## Supplemental Material

Table S1: Statistical values for the analysis of morphological traits of forisomes according to several explanatory variables (corresponding to Figure 1).

| Trait / <br> Transformation | Explanatory variables | $F$-value | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forisome width |  |  |  |
|  | Forisome length : Plant | 0.726 | 0.537 |
|  | Forisome length | 896.620 | < 0.001 |
|  | Plant | 16.890 | < 0.001 |
| SE diameter (sqrt transformed) |  |  |  |
|  | Window distance : Plant | 1.610 | 0.187 |
|  | Window distance | 783.500 | < 0.001 |
|  | Plant | 222.800 | < 0.001 |
| Forisome surface area (sqrt transformed) |  |  |  |
|  | SE diameter : Window distance : Plant | 0.108 | 0.955 |
|  | SE diameter : Plant | 1.034 | 0.378 |
|  | Window distance : Plant | 1.452 | 0.228 |
|  | SE diameter : Window distance | 6.597 | 0.011 |
|  | SE diameter | 1459.418 | < 0.001 |
|  | Window distance | 18.178 | < 0.001 |
|  | Plant | 34.798 | < 0.001 |

Significant $P$-values are given in bold. sqrt - square root; SE - sieve element; window distance - the distance from the leaf tip to the observation window in the leaf.

Table S2: Statistical values for the analysis of the ratio between fully dispersed and not fully dispersed forisomes in the target leaflet according to the distance to the stimulation site (corresponding to Figure 2).

| Plant | F-value | $P$-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| M. sativa | 3.470 | 0.077 |
| P. sativum | 0.535 | 0.472 |
| T. pratense | 2.089 | 0.154 |
| V. faba | 16.695 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |

The degrees of freedom were 1 in all cases. Significant $P$-values are given in bold.

Table S3: Statistical values for the analysis of the time elapsed from burning to the full dispersion of forisomes and the duration of dispersion according to the traveling distance, forisome surface area ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) and plant species (corresponding to Figure 3).

| Trait Explanatory variables | L-ratio / F-value | $P$-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Elapsed time until dispersion* |  |  |
| Traveling distance* ${ }^{*}$ Af* ${ }^{*}$ Plant | 7.212 | 0.066 |
| Traveling distance* ${ }^{*}$ AF* | 0.259 | 0.611 |
| Traveling distance* : Plant | 5.189 | 0.158 |
| $\mathrm{AF}^{*}$ : Plant | 15.263 | 0.002 |
| Plant | 3.718 | 0.294 |
| $\mathrm{Af}^{*}$ | 1.096 | 0.295 |
| Traveling distance* | 46.949 | <0.001 |
| Duration of dispersion* |  |  |
| Traveling distance : Af: Plant | 1.360 | 0.258 |
| Traveling distance : $\mathrm{AF}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | 0.060 | 0.807 |
| Traveling distance: Plant | 1.507 | 0.216 |
| Af: Plant | 0.570 | 0.635 |
| Plant | 3.966 | 0.009 |
| $\mathrm{A}_{\text {F }}$ | 0.913 | 0.341 |
| Traveling distance | 1.275 | 0.261 |

Significant $P$-values are given in bold. For the analysis of the elapsed time until dispersion the varIdent variance structure was used to account for the variance heterogeneity of the residuals introduced by the plant species. Depending which statistical test was used Likelihood (L)-ratios or $F$-values are given. $F$-values are given in italics. * indicates the lntransformation of the respective parameter. Traveling distance - distance from the burning site to the observation window.

Table S4: Statistical values for the analyses of proportions of electrophysiological reaction in different leaflets of T. pratense and V. faba (corresponding to Figure 5D).

| Electrophysiological reaction | Trifolium pratense |  | Vicia faba |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chi $^{2}$ | $P$-value | Chi $^{2}$ | $P$-value |
| EPW | 4.583 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 3 2}$ | 41.539 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| AP + VP | 3.616 | 0.057 | 17.455 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| AP | n.a. | n.a. | 7.494 | 0.058 |

Only electrophysiological reactions which occurred more than 5 times were statistically analysed. Significant $P$-values are given in bold. Degrees of freedom for T. pratense $=1$, for $V$. $f a b a=3$. n.a. - not applicable.
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Table S5: Statistical values for the analyses of dependence of the depolarization parameters on the site of the stimulus (corresponding to Figure 5 E).

| Depolarization parameter | Plant | Transformation | W / F-value | P-value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Start of depolarization | T. pratense | n.a. | 110 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
|  | V. faba | ln | 84.350 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| Duration | T. pratense | n.a. | 24 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 2 9}$ |
|  | V. faba | ln | 6.801 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
| Velocity | T. pratense | n.a. | $\sim 0$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |
|  | V. faba | ln | 25.420 | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 1}$ |

Significant $P$-values are given in bold. Depending which statistical test was used $W$ - or Fvalues are given. $W$-values are given in italics. n.a. - not applicable.

