Low Frequency Interchanges by Günther Otto Spies Institut für Plasmaphysik, Garching bei Müncher

This paper deals with the "trapping instability". This is an electrostatic mode conserving the longitudinal invariant of charged particles. Hitherto this mode has been discussed theoretically only for isotropic Maxwellian equilibria (Rosenbluth¹), Kadomtsev and Pogutse²), Rosinskij et al.³), while this paper admits much more general distribution functions.

For simplicity only open ended configurations are considered. The magnetic field is assumed to be a vacuum field having one single minimum on each field line and possessing some symmetry such that $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \oint \frac{4\chi}{\beta} \sqrt{2(\mathcal{K} - \mu B(\alpha, \beta, \chi))}$ does not depend on β . The coordinates α, β, χ satisfy $\underline{\beta} = \nabla \alpha \times \nabla \beta = \nabla \chi$. The equilibrium distribution functions are assumed to be of the form $\int_{\Omega} \langle \underline{\omega}, \underline{\omega} \rangle = F(\mu, \mathcal{K}, \alpha)$, where $\mu = \frac{U_{\lambda}^2}{2\beta}$, $\mathcal{K} = \frac{U^2}{2}$, and to satisfy $F_{IK} < 0$, $|F_{K} \supset I_{IK}| >> |F_{IK} \supset \omega_{\lambda}|$, and

$$\sum e \int dK \sqrt{K} F(\lambda K, K, \alpha) = 0,$$
 (1)

where $\lambda = \mu/K$. Equation (1) is a necessary and sufficient condition for the neutralizing electric field to vanish.

Let $\varphi = \psi(\alpha, \chi) e \times p[i(\omega t - k\beta)]$ be the disturbing electrostatic potential. Then the disturbing distribution functions are

$$f_{k}(\underline{x},\underline{x},t) = \frac{e}{m} F_{lk}(\underline{y} - \frac{\omega - k \upsilon_{c}}{\omega - k \upsilon_{D}} \langle \underline{y} \rangle) e^{i(\omega t - k\beta)}$$
(2)

The first order charge neutrality now reads $\sum \frac{e^2}{m} \int \frac{Bd\mu dK}{\sqrt{K-\mu B}} F_{IK} \left(\psi - \frac{\omega - k \upsilon_c}{\omega - k \upsilon_c} \langle \psi \rangle \right) = 0. \quad (3)$ This is a non-symmetric linear integral equation for the

This is a non-symmetric linear integral equation for the X-dependence of ψ , α being merely a parameter. It serves as a dispersion relation. The stability question is decided by considering the imaginary parts of the eigenvalues ω/k .

In order to obtain a variational principle, we now restrict the frequencies by assuming $|\sigma_D| \ll |\omega/k| \ll |\sigma_C|$, and then expand the denominator. The essential part of eq. (3) is now $\omega^2 P \psi = k^2 A \psi$, where $P \psi = -\sum \frac{e^2}{\sqrt{K-\mu B}} \int \frac{B d\mu dk}{\sqrt{K-\mu B}} F_{lK} (\psi - \langle \psi \rangle)$, $A \psi = \sum m \int \frac{B d\mu dk}{\sqrt{K-\mu B}} F_{kk} \int_{k} \int_{k} \int_{k} \langle \psi \rangle$. The large terms of order $k \sigma_C / \omega$ appearing in the expansion of

eq. (3) have cancelled between ions and electrons. This is proved by using eq. (1). The vanishing of the equilibrium electric field hereby turns out to be necessary in order to arrive at a variational principle for stability. With the inner product $(\psi_1,\psi_2) = \int d\alpha \, d\chi \, \psi_1^{\chi} \, \psi_2 \, / B^2$ the operators P and A are symmetric, while P is positive. Hence the eigenvalues $(\omega/h_2)^2$ are given by the stationary values of the functional

$$W[\psi] = (\psi, A\psi) / (\psi, P\psi), \qquad (4)$$

and $(\psi, A\psi) > 0$ is necessary and sufficient for stability, provided ψ is bounded from below. The quadratic form $(\psi, A\psi)$ may be computed to be $-\int d\alpha \, d\lambda \, |\langle \psi \rangle|^2 \overline{\mathcal{T}}_B \overline{U}_D \int_{\partial \alpha} \sum m \int d\kappa \, |\langle X, \varphi \rangle|^2 \overline{\mathcal{T}}_B (\lambda, \langle X, \varphi \rangle)$, where $\overline{U}_D(\lambda, \alpha) = (1/\kappa) (J_{1/\kappa}/J_{1/\kappa})$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_B(\lambda, \alpha) = J_{1/\kappa} / \sqrt{\kappa}$. Hence

$$\overline{U}_{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \sum m \int dK K^{3/2} F(\lambda K, K, \alpha) < 0$$
 (5)

is sufficient for stability. Taking a trial function ψ , which is highly localized at the mirror points of those particles for which (5) is violated, one shows that this condition is necessary, too, and that the growth rate of the resulting instability is of order $\omega\sim k\sqrt{\upsilon_c}\,\upsilon_D$, consistent with the restriction introduced.

The variational principle may break down only if the numerator of eq. (4) is not positive for all trial functions which make the denominator vanish. Since these trial functions depend only on ∞ , it is easily proved that

$$\int d\lambda \overline{\tau}_{B} \overline{\upsilon}_{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \sum m \int dK K^{3/2} F < 0$$
 (6)

is the condition for the criterion (5) to be valid. This condition, obviously less restrictive than (5), is identical with $\sum \int d_{\mu} d_{\lambda} K_{\mu} \int k_{\mu} < 0$, which specializes a more general criterion first derived by Andreoletti⁴) to the present case, and which is sufficient for interchange stability on the faster magnetodynamic time scale (only μ conserved). On the other hand, (5) is less restrictive than

$$\overline{U}_{b}F_{la} < 0, \qquad (7)$$

which is the appropriate specialization of a criterion recently derived by Rutherford and Frieman⁵⁾, and which is sufficient for stability to any electrostatic modes conserving the γ -invariant.

The criterion (5) requires that particles drift in the directions given by the gradient of the quantity $\sum m_j dK K^{3/2} F_j$ which is related to the trace P of the pressure-dyadic by $\int d\chi p/B^2 = \int d\lambda \overline{\chi}_B \sum m_j dK K^{3/2} F$. In the special case of isotropic distribution functions, i.e. for $F_{j\mu} = 0$, it is the pressure itself. In this case the criterion (5) requires that all particles belonging to the same species drift in the same direction. This requirement, which severely restricts the magnetic field, is relaxed by allowing for anisotropy.

The more complex cases of closed-line or toroidal configurations with shear in which several types of both trapped and untrapped particles are present will be treated in an extended version of this paper.⁶)

This work was performed under the terms of the agreement on association between the Institut für Plasmaphysik and Euratom.

- 1) M.N. Rosenbluth, Phys. Fluids 11, 869 (1968).
- 2) B. Kadomtsev and O. Pogutse, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>51</u>, 1734 (1966) [Sov. Phys. - JETP <u>24</u>, 1172 (1967)].
- 3) S.E. Rosinskij, V.G. Rukhlin and A.A. Rukhadze, III. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, Novosibirsk 1968, CN 24/F - 3
- 4) J. Andreoletti, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 257, 1033 (1963)
- P.H. Rutherford and E.A. Frieman, Phys. Fluids <u>11</u>, 252 (1968).
- 6) G.O. Spies, to be published.