Electrophysiological Signatures of Conceptual and Lexical Retrieval from Semantic Memory

(Supplementary Materials)

Cecília Hustá[1], Xiaochen Zheng [2], Christina Papoutsi [1, 3], Vitória Piai [1, 4]

[1] Radboud University, Donders Centre for Cognition

[2] Radboud University, Donders Centre for Cognitive Neuroimaging

[3] Utrecht University, RMA Linguistics

[4] Radboudumc, Donders Centre for Medical Neuroscience, Department of Medical Psychology

cecilia.husta@mpi.nl

C. Husta
Wundtlaan 1
6525 XD NIJMEGEN
NETHERLANDS

The supplementary figure displays the analysis, which examines cloze probability as a possible driving force between TFR differences across verbal and nonverbal experiments.



Figure 1. *Relative power differences (y-axis) and standard error of the mean (shaded area) between the constraining and nonconstraining conditions in the pre-target interval for the 8 – 30 Hz spectrum (x-axis) for nonverbal experiment (blue) and verbal experiment (red), plotted for A) all trials, as in the original analyses, and B) subset of 30 constraining trials with comparable cloze probabilities between experiments. The zero line in the y-axis represents no difference in relative power between constraining and nonconstraining conditions. Power spectra are averaged over the significant channels as reported in the main manuscript.*