtadpoles.*
- (ii) *Every cyclic subgroup of F_n which is closed under l -roots for all $l \in L$ is closed in the pro- L^\perp topology.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let C be a cyclic subgroup of F_n and $c \in C$ be its generator. Assume that C is closed under l -roots for all $l \in L$. To show that C is closed in the pro- L^\perp topology, choose $a \notin C$. We may assume that a does not contain c^i as an initial subword for any $i > 0$, for otherwise if $a = a'c^i$, then we can replace a with a' (note that we are reading words from right to left as we are talking about left actions).

Consider first the set $X_1 = F_n/C$ and as in the previous proposition introduce a structure of an F_n -invariant L -hypertournament on X_1 , using the assumption that C is closed under l -roots for all $l \in L$. Let k be the length of a and let $X = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$ be a union of k disjoint copies of X_1 . Let b be the longest common initial subword of c and a and let $a = a'b$. By our assumption on a , we have that b does not contain c as an initial subword. Let $x_0 = bC$. For each $i < k$ let x_i be the copy of x_0 in X_i .

We define a family of partial bijections of X . First, if c_i is the i -th initial subword of c , then let the partial bijection corresponding to the i -th letter of c map $c_{i-1}C$ to c_iC . Next, for each $i < k$ if the i -th letter of a' is a_i , then let a_i map x_i to x_{i+1} . Note that these partial bijections form a subadpole on X . Now we define a structure of an L -hypertournament on X so that all these partial bijections are partial isomorphisms.

Note that the partial action of F_n on X induces also a partial action on its l -element subsets for each $l \in L$. In order for the partial maps to be partial isomorphisms, we need that the L -hypergraph structure is invariant under this partial action. Note that if an orbit of an l -tuple is entirely contained in X_1 , then by our construction of the structure on X_1 , the hypergraph relations are invariant on such orbits. If an orbit is not entirely contained in X_1 but did contain a cycle, then the cycle would have to be contained in X_1 (by the subadpole structure), which is impossible. Thus, we can carry over the relations from X_1 to such orbits without creating cycles. Finally, all other orbits contain only single subsets, so we can extend the definition of the relations on those orbits arbitrarily not creating cycles.

Finally, find a finite L -hypertournament X' of X which is closed under all subwords of c and under a . By our assumption X' can be extended to a finite L -hypertournament X'' such that all our partial isomorphisms extend to automorphisms of X'' . This defines a homomorphism of F_n to the automorphism group of X'' . Since X'' is an L -hypertournament, its automorphism group does not contain elements of order l for any $l \in L$ and thus its rank is divisible only by numbers in L^\perp . To end the proof, observe that all elements of C stabilize x_0 , while a does not, so this homomorphism does not map a to the image of C .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) This implication is proved exactly as in the previous proposition with the observation that the fundamental group of a subadpole is cyclic. \square

8. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.3.

Let $f : A \rightarrow X$ be the immersion of graphs indicated in Figure 1, where the immersion map preserves orientation and labeling of edges. Note that the image of $f_* : \pi_1 A \rightarrow \pi_1 X$ is the subgroup generated by $aba^{-1}b^{-1}a$ and b inside $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Write $G = \pi_1(A)$ for A as above.

Lemma 8.1. G is malnormal in F_2 .

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, it suffices to show each non-diagonal component of $A \otimes_X A$ is a tree.

A direct computation as in Figure 2 implies that this is indeed true (note that A has 5 vertices, 3 a -edges and 3 b -edges, hence $A \otimes_X A$ has 25 vertices,




FIGURE 1. The immersion $f : A \rightarrow X$.




FIGURE 2. Only non-diagonal components of the fiber product are drawn.

9 a -edges and 9 b -edges, moreover, only 6 a -edges and 6 b -edges of $A \otimes_X A$ are non-diagonal and they are drawn in Figure 2).

□

Now we show that G is not closed in any pro- p topology. Fix p and suppose G is closed in the pro- p topology. Since G is malnormal, it is closed under q -th roots for all prime $q \neq p$, by Claim 2.5, and we can introduce a structure of an $\{p\}^\perp$ -hypertournament on A . We do this the same way as in the proof of Lemma 7.3: since A has 5 vertices, we need to define relations of arity $q = 2, 3, 4, 5$. For each such q and each q -tuple in A choose one permutation of the tuple to include into the structure. Next, pass through the elements of G to extend the structure so that G acts by partial isomorphisms. The fact that G is closed under q -th roots implies that the structure is an $\{p\}^\perp$ -hypertournament. Abusing notation a bit, let us refer to this hypertournament also by A .

If G is closed in the pro- p topology, then by Lemma 7.3 (ii) \Rightarrow (i) (this implication uses only the assumption that the groups H_x are closed in the pro- p topology and they are all conjugates of G), there is a finite $\{p\}^\perp$ -hypertournament A' extending the one on A such that both partial maps on A (corresponding to a and b) extend to isomorphisms of A' . This makes A' a covering of X (the wedge of two circles). Write $\pi_{A'} : A' \rightarrow X$ for the covering map. Note that $\pi_1(A')$ is closed under q -th roots for all prime $q \neq p$ since A' is a $\{p\}^\perp$ -hypertournament.

Now, there is a further finite cover $\pi_B : B \rightarrow A'$ such that B is a regular connected cover of X (i.e. B corresponds to the biggest normal subgroup of F_2 contained in the fundamental group of A'). Note since A' is nontrivial, B has degree bigger than 1. Write H for $\pi_1(B)$ and note that H is the intersection of finitely many conjugates of $\pi_1(A')$, hence it is closed under q -th roots for all prime $q \neq p$. As H is normal in F_2 , the quotient F_2/H is a p -group and there exists a subnormal series

$$F_2 \triangleright \dots H_1 \triangleright H_0 = H$$

such that each $H_{i+1}/H_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. This corresponds to a sequence of intermediate subcovers

$$X = X_0 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow B$$

such that each $X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$ is a regular $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ cover.

Now, note that A is connected and the map

$$f_* : H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism because the generators of the first homology of A are mapped to the generators of the first homology of X . Inductively using Lemma 5.2 and Corollary 6.4, we see that each $A \otimes_X X_i$ is connected and the map from $H_1(A \otimes_X X_i, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ to $H_1(X_i, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $A \otimes_X B$ is connected.

On the other hand, note that since A' extends A , the map $f : A \rightarrow X$ lifts to an embedding $f' : A \rightarrow A'$. Thus, by Corollary 5.1 $A \otimes_X A'$ is disconnected. Thus, $(A \otimes_X A') \otimes_{A'} B$ is disconnected as well. But, again, by covariance of the pull-back, the latter is homeomorphic to $A \otimes_X B$. This contradicts the previous paragraph and ends the proof.

□

9. CYCLIC SUBGROUPS

Finally, in this section we prove Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.2.

Note that every cyclic subgroup of F_n is contained in a maximal cyclic group. Indeed, if $C < F_n$ is cyclic and generated by c , then a maximal cyclic subgroup of F_n containing C can be found by finding $d \in F_n$ of minimal length such that $d^k = c$ for some positive integer k .

Lemma 9.1. *If $A \subset F_n$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup, then A is closed in the pro- p topology for any prime p .*

Proof. Suppose $A = \langle a \rangle$. Then the maximality of A implies that A is the centralizer of a in F_n . Pick $x \notin A$ and let $g = [x, a]$. By the fact F_n is residually p , there exists a finite p -group F and a homomorphism $\phi : F_n \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(g)$ is non-trivial. Thus $\phi(x)$ does not commute with $\phi(a)$. Since $\phi(A)$ is a cyclic subgroup generated by $\phi(a)$, we get that $\phi(x) \notin \phi(A)$. □

Now we prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let A be a maximal cyclic subgroup containing C . Suppose $i = [A : C]$ and let $g \notin C$. We will separate g from C .

Case 1: If $g \notin A$, by Lemma 9.1, we can find a p -group F for $p \in L^\perp$ and a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(g) \notin \phi(A)$, hence $\phi(g) \notin \phi(C)$.

Case 2: If $g \in A \setminus C$, we let $A = \langle a \rangle$ and $C = \langle a^i \rangle$. Then $g = a^{ij+m}$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq m < i$. Let p be a prime factor of i . We claim $p \in L^\perp$. Indeed, otherwise there is $l \in L$ such that $p \mid l$. Suppose $l = pr_1$ and $i = pr_2$. Let $q = \langle i, l \rangle$ be the least common multiple of i and l . Suppose $q = lr$. Now we consider the element a^r . It is clear that $(a^r)^l = a^q \in C$. However,

$$r = \frac{q}{l} = \frac{\langle i, l \rangle}{l} = \frac{p\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle}{pr_1} = \frac{\langle r_1, r_2 \rangle}{r_1} \leq r_2 < i.$$

Thus $a^r \notin C$. This contradicts that C is closed under l -roots.

Since F_n is residually p , there is a finite p -group F and a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow F$ such that $\bar{a} = \phi(a)$ is non-trivial. We claim $\phi(g) \notin \phi(C)$. Indeed, otherwise there is an integer s such that $\phi(g) = \bar{a}^{ij+m} = \bar{a}^{is}$. Hence $\bar{a}^{i(j-s)+m}$ is trivial. Since \bar{a} is a non-trivial element in a p -group, it has order equal to a power of p . In particular $p \mid i(j-s) + m$. Since $p \mid i$ by construction and $p \nmid m$, we reach a contradiction. Thus $\phi(g) \notin \phi(C)$ and we are done. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that if $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ have pairwise disjoint domains and pairwise disjoint ranges, then every vertex in the immersed graph induced by them has degree at most 2 and in particular is a subtadpole (in fact, it is a union of circles and lines). Thus, the corollary follows from Theorem 1.5 and Proposition 7.5. □

The case $L = \{2\}$ in the above corollary corresponds to the case considered by Herwig and Lascar in [10].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams. A new proof of a theorem of W. H. Cockcroft. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 30:482–488, 1955.
- [2] Gregory L. Cherlin. The classification of countable homogeneous directed graphs and countable homogeneous n -tournaments. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(621), 1998.
- [3] Siniora D. Automorphism groups of homogeneous structures. PhD thesis, University of Leeds.
- [4] Siniora D. and S. Solecki. Coherent extension of partial automorphisms, free amalgamation, and automorphism groups. preprint, arXiv:1705.01888.
- [5] Hubička J. Konečný M. Evans, D. and J. Nešetřil. EPPA for two-graphs. 2018. draft.
- [6] Hubička J. Evans, David M. and J. Nešetřil. Ramsey properties and extending partial automorphisms for classes of finite structures. preprint.
- [7] S. M. Gersten. Conservative groups, indicability, and a conjecture of Howie. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 29(1):59–74, 1983.
- [8] Marshall Hall, Jr. Coset representations in free groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67:421–432, 1949.
- [9] Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [10] Bernhard Herwig and Daniel Lascar. Extending partial automorphisms and the profinite topology on free groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 352(5):1985–2021, 2000.
- [11] Ian Hodkinson and Martin Otto. Finite conformal hypergraph covers and Gaifman cliques in finite structures. *Bull. Symbolic Logic*, 9(3):387–405, 2003.
- [12] Ehud Hrushovski. Extending partial isomorphisms of graphs. *Combinatorica*, 12(4):411–416, 1992.
- [13] Konečný M. Hubička J. and J. Nešetřil. A combinatorial proof of the extension property for partial isometries. preprint.
- [14] May J.P. *A concise course in algebraic topology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1999.
- [15] Alexander S. Kechris and Christian Rosendal. Turbulence, amalgamation, and generic automorphisms of homogeneous structures. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 94(2):302–350, 2007.
- [16] Thomas Koberda. Right-angled Artin groups and their subgroups. notes available at <http://faculty.virginia.edu/Koberda/raagcourse.pdf>.
- [17] A. H. Lachlan and Robert E. Woodrow. Countable ultrahomogeneous undirected graphs. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 262(1):51–94, 1980.
- [18] D. Lascar. Automorphism groups of saturated structures; a review. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Beijing, 2002)*, pages 25–33. Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [19] Doucha M. and Malicki M. Generic representations of countable groups. preprint, arXiv:1710.08170.
- [20] Pawliuk M. and Sokic M. Amenability and unique ergodicity of automorphism groups of countable homogeneous directed graphs. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. to appear.
- [21] Lionel Nguyen Van Thé. A survey on structural Ramsey theory and topological dynamics with the Kechris-Pestov-Todorćević correspondence in mind. *Zb. Rad. (Beogr.)*, 17(25)(Selected topics in combinatorial analysis):189–207, 2015.
- [22] Vladimir G. Pestov. A theorem of Hrushovski-Solecki-Vershik applied to uniform and coarse embeddings of the Urysohn metric space. *Topology Appl.*, 155(14):1561–1575, 2008.
- [23] Luis Ribes and Pavel Zalesskii. *Profinite groups*, volume 40 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2010.

- [24] Christian Rosendal. Finitely approximable groups and actions part I: The Ribes-Zaleskiĭ property. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 76(4):1297–1306, 2011.
- [25] Marcin Sabok. Automatic continuity for isometry groups. arXiv:1312.5141.
- [26] Sławomir Solecki. Extending partial isometries. *Israel J. Math.*, 150:315–331, 2005.
- [27] Norman Steenrod. *The topology of fibre bundles*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999. Reprint of the 1957 edition, Princeton Paperbacks.
- [28] A. M. Vershik. Globalization of the partial isometries of metric spaces and local approximation of the group of isometries of Urysohn space. *Topology Appl.*, 155(14):1618–1626, 2008.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: jingyin@mplm-bonn.mpg.de

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, 612
CAMPUS PLACE N.W., 2500 UNIVERSITY DRIVE NW CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA
T2N 1N4

E-mail address: mpawliuk@ucalgary.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805, SHER-
BROOKE STREET WEST MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA H3A 2K6

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-
655 WARSZAWA, POLAND

E-mail address: marcin.sabok@mcgill.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCGILL UNIVERSITY, 805, SHER-
BROOKE STREET WEST MONTREAL, QUEBEC, CANADA H3A 2K6

E-mail address: daniel.wise@mcgill.ca