

REMARKS ON $K(1)$ -LOCAL K -THEORY

BHARGAV BHATT, DUSTIN CLAUSEN, AND AKHIL MATHEW

ABSTRACT. We prove two basic structural properties of the algebraic K -theory of rings after $K(1)$ -localization at an implicit prime p . Our first result (also recently obtained by Land–Meier–Tamme by different methods) states that $L_{K(1)}K(R)$ is insensitive to inverting p on R ; we deduce this from recent advances in prismatic cohomology and TC. Our second result yields a Künneth formula in $K(1)$ -local K -theory for adding p -power roots of unity to R .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we consider the algebraic K -theory spectrum $K(R)$ of a ring R , after applying the operation $L_{K(1)}$ of $K(1)$ -localization at a prime p which is fixed throughout. The construction $R \mapsto L_{K(1)}K(R)$ featured in the work of Thomason [Tho85] connecting algebraic K -theory and étale cohomology, cf. [Mit97] for a survey. Here we record two basic structural features of $L_{K(1)}K(R)$.

We first show that $K(1)$ -local K -theory is insensitive to inverting p ; a stronger result (for connective $K(1)$ -acyclic E_1 -rings) has been obtained recently by Land–Meier–Tamme in [LMT20, Cor. 3.28].

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be an associative ring, or even an E_1 -algebra over \mathbb{Z} . Then the map of spectra $K(A) \rightarrow K(A[1/p])$ induces an equivalence $L_{K(1)}K(A) \simeq L_{K(1)}K(A[1/p])$.*

Example 1.2 (p -power torsion rings). When A is p -power torsion, we conclude that $L_{K(1)}K(A) = 0$. When A is simple p -torsion (i.e., an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra), this follows from Quillen’s calculation [Qui72] of the K -theory of finite fields, in particular that $K(\mathbb{F}_p; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq H\mathbb{Z}_p$. However, for \mathbb{Z}/p^n , one knows the p -adic K -theory only in a certain range [Ang15, Bru01], so it seems difficult to prove the result by direct computation.

In [LMT20], Land–Meier–Tamme give a purely homotopy-theoretic proof of the result of Example 1.2, applying more generally to certain ring spectra; from this Theorem 1.1 is a consequence.

Our first goal is to give an arithmetic proof of Theorem 1.1, as a K -theoretic version of the étale comparison theorem of [BS19, Th. 9.1]. In fact, the assertion $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}/p^n) = 0$ is a quick consequence of recent advances in topological cyclic homology [BMS19] and the theory of prismatic cohomology [BS19]. While we do not know the K -theory of \mathbb{Z}/p^n , the work [BMS19, CMM18, BS19] leads to a relatively explicit calculation of the K -theory of \mathcal{O}_C/p^n via TC, for C the completed algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p and $\mathcal{O}_C \subset C$ the ring of integers. We can calculate directly there that the Bott element is p -adically nilpotent, and then we use [CMNN20] to descend.

In fact, we can obtain (via [CMM18]) the following consequence, which is a K -theoretic version of the étale comparison theorem:

Corollary 1.3. *Let R be any commutative ring which is henselian along (p) . Then there is a natural equivalence $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TC}(R) \simeq L_{K(1)}K(R[1/p])$.*

Date: May 13, 2020.

Our second result is a type of Künneth formula in $K(1)$ -local K -theory. In general, K -theory does not satisfy a Künneth formula: it is only a lax symmetric monoidal, not a symmetric monoidal functor. Here we show that in the special case of adding p -power roots of unity, one does have a Künneth formula which one can make explicit.

To formulate the result, we recall that \mathbb{Z}_p^\times naturally acts both on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]$ and on the p -complete E_∞ -ring $KU_{\hat{p}}$, by Galois automorphisms and Adams operations respectively. For a ring R , we write $R[\zeta_{p^\infty}] = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let R be a commutative ring. Then there are natural, \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant equivalences of E_∞ -rings*

$$L_{K(1)}K(R[\zeta_{p^\infty}]) \simeq (K(R) \otimes KU_{\hat{p}})_{\hat{p}}.$$

Theorem 1.4 is related to results of Dwyer–Mitchell [DM98] and Mitchell [Mit00]; our construction of the comparison map is based on the description of Snaith [Sna81] of KU . Furthermore, one can obtain an analog of this formula for any localizing invariant over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebras which commutes with filtered colimits. Using these ideas, we also give a complete description of $K(1)$ -local K -theory as an étale sheaf of spectra on $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebras (under appropriate finiteness conditions), cf. Theorem 3.9, yielding a spectrum-level version of Thomason’s spectral sequence from [Tho85].

Acknowledgments. We thank Lars Hesselholt, Jacob Lurie, and Peter Scholze for helpful discussions. We are also grateful to the anonymous referee for their helpful comments on the first version of this paper. The third author would like to thank the University of Copenhagen for its hospitality during which some of this work was done. The first author was supported by NSF grant #1801689 as well as grants from the Packard and Simons Foundations. The second author was supported by Lars Hesselholt’s Niels Bohr professorship, the University of Bonn, and the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics. This work was done while the third author was a Clay Research Fellow.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

2.1. δ -ring calculations. In this section, we prove a simple nilpotence result (Proposition 2.5).¹ We freely use the theory of δ -rings introduced in [Joy85].² Given a δ -ring (R, δ) , we let $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$ be the map $\varphi(x) = x^p + p\delta(x)$, so that φ is a ring homomorphism. We recall the basic formulas

$$(1) \quad \delta(ab) = a^p\delta(b) + b^p\delta(a) + p\delta(a)\delta(b) = \varphi(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)b^p,$$

$$(2) \quad \delta(a+b) = \delta(a) + \delta(b) - \sum_{0 < i < p} \frac{1}{p} \binom{p}{i} a^i b^{p-i}$$

for $a, b \in R$.

Let R be a p -complete δ -ring. In [BS19, Def. 2.19], the crucial notion of a distinguished element is introduced: an element $x \in R$ is called *distinguished* if $\delta(x)$ is a unit. For example, the element p is always distinguished. Here we use the following generalization.

Definition 2.1. An element x of a p -complete δ -ring R is called *weakly k -distinguished* if $(x, \delta(x), \dots, \delta^k(x))$ is the unit ideal.

¹As in Remark 2.13 below, one could replace its use below with that of the étale comparison theorem of [BS19].

² δ -rings also arise as the natural structure on the homotopy groups of $K(1)$ -local E_∞ -ring spectra (where they are often called θ -algebras or Frobenius algebras), cf. [Hop14]. We will not use this fact here.

Example 2.2. The element p^k is weakly k -distinguished in any p -complete δ -ring. It suffices to check this in \mathbb{Z}_p . Indeed, the formula $\delta(x) = \frac{x-x^p}{p}$ (valid for $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$) shows easily that if the p -adic valuation $v_p(x)$ is positive, then $v_p(\delta(x)) = v_p(x) - 1$. Inductively, we thus get that $v_p(\delta^k(p^k)) = 0$, so p^k is weakly k -distinguished.

Definition 2.3. Let R be a δ -ring. Let $I \subset R$ be an ideal. We define $\delta(I)$ as the ideal generated by $\delta(x), x \in I$.

Example 2.4. Suppose $I = (x)$. Then $\delta(I) \subset (x, \delta(x))$. More generally, if $I \subset R$ is an ideal generated by elements (f_1, \dots, f_n) , then

$$(3) \quad \delta(I) \subset (f_1, \dots, f_n, \delta(f_1), \dots, \delta(f_n)).$$

This follows easily from the formulas (1) and (2) above.

Proposition 2.5 (Nilpotence criterion). *Let R be a δ -ring, and let $x, y \in R$. Suppose R is (p, x) -adically complete and we have the equation $xy = p^k$. Then y is weakly $(k-1)$ -distinguished and x is p -adically nilpotent.*

Proof. We first claim that y is weakly $(k-1)$ -distinguished. Indeed, consider the ideal $(p^k) = (xy)$. We claim that for each $i \geq 1$, we have that

$$(4) \quad \delta^i(p^k) \in (\varphi^i(x)\delta^i(y), \delta^{i-1}(y), \dots, y).$$

To see this, we use induction on i . For $i = 1$, we have $\delta(xy) = \varphi(x)\delta(y) + \delta(x)y^p$, as desired. If we have proven (4) for a given i , then we can apply δ to both sides and use (3) to conclude the result for $i+1$, together with $\delta(\varphi^i(x)\delta^i(y)) = \varphi^{i+1}(x)\delta^{i+1}(y) + \delta(\varphi^i(x))\delta^i(y)^p$. By induction on i , this proves (4) in general.

Taking $i = k$ in (4) and using that $\delta^k(p^k)$ is a unit, we find that $\varphi^k(x)\delta^k(y), \delta^{k-1}(y), \dots, y$ generate the unit ideal in R . But since $\varphi^k(x)$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of R (as R is (p, x) -adically complete and $\varphi^k(x) \equiv x^{p^k}$ modulo p), we conclude that $\delta^{k-1}(y), \dots, y$ generate the unit ideal of R . Thus, y is weakly $(k-1)$ -distinguished.

Finally, we must show that x is p -adically nilpotent. Consider the p -adic completion R' of $R[1/x]$; this is also a p -complete δ -ring, and it suffices to show that $R' = 0$. But the image of y in R' is both a unit multiple of p^k and weakly $(k-1)$ -distinguished, so the ideal $(y, \delta(y), \dots, \delta^{k-1}(y))$ is both contained in (p) and the unit ideal. This now shows that $R' = 0$ as desired. \square

2.2. The vanishing result for $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TP}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n)$. In this subsection, we let C be the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p , let \mathcal{O}_C be its ring of integers, and let A_{inf} denote Fontaine's period ring, with its canonical surjective map $\theta : A_{\mathrm{inf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$. The kernel of θ is generated by a nonzerodivisor, a choice of which we denote d . With respect to the unique δ -structure on A_{inf} , d is a distinguished element and $(A_{\mathrm{inf}}, (d))$ is the perfect prism corresponding to the integral perfectoid ring \mathcal{O}_C , [BS19, Th. 3.10] and [BMS18, Sec. 3].

We can fix such a d as follows. Consider a system $(1, \zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots)$ of compatible primitive p -power roots of unity in \mathcal{O}_C and let ϵ denote the corresponding element in $\mathcal{O}_C^b = \varprojlim_{\mathrm{Frob}} \mathcal{O}_C/p$. Then we can take d to be the element

$$d = \frac{[\epsilon] - 1}{[\epsilon^{1/p}] - 1} \in A_{\mathrm{inf}} = W(\mathcal{O}_C^b).$$

It is well-known that this choice of d generates the kernel of θ . See [BMS18, Sec. 3] for a treatment of all of these facts.

Next we recall the calculation of topological Hochschild invariants of \mathcal{O}_C , using the notation and language of [NS18].

Proposition 2.6 (Hesselholt [Hes06], Bhatt–Morrow–Scholze [BMS19, Sec. 6]). *We can choose isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq A_{\mathrm{inf}}[u, v]/(uv - d), \quad \mathrm{TP}(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq A_{\mathrm{inf}}[\sigma^{\pm 1}], \quad |u| = 2, |v| = -2, |\sigma| = 2,$$

such that the canonical map is the identity on A_{inf} and carries $v \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$, $u \mapsto d \cdot \sigma$ and the cyclotomic Frobenius map is the Frobenius on A_{inf} and carries $u \mapsto \sigma$.

Remark 2.7. In degree zero, the above isomorphisms are canonical. However, in nonzero degrees, they are not canonical; for example, they are not Galois-equivariant. The canonical form of the above proposition involves the so-called Breuil–Kisin twists as in [BMS19].

Construction 2.8 ($K(1)$ -localization explicitly). Recall from [Niz98, Lemma 3.1] or [HN19, Lemma 1.3.7] that the localization sequence shows $K(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(C; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and Suslin’s rigidity theorem [Sus83] shows that the latter is isomorphic to $ku_{\widehat{p}}$ (i.e., p -complete connective topological K -theory) as a ring spectrum by choosing any ring isomorphism $C \cong \mathbb{C}$. The $K(1)$ -localization of ku is implemented by inverting the generator in degree 2 and then p -completing, as is clear from the definition. It follows that the $K(1)$ -localization of $K(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, or more generally of any p -complete $K(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -module M , can be obtained in the analogous way:

$$L_{K(1)}M = M[\beta^{-1}]_{\widehat{p}},$$

where $\beta \in \pi_2 K(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ is any generator.

Next we trace this into TP, where one can identify the image of the cyclotomic trace.

Proposition 2.9 ([HN19, Th. 1.3.6]). *With respect to the above identifications, the cyclotomic trace $K_*(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{TP}_*(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ carries β to a \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -multiple of $([\epsilon] - 1)\sigma$.*

Let R be a quasiregular semiperfectoid \mathcal{O}_C -algebra (in the sense of [BMS19, Sec. 4]), e.g., the quotient of a perfectoid by a regular sequence. Then one can construct [BS19, Sec. 7] a (p, d) -adically complete and d -torsion-free δ -ring Δ_R , which receives a canonical map from A_{inf} , and a map $R \rightarrow \Delta_R/(d)$; moreover, Δ_R is universal for this structure. The ring Δ_R is equipped with the Nygaard filtration (also defined in loc. cit.) whose completion is denoted $\widehat{\Delta}_R$, and acquires a δ -structure itself. Our primary tool in this paper, which connects algebraic K -theory (or rather TP) and δ -rings, is the following result.

Theorem 2.10 ([BMS19] and [BS19, Sec. 13]). *For a quasiregular semiperfectoid \mathcal{O}_C -algebra R , $\mathrm{TP}_*(R; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is concentrated in even degrees, is 2-periodic, and there is a canonical isomorphism $\pi_0 \mathrm{TP}(R; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \widehat{\Delta}_R$.*

Using this, we can give a direct description of the $K(1)$ -localization of TP in terms of $\widehat{\Delta}$.

Corollary 2.11. *For a quasiregular semiperfectoid \mathcal{O}_C -algebra R , there is a canonical isomorphism $\pi_0(L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TP}(R)) \simeq (\widehat{\Delta}_R[1/d])_{\widehat{p}}$.*

Proof. The spectrum $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TP}(R)$ is obtained by inverting (in the p -complete category) the image of the Bott element from $K_*(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ via the trace map. As we saw, the map $K_*(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{TP}_*(\mathcal{O}_C; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ carries the class of β to a graded unit times the class of $([\epsilon] - 1) \in A_{\mathrm{inf}}$. However, in A_{inf} we have $([\epsilon] - 1) \equiv ([\epsilon^{1/p}] - 1)^p$ (modulo p) and $d \equiv ([\epsilon^{1/p}] - 1)^{p-1}$ (modulo p); thus, inverting either $([\epsilon] - 1)$ or d in the p -complete sense is the same operation, completing the proof. \square

Finally, we can conclude the main vanishing result that was the goal of this section.

Corollary 2.12. *For each n , we have that $L_{K(1)}(\mathrm{TP}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n)) = 0$.*

Proof. As there is a ring map $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_C/p^n} \rightarrow \widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{O}_C/p^n}$, by the above it suffices to show that d is p -adically nilpotent in $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_C/p^n}$. But by definition $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_C/p^n}$ is a (p, d) -adically complete δ -ring such that there is a homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_C/p^n \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{O}_C/p^n}/d$. It follows that we can solve the equation $dy = p^n$ in $\Delta_{\mathcal{O}_C/p^n}$, and we deduce that d is p -adically nilpotent by Proposition 2.5, as desired. \square

Remark 2.13. The main result that was shown above is that if R is a p -power torsion \mathcal{O}_C -algebra which is quasiregular semiperfectoid, then d is p -adically nilpotent in Δ_R . This is a special case of the étale comparison theorem [BS19, Theorem 9.1], since in this case the generic fiber of $\mathrm{Spf}(R)$ vanishes; in particular, the use of the étale comparison theorem could replace Proposition 2.5 above.

2.3. The $K(1)$ -local K -theory of \mathbb{Z}/p^n . Here we prove the following special case of our main result.

Proposition 2.14. *For each n , we have $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}/p^n) = 0$.*

Proof. We first prove the weaker assertion that if C is as in the previous section, then $L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n) = 0$. Indeed, by [CMM18, Th. C], the cyclotomic trace $K(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an equivalence, so it suffices to show that $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TC}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$. Furthermore, according to [NS18], $\mathrm{TC}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an equalizer of two maps,

$$(5) \quad \mathrm{TC}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathrm{eq}(\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightrightarrows \mathrm{TP}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p)).$$

The first (canonical) map has cofiber given by $\Sigma^2\mathrm{THH}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p)_{hS^1}$, which is clearly $K(1)$ -acyclic as a homotopy colimit of Eilenberg-MacLane spectra. Thus, $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TC}^-(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TP}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and the latter vanishes by Corollary 2.12. Using the formula (5), we get that $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TC}(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n; \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0$ as desired.

Now we descend to prove the result for \mathbb{Z}/p^n . Let E range over the finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p inside $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. For any such, we have a finite flat morphism $\mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E/p^n$. The colimit over E yields \mathcal{O}_C/p^n . Therefore, in the ∞ -category of p -complete E_∞ -rings, we have

$$\varinjlim_E L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{O}_E/p^n) = L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{O}_C/p^n).$$

Since we have just shown that the target vanishes, the source does too. Now the source is a filtered colimit in $(p$ -complete) ring spectra, and a ring spectrum vanishes if and only if its unit is null-homotopic. We conclude that for some finite extension E , $L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{O}_E/p^n)$ vanishes. Finally, by the descent results of [CMNN20] (in particular, finite flat descent for $L_{K(1)}K(-)$ on commutative rings), we find that

$$L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}/p^n) \simeq \mathrm{Tot} \left(L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{O}_E/p^n) \rightrightarrows L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{O}_E/p^n \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathcal{O}_E/p^n) \rightrightarrows \dots \right).$$

Since this is a diagram of E_∞ -rings, we conclude that all the terms in the totalization must vanish, and we get $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}/p^n) = 0$ as desired. \square

2.4. The main result for \mathbb{Z} -linear ∞ -categories. In this section, we explain the deduction of Theorem 1.1. This argument also appears in [LMT20, Sec. 3.1].

Let R be a commutative ring, and let \mathcal{C} be a small R -linear stable ∞ -category (always assumed idempotent-complete). Given a nonzerodivisor (for simplicity) $x \in R$, we say that \mathcal{C} is x -power torsion if for each object $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, we have that $x^n : Y \rightarrow Y$ is nullhomotopic for some $n \geq 0$. For

instance, the kernel of the map $\text{Perf}(R) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(R[1/x])$, i.e., those perfect complexes of R -modules which are acyclic outside of (x) , forms such an R -linear stable ∞ -category. Moreover, for each R -algebra R' such that R' is perfect as an R -module, we can define the ∞ -category of R' -modules in \mathcal{C} , which we denote $\text{Mod}_{R'}(\mathcal{C})$; this is then an R' -linear stable ∞ -category. Examples of objects in $\text{Mod}_{R'}(\mathcal{C})$ include objects of the form $R' \otimes Y$ for $Y \in \mathcal{C}$; these are given by extension of scalars from \mathcal{C} . For simplicity, when working with these objects, we will simply write $\text{Hom}_{R'}$ instead of $\text{Hom}_{\text{Mod}_{R'}(\mathcal{C})}$.

We use the following basic fact.

Proposition 2.15. *Let \mathcal{C} be an R -linear (idempotent-complete) stable ∞ -category which is x -power torsion. Then we have, in R -linear stable ∞ -categories*

$$(6) \quad \varinjlim_n \text{Mod}_{R/x^n}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{C},$$

via the natural restriction of scalars maps.

Proof. In the following, all tensor products of R -modules are derived. Let $M, N \in \text{Mod}_{R/x^n}(\mathcal{C})$. Then for $m \geq n$, we have by adjunction

$$\text{Hom}_{R/x^m}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{R/x^n}(M \otimes_{R/x^m} R/x^n, N) = \text{Hom}_{R/x^n}(M \otimes_{R/x^n} (R/x^n \otimes_{R/x^m} R/x^n), N),$$

where the relative tensor products are regarded as R/x^n -modules in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$. Similarly, we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \text{Hom}_{R/x^n}(M \otimes_{R/x^n} (R/x^n \otimes_R R/x^n), N).$$

It therefore suffices to show that the tower in $(R/x^n, R/x^n)$ -bimodules $\{R/x^n \otimes_{R/x^m} R/x^n\}_{m \geq n}$ is pro-constant with value $R/x^n \otimes_R R/x^n$; this will prove that

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, N) = \varinjlim_{m \geq n} \text{Hom}_{R/x^m}(M, N),$$

and that the functor in (6) is fully faithful. It is easy to see that any object in \mathcal{C} is (at least up to retracts) in the essential image, since generating objects $R/x \otimes Y$ are in the essential image.

Now the pro-constancy claim follows from the following more precise assertion: the tower of simplicial commutative rings $\{R/x^n \otimes_{R/x^m} R/x^n\}_{m \geq n}$ is pro-constant with value $R/x^n \otimes_R R/x^n$. Indeed, $R/x^n \otimes_R R/x^n$ is the free simplicial commutative ring over R/x^n on a class in degree 1, and a short computation shows that for $m \geq n$, $R/x^n \otimes_{R/x^m} R/x^n$ is the free simplicial commutative ring on classes in degree 1 and 2; moreover, the classes in degree two form a pro-zero system. \square

Finally, we can prove Theorem 1.1, which we restate for arbitrary \mathbb{Z} -linear stable ∞ -categories.

Theorem 2.16. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear stable ∞ -category. Then $L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{C}) = L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{C}[1/p])$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{tors}} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be the subcategory of p -power torsion objects. Then we have a localization sequence $\mathcal{C}_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[1/p]$, so the induced sequence in algebraic K -theory shows that it suffices to prove that $L_{K(1)}K(\mathcal{C}_{\text{tors}}) = 0$. But we have seen (Proposition 2.15) that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{tors}}$ is a filtered colimit of a sequence of stable ∞ -categories, each of which is \mathbb{Z}/p^n -linear for some n . By Proposition 2.14, $L_{K(1)}K$ vanishes for each of these; thus, it vanishes for $\mathcal{C}_{\text{tors}}$ as desired. \square

2.5. Complements. Combining with the main result of [CMM18], we get the following.

Theorem 2.17. *Let R be a commutative ring. Then there is a natural equivalence $L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TC}(R) \simeq L_{K(1)}K(R_{\widehat{p}}[1/p])$. If R is henselian along p , then these are naturally equivalent to $L_{K(1)}K(R[1/p])$.*

Remark 2.18. In the above statement, the p -completion $R_{\widehat{p}}$ can be taken to be either derived or ordinary p -completion; it doesn't matter for the statement, as the $(\bmod p)$ K -theory of $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebras is nil-invariant and truncating in the sense of [LT19].

Proof. We claim that all of the natural maps

$$\mathrm{TC}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{TC}(R_{\widehat{p}}) \leftarrow K(R_{\widehat{p}}) \rightarrow K(R_{\widehat{p}}[1/p])$$

are $K(1)$ -equivalences. For the left map, this is because TC/p is invariant under $(\bmod p)$ equivalences. For the right map this is by Theorem 1.1. For the middle map, [CMM18] gives a fiber square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(R_{\widehat{p}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(R_{\widehat{p}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(R/p; \mathbb{Z}_p) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{TC}(R/p; \mathbb{Z}_p) \end{array} .$$

But $K(1)$ -localization annihilates the bottom row since $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{F}_p) = 0$. Thus we obtain the desired equivalence $L_{K(1)}K(R_{\widehat{p}}) \simeq L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TC}(R_{\widehat{p}})$. The deduction in the p -henselian case follows similarly from [CMM18]. \square

Remark 2.19. This result is a form of the “étale comparison theorem” of Bhatt–Scholze in integral p -adic Hodge theory, [BS19, Th. 9.1]. Indeed, $\mathrm{TC}(R)$ is closely related to the complexes $\mathbb{Z}_p(n)$ of [BMS19], whereas $L_{K(1)}K(R_{\widehat{p}}[1/p])$ is related in a similar manner to the standard étale $\mathbb{Z}_p(n)$'s on the rigid analytic generic fiber [Tho85]. With respect to appropriate motivic filtrations on both sides, we expect this result to recover the étale comparison theorem.

- Question 2.20.** (1) The first statement of Theorem 2.17 also makes sense for associative rings R . It is natural to guess that the theorem holds in that greater generality, and constitutes a kind of “non-commutative p -adic Hodge theory.” We remark that the only ingredient in the above proof which required commutativity was the rigidity result of [CMM18] for the ideal $(p) \subset R$ when R is p -complete.
- (2) One could also speculate about higher height analogs of Theorem 2.17, in the context of structured ring spectra R : is there such a thing as “ v_n -adic Hodge theory”? Note that there is a “red shift” aspect to Theorem 2.17, in that $p = v_0$ is the relevant chromatic element on the inside of the K -theory whereas v_1 is the relevant chromatic element on the outside.

This result can also be interpreted in the light of Selmer K -theory. Recall:

Definition 2.21 (Selmer K -theory, [Cla17]). Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{Z} -linear ∞ -category. We let $K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{TC}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{L_1\mathrm{TC}(\mathcal{C})} L_1K(\mathcal{C})$.

As in [CM19], Selmer K -theory, while a noncommutative invariant (i.e., one defined for stable ∞ -categories), turns out to recover étale K -theory for commutative rings in degrees ≥ -1 . The definition of Selmer K -theory involves a pullback square; it is built from three other noncommutative invariants. We observe here that the pullback, at least after p -adic completion (which we denote by $K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p)$) and for commutative rings, is exactly the arithmetic square.

For the next result, since we need to use derived completion, we work with connective E_∞ -algebras over \mathbb{Z} for convenience. Recall also that $L_{K(1)}: \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ is the composition of L_1 -localization followed by p -completion.

Corollary 2.22. *Let R be a connective E_∞ -algebra over \mathbb{Z} . Then the pullback square defining $K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(R; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is also the tautological pullback square (valid for any localizing invariant) $K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(R_{\hat{p}}; \mathbb{Z}_p) \times_{K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(R_{\hat{p}}[1/p]; \mathbb{Z}_p)} K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(R[1/p]; \mathbb{Z}_p)$.*

Proof. First, $\mathrm{TC}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is invariant under passage to p -completion and agrees with $K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for p -complete connective E_∞ -algebras. Second, the factor $L_{K(1)}K(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is invariant under passage to inverting p on the argument (as we showed above) for \mathbb{Z} -algebras and agrees with $K^{\mathrm{Sel}}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebras. Finally, the map $L_{K(1)}K(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow L_{K(1)}\mathrm{TC}(\cdot; \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an equivalence for p -complete connective E_∞ -algebras, thanks to Theorem 2.17 and the Dundas–Goodwillie–McCarthy theorem. These three observations imply the claim. \square

Remark 2.23. Corollary 2.22 raises the question whether there is a direct definition of Selmer K -theory (at least after p -completion), without forming the above pullback square.

3. THE KÜNNETH FORMULA

To begin with, we recall the $K(1)$ -local case of the celebrated result of Goerss–Hopkins–Miller [GH04, Rez98], which describes (in this case) the E_∞ -ring $KU_{\hat{p}}$ and its space of automorphisms. See also [Lur18, Sec. 5] for a modern account of some generalizations.

Theorem 3.1 (Goerss–Hopkins–Miller). *The space of E_∞ -automorphisms of $KU_{\hat{p}}$ is given by \mathbb{Z}_p^\times , via Adams operations ψ^x , $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, characterized by $\psi^x(t) = x \cdot t$ for all $t \in \pi_2 KU_{\hat{p}}$.*

We can now state the main Künneth-style theorem in the commutative case. In fact, as the proof will show, the analogous statement also holds for non-commutative rings (minus the E_∞ -ring structure, of course). Closely related results appear in [DM98, Mit00] (at least at the level of homotopy groups).

Theorem 3.2. *Let R be any commutative ring. Then there exists a natural, \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant equivalence of E_∞ -rings*

$$L_{K(1)}(K(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}])) \simeq L_{K(1)}(K(R) \otimes KU_{\hat{p}}),$$

where \mathbb{Z}_p^\times acts on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]$ by Galois automorphisms and on $KU_{\hat{p}}$ as in Theorem 3.1.

In the above statements we are only considering \mathbb{Z}_p^\times as a discrete group. This is for simplicity of exposition, but in fact we will also obtain the (appropriately formulated) analogous statements on the level of profinite groups, essentially as a *consequence* of the statements on the level of discrete groups. To accomplish this we will use the following lemma. While the statement involves a non-canonical choice of $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, in the end it will only be used to prove statements which are formulated independently of g .

Lemma 3.3. *Let μ denote the torsion subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times (so $\mu = \mu_{p-1}$ for p odd and $\mu = \mu_2$ for $p = 2$). Further let $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be an element which projects to a topological generator of the quotient $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times / \mu (\cong \mathbb{Z}_p)$, and consider the homomorphism $\mu \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ induced by the inclusion on the first factor and $1 \mapsto g$ on the second factor.*

Then the induced pullback functor

$$\pi^* : \mathrm{Sh}^{\mathrm{hyp}}(B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSh}(B(\mu \times \mathbb{Z}))$$

from hypercomplete sheaves of p -complete spectra on the site of finite continuous \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -sets to presheaves of p -complete spectra on the one-object groupoid $B(\mu \times \mathbb{Z})$ is fully faithful. Moreover, its essential image consists of those p -complete spectra with $\mu \times \mathbb{Z}$ -action whose (mod p) homotopy groups have the property that the action extends continuously to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times .

Proof. The pullback functor is associated to a geometric morphism of topoi, and hence commutes with (mod p) homotopy group sheaves. Thus the pullback functor lands in the claimed full subcategory by the usual equivalence between abelian groups sheaves on $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ and abelian groups with continuous \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -action. Similarly, the pullback functor detects equivalences, as the hypercompleteness lets us check this on (mod p) homotopy group objects. Thus it suffices to show that if M is a p -complete spectrum with $\mu \times \mathbb{Z}$ -action whose induced action on (mod p) homotopy groups extends continuously to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times , then $\pi^* \pi_* M \xrightarrow{\sim} M$. This can be checked on underlying p -complete spectra, where it unwinds to the claim that

$$\varinjlim_H M^{h(H \cap (\mu \times \mathbb{Z}))} \rightarrow M$$

is a (mod p) equivalence. Here H runs over all open subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times and the superscript stands for homotopy fixed points, compare [CM19, Sec. 4.1]. Passing to a cofinal collection of H 's, the above map is equivalent to

$$\varinjlim_n M^{h(p^n \mathbb{Z})} \rightarrow M.$$

Replacing M by M/p , we may as well assume that M is annihilated by a power of p , in which case the condition is equivalent to demanding that the action on the homotopy of M admits a continuous extension to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times , or equivalently is the union of subgroups fixed by some H . As the colimit is filtered, and the limit is uniformly finite, we can then run a dévissage on the Postnikov tower of M and reduce to the case where M is concentrated in a single degree, which may as well be degree 0, and there again we can assume that M is fixed by all sufficiently small H . It follows that the map is an equivalence in degree 0. In degree 1, analyzing the colimit on the left we find that all the terms identify with M but the bonding maps eventually identify with multiplication by p . As M is p -torsion the colimit gives 0, as required. \square

We now construct the map which will implement the equivalence of Theorem 3.2. Let $\mu_{p^\infty} \subset \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]^\times$ be the subgroup of p -power roots of unity, so $\mu_{p^\infty} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Consider the classifying space $B\mu_{p^\infty}$ as an infinite loop space; we have therefore the E_∞ -ring $\Sigma_+^\infty B\mu_{p^\infty}$. Since \mathbb{Z}_p^\times acts on μ_{p^∞} via Galois automorphisms, we obtain a \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -action on $\Sigma_+^\infty B\mu_{p^\infty}$.

Construction 3.4. We have a \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant map of E_∞ -rings

$$\psi : (\Sigma_+^\infty B\mu_{p^\infty})_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}])_{\hat{p}}$$

since for any commutative ring R we have a natural map $\Sigma_+^\infty BR^\times \rightarrow K(R)$. Moreover, the source, which is homotopy equivalent to $(\Sigma_+^\infty BS^1)_{\hat{p}} \simeq (\Sigma_+^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}_p, 2))_{\hat{p}}$, contains the natural Bott class $\beta \in \pi_2$, which is invariant under the \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -action up to unit multiple.

Proposition 3.5. ψ carries β to an invertible element in $\pi_2 L_{K(1)}(K(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]))$.

Proof. By étale hyperdescent for $K(1)$ -local K -theory [Tho85], Theorem 1.1, and Gabber–Suslin rigidity [Gab92], it suffices to verify this after composing to $\pi_2 L_{K(1)}(K(k))$, where k is any separably closed field of characteristic $\neq p$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]$. However, this follows from Suslin's description [Sus83] of $K(k)_{\hat{p}}$ in this case. In particular, $\pi_*(L_{K(1)}K(k))$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra on β . \square

We use now the following fundamental result of Snaith [Sna81] which gives a description of KU via the above constructions (here we only use the p -complete case). See also [Lur18, Sec. 6.5] for a different proof.

Theorem 3.6 (Snaith). *The induced map $((\Sigma_+^\infty B\mu_{p^\infty})_{\hat{p}}[\beta^{-1}])_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow KU_{\hat{p}}$ is an equivalence.*

This furnishes a potentially different \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -action on $KU_{\hat{p}}$ from that of Theorem 3.1; but in fact it must be the same, as it does the same thing on π_2 .

Construction 3.7. We obtain a \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant map of E_∞ -rings

$$KU_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}])$$

obtained from the map ψ by inverting the class β (in the p -complete sense) and using Theorem 3.6. Consequently, we obtain a natural \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant map for any R ,

$$(7) \quad L_{K(1)}(K(R) \otimes KU_{\hat{p}}) \rightarrow L_{K(1)}K(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]).$$

Let us pause and explain how to promote this to an equivariant map for the *profinite* \mathbb{Z}_p^\times , formulated as in Lemma 3.3 in terms of hypercomplete sheaves on the topos $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ of finite continuous \mathbb{Z}_p^\times sets.

Lemma 3.8. *Consider μ_{p^∞} as equipped with its continuous action of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times , hence as an abelian group sheaf on $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Thus $\Sigma_+^\infty B\mu_{p^\infty}$ promotes to a sheaf of E_∞ -ring spectra on $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Then there exists an initial p -complete hypercomplete sheaf of E_∞ -ring spectra $KU_{\hat{p}}$ on $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ equipped with a map*

$$\Sigma_+^\infty B\mu_{p^\infty} \rightarrow KU_{\hat{p}}$$

satisfying the property that on underlying spectra (meaning, after pulling back to the basepoint $$ $\rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$) it carries β to an invertible element. Moreover, on underlying spectra this $KU_{\hat{p}}$ identifies with the usual $KU_{\hat{p}}$ and the map identifies with the usual one.*

Proof. We choose a $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ as in Lemma 3.3 in order to transfer this to the analogous claim for presheaves on $B(\mu \times \mathbb{Z})$. But then it is a consequence of the equivariance of the Snaith identification, explained above. \square

Now we recall that $L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}]) = L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \zeta_{p^\infty}])$ promotes to a hypercomplete sheaf on $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, by Thomason's hyperdescent theorem applied to the p -cyclotomic tower. Moreover the map $B\mu_{p^\infty} \rightarrow \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \zeta_{p^\infty}])$ used to define ψ comes from the finite level maps $B\mu_{p^n} \rightarrow \Omega^\infty K(\mathbb{Z}[1/p, \zeta_{p^n}])$ and hence ψ promotes to a map of sheaves of E_∞ -ring spectra on $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Thus the above lemma does promote our naive discrete \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant map

$$KU_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow L_{K(1)}K(\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_{p^\infty}])$$

to an honest one. The claim that such a map (or one derived from it such as (7)) is an equivalence is independent of whether we think of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times as a discrete or profinite group, since equivalences of hypercomplete sheaves over $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ can be checked on pullback to the basepoint.

Let us formally record this more refined construction, and its fundamental property, in the following.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $KU_{\hat{p}}$ denote the hypercomplete p -complete sheaf of E_∞ -algebras on $B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ constructed in the previous lemma. Let also $\pi: \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])_{\text{et}} \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ be the geometric morphism of topoi encoding the p -cyclotomic extension. Then there is a natural comparison map $\pi^* KU_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow L_{K(1)}K(-)$ of sheaves of E_∞ -rings.*

Furthermore, suppose that X is an algebraic space over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ of finite Krull dimension with a uniform bound on the virtual (mod p) Galois cohomological dimension of its residue fields. Then for $\pi_X : X_{\text{et}} \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the composition of π with the natural projection $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[1/p])$, the induced comparison map

$$\pi_X^* KU_{\hat{p}} \rightarrow L_{K(1)}K(-)$$

identifies the target as the p -completion of the hypercompletion of the source.

Proof. The first statement was proved in the discussion just before. For the second statement, by Thomason's hyperdescent theorem in the general form proved in [CM19], it suffices to check this on strictly henselian local rings; by Gabber–Suslin rigidity, we can even reduce to separably closed fields k . Then this encodes the combination of Suslin's identification of $K(k)_{\hat{p}}$ with Snaith's presentation of $KU_{\hat{p}}$, as already explained above. \square

When R is commutative, one can use similar arguments to directly check that (7) is an equivalence. However, we actually prove below a more general statement for arbitrary localizing invariants over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$, which we formulate next. Let R be a commutative $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra and let E be a localizing invariant for R -linear ∞ -categories (in the sense of [BGT13]) which commutes with filtered colimits. Since everything is linear over algebraic K -theory, we obtain as well from (7) a natural \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -equivariant map

$$(8) \quad L_{K(1)}(E(R) \otimes KU_{\hat{p}}) \rightarrow L_{K(1)}(E(R[\zeta_{p^\infty}])),$$

which we will show to be an equivalence.

To do this, we will need to use a type of Galois descent for the profinite group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times ; recall that $L_{K(1)}S^0 \rightarrow KU_{\hat{p}}$ is a pro-Galois extension for the profinite group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times in the sense studied by Rognes [Rog08]. From this, one can obtain a type of Galois descent with respect to the profinite group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times ; here we formulate an equivalent primitive version using the dense discrete subgroup $\mu \times \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ as in Lemma 3.3.

First, the \mathbb{Z}_p^\times -action on $KU_{\hat{p}}$ yields a functor

$$(9) \quad L_{K(1)}(\cdot \otimes KU_{\hat{p}}) : L_{K(1)}\text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{L_{K(1)}\text{Sp}}(KU_{\hat{p}})^{h(\mu \times \mathbb{Z})},$$

Proposition 3.10. *The natural functor (9) is fully faithful, and the essential image is spanned by those such that on mod p homotopy groups, the stabilizer of any element under the \mathbb{Z} -action contains $p^N \mathbb{Z}$ for $N \gg 0$.*

Proof. Recall that $L_{K(1)}S^0 \simeq (KU_{\hat{p}})^{h(\mu \times \mathbb{Z})}$. Therefore, the functor (9) is fully faithful on the compact generator $L_{K(1)}S^0/p$ of the source. To prove that (9) is fully faithful, it suffices to prove that the image of this object in the target is compact. When $p > 2$, this follows because homotopy invariants over $\mu \times \mathbb{Z}$ can be expressed as the retract of a finite limit. This requires an argument for $p = 2$. In this case, it suffices to show that the unit is compact in $\text{Mod}(KU)^{h\{\pm 1\}}$, which follows by the Galois descent equivalence $\text{Mod}(KU)^{h\{\pm 1\}} \simeq \text{Mod}(KO)$, cf. e.g. [Mat16, Th. 9.4] for an account; in particular, this shows that taking μ -invariants here can also be expressed as a retract of a finite limit.

For essential surjectivity, it suffices to show that if M is a p -complete KU -module with compatible $\mu \times \mathbb{Z}$ -action satisfying the continuity property in the statement, then $M = 0$ if and only if $M^{h(\mu \times \mathbb{Z})} = 0$. Indeed, suppose these homotopy fixed points vanish. Then also by Galois descent up the faithful μ -Galois extension of E_∞ -rings $KU_{\hat{p}}^{h\mu} \rightarrow KU_{\hat{p}}$, it suffices to see that $M^{h\mu} = 0$. Now $(M^{h\mu})^{h\mathbb{Z}} = 0$. But by the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence, since \mathbb{Z} has cohomological dimension 1, we

get that $M^{h\mu} = 0$ as desired. Here we use that any p -adically continuous \mathbb{Z} -action on a nonzero p -torsion abelian group has a nontrivial fixed point. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let R be a commutative $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra and let E be a localizing invariant on R -linear ∞ -categories which commutes with filtered colimits (or just the filtered colimit giving the p -cyclotomic extension of R). Then the natural map (8) is an equivalence.*

Proof. To see that (8) is an equivalence, it suffices to prove that it becomes an equivalence after taking $\mu \times \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ -homotopy fixed points thanks to Proposition 3.10. The homotopy fixed points on the left-hand-side are given by $L_{K(1)}E(R)$. For the right-hand-side, the localizing invariant $L_{K(1)}E(R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[1/p]} -)$ satisfies étale hyperdescent over $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ by [CM19, Th. 7.14]. Using the evident comparison between continuous cohomology on \mathbb{Z}_p^\times and discrete group cohomology on $\mu \times \mathbb{Z}$ (which follows from Lemma 3.3), we find that the natural map $L_{K(1)}E(R) \rightarrow L_{K(1)}(E(R[\zeta_{p^\infty}]))^{h(\mu \times \mathbb{Z})}$ is an equivalence. Thus, (8) becomes an equivalence after taking homotopy fixed points and thus is an equivalence. \square

Finally, Theorem 3.2 follows, since by Theorem 1.1 one reduces to the case where R is a $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -algebra.

REFERENCES

- [Ang15] Vigleik Angeltveit, *On the algebraic K -theory of Witt vectors of finite length*, arXiv:1101.1866 (2015).
- [BGT13] Andrew J. Blumberg, David Gepner, and Gonalo Tabuada, *A universal characterization of higher algebraic K -theory*, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2013), no. 2, 733–838. MR 3070515
- [BMS18] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze, *Integral p -adic Hodge theory*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **128** (2018), 219–397. MR 3905467
- [BMS19] ———, *Topological Hochschild homology and integral p -adic Hodge theory*, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **129** (2019), 199–310. MR 3949030
- [Bru01] Morten Brun, *Filtered topological cyclic homology and relative K -theory of nilpotent ideals*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **1** (2001), 201–230. MR 1823499
- [BS19] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze, *Prisms and prismatic cohomology*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.08229 (2019).
- [Cla17] Dustin Clausen, *A K -theoretic approach to Artin maps*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.07842 (2017).
- [CM19] Dustin Clausen and Akhil Mathew, *Hyperdescent and étale K -theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.06611 (2019).
- [CMM18] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, and Matthew Morrow, *K -theory and topological cyclic homology of henselian pairs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.10897 (2018).
- [CMNN20] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel, *Descent in algebraic K -theory and a conjecture of Ausoni–Rognes*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **22** (2020), no. 4, 1149–1200. MR 4071324
- [DM98] W. G. Dwyer and S. A. Mitchell, *On the K -theory spectrum of a ring of algebraic integers*, *K-Theory* **14** (1998), no. 3, 201–263. MR 1633505
- [Gab92] Ofer Gabber, *K -theory of Henselian local rings and Henselian pairs*, *Algebraic K -theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989)*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 59–70. MR 1156502
- [GH04] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins, *Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra*, *Structured ring spectra*, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, vol. 315, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 151–200. MR 2125040
- [Hes06] Lars Hesselholt, *On the topological cyclic homology of the algebraic closure of a local field*, *An alpine anthology of homotopy theory*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 399, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 133–162. MR 2222509
- [HN19] Lars Hesselholt and Thomas Nikolaus, *Topological cyclic homology*, *Handbook of homotopy theory* (Haynes Miller, ed.), CRC Press/Chapman and Hall, 2019.
- [Hop14] Michael J. Hopkins, *$K(1)$ -local E_∞ -ring spectra*, *Topological modular forms*, *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, vol. 201, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2014, pp. 287–302. MR 3328537

- [Joy85] André Joyal, *δ -anneaux et vecteurs de Witt*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **7** (1985), no. 3, 177–182. MR 789309
- [LMT20] Markus Land, Lennart Meier, and Georg Tamme, *Vanishing results for chromatic localizations of algebraic K -theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2001:10425 (2020).
- [LT19] Markus Land and Georg Tamme, *On the K -theory of pullbacks*, Ann. of Math. (2) **190** (2019), no. 3, 877–930. MR 4024564
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie, *Elliptic cohomology II: Orientations*, Available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-II.pdf>.
- [Mat16] Akhil Mathew, *The Galois group of a stable homotopy theory*, Adv. Math. **291** (2016), 403–541. MR 3459022
- [Mit97] Stephen A. Mitchell, *Hypercohomology spectra and Thomason’s descent theorem*, Algebraic K -theory (Toronto, ON, 1996), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997, pp. 221–277. MR 1466977
- [Mit00] ———, *Topological K -theory of algebraic K -theory spectra*, K -Theory **21** (2000), no. 3, 229–247. MR 1803229
- [Niz98] Wiesława Nizioł, *Crystalline conjecture via K -theory*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **31** (1998), no. 5, 659–681. MR 1643962
- [NS18] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze, *On topological cyclic homology*, Acta Math. **221** (2018), no. 2, 203–409. MR 3904731
- [Qui72] Daniel Quillen, *On the cohomology and K -theory of the general linear groups over a finite field*, Ann. of Math. (2) **96** (1972), 552–586. MR 0315016
- [Rez98] Charles Rezk, *Notes on the Hopkins–Miller theorem*, Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 220, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 313–366. MR 1642902
- [Rog08] John Rognes, *Galois extensions of structured ring spectra. Stably dualizable groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **192** (2008), no. 898, viii+137. MR 2387923
- [Sna81] Victor Snaith, *Localized stable homotopy of some classifying spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **89** (1981), no. 2, 325–330. MR 600247
- [Sus83] A. Suslin, *On the K -theory of algebraically closed fields*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 2, 241–245. MR 714090
- [Tho85] R. W. Thomason, *Algebraic K -theory and étale cohomology*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **18** (1985), no. 3, 437–552. MR 826102