

GRADED RINGS OF INTEGRAL JACOBI FORMS

VALERY GRITSENKO AND HAOWU WANG

ABSTRACT. We determine the structure of the bigraded ring of weak Jacobi forms with integral Fourier coefficients. This ring is the target ring of a map generalising the Witten and elliptic genera and a partition function of $(0, 2)$ -model in string theory. We also determine the structure of the graded ring of all weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight zero and integral index with integral Fourier coefficients. These forms are the data for Borcherds products for the Siegel paramodular groups.

1. INTRODUCTION: JACOBI MODULAR FORMS AS PARTITION FUNCTIONS

Jacobi modular forms of different types appear in number theory, geometry, physics and the theory of affine Lie algebras as special partition functions. For example, the elliptic genus of any complex variety with trivial first Chern class is a weak Jacobi form of weight 0 with integral Fourier coefficients (see [7], [14], [16]). This Jacobi form generates an automorphic Borcherds product determining the second quantised elliptic genus of Calabi-Yau manifolds (see [5], [7], [9]) and partition functions of some string models defined on Calabi-Yau manifolds with elliptic fibrations. More generally one can define a partition function for a vector bundle over a complex manifold. This function is also a meromorphic function of Jacobi type (see [8]).

Let $M = M_d$ be an (almost) complex compact manifold of (complex) dimension d and $E = E_r$ be a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r over M . We set $q = \exp(2\pi i\tau)$ and $\zeta = \exp(2\pi iz)$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $K(M)$ be the class group of vector bundles on the variety M . One can define a formal series $\mathbf{E}_{q,\zeta} \in K(M)[[q, \zeta^{\pm 1}]]$

$$\mathbf{E}_{q,\zeta} = \bigotimes_{n=0}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{-\zeta^{-1}q^n} E^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{-\zeta q^n} E \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{q^n} T_M^* \otimes \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{q^n} T_M,$$

where E^* is dual to E , T_M denotes the holomorphic tangent bundle of M , \wedge^k is the k^{th} exterior power, S^k is the k^{th} symmetric product, and

$$\bigwedge_x E = \sum_{k \geq 0} (\wedge^k E) x^k, \quad S_x E = \sum_{k \geq 0} (S^k E) x^k.$$

Date: April 30, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F46, 11F50, 58J26.

Key words and phrases. Jacobi forms, integral Fourier expansion, Witten and elliptic genera, Jacobi-Eisenstein series, Borcherds products.

The modified Witten genus (see [8]) of $E_r \rightarrow M_d$ is a function of two variables $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\chi(M_d, E_r; \tau, z) = q^{(r-d)/12} \zeta^{r/2} \int_{M_d} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(c_1(E_r) - c_1(T_{M_d}))\right) \cdot \exp\left(\left(p_1(E_r) - p_1(T_{M_d})\right) \cdot G_2(\tau)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{c_1(E_r)}{2\pi i} \frac{\vartheta_z}{\vartheta}(\tau, z)\right) \text{ch}(\mathbf{E}_{q,\zeta}) \text{td}(T_{M_d}),$$

where $c_1(E_r)$ and $p_1(E_r)$ are the first Chern and Pontryagin classes of E_r , td is the Todd class, $\text{ch}(\mathbf{E}_{q,\zeta})$ is the Chern character applied to each coefficient of the formal power series, and \int_M denotes the evaluation of the top degree differential form on the fundamental cycle of the manifold. In the definition of $\chi(M_d, E_r; \tau, z)$ we use the odd Jacobi theta-series of level two (see [15])

$$(1.1) \quad \vartheta(\tau, z) = q^{1/8} (\zeta^{1/2} - \zeta^{-1/2}) \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n \zeta)(1 - q^n \zeta^{-1})(1 - q^n),$$

where $\vartheta_z(\tau, z) = \frac{\partial \vartheta(\tau, z)}{\partial z}$ and $G_2(\tau) = -\frac{1}{24} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_1(n) q^n$ is the quasi-modular Eisenstein series of weight 2.

Examples. 1. Let $E = 0$ be the trivial vector bundle of rank 0. Let us assume that M admits a spin structure and $p_1(M) = 0$. In this case, the partition function is equal to the Witten genus (see [18]), up to division by a power of the Dedekind η -function,

$$q^{d/12} \chi(M, 0; \tau, z) = \frac{\text{Witten genus}(M, \tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{2d}}.$$

It is known that the Witten genus is a $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -modular form of weight $2d$.

2. Let M be a complex compact manifold, $E = T_M$, and $c_1(T_M) = 0$. Then this partition function coincides with the elliptic genus of M_d , i.e. the holomorphic Euler characteristic of $\mathbf{E}_{q,\zeta}$ ([1], [7], [14])

$$\chi(M, T_M; \tau, z) = \phi_{\text{ell}}(M; \tau, z) = \zeta^{d/2} \int_M \text{ch}(\mathbf{E}_{q,\zeta}) \text{td}(T_M).$$

It is known that the last function is a weak Jacobi form of weight 0 and index $d/2$ (see §2.1 below). The target ring for the elliptic genus is the graded ring $J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ of all weak Jacobi forms of weight zero and integral index with integral Fourier coefficients. The ring $J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ has four generators over \mathbb{Z} with one torsion relation between them (see [7]).

The function $\chi(M_d, E_r; \tau, z)$ may have a pole only at $z = 0$. It is holomorphic if $r \geq d$ or $c_1(E) = 0$ (over \mathbb{R}). If $r < d$ then the modified function $\eta(\tau)^{r-d} \vartheta(\tau, z)^{d-r} \chi(M_d, E_r, \tau, z)$ is a weak Jacobi form of weight 0 and index $d/2$. For example, if $c_1(E_2) = \hat{A}(M_6) = 0$ and $p_1(E_2) = p_1(T_{M_6})$, then

$$\chi(M_6, E_2; \tau, z) = -\hat{A}(M_6, E_2) E_{4,1}(\tau, z) \eta(\tau)^{-8},$$

where $E_{4,1}(\tau, z)$ is a Jacobi–Eisenstein series (see §3.2 below), and $\hat{A}(M)$ and $\hat{A}(M, E)$ are the \hat{A} -genus and the twisted \hat{A} -genus of the variety M (see [8]).

In this paper we describe the structure of the bigraded ring $J_{*,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ of all weak Jacobi forms with integral Fourier coefficients, which is the ring that contains the partition functions $\chi(M_d, E_r; \tau, z)$. We show that this ring has 14 generators satisfying 10 relations (see Theorem 3.5).

In §4 we also determine the structure of the graded ring of weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 0 with integral Fourier coefficients (see Theorem 4.1). These functions are the data for automorphic Borcherds products for the Siegel paramodular groups (see [10]). This ring has 8 generators of three different types: the modular invariant $j(\tau)$, four generators $\phi_{0,1}, \dots, \phi_{0,4}$ of the elliptic genus type, and three generators related to Jacobi–Eisenstein series that appear in formulas for partition functions of type $\chi(M_6, E_2; \tau, z)$. All eight generators produce remarkable Borcherds products. The normalised modular invariant $J(\tau) = j(\tau) - 744$ defines the monstrous Lie algebra (see [2]). Other generators determine the main Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras in the Gritsenko–Nikulin classification (see [10]). The first generator $\phi_{0,1}$ and its Kac–Moody algebra correspond to the second quantised elliptic genus of K3 surfaces mentioned above. The generators $\phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,3}, \phi_{0,4}$ take part in the formulas for the second quantised elliptic genus of Calabi–Yau manifolds of dimensions 4, 6 and 8. We suppose that the last three generators in Theorem 4.1 produce similar objects for vector bundles of some Calabi–Yau varieties.

2. THE BASIC JACOBI MODULAR FORMS AND JACOBI THETA-SERIES

In this section we introduce the main facts related to Jacobi forms that will be useful for subsequent constructions. We refer to the book [6] for the Jacobi forms of integral weights and indices and [10] for the case of half-integral indices and weights.

2.1. Definition of Jacobi forms. The Jacobi group $\Gamma^J(\mathbb{Z})$ is the semidirect product of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with the integral Heisenberg group $H(\mathbb{Z})$ which is the central extension of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. The natural model of $\Gamma^J(\mathbb{Z})$ is the quotient by $\{\pm I\}$ of the integral maximal parabolic subgroup of the Siegel modular group of genus 2 fixing an isotropic line. We refer to [10, §1] for these definitions. Let $\chi : \Gamma^J(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character (or a multiplier system) of finite order. By [4, Proposition 2.1], its restriction to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a power v_η^D of the multiplier system of the Dedekind η -function and for some $t \in \{0, 1\}$ we have, for every $A \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $[x, y; r] \in H(\mathbb{Z})$,

$$\chi(A \cdot [x, y; r]) = v_\eta^D(A) \cdot v_H^t([x, y; r]), \quad v_H([x, y; r]) = (-1)^{x+y+xy+r},$$

where v_H is the unique binary character of $H(\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition 2.1. Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$. A holomorphic function $\phi : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *weakly holomorphic* Jacobi form of weight k and index t with a character (or a multiplier system) of finite order $\chi : \Gamma^J(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}, \frac{z}{c\tau + d}\right) &= \chi(A)(c\tau + d)^k e^{2\pi i t \frac{cz^2}{c\tau + d}} \phi(\tau, z), \\ \phi(\tau, z + x\tau + y) &= \chi([x, y; 0]) e^{-2\pi i t(x^2\tau + 2xz)} \phi(\tau, z), \end{aligned}$$

for $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{\substack{n \geq n_0, n \equiv \frac{D}{24} \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}}} f(n, l) \exp(2\pi i(n\tau + lz)),$$

where $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a constant and $\chi|_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} = v_\eta^D$ with $0 \leq D < 24$.

If ϕ satisfies the condition ($f(n, l) \neq 0 \implies n \geq 0$) then it is called a *weak* Jacobi form. If ϕ further satisfies the condition ($f(n, l) \neq 0 \implies 4nt - l^2 \geq 0$) then it is called a *holomorphic* Jacobi form. If ϕ further satisfies the stronger condition ($f(n, l) \neq 0 \implies 4nt - l^2 > 0$) then it is called a Jacobi *cuspidal* form. We denote by

$$J_{k,t}^!(\chi) \supseteq J_{k,t}^w(\chi) \supseteq J_{k,t}(\chi) \supseteq J_{k,t}^{cusp}(\chi)$$

the vector spaces of weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight k and index t with character χ and the corresponding spaces of weak, holomorphic and cuspidal Jacobi forms. If the character is trivial, we write $J_{k,t} = J_{k,t}(1)$ for short.

From the definition, it is easy to see that

$$J_{k,t}^w(v_\eta^D \cdot v_H^{2t}) = \eta^D \cdot J_{k-\frac{D}{2},t}^w(v_H^{2t}), \quad \text{and} \quad k - \frac{D}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

2.2. Jacobi theta functions. We recall some standard facts about Jacobi theta functions which play an important role in our constructions. Let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ and $\zeta = e^{2\pi iz}$, where $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The main Jacobi theta-series is defined in (1.1)

$$\vartheta(\tau, z) = q^{\frac{1}{8}} \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \zeta^n \in J_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}(v_\eta^3 \cdot v_H).$$

We note that $\vartheta(\tau, -z) = -\vartheta(\tau, z)$ and $\vartheta(\tau, z) = -i\vartheta_{11}(z, \tau)$ in the notation of [15, Chapter 1]. Its set of simple zeros is $\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$. There are three other Jacobi theta functions of level two:

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{00}(\tau, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \zeta^n, & \vartheta_{01}(\tau, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n q^{\frac{n^2}{2}} \zeta^n, \\ \vartheta_{10}(\tau, z) &= q^{\frac{1}{8}} \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \zeta^n. \end{aligned}$$

We can consider them as functions conjugate (in the sense of Jacobi group) to $\vartheta(\tau, z)$ (see [11]). We also define the corresponding theta-constants

$$\theta_{ab}(\tau) = \vartheta_{ab}(\tau, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{ab}(\tau, z) = \vartheta_{ab}(\tau, z)/\vartheta_{ab}(\tau, 0),$$

for $ab = 00, 01, 10$. From their infinite product expansions, we see that ξ_{00} , ξ_{01} and $2\xi_{10}$ have integral Fourier coefficients.

2.3. The bigraded ring of Jacobi forms over \mathbb{C} . For convenience, we first introduce some notations of basic modular forms and Jacobi forms. Let E_k denote the Eisenstein series of weight k on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\Delta = \eta^{24}$ denote the cusp form of weight 12 on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The function $E_{k,m}$ denotes the Jacobi-Eisenstein series of weight k and index m introduced in [6]. Throughout the paper, the symbol $\zeta^{\pm m}$ denotes the sum $\zeta^m + \zeta^{-m}$.

The structure of the ring of weak Jacobi forms over \mathbb{C} is well-known and rather simple. Firstly, [6, Theorem 9.4] tells that the bigraded ring of weak Jacobi forms of even weight and integral index $J_{2*,*}^w = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, t \in \mathbb{N}} J_{2k,t}^w$ is a polynomial algebra in two generators $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{-2,1}$ over the graded ring of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -modular forms. There are many different constructions for these generators (see [6], [7], [10])

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z) &= \frac{\vartheta^2(\tau, z)}{\eta^6(\tau)} = \frac{E_6(\tau)E_{4,1}(\tau, z) - E_4(\tau)E_{6,1}(\tau, z)}{144\Delta(\tau)} \\ &= \zeta^{\pm 1} - 2 + q(-2\zeta^{\pm 2} + 8\zeta^{\pm 1} - 12) + O(q^2) \in J_{-2,1}^w, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_{0,1}(\tau, z) &= -\frac{3}{\pi^2} \wp(\tau, z) \phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z) = 4(\xi_{00}^2 + \xi_{01}^2 + \xi_{10}^2)(\tau, z) \\ &= \zeta^{\pm 1} + 10 + q(10\zeta^{\pm 2} - 64\zeta^{\pm 1} + 108) + O(q^2) \in J_{0,1}^w, \end{aligned}$$

where $\wp(\tau, z) \in J_{2,0}^{mer}$ is the Weierstrass function.

We note that $2\phi_{0,1}(\tau, z)$ is equal to the elliptic genus of $K3$ surfaces. The function $\phi_{0,1}$ itself is equal to the elliptic genus of Enriques surfaces.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have (see [7]):

$$(2.3) \quad J_{2k+1,t}^w = \phi_{-1,2} \cdot J_{2k+2,t-2}^w, \quad \phi_{-1,2}(\tau, z) = \frac{\vartheta(\tau, 2z)}{\eta^3(\tau)},$$

$$(2.4) \quad J_{2k,t+\frac{1}{2}}^w = \phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}} \cdot J_{2k,t-1}^w, \quad J_{2k+1,t+\frac{1}{2}}^w = \phi_{-1,\frac{1}{2}} \cdot J_{2k+2,t}^w,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad \phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}}(\tau, z) = \frac{\vartheta(\tau, 2z)}{\vartheta(\tau, z)}, \quad \phi_{-1,\frac{1}{2}}(\tau, z) = \frac{\vartheta(\tau, z)}{\eta^3(\tau)}.$$

Since the functions $\phi_{-1,2}$, $\phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}}$ and $\phi_{-1,\frac{1}{2}}$ have infinite product expansions, the relations (2.3) and (2.4) hold for the corresponding spaces of Jacobi forms with integral Fourier coefficients.

We note that the function $\frac{e(M)}{2} \phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}}$ is equal to the elliptic genus of Calabi–Yau 3-folds with Euler number $e(M)$. The function $\frac{e(M)}{24} \phi_{0,1} \phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}}$ coincides

with the elliptic genus of Calabi–Yau 5-folds. It follows that $e(M) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ for any Calabi–Yau 5-folds (see [7]).

3. \mathbb{Z} -STRUCTURE OF DIFFERENT GRADED RINGS OF WEAK JACOBI FORMS

3.1. The graded ring of integral Jacobi forms of weight 0. We denote by $J_{k,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ the \mathbb{Z} -module of all weak Jacobi forms of weight k and index m with integral Fourier coefficients for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The main theorem of the paper is Theorem 3.5. To prove it we have to construct generators of $J_{*,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$. Following [7], we introduce the graded ring

$$J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}} J_{0,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$$

and its ideal

$$J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}(q) = \left\{ \phi \in J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}} : \phi(\tau, z) = \sum_{n \geq 1, l \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n, l) q^n \zeta^l \right\}$$

of the Jacobi forms without q^0 -term in their Fourier expansions.

It is clear that $\phi_{-2,1} \in J_{-2,1}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ because it has an integral infinite product expansion due to the Jacobi triple product. Since ξ_{00} , ξ_{01} , $2\xi_{10}$ have integral Fourier coefficients, we have $\phi_{0,1} \in J_{0,1}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ (as the elliptic genus of $K3$ surfaces). There are three other integral basic Jacobi forms of index 2, 3 and 4 which play a crucial role in the classification of Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras of hyperbolic rank 3 (see [10, Example 2.3 and Lemma 2.5]). We have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_{0,2}(\tau, z) &= 2(\xi_{00} + \xi_{01} + \xi_{10})(\tau, 2z) \\ &= \zeta^{\pm 1} + 4 + q(\zeta^{\pm 3} - 8\zeta^{\pm 2} - \zeta^{\pm 1} + 16) + O(q^2) \in J_{0,2}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_{0,3}(\tau, z) &= \frac{\vartheta(\tau, 2z)^2}{\vartheta(\tau, z)^2} \\ &= \zeta^{\pm 1} + 2 + q(-2\zeta^{\pm 3} - 2\zeta^{\pm 2} + 2\zeta^{\pm 1} + 4) + O(q^2) \in J_{0,3}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_{0,4}(\tau, z) &= \frac{\vartheta(\tau, 3z)}{\vartheta(\tau, z)} \\ &= \zeta^{\pm 1} + 1 - q(\zeta^{\pm 4} + \zeta^{\pm 3} - \zeta^{\pm 1} - 2) + O(q^2) \in J_{0,4}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that the formula for $\phi_{0,2}$ above is different from the five formulas for this function from [7] and [10]. In this form, it is easier to see that $\phi_{0,2}$ has integral Fourier coefficients.

The following [7, Theorem 1.9] describes a structure of $J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 3.1. (a) *Let m be a positive integer. The module*

$$J_{0,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}/J_{0,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}(q) = \mathbb{Z}[\psi_{0,m}^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_{0,m}^{(m)}]$$

is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank m . Moreover, there is a basis consisting of $\psi_{0,m}^{(n)}$, $1 \leq n \leq m$, with the following q^0 -term

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_{0,m}^{(1)}]_{q^0} &= \frac{1}{(12, m)}(m\zeta + (12 - 2m) + m\zeta^{-1}), \\ [\psi_{0,m}^{(2)}]_{q^0} &= \zeta^2 - 4\zeta + 6 - 4\zeta^{-1} + \zeta^{-2}, \\ [\psi_{0,m}^{(n)}]_{q^0} &= \zeta^{\pm n} + \sum_{0 \leq i < n} c(i)\zeta^{\pm i}, \quad c(i) \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3 \leq n \leq m), \end{aligned}$$

where $(12, m)$ is the greatest common divisor of 12 and m .

(b) The ideal $J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}(q)$ is principal. It is generated by

$$\xi_{0,6}(\tau, z) = \frac{\vartheta^{12}(\tau, z)}{\eta^{12}(\tau)} = q(\zeta^{\frac{1}{2}} - \zeta^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{12} + O(q^2) \in J_{0,6}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}.$$

(c) The graded ring $J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} . More precisely,

$$J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}[\phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,3}, \phi_{0,4}].$$

The functions $\phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,3}$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} and $4\phi_{0,4} = \phi_{0,1}\phi_{0,3} - \phi_{0,2}^2$. Moreover, we have the following formula for the discriminant $\xi_{0,6} = -\phi_{0,1}^2\phi_{0,4} + 9\phi_{0,1}\phi_{0,2}\phi_{0,3} - 8\phi_{0,2}^3 - 27\phi_{0,3}^2$.

3.2. Weak Jacobi forms with integral coefficients. As a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we give an efficient method to check whether the Fourier coefficients of a weak Jacobi form are integers or not.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\varphi = \sum f(n, l)q^n\zeta^l \in J_{2k,m}^w$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. If the Fourier coefficients of the first $\lfloor \frac{m+k}{6} \rfloor$ q -terms are integral, i.e. $f(n, l) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $0 \leq n \leq \lfloor \frac{m+k}{6} \rfloor$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, then all Fourier coefficients of φ are integral. Here, $[x]$ is the integral part of x .*

Proof. When $m = 0$, the function φ is independent of z and, hence, it is a $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -modular form. In this case, the result is clear. We next assume that $m \geq 1$. We first show that the assertion is true when $k = 0$. We prove this fact by induction on the index m using the following two arguments.

Let $\varphi \in J_{0,m}^w$ and assume that all Fourier coefficients of q^0 -term are integral. From Theorem 3.1 (a), there exists a weak Jacobi form $\psi \in J_{0,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ with the same q^0 -term. Therefore $(\varphi - \psi)/\xi_{0,6} \in J_{0,m-6}^w$ by Theorem 3.1 (b).

Let $\varphi \in J_{0,m}^w$ with $m < 6$. If the Fourier coefficients of q^0 -term are integral, then $\varphi \in J_{0,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ according to Theorem 3.1 (a), (b).

The general case is reduced to the case $k = 0$. If $\varphi \in J_{2k,m}^w$, then we have that $\varphi \cdot \phi_{-2,1}^k \in J_{0,m+k}^w$, and $\varphi \in J_{2k,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if $\varphi \cdot \phi_{-2,1}^k \in J_{0,m+k}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$. \square

The main difference between the \mathbb{Z} -modules $J_{0,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ and $J_{k,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ is the fact that for an even weight larger than 2 there is a Jacobi form whose q^0 -term is equal to 1.

Corollary 3.3. *For any even weight $2k \geq 4$ and index $m \geq 0$ there exists an integral Jacobi form*

$$F_{2k,m} = 1 + O(q) \in J_{2k,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Proof. If $m = 0$, one can construct such modular form in τ as a product of the Eisenstein series $E_k(\tau) = 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{k-1}(n)q^n$ of weight 4 and 6 with integral Fourier coefficients. For $m \geq 1$ one can define the Jacobi–Eisenstein series (see [6, §7])

$$E_{k,m}(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c,d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (c,d)=1}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} (c\tau + d)^{-k} \exp(2\pi i(l^2 \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d} + 2l \frac{z}{c\tau+d} - \frac{cz^2}{c\tau+d})).$$

In general, the Fourier coefficients of $E_{k,m}$ are not integral (see [11, Lemma 2.3]). In fact, one can use only the series $E_{k,1}$ of index one because the series $E_{k,m}$ can be expressed in terms of $E_{k,1}$ and the Hecke type operators V_d, U_d (see [6, (13), p.48]). By Theorem 3.2, the Jacobi–Eisenstein series $E_{4,1}, E_{4,2}, E_{4,3}, E_{6,1}, E_{6,2}$ have integral Fourier coefficients because their q^0 -terms are all the constant 1. Thus we can define $F_{2k,m} = E_{2k,m}$ for $(k, m) = (2, 1), (2, 2), (2, 3), (3, 1), (3, 2)$. In addition, we put

$$(3.4) \quad F_{6,3} = \frac{1}{2}(E_{6,1}\phi_{0,2} - E_6\phi_{0,3}).$$

We calculate its q^1 -term

$$F_{6,3} = 1 + q(2\zeta^{\pm 3} - 45\zeta^{\pm 2} - 90\zeta^{\pm 1} - 238) + O(q^2).$$

By Theorem 3.2, the function $F_{6,3}$ has integral Fourier coefficients. So we finish the construction of $F_{2k,m}$ by the following recursion formulas

$$\begin{aligned} F_{4k,0} &= E_4^k, & F_{4k+2} &= E_4^{k-1}E_6, & k &\geq 1; \\ F_{2k,m} &= F_{2k-4,0}F_{4,m}, & k &\geq 4, & m &= 1, 2, 3; \\ F_{2k,m} &= F_{2k,m-3}\phi_{0,3} - F_{2k,m-4}\phi_{0,4}, & k &\geq 2, & m &\geq 4. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.1 gives us also a method to produce a lot of relations between weak Jacobi forms, for example, between generators from Corollary 3.3. Using the structure of the divisor of $\phi_{-2,1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_4\phi_{0,1} - E_6\phi_{-2,1} &= 12E_{4,1}, & E_{4,1}\phi_{0,1} - E_{6,1}\phi_{-2,1} &= 12E_{4,2}, \\ E_6\phi_{0,1} - E_4^2\phi_{-2,1} &= 12E_{6,1}, & E_{6,1}\phi_{0,1} - E_4(\tau)E_{4,1}\phi_{-2,1} &= 12E_{6,2}, \\ E_{4,1}\phi_{0,2} - E_4\phi_{0,3} &= 2E_{4,3}, & E_{4,2}\phi_{0,1} - E_{4,1}\phi_{0,2} &= 6E_{4,3}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these relations we can easily calculate the first terms in the Fourier expansions of the Jacobi–Eisenstein series

$$\begin{aligned} E_{4,1}(\tau, z) &= 1 + q(\zeta^{\pm 2} + 56\zeta^{\pm 1} + 126) + O(q^2), \\ E_{4,2}(\tau, z) &= 1 + q(14\zeta^{\pm 2} + 64\zeta^{\pm 1} + 84) + O(q^2), \\ E_{4,3}(\tau, z) &= 1 + q(2\zeta^{\pm 3} + 27\zeta^{\pm 2} + 54\zeta^{\pm 1} + 74) + O(q^2), \end{aligned}$$

(compare with the table at the end of [6]). In particular, we obtain that

$$E_{4,1}(\tau, z) \equiv E_{6,1}(\tau, z) \pmod{24}, \quad E_{4,2} \equiv E_{4,1}^2 \pmod{12}.$$

Note that $F_{6,3}$ is not a Jacobi–Eisenstein series because the Fourier coefficients of $E_{6,3}$ are not integral. (See the remark after the proof of Theorem 3.5.)

We note that there is another way to see that $E_{4,m}$ has integral Fourier coefficients for $m = 1, 2, 3$. For these indices we have

$$E_{4,m}(\tau, z) = \vartheta_{E_8}(\tau, zv_m), \quad v_m \in E_8, \quad (v_m, v_m) = 2m$$

where

$$\vartheta_{E_8}(\tau, \mathfrak{z}) = \sum_{v \in E_8} e^{\pi i(v,v)\tau + 2\pi i(v,\mathfrak{z})}$$

is the Jacobi theta series in $\mathfrak{z} \in E_8 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ for the root lattice E_8 .

3.3. Siegel modular forms with integral Fourier coefficients. Theorem 3.2 can be applied to the theory of Siegel modular forms of genus 2. In [12], Igusa determined the structure of the space of Siegel modular forms of genus 2 with integral Fourier coefficients. One difficulty in his proof is to show that the generators have integral Fourier coefficients. However, Theorem 3.4 provides us with an efficient way to do this. We hope that using the last theorem we can determine the integral structure of the graded ring of Siegel modular forms for the paramodular groups Γ_2 and Γ_3 .

Theorem 3.4. *Let*

$$\Phi(\tau_1, z, \tau_2) = \sum_{\substack{n,m \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 4nm \geq l^2}} c(n, l, m) q_1^n q_2^m \zeta^l$$

be a Siegel modular form of genus 2 and weight $2k$, where $q_1 = e^{2\pi i\tau_1}$, $q_2 = e^{2\pi i\tau_2}$, $\zeta = e^{2\pi iz}$. If $c(n, l, m) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $m \leq \lfloor \frac{k+1}{5} \rfloor$, $n \leq \lfloor \frac{k+m}{6} \rfloor$ and $l^2 \leq 4nm$, then $c(n, l, m)$ are all integral, which means that Φ has integral Fourier coefficients.

Proof. We first write

$$\Phi(\tau_1, z, \tau_2) = \sum_{m \geq 0} f_m(\tau_1, z) q_2^m,$$

where

$$f_m(\tau_1, z) = \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N}, l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ 4nm \geq l^2}} c(n, l, m) q_1^n \zeta^l.$$

It is well-known that $f_m(\tau_1, z)$ is a holomorphic Jacobi form of weight $2k$ and index m . Note that $c(n, l, m) = c(m, l, n)$. Assume that f_1, \dots, f_m have integral Fourier coefficients. Then the Fourier coefficients of the first m q -terms of f_{m+1} are all integral. By Theorem 3.2, if $\lfloor \frac{k+m+1}{6} \rfloor \leq m$ then f_{m+1} has integral Fourier coefficients. Suppose that $\frac{k+1}{5} = x + \frac{y}{5}$ with $x \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq y \leq 4$. Fix $m \geq \lfloor \frac{k+1}{5} \rfloor$. Then we can write $m = x + t$ with $t \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\lfloor \frac{k+m+1}{6} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{(5x+y)+(x+t)}{6} \rfloor = x + \lfloor \frac{y+t}{6} \rfloor \leq x + t.$$

Hence, if f_i , $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{k+1}{5} \rfloor$, have integral Fourier coefficients, then f_j also has integral Fourier coefficients for any $j > \lfloor \frac{k+1}{5} \rfloor$. The proof is completed by Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark. The above result is a very simple application of our results on Jacobi modular forms. One can also prove it using the so-called Sturm type theorems. This type of results gives congruence criteria which say that if the Fourier coefficients of $F(Z)$ from a certain finite set are congruent to zero modulo a prime p then all Fourier coefficients of $F(Z)$ are congruent to zero (see, for example, [13] and references there).

3.4. The bigraded ring of weak Jacobi forms. We now can state and prove the main theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *The bigraded ring of all weak Jacobi forms of even weight and integral index with integral Fourier coefficients is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} . More exactly, we have*

$$J_{2*,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}[E_4, E_6, \Delta, E_{4,1}, E_{4,2}, E_{4,3}, E_{6,1}, E_{6,2}, F_{6,3}, \phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,3}, \phi_{0,4}, \phi_{-2,1}],$$

where $E_{k,m}$ are Jacobi–Eisenstein series and $F_{6,3}$ is defined in (3.4). The generators $E_4, E_6, \phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{-2,1}$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} . The rest of the generators belong to $\mathbb{Q}[E_4, E_6, \phi_{0,1}, \phi_{-2,1}]$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2^6 \cdot 3^3)\Delta &= E_4^3 - E_6^2, \\ (2^3 \cdot 3)\phi_{0,2} &= \phi_{0,1}^2 - E_4\phi_{-2,1}^2, \\ (2^4 \cdot 3^3)\phi_{0,3} &= \phi_{0,1}^3 - 3E_4\phi_{0,1}\phi_{-2,1}^2 + 2E_6\phi_{-2,1}^3, \\ 4\phi_{0,4} &= \phi_{0,1}\phi_{0,3} - \phi_{0,2}^2, \\ 12E_{4,1} &= E_4\phi_{0,1} - E_6\phi_{-2,1}, \\ 12E_{6,1} &= E_6\phi_{0,1} - E_4^2\phi_{-2,1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
6E_{4,2} &= E_{4,1}\phi_{0,1} - E_4\phi_{0,2} = \frac{1}{24}(E_4\phi_{0,1}^2 - 2E_6\phi_{0,1}\phi_{-2,1} + E_4^2\phi_{-2,1}^2), \\
6E_{6,2} &= E_{6,1}\phi_{0,1} - E_6\phi_{0,2} = \frac{1}{24}(E_6\phi_{0,1}^2 - 2E_4^2\phi_{0,1}\phi_{-2,1} + E_4E_6\phi_{-2,1}^2), \\
2E_{4,3} &= E_{4,1}\phi_{0,2} - E_4\phi_{0,3} = \frac{1}{3}(E_{4,2}\phi_{0,1} - E_{4,1}\phi_{0,2}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(E_{4,2}\phi_{0,1} - E_4\phi_{0,3}), \\
2F_{6,3} &= E_{6,1}\phi_{0,2} - E_6\phi_{0,3} = \frac{1}{3}(E_{6,2}\phi_{0,1} - E_{6,1}\phi_{0,2}) + 8\Delta\phi_{-2,1}^3 \\
&= \frac{1}{4}(E_{6,2}\phi_{0,1} - E_6\phi_{0,3}) + 6\Delta\phi_{-2,1}^3 = 2E_{6,3} + \frac{288}{61}\Delta\phi_{-2,1}^3,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_{2*,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}} \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\right][E_4, E_6, \phi_{0,1}, \phi_{-2,1}].$$

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps.

(i) By Theorem 3.1 (c), we have

$$J_{0,*}^{w,\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}[\phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,3}, \phi_{0,4}].$$

From $J_{2*,*}^w = \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6, \phi_{0,1}, \phi_{-2,1}]$, we get

$$J_{-2k,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}} = \phi_{-2,1}^k J_{0,m-k}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}, \quad k > 0, \quad m > 0.$$

(ii) Using Corollary 3.3 we find Jacobi forms whose q^0 -terms contain only coefficients 1 or $\zeta^{\pm i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ when $2k \geq 4$ and $m \geq 1$. We construct them in the ring

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}[E_4, E_6, E_{4,1}, E_{4,2}, E_{4,3}, E_{6,1}, E_{6,2}, F_{6,3}, \phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,3}, \phi_{0,4}].$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{2k,m}^{(1)} &= F_{2k,m-1}\phi_{0,1} = \zeta^{\pm 1} + 10 + O(q), \quad m \geq 1, \\
F_{2k,m}^{(i)} &= F_{2k,0}\psi_{0,m}^{(i)} = \zeta^{\pm i} + c_0 + \sum_{1 \leq j < i} c(j)\zeta^{\pm j} + O(q), \quad m \geq 2,
\end{aligned}$$

where Jacobi forms $\psi_{0,m}^{(i)}$ are introduced in Theorem 3.1 (a) and $c(j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \leq i \leq m$.

(iii) For arbitrary $\phi \in J_{2k,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ with $k \geq 2$, there exists a weak Jacobi form $\psi \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\phi - \psi \in J_{2k,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}(q) \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi - \psi)/\Delta \in J_{2k-12,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}.$$

For arbitrary $\phi \in J_{2,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$, we have $\phi \in \phi_{-2,1} J_{4,m-1}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ because $\phi(\tau, 0) = 0$.

(iv) By means of steps (i) and (iii), we complete the proof by induction on the weight $2k$. In order to prove these relations except the last one for $F_{6,3}$, we only need to check that their q^0 -terms are the same because the corresponding spaces of Jacobi forms are of dimension one. For the last one, we need to compare their q^0 -terms and q^1 -terms. \square

Remark. The above theorem was announced without a proof in [8, Theorem 2.3] where the function $E'_{6,3} = E_{6,3} + \frac{22}{61}\Delta\phi_{-2,1}^3$ was used instead of $F_{6,3}$. The last formula shows that the Jacobi–Eisenstein series $E_{6,3}$ has non-integral Fourier coefficients. In fact, we have $F_{6,3} = E'_{6,3} + 2\Delta\phi_{-2,1}^3$ and there is a beautiful formula

$$(3.5) \quad E'_{6,3} = \theta_{01}^6 \vartheta_{01}^6 + \frac{3}{2}\theta_{01}^4 \theta_{10}^2 \vartheta_{01}^4 \vartheta_{10}^2 - \frac{3}{2}\theta_{01}^2 \theta_{10}^4 \vartheta_{01}^2 \vartheta_{10}^4 - \theta_{10}^6 \vartheta_{10}^6,$$

(see §2.2). Since $\frac{1}{2}\theta_{10}$ has integral Fourier coefficients, the function $E'_{6,3}$ also has integral Fourier coefficients.

Using Theorem 3.5 and relations (2.3) and (2.4) we get

Corollary 3.6. *The bigraded ring $J_{*,*/2}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ of weak Jacobi forms of integral weights and half-integral indices with integral Fourier coefficients is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} and equal to*

$$\mathbb{Z} \left[E_4, E_6, \Delta, E_{4,1}, E_{4,2}, E_{4,3}, E_{6,1}, E_{6,2}, F_{6,3}, \phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}}, \phi_{0,4}, \phi_{-1,\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$

Note that

$$\phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}}^2 = \phi_{0,3}, \quad \phi_{-1,\frac{1}{2}}^2 = \phi_{-2,1}, \quad \phi_{0,\frac{3}{2}}\phi_{-1,\frac{1}{2}} = \phi_{-1,2}.$$

4. INTEGRAL WEAKLY HOLOMORPHIC JACOBI FORMS AND BORCHERDS PRODUCTS

In this section, we study the graded ring of all weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 0 with integral Fourier coefficients. By the well-known isomorphism between vector-valued modular forms and Jacobi forms (see [4]) or [6], the space $J_{0,m}^!$ of weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 0 and index m is isomorphic to the space of nearly holomorphic modular forms of weight $-\frac{1}{2}$ for the Weil representation associated to the discriminant form of the lattice $A_1(m)$, i.e. the lattice \mathbb{Z} of rank 1 with bilinear form $2mx^2$. By means of this isomorphism, as a variant of Borchers products (see [3]) at a one-dimensional cusp of a modular variety, Gritsenko and Nikulin [10] constructed a lifting from weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 0 to meromorphic Siegel paramodular forms.

Let $\phi \in J_{0,m}^{!,\mathbb{Z}}$ and Γ_m be the paramodular group of polarisation m . Then the Borchers product of ϕ , denoted by $\text{Borch}(\phi)$, is a meromorphic paramodular form for Γ_m with a character (see [10, Theorem 2.1]). The following theorem gives an explicit description of $J_{0,m}^{!,\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 4.1. *The graded ring of all weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms of weight 0 and integral index with integral Fourier coefficients is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} . Moreover, we have*

$$J_{0,*}^{!,\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \left[j, \phi_{0,1}, \phi_{0,2}, \phi_{0,3}, \phi_{0,4}, \frac{E_4^2 E_{4,1}}{\Delta}, \frac{E_4^2 E_{4,2}}{\Delta}, \frac{E_4^2 E_{4,3}}{\Delta} \right],$$

where $j = E_4^3/\Delta$. The generators $\phi_{0,1}$, $\phi_{0,2}$ and $\phi_{0,3}$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{C} . The other generators satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} 4\phi_{0,4} &= \phi_{0,1}\phi_{0,3} - \phi_{0,2}^2, \\ (\phi_{0,1}^2 - 24\phi_{0,2})^3 &= j(-\phi_{0,1}^2\phi_{0,4} + 9\phi_{0,1}\phi_{0,2}\phi_{0,3} - 8\phi_{0,2}^3 - 27\phi_{0,3}^2), \\ 6\left(\frac{E_4^2 E_{4,1}}{\Delta}\right)^2 - j\phi_{0,1}\left(\frac{E_4^2 E_{4,1}}{\Delta}\right) + 72j\phi_{0,1}^2 + j(j - 1728)\phi_{0,2} &= 0, \\ 6\frac{E_4^2 E_{4,2}}{\Delta} &= \left(\frac{E_4^2 E_{4,1}}{\Delta}\right)\phi_{0,1} - j\phi_{0,2}, \\ 2\frac{E_4^2 E_{4,3}}{\Delta} &= \left(\frac{E_4^2 E_{4,1}}{\Delta}\right)\phi_{0,2} - j\phi_{0,3}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in J_{0,m}^{1,\mathbb{Z}}$. If $m = 0$, the function ϕ is in fact a modular function with a possible pole at infinity, which implies that $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[j]$. When $m > 0$, there exists a natural number l such that $\Delta^l \phi$ is a weak Jacobi form by the definition of weakly holomorphic Jacobi forms. We denote the smallest one by n . As in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we can construct the following weak Jacobi forms of weight 12 and index m with integral Fourier coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{12,0} &= E_4^3, \quad G_{12,1} = E_4^2 E_{4,1}, \quad G_{12,2} = E_4^2 E_{4,2}, \quad G_{12,3} = E_4^2 E_{4,3}, \\ G_{12,m} &= G_{12,m-3}\phi_{0,3} - G_{12,m-4}\phi_{0,4} = 1 + O(q), \quad m \geq 4, \\ G_{12,m}^{(1)} &= G_{12,m-1}\phi_{0,1} = \zeta^{\pm 1} + 10 + O(q), \quad m \geq 1, \\ G_{12,m}^{(i)} &= E_4^3 \psi_{0,m}^{(i)} = \zeta^{\pm i} + c_0 + \sum_{1 \leq j < i} c(j)\zeta^{\pm j} + O(q), \quad m \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c(j) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $2 \leq i \leq m$. Therefore, there exist integers $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the q^0 -term of the function

$$\Phi_n = \Delta^n \phi - x_0 E_4^{3(n-1)} G_{12,m} - \sum_{i=1}^m x_i E_4^{3(n-1)} G_{12,m}^{(i)}$$

equals 0. Thus,

$$\Phi_n/\Delta \in J_{12(n-1),m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$$

and the smallest natural number l such that $\Delta^l(\Phi_n/\Delta^n) \in J_{12^*,m}^{w,\mathbb{Z}}$ is equal to $n - 1$. Hence we complete the proof by induction on n . \square

In the procedure of writing $\phi \in J_{0,m}^{1,\mathbb{Z}}$ in terms of the given generators, only the following Fourier coefficients are required to execute the algorithm:

$$f(n, l) : \quad \forall n \leq \left\lfloor \frac{m}{6} \right\rfloor, \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This fact follows from the above proof and Theorem 3.2.

To finish the paper, we consider the Borcherds products related to the generators of $J_{0,*}^{1,\mathbb{Z}}$. The Fourier coefficients of the first generator $j(\tau)$ determine the remarkable infinite product formula of the difference $j(\tau_1) - j(\tau_2)$.

This formula was independently proved by Koike, Norton, and Zagier in the 1980s. It was the first proto-example of the Borcherds automorphic product construction (see details in [2]).

As we mentioned in the introduction, the generators $\phi_{0,1}, \dots, \phi_{0,4}$ give the basic Siegel paramodular forms $\text{Borch}(\phi_{0,m})$

$$\Delta_5 \in S_5(\Gamma_1, \chi_2), \quad \Delta_2 \in S_2(\Gamma_2, \chi_4), \quad \Delta_1 \in S_1(\Gamma_3, \chi_6)$$

and $\Delta_{1/2} \in M_{1/2}(\Gamma_4, \chi_8)$ with the simplest possible divisor $\Gamma_m < (z = 0) >$ where Γ_m is the corresponding paramodular group acting on the Siegel upper half-plane \mathbb{H}_2 and χ_l is a character (or a multiplier system for χ_8) of order l (see [10] for details).

To describe the last three generators, we consider

$$\phi(\tau, z) = \sum f(n, l) q^n \zeta^l \in J_{0,m}^{1,\mathbb{Z}}.$$

It is known that the Fourier coefficients $f(n, l)$ depend only on the number $4nm - l^2$ and the class of l in $\mathbb{Z}/2m\mathbb{Z}$. In addition, $f(n, l) = f(n, -l)$. The non-zero Fourier coefficient $f(n, l)$ satisfying $4nm - l^2 < 0$ is called *singular*. The divisor of $\text{Borch}(\phi)$ is the union of a finite number of Humbert modular surfaces and the multiplicities of irreducible Humbert modular surfaces are determined by the singular Fourier coefficients of ϕ (see [10, Theorem 2.1]). Thus, for $1 \leq m \leq 4$, the singular Fourier coefficients of ϕ are completely determined by the Fourier coefficients $f(n, l)$ with $n \leq 0$. By Theorem 4.1, it is possible and easy to construct the Jacobi form with any given integral singular Fourier coefficients. Therefore, we can construct any paramodular form of level ≤ 4 whose divisor is a certain linear combination of Humbert modular surfaces.

For the last three generators in Theorem 4.1 the singular part has a very simple form. We have

$$\psi_{0,1} = \frac{E_4^2 E_{4,1}}{\Delta} - 56\phi_{0,1} = q^{-1} + \zeta^{\pm 2} + 70 + O(q) \in J_{0,1}^{1,\mathbb{Z}}.$$

The Borcherds product of $\psi_{0,1}$ is the basic Siegel modular form of odd weight, namely the Igusa modular form Δ_{35} . Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{0,2} &= \frac{E_4^2 E_{4,2}}{\Delta} - 14\phi_{0,1}^2 + 216\phi_{0,2} = q^{-1} + 24 + O(q) \in J_{0,2}^{1,\mathbb{Z}}, \\ \psi_{0,3} &= \frac{E_4^2 E_{4,3}}{\Delta} - 2\phi_{0,1}^3 + 33\phi_{0,1}\phi_{0,2} + 90\phi_{0,3} = q^{-1} + 24 + O(q) \in J_{0,3}^{1,\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\text{Borch}(\psi_{0,2})$ and $\text{Borch}(\psi_{0,3})$ are strongly reflective modular forms of weight 12 with complete 2-divisor for Γ_2 and Γ_3 , respectively.

In the theory of the Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras the paramodular forms $\Delta_5, \Delta_2, \Delta_1$ and Δ_{35} determine four basic algebras with a Weyl vector of negative norm (in the signature $(2, 1)$) and the forms $\Delta_{1/2}, \text{Borch}(\psi_{0,2})$ and $\text{Borch}(\psi_{0,3})$ determine Kac–Moody algebras with a Weyl vector of norm zero. In particular, Δ_{35} determines the Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebra with

the simplest hyperbolic group isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and the smallest Cartan matrix (see [10] for details).

Let us remark that the results of the paper give some further applications to the theory of reflective modular forms. There is an identity involving singular Fourier coefficients of a weakly holomorphic Jacobi form of weight 0 (see [10, Lemma 2.2]):

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} f(0, l) - \frac{6}{m} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} f(0, l) l^2 - 24 \sum_{n < 0, l \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n, l) \sigma_1(-n) = 0.$$

For any given Borcherds product F , if the multiplicities of its divisor are known, then we know the singular Fourier coefficients of the corresponding weakly holomorphic Jacobi form ϕ of weight 0. Applying (4.1) to ϕ , we can calculate the weight of F as in [17] for the case of reflective modular forms.

Acknowledgements. The work is supported by the Laboratory of Mirror Symmetry NRU HSE (RF government grant, ag. N 14.641.31.0001). H. Wang is grateful to Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for its hospitality and financial support. The authors thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions that make this paper better.

REFERENCES

1. L. A. Borisov, A. Libgober, *Elliptic genera of toric varieties and applications to mirror symmetry*. Invent. Math. **140** (2000), no. 2, 453–485.
2. R. E. Borcherds, *Monstrous moonshine and monstrous Lie superalgebras*. Invent. Math. **109** (1992), 405–444.
3. R. E. Borcherds, *Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians*. Invent. Math. **123** (1998), no. 3, 491–562.
4. F. Cléry, V. Gritsenko, *Modular forms of orthogonal type and Jacobi theta-series*. Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **83** (2013), 187–217.
5. R. Dijkgraaf, G. Moore, E. Verlinde, H. Verlinde, *Elliptic genera of symmetric products and second quantized strings*. Commun. Math. Phys. **185** (1997), 197–209.
6. M. Eichler, D. Zagier, *The theory of Jacobi forms*. Progress in Mathematics **55**. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1985.
7. V. Gritsenko, *Elliptic genus of Calabi–Yau manifolds and Jacobi and Siegel modular forms*. St. Petersburg Math. J. **11** (1999), 781–804.
8. V. Gritsenko, *Complex vector bundles and Jacobi forms*. Proc. of Symposium “Automorphic forms and L-functions”, vol. **1103**, RIMS, Kyoto, 1999, 71–85.
9. V. Gritsenko, V. Nikulin, *Siegel automorphic form correction of a Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebra*. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A-B **321** (1995), 1151–1156.
10. V. Gritsenko, V. Nikulin, *Automorphic forms and Lorentzian Kac–Moody algebras. Part II*. Internat. J. Math. **9** (1998), 201–275.
11. V. Gritsenko, H. Wang, *Powers of Jacobi triple product, Cohens numbers and the Ramanujan Δ -function*. Eur. J. Math. Vol. 4, no. 2 (2018), 561–584.
12. J. Igusa, *On the ring of Modular forms of Degree Two over \mathbb{Z}* . Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), 149–183.
13. T. Kikuta, S. Takemori, *Sturm bounds for Siegel modular forms of degree 2 and odd weights*. Math. Z. **291** (2019), 1419–1434.
14. T. Kawai, Y. Yamada, S. K. Yang, *Elliptic Genera and $N = 2$ Superconformal Field Theory*. Nucl. Phys. **B414** (1994), 191–212.

15. D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta I*. Progress in Mathematics **28**, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Mass, 1983.
16. B. Totaro, *Chern numbers for singular varieties and elliptic homology*. Ann. of Math. (2) **151** (2000), no. 2, 757–791.
17. H. Wang, *Reflective modular forms: a Jacobi forms approach*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN. publish online, Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz070>.
18. E. Witten, *The index of the Dirac operator in loop space*. Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms in Algebraic Topology, Springer-Verlag, 1988, 161–181.

LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE, 59655 VILLENEUVE D’ASCQ
CEDEX, FRANCE AND NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY “HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS”,
RUSSIAN FEDERATION

E-mail address: Valery.Gritsenko@univ-lille.fr

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: haowu.wangmath@gmail.com