

### **OPEN ACCESS**

### Edited and reviewed by:

Elise Huchard, UMR5554 Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution de Montpellier (ISEM), France

### \*Correspondence:

Axel Rösvik axel.rosvik@slu.se

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

### ‡ORCID:

Axel Rösvik orcid.org/0000-0003-4481-1828 Patrick Lhomme orcid.org/0000-0001-6735-9104 Mohammed A. Khallaf orcid.org/0000-0002-1402-3858 Peter Anderson orcid.org/0000-0003-4105-8236

## Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Behavioral and Evolutionary Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution

> Received: 24 August 2020 Accepted: 16 September 2020 Published: 23 October 2020

### Citation

Rösvik A, Lhomme P, Khallaf MA and Anderson P (2020) Corrigendum: Plant-Induced Transgenerational Plasticity Affecting Performance but Not Preference in a Polyphagous Moth. Front. Ecol. Evol. 8:598395. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2020.598395

# Corrigendum: Plant-Induced Transgenerational Plasticity Affecting Performance but Not Preference in a Polyphagous Moth

Axel Rösvik 1\*††, Patrick Lhomme 1,2††, Mohammed A. Khallaf 1,3‡ and Peter Anderson 1‡

<sup>1</sup> Division of Chemical Ecology, Department of Plant Protection Biology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden, <sup>2</sup> Biodiversity and Crop Improvement Program, International Center of Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas, Rabat, Morocco, <sup>3</sup> Department of Evolutionary Neuroethology, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Jena, Germany

Keywords: within-generation phenotypic plasticity, transgenerational phenotypic plasticity, anticipatory plasticity, larval performance, insect behaviour, Lepidoptera, *Spodoptera littoralis* 

# A Corrigendum on

# Plant-Induced Transgenerational Plasticity Affecting Performance but Not Preference in a Polyphagous Moth


by Rösvik, A., Lhomme, P., Khallaf, M. A., and Anderson, P. (2020). Front. Ecol. Evol. 8:254. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2020.00254

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Figure 5** as published. On the y-axis, the weight of the pupae is given in gram (g) while it should be milligram (mg). The corrected **Figure 5** appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Rösvik, Lhomme, Khallaf and Anderson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

1



**FIGURE 5 |** Pupal weight of larvae reared on maize (light green boxes) and cotton (dark green boxes) of parents reared on either a matching or mismatching diet. Boxplots show means (black lines) and 25-75% percentiles. Whiskers show data ranges, excluding outliers (black circles, defined as values more than one box length from the upper and lower edges of the corresponding boxes). Different letters indicate significant between-treatment differences (LM, Tukey's HSD test, P < 0.05).