

Bootstrap current and parallel ion velocity in imperfectly optimized stellarators

Peter J. Catto^{1,*} and Per Helander²

¹Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

²Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 17491 Greifswald, Germany

Abstract

A novel derivation of the parallel ion velocity, and the bootstrap and Pfirsch-Schlüter currents in an imperfectly optimized (that is, almost omnigenous) stellarator magnetic field, \vec{B} , is presented that somewhat more generally recovers expressions completely consistent with previous analytic results. However, it is also shown that, when the conventional radially local form of the drift kinetic equation is employed, the flow velocity and the bootstrap current acquire a spurious contribution proportional to ω/ν , where ω denotes the $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ rotation frequency (due to the radial electric field \vec{E}) and ν the collision frequency. This contribution is particularly large in the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime and at smaller collisionalities, where $\omega/\nu \gtrsim 1$, and is presumably present in most numerical calculations, but it disappears if a more accurate drift kinetic equation is used.

1. Introduction

In stellarators and tokamaks, the flow velocity of each plasma species along the magnetic field is affected by the cross field (radial) derivatives of the density, electrostatic potential and temperature, and so is, therefore, the parallel current. The existence of this “bootstrap” current is of great practical importance, but in stellarators it is still incompletely understood. It can be calculated numerically from the drift kinetic equation, but analytical expressions have only been derived in the so called $1/\nu$ regime of moderately small collisionality (Shaing *et al.* 1989; Helander *et al.* 2011 & 2017), the omnigenous limit (Landreman & Catto 2012), and, more recently, for a Lorentz collision operator extension into the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime of lower collisionality (Helander *et al.* 2017). In all these regimes, the theory predicts a current (or parallel flow velocity) that is independent of collisionality, but numerical simulations (Beidler *et al.* 2011; Kernbichler *et al.* 2016) suggest otherwise down to very small collisionalities. They also find that the bootstrap current depends sensitively on the radial electric field – an effect not contained in the analytical expressions.

In the present paper, a possible reason for radial electric field sensitivity is proposed. It is shown that the standard drift kinetic equation solved by most numerical codes leads to a spurious term in the parallel flow velocity proportional to ω/ν , where ω denotes the poloidal $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ rotation frequency and ν the collision frequency. (Here \vec{E} denotes the radial electric field and \vec{B} the magnetic field strength.) This contribution to the bootstrap current is particularly important in the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime and at smaller collisionalities, where $\omega/\nu \gg 1$, and does not appear in analytical formulas, but it will be present in most numerical simulations. However, it is also shown that this term proportional to ω/ν is incorrect. It results from the use of a radially local approximation of

the drift kinetic equation, which is nearly always employed in the neoclassical theory of stellarators, both in analytical theory and in numerical simulations. It usually seems to be admissible, but apparently fails for parallel flows.

In the absence of turbulence, optimized stellarators attempt to confine all collisionless charged particle orbits by clever design of the magnetic equilibrium. If the magnetic field strength B on a flux surface (labeled by the poloidal flux function ψ) depends on the toroidal (ζ) and poloidal (ϑ) angles in a single linear combination ($M\vartheta - N\zeta$),

$$B = B(\psi, M\vartheta - N\zeta), \quad (1.1)$$

the field is said to be quasisymmetric (Nührenberg & Zille 1988, Boozer 1995), as in the Helically Symmetric Experiment (HSX) stellarator (Anderson *et al.* 1995), and the collisionless orbits are all confined. Here M and N are integers corresponding to the number of times a line of constant B on a flux surface must traverse the torus toroidally and poloidally, respectively, before closing on itself. Quasisymmetric behavior is thought to be possible on only an isolated flux or constant pressure surface (Garren & Boozer 1991; Plunk & Helander 2018; Landreman & Sengupta 2018; Landreman *et al.* 2019). More generally, confined collisionless charged particle orbits are possible if the magnetic field has the property normally referred to as omnigenity (Cary & Shasharina 1997a&b), with the quasi-isodynamic behavior of the Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) stellarator (Nührenberg 2010; Beidler *et al.* 1990; Grieger *et al.* 1992) being the most notable example (although it is only approximately realized). (Presumably this more general property can also only be satisfied on or in the vicinity of a single flux surface.) Using ψ , $\alpha = \zeta - q\vartheta$, and B variables, with q the safety factor, and \vec{B} given by the Clebsch representation

$$\vec{B} = B\vec{b} = \nabla\alpha \times \nabla\psi, \quad (1.2)$$

a magnetic flux surface is omnigenous when the local condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} \sum \frac{1}{|\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B|} = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

is satisfied, where Σ denotes a sum over both branches adjacent to the magnetic well. This condition, first found by Cary & Shasharina (1997a,b), requires that the sum of the incremental lengths $d\ell = d\mathbf{B}/\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B$ associated with the same B on each side of the well be alpha independent [for a more detailed explanation see Helander (2014) following Eq. (79)]. Omnigenity is just the condition required to make the second adiabatic invariant,

$$J = (Mc/Ze) \oint_{\alpha} d\ell v_{\parallel}, \quad (1.4)$$

independent of α ,

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial\alpha} = \frac{Mc}{Ze} \oint_{\alpha} dB v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} \sum \frac{1}{|\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B|}, \quad (1.5)$$

where the subscript α on an integral is a reminder that it is to be held fixed while performing the B integration. Here Z and M are the species charge number and mass, while c is the speed of light and e is the charge on a proton. Stellarator optimization schemes try to approach these idealized limits over a large fraction of the cross section (Henneberg *et al.* 2019), while

maintaining good stability properties and avoiding substantial turbulent transport, because significant departures from omnigenity result in unacceptably large collisional transport.

The neoclassical properties of perfectly omnigenous fields are well understood (Helander & Nührenberg 2009; Landreman & Catto 2012), and the effect of departures from omnigenity on radial particle and heat transport are becoming better documented as simulations and analysis improve. However, understanding of the effect of departures from omnigenity on the bootstrap current at low collisionalities is less clear. It is likely that transitional particles that spend time trapped in multiple wells are responsible for much of the puzzling behavior as the radial electric field vanishes, and therefore must also be responsible for some of the sensitivity to the radial electric field observed in simulations (Beidler *et al.* 2011; Kernbichler *et al.* 2016) but not exhibited by the analytic expressions (Shaing *et al.* 1989; Helander *et al.* 2011; Landreman & Catto 2012; Helander *et al.* 2017). However, the procedure used here also finds that in the presence of an $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift tangential to the magnetic surfaces there is a spurious modification to the ion flow that becomes large at low collisionality on weakly non-omnigenous flux surfaces. It introduces a different sensitivity to the radial electric field in both the bootstrap current and the parallel flow velocity and arises when the full magnetic plus electric drift is replaced by only the $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift as in most simulations (Beidler *et al.* 2011; Kernbichler *et al.* 2016).

When the full drift is retained the bootstrap current and parallel ion flow expressions found here are completely consistent with the moderate or $1/\nu$ collisionality results of (Shaing *et al.* 1989; Helander *et al.* 2011 & 2017), the omnigenous limit of (Landreman & Catto 2012), and the moderate ($1/\nu$) and weak ($\sqrt{\nu}$) collisionality limits of (Helander *et al.* 2017). As in these earlier treatments the expressions found here for the bootstrap current and parallel ion flow are independent of collision frequency. They are obtained by a very different procedure that is valid in the $\sqrt{\nu}$ and standard $1/\nu$ regimes of collisionality (Galeev *et al.* 1969; Ho & Kulsrud 1987; Calvo *et al.* 2017), and contain slightly more explicit and compact geometrical coefficients than (Shaing *et al.* 1989; Helander *et al.* 2011 & 2017). It is only when the full drift term is approximated as in simulations that spurious effects arise. The erroneous effects are considered separately in the hope that they will provide some insight into the behavior of the simulations at very small collisionalities.

The analytic expressions for the bootstrap current and parallel flow derived here are also valid in the superbanana plateau regime of collisionality (Shaing 2015; Calvo *et al.* 2017), where the tangential magnetic drift frequency vanishes at some pitch angle. This regime is considered in only one published neoclassical stellarator simulation (Beidler *et al.* 1995) as it is not of interest for the background ions unless the $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift is smaller than the magnetic drift.

The next section discusses ambipolarity and radial transport in weakly non-optimized stellarators. Then section 3 introduces notation, evaluates drifts, and discusses transit and flux surface averages. Important equilibrium properties are discussed in section 4. Section 5 reformulates stellarator drift kinetics to extract the terms odd in the parallel velocity as the lowest order corrections to the Maxwellian. In section 6 the drift kinetic equation is solved properly by retaining the full, nonlocal effects of the magnetic plus electric drifts. These results are employed

in section 7 to evaluate the parallel ion flow velocity and parallel current density. At low collisionality, the spurious tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ term retained in most simulations and for analytic radial transport evaluations is considered and found to give rise to an incorrect modification of the ion flow velocity and bootstrap current, which is evaluated in section 8. A brief discussion follows in section 9.

2. Ambipolarity and radial transport

To treat collisional transport in a non-quasisymmetric stellarator it is normally assumed that the electrons and ions are in the $1/\nu$ and $\sqrt{\nu}$ regimes, respectively. In both regimes collisions must be retained, but ion streaming is smaller than electron streaming so the ions are sensitive to the tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift and the electrons are not. Consequently, as ν gets small, the electron diffusivities increase as $1/\nu$, while the ion diffusivities decrease as $\sqrt{\nu}$ (Galeev *et al.* 1969; Ho & Kulsrud 1987). The radial ion and electron particle losses balance and set the electric field to maintain ambipolarity. Consequently, both collisionality regimes must be considered when evaluating the bootstrap current.

Ambipolar operation requires considering this mixed collisionality regime where the lowest order radial electric field, $\vec{E} \approx -\nabla\Phi(\psi)$, is roughly at the ion diamagnetic level (to bring ion transport down to the electron level), given by the estimate

$$Zen_i \partial\Phi/\partial\psi \sim -T_i \partial n_i/\partial\psi, \quad (2.1)$$

with the poloidal flux function ψ related to the toroidal flux function Ψ by $d\Psi = qd\psi$, and the safety factor q and rotational transform ι related by $\iota q = 1$. The tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift frequency is then

$$\omega_E \equiv -\vec{v}_E \cdot \nabla\alpha = c \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi} \sim -\frac{cT_i}{Zen_i} \frac{\partial n_i}{\partial\psi} \sim \frac{v_i^2}{\Omega_i a^2} = \frac{\rho_i}{a^2} v_i, \quad (2.2)$$

while the ∇B drift, $\vec{v}_{\nabla B} \sim \rho_i v_i/R$, results in a tangential drift frequency of

$$\omega_{\nabla B} \equiv -\vec{v}_{\nabla B} \cdot \nabla\alpha \sim \rho_i v_i/aR, \quad (2.3)$$

where ρ_i and v_i are the ion gyroradius and thermal speed, $\Omega_i = ZeB/M_i c$, and r , a , and R are the distance from the magnetic axis, the nominal radial scale, and the major radius. The ion charge number and mass are Z and M_i . Normally $\omega_E \sim R\omega_{\nabla B}/a \gg \omega_{\nabla B}$, so the magnetic drift is often neglected as small. Then, there is no superbanana plateau regime as the vanishing of the combined magnetic and electric drift is unlikely for the background ions (at any pitch angle).

As already noted, when all collisionless orbits are confined, a stellarator is referred to as omnigenous, and the special case of the magnitude of the magnetic field B depending on only a single helical variable is referred to as quasisymmetry. For an omnigenous, but non-quasisymmetric, stellarator, ambipolarity requires that the radial electric field reduce the ion particle transport to the typically smaller electron level by setting it at the ion diamagnetic level as already estimated. More precisely, the ion root is given by (Landreman & Catto 2012)

$$Zen_i \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi} \approx -\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial\psi} + 1.17 n_i \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial\psi}. \quad (2.4)$$

As flux surfaces become non-omnigenous the ion particle diffusivity enters the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime and decreases as the collisionality is reduced. The electron particle diffusivity increases as $1/\nu$ as the tangential drift is unimportant. At some point the omnigenous ion root may be altered and become sensitive to collisions as $\partial\Phi/\partial\psi$ becomes small and it transitions to the electron root,

$$en_e \partial\Phi/\partial\psi \sim T_e \partial n_e/\partial\psi, \quad (2.5)$$

to keep the electron particle transport at the now lower ion level. If a change in the sign of $\partial\Phi/\partial\psi$ occurs, then there are flux surfaces with very small $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift where the ∇B drift matters (Matsuoka *et al.* 2015). As the ∇B drift vanishes at some pitch angle, there can be flux surfaces for which superbanana plateau transport becomes important. The treatment here allows for this possibility, but many simulations do not (Beidler *et al.* 2011; Kernbichler *et al.* 2016).

Typically the $1/\nu$, $\sqrt{\nu}$, and superbanana plateau collisionality regimes are evaluated by a transit averaged kinetic equation for the trapped (subscript t) particles of the form,

$$\overline{\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla\psi} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial\psi} + \overline{\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla\alpha} \frac{\partial \bar{h}_t}{\partial\alpha} = \overline{C\{\bar{h}_t\}}, \quad (2.6)$$

with f_0 a Maxwellian and \bar{h}_t a trapped particle modification to it. The overbar notation indicates transit or bounce average and will be defined carefully in the next section. The tangential drift frequency in the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime is normally assumed to be just due to the $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift,

$$\overline{\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla\alpha} \rightarrow \overline{\vec{v}_E \cdot \nabla\alpha} = -\omega(\psi) = -c \partial\Phi/\partial\psi, \quad (2.7)$$

and is often assumed to be a flux function. Only in the superbanana plateau regime is the magnetic drift retained in $\overline{\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla\alpha}$.

In the transit averaged equation, only the radial drift term $\overline{\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla\psi} \approx \overline{\vec{v}_{\nabla B} \cdot \nabla\psi}$ need retain the departure from omnigenity. Two basic approximations have been made in Eq. (2.7). The radial component of the drift acting on \bar{h}_t has been neglected, and the tangential part of the drift has been approximated by its $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ component. Although these approximations are common in neoclassical stellarator theory, it is shown later that they can, in fact, be misleading and lead to errors in the parallel flows.

For the electrons the tangential drift is negligible ($\omega \ll \nu_e$), giving

$$\overline{\vec{v}_{\nabla B} \cdot \nabla\psi} \partial f_{0e}/\partial\psi \sim \overline{C\{\bar{h}_{te}\}} \sim \nu_e \bar{h}_{te}, \quad (2.8)$$

$\bar{h}_{te}/f_{0e} \propto 1/\nu_e$, and electron diffusivities proportional to $1/\nu_e$, with ν_e the electron collision frequency. The tangential drift frequency ω matters for the ions ($\omega \gg \nu_i$). In the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime tangential and radial drift balance for the ions giving $\bar{h}_{ti}/f_{0i} \propto 1/\omega$, except in a the narrow boundary layer of width $(\nu_i/\omega)^{1/2}$ at the trapped-passing boundary. This boundary layer in pitch angle, $\lambda = 2\mu B_0/\nu^2$, is required to make f vanish and its width is set by $\omega \partial \bar{h}_{ti}/\partial\alpha \sim \nu_i \partial^2 \bar{h}_{ti}/\partial\lambda^2$. As its width is narrower than the usual trapped boundary layer width $\varepsilon^{1/2}$, the collisionality is assumed weak enough to satisfy $\nu_i/\omega \ll \varepsilon$ or

$$\rho_i/a \gg R\nu_i/\nu_i = R/\lambda, \quad (2.9)$$

where λ is the mean free path, with $\lambda \sim \nu_i/\nu_i \sim \nu_e/\nu_e$ and ν_e the electron thermal speed.

For $T_i = 10$ keV, $n_i = 10^{14}$ cm $^{-3}$, and $B = 5$ T; $v_i \approx 10^8$ cm/sec, $v_{i0} \approx 50$ sec $^{-1}$, and $\rho_i \approx 0.3$ cm. Then $a = 100$ cm and $R = 1000$ cm give $Rv_i/v_{i0} = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\rho_i/a = 3 \times 10^{-3}$, so the resulting inequality is typically satisfied.

In the next section, the tangential and radial drifts are evaluated for an imperfectly optimized stellarator along with transit and flux surface averages.

3. Imperfectly optimized stellarator notation, drifts and averages

To retain both the $1/v$ and \sqrt{v} regimes, the ion drift kinetic equation is employed (Hazeltine 1973; Simakov & Catto 2005),

$$(\mathbf{v}_{\parallel} \bar{\mathbf{b}} + \bar{\mathbf{v}}_d) \cdot (\nabla f_1 + \frac{Z e f_0}{T} \nabla \Phi) + \bar{\mathbf{v}}_d \cdot \nabla f_0 = C\{f_1\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where f_1 is the perturbed distribution function, $C\{f_1\}$ is the linearized collision operator, f_0 is the Maxwellian

$$f_0 = f_0(\psi, E) = n(\psi) \left[\frac{M_i}{2\pi T(\psi)} \right]^{3/2} e^{-M_i v^2 / 2T(\psi)} = n(\psi) \left[\frac{M_i}{2\pi T(\psi)} \right]^{3/2} e^{-[M_i E - Ze\Phi(\psi)] / T(\psi)}, \quad (3.2)$$

and the drift velocity (ignoring the unimportant parallel velocity correction) is

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}_d = \frac{c}{B^2} \bar{\mathbf{B}} \times \nabla \Phi + \frac{\mu}{\Omega} \bar{\mathbf{b}} \times \nabla B + \frac{v_{\parallel}^2}{\Omega} \bar{\mathbf{b}} \times (\bar{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \bar{\mathbf{b}}) \approx \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \nabla \times (v_{\parallel} \bar{\mathbf{b}}). \quad (3.3)$$

The kinetic equation will be solved in ψ , $\alpha = \zeta - q\vartheta$, B , $E = v^2/2 + Ze\Phi(\psi)/M_i$, and $\mu = v_{\perp}^2/2B$ variables, with the lowest order electrostatic potential Φ taken as a flux function. The remaining spatial variables are ϑ and ζ , the poloidal and toroidal angle variables, respectively, and the full electrostatic electric field is

$$\bar{\mathbf{E}} = -\nabla[\Phi(\psi) + \phi(\psi, \vartheta, \zeta)]. \quad (3.4)$$

The magnetic field is $\bar{\mathbf{B}} = B\bar{\mathbf{b}}$ and the parallel velocity is $v_{\parallel} = \sigma v \xi$, with $\xi = \sqrt{1 - \lambda B/B_0}$, pitch angle defined as $\lambda = 2\mu B_0/v^2$, $\sigma = \pm 1$, and B_0 a flux function ($B_0^2 = \langle B^2 \rangle$). Here and throughout $\partial f_0 / \partial \psi$ is evaluated holding E fixed.

Using a Boozer (1981) representation for the magnetic field gives

$$\bar{\mathbf{B}} = K(\psi, \vartheta, \zeta) \nabla \psi + G(\psi) \nabla \vartheta + I(\psi) \nabla \zeta, \quad (3.5)$$

with K periodic in ϑ and ζ , and $RG/rI \sim B_p/B$. The conventional stellarator poloidal and toroidal covariant flux functions (G and I) are interchanged in (3.5) to more closely conform to tokamak notation. The preceding and $\bar{\mathbf{B}} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \psi$ give $\mathbf{B} = (G + qI)\bar{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \vartheta$, as well as $\bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \alpha = 0 = \bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \psi$ and $q\bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \vartheta = \bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \zeta$.

The well depth δ of the nearly omnigenous magnetic field is

$$\delta = (B_{\max} - B_{\min}) / (B_{\max} + B_{\min}). \quad (3.6)$$

Here B_{\max} and B_{\min} denote the maximum and minimum field strength on the magnetic surface in question. As shown by Cary and Shasharina (1997a), in an omnigenous field these values are reached not in isolated points but along lines that close toroidally, poloidally or helically on the

flux surface. Any departure from omnigenity is assumed to result in smaller non-omnigenous magnetic field variation or weak ripple such that

$$\delta_{\text{no}} \ll \delta. \quad (3.7)$$

The non-omnigenous behavior can only introduce weak gradients comparable to those of the omnigenous portion of the magnetic field (Calvo *et al.* 2017) in order to avoid introducing any significant non-omnigenous magnetic wells. An additional, mild restriction on δ_{no} will be found in section 6. The omnigenous portion of the magnetic field is assumed to be N toroidal cells ($N = 0$ is quasisymmetry) of well depth δ , with mod B contours closing on themselves after M toroidal turns and N poloidal turns. In ψ , α , and B variables $d\vartheta d\zeta = (\vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vartheta / \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B) dB d\alpha$.

When performing flux surface averages it is convenient to be aware of the periodic variable

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha / (M - qN) \quad (3.8)$$

since $\tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow 2\pi + \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha}$ for M toroidal circuits and N poloidal circuits on a constant B curve. As there are two points on either side of the B minimum, \vec{B} , in each of the N cells, both sides must be summed or integrated over and are referred to as branches (Landreman & Catto 2012).

In ψ , α , B variables the divergence of an arbitrary vector \vec{A} is

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\vec{A} \cdot \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) + \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{\vec{A} \cdot \nabla \alpha}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\frac{\vec{A} \cdot \nabla \psi}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) \right]. \quad (3.9)$$

The tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift is then

$$\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \alpha = v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v_{\parallel} \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \alpha \times \nabla B}{\Omega \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) + v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \left(\frac{v_{\parallel} B^2}{\Omega \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) \simeq \frac{B v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial v_{\parallel}}{\partial \psi} \simeq -c \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \equiv -\omega(\psi), \quad (3.10)$$

with ω positive for the ion root, and the radial drift is

$$\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi = v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v_{\parallel} \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\Omega \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) - \frac{B v_{\parallel}^2}{\Omega} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B} \right). \quad (3.11)$$

Using pressure balance to find $\vec{b} \times \nabla \psi \cdot (\nabla B - \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vec{b}) = 0$ as in Helander & Nührenberg (2009) and Landreman & Catto (2012), gives the alternate radial drift form

$$\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi = \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \right), \quad (3.12)$$

where for a quasisymmetric flux surface with $B_{\text{qs}} = B_0 [1 - \delta \cos(M\vartheta - N\zeta)]$,

$$\frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rightarrow \frac{MI + NG}{M - qN} \lesssim RB, \quad (3.13)$$

with the tokamak and quasisymmetric cases given by $N = 0$.

The transit average of an arbitray function A is defined variously as

$$\bar{A} = \frac{\oint_{\alpha} d\ell A / v_{\parallel}}{\oint_{\alpha} d\ell / v_{\parallel}} = \frac{\oint_{\alpha} d\tau A}{\oint_{\alpha} d\tau} = \frac{\oint_{\alpha} d\vartheta A / v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\oint_{\alpha} d\vartheta / v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vartheta} = \frac{\Sigma \oint_{\alpha} dB A / v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B}{\Sigma \oint_{\alpha} dB / v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B}, \quad (3.16)$$

with $d\tau = d\ell / v_{\parallel} = d\vartheta / v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vartheta = dB / v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B$. The integrals are over a full bounce for trapped particles and over a complete poloidal circuit for passing. A sum Σ is inserted in integrals over all allowed B as a reminder that both "branches" or sides of the magnetic well must be integrated over (the two "branches" are on either side of the minimum \vec{B} in each identical stellarator cell).

The subscript α on an integral means that it is to be held fixed while performing the integration. In a transit averaged description passing particles trace out flux surfaces, and it can take a passing particle many toroidal circuits in a non-omnigenous magnetic field to return to close to its starting field line point (Helander 2014). In particular, the passing flux surface average of v_{\parallel} can be viewed as

$$\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^L d\ell v_{\parallel} B^{-1} / \int_0^L d\ell B^{-1} \right) = \left(\oint d\alpha \Sigma \oint dB v_{\parallel} / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B \right) / \left(\oint d\alpha \Sigma \oint dB / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B \right). \quad (3.17)$$

This expression is consistent with the usual definition of the flux surface average of any quantity A sampling the entire flux surface:

$$\langle A \rangle = \frac{\oint d\zeta \oint d\vartheta A / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \vartheta}{\oint d\zeta \oint d\vartheta / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \vartheta} = \frac{\oint d\zeta \oint d\vartheta A / B^2}{\oint d\zeta \oint d\vartheta / B^2} = \frac{\oint d\alpha \Sigma \oint dB A / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B}{\oint d\alpha \Sigma \oint dB / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B}. \quad (3.18)$$

The relation between transit and flux surface averages for passing and $A(\psi, \alpha, B, v, \lambda)$ is

$$\overline{A} \Big|_p = \langle BA / v_{\parallel} \rangle_p / \langle B / v_{\parallel} \rangle_p. \quad (3.19)$$

A few useful properties associated with a MHD equilibrium are briefly discussed next.

4. MHD equilibrium properties and radial drift

Some useful relations follow from conditions associated with force balance and ambipolarity. Force balance ($\vec{J} \times \vec{B} = c \nabla p$) and charge conservation ($\nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0$) require there be no radial current ($\vec{J} \cdot \nabla \psi = 0$), where $p = p_i + p_e$ is the total pressure and the current density is $\vec{J} = c B^{-2} \vec{B} \times \nabla p(\psi) + J_{\parallel} \vec{b}$. Ambipolarity, $\vec{J} \cdot \nabla \psi = 0$, requires $\nabla \psi \cdot \nabla \times \vec{B} = 0 = \nabla \cdot (\vec{B} \times \nabla \psi)$, giving

$$\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) = \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{B^2}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right), \quad (4.1)$$

Integrating along a field line (fixed α) on the flux surface traced out by the field line gives

$$\frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB' B'}{\vec{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} = X(\psi) = \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB' B'}{\vec{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right\rangle, \quad (4.2)$$

where $B_0^2 = \langle B^2 \rangle$ is chosen so that the lower limit will satisfy $\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B_0 = 0$ as desired. The α derivatives are always at fixed B unless otherwise noted, and $\partial B_0 / \partial \alpha = 0$. In an omnigenous system the lower limit B_0 of the integral over B can be replaced by another α independent value such as $\hat{B} = B_{\max}$ or $\check{B} = B_{\min}$. The last equality in (4.2), follows upon flux surface averaging.

As a result, ambipolarity always requires

$$\frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} = \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} - \left\langle \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle, \quad (4.3)$$

where the integrals over B are at fixed α , and F_0 is defined as

$$F_0 = \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB' B'}{\vec{b}' \cdot \nabla B'}. \quad (4.4)$$

Integrating over both branches on either side of the the single minimum $\check{B} = B_{\min}$ gives $\langle \partial F_0 / \partial \alpha \rangle = 0$ according to (1.3).

In Eqs. (4.2) and (4.4), the integrals are taken at fixed ψ and α (therefore following a field line) starting from a point where $B' = B_0$ and proceeding along the field to the point where $B' = B$. As already mentioned, in an omnigenous field, all level curves $B = B_0$ wrap around the torus poloidally, toroidally, or helically (Cary & Shasharina 1997a), so that it is indeed possible to find a point where $B = B_0$ on each field line within one period of the device. The field is allowed to be non-omnigenous, but only slightly so, in order that it still possesses this property. Otherwise it would be difficult to ascribe any precise meaning to these integrals.

Force balance and charge conservation require a geometrical function U proportional to J_{\parallel} to exist satisfying

$$\vec{B} \cdot \nabla(U/B) = \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B^{-2} = \nabla \cdot (B^{-2} \vec{B} \times \nabla \psi). \quad (4.5)$$

Rewriting using the divergence in ψ, α and B variables,

$$\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{U}{B} - \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{B^2 \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right) = -\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Using the same procedure to integrate over the flux surface traced out by a field line leads to

$$BU - \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} + B^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{B_0}} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB'}{\vec{B}' \cdot \nabla B'} = Y(\psi) B^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Flux surface averaging gives Y

$$Y(\psi) \langle B^2 \rangle = \langle BU \rangle - \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + \left\langle B^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{B_0}} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB'}{\vec{B}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right\rangle. \quad (4.8)$$

Eliminating Y yields

$$\frac{U}{B} - \frac{\langle BU \rangle}{\langle B^2 \rangle} = \left(\frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{B^2 \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{B_0}} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB'}{\vec{B}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right) - \frac{1}{\langle B^2 \rangle} \left(\left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle - \left\langle B^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{B_0}} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB'}{\vec{B}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right\rangle \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Terms in U/B that are only flux functions do not contribute to $\vec{B} \cdot \nabla(U/B)$. Therefore, picking

$$\langle BU \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle - \left\langle B^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{B_0}} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB'}{\vec{B}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right\rangle, \quad (4.10)$$

where the last term vanishes for an omnigenous flux surface due to (1.3), leaves

$$BU = \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} - B^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{B_0}} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB'}{\vec{B}' \cdot \nabla B'} = \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle + \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{B_0}} \int_{B_0}^B \frac{dB' (B'^2 - B^2)}{\vec{B}' \cdot \nabla B'}, \quad (4.11)$$

and the last form follows by using ambipolarity. As a result, BU is a flux function for a quasisymmetric flux surface, or when summed over both branches on an omnigenous surface. Otherwise, BU is nearly a flux function when $B^2 \gg \widehat{B}^2 - \check{B}^2$, where $\widehat{B} = B_{\max}$ and $\check{B} = B_{\min}$.

The next section formulates the drift kinetic equation in a particularly convenient form.

5. Drift kinetics for imperfectly optimized stellarators

To find the modifications to the Maxwellian it is convenient to let

$$f_1 = h(\vec{r}, \vec{v}) - Ze\phi_0/T, \quad (5.1)$$

then using form (3.12) for the radial drift, h satisfies

$$v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla h + \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla h - C\{h\} = - \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \right). \quad (5.2)$$

where radial nonlocality and tangential drift are included in $\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla h_p$ via $\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi \partial h_p / \partial \psi$ and $\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \alpha \partial h_p / \partial \alpha$, respectively. In writing (5.2), a $Z e \phi \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla (f_0 / T)$ correction to $\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_0$ is ignored as small. For radial transport calculations and in many simulations the local approximation $\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla h \rightarrow -\omega \partial h / \partial \alpha$ is employed. However, it will be shown in the next section that this replacement gives rise to spurious effects that will be considered in section 8. Consequently, the full drift is retained until section 8, although in making estimates only the $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift is retained.

Rewriting the preceding using (4.1) gives the form

$$v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \left(h + \frac{v_{\parallel} \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\Omega \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \right) + \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla h - C\{h\} = \frac{B \partial f_0}{\Omega \partial \psi} v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

and, thereby, the particularly convenient form

$$C\{h\} - \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla h = v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla H = v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla h + v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} = v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla h + \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi}, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$H \equiv h + \Delta \partial f_0 / \partial \psi, \quad (5.5)$$

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{v_{\parallel} \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\Omega \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \left(\left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle + \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} \right) - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha}, \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$\tilde{J} \equiv \frac{M c^B}{Z e \frac{v_{\parallel}}{B}} \int_{\frac{v_{\parallel}}{B}}^B \frac{dB' v_{\parallel}'}{\vec{b}' \cdot \nabla B'}, \quad (5.7)$$

with $v_{\parallel}' = v_{\parallel}(B', v, \lambda)$. The function \tilde{J} is related to, but not the same as, the second adiabatic invariant (1.4), for which $\partial J / \partial \alpha = 0$ on an omnigenous flux surface. The lower limit \vec{B} in \tilde{J} remains unspecified except that it must satisfy $\partial \vec{B} / \partial \alpha = 0$ to allow

$$\left(\int_{\vec{B}}^B dB' v_{\parallel}' \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\vec{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right) \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\int_{\vec{B}}^B \frac{dB' v_{\parallel}'}{\vec{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right). \quad (5.8)$$

The lack of precise omnigenicity means that $v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta$ no longer quite vanishes,

$$\overline{v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta} = \overline{v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} \right)} = \overline{v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial B} \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} F_0 - \tilde{J} \right) \right] \right\}} = \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \right) \neq 0, \quad (5.9)$$

as α dependence causes $\Sigma \partial F_0 / \partial \alpha \neq 0$ and $\Sigma \partial \tilde{J} / \partial \alpha \neq 0$ when summed over both branches.

The kinetic equation (5.4) agrees with the omnigenous form obtained from (3.12) by Helander & Nührenberg (2009) and Landreman & Catto (2012). They also write

$$\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi = v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta, \quad (5.10)$$

but make the replacement

$$\Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{\text{om}} \equiv \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + \int_{\vec{B}}^B dB' \frac{\partial F_0'}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial B'} \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}'}{\Omega'} \right), \quad (5.11)$$

where $\vec{B} = \hat{B} = B_{\text{max}}$ when $\lambda < B_0 / \hat{B}$, and $\vec{B} = B_0 / \lambda$ otherwise, as their choice of the lower limit.

To see the results here are consistent with their omnigenous results, integrate by parts to obtain

$$\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} = \int_{\bar{B}}^B dB' \frac{\partial F_0'}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial B'} \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}'}{\Omega'} \right) = -\frac{Bv}{2\Omega} \int_{\bar{B}}^B dB' \frac{\partial F_0'}{\partial \alpha} \frac{2 - \lambda B'/B_0}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda B'/B_0}}, \quad (5.12)$$

where $v_{\parallel}' = v_{\parallel}(B' = \bar{B}, v, \lambda) = 0$ is needed.

The omnigenous limit is recovered only if the lower limits used by Helander & Nührenberg (2009) and Landreman & Catto (2012) can be employed. The alternate form given here only reduces to their form (5.10) and (5.11) for an omnigenous flux surface. More generally, Δ must satisfy (5.6) with the lower limit \bar{B} of \tilde{J} equal to $\hat{B} = B_{\max}$ for the passing ($\lambda < B_0/\hat{B}$) and B_0/λ for the trapped ($B_0/B_{\min} = B_0/\bar{B} > \lambda > B_0/\hat{B}$) with a negligible population of transitional particles that spend time trapped in multiple wells.

In the next section the corrections to the Maxwellian are evaluated for a non-optimized stellarator by assuming that the population of transitional particles is small.

6. Solution for a weak departure from omnigenicity

The drift kinetic equation will be solved separately for the trapped (t) and passing (p), using subscripts t and p as necessary for clarity. A solution to the passing kinetic equation (5.4) is obtained by recalling (5.4) and (5.5), writing

$$H_p = \bar{H}_p + \tilde{H}_p, \quad (6.1)$$

where $\bar{H}_p \gg \tilde{H}_p$. Assuming streaming dominates, then to lowest order

$$v_{\parallel} \bar{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \bar{H}_p = 0, \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\bar{H}_p = \bar{H}_p(\psi, v, \lambda, \sigma). \quad (6.3)$$

To next order

$$v_{\parallel} \bar{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}_p = C\{h_p\} - \bar{v}_d \cdot \nabla h_p. \quad (6.4)$$

Upon transit averaging and using (3.19) the right side vanishes

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{B}}{v_{\parallel}} C\{h_p\} \right\rangle_p &= \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{B}}{v_{\parallel}} \bar{v}_d \cdot \nabla h_p \right\rangle_p = \left\langle \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{v_{\parallel}} \bar{v}_d h_p \right) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{V'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} V' \left[\left\langle (\bar{H}_p - \Delta_p \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi}) \frac{\mathbf{B}}{v_{\parallel}} \bar{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi \right\rangle \right], \\ &= \frac{1}{V'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} V' \left[\left\langle (\bar{H}_p - \Delta_p \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi}) \bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \Delta_p \right\rangle \right] = \frac{1}{V'} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} V' \left[\bar{H}_p \langle \bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \Delta_p \rangle - \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \langle \bar{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\Delta_p^2}{2} \right) \rangle \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where $\bar{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi = v_{\parallel} \bar{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \Delta_p$ and $V' = \oint d\zeta \oint d\vartheta / B^2$ are employed.

The preceding careful drift kinetic treatment retaining the full nonlocal behavior of the drift departure from a flux surface results in the constraint

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathbf{B}}{v_{\parallel}} C\{h_p\} \right\rangle_p = 0. \quad (6.6)$$

However, most simulations retain effects associated with solving for h when the approximation

$$\bar{v}_d \cdot \nabla h \rightarrow -\omega(\psi) \partial h / \partial \alpha \approx \omega(\psi) (\partial \Delta / \partial \alpha) \partial f_0 / \partial \psi, \quad (6.7)$$

is employed. When used in (6.5), it gives the specious constraint

$$\left\langle \frac{\mathbf{B}}{v_{\parallel}} C\{h_p\} \right\rangle \rightarrow \omega \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{B} \partial \Delta_p}{v_{\parallel} \partial \alpha} \right\rangle = \omega \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left[\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\Omega} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\mathbf{B} \partial^2 \tilde{J}}{v_{\parallel} \partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle \right]_p \neq 0. \quad (6.8)$$

As the departure from omnigenity is assumed weak ($\delta_{no} \ll \delta$) and the tangential drift ω and collision terms are small, it is tempting to perform the flux surface averages by assuming the flux surface is omnigenous to lowest order to make $\langle Bv_{\parallel}^{-1} \partial \Delta_p / \partial \alpha \rangle$ vanish. However, as the approximation (6.7) has been employed in most numerical simulations, integrating the right side of (6.8) by parts in α , results in B integrals of α derivatives of the $\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B$ from the flux surface averages. Therefore, any departure from omnigenity gives $\langle Bv_{\parallel}^{-1} \partial \Delta_p / \partial \alpha \rangle \neq 0$, which will contribute at very low collisionalities and lead to questionable behavior in the results for the flow and bootstrap current as discussed further in section 8.

Returning to the passing constraint (6.6) leads to the lowest order relation

$$h_p = \bar{H}_p - \Delta_p \partial f_0 / \partial \psi. \quad (6.9)$$

Using $\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B / \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B \sim BU \lesssim RB$, the transit averaged constraint $\langle Bv_{\parallel}^{-1} C\{h_p\} \rangle_p = 0$ suggests that for the ions

$$\frac{h_p}{f_0} \sim \frac{\bar{H}_p}{f_0} \sim \frac{\Delta_p}{RB_p a} \lesssim \frac{\rho_{pi}}{a}, \quad (6.10)$$

while the equation non-averaged equation (6.4) with $\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla h_p \rightarrow -\omega \partial h_p / \partial \alpha$ gives

$$\frac{\tilde{H}_p}{f_0} \sim \frac{(\omega + v_i)R}{v_i} \frac{\bar{H}_p}{f_0} \lesssim \frac{(\omega + v_i)R\rho_{pi}}{v_i a}, \quad (6.11)$$

where $(\omega + v_i)R \ll v_i$. Here and elsewhere, $\rho_{pi} = \rho_i B / B_p$, and ρ_i the ion gyroradius and B_p the poloidal magnetic field. As \bar{H}_p and Δ_p are odd in v_{\parallel} in (6.6), \tilde{H}_p from (6.4) will be even in v_{\parallel} .

Here and in the next section, the correct constraint equation (6.6) is solved by considering

$$0 = \left\langle \frac{B}{v_{\parallel}} C \left\{ \bar{H}_p - \frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \right\} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{B}{v_{\parallel}} C \left\{ \bar{H}_p - \frac{v_{\parallel} f_0}{\Omega T} \left(\frac{M_i v^2}{2T} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle \frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi} \right\} \right\rangle, \quad (6.12)$$

where conservation of momentum for ion-ion collisions, $C\{v_{\parallel} f_0\} = 0$, is employed to obtain the final form. As the flux surface average sums over both well branches, omnigenity is used to neglect $\Sigma \partial F_0 / \partial \alpha \approx 0$ and $\Sigma \partial \tilde{J} / \partial \alpha \approx 0$ as small compared to the large term retained. Within a geometric factor the preceding constraint equation is the same found as for a tokamak and for an omnigenous flux surface (Landreman & Catto, 2012).

To form the parallel flow and parallel current density the trapped equation must also be solved. In this case, the kinetic equation must be written in a form with the Δ terms appearing in the large parallel streaming term. Recalling (5.9) means that a non-omnigenous flux surface

$$\overline{\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi} = \overline{v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta_t} \neq 0, \quad (6.13)$$

so $\overline{v_{\parallel} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta_t}$ must be retained. Therefore, using

$$H_t = h_t + \Delta_t \partial f_0 / \partial \psi, \quad (6.14)$$

gives

$$\bar{H}_t = \bar{h}_t + \bar{\Delta}_t \partial f_0 / \partial \psi, \quad (6.15)$$

(and not $\bar{H}_t = \bar{h}_t$), where both $\bar{H}_t = \bar{H}_t(\psi, \alpha, v, \lambda)$ and $\bar{h}_t = \bar{h}_t(\psi, \alpha, v, \lambda)$ are even functions of v_{\parallel} , with $\vec{b} \cdot \nabla \bar{H}_t = 0 = \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \bar{h}_t$, $h_t - \bar{h}_t = \tilde{h}_t$, and $H_t - \bar{H}_t = \tilde{H}_t$. Moreover,

$$\tilde{H}_t = \tilde{h}_t + (\Delta_t - \bar{\Delta}_t) \partial f_0 / \partial \psi, \quad (6.16)$$

where

$$\tilde{h}_t \sim \Delta_t \partial f_0 / \partial \psi \lesssim \sqrt{\delta} \rho_{pi} f_0 / a. \quad (6.17)$$

The trapped equation,

$$C\{h_t\} - \bar{v}_d \cdot \nabla h_t = v_{\parallel} \bar{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{H}_t = v_{\parallel} \bar{b} \cdot \nabla (h_t + \Delta_t \partial f_0 / \partial \psi), \quad (6.18)$$

is transit averaged and $\bar{v}_d \cdot \nabla h_t \rightarrow -\omega \partial h_t / \partial \alpha$ employed to obtain the combined \sqrt{v} and $1/v$ form

$$\omega \partial \bar{h}_t / \partial \alpha + C\{\bar{h}_t\} = \overline{v_{\parallel} \bar{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta_t \partial f_0 / \partial \psi}, \quad (6.19)$$

where in the small tangential drift and collision terms on the left the lowest order omnigenous trapped orbits are used in the averages so \tilde{h}_t does not contribute. In the superbanana plateau regime the magnetic drift must be retained, but as this only alters the even part of the perturbed distribution function it does not affect the parallel flow and bootstrap current.

The assumption that streaming dominates means $(\omega + v) \ll v_{\parallel} / qR \sim v_{\parallel} / R$. As a result, using $\overline{v_{\parallel} \bar{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta_t} \sim \overline{\bar{v}_{VB} \cdot \nabla \psi} \sim \delta_{no} \rho_i v_i B_p$ and observing that the functions Δ_t and \bar{h}_t are even in v_{\parallel} yields the estimate

$$\frac{\bar{h}_t}{f_0} \lesssim \frac{\delta_{no} v_i \rho_i}{(\omega + v_i) Ra} \ll 1, \quad (6.20)$$

where $v_{\parallel}^2 \sim \delta v_i^2$ is not used as the non-omnigenous VB drift dominates in (5.6) with $\Delta \lesssim v_{\parallel} RB / \Omega$ from (3.13). The unaveraged form of the trapped equation (6.18) suggests

$$\frac{\tilde{H}_t}{\tilde{h}_t} \sim \frac{(\omega + v_i) R}{v_i \sqrt{\delta}} \ll 1, \quad (6.21)$$

so that \tilde{H}_t can be neglected as small in (6.16), giving

$$\tilde{h}_t = -(\Delta_t - \bar{\Delta}_t) \partial f_0 / \partial \psi \simeq -\Delta_t \partial f_0 / \partial \psi, \quad (6.22)$$

as $\bar{\Delta}_t$ is small. Allowing $\bar{h}_t \sim \tilde{h}_t$, (6.17) and (6.20) yield another constraint on $\delta_{no} \ll \delta$ of

$$\delta_{no} \sqrt{\delta} \sim \frac{(\omega + v_i) R}{v_i} \sim \frac{\rho_{pi}}{a} + \frac{R}{\lambda} \ll 1, \quad (6.23)$$

where the mean free path is $\lambda \sim v_i / \nu_i \gg R$ and $v_i \gg \omega R$.

Just as the departure from an omnigenous flux surface makes $\overline{v_{\parallel} \bar{b} \cdot \nabla \Delta_t} \neq 0$, the transit averaged radial trapped step $\bar{\Delta}_t$ no longer vanishes as

$$\bar{\Delta}_t = \frac{\overline{v_{\parallel} \bar{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}}{\Omega \bar{B} \cdot \nabla B} - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{v_{\parallel} \partial F_0}{\Omega \partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} \neq 0. \quad (6.24)$$

The trapped F_0 and \tilde{J} now depend on the field line label α so that $\langle B v_{\parallel}^{-1} \partial F_0 / \partial \alpha \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle \partial \tilde{J} / \partial \alpha \rangle \neq 0$. Moreover, the omnigenous choice for the lower limit \bar{B} of \tilde{J} for the trapped is no longer quite right when the maximum field on a field line varies from one end to the other and also depends on the field line.

As \bar{h}_t is even in v_{\parallel} it will not contribute to the parallel ion flow or the parallel current density, and there is no need to evaluate it to determine the bootstrap current.

To find the contributions to the parallel ion flow and parallel current density the passing constraint (6.12) must be solved. The solution procedure uses the linearized model like particle collision operator of Kovrizhnikh & Connor (Rosenbluth *et al.* 1972; Connor *et al.* 1973),

$$C\{g\} = \nu Q(\nu)[L\{g\} + \frac{M_i}{T} u v_{\parallel} f_0] = \nu Q(\nu)L\{g - \frac{M_i}{T} u v_{\parallel} f_0\}, \quad (6.25)$$

where L is the Lorentz operator

$$L\{g\} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\nu} \cdot [(\nu^2 \vec{I} - \vec{\nu} \vec{\nu}) \cdot \nabla_{\nu} g] = \frac{2B_0}{B\nu^2} v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (\lambda v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda}), \quad (6.26)$$

with $L\{v_{\parallel} f_0\} = -v_{\parallel} f_0$, and u the term retained to preserve collisional momentum conservation,

$$u = 3T \int d^3 v Q v_{\parallel} g / M_i \int d^3 v Q v^2 f_0. \quad (6.27)$$

The function Q is defined as

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{x^3} [(1 - \frac{1}{2x^2}) \text{erf}(x) + \frac{1}{2x} \frac{d}{dx} \text{erf}(x)], \quad (6.28)$$

with $x = v(M_i/2T)^{1/2}$, and $\text{erf}(x) = 2\pi^{-1/2} \int_0^x dt e^{-t^2}$ the error function. For ions

$$v_i \equiv \frac{\sqrt{2} \pi Z^4 e^4 n_i \ell n \Lambda}{M_i^{1/2} T_i^{3/2}} \rightarrow \frac{3\sqrt{2}\pi}{4} v_{ii}, \quad (6.29)$$

with $v_{ii} = 4\sqrt{\pi} Z^4 e^4 n_i \ell n \Lambda / 3M_i^{1/2} T_i^{3/2}$.

The flux surface averaged passing form is then

$$\langle \frac{B}{v_{\parallel}} C\{g\} \rangle = \frac{2\nu Q B_0}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} [\lambda \langle v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} (g - \frac{M_i}{T} u v_{\parallel} f_0) \rangle], \quad (6.30)$$

where based on constraint (6.12)

$$g = \bar{H}_p - \frac{v_{\parallel} f_0}{\Omega T} (\frac{M_i v^2}{2T} - \frac{5}{2}) \langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\Omega \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle \frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi}. \quad (6.31)$$

Integrating from $\lambda = 0$, and using $v_{\parallel} \partial v_{\parallel} / \partial \lambda = -B\nu^2 / 2B_0$ leads to

$$\langle \frac{v_{\parallel}}{f_0} \rangle_p \frac{\partial \bar{H}_p}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{M_i v^2}{2TB_0} \langle Bu \rangle - \frac{v^2}{2\Omega_0 T} (\frac{M_i v^2}{2T} - \frac{5}{2}) \langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle \frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi}, \quad (6.32)$$

where $\Omega_0 = ZeB_0/M_i c$ and

$$\langle Bu \rangle = 3TM_i^{-1} B^{-1} \int d^3 v Q v_{\parallel} \langle B^2 g \rangle / \int d^3 v Q v^2 f_0. \quad (6.33)$$

The second λ integration is performed to make g vanish at the trapped-passing boundary $\lambda = B_0 / \hat{B}$, with \hat{B} the maximum value of B on a flux surface, to find

$$\bar{H}_{pi} = \langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle (\frac{M_i v^2}{2T_i} - 1.33) \frac{\sigma v f_{0i}}{2\Omega_0 T_i} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi} \int_{\lambda}^{B_0/\hat{B}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\langle \sqrt{1 - \lambda' B / B_0} \rangle}. \quad (6.34)$$

Using (6.9), (6.14), (6.22), and (6.34) gives

$$\frac{f_{li}}{f_{0i}} - \frac{Ze\phi}{T_i} = \frac{h_i}{f_{0i}} = \frac{\bar{H}_{pi} + \bar{h}_{ti} + \tilde{H}_{ti} + \tilde{H}_{pi}}{f_{0i}} - \left[\frac{\Delta_p}{f_{0i}} + \frac{(\Delta_t - \bar{\Delta}_t)}{f_{0i}} \right] \frac{\partial f_{0i}}{\partial \psi} \simeq \frac{\bar{H}_{pi} + \bar{h}_{ti} + \tilde{H}_{pi}}{f_{0i}} - \frac{\Delta}{f_{0i}} \frac{\partial f_{0i}}{\partial \psi}, \quad (6.35)$$

where the last form neglects \tilde{H}_{ti} and $\bar{\Delta}_t$, and uses $\Delta = \Delta_p + \Delta_t$. Inserting Δ and the solution for \bar{H}_{pi} obtained by Landreman and Catto (2012) with the geometric and notational replacements

$$\frac{MI+NG}{M-qN} \rightarrow \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle, \quad (6.36)$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{1i}}{f_{0i}} = & \frac{\bar{H}_{\bar{u}_i} + \tilde{H}_{\bar{p}_i}}{f_{0i}} + \frac{Ze\phi}{T_i} - \left(\frac{v_{\parallel} \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\Omega \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} \right) \left[\frac{1}{p_i} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + \frac{Ze \partial \Phi}{T_i \partial \psi} + \left(\frac{M_i v^2}{2T_i} - \frac{5}{2} \right) \frac{1}{T_i} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi} \right] \\ & + \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle \left(\frac{M_i v^2}{2T_i} - 1.33 \right) \frac{\sigma v H(B_0/\hat{B} - \lambda) \partial T_i}{2\Omega_0 T_i \partial \psi} \int_{\lambda}^{B_0/\hat{B}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\langle \sqrt{1 - \lambda' B/B_0} \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (6.37)$$

where $\bar{H}_{\bar{u}_i}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\bar{p}_i}$ are unimportant for parallel flow as they must be even functions of v_{\parallel} with $\tilde{H}_{\bar{p}_i} \ll \bar{H}_{\bar{p}_i}$ with $\bar{H}_{\bar{p}_i}$ odd in v_{\parallel} . A Heaviside step function $H(B_0/\hat{B} - \lambda)$, with value one for $\lambda < B_0/\hat{B}$ and vanishing otherwise, is inserted to combine the trapped and passing forms.

The next section evaluates the parallel ion flow velocity and parallel current density associated with the perturbed distribution function f_{1i} given by (6.37).

7. Flow and current density for a weak departure from omnigenity

Determining the parallel ion velocity requires evaluating $\int d^3 v v_{\parallel} h_i = \int d^3 v v_{\parallel} (h_{\bar{p}_i} + h_{\bar{u}_i})$.

The procedure is straightforward to summarize. First, as $\bar{H}_{\bar{u}_i}$ and $\tilde{H}_{\bar{p}_i}$ are even in v_{\parallel} ,

$$\int d^3 v v_{\parallel} (\bar{H}_{\bar{u}_i} + \tilde{H}_{\bar{p}_i}) = 0. \quad (7.1)$$

Also, integrating

$$M_i \int d^3 v v_{\parallel}^2 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} = \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} + Zen \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right). \quad (7.2)$$

In addition, recalling the omnigenous result (5.12), using $d^3 v \rightarrow 2\pi(Bv^2/B_0\xi)dvd\lambda$ as all integrals are even in v_{\parallel} , and following the procedure below (49) in Landreman & Catto (2012),

$$\begin{aligned} M_i \int d^3 v v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} = & M_i \int d^3 v v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left[\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} + v^2 \int_{\bar{B}}^B dB' \frac{\partial F_0'}{\partial \alpha} \frac{(2 - \lambda B'/B_0)}{2\Omega' B' v'_{\parallel}} \right] = \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} + Zen \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) \\ & - \frac{\pi M_i B}{\Omega_0} \int_0^{\infty} dv v^4 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB'}{B'^2} \frac{\partial F_0'}{\partial \alpha} \int_0^{B_0/B'} d\lambda \frac{(2 - \lambda B'/B_0)}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda B'/B_0}} = \left(\frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{W}{\Omega} \right) \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} + Zen \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

where near omnigenity is used to write W as

$$W \equiv 2B^2 \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB'}{B'^3} \frac{\partial F_0'}{\partial \alpha}. \quad (7.4)$$

with the upper limit of $\bar{B} = B_{\max}$ to integrate over all B' . Moreover, (6.34) yields

$$M_i \int d^3 v v_{\parallel} \bar{H}_{\bar{p}_i} = \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle \frac{B f_c n_i}{B_0 \Omega_0} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi}, \quad (7.5)$$

where f_c is the usual effective passing fraction defined by

$$f_c = \frac{3}{4} \int_0^{B_0/\hat{B}} \frac{d\lambda \lambda}{\langle \sqrt{1 - \lambda B/B_0} \rangle^{QS}} \rightarrow 1 - 1.46\sqrt{\delta}. \quad (7.6)$$

The preceding evaluation makes use of

$$\int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} d\lambda \int_{\lambda}^{B_0/\bar{B}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\langle \sqrt{1-\lambda'B/B_0} \rangle} = \int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} \frac{d\lambda'}{\langle \sqrt{1-\lambda'B/B_0} \rangle} \int_0^{\lambda'} d\lambda = \int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} \frac{d\lambda'\lambda'}{\langle \sqrt{1-\lambda'B/B_0} \rangle} = \frac{4}{3}f_c, \quad (7.7)$$

and

$$\int d^3v v_{\parallel} \sigma v f_{0i} \left(\frac{M_i v^2}{2T_i} - 1.33 \right) = \frac{2\pi B}{B_0} \int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} d\lambda \int_0^{\infty} dv f_{0i} v^4 \left(\frac{M_i v^2}{2T_i} - 1.33 \right) = 1.17 \frac{3p_i B}{2M_i B_0}. \quad (7.8)$$

Combining these results, the parallel ion velocity, $V_{\parallel i}$, for a non-optimized stellarator is

$$n_i V_{\parallel i} = \int d^3v v_{\parallel} f_{1i} = \frac{-c}{ZeB} \left[\left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + W \right] \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Z n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) - 1.17 \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle \frac{B^2 f_c n_i}{\langle B^2 \rangle} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi}, \quad (7.9)$$

where $\langle \partial F_0 / \partial \alpha \rangle$ is neglected as the departure from omnigenity is weak. This result agrees Helander *et al.* (2017), but expresses the geometrical factors in a more compact way thanks to the assumption of near-omnigenity. It thus also reduces to the omnigenous limit found by Landreman and Catto (2012) (within minor notational differences).

Checking $\nabla \cdot \vec{V} = 0$ by recalling (4.6), and using

$$\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\int d^3v \frac{v_{\parallel} \partial \tilde{J}}{B \partial \alpha \partial \psi} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \right) = \vec{B} \cdot \nabla B \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \int d^3v \frac{v_{\parallel} \partial f_0}{B \partial \psi \partial B} \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial B} = \frac{M_i c}{Ze} \vec{b} \cdot \nabla B \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\vec{b} \cdot \nabla B} \right) \int d^3v v_{\parallel}^2 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi}, \quad (7.10)$$

gives the required result

$$n_i \vec{B} \cdot \nabla (V_{\parallel i} / B) = -\frac{c}{Ze} \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Z n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) \vec{B} \cdot \nabla (U/B) = -\frac{c}{Ze} \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Z n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) \nabla \cdot (B^{-2} \vec{B} \times \nabla \psi). \quad (7.11)$$

Writing

$$V_{\parallel i} = V_{\parallel i}^{\text{PS}} + B \langle B V_{\parallel i} \rangle / \langle B^2 \rangle, \quad (7.12)$$

and using lowest order omnigenity gives

$$n_i \langle B V_{\parallel i} \rangle = -\frac{c}{Ze} \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Z n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} - 1.17 f_c n_i \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi} \right), \quad (7.13)$$

so that the Pfirsch-Schlüter flow is

$$n_i V_{\parallel i}^{\text{PS}} = -\frac{c}{ZeB} \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Z n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) \left[\left(1 - \frac{B^2}{\langle B^2 \rangle} \right) \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + W \right]. \quad (7.14)$$

Nearly all the complicated geometric behavior is in the Pfirsch-Schlüter flow.

The treatment for the electrons is similar, but it must keep unlike electron-ion collisions as well as like collisions. The procedure is the same as for a tokamak, as summarized in Helander & Sigmar (2002), and an omnigenous stellarator, as employed in Landreman & Catto (2012). The results are consistent with the more geometrically involved general stellarator results of Helander *et al.* (2011 & 2017).

The bootstrap current for weakly non-optimized stellarators is most easily found from the Landreman & Catto (2012) form by recalling the replacement (3.13). Within minor notational differences the result is

$$\langle B J_{\parallel} \rangle = \frac{c(Z^2 + 2.2Z + 0.75)(1 - f_c)}{Z(Z + \sqrt{2})} \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle \left[\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial \psi} - \frac{(2.1Z + 0.88)n_e}{(Z^2 + 2.2Z + 0.75)} \frac{\partial T_e}{\partial \psi} - \frac{1.17n_e}{Z} \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi} \right]. \quad (7.15)$$

Only the geometrical coefficients of the bootstrap current differ from the forms of Landreman & Catto (2012) and Helander *et al.* (2017). When normalized to the axisymmetric tokamak result ($N = 0$ in the quasisymmetric limit) a geometric ratio is obtained,

$$\frac{\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel} \rangle}{\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel} \rangle_{\text{tok}}} = \frac{(1-f_c)}{(1-f_c)_{\text{tok}}} \frac{\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle}{\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \frac{\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle}{\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle} \sim \frac{1}{I(\psi)} \frac{\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle}{\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \rangle}, \quad (7.16)$$

where the last two forms assume a dominant N and M of amplitude $\delta \sim r/R = \varepsilon$. For a quasi-poloidally symmetric or, more generally, a quasi-isodynamic omnigenous, surface $\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel} \rangle = 0$.

The Pfirsch-Schlüter current must be consistent with $\nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0$ and

$$\text{J}_{\parallel} = \text{J}_{\parallel}^{\text{PS}} + \text{B} \langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel} \rangle / \langle \text{B}^2 \rangle, \quad (7.17)$$

giving

$$\text{J}_{\parallel}^{\text{PS}} = -\frac{c}{\text{B}} \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial \psi} \right) \left[\left(1 - \frac{\text{B}^2}{\langle \text{B}^2 \rangle} \right) \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + \text{W} \right], \quad (7.18)$$

where integrating by parts gives

$$\langle \text{W} \rangle \propto \Sigma \oint d\text{B} \oint \frac{d\alpha \text{W}}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \propto \Sigma \oint d\text{B} \oint d\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{b} \cdot \nabla \text{B}|} \right) \int_{\text{B}}^{\text{B}} \frac{d\text{B}'}{\text{B}'^3} \text{F}'_0, \quad (7.19)$$

which indeed vanishes for an omnigenous device as required by Eqs. (7.15), (7.17) and (7.18). In addition, $\text{J}_{\parallel}^{\text{PS}}$ satisfies

$$\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\text{J}_{\parallel}^{\text{PS}}}{\text{B}} \right) = -c \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + \frac{\partial p_e}{\partial \psi} \right) \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\text{B}^2} \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + \frac{\text{W}}{\text{B}^2} \right) = -\nabla \cdot \left[\frac{c}{\text{B}^2} \vec{B} \times \nabla (p_i + p_e) \right], \quad (7.20)$$

since using (4.5) and (4.6) leads to

$$\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{\text{B}^2} \left\langle \frac{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla B}{\vec{B} \cdot \nabla B} \right\rangle + \frac{\text{W}}{\text{B}^2} \right) = \vec{B} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\text{U}}{\text{B}} \right) = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\text{B}^2} \vec{B} \times \nabla \psi \right). \quad (7.21)$$

So far no collisionality dependence enters the parallel current, unlike the simulations (Beidler *et al.* 2011; Kernbichler *et al.* 2016) which typically exhibit dependence on ν and ω as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ for the bootstrap current. The geometric **ratio** obtained here, using momentum conserving like particle collision operators, is the same as that of the simulations which retain only pitch angle scattering (see Appendix). For more details on differences in coefficients of $\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel} \rangle$ and V_{\parallel} see the results of Helander *et al.* (2017) and Landreman *et al.* (2014). The second reference also shows that at about $\omega R / \nu_i \sim 0.3$ strong electric field effects begin to modify the trapped region of phase space as found by Kagan & Catto (2010).

The preceding expressions extend the quasisymmetric results of Helander *et al.* (2017) by having more compact and explicit (though less general) geometric coefficients while retaining tangential drift. They are obtained by a somewhat more general treatment of the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime than Helander *et al.* (2017) which only considers the bootstrap current in the Lorentz collision operator limit. In addition to the $\sqrt{\nu}$ regime, the results presented here are valid for superbanana plateau regime transport as only the portion of the perturbed distribution function that is even in ν_{\parallel} is altered for it. They reduce to the omnigenous limit (Landreman & Catto 2012) which is consistent with other earlier results (Shaing *et al.* 1989; Helander *et al.* 2011).

Collisional modifications in the presence of only a tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift as employed in most simulations are evaluated in the next section. This spurious drive is implied by the right side shown in (6.8). In the next section it will be shown to modify the ion flow and bootstrap current at weak collisionality. At finite $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ tangential drift, it offers a possible explanation for some of the discrepancy between simulations and the bootstrap result of (7.15).

8. A spurious non-omnigenous collisional tangential drift modification

At small collisionality and finite tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift, when the approximate drive term on the right side of (6.8) is retained as in most simulations, a spurious ion flow and bootstrap current is generated as will be shown in this section. In this limit an additional contribution to h_p , defined as $h_p^{\omega/\nu}$, is found by solving the equation suggested by (6.8), namely

$$\omega \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left\langle \frac{B}{v_{\parallel}} \frac{\partial \Lambda_p}{\partial \alpha} \right\rangle = \omega \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left\langle \left(\frac{B}{v_{\parallel}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left(\frac{v_{\parallel}}{\Omega} \frac{\partial F_0}{\partial \alpha} - \frac{\partial \tilde{J}}{\partial \alpha} \right) \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{B}{v_{\parallel}} C \{h_p^{\omega/\nu}\} \right\rangle, \quad (8.1)$$

with $h_p^{\omega/\nu} = \bar{H}_p^{\omega/\nu}$. Inserting \tilde{J}

$$\frac{2\nu Q B_0}{v^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left[\lambda \left\langle v_{\parallel} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left(h_p^{\omega/\nu} - \frac{M_i}{T} u v_{\parallel} f_0 \right) \right\rangle \right] = \frac{\omega B_0}{\Omega_0} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \left[\frac{B}{\sqrt{1-\lambda B/B_0}} \int_{\bar{B}}^B dB' \frac{\sqrt{1-\lambda B'/B_0}}{\bar{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \right] \right\rangle \right\}. \quad (8.2)$$

The right side can be written as a λ derivative by using Gradshteyn & Ryzhik (2007):

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-\lambda b'}}{\sqrt{1-\lambda b}} = \frac{1-\lambda b'}{\sqrt{1-\lambda(b+b')+\lambda^2 b b'}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left\{ \left(\frac{b-b'}{2b\sqrt{b b'}} \right) \ln [2\sqrt{b b'(1-\lambda b)(1-\lambda b')} + 2b b' \lambda - (b+b')] - \frac{\sqrt{(1-\lambda b)(1-\lambda b')}}{b} \right\} \quad (8.3)$$

where $b = B/B_0$ and $b' = B'/B_0$. Integrating once from $\lambda = 0$ gives

$$\lambda \left\langle \left\langle v_{\parallel} \right\rangle \frac{\partial h_p^{\omega/\nu}}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{M_i v^2 f_0}{2TB_0} \langle Bu \rangle \right\rangle = \frac{\omega B_0 v^2}{2\Omega_0 \nu Q} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{B_0} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB'}{\bar{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \left\{ 1 - \frac{B-B'}{2\sqrt{B B'}} \ln \left[\frac{2\sqrt{B B'} - B - B'}{B_0} \right] \right\} \right\rangle \right. \\ \left. + \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB'}{\bar{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \left\{ \sqrt{(1-\lambda b)(1-\lambda b')} - \left(\frac{B-B'}{2\sqrt{B B'}} \right) \ln \left\{ 2\sqrt{b b'(1-\lambda b)(1-\lambda b')} + 2b b' \lambda - (b+b') \right\} \right\} \right\rangle \right\} \quad (8.4)$$

Neglecting $B-B'$ terms as small in δ to simplify the analysis, for the moment, gives

$$\left\langle \left\langle v_{\parallel} \right\rangle_p \frac{\partial h_p^{\omega/\nu}}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{M_i v^2 f_0}{2TB_0} \langle Bu \rangle \right\rangle \approx \frac{\omega v^2}{2\Omega_0 \nu Q} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left\{ \left\langle \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} \left[F_0 - \frac{B_0}{\lambda} \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB'}{\bar{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \left(1 - \frac{v_{\parallel} v'_{\parallel}}{v^2} \right) \right] \right\rangle \right\} \quad (8.5)$$

where now

$$u = (3T \int d^3 v Q v_{\parallel} h_p^{\omega/\nu}) / (M_j \int d^3 v Q v^2 f_0) = -(3T \int d^3 v Q v_{\parallel} \lambda \partial h_p^{\omega/\nu} / \partial \lambda) / (M_j \int d^3 v Q v^2 f_0). \quad (8.6)$$

A second integration (making $h_p^{\omega/\nu}$ vanish at the trapped-passing boundary) is not needed. Notice that $h_p^{\omega/\nu} \lesssim \delta_{no} \omega \rho_{pi} f_0 / v_i a$ exhibits ω/ν behavior when $\omega \gg v_i$, but no $\sqrt{\nu}$ dependence. For the estimates of this section $\Omega^{-1} v_{\parallel} \partial F_0 / \partial \alpha \sim \partial \tilde{J} / \partial \alpha$ and $F_0 \lesssim RB$ are allowed.

To determine the $h_p^{\omega/\nu}$ modification in the bootstrap current requires evaluating new parallel ion flow velocity contribution $V_{\parallel i}^{\omega/\nu}$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
n_i \langle B V_{\parallel i}^{\omega/v} \rangle &\equiv \langle B \int d^3 v v_{\parallel} h_p^{\omega/v} \rangle_p = - \langle \frac{B_0^2}{B} \int d^3 v v_{\parallel} \lambda \frac{\partial h_p^{\omega/v}}{\partial \lambda} \rangle_p = \frac{M_i \langle B u \rangle}{2T} \langle \frac{B_0}{B} \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} f_0 \rangle_p \\
&- \frac{\omega B_0^2}{2v \Omega_0} \langle \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} Q \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \alpha^2} [F_0 - \frac{B_0}{\lambda} \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB'}{\bar{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} (1 - \frac{v_{\parallel} v'_{\parallel}}{v^2})] \right\} \rangle_p .
\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

Using $d^3 v \rightarrow 2\pi(Bv^3/B_0|v_{\parallel}|)dv d\lambda$, recalling (7.6), and defining the velocity space average

$$\{Q^k\} \equiv (M_j/3p_j) \int d^3 v v^2 Q^k f_0 = (\int_0^{\infty} dx Q^k x^4 e^{-x^2}) / (\int_0^{\infty} dx x^4 e^{-x^2}), \tag{8.8}$$

with $k = -1, 0$, and $+1$, gives

$$\langle \frac{B_0}{B} \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2 f_0}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} \rangle_p = 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dv v^4 f_0 \int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} \frac{d\lambda \lambda}{\langle \sqrt{1-\lambda B/B_0} \rangle_p} = \frac{2}{3} f_c \int d^3 v v^2 f_0 = \frac{2f_c p_j}{M_j}, \tag{8.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \frac{B_0}{B} \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} Q \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \rangle_p &= 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dv \frac{v^4}{Q} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} \frac{d\lambda \lambda}{\langle \sqrt{1-\lambda B/B_0} \rangle_p} = \frac{2}{3} f_c \int d^3 v \frac{v^2}{Q} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \\
&= \frac{2f_c}{M_j} \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{Q} \right\} \left(\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial \psi} + Z e n \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) + \left\{ \frac{M_j v^2 - 5T}{2TQ} \right\} n \frac{\partial T}{\partial \psi} \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{8.10}$$

To obtain an analytically tractable estimate the last term in (8.7) is simplified further by using the freely passing expansion $\lambda^{-1} B_0 (1 - v^{-2} v_{\parallel} v'_{\parallel}) \simeq (B + B')/2$ for now. Then the resulting approximation can be added to F_0 and treated in the same way by using

$$G_0 \equiv F_0 - \lambda^{-1} B_0 \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB'}{\bar{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} (1 - \frac{v_{\parallel} v'_{\parallel}}{v^2}) \simeq F_0 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\bar{B}}^B \frac{dB' (B' + B)}{\bar{b}' \cdot \nabla B'}, \tag{8.11}$$

where the fact that lower limit of the F_0 integral is B_0 , and not $\bar{B} = \hat{B}$, is unimportant since only α derivatives of G_0 enter.

Also needed is (8.6) which gives

$$\langle B u \rangle \left[\int d^3 v Q v^2 f_0 - \frac{3}{2} \langle \frac{B_0}{B} \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} Q f_0 \rangle_p \right] = - \frac{3B_0 \omega T}{2M_i v} \langle \int d^3 v \frac{\lambda v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial \Delta_p}{\partial \alpha} \rangle_p, \tag{8.12}$$

where

$$\langle \frac{B_0}{B} \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2 Q f_0}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} \rangle_p = 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dv v^4 Q f_0 \int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} \frac{d\lambda \lambda}{\langle \sqrt{1-\lambda B/B_0} \rangle_p} = \frac{2}{3} f_c \int d^3 v v^2 Q f_0 \equiv \frac{2f_c p_j}{M_j} \{Q\}. \tag{8.13}$$

Also, noticing that

$$\langle \frac{B_0}{B} \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \rangle_p = 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} dv v^4 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \int_0^{B_0/\bar{B}} \frac{d\lambda \lambda}{\langle \sqrt{1-\lambda B/B_0} \rangle_p} = \frac{2}{3} f_c \int d^3 v v^2 \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} = \frac{2f_c}{M_i} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} + Z e n \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right). \tag{8.14}$$

gives upon using (8.12)

$$\langle \frac{B_0}{B} \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \frac{\partial^2 G_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \rangle_p \simeq \frac{2f_c}{M_i} \langle \frac{\partial^2 G_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \rangle \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} + Z e n \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right), \tag{8.15}$$

Substituting in yields

$$\langle \text{Bu} \rangle = \frac{-f_c c \omega}{Ze(1-f_c) v n \{Q\}} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 F_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \psi} + Ze n \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) \lesssim B \frac{\delta_{no} \omega \rho_{pi} v}{v_i a}. \quad (8.16)$$

The collisional bootstrap modification $\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel}^{\omega/v} \rangle$ to be added to (7.15) is then

$$\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel}^{\omega/v} \rangle \equiv Ze n_i \langle \text{BV}_{\parallel i}^{\omega/v} \rangle = \frac{c f_c \omega}{(1-f_c) v_i} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 G_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle \left[\left(\frac{1-f_c}{Q} \right) - \frac{f_c}{\{Q\}} \right] \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Ze n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right) + (1-f_c) \left\{ \frac{M_i v^2 - 5 T_i}{2 T_i Q} \right\} n_i \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi}, \quad (8.17)$$

where $\langle \partial^2 G_0 / \partial \alpha^2 \rangle$ as given by (8.12) only vanishes for an omnigenous flux surface, and $\{Q\} = 0.4$ and $\{Q^{-1}\} = 5.4$ (Catto *et al.* 2001). Upon dividing by Ze , $n_i \langle \text{BV}_{\parallel i}^{\omega} \rangle$ is to be added to the parallel ion velocity expression (7.13). Forming the ratio of (8.17) divided by (7.15) gives

$$\frac{\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel}^{\omega/v} \rangle}{\langle \text{BJ}_{\parallel} \rangle} \sim \frac{\delta_{no} \omega}{\delta v_i}, \quad (8.18)$$

and therefore a spurious modification of the bootstrap current. This modification should be present in simulations that use the radially local form of the drift kinetic equation and does not, in general, vanish on imperfectly quasi-poloidally symmetric or quasi-isodynamic surfaces.

To remove the approximation (8.11)-(8.12) in (8.17) the substitution

$$G_0 \Rightarrow F_0 - \left\langle \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} v^2}{\langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \int_{\mathbb{B}} \frac{dB'}{\mathbf{b}' \cdot \nabla B'} \left(1 - \frac{v_{\parallel} v'_{\parallel}}{v^2} \right) \right\rangle_p / \left\langle \int d^3 v \frac{v_{\parallel} \lambda v^2}{B \langle v_{\parallel} \rangle_p} \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \psi} \right\rangle_p, \quad (8.19)$$

is required. A full generalization can be made similarly by retaining the $B - B'$ terms of (8.4).

The spurious collisional, non-omnigenous, tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift modification is more important for the ions than the electrons, and dominates for $\omega \delta_{no} / v_i \gg \delta$. It introduces unphysical radial electric field dependence through both the tangential drift frequency ω and a new $\partial \Phi / \partial \psi$ force term in (8.17) so that both ω and ω^2 terms enter. It is perhaps responsible for some of the $\partial \Phi / \partial \psi$ dependence observed in simulations at small collisionalities (Beidler *et al.* 2011). However, it does not explain the discrepancy between analytical and numerical results as $\omega = c \partial \Phi / \partial \psi \rightarrow 0$. This behavior must be due to the transitional particles that spend time in multiple wells and are present, at least to some degree, in all the configurations considered in Beidler *et al.* (2011). The evaluation of the transport and parallel flow associated with these transitional particles are beyond the scope of the treatment presented here.

The new term considered in this section results in no fluxes from $\langle \int d^3 v h_p^{\omega/v} \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle$ and $\langle \int d^3 v h_p^{\omega/v} (M_i v^2 / 2) \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle$, and no frictional particle flux as $\int d^3 v v_{\parallel} C \{ h_p^{\omega/v} \} = 0$ for ion-ion collisions; however, it will give rise to a tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift dependent, but collision frequency independent heat flux, $\langle \int d^3 v h_p^{\omega/v} (M v^2 / 2) \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \psi \rangle = (Mc / Ze) \langle U \int d^3 v (M v^2 / 2) v_{\parallel} C \{ h_p^{\omega/v} \} \rangle$. To see there is such a flux, the solenoidal vector $\vec{h} = B^{-2} \vec{B} \times \nabla \psi + U \vec{b}$ is introduced (Simakov & Helander 2009) and the $\vec{h} \cdot \vec{v} v^2 / 2$ moment of the Fokker-Planck equation formed. The absence of an impact on the radial particle flux is consistent with the Beidler *et al.* (2011) simulations.

9. Discussion

The streamlined derivation of the bootstrap current and the parallel ion velocity presented here is possible because the problem is formulated in a way that allows all of the odd terms in v_{\parallel} to be obtained by evaluating them as part of the leading order corrections to the Maxwellian. As a result, the only other terms that enter in lowest order are trapped terms and they are all even in v_{\parallel} . The procedure results in a parallel ion flow velocity (7.9) consistent with force balance and continuity, and leads to convenient expressions for the Pfirsch-Schlüter parallel ion velocity (7.14) and parallel current density (7.18). The bootstrap current (7.15) and bootstrap contribution to the parallel ion velocity (7.13) have compact and explicit geometric coefficients that agree with the previous collisionless forms of Helander *et al.* (2017 & 2011) and Landreman & Catto (2012), which are both consistent with Shaing *et al.* (1989). As the Helander *et al.* (2017) bootstrap current expression is derived in the Lorentz collision operator limit for the \sqrt{v} regime, the result presented here, (7.15), is the most general expression derived to date as it is valid in the $1/v$, \sqrt{v} , and superbanana plateau regimes.

Perhaps more importantly, a new, but spurious, collisional modification to the ion parallel flow velocity and bootstrap current given by (8.17) and (8.19) (or its further generalization) is found that can become substantial at low collisionalities whenever there is a tangential $\vec{E} \times \vec{B}$ drift and the magnetic field is not perfectly omnigenous. In addition to the dependence of (8.17) on the tangential drift frequency, $\omega = c \partial \Phi / \partial \psi$, there is a new force term depending on $\partial \Phi / \partial \psi$, so terms both linear and quadratic in ω occur so exhibits a sensitivity to $\partial \Phi / \partial \psi$ as observed in the simulations. This new ω/v_i contribution should be present in numerical solutions of the drift kinetic equation and may be a part of the reason these sometimes do not agree with previous analytical expressions. Unfortunately, it does not explain the discrepancy between analytical and numerical results as $\omega = c \partial \Phi / \partial \psi \rightarrow 0$. The disagreement in this limit is presumably due to transitional particles that de-trap and re-trap in multiple wells and act to widen the boundary layer width between at the trapped-passing boundary. These transitional particles are expected to arise when the departure from omnigenicity becomes significant. However, the spurious ω/v_i contribution is an artifact of the radially local approximation of the drift kinetic equation that is usually employed in analytical theory as well as in neoclassical codes. It disappears when the effect of the full drift velocity on the perturbed distribution function is retained in the kinetic equation. This result is yet another indication that it is not always logically consistent to treat neoclassical transport in stellarators as a radially local process.

At low collisionalities, estimates indicate that the transitional ions widen the trapped-passing boundary layer to introduce a regime linear in v_* (Beidler *et al.* 2011; Catto 2019) that cannot be treated by the procedures herein.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported the US Department of Energy grant DE-FG02-91ER-54109.

Appendix: Lorentz forms

The Lorentz operator form of the results for (8.17) and (7.15) are

$$\langle \mathbf{B} \mathbf{J}_{\parallel}^{\omega/\nu} \rangle_L \equiv Z e n_i \langle \mathbf{B} \mathbf{V}_{\parallel i}^{\omega/\nu} \rangle_L = \frac{c f_c \omega}{v_i} \left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{G}_0}{\partial \alpha^2} \right\rangle \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{Q} \right\} \left\langle \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Z e n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right\rangle + \left\{ \frac{M_i v^2 - 5 T_i}{2 T_i Q} \right\} n_i \frac{\partial T_i}{\partial \psi} \right], \quad (\text{A.1})$$

and

$$\langle \mathbf{B} \mathbf{J}_{\parallel} \rangle_L = c (1 - f_c) \left\langle \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial \psi} + Z e n_i \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \psi} \right\rangle \left\langle \frac{\vec{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \psi \times \nabla \mathbf{B}}{\vec{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}} \right\rangle + \langle \mathbf{B} \mathbf{J}_{\parallel}^{\omega/\nu} \rangle_L. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

References

- ANDERSON, F., ALMAGRI, A., ANDERSON, D., MATTHEWS, P., TALMADGE, J. & SHOHET, J. 1995 The Helically Symmetric Experiment, (HSX) goals, design and status. *Fusion Technol.* **27**, 273-277.
- ANTONSEN, T. M. & CHU, M. S. 1982 Radio frequency current generation by waves in toroidal geometry. *Phys. Fluids* **25**, 1295-1296.
- BEIDLER, C. D., ALLMAIER, K., ISAEV, M. Y., KASILOV, S. V., KERNBICHLER, W., LEITOLD, G. O., MAABBERG, H., MIKKELSEN, D. R., MURAKAMI, S., SCHMIDT, M., SPONG, D. A., TRIBALDOS, V. & WAKASA, A. 2011 Benchmarking of the mono-energetic transport coefficients - results from the International Collaboration on Neoclassical Transport in Stellarators (ICNTS). *Nucl. Fusion* **51** (7), 076001 (28pp).
- BEIDLER, C. D. & D'HAESELEER, W. D. 1995 A general solution of the ripple-averaged kinetic equation (GSRAKE). *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **37**, 463-490.
- BEIDLER, C. D., GRIEGER, G., HERMEGGER, F., HAMEYER, E., KISSLINGER, J., LOTZ, W., MAASSBERG, H., MERKEL, P., NÜHRENBURG, J., RAU, F., SAPPER, J., SARDEI, F., SCARDOVELLI, R., SCHÜLTER, A., & WOBIG, H. 1990 Physics and engineering design for Wendelstein VII-X. *Fusion Technol.* **17**, 148-168.
- BOOZER, A. H. 1981 Plasma equilibrium with rational magnetic surfaces. *Phys. Fluids* **24**, 1999-2003.
- BOOZER, A. H. 1995 Quasi-helical symmetry in stellarators. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **37**, A103-117.
- CALVO, I., PARRA, F. I., VELASCO, J. L. & ALONSO, J. A. 2017 The effect of tangential drifts on neoclassical transport in stellarators close to omnigenity. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **59**, 055014 (19pp).
- CARY, J. R. & SHASHARINA, S. G. 1997a Omnigenity and quasihelicity in helical plasma confinement systems. *Phys. Plasmas* **4**, 3323-3333.
- CARY, J. R. & SHASHARINA, S. G. 1997b Helical plasma confinement devices with good confinement properties. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 674-677.
- CATTO, P. J. 2019 Collisional alpha transport in a weakly non-quasisymmetric stellarator magnetic field. *J. Plasmas Physics* **85**, ???.
- CATTO, P. J., HASTIE, R. J., HUTCHINSON, I. H. & HELANDER, P. 2001 Effect of the inductive electric field on ion flow in tokamaks. *Phys. Plasmas* **8**, 3334-3341.

- CONNOR, J. W., GRIMM, R. C., HASTIE, R. J. & KEEPING, P. M. 1973 The conductivity of a toroidal plasma. *Nuclear Fusion* **13**, 211-214.
- GALEEV, A. A., SAGDEEV, R. Z., FURTH, H. P. & ROSENBLUTH, M. N. 1969 Plasma diffusion in a toroidal stellarator. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **22**, 511-514.
- GARREN, D. A. & BOOZER, A. H. 1991 Existence of quasi-helically symmetric stellarators. *Phys. Fluids B* **3**, 2822-2834.
- GRADSHTEYN, I. S. & RYZHIK, I. M. 2007 *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 7th edn. pp. 93-94. Elsevier/Academic.
- GRIEGER, G., LOTZ, W., MERKEL, P., NÜHRENBURG, J., SAPPER, J., STRUMBERGER, E., WOBIG, H., the W7-X TEAM, BURHENN, V., ERCKMANN, V., GASPARINO, U., GIANNONE, L., HARTFUSS, H. J., JAENICKE, R., KÜHNER, G., RINGLER, H., WELLER, A., WAGNER, K. & the W7-AS TEAM. 1992 Physics optimization of stellarators. *Phys. Fluids B* **4**, 2081-2091.
- HAZELTINE, R. D. 1973 Recursive derivation of the drift-kinetic equation. *Plasma Physics* **15**, 77-80.
- HELANDER, P. 2014 The theory of plasma confinement in non-axisymmetric magnetic fields. *Rep. Progress Phys.* **77**, 087001 (35 pp).
- HELANDER, P., GEIGER, J. & MAABERG, H. 2011 On the bootstrap current in stellarators and tokamaks. *Phys. Plasmas* **18**, 092505 (8pp).
- HELANDER, P. & NÜHRENBURG, J. 2009 Bootstrap current and neoclassical transport in quasi-isodynamic stellarators. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **51**, 055004 (9pp).
- HELANDER, P., PARRA, F. I. & NEWTON, S. L. 2017 Stellarator bootstrap current and plasma flow velocity at low collisionality. *J. Plasma Phys.* **83**, 905830206 (25pp).
- HELANDER, P. & SIGMAR, D. J. *Collisional transport in magnetized plasmas* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom 2002) pp191-195 & 206-207.
- HENNEBERG, S. A., DREVLAK, M., NÜHRENBURG, C., BEIDLER, C. D., TURKIN, Y., LOIZU, J. & HELANDER, P. 2019 Properties of a new quasi-axisymmetric configuration, *Nucl. Fusion* **59**, 026014 (11pp).
- HO, D. D-M. & KULSRUD, R. M. , 1987 Neoclassical transport in stellarators, *Phys. Fluids* **30**, 442-461.
- KAGAN, G. & CATTO, P. J. 2010 Neoclassical ion heat flux and poloidal flow in a tokamak pedestal. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **52**, 055004 (19pp).
- KERNBICHLER, W., KASILOV, S. V., KAPPER, G., MARTITSCH, A. F., NEMOV, V. V., ALBERT, C. & HEYN, M. F. 2016 Solution of drift kinetic equation in stellarators and tokamaks with broken symmetry using the code NEO-2. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **58**, 104001 (15pp).
- LANDREMAN, M. & CATTO, P. J. 2012 Omnigenity as generalized quasisymmetry. *Phys. Plasmas* **19**, 056103 (16pp).
- LANDREMAN, M. & SENGUPTA, W. 2018 Direct construction of optimized stellarator shapes. Part 1. Theory in cylindrical coordinates. *J. Plasma Physics* **84**, 905840616 (22pp).

- LANDREMAN, M., SENGUPTA, W. & PLUNK, G. G. 2019 Direct construction of optimized stellarator shapes. Part 2. Numerical quasisymmetric solutions. *J. Plasma Physics* **85**, submitted (22pp).
- LANDREMAN, M., SMITH, H. M., MOLLEN, A. & HELANDER, P. 2014 Comparison of particle trajectories and collision operators for collisional transport in nonaxisymmetric plasmas. *Physics Plasmas* **21**, 042503-16.
- MATSUOKA, SATAKE, KANNO & SUGAMA, 2015 Effects of magnetic drift tangential to magnetic surfaces on neoclassical transport in non-axisymmetric plasmas. *Physics Plasmas* **22**, 072511-13.
- NÜHRENBERG, J. & ZILLE, R. 1988 Quasi-helically symmetric toroidal stellarators. *Phys. Lett. A* **129**, 113-117.
- NÜHRENBERG, J. 2010 Development of quasi-isodynamic stellarators. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **52**, 124003 (7pp).
- PLUNK, G. G. & HELANDER, P. 2018 Quasi-axisymmetric equilibria: weakly non-axisymmetric case in a vacuum. *J. Plasma Phys.* **84**, 905840205 (18pp).
- ROSENBLUTH, M. N., HAZELTINE, R. D. & HINTON, F. L. 1972 Plasma transport in toroidal confinement systems. *Physics Fluids* **15**, 116-140 (see Appendix A).
- SHAING, K. C., 2015 Superbanana and superbanana plateau transport in finite aspect ratio tokamaks with broken symmetry. *J. Plasma Phys.* **81**, 905810203; 12pp.
- SHAING, K. C., CARRERAS, B. A., DOMINGUEZ, N., LYNCH, V. E. & TOLLIVER, J. S. 1989 Bootstrap current control in stellarators. *Phys. Fluids B* **1**, 1663-1670.
- SIMAKOV, A. N. & CATTO, P. J. 2005 Drift kinetic equation exact through second order in gyro-radius expansion. *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 012105-9.
- SIMAKOV, A. N. & HELANDER, P. 2009 Neoclassical momentum transport in a collisional stellarator and a rippled tokamak. *Phys. Plasmas* **16**, 042503-8.