When is the Bloch–Okounkov q-bracket modular?

Jan-Willem M. van Ittersum*

17th January 2019

We obtain a condition describing when the quasimodular forms given by the Bloch-Okounkov theorem as q-brackets of certain functions on partitions are actually modular. This condition involves the kernel of an operator Δ . We describe an explicit basis for this kernel, which is very similar to the space of classical harmonic polynomials.

1. Introduction

Given a family of quasimodular forms, the question which of its members are modular often has an interesting answer. For example, consider the family of theta series

$$\theta_P(\tau) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^r} P(\underline{x}) q^{x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2} \qquad (q = e^{2\pi i \tau})$$

given by all homogeneous polynomials $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$. The quasimodular form θ_P is modular if and only if P is harmonic (i.e., $P \in \ker \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$) [Sch39]¹. Also, for every two modular forms f, g one can consider the linear combination of products of derivatives of f and g given by

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n} a_r f^{(r)} g^{(n-r)} \qquad (a_r \in \mathbb{C}).$$

This linear combination is a quasimodular form which is modular precisely if it is a multiple of the Rankin-Cohen bracket $[f,g]_n$ [Ran56, Coh75]. In this paper, we provide a condition to decide which member of the family of quasimodular forms provided by the Bloch-Okounkov theorem is modular. Let \mathscr{P} denote the set of all partitions of integers and $|\lambda|$ denotes the integer that λ is a partition of. Given a function $f: \mathscr{P} \to \mathbb{Q}$, define the q-bracket of f by

$$\langle f \rangle_q := \frac{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}} f(\lambda) q^{|\lambda|}}{\sum_{\lambda \in \mathscr{P}} q^{|\lambda|}}.$$

^{*}Email: j.w.m.vanittersum@uu.nl,

Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Utrecht, Postbus 80.010, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands,

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

¹As quasimodular forms were not yet defined, Schoeneberg only showed that θ_P is modular if P is harmonic. However, for every polynomial P it follows that θ_P is quasimodular by decomposing P as in Formula (1) below.

The celebrated Bloch–Okounkov theorem states that for a certain family of functions $f: \mathscr{P} \to \mathbb{Q}$ (called shifted symmetric polynomials and defined in Section 2) the q-brackets $\langle f \rangle_q$ are the q-expansions of quasimodular forms [BO00].

Besides being a wonderful result, the Bloch–Okounkov theorem has many application in enumerative geometry. For example, a special case of the Bloch–Okounkov theorem was discovered by Dijkgraaf and provided with a mathematically rigorous proof by Kaneko and Zagier, implying that the generating series of simple Hurwitz numbers over a torus are quasimodular [Dij95, KZ95]. Also, in the computation of asymptotics of geometrical invariants, such as volumes of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials and Siegel-Veech constants the Bloch–Okounkov theorem is applied [EO01, CMZ18].

Zagier gave a surprisingly short and elementary proof of the Bloch-Okounkov theorem [Zag16]. A corollary of his work, which we discuss in Section 3, is the following proposition:

Proposition 1. There exists actions of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 on both the algebra of shifted symmetric polynomials Λ^* and the algebra of quasimodular forms \widetilde{M} such that the q-bracket $\langle \cdot \rangle_q : \Lambda^* \to \widetilde{M}$ is \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant.

The answer to the question in the title is provided by one of the operators Δ which defines this \mathfrak{sl}_2 -action on Λ^* . Namely, letting $\mathcal{H} = \ker \Delta|_{\Lambda^*}$, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Let $f \in \Lambda^*$. Then $\langle f \rangle_q$ is modular if and only if f = h + k with $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \ker \langle \cdot \rangle_q$.

The last section of this article is devoted to describing the graded algebra \mathcal{H} . We call \mathcal{H} the space of *shifted symmetric* harmonic *polynomials*, as the description of this space turns out to be very similar to the space of classical harmonic polynomials. Let \mathcal{P}_d be the space of polynomials of degree d in $m \geq 3$ variables x_1, \ldots, x_m , let $||x||^2 = \sum_i x_i^2$ and recall that the space \mathscr{H}_d of degree d harmonic polynomials is given by $\ker \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$. The main theorem of harmonic polynomials states that every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_d$ can uniquely be written in the form

$$P = h_0 + ||x||^2 h_1 + \ldots + ||x||^{2d'} h_{d'}$$
(1)

with $h_i \in \mathcal{H}_{d-2i}$ and $d' = \lfloor d/2 \rfloor$. Define K, the Kelvin transform, and D^{α} for α an m-tuple of non-negative integers by

$$f(x) \mapsto ||x||^{2-m} f\left(\frac{x}{||x||^2}\right)$$
 and $D^{\alpha} = \prod_{i} \frac{\partial_i^{\alpha}}{\partial x_i^{\alpha_i}}$.

An explicit basis for \mathcal{H}_d is given by

$$\{KD^{\alpha}K(1) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m, \sum_i \alpha_i = d, \alpha_1 \leq 1\},\$$

see for example [ABR01]. We prove the following analogous results for the space of shifted symmetric polynomials:

Theorem 3. For every $f \in \Lambda_n^*$ there exists unique $h_i \in \mathcal{H}_{n-2i}$ $(i = 0, 1, ..., n' \text{ and } n' = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$ such that

$$f = h_0 + Q_2 h_1 + \ldots + Q_2^{n'} h_{n'},$$

where Q_2 is an element of Λ_2^* given by $Q_2(\lambda) = |\lambda| - \frac{1}{24}$.

Theorem 4. The set

$$\{\operatorname{pr} K \Delta_{\lambda} K(1) \mid \lambda \in \mathscr{P}(n), all \ parts \ are \geq 3\}$$

is a vector space basis of \mathcal{H}_n , where pr, K and Δ_{λ} are defined by (4), Definition 16 respectively Definition 20.

The action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 given by Proposition 1 makes Λ^* into an infinite-dimensional \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation for which the elements of \mathcal{H} are the lowest weight vectors. Theorem 3 is equivalent to the statement that Λ^* is a direct sum of the (not necessarily irreducible) lowest weight modules

$$V_n = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_2^m \mathcal{H}_n \qquad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

2. Shifted symmetric polynomials

Shifted symmetric polynomials were introduced by Okounkov and Olshanski as the following analogue of symmetric polynomials [OO97]. Let $\Lambda^*(m)$ be the space of rational polynomials in m variables x_1, \ldots, x_m which are *shifted symmetric*, i.e. invariant under the action of all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ given by $x_i \mapsto x_{\sigma(i)} + i - \sigma(i)$ (or more symmetrically $x_i - i \mapsto x_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i)$). Note that $\Lambda^*(m)$ is filtered by the degree of the polynomials. We have forgetful maps $\Lambda^*(m) \to \Lambda^*(m-1)$ given by $x_m \mapsto 0$, so that we can define the space of shifted symmetric polynomials Λ^* as $\varprojlim_m \Lambda^*(m)$ in the

category of filtered algebras. Considering a partition λ as a non-increasing sequence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$ of non-negative integers λ_i , we can interpret Λ^* as being a subspace of all functions $\mathscr{P} \to \mathbb{Q}$.

One can find a concrete basis for this abstractly defined space by considering the generating series

$$w_{\lambda}(T) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}} \in T^{1/2} \mathbb{Z}[T][[T^{-1}]]$$
 (2)

for every $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ (the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ turns out to be convenient for defining a grading on Λ^*). As $w_{\lambda}(T)$ converges for T > 1 and equals

$$\frac{1}{T^{1/2} - T^{-1/2}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \left(T^{\lambda_i - i + \frac{1}{2}} - T^{-i + \frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

one can define shifted symmetric polynomials $Q_i(\lambda)$ for $i \geq 0$ by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_i(\lambda) z^{i-1} := w_{\lambda}(e^z) \qquad (0 < |z| < 2\pi).$$
(3)

The first few shifted symmetric polynomials Q_i are given by

$$Q_0(\lambda) = 1$$
, $Q_1(\lambda) = 0$, $Q_2(\lambda) = |\lambda| - \frac{1}{24}$.

The Q_i freely generate the algebra of shifted symmetric polynomials, i.e. $\Lambda^* = \mathbb{Q}[Q_2, Q_3, \ldots]$. It is believed that Λ^* is maximal in the sense that for all $Q : \mathscr{P} \to \mathbb{Q}$ with $Q \notin \Lambda^*$ it holds that $\langle \Lambda^*[Q] \rangle_q \not\subseteq \widetilde{M}$.

Remark. The space Λ^* can equally well be defined in terms of the Frobenius coordinates. Given a partition with Frobenius coordinates $(a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1, \ldots, b_r)$, where a_i and b_i are the arm- and leg-lengths of the cells on the main diagonal, let

$$C_{\lambda} = \{-b_1 - \frac{1}{2}, \dots, -b_r - \frac{1}{2}, a_r + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, a_1 + \frac{1}{2}\}.$$

Then

$$Q_k(\lambda) = \beta_k + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \sum_{c \in C_\lambda} \operatorname{sgn}(c) c^{k-1},$$

where β_k is the constant given by

$$\sum_{k>0} \beta_k z^{k-1} = \frac{1}{2\sinh(z/2)} = w_{\emptyset}(e^z).$$

We extend Λ^* to an algebra where $Q_1 \not\equiv 0$. Observe that a non-increasing sequence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ of integers corresponds to a partition precisely if it converges to 0. If, however, it converges to an integer n, Equations (2) and (3) still define $Q_k(\lambda)$. In fact, in this case

$$Q_k(\lambda) = (e^{n\partial})Q_k(\lambda - n)$$

by [Zag16, Proposition 1] where $\partial Q_0 = 0$, $\partial Q_k = Q_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $\lambda - n = (\lambda_1 - n, \lambda_2 - n, ...)$ corresponds to a partition (i.e. converges to 0). In particular $Q_1(\lambda) = n$ equals the number the sequence λ converges to. We now define the Bloch–Okounkov ring \mathcal{R} to be $\Lambda^*[Q_1]$, considered as a subspace of all functions from non-increasing eventually constant sequences of integers to \mathbb{Q} . It is convenient to work with \mathcal{R} instead of Λ^* to define the differential operators Δ and more generally Δ_{λ} later. Both on Λ^* and \mathcal{R} we define a weight grading by assigning to Q_i weight i. Denote the projection map by

$$\operatorname{pr}: \mathcal{R} \to \Lambda^*.$$
 (4)

We extend $\langle \cdot \rangle_q$ to \mathcal{R} .

The operator $E = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_m \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_m}$ on \mathcal{R} multiplies an element of \mathcal{R} by its weight. Moreover, we consider the differential operators

$$\partial = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_m \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{m+1}}$$
 and $\mathscr{D} = \sum_{k,\ell>0} {k+\ell \choose k} Q_{k+\ell} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Q_{k+1} \partial Q_{\ell+1}}$.

Let $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{D} - \partial^2)$, i.e.

$$2\Delta = \sum_{k,\ell > 0} \left(\binom{k+\ell}{k} Q_{k+\ell} - Q_k Q_\ell \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Q_{k+1} \partial Q_{\ell+1}} - \sum_{k > 0} Q_k \frac{\partial}{\partial Q_{k+2}}.$$

In the following (antisymmetric) table the entry in the row of operator A and column of operator B denotes the commutator [A, B], for proofs see [Zag16, Lemma 3].

	Δ	$\boldsymbol{\partial}$	E	Q_1	Q_2
Δ	0	0	2Δ	0	$E-Q_1\partial-\frac{1}{2}$
∂	0	0	∂	1	Q_1
E	-2Δ	$-\partial$	0	Q_1	$2Q_2$
Q_1	0	-1	$-Q_1$	0	0
Q_2	$-E+Q_1\boldsymbol{\partial}+\frac{1}{2}$	0	$-2Q_2$	0	0

Definition 5. A triple (X, Y, H) of operators is called an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple if

$$[H, X] = 2X, \quad [H, Y] = -2Y, \quad [Y, X] = H.$$

Let $\hat{Q}_2 := Q_2 - \frac{1}{2}Q_1^2$ and $\hat{E} := E - Q_1\partial - \frac{1}{2}$. The following result follows by a direct computation using above table:

Proposition 6. The operators $(\hat{Q}_2, \Delta, \hat{E})$ form an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple.

For later reference we compute $[\Delta, Q_2^n]$. This could be done inductively by noting that $[\Delta, Q_2^n] = Q_2^{n-1}[\Delta, Q_2] + [\Delta, Q_2^{n-1}]Q_2$ and using the commutation relations in above table. The proof below is a direct computation from the definition of Δ .

Lemma 7. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the following relation holds

$$[\Delta, Q_2^n] = -\frac{n(n-1)}{2}Q_1^2Q_2^{n-2} - nQ_1Q_2^{n-1}\partial + nQ_2^{n-1}(E+n-\frac{3}{2}).$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[Q_1, Q_2], g \in \mathcal{R}$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\Delta(fg) = \Delta(f)g + \frac{\partial f}{\partial Q_2}(Eg - Q_1\partial g) + f\Delta(g), \tag{5}$$

$$\Delta(Q_2^n) = n(n - \frac{3}{2})Q_2^{n-1} - n(n-1)Q_2^{n-2}Q_1^2.$$
(6)

By (5) and (6) we find

$$\Delta(Q_2^n g) = \left(n(n - \frac{3}{2})Q_2^{n-1} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}Q_1^2 Q_2^{n-2}\right)g + nQ_2^{n-1}(Eg - Q_1\partial g) + Q_2^n \Delta(g). \qquad \Box$$

3. An sl₂-equivariant mapping

The space of quasimodular forms for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is given by $\widetilde{M}=\mathbb{Q}[P,Q,R]$, where P,Q and R are the Eisenstein series of weight 2, 4 and 6 respectively (in Ramanujan's notation). We let $\widetilde{M}_k^{(\leq p)}$ be the space of quasimodular forms of weight k and depth $\leq p$ (the depth of a quasimodular form written as a polynomial in P,Q and R is the degree of this polynomial in P). See [Zag08, Section 5.3] or [Zag16, Section 2] for an introduction into quasimodular forms.

The space of quasimodular forms is closed under differentiation, more precisely the operators $D=q\frac{d}{dq},\ \mathfrak{d}=12\frac{\partial}{\partial P}$ and the weight operator W given by Wf=kf for $f\in\widetilde{M}_k$ preserve \widetilde{M} and form an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple. In order to compute the action of D in terms of the generators P,Q and R one uses the Ramanujan identities

$$D(P) = \frac{P^2 - Q}{12}, \quad D(Q) = \frac{PQ - R}{3}, \quad D(R) = \frac{PR - Q^2}{2}.$$

In the context of the Bloch–Okounkov theorem it is more natural to work with $\hat{D} := D - \frac{P}{24}$, as for all $f \in \Lambda^*$ one has $\langle Q_2 f \rangle_q = \hat{D} \langle f \rangle_q$. Moreover, \hat{D} has the property that it increases the depth of a quasimodular form by 1, in contrast to D for which D(1) = 0 does not have depth 1:

Lemma 8. Let $f \in \widetilde{M}$ be of depth r. Then $\widehat{D}f$ is of depth r+1.

Proof. Consider a monomial $P^aQ^bR^c$ with $a,b,c\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. By the Ramanujan identities we find

$$D(P^{a}Q^{b}R^{c}) = \left(\frac{a}{12} + \frac{b}{3} + \frac{c}{2}\right)P^{a+1}Q^{b}R^{c} + O(P^{a}),$$

where $O(P^a)$ denotes a quasimodular form of depth at most a. The lemma follows by noting that $\frac{a}{12} + \frac{b}{3} + \frac{c}{2} - \frac{1}{24}$ is non-zero for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Moreover, letting $\hat{W} = W - \frac{1}{2}$, the triple $(\hat{D}, \mathfrak{d}, \hat{W})$ forms an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple as well. With respect to these operators the q-bracket becomes \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant. The following proposition is a detailed version of Proposition 1:

Proposition 9 (The \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant Bloch–Okounkov theorem). The mapping $\langle \cdot \rangle_q : \mathcal{R} \to \widetilde{M}$ is \mathfrak{sl}_2 -equivariant with respect to the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $(\hat{Q}_2, \Delta, \hat{E})$ on \mathcal{R} and the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $(\hat{D}, \mathfrak{d}, \hat{W})$ on \widetilde{M} , i.e. for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$ one has

$$\hat{D}\langle f\rangle_q = \langle \hat{Q}_2 f\rangle_q, \quad \mathfrak{d}\langle f\rangle_q = \langle \Delta f\rangle_q, \quad \hat{W}\langle f\rangle_q = \langle \hat{E}f\rangle_q.$$

Proof. This follows directly from [Zag16, Equation (37)] and the fact that for all $f \in \mathcal{R}$ one has $\langle Q_1 f \rangle_q = 0$.

4. Describing the space of shifted symmetric harmonic polynomials

In this section we study the kernel of Δ . As $[\Delta, Q_1] = 0$, we restrict ourselves without loss of generality to Λ^* . Note, however, that Δ does not act on Λ^* as for example $\Delta(Q_3) = -\frac{1}{2}Q_1$. However, $\operatorname{pr}\Delta$ does act on Λ^* .

Definition 10. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ f \in \Lambda^* \mid \Delta f \in Q_1 \mathcal{R} \} = \ker \operatorname{pr} \Delta,$$

be the space of *shifted symmetric harmonic* polynomials.

Proposition 11. If $f \in Q_2\Lambda^*$ is non-zero, then $f \notin \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Write $f = Q_2^n f'$ with $f' \in \Lambda^*$ and $f' \notin Q_2 \Lambda^*$. Then

$$pr\Delta(f) = Q_2^{n-1}(n(n+k-\frac{3}{2})f' + Q_2pr\Delta f')$$

by Lemma 7. As f' is not divisible by Q_2 , it follows that $\operatorname{pr}\Delta(f)=0$ precisely if f'=0.

Proposition 12. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has

$$\Lambda_n^* = \mathcal{H}_n \oplus Q_2 \Lambda_{n-2}^*.$$

Proof. For uniqueness, suppose $f = Q_2g + h$ and $f = Q_2g' + h'$ with $g, g' \in \Lambda_{n-2}^*$ and $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}_n$. Then, $Q_2(g - g') = h' - h \in \mathcal{H}$. By Proposition 11 we find g = g' and hence h = h'.

Now, define the linear map $T: \Lambda_n^* \to \Lambda_n^*$ by $f \mapsto \operatorname{pr}\Delta(Q_2f)$. By Proposition 11 we find that T is injective, which by finite dimensionality of Λ_n^* implies that T is surjective. Hence, given $f \in \Lambda_n^*$ let $g \in \Lambda_{n-2}^*$ be such that $T(g) = \operatorname{pr}\Delta(f) \in \Lambda_{n-2}^*$. Let $h = f - Q_2g$. As $f = Q_2g + h$, it suffices to show that $h \in \mathcal{H}$. That holds true because $\operatorname{pr}\Delta(h) = \operatorname{pr}\Delta(f) - \operatorname{pr}\Delta(Q_2g) = 0$.

 \triangle

Proposition 12 implies Theorem 3 and the following corollary. Denote by p(n) the number of partitions of n.

Corollary 13. The dimension of \mathcal{H}_n equals the number of partitions of n in at least 3 parts, i.e.

$$\dim \mathcal{H}_n = p(n) - p(n-1) - p(n-2) + p(n-3).$$

Proof. Observe that $\dim \Lambda_n^*$ equals the number of partitions of n in at least 2 parts. Hence, $\dim \Lambda_n^* = p(n) - p(n-1)$ and the Corollary follows from Proposition 12.

Proof of Theorem 2. If $\langle f \rangle_q$ is modular, then $\langle \Delta f \rangle_q = \mathfrak{d} \langle f \rangle_q = 0$. Write $f = \sum_{r=0}^{n'} Q_2^r h_r$ as in Theorem 3 with $n' = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. Then by Lemma 7 it follows that $\operatorname{pr}\Delta f = \sum_{r=0}^{n'} r(n-r-\frac{3}{2})Q_2^{r-1}h_r$. Hence,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n'} r(n-r-\frac{3}{2})\hat{D}^{r-1}\langle h_r \rangle_q = 0.$$
 (7)

As $\langle h_r \rangle_q$ is modular, either it is equal to 0 or it has depth 0. Suppose the maximum m of all $r \geq 1$ such that $\langle h_r \rangle_q$ is non-zero exists. Then, by Lemma 8 it follows that the left-hand side of (7) has depth m-1, in particular is not equal to 0. So, $h_1, \ldots, h_{n'} \in \ker \langle \cdot \rangle_q$. Note that $f \in \ker \langle \cdot \rangle_q$ implies that $Q_2 f \in \ker \langle \cdot \rangle_q$. Therefore, $k := \sum_{r=1}^{n'} Q_2^r h_r \in \ker \langle \cdot \rangle_q$ and f = h + k with $h = h_0$ harmonic. The converse follows directly as $\mathfrak{d}\langle h + k \rangle_q = \mathfrak{d}\langle h \rangle_q = \langle \Delta h \rangle_q = 0$.

The converse follows directly as
$$\mathfrak{d}(h+k)_q = \mathfrak{d}(h)_q = \langle \Delta h \rangle_q = 0$$
.

Remark. A description of the kernel of $\langle \cdot \rangle_q$ is not known.

Another corollary of Proposition 12 is the notion of depth of shifted symmetric polynomials which corresponds to the depth of quasimodular forms:

Definition 14. The space $\Lambda_k^{*(\leq p)}$ of shifted symmetric polynomials of depth $\leq p$ is the space of $f \in \Lambda_k^*$ such that one can write

$$f = \sum_{r=0}^{p} Q_2^r h_r$$

with $h_r \in \mathcal{H}_{k-2r}$.

Theorem 15. If $f \in \Lambda_k^{*(\leq p)}$, then $\langle f \rangle_q \in \widetilde{M}_k^{(\leq p)}$.

Proof. Expanding f as in Definition 14 we find

$$\langle f \rangle_q = \sum_{k=0}^p \langle Q_2^k h_k \rangle_q = \sum_{k=0}^p \hat{D}^k \langle h_k \rangle_q.$$

By Lemma 8, we find that the depth of $\langle f \rangle_q$ is at most p.

Next, we set up notation to determine the basis of \mathcal{H} given by Theorem 4. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}[Q_2^{-1/2}]$ and $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda^*[Q_2^{-1/2}]$ be the formal polynomial algebras graded by assigning to Q_k weight k (note that the weights are – possibly negative – integers). Extend Δ to $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and observe that $\Delta(\tilde{\Lambda}) \subset \tilde{\Lambda}$. Also extend \mathcal{H} by setting

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \{ f \in \tilde{\Lambda} \mid \Delta f \in Q_1 \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \} = \ker \operatorname{pr} \Delta |_{\tilde{\Lambda}}.$$

Definition 16. Define the partition-Kelvin transform $K: \tilde{\Lambda}_n \to \tilde{\Lambda}_{3-n}$ by

$$K(f) = Q_2^{3/2 - n} f.$$

Note that K is an involution. Moreover, f is harmonic if and only if K(f) is harmonic, which follows directly from the computation

$$\Delta K(f) = Q_2^{3/2 - n} \Delta f - (\frac{3}{2} - n)Q_1 Q_2^{\frac{1}{2} - n} \partial f - \frac{1}{2} (\frac{3}{2} - n)(\frac{1}{2} - n)Q_1^2 Q_2^{-\frac{1}{2} - n} f.$$

Example 17. As $K(1) = Q_2^{3/2}$, it follows that $Q_2^{3/2} \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$.

Definition 18. Given $\underline{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n$, let

$$|\underline{i}| = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_n, \qquad \partial_{\underline{i}} = \frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{i_1+1} \partial Q_{i_2+1} \cdots \partial Q_{i_n+1}}.$$

Define the *n*th order differential operators \mathscr{D}_n on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ by

$$\mathscr{D}_n = \sum_{\underline{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n} \binom{|\underline{i}|}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} Q_{|\underline{i}|} \partial_{\underline{i}},$$

where the coefficient is a multinomial coefficient.

This definition generalises the operators ∂ and \mathcal{D} to heigher weights: $\mathcal{D}_1 = \partial$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{D}$ and \mathcal{D}_n reduces the weight by n.

Lemma 19. The operators $\{\mathscr{D}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ commute pairwise.

Proof. Set $I = |\underline{i}|$ and $J = |\underline{j}|$. Let $\underline{a}^{\hat{k}} = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n)$. Then

$$\begin{split} & \left[\begin{pmatrix} I \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n \end{pmatrix} Q_I \frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{\underline{i}}}, \begin{pmatrix} J \\ j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \end{pmatrix} Q_J \frac{\partial^m}{\partial Q_{\underline{j}}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{i_k, J-1} J \begin{pmatrix} I \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, \hat{i_k}, \dots, i_n, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_m \end{pmatrix} Q_I \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial Q_{\underline{i}^{\hat{k}}}} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial Q_{\underline{j}}} + \\ & - \sum_{l=1}^m \delta_{j_l, I-1} I \begin{pmatrix} J \\ i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n, j_1, j_2, \dots, \hat{j_l}, \dots, j_m \end{pmatrix} Q_J \frac{\partial^n}{\partial Q_{\underline{i}}} \frac{\partial^{m-1}}{\partial Q_{\underline{j}^{\hat{l}}}}. \end{split}$$

Hence, $[\mathscr{D}_n, \mathscr{D}_m]$ is a linear combination of terms of the form $Q_{|\underline{a}|+1} \frac{\partial^{n+m-1}}{\partial Q_{\underline{a}}}$, where $\underline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+m-1}$. We collect all terms for different vectors \underline{a} which consists of the same parts (i.e. we group all vectors \underline{a} which correspond to the same partition). Then, the coefficient of such a term equals

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+n-1}} (a_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + a_{\sigma(m)}) \binom{|\underline{a}| + 1}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+m-1}} + \frac{1}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+m-1}} - \sum_{l=1}^{m} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+n-1}} (a_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + a_{\sigma(n)}) \binom{|\underline{a}| + 1}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+m-1}} = (mn - mn) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m+n-1}} a_{\sigma(1)} \binom{|\underline{a}| + 1}{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+m-1}} = 0.$$

Hence, $[\mathscr{D}_n, \mathscr{D}_m] = 0$.

It does not hold true that $[\mathscr{D}_n, Q_1] = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we introduce the following operators:

Definition 20. Let

$$\Delta_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^i.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}$ let

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} |\lambda| \\ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)} \end{pmatrix} \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{\lambda_i}.$$

(Note that $\Delta_0 = \mathcal{D}_0 = 1$, so this is in fact a finite product.)

Remark. By Möbius inversion

$$\mathscr{D}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \Delta_{n-i} \partial^i.$$

 \triangle

The first three operators are given by

$$\Delta_0 = 1$$
, $\Delta_1 = 0$, $\Delta_2 = \mathscr{D} - \partial^2 = 2\Delta$

Proposition 21. The operators Δ_{λ} satisfy the following properties: for all partitions λ, λ'

- (a) the order of $\Delta_{|\lambda|}$ is $|\lambda|$;
- (b) $[\Delta_{\lambda}, \Delta_{\lambda'}] = 0;$
- (c) $[\Delta_{\lambda}, Q_1] = 0.$

Proof. Property (a) follows by construction and (b) is a direct consequence of Lemma 19. For property (c), let $f \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ be given. Then

$$\Delta_{n}(Q_{1}f) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \binom{n}{i} \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i}(Q_{1}f)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \binom{n}{i} \left((n-i) \mathscr{D}_{n-i-1} \partial^{i} f + Q_{1} \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i} f + i \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i-1} f \right)$$

$$= Q_{1} \Delta_{n}(f) + \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} \binom{n}{i} \left((n-i) \mathscr{D}_{n-i-1} \partial^{i} f + i \mathscr{D}_{n-i} \partial^{i-1} f \right).$$

Observe that by the identity

$$(n-i)\binom{n}{i} = i\binom{n}{i+1}$$

the sum in the last line is a telescoping sum, equal to zero. Hence $\Delta_n(Q_1f) = Q_1\Delta_n(f)$ as desired.

In particular, above proposition yields $[\Delta_{\lambda}, \Delta] = 0$ and $[\Delta_{\lambda}, pr] = 0$. Denote by $(x)_n$ the falling factorial power $(x)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x-i)$ and for $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$ define $Q_{\lambda} = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x-i)$ $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_{\lambda_i}$. Let

$$h_{\lambda} = \operatorname{pr} K \Delta_{\lambda} K(1).$$

Observe that h_{λ} is harmonic, as pr Δ commutes with pr and Δ_{λ} .

Proposition 22. For all $\lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n$ there exists an $f \in \Lambda_{n-2}^*$ such that

$$h_{\lambda} = (\frac{3}{2})_n n! Q_{\lambda} + Q_2 f.$$

Proof. Note that the left hand side is an element of Λ^* of which the monomials divisible by Q_2^i correspond precisely to terms in Δ_{λ} involving precisely n-i derivatives of K(1) to Q_2 . Hence, as Δ_{λ} has order n all terms not divisible by Q_2 correspond to terms in Δ_{λ} which equal $\frac{\partial}{\partial Q_2^{n-i}}$ up to a coefficient. There is only one such term in Δ_{λ} with coefficient $\binom{|\lambda|}{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_r}\lambda_1!\ldots\lambda_r!Q_{\lambda}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{R}$, we let f^{\vee} be the operator where every occurrence of Q_i in f is replaced by Δ_i . We get the following unusual identity:

Corollary 23. If $h \in \mathcal{H}_n$, then

$$h = \frac{\operatorname{pr}Kh^{\vee}K(1)}{n!(\frac{3}{2})_n}.$$
(8)

Proof. By Proposition 22 we know that the statement holds true up to adding Q_2f on the righthand side for some $f \in \Lambda_{n-2}^*$. However, as both sides of (8) are harmonic and the shifted symmetric polynomial Q_2f is harmonic precisely if f=0 by Proposition 11, it follows that f=0 and (8) holds true.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{B}_n = \{h_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \mathscr{P}_n \text{ all parts are } \geq 3\}$. First of all, observe that by Corollary 13 the number of elements in \mathcal{B}_n is precisely the dimension of \mathcal{H}_n . Moreover, the weight of an element in \mathcal{B}_n equals $|\lambda| = n$. By Proposition 22 it follows that the elements of \mathcal{B}_n are linearly independent harmonic shifted symmetric polynomials.

A. Tables of shifted symmetric harmonic polynomials up to weight 10

We list all harmonic polynomials h_{λ} of even weight at most 10. The corresponding q-brackets $\langle h_{\lambda} \rangle_q$ are computed by the algorithm prescriped by Zagier [Zag16] using SageMath [Sage17].

λ	h_{λ}	$\langle h_{\lambda} angle_q$
()	1	1
(4)	$rac{27}{4} \left(Q_2^2 + 2Q_4 ight)$	$\frac{9}{320}Q$
(6)	$\frac{225}{4} \left(63Q_6 + 9Q_2Q_4 + Q_2^3 \right)$	$-\frac{55}{384}R$
(3, 3)	$\frac{225}{4} \left(63Q_3^2 - 108Q_2Q_4 + 2Q_2^3 \right)$	$\frac{115}{384}R$
(8)	$\frac{19845}{16} \left(3960Q_8 + 360Q_2Q_6 + 20Q_2^2Q_4 + Q_2^4 \right)$	$\frac{19173}{4096}Q^2$
(5, 3)	$\frac{19845}{2} \left(495 Q_3 Q_5 + 45 Q_2 Q_3^2 - 1350 Q_2 Q_6 - 50 Q_2^2 Q_4 + 2 Q_2^4\right)$	$-\frac{2415}{128}Q^2$
(4, 4)	$\frac{297675}{8} \left(132 Q_4^2 + 24 Q_2 Q_3^2 - 440 Q_2 Q_6 - 28 Q_2^2 Q_4 + Q_2^4\right)$	$-\frac{38241}{2048}Q^2$
(10)	$\frac{382725}{8} \left(450450 Q_{10} + 30030 Q_2 Q_8 + 1155 Q_2^2 Q_6 + 35 Q_2^3 Q_4 + Q_2^5 \right)$	$-\frac{2053485}{4096}QR$
(7, 3)	$\frac{1913625}{8} \left(90090Q_3Q_7 + 6006Q_2Q_3Q_5 - 336336Q_2Q_8 + 231Q_2Q_3^2 + 40006Q_2Q_3Q_3 + 40006Q_2Q_3Q_3Q_3 + 40006Q_2Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q_3Q$	
	$-12936Q_2^2Q_6 - 112Q_2^3Q_4 + 10Q_2^5$	$\frac{11975985}{4096}QR$
(6, 4)	$\frac{13395375}{8} \left(12870Q_4Q_6 + 1716Q_2Q_3Q_5 + 858Q_2Q_4^2 - 96096Q_2Q_8 + \right)$	
	$+132Q_2^2Q_3^2-6501Q_2^2Q_6-89Q_2^3Q_4+5Q_2^4)$	$\frac{21255885}{4096}QR$
(5,5)	$\frac{8037225}{4} \left(10725Q_5^2 + 1430Q_2Q_3Q_5 + 1430Q_2Q_4^2 - 10010Q_2Q_8 + \right.$	
	$+165Q_2^2Q_3^2-7700Q_2^2Q_6-120Q_2^3Q_4+6Q_2^5\big)$	$\frac{7759395}{1024}QR$
(4, 3, 3)	$\frac{13395375}{8} \left(12870Q_3^2Q_4 - 34320Q_2Q_3Q_5 + 10296Q_2Q_4^2 + 363Q_2^2Q_3^2 + \right.$	
	$+55440Q_2^2Q_6 - 376Q_2^3Q_4 + 10Q_2^5$	$-\frac{16583805}{4096}QR$

In case $|\lambda|$ is odd the harmonic polynomials h_{λ} up to weight 9 are given in the following table. The q-bracket of odd degree (harmonic) polynomials is zero, hence trivially modular.

$$\frac{\lambda}{(3)} \frac{h_{\lambda}}{-\frac{9}{4}Q_{3}} \\
(5) \frac{-\frac{135}{4}(5Q_{5} + Q_{2}Q_{3})}{(7)} \\
(7) \frac{-\frac{14175}{16}(126Q_{7} + 14Q_{2}Q_{5} + Q_{2}^{2}Q_{3})}{(4,3)} \\
(9) \frac{-\frac{99225}{16}(18Q_{3}Q_{4} - 40Q_{2}Q_{5} + Q_{2}^{2}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(6,3) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}Q_{6} + 99Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} - 4158Q_{2}Q_{7} - 162Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 5Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{(5,4)} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(286Q_{4}Q_{5} + 66Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} - 1540Q_{2}Q_{7} - 117Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 3Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{(3,3,3)} \\
(3,3,3) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(4,3) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3}^{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3}Q_{4} + 3240Q_{2}^{2}Q_{5} + 10Q_{2}^{3}Q_{3})}{4} \\
(5,4) \frac{-\frac{893025}{4}(1287Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2}Q_{3} - 3564Q_{2$$

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Gunther Cornelissen and Don Zagier for helpful discussions.

References

- [ABR01] Sheldon Axler, Paul Bourdon, and Wade Ramey. *Harmonic function theory*, volume 137 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2001.
- [BO00] Spencer Bloch and Andrei Okounkov. The character of the infinite wedge representation. Adv. Math., 149(1):1-60, 2000.
- [CMZ18] Dawei Chen, Martin Möller, and Don Zagier. Quasimodularity and large genus limits of Siegel-Veech constants. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 31(4):1059–1163, 2018.
- [Coh75] Henri Cohen. Sums involving the values at negative integers of L-functions of quadratic characters. Math. Ann., 217(3):271–285, 1975.
- [Dij95] Robbert Dijkgraaf. Mirror symmetry and elliptic curves. In *The moduli space of curves* (Texel Island, 1994), R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, and G. van der Geer, eds., volume 129 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 149–163. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.
- [EO01] Alex Eskin and Andrei Okounkov. Asymptotics of numbers of branched coverings of a torus and volumes of moduli spaces of holomorphic differentials. *Invent. Math.*, 145(1):59–103, 2001.
- [KZ95] Masanobu Kaneko and Don Zagier. A generalized Jacobi theta function and quasimodular forms. In *The moduli space of curves* (Texel Island, 1994), R. Dijkgraaf, C. Faber, and G. van der Geer, eds., volume 129 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 165–172. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995.
- [OO97] Andrei Okounkov and Grigori Olshanski. Shifted Schur functions. Algebra i Analiz, 9(2):73–146, 1997.
- [Ran56] Robert Alexander Rankin. The construction of automorphic forms from the derivatives of a given form. J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.), 20:103–116, 1956.
- [Sch39] Bruno Schoeneberg. Das Verhalten von mehrfachen Thetareihen bei Modulsubstitutionen. Math. Ann., 116(1):511–523, 1939.
- [Sage17] The Sage Developers. SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.0), 2017. http://www.sagemath.org.
- [Zag08] Don Zagier. Elliptic modular forms and their applications. In *The 1-2-3 of modular forms*, K. Ranestad, ed., Universitext, pages 1–103. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [Zag16] Don Zagier. Partitions, quasimodular forms, and the Bloch-Okounkov theorem. Ramanujan J., 41(1-3):345–368, 2016.