

The statistical geometry of material loops in turbulence

Lukas Bentkamp,^{1,2} Theodore D. Drivas,³ Cristian C. Lalescu,^{1,4} and Michael Wilczek^{1,5,*}

¹Max Planck Institute for Dynamics and Self-Organization, Am Faßberg 17, 37077 Göttingen, Germany

²Department of Mathematics, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Mathematics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY, USA

⁴Max Planck Computing and Data Facility, Gießenbachstraße 2, 85748, Garching b. München, Germany

⁵Institute for the Dynamics of Complex Systems,

University of Göttingen, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, 37077 Göttingen

(Dated: June 23, 2021)

Material elements – which are lines, surfaces, or volumes behaving as passive, non-diffusive markers of dye – provide an inherently geometric window into the intricate dynamics of chaotic flows. Their stretching and folding dynamics has immediate implications for mixing in the oceans or the atmosphere, as well as the emergence of self-sustained dynamos in astrophysical settings. Here, we uncover robust statistical properties of an ensemble of material loops in a turbulent environment. Our approach combines high-resolution direct numerical simulations of Navier-Stokes turbulence, stochastic models, and dynamical systems techniques to reveal predictable, universal features of these complex objects. We show that the loop curvature statistics become stationary through a dynamical formation process of high-curvature slings, leading to distributions with power-law tails whose exponents are determined by the large-deviations statistics of finite-time Lyapunov exponents of the background flow. This prediction applies to advected material lines in a broad range of chaotic flows. To complement this dynamical picture, we confirm our theory in the analytically tractable Kraichnan model with an exact Fokker-Planck approach.

I. Introduction

Chaotic flows tend to fold, writhe, and wrinkle material elements into a state of seemingly infinite complexity over time (see Fig. 1 and supplementary movie). A fundamental question is whether this tumultuous process has any predictable features which persist over long periods of time. Answering this question provides insights into the process of mixing which occurs in a whole range of systems, from the diffusion of dye into water, the dispersion of plankton colonies on the ocean surface, to the blast propagation in supernovae thermonuclear explosions [1]. Material lines and interfaces, in particular, provide idealized descriptions of nutrient, temperature and salinity fronts in the oceans [2], potential vorticity fronts in the atmosphere [3] and are also closely related to the dynamics of vorticity filaments in fully developed turbulence [4, 5], the conformation of polymer chains [6, 7], as well as the motion of magnetic field lines at high Péclet numbers [8]. The latter is related to the dynamo problem, in which chaotic stretching, folding, and twisting processes are essential for sustaining the growth of a magnetic field. The progress we make in understanding how material elements react to turbulent flows stands to significantly advance our understanding of these fundamental problems.

The geometry of material objects advected and deformed by a turbulent flow can be very complex. While volumes are preserved by incompressible flows, the length of lines and the area of surfaces typically grow exponentially [9–12], with their geometry appearing fractal [13–

15]. Since any curve in space is uniquely described by its curvature and torsion [16], there have been numerous works attempting to characterize the curvature of material lines but also of material surfaces [17–30] and




FIG. 1. Visualization of an initially circular material loop, advected by a turbulent flow field for $27\tau_\eta$, where τ_η is the Kolmogorov time. The twisting and folding action of the turbulent flow creates a complex loop geometry while the length of the loop increases exponentially on average (cf. Fig. 3). The loop shown is a comparably extreme case; loops in less turbulent regions develop an extended and complex structure after a longer time. Inset: material sling causing a peak of curvature. (See also supplementary movie)

* michael.wilczek@ds.mpg.de

Lagrangian trajectories [31–33]. Although material lines seem to become unfathomably complicated over time, the above works suggest that curvature distributions do in fact settle down to a well defined stationary state which features robust power-law tails (see Fig. 2), sparking hope that certain features can be predicted by theory.

Here we present a line of arguments based on the dynamical mechanism of *sling* (i.e. curvature peak) formation and its relation to finite-time Lyapunov exponents that leads to a quantitatively accurate prediction of the power law of the curvature distribution observed in Fig. 2, panels (b) and (c). We show that the high-curvature regime of the material line can be understood as an ensemble of persistent parabolic slings, which are formed by random stretching of the line. In this way, we illustrate how understanding dynamical mechanisms can be used to make deductions about statistical geometry. For example, our predicted curvature PDF power-law exponent -2.54 ± 0.11 (3% relative error to the measured exponent) implies that, in the long time limit, the average curvature along advected loops is finite but the second moments diverge. The only input of our theory is the distribution of Lyapunov exponents of the underlying flow field and, as such, our results apply to a wide range of chaotic dynamics. Our predictions are confirmed by direct numerical simulations of fully developed homogeneous, isotropic Navier-Stokes turbulence as well as by exact results in the solvable Kraichnan model.

II. Results

To investigate the evolution of material loops $\mathbf{L}(\phi, t)$ in fully developed turbulence [34], we consider initially circular loops and parameterize them by the initial angle $\phi \in [0, 2\pi)$. Each point of the loop follows the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ according to the tracer equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{L}(\phi, t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t), t). \quad (1)$$

The evolution of such a loop is shown in Fig. 1, which illustrates that the loop rapidly grows in length and diameter, while attaining a complex geometry due to the stretching and folding by the underlying turbulent flow.

As a key metric to characterize the geometry of the loop, we here focus on the curvature

$$\tilde{\kappa}(\phi, t) = \frac{|(\partial_\phi^2 \mathbf{L}) \times (\partial_\phi \mathbf{L})|}{|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|^3}. \quad (2)$$

Material lines grow non-uniformly in length over time. Hence for an evolving ensemble of loops, the distribution of curvature can be defined in different ways, depending on the probability measure we associate with the points along the loop. A simple way of defining the probability density function (PDF) of curvature $f(\kappa; t)$, that does not depend on the initial parameterization, is to take

curvature samples uniformly along the arc length of the loops. Specifically,

$$f(\kappa; t) = \frac{1}{\langle L(t) \rangle} \left\langle \int_0^{L(t)} ds \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}(s, t)) \right\rangle \quad (3)$$

where δ is the Dirac delta function, $L(t)$ is the length of the loop at time t and $\tilde{\kappa}(s, t)$ is the curvature of the loop as a function of arc length s at time t . The average $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is taken to be uniform over loops and we have used $\tilde{\kappa}$ to distinguish the loop (realization) dependent curvature from its sample space variable κ .

We use fully resolved turbulence simulations to investigate this measure of the statistical geometry of material lines (see Appendix A). Here, we focus on a data set at the Taylor-scale Reynolds number $R_\lambda \approx 216$, in which we track 1000 randomly placed loops with an initial diameter of 10η (η is the Kolmogorov length scale). We test the robustness of our results with additional simulations at various Reynolds numbers in the Supplementary Material [35].

The resulting curvature PDF at different times is shown in Fig. 2b. Remarkably, persistent power-law tails form within a few Kolmogorov time scales τ_η , which finally range over several decades of curvature after the loops have been deformed for $29\tau_\eta$ (~ 1.5 integral times). Within this observation window, the shape of the distribution appears to become stationary, whereas the support, i.e. the range from minimum to maximum curvature, grows indefinitely in extent. Hence the largest curvatures correspond to structures significantly smaller than the Kolmogorov length scale η . Given the markedly complex shape of the deformed material loop, the universal shape of the distribution calls for a theoretical explanation, which we develop in the following.

A. Ensemble of material slings

The high-curvature regime of the curvature distribution is heavy-tailed and characterized by rare events. Over time, the material line will form isolated sites of extremely high curvature [26–30], as can be seen in Fig. 2a. Such curvature peaks mark sharp folds in the material line geometry. In the following, we reveal how such *slings* form stochastically and how this is related to the power-law exponent of the curvature distribution.

This picture in view, we estimate the high-curvature tail of the PDF (3) in the statistically steady state by replacing the ensemble average over entire loops in (3) by an ensemble of slings,

$$f(\kappa) \sim \int_0^\infty d\kappa_p f(\kappa_p) \int_{-\infty}^\infty ds \delta(\kappa - \kappa^{\text{pb}}(s; \kappa_p)). \quad (4)$$

Here, κ_p is the peak curvature of a sling and $f(\kappa_p)$ its distribution. The second integral is the contribution of curvature around each curvature peak. As we will elaborate in more detail below, high-curvature slings develop




FIG. 2. Curvature peaks are localized extreme events along the loop. (a) Curvature along a material loop at $t = 29.14\tau_\eta$ as a function of arc length s . The function is highly spiked, indicating that high curvature only occurs in isolated narrow regions. These isolated peaks contribute to the high-curvature tails of the curvature PDF. (b) Curvature PDF of material loops at times $t = 4.16\tau_\eta$ (light green) up to $t = 29.14\tau_\eta$ (violet). (c) PDF of curvature peaks of material loops at the same times. The high-curvature regime is fitted by power laws in the regions indicated by the dashed lines using binomial error estimates.

a universal, locally parabolic shape. The curvature function around a peak with maximum κ_p therefore can be estimated as [28]

$$\kappa^{\text{pb}}(s; \kappa_p) = \frac{\kappa_p}{(1 + (F^{-1}(|\kappa_p s|))^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (5)$$

where $F^{-1}(x)$ denotes the inverse of the antiderivative of $\sqrt{1+x^2}$ on the positive real line, originating from parameterizing the parabola by arc length. Remarkably, the curvature profile is characterized by the peak curvature as the only parameter. To further evaluate (4), we substitute the inner integration variable by $\kappa' = \kappa^{\text{pb}}(s; \kappa_p)$ with the Jacobian

$$\left| \frac{ds^{\text{pb}}(\kappa'; \kappa_p)}{d\kappa'} \right| = \frac{1}{3\kappa'^2 \sqrt{(\kappa_p/\kappa')^{2/3} - 1}}, \quad (6)$$

which yields

$$f(\kappa) \sim \int_\kappa^\infty d\kappa_p f(\kappa_p) \left| \frac{ds^{\text{pb}}(\kappa; \kappa_p)}{d\kappa} \right|. \quad (7)$$

This equation expresses the curvature PDF as a composition of the curvature peak PDF with the contribution from the locally parabolic slings.

B. Statistical evolution of curvature peaks

In what follows, we determine the curvature peak distribution $f(\kappa_p)$, which can be achieved by capturing the essence of the curvature peak dynamics. Since peaks are generally generated at medium curvature and then grow stochastically, we may define the generation time t_0 of a large peak as the time where it has first surpassed an (arbitrary) threshold κ_0 and its age as $\tau = t - t_0$. At time t , the ensemble of peaks larger than κ_0 can thus be attributed a distribution of ages $f(\tau; t)$. By the law of total probability, the peak distribution above κ_0 can be estimated as

$$f(\kappa_p; t) \sim \int_0^t d\tau f(\kappa_p|\tau) f(\tau; t), \quad (8)$$

where $f(\kappa_p|\tau)$ is the probability of a peak with curvature κ_0 at time t_0 to have curvature κ_p at time $t_0 + \tau$. This decomposes the curvature peak distribution into a distribution of peaks with a given age and the distribution of ages. In (7), we are interested in the stationary regime $f(\kappa_p) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(\kappa_p; t)$, which we expect to be well captured by the estimate (8) and to be independent of the arbitrary threshold κ_0 .

The peak age distribution can be estimated from the number of curvature peaks. Figure 3 shows that the mean number of curvature maxima above different thresholds grow at the same exponential rate $\beta \approx 0.216/\tau_\eta$, which coincides with the growth rate of the mean length of the




FIG. 3. The mean number of curvature peaks above different thresholds over time, vertically shifted for comparison, shows that they are generated at an exponential rate. The curves appear to be asymptotically proportional to the mean arc length of loops (red). The dashed line indicates an exponential fit to the last third of the total peak number curve, yielding the rate $\beta = (0.21619 \pm 0.00014)/\tau_\eta$. The standard error of this rate is so small that we neglect it in the following. Inset: Curvature peak distribution at $t = 29.14\tau_\eta$ indicating the different thresholds.

loops. Intuitively, this can be explained by the fact that the generation of slings is a random process along the loop. Since the loop length grows on average exponentially over time, so does the number of slings. Neglecting the disappearance of peaks, the probability of a high-curvature sling being generated before some time t' , with $0 \leq t' \leq t$, can therefore be estimated by the fraction of peaks that existed at t' , $e^{\beta(t'-t)}$. This cumulative distribution function of peak birth times implies the probability density function of peak age

$$f(\tau; t) \approx \beta e^{-\beta\tau}, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq t. \quad (9)$$

This shows that, since curvature peaks are generated at an exponential rate, their age distribution also decays exponentially, implying that the bulk of the peaks are young even after a long evolution of the loop.

In the following, we investigate the dynamics and statistics of peak curvature in an effort to estimate the remaining conditional probability $f(\kappa_p|\tau)$ and form our theory.

C. Amplification of slings by turbulent stretching

We observe that those rare peaks that have existed for a long time can exhibit extremely high curvature. This is caused by fluid element stretching, a process quantitatively captured by the deformation tensor

$$F_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\partial X_i(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_j}, \quad (10)$$




FIG. 4. Formation of parabolic sling. Top: Illustration of the deformation tensor F . \mathbf{v}_i denote the principal axes of stretching before deformation and \mathbf{u}_i the corresponding axes after deformation. A fluid element (blue) will be predominantly stretched along the direction of most stretching \mathbf{v}_1 and compressed in the direction of most compression \mathbf{v}_3 over time. If a material element is initially orthogonal to the direction of most stretching, a sling will form. Such a sling is then compressed onto the \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 plane and tends to align with the \mathbf{u}_1 direction along which it is amplified. Bottom: Illustration of how stretching creates a locally parabolic curve. An initially non-parabolic curve is stretched vertically as indicated by the red arrows. Viewed on the appropriate horizontal scale, the line becomes increasingly parabolic. For comparison, the dashed line indicates a parabola with the same peak curvature.

where $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the Lagrangian map. Its singular value decomposition associates two coordinate systems \mathbf{v}_i and \mathbf{u}_i with the deformation (see Appendix C), as illustrated in Fig. 4. The associated exponential stretching rates are given by the finite-time Lyapunov exponents (FTLE) $\rho_i(t)$.

As discussed in Ref. [28], generically a line element will align with the \mathbf{u}_1 -direction and become stretched exponentially with $e^{\rho_1(t)t}$ (which is on average asymptotically proportional to $e^{\beta t}$). The surrounding curve will be forced into the \mathbf{u}_1 - \mathbf{u}_2 plane by compression in the \mathbf{u}_3 -direction. The dominant stretching in the \mathbf{u}_1 -direction locally decreases curvature. However, an exception to this generic setting occurs at a finite number of points along the loop when the initial material line lies perpendicular to \mathbf{v}_1 (see Fig. 4). In this case, the element cannot align with \mathbf{u}_1 and will align with \mathbf{u}_2 instead. The surrounding curve, however, still experiences the stretching in the \mathbf{u}_1 -direction. This essentially magnifies the local structure of the curve, which will generically result in a parabolic shape, as illustrated in Fig. 4. Therefore parabolas become increasingly good local approximations of the slings.

To reveal the role of the finite-time Lyapunov exponents, let us consider a parabola $y = \kappa_0 x^2/2$ which is already initially lying in the \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_2 plane. Over

time, it is subject to stretching $y' = e^{\rho_1(t)t}y$ and $x' = e^{\rho_2(t)t}x$, which preserves the parabolic shape, i.e. $y' = e^{[\rho_1(t)-2\rho_2(t)]t}\kappa_0x'^2/2$. In this process, the peak curvature increases as long as $\rho_1(t) > 2\rho_2(t)$ [28], i.e. the first FTLE must be more than twice as large as the second one. We illustrate this at the example of a parabola in a linearized flow in Appendix C, showing that its peak curvature grows as

$$\kappa_p(t) \stackrel{t \gg 0}{\approx} \tilde{\kappa}_0 e^{[\rho_1(t)-2\rho_2(t)]t} \quad (11)$$

for some effective initial peak curvature $\tilde{\kappa}_0$. This equation can already be found in Ref. [28], where it is derived for a generic material line. Let us call the growth rate of peaks $\rho_p(t) = \rho_1(t) - 2\rho_2(t)$. In turbulence, this growth rate is typically asymptotically positive. In our simulation used for obtaining the FTLEs (see Appendix B), we can estimate the infinite-time Lyapunov exponents, $\lambda_i = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_i(t)$, by taking the mean of the FTLEs at the final time of the simulation, which yields $\lambda_1 \approx 0.12/\tau_\eta$, $\lambda_2 \approx 0.03/\tau_\eta$, $\lambda_3 \approx -0.15/\tau_\eta$, and thus $\lambda_p = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_p(t) \approx 0.06/\tau_\eta > 0$ in good agreement with previous literature [36, 37].

D. Connecting the power-law exponent to fluid stretching

To relate the dynamical formation of slings to the power-law tails of the curvature PDF, we estimate the distribution of $\kappa_p(t)$ by making statements about the distribution of FTLEs. By ergodicity, FTLEs behave like sums of independent and identically distributed random variables at large times [37, 38]. The same is true for the growth rate of peaks $\rho_p(t)$. Using its Cramér function $S(\rho_p)$, we make a large-deviations estimate of the PDF,

$$f(\rho_p; t) \approx N(t)e^{-tS(\rho_p)}, \quad (12)$$

where $N(t)$ is a normalization. Transforming by Eq. (11), the peak curvature PDF of a single peak can thus be written as

$$f(\kappa_p|\tau) \approx \frac{N(\tau)}{\kappa_p\tau} e^{-\tau S\left(\log\left(\frac{\kappa_p}{\kappa_0}\right)/\tau\right)}, \quad (13)$$

where τ denotes the age of the peak. Note that we here identified the peak age τ with the time t and the curvature threshold κ_0 with the effective initial peak curvature $\tilde{\kappa}_0$. For the asymptotics that we are interested in, the distinction does not matter. Inserting this result into Eq. (8), combined with Eq. (9) and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, gives the asymptotic distribution of curvature peaks in the high-curvature regime

$$f(\kappa_p) \sim \int_0^\infty d\tau e^{-\beta\tau} \frac{N(\tau)}{\kappa_p\tau} e^{-\tau S\left(\log\left(\frac{\kappa_p}{\kappa_0}\right)/\tau\right)}. \quad (14)$$




FIG. 5. Determination of the steepest-descent minimum. The Cramér function is estimated from FTLE histograms by (12). We call these finite-time estimates $S(\rho_p; t)$. We show the resulting functions $(\beta + S(\rho_p; t))/\rho_p$ to be minimized for t ranging from $6.90\tau_\eta$ (yellow) up to $39.68\tau_\eta$ (violet). Best fits are indicated by dashed lines with shaded areas showing their error. Inset: Minima of these functions over time. A fit of the decay (black dashed line) yields the estimate $\alpha = 0.54 \pm 0.11$ of their limiting value (horizontal blue dashed line). For comparison, the red lines show the value of α estimated by subtracting 2 from the observed curvature PDF power-law exponent. For more details, see Appendix B.

We now use the method of steepest descent [39] in order to extract the large- κ_p asymptotics of the peak curvature distribution from our estimate (14). The result (see Appendix D) is that the distribution scales as a power law, $f(\kappa_p) \sim \kappa_p^{-1-\alpha}$, with exponent

$$\alpha = \min_{\rho_p} \left[\frac{1}{\rho_p} (\beta + S(\rho_p)) \right]. \quad (15)$$

This minimum is estimated for our data in Figure 5, where the Cramér functions have been estimated via (12) using FTLE histograms from an additional simulation (see Appendix B). While we are interested in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, the amount of samples needed to resolve large-deviations statistics increases exponentially with time, limiting our observation window of the minimum to a maximum time of about 30 to $40\tau_\eta$. In this regime, the minima still lie above the value of α inferred from the loops simulation (red line). However, an analysis of the time evolution of minima (Figure 5, inset) reveals that they are well described by a slow, algebraic decay. Extrapolating the desired minimum towards $t \rightarrow \infty$, we get the estimate $\alpha = 0.54 \pm 0.11$, slightly below but within error bars of the curvature peak power-law in Figure 2c. For more details on the extrapolation, see Appendix B.

Given the power-law scaling of the peak distribution, $f(\kappa_p) \sim \kappa_p^{-1-\alpha}$, we can perform the integral (7) to ob-

tain the prediction for the curvature PDF

$$f(\kappa) \sim \kappa^{-2-\alpha}. \quad (16)$$

Hence the difference between the curvature power-law exponent and the curvature peak power-law exponent is 1. This difference originates from the curvature contributions of parabolic sling profiles around the peak curvature, Eq. (5). Comparing Figures 2b and 2c shows that this result is consistent with the fully resolved loops simulations. Likewise, our prediction based on Lyapunov exponents estimated by extrapolating the minimum in Fig. 5 captures the observed power-law exponents of both the curvature and curvature peak PDFs very well. In the Supplementary Material [35], we explore our result at various Reynolds numbers, with comparable or even better agreement depending on how far the minima can be resolved in time. Therefore, as a central result, we can quantitatively relate the statistical geometry as characterized by the curvature PDF to the formation of slings and the statistics of FTLEs that determine their dynamical evolution.

Interestingly, an alternative formulation of our result can be obtained by using the Legendre transform of the Cramér function, which is known as the generalized Lyapunov exponent [37]. It can be shown (see Appendix E) that α is given implicitly by

$$\left\langle e^{\alpha \rho_p(t)t} \right\rangle \sim \left\langle e^{\rho_1(t)t} \right\rangle \quad (17)$$

in the large-deviations approximation, where \sim indicates the same exponential scaling for large t . This can be understood as the statement that the power-law exponent is chosen so that curvature peak generation (represented by the line growth rate $\rho_1(t)$) and peak amplification (represented by the peak curvature growth rate $\rho_p(t) = \rho_1(t) - 2\rho_2(t)$) are balanced. For example, in a flow with the same peak amplification (same statistics of $\rho_p(t)$) but stronger line growth (larger $\langle e^{\rho_1(t)t} \rangle$) and thus stronger peak generation, a larger fraction of small-curvature peaks will accumulate until the stationary state is reached. This means that the curvature PDF in the stationary state has to decay faster, corresponding to a larger α , as encoded in (17). We explore this result numerically in the Supplementary Material [35], showing that this complementary way of computing α comes equally close to the value observed in the loops simulations.

E. Exact results in the Kraichnan model

To demonstrate the robustness of our results beyond Navier-Stokes turbulence, we consider the exactly solvable Kraichnan model [40]. The Kraichnan model of turbulence replaces the advecting velocity with a spatially correlated Gaussian random field, white in time, which mimics turbulent behavior.

In this setting, all of our argumentation about sling formation and its statistical implications can be made exact. First, the Cramér function takes the parabolic form [38]

$$S(\rho_p) = \frac{(\rho_p - \lambda_p)^2}{2D_p}, \quad (18)$$

with $\lambda_p = 3Q$, $D_p = 14Q$ and Q a constant related to the energy spectrum quantifying fluctuations of the velocity gradient (see Appendix F). In this case, the integral (14) can be performed exactly, yielding a power law $\kappa_p^{-1-\alpha}$ with

$$\alpha = -\frac{\lambda_p}{D_p} + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_p^2}{D_p^2} + \frac{2\beta}{D_p}}. \quad (19)$$

The growth rate of the mean length of line elements in the Kraichnan model is $\beta = 4Q$ [38]. This evaluates to $\alpha = 4/7$, a curvature peak PDF power law $-11/7$ and a curvature PDF power law $-18/7$, which is (coincidentally) very close to the power laws we find in Navier-Stokes turbulence.

Importantly, this result based on our picture of curvature growth due to sling formation is consistent with an independent, complementary approach facilitated by the rapidly fluctuating velocity field. Using Itô calculus, one can obtain an exact Fokker-Planck equation for the curvature distribution (see Appendix F) and study its steady state. The equation takes the form

$$\partial_t f = -\partial_\kappa \left(-18Q\kappa f - 7Q\kappa^2 \partial_\kappa f + \frac{9P}{\kappa} f - 9P\partial_\kappa f \right), \quad (20)$$

and features the stationary solution

$$f(\kappa) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \kappa \left(9P + 7Q\kappa^2 \right)^{-25/14}, \quad (21)$$

where P is a constant quantifying fluctuations of second-order derivatives of velocity (see Appendix F) and \mathcal{Z} is the normalization constant. This exact solution transitions between a κ^1 power law in the small-curvature regime and a $\kappa^{-18/7}$ power law in the large-curvature regime. Hence our framework based on the dynamical evolution of curvature peak statistics and Itô calculus yield exactly the same large-curvature exponent. The shape of the PDF is also in qualitative agreement with our numerical observations in Navier-Stokes turbulence, see Fig. 2b. A numerical analysis of the Kraichnan case can be found in the Supplementary Material [35]. Analogous computations [41] have been done for the curvature PDF of magnetic field lines in the context of the turbulent dynamo problem without compensating for arc length.

We remark in passing that it would be interesting to study curvature statistics in the compressible Kraichnan model. There, the compressibility can be parameterized by an index \wp and Lyapunov exponents explicitly computed (see §2.4 of [42]). The chaotic phase characterized

by positive leading Lyapunov exponent $\lambda_1 > 0$ occurs when $\varphi < d/4$. In this regime, one can vary $\lambda_p = \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2$ and analytically study its effect on curvature statistics. As such, the compressibility can be used to precisely control the curvature statistics.

III. Conclusions

We investigated the curvature statistics of material loops in fully developed turbulence to characterize their statistical geometry. We find that the curvature PDF rapidly converges to a stationary distribution and establish a theory of curvature peaks forming along the loop to explain the power law in its high-curvature regime. By associating curvature peak dynamics with finite-time Lyapunov exponents, we are able to theoretically link the power-law exponent to FTLE large-deviations statistics. We find our results to be in very good agreement with simulations of fully developed Navier-Stokes turbulence and to be precisely consistent with exact analytical calculations in the Kraichnan model.

An important issue concerns how the results presented here depend on the Reynolds number. In the Supplementary Material [35], we provide numerical evidence that moderate variations of the Reynolds number presented here lead qualitatively to the same picture with only very slight quantitative changes in the power-law exponents. In light of this, it seems plausible to us that the shape of the curvature distribution we observe is universal and will persist in the limit of large Reynolds number.

As such, our methods and theoretical predictions can be applied to a large class of chaotic flows and can thereby provide a new statistical-geometry perspective on the intricacies of their evolution. Since a host of processes are closely related to the formation of material line slings, our results may help to shed light on such problems from biophysics, geophysics and astrophysics. For example, our results can be applied to the study of interfacial problems for the dispersion of algae blooms or oil spills in the ocean, where the description of the boundary's geometry is of crucial importance for prediction. Our work may also shed light on the influence of chaotic flows on polymer conformation, an issue relevant to the problem of drag reduction [6, 7], as well as on the role of flux cancellations in turbulent magnetic dynamos [43, 44], which occur due to the folding and bundling of magnetic field lines.

Appendix A: Navier-Stokes simulations for loop tracking

For the direct numerical simulations (DNS), we use our code TurTLE [45]. It implements a pseudo-spectral solver for the Navier-Stokes equations in the vorticity formulation with a third-order Runge-Kutta method for time stepping and a high-order Fourier smoothing [46] to

reduce aliasing errors. The flow is forced on the large scales by maintaining a fixed energy injection rate in a discrete band of small Fourier modes $k \in [1.0, 2.0]$ (DNS units). The simulations presented here were computed on 1024^3 grid points with a small-scale resolution $k_M \eta \approx 3.0$, where k_M is the maximum resolved wave number. Using the same initial background flow, we conducted two separate simulations with different sets of Lagrangian tracers.

The first simulation contains 10^3 initially circular loops of diameter $\sim 10\eta$ with random position and orientation. Each sample point of the loops is treated as a Lagrangian tracer particle. Over time, the strongly heterogeneous line stretching necessitates an adaptive refinement of the lines [47, 48]. Using 5th-order B-spline interpolation [49], we determine the arc length between adjacent sample points in time intervals of $0.16\tau_\eta$. Whenever their distance surpasses 0.1η , we insert new sample points along the smooth spline curves, which ensures that derivatives of the curves up to 4th order and hence their curvature are well-defined. In order to better resolve high-curvature regions, we additionally require that the distance between sample points does not surpass $1/(6\kappa)$. This significantly improves the resolution of the large-curvature tail of the curvature PDF. Due to the refinement, the initial total number of sample points across all loops – about $3 \cdot 10^5$ – increases to about $1.5 \cdot 10^8$ sample points at $29\tau_\eta$. The adaptive insertion of particles prohibits the direct use of multi-step methods for particle time stepping. For this simulation, we therefore resort to 1st-order Euler time stepping of particle trajectories. They are coupled with spline interpolation with continuous derivatives up to and including 3rd order of the field computed over a kernel of 12^3 grid points (as detailed in Ref. [50]).

Appendix B: Computation of finite-time Lyapunov exponents and the Cramér function

The second simulation contains 10^8 uniformly distributed Lagrangian tracers. Along with their trajectories, we integrate the deformation tensor (10). Time stepping is performed using the Heun method coupled with spline interpolation of the field with continuous derivatives up to and including 2nd order computed over a kernel of 8^3 grid points. In order to ensure numerical stability, we perform a QR-decomposition of the deformation tensor [51] after each time step and store principal axes and logarithmically scaled stretching factors separately. While in theory, the FTLEs are defined by the singular value decomposition, we here use the logarithmic stretching factors obtained from the QR-decomposition as proxies. In certain regimes, their large-deviations statistics may differ [37]. In the Supplementary Material [35], we show that our theoretical argument can also be made for the proxies. We then determine finite-time Cramér func-

tions $S(\rho_p; t)$ from the FTLE histograms $f(\rho_p; t)$ as [37]

$$S(\rho_p; t) = -\log(f(\rho_p; t))/t, \quad (\text{B1})$$

which converge to the actual Cramér function over time. Given that the Cramér function is known to take its minimum at $S(\lambda_p) = 0$, where $\lambda_p = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_p(t)$, we may accelerate convergence by vertically shifting the finite-time Cramér functions such that their minimum is zero, as done similarly in Ref. [37]. The resulting functions are used as input for Figure 5.

We determine least-square fits of the finite-time Cramér functions using a Batchelor interpolation between two power laws (corresponding to stretched exponentials for the FTLE PDF),

$$S(\lambda_p(t) + x/\tau_\eta; t) = \frac{ax^2}{(b+x^2)^c}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

where $\lambda_p(t)$ is the position of the minimum of $S(\rho_p; t)$ and a , b and c are fitting parameters. In order to obtain fits with reasonable accuracy, we restrict the fitting range to the interval of interest $[\lambda_p(t), 1/\tau_\eta]$. If the finite-time Cramér functions take infinite values in this range, then we further restrict the fitting range to their finite values. In order to obtain the error bars in Fig. 5, we vary the fitting parameters within their standard error interval and take the minimum and maximum of the resulting functions. Taking the minimum of the best fits and of their error envelope, we obtain the time series of minima in the inset of Figure 5. If a fit takes its minimum at the last value of the fitting range, then this value is omitted.

In order to extrapolate the minimum towards $t \rightarrow \infty$, we determine the best fit of the minima time series $m(t)$ weighted by the errors using an algebraic decay,

$$m(t) = A + \left(\frac{B}{t}\right)^C, \quad (\text{B3})$$

where A , B , and C are fitting parameters. In order to robustly capture the asymptotic decay using this simple fit function, we leave out an initial transient regime of data points for the fit. We choose $t \geq t_{\min} \approx 6.9\tau_\eta$, where the weighted mean squared error of the fit reaches a plateau, i.e. the point at which the fit improvement from removing more data points diminishes (for more details, see Supplementary Material [35]). The parameters are estimated as $A = 0.54 \pm 0.11$, $B = (0.19 \pm 0.15)\tau_\eta$ and $C = 0.36 \pm 0.18$. Note that the fitting procedure is very delicate and different choices may lead to different results. The present analysis is our best effort to systematically compute the limiting value of the minima.

Appendix C: Peak curvature dynamics of a parabola

Here, we determine the evolution of the peak curvature of a sling modeled by a parabola,

$$\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) = \mathbf{L}(\phi_0, t) + (\phi - \phi_0)\mathbf{l}(t) + \kappa_p(0) \frac{(\phi - \phi_0)^2}{2} \mathbf{k}(t), \quad (\text{C1})$$

where ϕ_0 is the initial peak position, $\kappa_p(0)$ is its initial peak curvature and \mathbf{l} and \mathbf{k} are two initially orthonormal vectors. In a sufficiently small range of ϕ around ϕ_0 , the velocity field can be linearized. Then the parabolic shape is preserved and the dynamics of \mathbf{l} and \mathbf{k} in the Lagrangian frame is determined by the velocity gradient,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{l}}{dt} &= \mathbf{l} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{L}(\phi_0, t), t) \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{dt} &= \mathbf{k} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{L}(\phi_0, t), t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

By (2), the curvature of the sling is given by

$$\kappa(\phi, t) = \kappa_p(0) \frac{|\mathbf{k}(t) \times \mathbf{l}(t)|}{|\mathbf{l}(t) + (\phi - \phi_0)\kappa_p(0)\mathbf{k}(t)|^3} \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$= \kappa_p(0) \frac{\left(|\mathbf{k}(t)|^2 |\mathbf{l}(t)|^2 - (\mathbf{k}(t) \cdot \mathbf{l}(t))^2\right)^{1/2}}{|\mathbf{l}(t) + (\phi - \phi_0)\kappa_p(0)\mathbf{k}(t)|^3}. \quad (\text{C4})$$

Over time, $\mathbf{l}(t)$ and $\mathbf{k}(t)$ cease to be orthogonal and the curvature peak position is shifted. Minimizing the denominator yields the new peak position

$$\phi_p(t) = \phi_0 - \frac{\mathbf{k}(t) \cdot \mathbf{l}(t)}{|\mathbf{k}(t)|^2 \kappa_p(0)}. \quad (\text{C5})$$

The new peak curvature is therefore given by

$$\kappa_p(t) := \kappa(\phi_p(t), t) = \frac{|\mathbf{k}(t)|^3}{|\mathbf{k}(t)|^2 |\mathbf{l}(t)|^2 - (\mathbf{k}(t) \cdot \mathbf{l}(t))^2} \kappa_p(0). \quad (\text{C6})$$

Since \mathbf{l} and \mathbf{k} behave like passive vectors, their dynamics can be described by the deformation tensor

$$F_{ij}(t) = \frac{\partial X_i(\mathbf{L}(\phi_0, 0), t)}{\partial x_j}, \quad (\text{C7})$$

where $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is the Lagrangian map. The singular value decomposition of F ,

$$F(t) = U(t)\Lambda(t)V^T(t), \quad (\text{C8})$$

defines the orthonormal bases $(\mathbf{u}_j(t))_i = U_{ij}(t)$ and $(\mathbf{v}_j(t))_i = V_{ij}(t)$ and the finite-time Lyapunov exponents

$\rho_i(t)$ by $\Lambda_{ii} = e^{\rho_i(t)t}$ where Λ is diagonal. Expanding $\mathbf{l}(0)$ and $\mathbf{k}(0)$ in the \mathbf{v}_j -coordinate system yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{l}(0) &= \sum_i a_i(t) \mathbf{v}_i(t) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{k}(0) &= \sum_i b_i(t) \mathbf{v}_i(t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C9})$$

Observing that $F(t)\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{l}(t)$ and $F(t)\mathbf{k}(0) = \mathbf{k}(t)$, and applying the deformation tensor to the previous equations we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{l}(t) &= \sum_i a_i(t) e^{\rho_i(t)t} \mathbf{u}_i(t) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{k}(t) &= \sum_i b_i(t) e^{\rho_i(t)t} \mathbf{u}_i(t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C10})$$

Inserting these expansions into Eq. (C6) yields

$$\kappa_p(t) = \frac{(\sum_i b_i^2 e^{2\rho_i t})^{3/2}}{\sum_{i \neq j} a_j b_i (a_j b_i - a_i b_j) e^{(2\rho_i + 2\rho_j)t}} \kappa_p(0). \quad (\text{C11})$$

As long as the infinite-time Lyapunov exponents (the $t \rightarrow \infty$ -limits of the FTLEs) are distinct from each other, we will have $e^{\rho_1(t)t} \gg e^{\rho_2(t)t} \gg e^{\rho_3(t)t}$ for large t . Assuming furthermore that the random coefficients in (C11) are non-zero, we can drop those terms with slower exponential growth:

$$\kappa_p(t) \stackrel{t \gg 0}{\approx} \frac{|b_1(t)|^3}{(a_2(t)b_1(t) - a_1(t)b_2(t))^2} \kappa_p(0) e^{[\rho_1(t) - 2\rho_2(t)]t}. \quad (\text{C12})$$

While the FTLEs are known to converge slowly, $V(t)$ and thus $a_i(t)$ and $b_i(t)$ converge exponentially fast [52, 53]. We therefore have (cf. [28])

$$\kappa_p(t) \stackrel{t \gg 0}{\approx} \tilde{\kappa}_0 e^{[\rho_1(t) - 2\rho_2(t)]t}, \quad (\text{C13})$$

for some effective initial peak curvature

$$\tilde{\kappa}_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|b_1(t)|^3}{(a_2(t)b_1(t) - a_1(t)b_2(t))^2} \kappa_p(0), \quad (\text{C14})$$

which may differ from the actual initial peak curvature $\kappa_p(0)$ depending on the relative orientation of the initial parabola and the converged basis vectors $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{v}_j(t)$.

Appendix D: Extracting power law by the method of steepest descent

In order to extract the asymptotic regime of the integral (14), we substitute the integration variable

$$\rho_p = \frac{1}{\tau} \log \left(\frac{\kappa_p}{\kappa_0} \right), \quad (\text{D1})$$

which yields

$$f(\kappa_p) \sim \frac{1}{\kappa_p} \int_0^\infty d\rho_p \frac{N(\log(\kappa_p/\kappa_0)/\rho_p)}{\rho_p} e^{-\log(\frac{\kappa_p}{\kappa_0}) \frac{(\beta + S(\rho_p))}{\rho_p}}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

We now explore the regime where $\log(\kappa_p/\kappa_0)$ becomes large. Given that the normalization function $N(\tau)$ is algebraic, the scaling of the integral with κ_p is dominated by the exponential, and in particular by the part that has the slowest decay. To first order, we therefore have [39, Chapter 9, Theorem 2.1]

$$\begin{aligned} f(\kappa_p) &\sim \frac{1}{\kappa_p} \exp \left(-\log \left(\frac{\kappa_p}{\kappa_0} \right) \min_{\rho_p} (\beta + S(\rho_p)) / \rho_p \right) \\ &\propto \kappa_p^{-1-\alpha}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D3})$$

with

$$\alpha = \min_{\rho_p} (\beta + S(\rho_p)) / \rho_p. \quad (\text{D4})$$

Appendix E: Relating our results to generalized Lyapunov exponents

Let us define a generalized Lyapunov exponent of curvature peaks by

$$L_p(q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \langle \exp(q\rho_p(t)t) \rangle. \quad (\text{E1})$$

It differs from the usual definition of generalized Lyapunov exponents only by the fact that we have replaced the standard FTLE by our curvature peak FTLE $\rho_p(t) = \rho_1(t) - 2\rho_2(t)$. It is related to the Cramér function by a Legendre transform [37],

$$L_p(q) = \sup_{\rho_p} [q\rho_p - S(\rho_p)]. \quad (\text{E2})$$

This strongly resembles our steepest-descent formula established in the main text (cf. Eq. (15)),

$$\alpha = \min_{\rho_p} \left[\frac{1}{\rho_p} (\beta + S(\rho_p)) \right] \quad (\text{E3})$$

where, recall, β is identified with line growth quantified by the first FTLE (see Fig. 3 and subsequent discussion)

$$\beta = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \langle \exp(\rho_1(t)t) \rangle. \quad (\text{E4})$$

We claim $L_p(\alpha) = \beta$. If so, then equating (E1) evaluated at α with β given by (E4), we find

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \langle \exp(\alpha\rho_p(t)t) \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \langle \exp(\rho_1(t)t) \rangle, \quad (\text{E5})$$

which we write in short form as (17).

To verify that $L_p(\alpha) = \beta$, we insert α into (E2) to find

$$L_p(\alpha) = \sup_{\rho_p} [\alpha \rho_p - S(\rho_p)]. \quad (\text{E6})$$

Assuming that $S(\rho_p)$ is differentiable and strictly convex, the supremum in (E6) occurs at a unique value ρ_p^* . Moreover, somewhat remarkably, we will show that this value coincides with that at which the minimum of (E3) occurs. Once established, this gives the claimed result upon substitution of $\alpha = \frac{1}{\rho_p^*}(\beta + S(\rho_p^*))$ into $L_p(\alpha) = \alpha \rho_p^* - S(\rho_p^*)$.

To see that the extrema in (E6) and (E3) occur at the same point ρ_p^* , we note that under our assumptions (E6) is minimized at the $\rho = \rho^*$ for which

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\rho} [\alpha \rho - S(\rho)] \Big|_{\rho=\rho^*} = \alpha - S'(\rho^*). \quad (\text{E7})$$

Uniqueness follows from our assumption that $S'(\rho)$ is an invertible function of ρ . On the other hand, the minimum in (E3) occurs for $\rho = \rho^{**}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[\frac{1}{\rho}(\beta + S(\rho)) \right] \Big|_{\rho=\rho^{**}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho^{**}} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{**}}(\beta + S(\rho^{**})) - S'(\rho^{**}) \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{\rho^{**}} (\alpha - S'(\rho^{**})) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{E8})$$

where we have inserted the expression for α in terms of the minimizing argument ρ^{**} given by (E3). It is clear from comparing (E7) and (E8) that the extrema are realized at the same value $\rho^* = \rho^{**} =: \rho_p^*$. This concludes the proof.

Appendix F: Fokker-Planck equation of curvature in the Kraichnan model

In the Kraichnan model, the velocity field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is Gaussian with correlation tensor

$$\langle u_i(\mathbf{x}, t) u_j(\mathbf{x}', t') \rangle = \delta(t - t') R_{ij}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \quad (\text{F1})$$

where $R_{ij}(\mathbf{r})$ denotes the spatial part of the correlation tensor.

Equivalent to (3), the curvature PDF weighted by arc length can be defined by

$$f(\kappa; t) = \frac{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}(\phi, t)) \rangle}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle}, \quad (\text{F2})$$

where we distinguish between the realization $\tilde{\kappa}$ and the sample space variable κ . Angular brackets $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denote an average along ϕ and over realizations of the velocity field.

In order to derive the Fokker-Planck equation of curvature, we take the time derivative of Eq. (F2), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t f(\kappa; t) &= \frac{\langle \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \partial_t |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle} - f(\kappa) \frac{\partial_t \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle} \partial_\kappa \langle \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \partial_t \tilde{\kappa} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F3})$$

The averages can be evaluated using the Gaussian integration by parts formula [54–56] and the evolution equations [19]

$$\partial_t \partial_\phi \mathbf{L} = ((\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}, \quad (\text{F4})$$

$$\partial_t \hat{\mathbf{t}} = (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \hat{\mathbf{t}} (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}), \quad (\text{F5})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{\mathbf{n}} &= \hat{\mathbf{b}} (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) - \hat{\mathbf{t}} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla)^2 \mathbf{u}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{\kappa} &= \tilde{\kappa} \left(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - 2 \hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \right) \\ &\quad + \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla)^2 \mathbf{u}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F7})$$

Here, $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ denote the tangent, normal and binormal vector of the Frenet-Serret frame, respectively. As shown in the Supplementary Material [35], the evolution equations derive from the definitions of the various quantities combined with the tracer equation (1). All quantities are evaluated along the same Lagrangian trajectory.

In order to simplify the resulting expressions, we need to further restrict the spatial correlation structure of the model. Isotropy and incompressibility determine the form of the even derivatives of the spatial correlation tensor $R_{ij}(\mathbf{r})$ at $\mathbf{0}$ (odd number of derivatives vanish) to be [57]

$$-\partial_k \partial_l R_{ij}(\mathbf{0}) = Q(4\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl} - \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) \quad (\text{F8})$$

and [58]

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \partial_l \partial_m \partial_n R_{ij}(\mathbf{0}) &= P(6\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}\delta_{mn} + 6\delta_{ij}\delta_{km}\delta_{ln} \\ &\quad + 6\delta_{ij}\delta_{kn}\delta_{lm} - (\text{all others})), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{F9})$$

with Q and P scalar constants that depend on the exact form of $R_{ij}(\mathbf{r})$. The last pair of brackets contains all twelve other permutations of Kronecker deltas. All terms arising from the Gaussian integration by parts formula can be evaluated using this result and the orthonormality of the Frenet-Serret frame. The resulting Fokker-Planck equation is (20). In the Supplementary Material [35], we list results for all terms and exemplify computing one of them.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge interesting and useful discussions with Maurizio Carbone. We thank Itzhak Fouxon, Perry Johnson, and Jean-Luc Thiffeault for comments on the manuscript. We thank B erenger Bramas for his implementation of the particle tracking framework used in our simulations. Computational resources from the Max Planck Computing and Data Facility and support by the Max Planck Society are gratefully acknowledged. The research of TD was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1703997. 3D visualizations have been created with Blender [59].

- [1] P. E. Dimotakis, Turbulent mixing, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **37**, 329 (2005).
- [2] S. Prants, M. Budiansky, and M. Uleysky, Identifying Lagrangian fronts with favourable fishery conditions, *Deep Sea Res. Part I* **90**, 27 (2014).
- [3] P. H. Haynes and M. E. McIntyre, On the evolution of vorticity and potential vorticity in the presence of diabatic heating and frictional or other forces, *J. Atmos. Sci.* **44**, 828 (1987).
- [4] K. Ohkitani, Numerical study of comparison of vorticity and passive vectors in turbulence and inviscid flows, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 046304 (2002).
- [5] M. Guala, B. Lüthi, A. Liberzon, A. Tsinober, and W. Kinzelbach, On the evolution of material lines and vorticity in homogeneous turbulence, *J. Fluid Mech.* **533**, 339 (2005).
- [6] E. Balkovsky, A. Fouxon, and V. Lebedev, Turbulent dynamics of polymer solutions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 4765 (2000).
- [7] F. Bagheri, D. Mitra, P. Perlekar, and L. Brandt, Statistics of polymer extensions in turbulent channel flow, *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 056314 (2012).
- [8] H. Alfvén, Existence of electromagnetic-hydrodynamic waves, *Nature* **150**, 405 (1942).
- [9] G. K. Batchelor and G. I. Taylor, The effect of homogeneous turbulence on material lines and surfaces, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **213**, 349 (1952).
- [10] S. S. Girimaji and S. B. Pope, Material-element deformation in isotropic turbulence, *J. Fluid Mech.* **220**, 427 (1990).
- [11] I. T. Drummond and W. Münch, Turbulent stretching of line and surface elements, *J. Fluid Mech.* **215**, 45 (1990).
- [12] M. Tabor and I. Klapper, Stretching and alignment in chaotic and turbulent flows, *Chaos Soliton. Fract.* **4**, 1031 (1994).
- [13] E. Villermaux and Y. Gagne, Line dispersion in homogeneous turbulence: Stretching, fractal dimensions, and micromixing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73**, 252 (1994).
- [14] F. Nicolleau, Numerical determination of turbulent fractal dimensions, *Phys. Fluids* **8**, 2661 (1996).
- [15] K. P. Iyer, J. Schumacher, K. R. Sreenivasan, and P. K. Yeung, Fractal iso-level sets in high-Reynolds-number scalar turbulence, *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **5**, 044501 (2020).
- [16] C. Bär, *Elementary Differential Geometry* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
- [17] S. Pope, The evolution of surfaces in turbulence, *Int. J. Eng. Sci.* **26**, 445 (1988).
- [18] S. B. Pope, P. K. Yeung, and S. S. Girimaji, The curvature of material surfaces in isotropic turbulence, *Phys. Fluids A* **1**, 2010 (1989).
- [19] I. Drummond and W. Münch, Distortion of line and surface elements in model turbulent flows, *J. Fluid Mech.* **225**, 529 (1991).
- [20] S. Girimaji, Asymptotic behavior of curvature of surface elements in isotropic turbulence, *Phys. Fluids A* **3**, 1772 (1991).
- [21] I. Drummond, Stretching and bending of line elements in random flows, *J. Fluid Mech.* **252**, 479 (1993).
- [22] M. Liu and F. Muzzio, The curvature of material lines in chaotic cavity flows, *Phys. Fluids* **8**, 75 (1996).
- [23] D. Hobbs and F. Muzzio, The curvature of material lines in a three-dimensional chaotic flow, *Phys. Fluids* **10**, 1942 (1998).
- [24] S. Cerbelli, J. M. Zalc, and F. J. Muzzio, The evolution of material lines curvature in deterministic chaotic flows, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **55**, 363 (2000).
- [25] D. Kivotides, Geometry of turbulent tangles of material lines, *Phys. Lett. A* **318**, 574 (2003).
- [26] J.-L. Thiffeault, Stretching and curvature of material lines in chaotic flows, *Physica D* **198**, 169 (2004).
- [27] A. Leonard, Curvature and torsion of material lines in chaotic flows, *Fluid Dyn. Res.* **36**, 261 (2005).
- [28] A. Leonard, The universal structure of high-curvature regions of material lines in chaotic flows, *J. Fluid Mech.* **622**, 167 (2009).
- [29] J.-L. Thiffeault, E. Gouillart, and M. D. Finn, The size of ghost rods, in *Analysis and Control of Mixing with an Application to Micro and Macro Flow Processes*, edited by L. Cortelezzi and I. Mezić (Springer Vienna, Vienna, 2009) pp. 339–350.
- [30] T. Ma and E. M. Bollt, Differential geometry perspective of shape coherence and curvature evolution by finite-time nonhyperbolic splitting, *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.* **13**, 1106 (2014).
- [31] W. Braun, F. D. Lillo, and B. Eckhardt, Geometry of particle paths in turbulent flows, *J. Turbul.* **7**, N62 (2006).
- [32] H. Xu, N. T. Ouellette, and E. Bodenschatz, Curvature of Lagrangian trajectories in turbulence, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 050201 (2007).
- [33] A. Scagliarini, Geometric properties of particle trajectories in turbulent flows, *J. Turbul.* **12**, N25 (2011).
- [34] While the statistical geometry of any type of material line could be equally well studied, we focus here on material loops due to their important role in fluid dynamics. For example, the velocity circulation along any material loop is invariant in inviscid incompressible fluid motion – a fact known as the Kelvin theorem. While this invariance breaks down in the presence of any non-ideal effect such as viscosity, properties of material loops at high-Reynolds number – a regime in which the flow is nearly inviscid – may shed light on a variety of features of fully developed turbulence such as anomalous dissipation and spatio-temporal intermittency [60]. Material loops also arise naturally in the context of astrophysics where they approximately describe the motion of closed field lines of a magnetic field at high Prandtl numbers in a stellar or planetary system.
- [35] In the Supplementary Material, we provide additional analytical and numerical results to support the results presented in the main text. Supplementary Note 1 presents two additional Navier-Stokes simulations at different Reynolds numbers. Supplementary Note 2 numerically explores determining the power-law exponent based on generalized Lyapunov exponents. In Supplementary Note 3, we derive the evolution equations of various geometrical properties of a material line. In Supplementary Note 4, these are used to explain the computations leading to the Fokker-Planck equation of curvature in the Kraichnan model. In Supplementary Note 5, the theoretical results in the Kraichnan model are confirmed by a numerical analysis. Supplementary Note 6 discusses the use of two different definitions of finite-time Lyapunov expo-

- nents for this work. The Supplementary Material contains two additional references [61, 62].
- [36] J. Bec, L. Biferale, G. Boffetta, M. Cencini, S. Musacchio, and F. Toschi, Lyapunov exponents of heavy particles in turbulence, *Phys. Fluids* **18**, 091702 (2006).
 - [37] P. L. Johnson and C. Meneveau, Large-deviation joint statistics of the finite-time Lyapunov spectrum in isotropic turbulence, *Phys. Fluids* **27**, 085110 (2015).
 - [38] E. Balkovsky and A. Fouxon, Universal long-time properties of Lagrangian statistics in the Batchelor regime and their application to the passive scalar problem, *Phys. Rev. E* **60**, 4164 (1999).
 - [39] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions* (A K Peters, 1997).
 - [40] R. H. Kraichnan, Small-scale structure of a scalar field convected by turbulence, *Phys. Fluids* **11**, 945 (1968).
 - [41] A. Schekochihin, S. Cowley, J. Maron, and L. Malyshkin, Structure of small-scale magnetic fields in the kinematic dynamo theory, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 016305 (2001).
 - [42] J. Cardy, G. Falkovich, and K. Gawedzki, *Non-equilibrium Statistical Mechanics and Turbulence*, edited by S. Nazarenko and O. V. Zaboronski, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series (Cambridge University Press, 2008).
 - [43] S. Childress and A. D. Gilbert, *Stretch, twist, fold: the fast dynamo*, Vol. 37 (Springer Science & Business Media, 1995).
 - [44] E. Ott, Chaotic flows and kinematic magnetic dynamos: A tutorial review, *Phys. Plasmas* **5**, 1636 (1998).
 - [45] C. Lalescu, B. Bramas, M. Rampp, and M. Wilczek, An efficient particle tracking system for large-scale parallel pseudo-spectral simulations of turbulence (2021), in preparation.
 - [46] T. Y. Hou and R. Li, Computing nearly singular solutions using pseudo-spectral methods, *J. Comput. Phys.* **226**, 379 (2007).
 - [47] S. Kida and S. Goto, Line statistics: Stretching rate of passive lines in turbulence, *Phys. Fluids* **14**, 352 (2002).
 - [48] S. Goto and S. Kida, Reynolds-number dependence of line and surface stretching in turbulence: folding effects, *J. Fluid Mech.* **586**, 59 (2007).
 - [49] P. Virtanen *et al.*, SciPy 1.0: Fundamental Algorithms for Scientific Computing in Python, *Nat. Methods* **17**, 261 (2020).
 - [50] C. Lalescu, B. Teaca, and D. Carati, Implementation of high order spline interpolations for tracking test particles in discretized fields, *J. Comput. Phys.* **229**, 5862 (2010).
 - [51] A. Pikovsky and A. Politi, *Lyapunov Exponents: A Tool to Explore Complex Dynamics* (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
 - [52] J.-L. Thiffeault, Derivatives and constraints in chaotic flows: asymptotic behaviour and a numerical method, *Physica D* **172**, 139 (2002).
 - [53] I. Goldhirsch, P.-L. Sulem, and S. A. Orszag, Stability and Lyapunov stability of dynamical systems: A differential approach and a numerical method, *Physica D* **27**, 311 (1987).
 - [54] K. Furutsu, *On the Statistical Theory of Electromagnetic Waves in a Fluctuating Medium (II)*, NBS Monograph No. 79 (U.S. Natl. Inst. Stand., 1964).
 - [55] M. D. Donsker, On function space integrals, *Matematika* **11**, 128 (1967).
 - [56] E. A. Novikov, Functionals and the random-force method in turbulence theory, *Sov. J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **20**, 1290 (1965).
 - [57] A. Pumir, Structure of the velocity gradient tensor in turbulent shear flows, *Phys. Rev. Fluids* **2**, 074602 (2017).
 - [58] E. A. Kearsley and J. T. Fong, Linearly independent sets of isotropic cartesian tensors of ranks up to eight, *J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol.* **79B**, 49 (1975).
 - [59] B. O. Community, *Blender - a 3D modelling and rendering package*, Blender Foundation, Stichting Blender Foundation, Amsterdam (2020).
 - [60] G. L. Eyink, Turbulent cascade of circulations, *C. R. Phys.* **7**, 449 (2006).
 - [61] P. Glasserman, *Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering* (Springer, 2003).
 - [62] S. B. Pope, *Turbulent Flows* (Cambridge University Press, 2000).

Supplementary Material for “The statistical geometry of material loops in turbulence”

Bentkamp et al.

(Dated: June 23, 2021)


SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 1. CURVATURE STATISTICS AND DETERMINATION OF POWER-LAW EXPONENT AT VARIOUS REYNOLDS NUMBERS

We carried out our analysis for two additional direct numerical simulations (DNS) of the Navier-Stokes equation, one smaller on 512^3 grid points at $R_\lambda \approx 147$ and one larger on 2048^3 grid points at $R_\lambda \approx 334$. The simulation details are summarized in Table S1. The curvature statistics of 1000 material loops were determined in each simulation as described in Appendix A. The curvature distributions of the supplementary simulations are shown in Fig. S1. Remarkably, the large-curvature power-law exponents, determined by best fits, are almost the same across the simulations, indicating that there may be no significant Reynolds-number dependence in this range. The same can be observed for the curvature peak PDFs in Figure S2. Consistent with our theory, their high-curvature exponent differs from the curvature PDF exponent by 1, mostly within error bars.

The curvature peak number above different thresholds as a function of time is shown in Figure S3 for the two supplementary simulations. Notably, our observation that the curvature peak number grows proportionally to the mean length of the loops carries over to these Reynolds numbers. The corresponding growth rate β in units of the

	Loops simulations						FTLE simulations						
	N	$k_{\max}\eta$	R_λ	$\langle u^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	L	L/η	T/τ_η	R_λ	$\langle u^2 \rangle^{1/2}$	L	L/η	T/τ_η	n
Main DNS Parameters	512	2.0	147	0.96	0.93	94	15.2	142	0.96	0.91	93	15.4	$2.5 \cdot 10^7$
	1024	3.0	216	1.09	1.06	148	19.8	215	1.09	1.06	148	19.8	$1 \cdot 10^8$
	2048	3.0	334	1.07	1.04	289	31.2	335	1.07	1.04	289	31.1	$1 \cdot 10^9$


Supplementary Table S1. Our simulations are run on three-dimensional periodic domains of side length 2π discretized on a real space grid with N^3 points. Using the root-mean-squared velocity component $\langle u^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ and the energy spectrum $E(k)$, we define the integral length $L = \frac{\pi}{2\langle u^2 \rangle} \int \frac{dk}{k} E(k)$. The integral time scale is computed as $T = L\langle u^2 \rangle^{-1/2}$. The Kolmogorov length and time scales, η and τ_η , respectively, are computed from the mean kinetic energy dissipation ε and the kinematic viscosity ν . Based on the largest wavenumber k_{\max} resolved by our code, we compute the resolution criterion $k_{\max}\eta$. Although loop and FTLE simulations are initialized with identical fields and parameters, the flows eventually diverge due to numerical rounding errors and chaos. The loops simulations contain 1000 material loops, initially sampled by a total of $3 \cdot 10^5$ tracer particles, whose number increases exponentially during the simulation. For the FTLE simulations, n tracer trajectories are integrated along with the flow field.




Supplementary Figure S1. Curvature PDF in the small (a) and the large (b) supplementary simulation strongly resemble the distribution in the main simulation. Their power-law exponents, determined by best fits, are almost identical across all simulations.

Kolmogorov time appears to increase as a function of Reynolds number.


Finally, we also determine FTLE statistics by integrating the deformation tensor along trajectories of randomly distributed particles. This is done for 25 million tracer particles in an additional 512^3 simulation and for one billion tracer particles in an additional 2048^3 simulation that use the same initial background flow as the loops simulations. In Figure S4, we determine the steepest-descent minima needed for our theoretical prediction. Notice that the minimum is taken at values of ρ_p that increase with Reynolds number, further into the tail of the FTLE distribution. Hence in the large simulation, despite the enormous number of tracer particles tracked, the minimum can only be resolved up to $t \approx 25\tau_\eta$. As in the main simulation, we determine the asymptotic value of the minimum by fitting the algebraic decay function (B3) to those data points with $t \geq t_{\min}$ (Figure S4, insets). The time t_{\min} is chosen based on the weighted mean squared error, as explained in Figure S5, in order to filter out a transient regime of the decay. The resulting exponents are, increasingly as a function of Reynolds number, $\alpha = A = 0.61 \pm 0.08$, $\alpha = A = 0.54 \pm 0.11$ and $\alpha = A = 0.55 \pm 0.07$, all of them consistent with the measured curvature PDF power-law exponents.




Supplementary Figure S2. Curvature peak statistics in the small (a) and the large (b) supplementary simulation look the same as in the main simulation. Like for the curvature PDF, the large-curvature power-law exponents, determined by best fits, are almost identical across all simulations.



Supplementary Figure S3. Mean curvature peak number as a function of time in the small (a) and the large (b) supplementary simulation. The number of peaks above different thresholds grows exponentially, proportional to the mean length of the loops. Lines are vertically shifted to compare their growth rate. Best fits to the last third of the total peak number curve yield $\beta = (0.19580 \pm 0.00028)/\tau_\eta$ (a) and $\beta = (0.26207 \pm 0.00014)/\tau_\eta$ (b). As in the main simulation, the standard error from the fit is so small that we neglect it in the following. Insets: Curvature peak distribution at the latest simulation time indicating the different thresholds.



Supplementary Figure S4. Determination of the steepest-descent minimum for estimates of the Cramér function for the supplementary simulations. (a) Small simulation with Cramér functions ranging from $7.26\tau_\eta$ (yellow) up to $39.94\tau_\eta$ (violet). Their fits are restricted to the range $[\lambda_p(t), 0.8/\tau_\eta]$, where $\lambda_p(t)$ is the position of the minimum of $S(\rho_p; t)$. Inset: Extrapolation of the minimum yields $\alpha = 0.61 \pm 0.08$ (dashed blue line). (b) Large simulation with Cramér functions at times ranging from $8.14\tau_\eta$ (yellow) up to $35.26\tau_\eta$ (violet). Their fits are restricted to the range $[\lambda_p(t), 1.25/\tau_\eta]$. Inset: Extrapolation of the minimum yields $\alpha = 0.55 \pm 0.07$ (dashed blue line). For comparison, in each plot the red line indicates the value of α estimated from the curvature PDF.



Supplementary Figure S5. Different fit choices for extrapolating the steepest-descent minimum. We use the simple decay function (B3) to capture the evolution of the minima for different finite-time Cramér functions. In order to obtain a satisfactory fit, it is helpful to leave out a transient regime of data points $t < t_{\min}$ until only the asymptotic behavior is captured by the fit. Here we show the resulting values of $\alpha = A$ (top) and the decay exponent C (middle) for different choices of t_{\min} in all of the simulations (left to right). The time scale B is not shown. We justify the choice of t_{\min} by computing the weighted mean squared error (MSE, bottom) given by $\sum_{i=1}^N (\delta_i/\sigma_i)^2/(N-3)$, where N is the number of data points included, δ_i are the fit errors and σ_i the standard deviations (error bars) of the data points. The quantity $\sum_{i=1}^N (\delta_i/\sigma_i)^2$ is minimized by the fit, which we divide by the number of degrees of freedom $N-3$ for comparison. We choose t_{\min} as the start of the first plateau of the weighted MSE (red lines).

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 2. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF GENERALIZED LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS

As a complementary approach to computing Cramér functions, we may also use generalized Lyapunov exponents (GLE) in order to determine the exponent α based on the implicit Eq. (E5), in short: $L_p(\alpha) = \beta = L_1(1)$, where


$$L_1(q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \langle \exp(q\rho_1(t)t) \rangle \quad (\text{S1})$$

is the first standard GLE as opposed to


$$L_p(q) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \langle \exp(q\rho_p(t)t) \rangle, \quad (\text{S2})$$

the curvature peak GLE. For their numerical computation, we adopt the method from Ref. [37]. We first compute the cumulant-generating function of $\rho_1(t)t$, given by $\log \langle \exp(q\rho_1(t)t) \rangle$, as a function of q and t . In order to estimate $L_1(q)$, we perform an affine fit of the cumulant-generating function in the range $t \in [t_{\max}/2, t_{\max}]$, as exemplified in Fig. S6a for $t_{\max} = 40\tau_\eta$. The slope of each fit including its standard error becomes our estimate of $L_1(q)$. The same procedure is applied to $L_p(q)$.

For the main simulation, the results are shown in Fig. S6b. We can first read off the value of β by evaluating $L_1(q)$ at $q = 1$. Indeed, the different estimates for $L_1(1)$ appear to converge towards the value of β previously estimated by other means. In order to estimate α , we need to read off the intersection of the β -line with $L_p(q)$. For this curvature peak GLE, we observe stronger fluctuations as a function of t_{\max} . For small t_{\max} , we expect the estimates of the cumulant-generating function to be accurate. However, if t_{\max} is too small, we have not yet reached convergence of the $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit in the GLE. For larger t_{\max} , we improve on the convergence of the GLE, but we also rely more heavily on extreme values of $\rho_p(t)$ (especially for large q), which are limited by our sample size. Hence we expect the best estimate to be found at intermediate t_{\max} . For the main simulation, we indeed find those intermediate curves to come closest to the value of α estimated from the curvature PDF. For the supplementary simulations (Fig. S7), the same argumentation holds and the estimates fluctuate as a function of t_{\max} to a certain extent. If we simply read off α from the intersection of lines, the GLE method slightly overestimates α for all simulations, possibly due to both sampling and t -convergence limitations.



Supplementary Figure S6. Generalized Lyapunov exponents in the main simulation. (a) Plotting the cumulant-generating function of $\rho_1(t)t$ for fixed argument q as a function of time (solid lines), the GLE can be estimated as the asymptotic slope of the curve by an affine fit of the interval $t \in [20\tau_\eta, 40\tau_\eta]$ (dashed lines). (b) Generalized Lyapunov exponents can be used to estimate β and α . The first standard GLE $L_1(q)$ (solid lines) is shown for t_{\max} ranging from $12.08\tau_\eta$ (yellow) to $39.68\tau_\eta$ (violet). The curvature peak GLE $L_p(q)$ is shown for the same times (dashed lines). For comparison, we also show the line $q = 1$ (solid, black), the value of β estimated from curvature peak number (dotted, grey) and the value of α estimated from the curvature PDF (solid, red).



Supplementary Figure S7. Generalized Lyapunov exponents for the small (a) and the large (b) supplementary simulation. As in the main simulation, the first standard GLE $L_1(1)$ converges towards the previously estimated value of β , but the convergence is slower in the large simulation. Similarly, the value of α is slightly overestimated in both simulations. (a) t_{\max} ranging from $12.10\tau_\eta$ (yellow) to $39.94\tau_\eta$ (violet). (b) t_{\max} ranging from $16.27\tau_\eta$ (yellow) to $35.26\tau_\eta$ (violet). Note that the value of β as a function of the Kolmogorov time scale τ_η differs from the one in Fig. S3 because τ_η is slightly different in the FTLE simulation.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 3. GEOMETRIC EVOLUTION EQUATIONS

Here, we derive the evolution equations (F4)–(F7). Implicitly, all quantities considered in these equations are evaluated along a material line element $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(\phi, t)$, whose evolution is given by the tracer equation (1). Therefore

$$\partial_t \partial_\phi \mathbf{L}(\phi, t) = ((\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t), t). \quad (\text{S3})$$

which is equation (F4). The evolution equation for the tangent vector of the Frenet-Serret frame [16],

$$\hat{\mathbf{t}}(\phi, t) = \frac{\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}}{|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|}, \quad (\text{S4})$$

can then be directly computed

$$\partial_t \hat{\mathbf{t}}(\phi, t) = \partial_t \frac{\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}}{|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|} = \frac{1}{|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|} (\partial_\phi \mathbf{L} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \frac{\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}}{|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|^3} \partial_\phi \mathbf{L} \cdot (\partial_\phi \mathbf{L} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{t}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \hat{\mathbf{t}}. \quad (\text{S5})$$

The normal vector of the Frenet-Serret frame is defined as

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\phi, t) = \frac{\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|}, \quad (\text{S6})$$

where s denotes an arc-length parameterization of the line, i.e. $|\partial_s \mathbf{L}| = 1$. Since the transform from s to ϕ is time-dependent, evaluating ∂_t at constant ϕ and at constant s are different operations. Here we always want to take ∂_t at constant ϕ (i.e. at the same tracer particle). Then, ∂_s and ∂_t do not commute. Having this in mind, we compute (summation over repeated indices implied)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{n}_i &= \partial_t \frac{\partial_s \hat{t}_i}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} = \frac{(\partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_i) |\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}| - (\partial_s \hat{t}_i) \partial_t |\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|^2} = \frac{\partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_i}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} - \hat{n}_i \frac{\partial_t |\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} = \frac{\partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_i}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} - \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \frac{1}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} \partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_j \\ &= \frac{1}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} (\delta_{ij} - \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j) \partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S7})$$

In order to swap the t - and s -derivatives, we notice that $\partial_s = \frac{d\phi}{ds} \partial_\phi = \frac{1}{|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|} \partial_\phi$. Therefore

$$\partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_j = \left(\partial_t \frac{1}{|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|} \right) \partial_\phi \hat{t}_j + \partial_s \partial_t \hat{t}_j = -\hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k (\partial_j u_k) (\partial_s \hat{t}_i) + \partial_s \partial_t \hat{t}_j. \quad (\text{S8})$$

We then insert the evolution equation (S5) for the tangent vector $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_j &= -\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_l (\partial_k u_l) (\partial_s \hat{t}_j) + \partial_s \left((\delta_{jk} - \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k) \hat{t}_l \partial_l u_k \right) \\ &= -\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_l (\partial_k u_l) (\partial_s \hat{t}_j) - \left((\partial_s \hat{t}_j) \hat{t}_k + \hat{t}_j (\partial_s \hat{t}_k) \right) \hat{t}_l \partial_l u_k + (\delta_{jk} - \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k) \left((\partial_s \hat{t}_l) \partial_l u_k + \hat{t}_l \partial_s \partial_l u_k \right) \\ &= |\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}| \left[-\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_l \hat{n}_j (\partial_k u_l) - (\hat{n}_j \hat{t}_k + \hat{t}_j \hat{n}_k) \hat{t}_l \partial_l u_k + (\delta_{jk} - \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k) \left(\hat{n}_l \partial_l u_k + \frac{1}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} \hat{t}_l \hat{t}_m \partial_l \partial_m u_k \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S9})$$

where in the last step we used (S6) and $\partial_s u_k = \hat{t}_m \partial_m u_k$. Since $|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}| = \tilde{\kappa}$ and $\delta_{ij} = \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j + \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j + \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \hat{n}_i &= (\hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j + \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_j) \left[-\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_l \hat{n}_j (\partial_k u_l) - (\hat{n}_j \hat{t}_k + \hat{t}_j \hat{n}_k) \hat{t}_l \partial_l u_k + (\hat{n}_j \hat{n}_k + \hat{b}_j \hat{b}_k) \left(\hat{n}_l \partial_l u_k + \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}} \hat{t}_l \hat{t}_m \partial_l \partial_m u_k \right) \right] \\ &= -\hat{t}_i \hat{n}_k \hat{t}_l \partial_l u_k + \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_k \hat{n}_l \partial_l u_k + \frac{1}{\tilde{\kappa}} \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_k \hat{t}_l \hat{t}_m \partial_l \partial_m u_k. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S10})$$

Finally, in order to derive the curvature evolution equation, we use a simple definition as a function of the tangent vector with arc-length parameterization,

$$\tilde{\kappa}(\phi, t) = |\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|, \quad (\text{S11})$$

which is equivalent to definition (2). Using the previous results, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{\kappa} &= \partial_t |\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}| = \hat{n}_j \partial_t \partial_s \hat{t}_j = \hat{n}_j \tilde{\kappa} \left[-\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_l \hat{n}_j (\partial_k u_l) - (\hat{n}_j \hat{t}_k + \hat{t}_j \hat{n}_k) \hat{t}_l \partial_l u_k + (\hat{n}_j \hat{n}_k + \hat{b}_j \hat{b}_k) \left(\hat{n}_l \partial_l u_k + \frac{1}{|\partial_s \hat{\mathbf{t}}|} \hat{t}_l \hat{t}_m \partial_l \partial_m u_k \right) \right] \\ &= \tilde{\kappa} \left(-2\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_l + \hat{n}_k \hat{n}_l \right) \partial_k u_l + \hat{n}_k \hat{t}_l \hat{t}_m \partial_l \partial_m u_k. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S12})$$

This equation can also be found in Ref. [19].

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 4. FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION IN THE KRAICHNAN MODEL

In Appendix F, we laid out the terms that need to be calculated in order to arrive at the Fokker-Planck equation in the Kraichnan model. In order to proceed, we combine equation (F3) with the evolution equations derived in the previous section and get

$$\partial_t f(\kappa; t) = \frac{\langle \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \partial_t |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle} - f(\kappa) \frac{\partial_t \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle} - \frac{1}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle} \partial_\kappa \langle \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \partial_t \tilde{\kappa} \rangle, \quad (\text{S13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle} \left(\left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \partial_j u_i \right\rangle - f(\kappa) \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \partial_j u_i \right\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \partial_\kappa \left(-2\kappa \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \partial_j u_i \right\rangle + \kappa \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \partial_j u_i \right\rangle + \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k \partial_j \partial_k u_i \right\rangle \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S14})$$

We want to evaluate these averages using the Gaussian integration by parts formula [54–56] combined with the correlation tensor (F1). This works analogously for all of them. So let us focus on one of the averages. By introducing delta functions, we can consider the velocity field at the Eulerian coordinate \mathbf{x} and take the derivative out of the average,

$$\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \partial_j u_i \rangle = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{y} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t)) \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j u_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \rangle. \quad (\text{S15})$$

Then Gaussian integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \partial_j u_i \rangle &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{y} \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int d^3 \mathbf{z} R_{ik}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \left\langle \frac{\delta [|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t)) \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \\ &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{z} (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})) \left\langle \frac{\delta [|\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t)) \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S16})$$

The product rule for the functional derivative yields five different terms, which can all be treated in the same way. Let us again focus on a single one of them, namely

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{z} (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})) \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t)) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \frac{\delta [\delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa})]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \\ &= \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \delta'(\tilde{\kappa} - \kappa) \frac{\delta \tilde{\kappa}}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S17})$$

In order to determine the response function $\frac{\delta \tilde{\kappa}}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)}$, we formally integrate the curvature evolution equation (S12),

$$\tilde{\kappa}(\phi, t) = \tilde{\kappa}(\phi, 0) + \int_0^t dt' \left(\tilde{\kappa} \hat{n}_m \hat{n}_n \partial_n u_m - 2\tilde{\kappa} \hat{t}_m \hat{t}_n \partial_n u_m + \hat{n}_m \hat{t}_n \hat{t}_o \partial_n \partial_o u_m \right) \Big|_{(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t'), t')}. \quad (\text{S18})$$

By causality, the initial condition will not depend on $u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)$ for $t > 0$. The integrand will not depend on $u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)$ for all $t' < t$ either, and the only contribution to the functional derivative can come from the time $t' = t$. Since $\tilde{\kappa}$, $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{t}}$ are integrated quantities of the delta-correlated field \mathbf{u} , we expect them to be continuous in time, just like a Wiener process is continuous while its differential is not. Hence their response functions will only be finite, thus not contribute to the integral. Using that

$$\frac{\delta u_m(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t'), t')}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} = \delta(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t') - \mathbf{z}) \delta(t - t') \delta_{mk}, \quad (\text{S19})$$

the response function becomes

$$\frac{\delta \tilde{\kappa}(\phi, t)}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{\kappa} \hat{n}_k \hat{n}_n \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z}) - 2\tilde{\kappa} \hat{t}_k \hat{t}_n \partial_n \delta(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z}) + \hat{n}_k \hat{t}_n \hat{t}_o \partial_n \partial_o \delta(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z}) \right),$$

where the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ comes from the fact that only half of the delta function $\delta(t - t')$ is contained in the integration range $[0, t]$. Using integration by parts and the sifting property of the delta function, our term M can thus be simplified to

$$M = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\kappa \left((\partial_j \partial_n R_{ik}(\mathbf{0})) \kappa \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) (\hat{n}_k \hat{n}_n - 2\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_n) \right\rangle - (\partial_j \partial_n \partial_o R_{ik}(\mathbf{0})) \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_k \hat{t}_n \hat{t}_o \right\rangle \right).$$

Although the spatial correlation function $R_{ik}(\mathbf{x})$ can be freely chosen, we can restrict its functional form by assuming isotropy and incompressibility of the Kraichnan field. By isotropy the correlation function must be even, hence odd derivatives vanish at zero, e.g. $\partial_j \partial_n \partial_o R_{ik}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. For the second derivatives, we know that they must have the general form of an isotropic rank-4 tensor [58],

$$Q_{jn}^{ik} = -\partial_j \partial_n R_{ik}(\mathbf{0}) = A \delta_{ik} \delta_{jn} + B \delta_{ij} \delta_{kn} + C \delta_{in} \delta_{jk}. \quad (\text{S20})$$

By definition, this tensor must be symmetric under exchange of j and n as well as exchange of i and k , which implies $B = C$. Finally, incompressibility implies $\delta_{ij} Q_{jn}^{ik} = 0$ so that

$$A = -4B, \quad (\text{S21})$$

leading to the general form [57]

$$Q_{jn}^{ik} = Q(4\delta_{ik} \delta_{jn} - \delta_{ij} \delta_{kn} - \delta_{in} \delta_{jk}). \quad (\text{S22})$$

Using this expression, our term M can be evaluated,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= -\frac{1}{2}Q\partial_\kappa \left((4\delta_{ik}\delta_{jn} - \delta_{ij}\delta_{kn} - \delta_{in}\delta_{jk})\kappa \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) (\hat{n}_k \hat{n}_n - 2\hat{t}_k \hat{t}_n) \right\rangle \right) \\ &= -2Q\partial_\kappa (\kappa f(\kappa; t)) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S23})$$

by orthonormality of the Frenet-Serret frame.

In the following, we list all the terms that need to be computed along with the results of their evaluation. By Gaussian integration by parts and the product rule for functional derivatives, the averages of equation (S14) split into

$$\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \partial_j u_i \rangle = \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S24})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta [\delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa})]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S25})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta \hat{t}_i}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S26})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_i \frac{\delta \hat{t}_j}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S27})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{z} (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})) \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta [\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t))]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{S28})$$

$$\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \partial_j u_i \rangle = \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S29})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta \hat{t}_i}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S30})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{t}_i \frac{\delta \hat{t}_j}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S31})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{z} (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})) \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{t}_i \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta [\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t))]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle, \quad (\text{S32})$$

$$\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \partial_j u_i \rangle = \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \frac{\delta |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S33})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \frac{\delta [\delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa})]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S34})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_j \frac{\delta \hat{n}_i}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S35})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \frac{\delta \hat{n}_j}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S36})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{z} (\partial_j R_{ik}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})) \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j \frac{\delta [\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t))]}{\delta u_k(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S37})$$

and

$$\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k \partial_j \partial_k u_i \rangle = \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j \partial_k R_{il}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k \frac{\delta |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}|}{\delta u_l(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S38})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j \partial_k R_{il}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \hat{n}_i \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k \frac{\delta [\delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa})]}{\delta u_l(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S39})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j \partial_k R_{il}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k \frac{\delta \hat{n}_i}{\delta u_l(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S40})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j \partial_k R_{il}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{t}_k \frac{\delta \hat{t}_j}{\delta u_l(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S41})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{z} \left\langle (\partial_j \partial_k R_{il}(\mathbf{L}(\phi, t) - \mathbf{z})) |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{t}_j \frac{\delta \hat{t}_k}{\delta u_l(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle \quad (\text{S42})$$

$$+ \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \int d^3 \mathbf{z} (\partial_j \partial_k R_{il}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z})) \left\langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \delta(\kappa - \tilde{\kappa}) \hat{n}_i \hat{t}_j \hat{t}_k \frac{\delta [\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{L}(\phi, t))]}{\delta u_l(\mathbf{z}, t)} \right\rangle. \quad (\text{S43})$$

Evaluating each of these terms as explained previously yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{S24}) &= Qf(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle & (\text{S25}) &= \frac{5}{2} Q \partial_\kappa(\kappa f(\kappa; t)) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle \\ (\text{S26}) &= 4Qf(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle & (\text{S27}) &= -Qf(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle \\ (\text{S28}) &= 0 & (\text{S29}) &= Q \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle \\ (\text{S30}) &= 4Q \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle & (\text{S31}) &= -Q \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle \\ (\text{S32}) &= 0 & (\text{S33}) &= -\frac{1}{2} Qf(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle \\ (\text{S34}) &= -2Q \partial_\kappa(\kappa f(\kappa; t)) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle & (\text{S35}) &= \frac{5}{2} Qf(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle \\ (\text{S36}) &= -\frac{5}{2} Qf(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle & (\text{S37}) &= 0 \\ (\text{S38}) &= 0 & (\text{S39}) &= -9P \partial_\kappa f(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle \\ (\text{S40}) &= \frac{9}{\kappa} Pf(\kappa; t) \langle |\partial_\phi \mathbf{L}| \rangle & (\text{S41}) &= 0 \\ (\text{S42}) &= 0 & (\text{S43}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where P is defined in (F9). Inserting these results into Eq. (S14) yields the Fokker-Planck equation (20).

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 5. NUMERICAL RESULTS IN THE KRAICHNAN MODEL

Here, we present a numerical analysis of curvature statistics in the Kraichnan model. To this end, we interpret the tracer equation (1) as a Langevin equation. Since Itô and Stratonovich interpretations coincide for this equation, we may use the Euler-Maruyama scheme [61] to integrate particle trajectories. In every time step, the Gaussian flow field is computed on 1024^3 grid points with a model energy spectrum spectrum as described by Pope [62, p. 232],

$$E(k) \propto k^{-5/3} f_L(kL) f_\eta(k\eta), \quad (\text{S44})$$

with $L \approx 946\eta$ an integral length scale and the functions

$$f_L(x) = \left(\frac{x}{(x^2 + c_L)^{1/2}} \right)^{11/3} \quad (\text{S45})$$


and

$$f_\eta(x) = \exp \left(-\beta \left(x^4 + c_\eta^4 \right)^{1/4} - c_\eta \right), \quad (\text{S46})$$


which determine the large- and small-scale behavior. Eq. (S44) integrates to the total energy $E = 1.05$ (code units). The temporal resolution is $\Delta t = 7.15 \cdot 10^{-7}$ (code units) and the spatial resolution can be quantified by $k_M \eta \approx 2.0$, where k_M is the maximum resolved wave number. Furthermore, we choose $\beta = 5.2$, $c_L = 6.03$ and $c_\eta = 0.40$. For particle time-stepping, the field is interpolated using spline interpolation with continuous derivatives up to and including 3rd order computed over a kernel of 12^3 grid points. The loops are adaptively refined as described for the Navier-Stokes simulations in Appendix A.

Figure S8 shows a visualization of an initially circular loop deformed by the Kraichnan field for $1.2Q^{-1}$. Visually, it shares many features of material loops in Navier-Stokes turbulence but appears slightly more compact (compare Fig. 1). The geometric similarities also manifest in the curvature statistics (Figure S9a), which display the same type of unimodal distribution with power-law tails. Over time, the PDF converges to the stationary solution (21) of the Fokker-Planck equation, featuring the power-law tails κ^1 and $\kappa^{-18/7}$.


The Kraichnan model also forms curvature peaks, whose distribution (Figure S9b) resembles the one in Navier-Stokes turbulence. Our theory predicts the high-curvature tail to scale as a power law with exponent $-11/7$, which is confirmed by the simulation. In order to form our theory, we made the empirical observation that the curvature peak number grows proportional to the mean line length. This is also what we observe in the Kraichnan model (Figure S10), where the mean line length can be computed analytically to be proportional to e^{4Qt} [38].



Supplementary Figure S8. Visualization of a material loop advected by a Kraichnan field for $1.2Q^{-1}$.



Supplementary Figure S9. Curvature statistics in the Kraichnan model. (a) Curvature PDF of material loops at different times. The PDF converges to the stationary solution (21) of the Fokker-Planck equation, indicated by the dashed line. (b) PDF of curvature maxima of material loops at the same times. The high-curvature tail scales as a power law, in agreement with our theoretical prediction $\kappa_p^{-11/7}$.



Supplementary Figure S10. Mean number of curvature peaks in the Kraichnan model above different thresholds over time, vertically shifted for comparison. Consistent with our observation in Navier-Stokes turbulence, the curves become asymptotically proportional to the mean arc length of loops, which grows with e^{4Qt} as predicted by theory. Inset: Curvature peak distribution at $t = 1.2Q^{-1}$ indicating the different thresholds.

SUPPLEMENTARY NOTE 6. LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS BY QR-DECOMPOSITION

In Appendix C, we have defined finite-time Lyapunov exponents as the growth rate of singular values of the deformation tensor (Eq. (C8)). In practice, however, we instead compute the QR-decomposition of the deformation tensor for numerical stability,

$$F(t) = Q(t)R(t), \quad (\text{S47})$$

where $Q(t)$ is orthogonal and $R(t)$ is upper triangular. Note that the matrix $Q(t)$ is unrelated to the constant Q introduced previously, which quantifies velocity gradient fluctuations in the Kraichnan model. The growth rate of the diagonal elements of $R(t)$ can then be interpreted as an alternative definition of FTLEs [37],

$$\rho'_i(t) = \frac{1}{t} \log R_{ii}(t). \quad (\text{S48})$$

Note that this definition of FTLEs depends on the choice of the coordinate system. Complementing Appendix C, we here show that peak curvature dynamics of a parabola are exactly captured by this alternative definition of FTLEs.

We start from a parabolic material line as defined in Eq. (C1). Given that the flow is statistically isotropic, FTLE statistics should not depend on the choice of the coordinate system. We can therefore assume that the line is aligned with the coordinate axes, i.e. $\mathbf{k}(0) = \mathbf{e}_1$ and $\mathbf{l}(0) = \mathbf{e}_2$. In this case we have

$$|\mathbf{k}(t)|^2 = |Q(t)R(t)\mathbf{k}(0)|^2 \quad (\text{S49})$$

$$= Q_{ij}(t)R_{j1}(t)Q_{ik}(t)R_{k1}(t) \quad (\text{S50})$$

$$= R_{11}^2(t), \quad (\text{S51})$$

where we have used the fact that Q is orthogonal and R is upper triangular. Furthermore, we get

$$|\mathbf{k}(t)|^2 |\mathbf{l}(t)|^2 - (\mathbf{k}(t) \cdot \mathbf{l}(t))^2 = R_{11}^2 Q_{ij} R_{j2} Q_{ik} R_{k2} - (Q_{ij} R_{j1} Q_{ik} R_{k2})^2 \quad (\text{S52})$$

$$= R_{11}^2 (Q_{i1} R_{12} + Q_{i2} R_{22})(Q_{i1} R_{12} + Q_{i2} R_{22}) \quad (\text{S53})$$

$$- (Q_{i1} R_{11} (Q_{i1} R_{12} + Q_{i2} R_{22}))^2 \quad (\text{S54})$$

$$= R_{11}^2 R_{12}^2 + R_{11}^2 R_{22}^2 - R_{11}^2 R_{12}^2 \quad (\text{S55})$$

$$= R_{11}^2(t) R_{22}^2(t).$$

Hence, the peak curvature given by Eq. (C6) can be written as

$$\kappa_p(t) = \frac{R_{11}(t)}{R_{22}(t)} \kappa_p(0). \quad (\text{S56})$$

Inserting the alternative definition of FTLEs (S48) yields

$$\kappa_p(t) = e^{[\rho_1'(t) - 2\rho_2'(t)]t} \kappa_p(0), \quad (\text{S57})$$

an exact analog to the approximate equation (C13). This means that the FTLEs defined by the QR-decomposition precisely capture the curvature growth of parabolic line elements. In that sense, the QR-definition of FTLEs is very suitable for our purposes.