POLYNOMIAL PRODUCTS MODULO PRIMES AND APPLICATIONS

OLEKSIY KLURMAN AND MARC MUNSCH

ABSTRACT. For any polynomial $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, we study arithmetic dynamical systems generated by $F_P(n) = \prod P(n) \pmod{p}$, $n \ge 1$.

 $k \leq n$ We apply this to improve the lower bound on the number of distinct quadratic fields of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{F_P(n)})$ in short intervals $M \leq n \leq M+H$ previously due to Cilleruelo, Luca, Quirós and Shparlinski. As a second application, we estimate the average number of missing values of $F_P(n) \pmod{p}$ for special families of polynomials, generalizing previous work of Banks, Garaev, Luca, Schinzel, Shparlinski and others.

1. Introduction

Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a non constant polynomial and define

$$
F_P(n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(i).
$$

Throughout the years, arithmetic properties of the function $F_P(n)$ attracted considerable attention of several authors. Perhaps the first occurrence of this object in the literature dates back to Chebyshev, who considered the case $P_0(n) = n^2 + 1$ and showed that the largest prime factor of $F_{P_0}(n)$ is $\gg n$. See also [12], [13], [15] for refinements of the latter result. Another direction in this investigation stems from equations of the form

$$
(1) \tF_P(n) = m^k
$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 2$. Erdös and Selfridge [\[8\]](#page-14-0) showed that [\(1\)](#page-0-0) has finitely many solutions for $P(x) = x + a$. The same question for $P(x) = ax + b$ was the subject of further investigation ([\[12\]](#page-14-1), [\[13\]](#page-14-2), [\[14\]](#page-14-3), [\[19\]](#page-15-0), [\[24\]](#page-15-1)). Using only elementary arguments, Cilleruelo [\[4\]](#page-14-4) was the first to handle the case of polynomials of degree 2 (precisely $P(x) = x^2 + 1$). Several authors adapted his method to deal with more general quadratic polynomials or reducible higher degree polynomials ([\[3\]](#page-14-5), [\[9\]](#page-14-6), [\[11\]](#page-14-7),

Date: October 6, 2020.

¹⁹⁹¹ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B50, 11D45, 11R09, 11R11, 11R44.

Key words and phrases. Dynamical system modulo p, distribution of sequences modulo p , Diophantine equations, perfect powers, polynomials, prime ideals of number fields.

[\[16\]](#page-14-8), [\[28\]](#page-15-2), [\[29\]](#page-15-3), [\[30\]](#page-15-4)). However, no specific result for irreducible polynomials of degree $d > 3$ is known. Motivated by the previous work [\[5\]](#page-14-9), we study the number of solutions of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) on average over the short intervals.

1.1. **Results on average.** For $M, N \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$ we denote by $S_d(M, N)$ the set of integers n such that there exists $t \geq 1$ satisfying

(2)
$$
F_P(n) = dt^2
$$
, for $n = M + 1, ..., M + N$.

Cilleruelo, Quirós and Shparlinski investigated the averaged version of the problem [\(2\)](#page-1-0). In particular, they proved in [\[5\]](#page-14-9) that uniformly

#Sd(M, N) ≪ N ¹¹/¹²(log N) 1 3 .

Our first goal in this note is to improve this result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial with deg $P \ge 2$. Then, uniformly for squarefree integers $d \ge 1$ and arbitrary integers $M, N \geq 1$, we have

$$
\#S_d(M, N) \ll N^{7/8} (\log N)^{1/4},
$$

where the implied constant depends only on the degree of P.

As a corollary we obtain

Corollary 1.2. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial with deg $P \ge 2$. Then, there are at least $\gg \frac{N^{7/8}}{(\log N)^{1/4}}$ distinct quadratic fields amongst $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{F_P(n)})$ for $n = M + 1, \ldots, M + N$.

This improves on the previous bound given in [\[5\]](#page-14-9). Our second objective is to study the distribution of the values of polynomial products modulo primes.

1.2. Distribution of polynomials products modulo p . The case $P(x) = x$ for which $F_P(n) = n!$ has been extensively investigated in $[1]$, $[2]$, $[6]$, $[10]$, $[17]$ and $[21]$. Our main motivation is the following question:

Question: Given a prime p, what can be said about the cardinality

$$
G_P(p) = |\{F_P(n) \, (\text{mod } p), n = 1 \ldots p\}|?
$$

This is a part of a general program concerning images of dynamical system of non-algrebraic origin. See the survey paper [\[25\]](#page-15-7) for the extensive list of the related problems and references therein. Although $G_P(p)$ is a natural generalization of the analogous quantity for factorials (namely, for $P(x) = x$), in general the asymptotic behaviour might

be rather different. If $P(n)$ has a root modulo p and n_0 denotes the smallest of such roots, we have

$$
F_P(n) \equiv 0 \, (\bmod \, p),
$$

for all $n \geq n_0$. In this case, $G_P(p) \leq n_0$. Furthermore, we know since Nagel [\[22\]](#page-15-8) that there exists very large prime factors dividing polynomial products. This culminates with the work of Tenenbaum [\[27\]](#page-15-9) who showed

$$
P^+(F_P(n)) \gg n \exp((\log n)^{\alpha} \text{ for each } 0 < \alpha < 2 - \log 4
$$

where the implied constants depend only on α and P. Choosing such large primes p , we derive

$$
G_P(p) \ll p \exp(-(\log p)^{\alpha})
$$

for every α as above. In order to circumvent this problem, we confine ourselves with the case where p does not divide $P(n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This brings significant complications to the analysis below. One might speculate that

$$
G_P(p) \sim C_p p.
$$

This is in line with the conjecture of Erdős and Schinzel [\[23\]](#page-15-10) for the case $P(x) = x$ and $C_p = (1 - \frac{1}{e})$ $\frac{1}{e}$). The random model of this problem, namely when n! (mod p) is replaced by the product $\pi(1)\pi(2)\dots\pi(n)$ (mod p) where π is a random permutation has been studied in the works [\[6\]](#page-14-12) and [\[21\]](#page-15-6).

In the last section of the paper, we show that $G_P(p)$ is not too large on average over these "good" primes, in other words, $F_P(n)$ misses a lot of values modulo p. This generalizes previous works for the case of factorials [\[1\]](#page-14-10), [\[17\]](#page-15-5). Similar results have been obtained for some others maps $n \to F(n)$ (mod p), see the survey [\[25\]](#page-15-7) for more details.

For the sake of proving such results, we restrict ourselves to several families of polynomials. First, suppose that $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is such that its splitting field (which we denote by $Spl(P)$) is an imaginary quadratic extension of Q. Next theorem shows that the number of "missing" residue classes tends to infinity on average for such polynomials.

Theorem 1.3. For any imaginary quadratic $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} (p - G_P(p)) \gg \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log \log \log x}.
$$

Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) directly implies:

Corollary 1.4. There exists infinitely many primes p such that P does not have a root modulo p and

$$
p - G_P(p) \gg \frac{\log \log \log p}{\log \log \log \log p}.
$$

Assuming Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) the bound from Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) can be improved.

Theorem 1.5. Assume that GRH is true. Then,

$$
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} (p - G_P(p)) \gg \log x.
$$

As before, Theorem [1.5](#page-3-0) directly implies:

Corollary 1.6. Assume that GRH is true. There exists infinitely many primes p such that P does not have a root modulo p and

$$
p - G_P(p) \gg \log p.
$$

We prove analogue results for binomials of any degree which, in some sense, generalizes the case of imaginary quadratic polynomials. Even though the method is essentially similar, the algebraic properties of the polynomials involved and their utilization in the proof are different.

Theorem 1.7. Let $P(x) = x^d - a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with d coprime to a and $a \neq \pm 1$ squarefree. Then

$$
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} (p - G_P(p)) \gg_{d,a} \frac{\log \log \log x}{\log \log \log \log x}.
$$

Again, Theorem [1.7](#page-3-1) directly implies:

Corollary 1.8. There exists infinitely many primes p such that P does not have a root modulo p and

$$
p - G_P(p) \gg_{d,a} \frac{\log \log \log p}{\log \log \log \log p}.
$$

In Theorem [1.7](#page-3-1) and Corollary [1.8,](#page-3-2) the dependence on a is in fact a dependence on the smallest prime factor of a. Furthermore, the condition d coprime to a can be weakened. Finally, akin results under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis can also be deduced.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section [2,](#page-3-3) we prove the results of Section [1.1.](#page-1-1) We prove the necessary algebraic results concerning a suitable family of shifted polynomials in Section [3](#page-5-0) and conclude with the proofs of results announced in Section [1.2.](#page-1-2)

2. Number of solutions of [\(1\)](#page-0-0) in intervals

We proceed using the square sieve in a different way than in [\[5\]](#page-14-9). In order to prove our theorem, we now collect a few auxiliary lemmas from [\[5\]](#page-14-9) and incorporate an additional combinatorial input.

2.1. Technical lemmas. The following lemma can be deduced from the Weil bound and is stated in that way in [\[5,](#page-14-9) Lemma 4].

Lemma 2.1. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an arbitrary polynomial with $D =$ deg $P \ge 2$. For all primes $l \ne p$ such that $P(x)$ is not a perfect square modulo l and p, we have

$$
\sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left(\frac{P(n)}{lp}\right) \ll D^2 \left(\frac{N}{lp} + 1\right) (lp)^{1/2} \log(hp).
$$

Remark 2.2. The result remains true for linear polynomials and is, in that case, a direct consequence of the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality.

We recall a consequence of Chebotarev Density Theorem. Denote by \mathcal{L}_z the set of primes in the interval $[z, 2z]$ such that $P(x)$ has no root modulo l.

Lemma 2.3. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree $D \geq 2$. We have

$$
\#\mathcal{L}_z = \frac{1}{\kappa} (\pi(2z) - \pi(z)) + O\left(z(\log z)^{-2}\right),
$$

where $\kappa \leq \frac{D!}{(D-1)}$ is a positive rational number depending on the polynomial $P(x)$ and $\pi(x)$, as usual denotes the number of primes $\leq x$.

For an integer $h \geq 1$, we introduce

$$
F_h(x) = \prod_{n=1}^h P(x+n) \in \mathbb{Z}[x].
$$

In order to apply Weil's inequality, we need to ensure that these particular polynomials are not squares modulo a lot of primes. The next result follows directly from the proof of the main Theorem of [\[5\]](#page-14-9).

Lemma 2.4. Let $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree $D \geq 2$ and $H \geq 1$. There is at most $O(H \log H / \log \log H)$ primes p such that F_h is a square modulo p for some $0 < h \leq H$.

2.2. Proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-3) Fix H as a parameter which will be chosen later. Write $S_d(M, N) = S_1 \cup S_2$ where

$$
S_1 = \{ n \in S_d(M, N), m - n > H \text{ for all } m > n \in S_d(M, N) \}
$$

and let $S_2 = S_d(M, N) \backslash S_1$. Clearly we have $\#S_1 \le N/H$ and we want to upper bound the cardinality of S_2 in terms of H. First, remark that if $n \in S_2$, this implies that there exists $h \leq H$ such that n and $n + h$ are solutions of the equation [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and it follows easily that $F_h(n)$ is a square. Therefore $\left(\frac{F_h(n)}{l}\right)$ $\left(\frac{n}{l}\right)$ = 1 for all primes not dividing $F_h(n)$. Particularly, this holds for primes l such that P has no roots modulo l . For a parameter z which will be chosen later as well, we can choose such

primes using Lemma [2.3.](#page-4-0) Let us denote by \mathcal{L}_z this set of good primes. Using Lemma [2.4](#page-4-1) we have that for sufficiently large z , there is at least half of the primes $l \in L_z$ such that $F_h(x)$ is not a perfect square modulo l for every $h \leq H$ (z will be chosen such that $H \log H = o(z/\log z)$). We denote this set of primes by P_z . Thus, we have that for every $n \in S_2$, there exists $h \leq H$ such that

$$
\sum_{l\in\mathcal{P}_z}\left(\frac{F_h(n)}{l}\right)=\#\mathcal{P}_z.
$$

Hence, averaging over the interval we get

$$
(\#\mathcal{P}_z)^2 \# S_2 \ll \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathcal{P}_z} \left(\frac{F_h(n)}{l} \right) \right)^2.
$$

Separating the diagonal contribution from the nondiagonal terms, we obtain

$$
(\#\mathcal{P}_z)^2 \#S_2 \ll NH \#\mathcal{P}_z + \sum_{l,p \in \mathcal{P}_z \atop l \neq p} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq H} \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} \left(\frac{F_h(n)}{lp} \right).
$$

Applying Lemma [2.1,](#page-4-2) we derive

#S² ≪ NH #P^z + (#Pz) [−]² X l,p∈Pz l6=p X 1≤h≤H h 2 (lp) 1/2 N lp + 1 log(lp).

It leads to

$$
\#S_2 \ll \frac{NH}{\#\mathcal{P}_z} + H^3 z \left(\frac{N}{z^2} + 1\right) \log z.
$$

Setting $z = \sqrt{N}$, we end up with

$$
\#S_2 \ll \frac{NH(\log N)}{\sqrt{N}} + H^3 \sqrt{N} \log N.
$$

Choosing the optimal parameter $H = \frac{N^{1/8}}{(\log N)^5}$ $\frac{N^{1/6}}{(\log N)^{1/4}}$ concludes the proof.

3. VALUE DISTRIBUTION OF POLYNOMIALS PRODUCTS MODULO p

As was mentioned in the introduction, we are interested in the quantity $G_P(p)$. We have a trivial lower bound.^{[1](#page-5-1)}

Proposition 3.1. Suppose p is a prime that does not divide $P(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
G_P(p) \ge \sqrt{\frac{p}{\deg P}}.
$$

¹Improving this bound seems hard to the authors.

Proof. Since every polynomial P has at most deg P roots, for $p \ge \deg P$ we have that

$$
|P(n) \,(\bmod \, p)| \ge \frac{p}{\deg P}.
$$

Now take different values $P(n_1), P(n_2), \ldots, P(n_k)$ such that $P(n_i) \neq$ $P(n_i) \pmod{p}$ for $i \neq j$. Consider the pairs of the form $(n_i - 1, n_i)$. Clearly,

$$
\frac{F_P(n_i)}{F_P(n_i-1)} = P(n_i)
$$

and the result follows in exactly the same way as in the case of factorials [\[17\]](#page-15-5) which corresponds to $P(n) = n$.

Our goal in this section is to show that $G_P(p)$ is not too large on average for "good" primes. We restrict ourselves to some specific families of polynomials. In the first part, we assume that the splitting field of P is an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} . In the second part, we extend our results to some particular polynomials of any degree.

3.1. Algebraic properties of polynomial products. We fix a few standard notations from algebraic number theory. Let L/\mathbb{Q} be a Galois extension of number fields and denote by n_L its degree. If G is the Galois group of this extension, we denote by C a subset of G stable by conjugation. For all $x > 1$, the function $\pi_C(x)$ will count the number of prime numbers $p \leq x$, non ramified in L, and such that L/\mathbb{Q} $\left\lfloor \frac{p}{p} \right\rfloor \in C$, where $\left\lceil \frac{L/\mathbb{Q}}{p} \right\rceil$ $\left\lfloor \frac{\sqrt{Q}}{p} \right\rfloor$ is the unique Galois automorphism (up to conjugacy) such that its reduction modulo p coincides with the Frobenius automorphism. In case $C = G$ we have

$$
\pi_C(x) = \pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}
$$

when $x \to +\infty$.

For any ideal $\mathfrak I$ of the ring of integers $\mathcal O_L$, we denote the norm of an ideal by $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{I})$ and write $N(\mathfrak{I})$. We also denote by $f(\mathfrak{p}/p)$ the inertial degree $|[\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{p}:\mathbb{F}_p]|$ of the ideal \mathfrak{p} above the rational prime p. The function $\pi_L(x)$ will count the number of prime ideals **p** of L such that $N(\mathfrak{p}) \leq x$. Finally, denote by d_L the absolute discriminant of the extension L.

In the rest of the paper, we consider the family of polynomials defined by $f_n(x) = P(x)P(x+1)...P(x+n-1)-1, n \geq 1$ and $P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

3.1.1. Imaginary quadratic case. In this section, take $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that the splitting field of the polynomial P (which we denote by $Spl(P)$) is an imaginary quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q} . Denote by α_1 and α_2 the complex roots of P. Hence $Spl(P)=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)$ and denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)}$ the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)$.

Proposition 3.2. There exists an effective constant C_P depending only on P such that $f_n(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)}[x]$ for $n > C_P$.

Proof. Observe that

$$
f_n(\alpha) = -1
$$
, $\alpha = \alpha_i - j$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 \le j \le n - 1$.

Now, suppose that $f_n(x) = g(x)h(x)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)}[x]$ with $\deg g \geq n$ and deg $h \geq 1$. First, note that the above $2n$ values of α are distinct. Indeed, otherwise, we would have $\alpha_1 - j_1 = \alpha_2 - j_2$ with $j_1 \neq j_2$. It would imply $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + k$ with k a nonzero integer which forces α_1 to be rational by Vieta's formulas. Hence q has to take units values for $2n$ distinct algebraic integers of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)}$. The hypothesis implies that there are at most six units in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)}$. Thus, by the pigeonhole principle, we derive that $g(\beta_i) = u$ for at least $n/3$ distinct algebraic integers β_i^2 β_i^2 . Consequently,

$$
g(x) = (x - \beta_1)(x - \beta_2) \cdots (x - \beta_{\frac{n}{3}})q(x) + u.
$$

Suppose that g takes another unit value u' at an algebraic integer point β . Then

(3)
$$
(\beta - \beta_1)(\beta - \beta_2) \cdots (\beta - \beta_{\frac{n}{3}})q(\beta) = u' - u.
$$

Define $M = \max \{d(y), y = v_1 - v_2, v_1, v_2 \text{ units}\}\$ where $d(y)$ denotes the divisor function in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)}$. If $n > 3M$, then immediately get a contradiction.

In fact, in view of [\(3\)](#page-7-1), the values $\beta - \beta_j$, $1 \le j \le \frac{n}{3}$ $\frac{n}{3}$ have to be divisors of $u' - u$ and we have at most M of those. We deduce that $g(\alpha) = u$ for all $\alpha_i - j$, $i = 1, 2, 0 \le j \le n - 1$ and so $h(\alpha) = -1/u$ at these $2n$ values. This is indeed impossible since deg $h \leq n$.

 \Box

We note that the previous argument is standard while working over Q, see for example, [\[7,](#page-14-14) Theorem 8] or [\[15,](#page-14-15) Theorem 5.1]. We deduce

Corollary 3.3. Suppose that $Spl(P)$ is an imaginary quadratic extension of Q. Letn > C_P be as in Proposition [3.2](#page-7-2) and set β to be a root of f_n . Then

$$
\mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap Spl(P) = \mathbb{Q}.
$$

Proof. Let $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)$ denote the splitting field of P. Suppose that $\mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap$ $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1) \neq \mathbb{Q}$, in which case $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$. By Proposition [3.2](#page-7-2) f_n is irreducible over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)}[x]$. Therefore, β is of degree 2n over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)$ and also over Q. The following diagram immediately yields a contradiction.

²These are a subset of the previous $\alpha_i - j$.

The argument above heavily relies on the finiteness of the units of the splitting field of P , thus it can only work when the roots of P lie in an imaginary quadratic extension. However, we can prove weaker (but sufficient for our applications) algebraic results concerning some subfamily of polynomials $f_n(x)$ for some other class of polynomials as we will show in the next section.

3.1.2. Radical extension case. In this section, we consider the case of binomials P of higher degrees which give rise to simple radical extensions. The first two lemmas are well-known.

Lemma 3.4 (Theorem 9.1, [\[20\]](#page-15-11)). Let a an element of a field K , and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the polynomial $x^d - a$ is irreducible over K if and only if $a \notin K^p$ for all primes $p \mid d$ and $a \notin -4K^4$ when $4 \mid d$.

An easy classical computation gives the discriminant of such polynomials.

Lemma 3.5.

$$
disc(x^{d} + ax + b) = (-1)^{\frac{d(d-1)}{2}}((1-d)^{d-1}a^{d} + d^{d}b^{d-1}).
$$

In particular,

$$
|disc(x^d - a)| = d^n a^{d-1}.
$$

Assume $P(x) = x^d - a$ with $a \neq \pm 1$ a squarefree integer. Then for any integer $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
f_{kq}(x) \bmod q = P(x)P(x+1)...P(x+kq-1) - 1 \bmod q
$$

= $(x^d(x+1)^d)...(x+q-1)^d)^k - 1 \bmod q$

for every prime divisor q of a . Under these conditions, we deduce

Lemma 3.6. Suppose that $q|a$ and $(dk, q) = 1$. Then

$$
disc(f_{kq}(x)) \bmod q = disc(f_{kq}(x) \bmod q) \neq 0.
$$

 \Box

Proof. Using the previous reduction, we can easily show that the polynomials $f_{kq}(x)$ and $f'_{kq}(x)$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Thus, denoting by $Res(f, g)$ the resultant of two polynomials, we have

$$
Res(f_{kq}(x) \bmod p, f'_{kq}(x) \bmod p) \neq 0.
$$

This implies that $disc(f_{kq}(x) \mod q) \neq 0$ or equivalently $q \nmid disc(f_{kq}(x))$.

Combining all of the above, we arrive at

Proposition 3.7. Assume $P(x) = x^d - a$ with $(d, a) = 1$ and $a \neq \pm 1$ squarefree. If $k \geq 1$ is such that $(k, a) = 1$ and q is a prime divisor of a then $P(x)$ is irreducible over $K_{kq} := \mathbb{Q}(\beta_{kq})$ where β_{kq} is a root of f_{kq} .

Proof. We show that the conditions of Lemma [3.4](#page-8-0) are fulfilled. We only need to verify that $a \notin K_{kq}^p$ for p a prime divisor of d. If $a \in K_{kq}^p$, then there exists $\beta \in K_{kq}$ such that $a = \beta^p$, in other words the polynomial $x^p - a$ has a root in K_{kq} . Thus, $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ would be a subextension of K_{kq} . By hypothesis, $x^p - a$ has no rational root and thus it is irreducible over $\mathbb Q$. Hence it is the minimal polynomial of β and, by Lemma [3.6,](#page-8-1) q divides the absolute discriminant of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$. Therefore, q should ramify in K_{kq} . We know that $disc(K_{kq})$ is a factor of the discriminant of the minimal polynomial of β_{kq} which is by definition a divisor of f_{kq} . Thus by transitivity, q has to divide $disc(f_{kq})$ which is in contradiction with Lemma [3.6.](#page-8-1)

 \Box

In order to bound discriminants of number fields and locate Siegel zeroes, we need the the following simple result.

Lemma 3.8. Take $P(x) = x^d - a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ an irreducible polynomial and $d \geq 2$. If β_i , $i = 1 \dots n$ are the complex roots of f_n , then

$$
|\beta_i - \beta_j| \ll_d n, \quad i \neq j.
$$

Proof. A direct application of Rouché's Theorem to the polynomials f_n and $g = f_n + 1$ in the ball $B(0, 3(n + \sqrt{a})) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 3(n + \sqrt{a})\}$ gives the result after noticing that the roots of the polynomial g are exactly $-k+\zeta \sqrt[d]{a}$, $k = 0 \ldots n-1$ with ζ being a d-th root of unity. \square

3.2. Proofs of the results of Section [1.2.](#page-1-2)

3.2.1. Proof of Theorem [1.3.](#page-2-0) Let N be a parameter which will be determined later. For $n \geq 1$ we consider the family of polynomials

$$
f_n(t) = P(t)P(t+1)...P(t+n-1) - 1.
$$

By Proposition [3.2,](#page-7-2) $f_n(t)$ is irreducible over Q for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\rho_n(p)$ denote the number of roots of $f_n(t)$ modulo p. Taking p such that P has no root modulo p, we observe that $f_n(t_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies

$$
F_P(t_0 + n - 1) = F_P(t_0 - 1) \, (\text{mod } p).
$$

Therefore, each distinct root of $f_n(t)$ modulo p increases the number of "missing" values by 1, so we get

$$
(4) \quad \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} (p - G_P(p)) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} \rho_n(p).
$$

Our task now is to produce a lot of roots of f_n for many values of p.

As before, denote by $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1)$ the splitting field of P. For n sufficiently large, we know by Corollary [3.3](#page-7-3) that

$$
\mathbb{Q}(\beta_n) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1) = \mathbb{Q}
$$

where β_n is a root of f_n . In the following, we will denote by K_n the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_n)$.

We need a lot of primes p such that P has no root mod p and f_n has a root mod p. We will achieve this by producing a lot of primes $\mathfrak p$ above p such that $f(\mathfrak{p}/p) = 1$ and p does not split in $K_n(\alpha_1)$.

Indeed, by Dedekind's theorem we know that, up to finitely many exceptions, p splits in $K_n(\alpha_1)$ if and only if P splits in $\mathcal{O}_{K_n}/\mathfrak{p}[x] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[x]$ where the last isomorphism comes from the fact that $\mathfrak p$ is of inertial degree 1. Denote by C the set of degree 1 primes of K_n which does not split in $K_n(\alpha_1)$. By a standard argument, the prime ideals **p** of K_n of inertial degree $f(\mathfrak{p}/p) > 1$ will give negligible contribution. Moreover, we remark that at most $2n$ degree 1 primes of K_n correspond to the same rational prime. Hence, we get

(5)
$$
\sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} \rho_n(p) \ge \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\substack{N_{K_n/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) \le x \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ does not split in } K_n(\alpha_1)}} 1.
$$

The strategy is to apply Chebotarev density theorem in the Galois extension $K_n(\alpha_1)/K_n$. We have using [\[18\]](#page-15-12) that there exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 1$

(6)
$$
\sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p}) \le x, f(\mathfrak{p}/p) = 1 \ \mathfrak{p} \text{ does not split in } K_n(\alpha_1)}} 1 = \frac{\pi(x)}{2} + O\left(Li(x^{\beta_n}) + x \exp\left(-c\sqrt{\frac{\log x}{4n}}\right)\right)
$$

where β_n is the potential positive real zero of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{K_n(\alpha_1)}$ and

$$
0<1-\beta_n\ll\frac{1}{\log d_{K_n(\alpha_1)}}.
$$

By a result of Stark [\[26,](#page-15-13) Theorem 1′], there exists an absolute constant c_1 such that

(7)
$$
\beta_n \le \max\left\{1 - \frac{1}{(4n)! \log |d_{K_n(\alpha_1)}|}, 1 - \frac{1}{c_1 |d_{K_n(\alpha_1)}|^{1/4n}}\right\}
$$

Using (4) , (5) together with (6) , we derive

$$
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} (p - G_P(p)) \ge \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{4n} + ET
$$

where

(8)
$$
ET = O\left(\frac{1}{\pi(x)}\sum_{n=1}^{N} Li(x^{\beta_n}) + x \exp\left(-c\sqrt{\frac{\log x}{4n}}\right)\right).
$$

Hence, we have to choose a parameter N such that

(9)
$$
\log N \gg \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \left\{ Li(x^{\beta_n}) + x \exp \left(-c \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{4n}} \right) \right\}.
$$

The sum of the exponential terms in [\(9\)](#page-11-1) satisfies this as long as

$$
N \ll \frac{\log x}{(\log \log x)^2}.
$$

We have the following bound for the discriminant using transitivity formula and Lemma [3.8](#page-9-0)

$$
d_{K_n(\alpha_1)} = d_{K_n}^2 \cdot N_{K_n/\mathbb{Q}} \left(d_{K_n(\alpha_1)/K_n} \right)
$$

\n
$$
\leq \prod_{i < j} |\beta_i - \beta_j|^4 d^n
$$

\n
$$
\ll (n^4)^{4n^2} d^n \ll n^{64n^2} d^n
$$

where d is the discriminant of the quadratic extension $Spl(P)$. To bound the contribution coming from the potential Siegel zeroes, we apply the result of Stark [\(7\)](#page-11-2) together with the discriminant bound [\(10\)](#page-11-3) to arrive at

(11)
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} Li(x^{\beta_n}) \ll \sum_{n=1}^{N} x^{-\frac{1}{n^{c_2 n}}} \ll N x^{-\frac{1}{N^{c_2 N}}}
$$

where c_2 is an absolute constant.

Using the previous bound [\(11\)](#page-12-0) and standard computations, we deduce that inequality [\(9\)](#page-11-1) is true as long as

(12)
$$
N \ll \frac{\log \log x}{\log \log \log x}.
$$

We used twice Dedekind's theorem and so we have to bound the contribution of "bad" rational primes and of "bad" rational primes which have a "bad" prime of degree 1 above. Thus, the "bad" rational primes are exactly the primes dividing $[\mathcal{O}_{K_n} : \mathbb{Z}[\beta_n]]$ or $[\mathcal{O}_{K_n(\alpha_1)} : \mathcal{O}_{K_n}[\alpha_1]] \leq$ 2. We have at most $\omega(2n) \ll \log n$ of such primes and, using [\(4\)](#page-10-0), their total contribution is at most

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ p^r \text{ bad}}} \rho_n(p) \ll \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} n \log n \ll \frac{N^2 \log N}{\pi(x)} = o(\log N).
$$

Remark 3.9. We remark that we are not working directly in the compositum of the splitting fields of P and f_n . The reason is that it is much harder, in general, to prove the "independence" of the splitting conditions.

3.2.2. Proof of Theorem [1.5.](#page-3-0) We consider as before the family of polynomials f_n and the associated family of extensions K_n of degree $2n$. Following the same lines as in the proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) and replacing the error term in Chebotarev density theorem by the conditional one (see [\[18\]](#page-15-12)), we obtain

(13)
$$
\sum_{\substack{N(\mathfrak{p}) \le x, f(\mathfrak{p}/p) = 1 \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ does not split in } K_n(\alpha_1)}} 1 = \frac{\pi(x)}{2} + O\left(x^{1/2} (\log d_{K_n(\alpha_1)} + 4n \log x)\right).
$$

Averaging over the family of polynomials $\{f_n(x), 1 \leq n \leq N\}$ and performing the same computation as in the proof of Theorem [1.3,](#page-2-0) we arrive at

$$
\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \le x \\ P \text{ has no root mod } p}} (p - G_P(p)) \ge \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{4n} + ET
$$

where

(14)
$$
ET = O\left(\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ x^{1/2} (\log d_{K_n(\alpha_1)} + 4n \log x) \right\} \right).
$$

Hence, we have to choose a parameter N such that

(15)
$$
\log N \gg \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ x^{1/2} (\log d_{K_n(\alpha_1)} + 4n \log x) \right\}.
$$

Using the discriminant bound [\(10\)](#page-11-3), we can bound error term by

$$
ET \ll \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ x^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log x (\log(n^{64n^2}) + n \log x) \right\} \ll \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{x}} N^3.
$$

An easy computation shows that the error term is negligible compared to log N provided that $N \ll x^{1/6}$ and the result follows.

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem [1.3,](#page-2-0) we can easily deal with the contribution of "bad" primes.

3.2.3. Proof of Theorem [1.7.](#page-3-1) As in subsection [3.1.2,](#page-8-2) we assume that $P(x) = x^d - a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with d coprime to a and $a \neq \pm 1$ squarefree. The proof follows the same lines as the proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) and thus we merely sketch some of the modifications required.

Denoting by K_n the extension $\mathbb{Q}(\beta_n)$ with β_n a root of the polynomial f_n , we want to apply Chebotarev theorem in the Galois extension $(Spl(P), K_n)/K_n$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that f_n is irreducible^{[3](#page-13-0)} over Q. Indeed, we can replace f_n by an irreducible factor g_n and look for primes p such that g_n has a root modulo p (and so f_n).

Thus, we can assume that K_n is a field obtained by adjoining a root of an irreducible polynomial and apply Dedekind's theorem to relate prime ideals of degree 1 in K_n with roots of g_n modulo p. In order to use results from subsection [3.1.2,](#page-8-2) we restrict ourselves to the subfamily of polynomials f_{kq} with $(k, q) = 1$ and q being the smallest prime factor of a.

We know by Proposition [3.7](#page-9-1) that P is irreducible over K_{kq} and consequently the Galois group of P over K_{ka} is transitive. Thus, it contains a conjugacy class C consisting of elements without fixed point. Hence, by Chebotarev theorem there exists a positive proportion of primes (depending only on d the degree of P) of degree 1 (over $\mathbb Q$) primes p of K_{kq} such that the associated Frobenius lies in C.

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem [1.3,](#page-2-0) these prime ideals are associated to rational primes p such that P has no root modulo p and f_{ka} has a root modulo p. More precisely, at most $n_{K_{ka}} \leq dkq$ of these ideals lies above the same rational prime p.

³The irreducible case is in fact the worst. Indeed, if f_n has a lot of factors, it will produce many more roots modulo p and consequently many missing values.

Averaging over the family of polynomials $\{f_{kq}(x), 1 \leq k \leq N\}$, we arrive at a similar inequality as [\(5\)](#page-10-1). Performing the same kind of computation as in the proof of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-0) concludes the proof.

Remark 3.10. The main difference comes from a factor term $1/dq$ which arises because we work in a thinner family of polynomials. This gives the dependence in terms of the degree of the original polynomial P as well as its coefficients in the statement of Theorem [1.7.](#page-3-1)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Andrew Granville and Igor Shparlinski for valuable remarks. M.M greatly acknowledges support of the Austrian Science Fund (FWF), START-project Y-901 "Probabilistic methods in analysis and number theory" headed by Christoph Aistleitner.

REFERENCES

- [1] William D. Banks, Florian Luca, Igor E. Shparlinski, and Henning Stichtenoth. On the value set of n! modulo a prime. *Turkish J. Math.*, 29(2):169–174, 2005.
- [2] Kevin A. Broughan and A. Ross Barnett. On the missing values of $n! \mod p$. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 24(3):277–284, 2009.
- [3] Yong-Gao Chen, Ming-Liang Gong, and Xiao-Zhi Ren. On the products $(1^{\ell} +$ $1)(2^{\ell}+1)\cdots(n^{\ell}+1)$. *J. Number Theory*, 133(8):2470-2474, 2013.
- [4] Javier Cilleruelo. Squares in $(1^2 + 1) \cdots (n^2 + 1)$. *J. Number Theory*, 128(8):2488–2491, 2008.
- [5] Javier Cilleruelo, Florian Luca, Adolfo Quirós, and Igor E. Shparlinski. On squares in polynomial products. *Monatsh. Math.*, 159(3):215–223, 2010.
- [6] C. Cobeli, M. Vâjâitu, and A. Zaharescu. The sequence n! (mod p). *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.*, 15(2):135–154, 2000.
- [7] H. L. Dorwart and Oystein Ore. Criteria for the irreducibility of polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 34(1):81–94, 1933.
- [8] P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge. The product of consecutive integers is never a power. *Illinois J. Math.*, 19:292–301, 1975.
- [9] Jin-Hui Fang. Neither $\prod_{k=1}^{n} (4k^2 + 1)$ nor $\prod_{k=1}^{n} (2k(k-1) + 1)$ is a perfect square. *Integers*, 9:A16, 177–180, 2009.
- [10] M. Z. Garaev and J. Hernández. A note on n! modulo p. Monatsh. Math., 182(1):23–31, 2017.
- [11] Erhan Gürel and Ali Ulaş Özgür Kişisel. A note on the products $(1^{\mu}+1)(2^{\mu}+$ $1) \cdots (n^{\mu} + 1)$. *J. Number Theory*, 130(1):187-191, 2010.
- [12] K. Győry. On the Diophantine equation $n(n + 1) \cdots (n + k - 1) = bx^l$. *Acta Arith.*, 83(1):87–92, 1998.
- [13] K. Győry, L. Hajdu, and \acute{A} . Pintér. Perfect powers from products of consecutive terms in arithmetic progression. *Compos. Math.*, 145(4):845–864, 2009.
- [14] K. Győry, L. Hajdu, and N. Saradha. On the Diophantine equation $n(n + 1)$ $d) \cdots (n + (k-1)d) = by^l$. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 47(3):373-388, 2004.
- [15] K. Győry, L. Hajdu, and R. Tijdeman. Irreducibility criteria of Schur-type and P´olya-type. *Monatsh. Math.*, 163(4):415–443, 2011.
- [16] Pak Tung Ho. Squares in $(1^2 + m^2) \cdots (n^2 + m^2)$. *Integers*, 9:A53, 711-716, 2009.
- [17] Oleksiy Klurman and Marc Munsch. Distribution of factorials modulo p. *J. Th´eor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 29(1):169–177, 2017.
- [18] J. C. Lagarias and A. M. Odlyzko. Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem. In *Algebraic number fields:* L*-functions and Galois properties (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Durham, Durham, 1975)*, pages 409–464. Academic Press, London, 1977.
- [19] Shanta Laishram and T. N. Shorey. The equation $n(n+d)\cdots(n+(k-1)d)$ by² with $\omega(d) \leq 6$ or $d \leq 10^{10}$. *Acta Arith.*, 129(3):249-305, 2007.
- [20] Serge Lang. *Algebra*, volume 211 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.
- [21] Vsevolod F. Lev. Permutations in abelian groups and the sequence n! (mod p). *European J. Combin.*, 27(5):635–643, 2006.
- [22] Trygve Nagel. Généralisation d'un théorème de Tchebycheff. *Journal de* mathématiques pures et appliquées, 8(4):343-356, 1921.
- [23] B. Rokowska and A. Schinzel. Sur un problème de M. Erdős. *Elem. Math.*, 15:84–85, 1960.
- [24] T. N. Shorey and R. Tijdeman. Perfect powers in products of terms in an arithmetical progression. *Compositio Math.*, 75(3):307–344, 1990.
- [25] Igor E. Shparlinski. Dynamical systems of non-algebraic origin: fixed point and orbit lengths. In *Dynamics and numbers*, volume 669 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 261–283. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [26] H. M. Stark. Some effective cases of the Brauer-Siegel theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 23:135–152, 1974.
- [27] G. Tenenbaum. Sur une question d'Erdös et Schinzel. II. *Invent. Math.*, 99(1):215–224, 1990.
- [28] Wenpeng Zhang and Tingting Wang. Powerful numbers in $(1^k + 1)(2^k +$ $1) \cdots (n^k + 1)$. *J. Number Theory*, 132(11):2630-2635, 2012.
- [29] Zhong Feng Zhang and Ping Zhi Yuan. Squares in $\prod_{k=1}^{n} (ak^2 + bk + c)$. *Acta Math. Sinica (Chin. Ser.)*, 53(1):199–204, 2010.
- [30] Zhongfeng Zhang. Powers in $\prod_{k=1}^{n} (ak^{2^l \cdot 3^m} + b)$. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 46(part 1):7–13, 2012.

KTH, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden *E-mail address*: klurman@dms.umontreal.ca

5010 Institut fur Analysis und Zahlentheorie 8010 Graz, Steyr- ¨ ergasse 30, Graz

E-mail address: munsch@math.tugraz.at