Supporting Information

Precipitation gradient drives divergent relationship between non-structural carbohydrates and water availability in *Pinus tabulaeformis* of Northern China

Bingyan Hao^{1,2}, Henrik Hartmann³, Yuanqiao Li^{1,2}, Hongyan Liu⁴, Fangzhong Shi^{1, 2}, Kailiang Yu⁵, Xiaoyan Li^{1,2}, Zongshan Li⁶, Pei Wang^{1,2}, Craig D. Allen⁷, Xiuchen Wu^{1,2*}

- ¹ State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China; 201821051114@mail.bnu.edu.cn (B.H.); lyq910830@163.com (Y.L.); fangzhongshi@mail.bnu.edu.cn (F.S.); xyli@bnu.edu.cn (X.L.); peiwang@bnu.edu.cn (P.W.)
- ² School of Natural Resources, Faculty of Geographical Science, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China
- ³ Department of Biogeochemical Processes, Max—Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, 07745 Jena, Germany; hhart@bgc-jena.mpg.de
- ⁴ College of Urban and Environmental Sciences and MOE Laboratory for Earth Surface Processes, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China; lhy@urban.pku.edu.cn
- ⁵ Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VG 22904, USA; ky9hc@virginia.edu
- ⁶ State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China; zsli_st@rcees.ac.cn
- ⁷ Department of Geography & Environmental Studies, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA; craigdallen@unm.edu
- * Correspondence: xiuchen.wu@bnu.edu.cn; Tel: +86-10-5880-0148.

Sites	Lat./Lon.	Elevati on (m)ª	МА Т (℃) ^ь	MAP (mm) ^b	VPD⁵	DBH (cm) ^c	Stand Density (/ha)	Tree Age (year)	Soil Type
WLS	40.66°N	1012	10.3	185	1.46	١	١	68	gray-
	108.78°E	1913							cinnamon
GLB	40.56°N	1250	7.8	315	1.23	١	١	65	kastanozems
	111.49°E								
QLY	36.33°N	1600	13.3	524	0.04	18.6	752	60	cinnamon
	112.00°E				0.94				
HDT	34.00°N	1(00	13.1	917	0.45	24.4	656	61	brown soil
	108.30°E	1600			0.45				

Supplementary Table S1. Basic information for four sampling sites

^aElevation in m (above sea level)

^bMAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; VPD, mean growing-season vapor pressure deficit, which is calculated based on climate observations (http://data.cma.cn/).

^cDBH, mean diameter at breast height

^dSoil type, obtained from the forestry station

Fixed effects	Estimates	SE	Р	Random	SE
Intercept	2.579	0.429	<.0001	Sampling year	0.504
Site	0.001	0.048	0.105	Sampling ID	0.094
Time	-0.136	0.089	0.219		
Organ	-0.026	0.023	0.052		
Pre	-1.107	0.032	<.0001		
VPD	0.671	0.065	<.0001		
Ψ^{PD}_{leaf}	-0.046	0.044	0.457		
Pre×VPD	0.084	0.027	0.002		

Supplementary Table S2. The linear mixed-effect model (LME) results explaining the effects of different variables on influencing the TNC concentrations. TNC: total non-structural carbohydrate.

Model: TNC~Site+Time+Organ+Pre*VPD+ Ψ_{leaf}^{PD} , random=Sampling year/ Sampling ID.

Note: Organ (sampling organs of NSCs), Pre (monthly precipitation), VPD (monthly VPD), Tem (monthly temperature), Ψ_{leaf}^{PD} (pre-dawn leaf water potential). SE: Standard Error, SD: Standard Deviation.



Supplementary Figure S1. The dynamics of (A) soluble sugars, (B) starch and (C) TNC at different organs in two group sites. Each point represents the mean values of two study sites, for drier sites including WLS and GLB (red), wetter sites including QLY and HDT (blue). Error bars, \pm SD (standard deviation). Asterisks represent the results of ANOVA between sites (*, *p* ≤ 0.05). Letters represent the results of ANOVA between sites, and no marked in wetter sites for no differences).



Supplementary Figure S2. Concentrations of TNC among different organs across study sites. Error bars, ± SD (standard deviation, n=6 for per organ).



Supplementary Figure S3. The proportion of different components of TNC during the growing season. The asterisks denote significant difference of among study sites (*, p < 0.05).