

# On Unfolded Approach To Off-Shell Supersymmetric Models

N.G. Misuna

*Max-Planck-Institut für Gravitationsphysik (Albert-Einstein-Institut),  
Am Mühlenberg 1, 14476, Potsdam, Germany*

*Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics, Lebedev Physical Institute,  
Leninsky prospect 53, 119991, Moscow, Russia*

nikita.misuna@aei.mpg.de

## Abstract

We construct and analyze unfolded off-shell systems for chiral and vector supermultiplets using multispinor formalism and external currents. We find that auxiliary variables of multispinor formalism allow for the interesting reorganization of the unfolded off-shell modules: dynamical and auxiliary scalars of the Wess-Zumino model can be united and resulted system becomes pseudo-real, while chirality constraints, as well as electric current conservation and pseudo-reality of the off-shell scalar from the vector supermultiplet can be formulated entirely in terms of these auxiliary variables.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Unfolded approach</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Supersymmetric vacuum . . . . .	3
2.2	Scalar field . . . . .	4
2.3	Vector gauge field . . . . .	6
2.4	Spinor field . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Unfolded Wess-Zumino model revisited</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Unfolded vector supermultiplet</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>14</b>

## 1 Introduction

Unfolded dynamics approach [1–3] was designed to formulate higher-spin gravity theory within the frame of Vasiliev equations [4, 5]. In a nutshell, the unfolded dynamics approach to a field theory amounts to reformulating it as a set of first-order equations, which are manifestly coordinate-independent and gauge-invariant, by means of introducing (usually infinite number of) descendant fields which parameterize all derivatives of primary fields. Coordinate-independence and gauge invariance make unfolded approach attractive beyond the original higher-spin gravity problem. In particular, unfolded approach proposes new interesting possibilities for constructing manifestly supersymmetric formulations [6–9], which is topical e.g. for maximally supersymmetric Yang–Mills theories.

From the point of view of unfolded approach, global symmetries (e.g. (super)-Poincaré symmetry) emerge as residual gauge symmetries of non-dynamical vacuum fields (gravitational field for Poincaré symmetry, plus gravitino fields for SUSY). Hence consistent inclusion of the background fields to the unfolded system provides manifest realization of global symmetries. Moreover, unfolded equations are formulated in terms of differential forms, that guarantees manifest diffeomorphism invariance of the whole formalism, which is a crucial feature when dealing with theory that contains dynamical gravity (e.g. higher-spin gravity). This allows, in addition, straightforward lift of an unfolded supersymmetric theory from Minkowski space to superspace. And the problem of constructing an off-shell completion for a given on-shell unfolded system, as was shown in [10], amounts to coupling on-shell system to external currents, which are then interpreted as off-shell descendant fields.

In this paper we construct and analyze unfolded formulations for off-shell Wess-Zumino and vector supermultiplets, using multispinor formalism and proposals of [10]. Formulations we obtain reveal some new curious features: (1) making use of additional auxiliary variables, one can combine dynamical and auxiliary scalars of the Wess–Zumino model into a single module, whereupon unfolded equations become simpler and pseudo-real, being the same for chiral and anti-chiral supermultiplets, while chirality constraint takes the form of a simple algebraic relation with respect to auxiliary variables; (2) analogously, unfolded fields of the unfolded off-shell vector supermultiplet can be recombined so that the system of unfolded equations becomes more concise and simpler. All this indicates that it is this particularly

convenient set of auxiliary variables, combined with the unfolded dynamics approach, that can help in the study of supersymmetric theories.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly discuss unfolded dynamics approach and give concrete simple examples of unfolded systems used later. In Section 3 we present unfolded off-shell Wess–Zumino model in spinorial formulation, alternative to tensorial formulation of [7], and show that it allows one to reduce unfolded off-shell modules to a simpler form. Section 4 is devoted to the unfolding of the off-shell vector supermultiplet. In Section 5 we summarize our results.

## 2 Unfolded approach

Unfolded formulation [1–3] of the field theory represents a set of equations of the form

$$dW^A(x) + G^A(W) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

on unfolded fields  $W^A(x)$ , which are differential forms, with  $A$  standing for all indices they carry. Here  $d$  is the de Rham differential on the space-time (super)manifold  $M^d$  with local coordinates  $x$  and  $G^A(W)$  is built from exterior products of  $W$  (wedge symbol will be always implicit). The identity  $d^2 \equiv 0$  entails the following *consistency condition* for an unfolded system

$$G^B \frac{\delta G^A}{\delta W^B} \equiv 0, \quad (2.2)$$

which is of the first importance in the unfolding procedure. Equations (2.1) are invariant under a set of infinitesimal gauge transformations

$$\delta W^A = d\varepsilon^A - \varepsilon^B \frac{\delta G^A}{\delta W^B}. \quad (2.3)$$

Gauge parameter  $\varepsilon^A(x)$  is a rank- $(r-1)$  form related to a rank- $r$  unfolded field  $W^A$ . Thus 0-forms do not have their own gauge symmetries and are transformed only by higher-forms gauge transformations through the second term in (2.3).

The sense of the unfolded system (2.1) can be understood as follows. Set of unfolded fields  $W^A(x)$  decomposes into subsets of primary fields and descendant fields. And unfolded equations express the descendants in terms of the primaries and their derivatives. At the same time, they may (implicitly) put some differential combinations of primaries to zero, which means that the system is on-shell; otherwise it is off-shell. Concrete simple examples will be given below.

### 2.1 Supersymmetric vacuum

The non-dynamical maximally-symmetric space-time background in the unfolded approach arises through a 1-form connection  $\Omega = dx^a \Omega_a^A(x) T_A$ , which takes values in Lie algebra of space-time symmetries with generators  $T_A$  and obeys zero-curvature condition (which is of the form (2.1))

$$d\Omega + \Omega\Omega = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Choice of some particular solution  $\Omega_0$  breaks gauge symmetry  $\delta\Omega = d\varepsilon(x) - [\Omega, \varepsilon]$  (square brackets stands for the Lie-algebra commutator) to the residual global symmetry  $\varepsilon_{glob}$  that

leaves  $\Omega_0$  invariant and is determined by

$$d\varepsilon_{glob} - [\Omega_0, \varepsilon_{glob}] = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Because there are no 0-forms in (2.4), the background is non-dynamical: it describes no gauge-invariant physical d.o.f.

In the paper we consider  $4d \mathcal{N} = 1$  super-Poincaré group, so an appropriate 1-form is a connection

$$\Omega = e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + i\omega^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta} + i\bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \psi^\alpha Q_\alpha + \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $P_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, M_{\alpha\beta}, Q_\alpha$  are generators of translations, Lorentz transformations and supercharges, while  $e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \Omega^{\alpha\beta}, \psi^\alpha$  are 1-forms of vierbein, Lorentz connection and gravitino. For this  $\Omega$  (2.4) gives, accounting for commutation relations of generators,

$$de^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \omega^\alpha_\gamma e^{\gamma\dot{\beta}} + \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\beta}}_{\dot{\gamma}} e^{\alpha\dot{\gamma}} - \psi^\alpha \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$d\omega^{\alpha\beta} + \omega^\alpha_\gamma \omega^{\gamma\beta} = 0, \quad d\bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad (2.8)$$

$$d\psi^\alpha + \omega^\alpha_\gamma \psi^\gamma = 0, \quad d\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\gamma}} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

Fixing some particular solution to these equations reduces initial supergravity gauge symmetry (2.3) to a global supersymmetry (2.5) and determines supertransformation rules for all fields coupled to this background.

## 2.2 Scalar field

The simplest dynamical system is a free scalar field. An appropriate set of unfolded fields to describe it includes all multispinor fields of the type  $(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2})$ , i.e.  $C_{\alpha(n), \dot{\alpha}(n)}(x)$ , for all  $n$  (we make use of condensed notations in the paper, denoting a set of  $n$  symmetrized indices  $A_1 \dots A_n$  as  $A(n)$ ). They can be collected into a single unfolded module by means of auxiliary commuting spinors  $Y = (y^\alpha, \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ ,  $\alpha, \dot{\alpha} = \overline{1, 2}$ . Spinor indices are raised and lowered by antisymmetric metrics

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.10)$$

as

$$v_\alpha = \epsilon_{\beta\alpha} v^\beta, \quad v^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} v_\beta, \quad \bar{v}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{v}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{v}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{v}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (2.11)$$

so because of commutativity

$$y^\alpha y^\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Single unfolded scalar module is defined as

$$C(Y|x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^2} C_{\alpha(n), \dot{\alpha}(n)}(y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n. \quad (2.13)$$

and unfolded equation for  $C$  is

$$DC + ie\partial\bar{\partial}C = 0. \quad (2.14)$$

Here

$$D = d + \omega^{\alpha\beta} y_\alpha \partial_\beta + \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{y}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}} \quad (2.15)$$

is a 1-form of a Lorentz-covariant derivative,  $Y$ -derivatives are introduced as

$$\partial_\alpha y^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta, \quad \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}} = \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \quad (2.16)$$

and for briefness we denote

$$ey\bar{y} = e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} y_\alpha \bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad e\partial\bar{\partial} = e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \partial_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad ey\bar{\partial} = e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} y_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad e\bar{y}\partial = e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{y}_{\dot{\beta}} \partial_\alpha. \quad (2.17)$$

Representing  $D$  as  $D = e^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$  one gets from (2.14)

$$C_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n)}(x) = (iD_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})^n C(0|x), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\square C(0|x) = 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Thus  $C_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n)}$  are descendant fields, forming a tower of symmetrized traceless derivatives of the on-shell primary field  $C(0|x)$  which is subjected to the massless Klein–Gordon equation.

To construct an off-shell completion for the unfolded on-shell system one has to couple it to external currents, as explained in [10]. These currents, sourcing r.h.s. of e.o.m. (Klein–Gordon equation in this case), further can be interpreted as off-shell descendants so that former e.o.m. turn to constraints expressing these descendants in terms of primaries.

As shown in [10], all off-shell unfolded scalar fields can be collected in a single module by simple introduction of one more auxiliary variable  $p$ , so that an off-shell unfolded scalar module is

$$C(Y|p|x) = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!(n!)^2} C_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)} p^{2M} (y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n. \quad (2.20)$$

Here we used expansion in even powers of  $p$ , differently from [10], what for a separately taken scalar field makes no difference, but is better suited for a supersymmetric extensions considered below.

Unfolded equation for an off-shell scalar field is

$$DC + ie\partial\bar{\partial}C + ie\bar{y}\bar{\partial} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} C = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$\partial_p = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\varsigma = \frac{(N + \bar{N})}{2}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$N = y^\alpha \partial_\alpha, \quad \bar{N} = \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (2.24)$$

Now instead of (2.18) one has

$$C_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)}(x) = \square^M (iD_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})^n C(0|x), \quad (2.25)$$

and instead of (2.19)

$$\square C^{(0)}(x) = C^{(1)}(x). \quad (2.26)$$

So (2.21) indeed corresponds to a scalar field  $C^{(0)}$  coupled to an external current  $C^{(1)}$ . Or, as we will take it, it corresponds to an unfolded off-shell scalar  $C^{(0)}$  with  $C^{(1)}$  being one of its descendants determined by (2.26).

### 2.3 Vector gauge field

Maxwell field in the unfolded language corresponds to a 1-form of vector potential  $A = A_{\underline{m}}(x)dx^{\underline{m}}$  and two conjugate 0-form modules

$$F(Y|x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n+2)!} F_{\alpha(n+2),\dot{\alpha}(n)} (y^\alpha)^{n+2} (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n, \quad \bar{F}(Y|x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n+2)!} \bar{F}_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n+2)} (y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^{n+2}. \quad (2.27)$$

Unfolded equations are [5]

$$dA = \frac{i}{4} E \partial \bar{\partial} F + \frac{i}{4} E \bar{\partial} \partial \bar{F}, |_{Y=0} \quad (2.28)$$

$$DF + ie \partial \bar{\partial} F = 0, \quad (2.29)$$

$$D\bar{F} + ie \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{F} = 0, \quad (2.30)$$

from which one finds

$$F_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{i}{2} D_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}} (\sigma^{\underline{m}})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} A_{\underline{m}}, \quad \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{i}{2} D^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} (\sigma^{\underline{m}})_{\beta\dot{\alpha}} A_{\underline{m}}. \quad (2.31)$$

$$F_{\alpha(n+2),\dot{\alpha}(n)} = (iD_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})^n F_{\alpha\alpha}, \quad \bar{F}_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n+2)} = (iD_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})^n \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (2.32)$$

$$D^{\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} F_{\beta\alpha} = 0, \quad D_\alpha{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

Thus,  $\bar{F}$  and  $F$  encode selfdual  $\bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\alpha}}$  and anti-selfdual  $F_{\alpha\alpha}$  parts of Maxwell tensor and all their on-shell derivatives (2.32). Maxwell tensor is built from vector potential  $A_{\underline{m}}$  according to (2.31) and obeys Maxwell equations (2.33). From general formula (2.3) one restores conventional gauge symmetry of electrodynamics

$$\delta A = d\epsilon(x), \quad \delta F = 0, \quad \delta \bar{F} = 0. \quad (2.34)$$

Off-shell vector field arises through coupling of (2.28)-(2.30) to an external conserved electrical current. As shown in [10], unfolded electrical current module  $J(Y|p|x)$  is structured as

$$J(Y|p|x) = J^0 + J^+ + J^-, \quad (2.35)$$

$$J^0 = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!(n+1)!^2} J_{\alpha(n+1),\dot{\alpha}(n+1)}^{(M)} p^{2M} (y^\alpha)^{n+1} (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^{n+1}, \quad (2.36)$$

$$J^+ = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!(n+2)!n!} J_{\alpha(n+2),\dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)} p^{2M} (y^\alpha)^{n+2} (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n, \quad (2.37)$$

$$J^- = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!(n+2)!n!} J_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n+2)}^{(M)} p^{2M} (y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^{n+2}. \quad (2.38)$$

and off-shell system for a free vector field is

$$dA = \frac{i}{4}E\partial\bar{\partial}F + \frac{i}{4}E\bar{\partial}\partial\bar{F}, |_{Y=0} \quad (2.39)$$

$$DF + ie\partial\bar{\partial}F + iey\bar{y}\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^+ + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 = 0, \quad (2.40)$$

$$D\bar{F} + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{F} + iey\bar{y}\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^- + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 = 0, \quad (2.41)$$

$$DJ + ie\partial\bar{\partial}J + iey\bar{y}\frac{\varsigma(\varsigma+3)}{(N+1)(N+2)(\bar{N}+1)(\bar{N}+2)}\partial_p^2 J + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{1}{(N+1)(N+2)}(J^- + 2\partial_p^2 J^0) + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{1}{(\bar{N}+1)(\bar{N}+2)}(J^+ + 2\partial_p^2 J^0) = 0. \quad (2.42)$$

## 2.4 Spinor field

In [10] only bosonic fields were considered. Here we present an unfolded description of an off-shell spin-1/2 field which reveals an important peculiarity of fermions.

Weyl  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ -spinor is described by an unfolded module

$$\chi(Y|x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n+1)!} \chi_{\alpha(n+1), \dot{\alpha}(n)}(x) (y^\alpha)^{n+1} (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n \quad (2.43)$$

supported by an unfolded equation

$$D\chi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\chi = 0. \quad (2.44)$$

Analogously to the scalar case, higher-rank multispinors are expressed through a primary field  $\chi_\alpha(x)$  subjected to Weyl equation

$$\chi_{\alpha(n+1), \dot{\alpha}(n)} = (iD_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}})^n \chi_\alpha, \quad (2.45)$$

$$D_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\chi^\alpha = 0. \quad (2.46)$$

To put this system off-shell, one has to couple it to an external current, which in this case is an unconstrained (i.e. also off-shell)  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ -spinor  $\bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}$ . An appropriate unfolded off-shell module turns out to be

$$\chi(Y|p|x) = \chi^+ + \chi^-, \quad (2.47)$$

$$\chi^+ = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!n!(n+1)!} \chi_{\alpha(n+1), \dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)} p^{2M} (y^\alpha)^{n+1} (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n, \quad (2.48)$$

$$\chi^- = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!n!(n+1)!} \chi_{\alpha(n), \dot{\alpha}(n+1)}^{(M)} p^{2M+1} (y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^{n+1}. \quad (2.49)$$

and unfolded equations are

$$D\chi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\chi + iey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2}\chi + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^-\chi + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+\chi = 0, \quad (2.50)$$

where we introduced projectors on positive and negative helicities as

$$f_{m,n} = f_{\alpha(m),\dot{\beta}(n)}(y^\alpha)^m(\bar{y}^{\dot{\beta}})^n, \quad \Pi^+ f_{m,n} = \begin{cases} f_{m,n}, & m \geq n \\ 0, & m < n \end{cases} \quad \Pi^- f_{m,n} = \begin{cases} f_{m,n}, & m \leq n \\ 0, & m > n \end{cases}. \quad (2.51)$$

As one sees from (2.50), unlike unfolded off-shell bosons, off-shell fermions require all powers of  $p$  to be presented in the module. And helicities of unfolded fields are related to their  $p$ -parity, as follows from (2.48)-(2.49): positive helicities belong to the  $p$ -even sector, while negative ones – to the  $p$ -odd.

To get an unfolded description for an off-shell Weyl  $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ -type spinor  $\bar{\zeta}_{\dot{\alpha}}$  one just needs to flip the relation between helicity and  $p$ -parity:

$$\bar{\zeta}(Y|p|x) = \bar{\zeta}^- + \bar{\zeta}^+, \quad (2.52)$$

$$\bar{\zeta}^- = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!n!(n+1)!} \bar{\zeta}_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n+1)}^{(M)} p^{2M} (y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^{n+1}, \quad (2.53)$$

$$\bar{\zeta}^+ = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!n!(n+1)!} \bar{\zeta}_{\alpha(n+1),\dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)} p^{2M+1} (y^\alpha)^{n+1} (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n. \quad (2.54)$$

while an unfolded equation remains the same

$$D\bar{\zeta} + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\zeta} + iey\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma + \frac{3}{2})^2} \bar{\zeta} + ey\bar{\partial} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma + \frac{1}{2})(\varsigma + \frac{3}{2})} \Pi^- \bar{\zeta} + e\partial\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma + \frac{1}{2})(\varsigma + \frac{3}{2})} \Pi^+ \bar{\zeta} = 0. \quad (2.55)$$

Finally, to describe an off-shell Dirac spinor one simply unites two modules  $\chi$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$  into an unfolded off-shell Dirac module

$$\Xi(Y|p|x) = \chi + \bar{\zeta}, \quad (2.56)$$

and unfolded equation remains the same again

$$D\Xi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\Xi + iey\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma + \frac{3}{2})^2} \Xi + ey\bar{\partial} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma + \frac{1}{2})(\varsigma + \frac{3}{2})} \Pi^- \Xi + e\partial\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma + \frac{1}{2})(\varsigma + \frac{3}{2})} \Pi^+ \Xi = 0, \quad (2.57)$$

but now there is no relation between helicity and  $p$ -parity: both helicities have all terms in  $p$ -expansion.

Thus, for off-shell spinors of all types one has one and the same unfolded equation, but the structure of the unfolded module does depend on the type. Introducing projectors on  $p$ -even and  $p$ -odd parts as

$$\Pi^e f(p) = \frac{f(p) + f(-p)}{2}, \quad \Pi^o f(p) = \frac{f(p) - f(-p)}{2}, \quad (2.58)$$

one can formulate this dependence as a constraints on mutual  $p - Y$  dependence

$$\text{left Weyl: } \Pi^e = \Pi^+, \quad (2.59)$$

$$\text{right Weyl: } \Pi^e = \Pi^-, \quad (2.60)$$

$$\text{Dirac: } \text{no constraints.} \quad (2.61)$$



### 3 Unfolded Wess-Zumino model revisited

Unfolded formulation of the on-shell Wess-Zumino model in terms of symmetric Lorentz-tensors playing the role of unfolded fields was built in [6]. All tensors are traceless and spinor fields are subjected to  $\sigma$ -transversality condition

$$DC^{a(k)} + e_b C^{a(k)b} - \sqrt{2}\psi^\alpha \chi_\alpha^{a(k)} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$D\chi_\alpha^{a(k)} + e_b \chi_\alpha^{a(k)b} - i\sqrt{2}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}} C^{a(k)b} = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

$$C^{a(k-2)b} = 0, \quad \chi_\alpha^{a(k-2)b} = 0, \quad (\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \chi_\beta^{a(k)b} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Off-shell extension arises via relaxing tracelessness and  $\sigma$ -transversality conditions and requires introduction of a series of symmetric tensor fields  $F^{a(k)}$ , which correspond to an auxiliary component scalar of the chiral supermultiplet [7]. Resulting off-shell system is

$$DC^{a(k)} + e_b C^{a(k)b} - \sqrt{2}\psi^\alpha \chi_\alpha^{a(k)} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$D\chi_\alpha^{a(k)} + e_b \chi_\alpha^{a(k)b} - i\sqrt{2}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}} C^{a(k)b} - \sqrt{2}\psi_\alpha F^{a(k)} = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$DF^{a(k)} + e_b F^{a(k)b} - i\sqrt{2}\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} (\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \chi_\beta^{a(k)b} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

If translate this to the multispinor language used in this paper, symmetric traceless tensor  $T^{a(k)}$  corresponds to a multispinor  $T_{\alpha(k),\dot{\alpha}(k)}$ , while  $\sigma$ -transverse  $\chi_\alpha^{a(k)}$  corresponds to  $\chi_{\alpha(k+1),\dot{\alpha}(k)}$ . Then  $p^{2M}$ -terms of (2.20) and (2.48) correspond to the traces of the Lorentz-tensors, while  $p^{2M+1}$ -terms from (2.49) correspond to  $\sigma$ -longitudinal contributions of the form  $(\bar{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \chi_\beta^{a(k)b}$ .

In order to build a multispinor equivalent of the system (3.4)-(3.6), one supplements off-shell scalar (2.21) and off-shell spinor (2.50) with the unfolded auxiliary scalar

$$F(Y|p|x) = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2M)!(n!)^2} F_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)} p^{2M} (y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n, \quad (3.7)$$

$$DF + ie\partial\bar{\partial}F + iey\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} F = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

and deforms (2.21), (2.50), (3.8) with  $\psi$ -dependent terms mixing component  $C$ ,  $\chi$  and  $F$  in a consistent way. We will not describe here the procedure of this (and other presented in the paper) unfolding, which is quite technical, tedious and lengthy. Detailed examples of constructing unfolded systems can be found e.g. in [10, 11]. In a nutshell, one has to write down the most general suitable Ansatz for unfolded equations with arbitrary  $(p|Y)$ -dependent coefficients and then fix them by imposing consistency condition (2.2).

For the off-shell chiral supermultiplet in question, consistent unfolded equations turn to be

$$DC + ie\partial\bar{\partial}C + iey\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} C + \psi\partial\Pi^+\chi + i\psi y \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Pi^-\chi = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$D\chi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\chi + iey\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2} \chi + ey\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Pi^-\chi + e\partial\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Pi^+\chi -$$

$$- i\bar{\psi}\partial C - \bar{\psi}y \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+1)} C + i\psi y \frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)} F - p \frac{1}{p\partial_p + 1} \psi\partial F = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

$$DF + ie\partial\bar{\partial}F + iey\bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} F + i\psi\bar{\partial}\partial_p\Pi^-\chi - \bar{\psi}y \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Pi^+\chi = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

This multispinor formulation allows for a new interesting possibility, elusive in the tensor form (3.4)-(3.6). Namely, it is possible to naturally combine the dynamical and auxiliary scalar modules  $C$  and  $F$  into a single one. To this end one defines a combined scalar module

$$\Phi = C + i\partial_p F, \quad (3.12)$$

which thus contains both even (former  $C$ ) and odd (former  $F$ ) terms in  $p$ -expansion

$$\Phi(Y|p|x) = \sum_{M,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!(n!)^2} \Phi_{\alpha(n),\dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)} p^M (y^\alpha)^n (\bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n, \quad (3.13)$$

Note that this incorporation correctly reproduce scaling dimension of the auxiliary scalar, associated with  $p$ -odd part of  $\Phi$ : scaling dimension of  $p$  is

$$\Delta_p = 1, \quad (3.14)$$

as one can see e.g. from (2.20) and (2.25). Hence

$$\Delta_F = \Delta_C + 1. \quad (3.15)$$

In terms of  $\Phi$  (3.9)-(3.11) turns to

$$D\Phi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi + iey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}\Phi + (\psi\partial\Pi^+ + \bar{\psi}\bar{\partial}\Pi^- + i\psi y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^- + i\bar{\psi}\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+)\chi = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

$$D\chi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\chi + iey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2}\chi + ey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^-\chi + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+\chi - (i\psi\partial + i\bar{\psi}\bar{\partial} + \bar{\psi}y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+1)} + \psi y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+1)})\Phi = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

A curious feature of the system (3.16)-(3.17) is that naively it looks real, though describing a chiral supermultiplet. The point is that it is consistent, i.e. satisfying (2.2), *only* if  $\chi$  is a Weyl module, not a Dirac (or Majorana) one. But as discussed above, unfolded equations for Dirac and both types of Weyl spinors look completely the same. The difference is in the structure of the unfolded modules, expressed in (2.59)-(2.61). Thus, (3.16)-(3.17) supplemented by the *chirality constraint*

$$\Pi^e\chi = \Pi^+\chi, \quad (3.18)$$

determines an unfolded off-shell chiral supermultiplet. And the same unfolded system (3.16)-(3.17), but supplemented with the opposite *anti-chirality constraint*

$$\Pi^e\chi = \Pi^-\chi, \quad (3.19)$$

determines an unfolded off-shell anti-chiral supermultiplet. This “degeneracy” of unfolded equations for chiral and anti-chiral supermultiplets becomes manifest only after unifying two scalars of the Wess–Zumino model into a single module  $\Phi$  and is not seen in the “standard” formulation (3.4)-(3.6) of [7] or its spinorial version (3.9)-(3.11), where two supermultiplets are related by non-invariant complex conjugation. The main ingredient is  $p$ -variable, which allows

one to unite two bosonic fields: in a non-supersymmetric situation bosonic modules depend only on  $p^2$  which, as is seen e.g. from (2.20), (2.25), encodes descendants containing kinetic operators acting on the primary field; on the other hand, fermions depend on all powers of  $p$ , because their kinetic operators are of the first order and change the type of the spinors. In non-manifestly-supersymmetric reduction of (3.16)-(3.17) which arises from putting all gravitino 1-forms  $\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  to zero,  $p$ -odd and  $p$ -even terms in  $\Phi$  become completely disentangled –  $\Phi$  divides into independent modules  $C$  and  $F$ , and the question of their relative  $p$ -parity becomes inessential. But in the supersymmetric system non-zero  $\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  non-trivially intertwine  $p$ -odd and  $p$ -even parts of  $\Phi$ .

## 4 Unfolded vector supermultiplet

In this Section we are about to build and analyze an unfolded system of an off-shell vector supermultiplet. This is accomplished in several stages. First, we formulate an on-shell system; then we find an unfolded description for a supersymmetric source for a vector system, which is a linear multiplet; finally, we couple a linear multiplet to the vector system thus arriving at an unfolded off-shell vector supermultiplet.

On-shell vector supermultiplet contains Maxwell field and gaugino being Majorana spinor. So one has to take (2.28)-(2.30), (2.44), add possible terms with  $\psi_\alpha, \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}$  mixing the spinor and the vector, and then solve for the consistency condition (2.2). This brings to

$$dA = \frac{i}{4}E\partial\bar{\partial}F + \frac{i}{4}E\bar{\partial}\partial\bar{F} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\psi_\alpha\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_\alpha\lambda|_{Y=0} \quad (4.1)$$

$$DF + ie\partial\bar{\partial}F - \bar{\psi}\partial\lambda = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

$$D\bar{F} + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{F} - \psi\partial\bar{\lambda} = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

$$D\lambda + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\lambda + i\psi\partial F = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

$$D\bar{\lambda} + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\lambda} + i\bar{\psi}\partial\bar{F} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

To go off-shell one has to switch on external currents for  $F$  and  $\lambda$ . In principle, one could start with off-shell systems for  $F$  and  $\lambda$  presented in (2.39)-(2.42), (2.57) and then look for consistent supersymmetric  $\psi$ -corrections. However, there is a more efficient way along the lines of [10]: to make use of a supersymmetric generalization of electric current, which is provided by a linear multiplet. This includes conserved vector, unconstrained Majorana spinor and unconstrained pseudoscalar. So one can first find an unfolded system for a linear multiplet and then couple it to (4.1)-(4.5).

To this end one takes unfolded systems for an electric current  $J$ , off-shell spinor  $(\chi, \bar{\chi})$  (it is convenient to separate a Majorana spinor into two conjugate Weyl's) and off-shell scalar  $C$ , and add possible consistent terms with  $\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}$  which mix component fields. This results in

$$\begin{aligned}
& DJ + ie\partial\bar{\partial}J + iey\bar{y}\frac{\varsigma(\varsigma+3)\partial_p^2}{(N+1)(N+2)(\bar{N}+1)(\bar{N}+2)}J + \\
& + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{1}{(N+1)(N+2)}(J^- + 2\partial_p^2 J^0) + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{1}{(\bar{N}+1)(\bar{N}+2)}(J^+ + 2\partial_p^2 J^0) + \\
& + (\psi\partial\Pi^+ + 2\psi\partial\partial_p\Pi^- - 2i\psi y\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+ - i\psi y\frac{(\varsigma+\frac{5}{2})\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^-)\chi + \\
& + (\bar{\psi}\partial\Pi^- + 2\bar{\psi}\partial\partial_p\Pi^+ - 2i\bar{\psi}y\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^- - i\bar{\psi}y\frac{(\varsigma+\frac{5}{2})\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+)\bar{\chi} = 0. \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& D\chi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\chi + iey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2}\chi + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^- \chi + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+ \chi - \\
& - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\partial J^0 + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}y\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)}J^+ + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}y\frac{\varsigma}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\psi}\partial_p\frac{1}{p\partial_p+1}J^- - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\partial C + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+1)}C = 0. \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& D\bar{\chi} + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\chi} + iey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2}\bar{\chi} + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+ \bar{\chi} + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^- \bar{\chi} - \\
& - \frac{i}{2}\psi\partial J^0 + \frac{1}{2}\psi y\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)}J^- + \frac{1}{2}\psi y\frac{\varsigma}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 - \frac{i}{2}\psi\partial_p\frac{1}{p\partial_p+1}J^+ + \frac{1}{2}\psi\partial C - \frac{1}{2}\psi y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+1)}C = 0. \tag{4.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$DC + ie\partial\bar{\partial}C + iey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}C + i\psi\partial\Pi^+\chi - i\bar{\psi}\partial\Pi^-\bar{\chi} - \psi y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^- \chi + \bar{\psi}y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+ \bar{\chi} = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

which is an unfolded form of the linear multiplet. The pseudoscalar nature of  $C$  manifests in opposite signs between terms with  $\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}$ , which mix it with the spinor.

Now coupling (4.6)-(4.9) to (4.1)-(4.5) yields

$$dA = \frac{i}{4}E\partial\partial F + \frac{i}{4}E\bar{\partial}\bar{\partial}\bar{F} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\alpha\alpha}\psi_\alpha\bar{\partial}_\alpha\bar{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}e^{\alpha\alpha}\bar{\psi}_\alpha\partial_\alpha\lambda|_{Y=0} \tag{4.10}$$

$$DF + ie\partial\bar{\partial}F + iey\bar{y}\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^+ + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 - \bar{\psi}\partial\lambda - \psi y\frac{2i}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+\chi = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

$$D\bar{F} + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{F} + iey\bar{y}\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^- + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 - \psi\partial\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\psi}y\frac{2i}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^-\bar{\chi} = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

$$D\lambda + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\lambda - ey\bar{\partial}\frac{2}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^-\bar{\chi} - ey\bar{y}\frac{2i\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2}\Pi^+\bar{\chi} + i\psi\partial F + i\psi y\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)}C - \psi y\frac{\varsigma}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

$$D\bar{\lambda} + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\bar{\lambda} - e\partial\bar{y}\frac{2}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\Pi^+\chi - ey\bar{y}\frac{2i\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2}\Pi^-\chi + i\bar{\psi}\partial\bar{F} - i\bar{\psi}y\frac{1}{(\varsigma+1)}C - \bar{\psi}y\frac{\varsigma}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}J^0 = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Together equations (4.6)-(4.9), (4.10)-(4.14) form an unfolded off-shell system for the vector supermultiplet. Let us stress a characteristic feature of the used approach to build off-shell

supersymmetric models: in a conventional Lagrangian formulation the off-shell vector supermultiplet includes the Maxwell field, the gaugino and the auxiliary pseudoscalar which vanishes on-shell. In the unfolded approach there is an infinite number of descendant fields, in particular those which vanish on-shell (these are exactly the unfolded module of the linear multiplet). What is their relation to the conventional off-shell vector supermultiplet with only one auxiliary pseudoscalar? The answer is that among plenty unfolded fields presented in (4.6)-(4.14), the only primaries when considering Minkowski space with  $\psi = \bar{\psi} = 0$  are  $A$ ,  $\lambda_\alpha(p=0)$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}(p=0)$  and  $C(p=0)$  – which precisely corresponds to the field content of the Lagrangian off-shell vector supermultiplet. But in our construction this pseudoscalar appears as a part of the external current for the vector supermultiplet, necessary for relaxing on-shell constraints.

Analogously to what is done in Section 3 for Wess–Zumino model, it is possible to recombine component fields of the off-shell vector supermultiplet by modification their  $p$ -dependence, such that unfolded system gets simplified. All spinor modules  $\lambda$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}$ ,  $\chi$ ,  $\bar{\chi}$  can be combined into off-shell Majorana module  $\Lambda$ ,  $J^+$  and  $J^-$  are naturally included to  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  as their  $p^2$ -dependent parts, while  $J^0$  and  $C$  get united into a single subspace  $\Phi$  as  $p$ -even and  $p$ -odd parts respectively

$$\Phi = p^2 J^0 + pC, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\Phi(Y|p|x) = \sum_{M=1, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M!(n!)^2} \Phi_{\alpha(n), \dot{\alpha}(n)}^{(M)} p^M (y^\alpha \bar{y}^{\dot{\alpha}})^n, \quad (4.16)$$

with a constraint

$$\varsigma \Pi^e \Phi \geq 1 \quad (4.17)$$

which encodes conservation of electric current ( $J^0$  has zero divergence hence no scalar descendants). Another point one has to ensure is pseudoreality of  $C$ . This can be elegantly built into a modified conjugation operation  $h.c.$ , which originally just exchanges dotted and undotted spinors in the unfolded equations. The modified conjugation now also flips the sign of  $p$  in  $\Phi$ , thus multiplying  $C$  by  $-1$

$$h.c. : (\alpha, \dot{\beta}) \rightarrow (\dot{\alpha}, \beta), \quad \Phi(p) \rightarrow \Phi(-p). \quad (4.18)$$

As a result, the unfolded off-shell system for the vector supermultiplet now reads

$$dA = \frac{i}{4} E \partial \partial F + \frac{i}{4} E \bar{\partial} \partial \bar{F} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \psi_\alpha \bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} \Lambda + \frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_\alpha \Lambda \Big|_{p, Y=0} \quad (4.19)$$

$$DF + ie \partial \bar{\partial} F + iey \bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} F + ey \bar{\partial} \frac{2\partial_p^2 \Pi^e}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} \Phi - \bar{\psi} \partial \Pi^e \Lambda^+ + i\psi y \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Pi^o \Lambda^+ = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

$$D\bar{F} + ie \partial \bar{\partial} \bar{F} + iey \bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} \bar{F} + e \partial \bar{y} \frac{2\partial_p^2 \Pi^e}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)} \Phi - \psi \partial \Pi^e \Lambda^- + i\bar{\psi} y \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Pi^o \Lambda^- = 0. \quad (4.21)$$

$$D\Lambda + ie \partial \bar{\partial} \Lambda + iey \bar{y} \frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})^2} \Lambda + ey \bar{\partial} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Lambda^- + e \partial \bar{y} \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{1}{2})(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})} \Lambda^+ + \left( i\psi \partial F + i\psi \partial (\partial_p \Pi^e + \Pi^o) \Phi - \psi y \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+1)} \bar{F} - \psi y \frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+1)} \left( \frac{\varsigma}{\varsigma+2} \partial_p \Pi^e - \Pi^o \right) \Phi + h.c. \right) = 0. \quad (4.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& D\Phi + ie\partial\bar{\partial}\Phi + iey\bar{y}\frac{\partial_p^2}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}\left(1 - \frac{2\Pi^e}{(\varsigma+1)(\varsigma+2)}\right)\Phi + ey\bar{\partial}\frac{1}{\varsigma(\varsigma+1)}\bar{F} + e\partial\bar{y}\frac{1}{\varsigma(\varsigma+1)}F + \\
& + \left(\frac{i}{2}\psi y\frac{\partial_p}{(\varsigma+\frac{3}{2})}\left(\frac{\varsigma+\frac{5}{2}}{\varsigma+\frac{1}{2}} + \partial_p\right)\Pi^e\Lambda^- - \frac{1}{2}\psi\partial p\frac{1}{p\partial_p+1}(1 - \partial_p)\Pi^o\Lambda^+ + h.c.\right) = 0. \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

## 5 Conclusion

In the paper we constructed and analyzed unfolded off-shell system for chiral and vector supermultiplets by formulating on-shell systems and coupling them to external currents. We found some new interesting features related to supersymmetry, which allows one to hope that proposed method of building off-shell completion for on-shell systems may help one to proceed in problem of constructing manifestly supersymmetric formulation for more complicated models like e.g. maximally supersymmetric Yang–Mills theories.

Analyzing unfolded off-shell Wess–Zumino model in terms of multispinors, we discovered a way to reorganize unfolded fields in a more compact way, with only one scalar and one spinor unfolded modules, which seems elusive in the original tensorial formulation of [6, 7]. The clue is the use of an additional variable  $p$ , which was originally introduced as a formal parameter cataloging off-shell descendants of the primary fields, resulting from action of kinetic operators [10]. Comparing to tensorial formulation of [7], this  $p$  accounts for traces of the off-shell traceful unfolded fields. However, in supersymmetric model it allows to unite dynamical and auxiliary scalars of Wess–Zumino model into a single unfolded module. Moreover, it turns out that in this new form unfolded off-shell equations become pseudo-real, looking completely identical for chiral and anti-chiral supermultiplets. And an additional constraint which chooses between chiral and anti-chiral systems takes a form of a simple relation constraining  $p$ -dependence of the unfolded spinor module. At this moment  $p$  becomes not just a book-keeping parameter but an actual “alive” variable. It cannot be interpreted as just a counterpart of tensor traces in [7] anymore (in fact, it becomes hard to find any simple straightforward interpretation for it in tensorial terms). It must be emphasized that this variable is not specially designed and introduced in order to merge two scalar modules into one (otherwise, this would be a triviality): it necessarily appears for all unfolded off-shell relativistic fields written in terms of multispinors [10].

Further, we constructed an unfolded description of the vector supermultiplet along the lines of [10]. To this end we first found an unfolded on-shell system, then built an unfolded formulation for the linear multiplet which is external source for the vector supermultiplet, and finally coupled them together. Once again, by modifying  $p$ -dependence we managed to put the system in a more concise form, analogously to what happened for the Wess–Zumino model. And if in that case there were additional (anti-)chirality constraints on the unfolded modules, formulated in terms of  $p$ -dependence, for the vector supermultiplet such additional constraints are electric current conservation and pseudoscalar parity condition, which are also formulated in terms of  $p$ .

To summarize, by examples of Wess–Zumino model and vector supermultiplet we demonstrated the potential fruitfulness of the unfolded dynamics approach to the problem of constructing manifestly supersymmetric formulations: generically, the problem turns to the concrete task of construction of supersymmetric external currents; specifically, the use of  $(p|Y)$ -variables opens new ways to organize the degrees of freedom with some curious features.

# Acknowledgments

The research was supported by the Russian Basic Research Foundation Grant № 20-02-00208 and by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

# References

- [1] M.A. Vasiliev *Yad.Fiz.* **32** (1980) 855-861, *Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.* **32** (1980) 439.
- [2] M.A. Vasiliev, *Annals Phys.* **190** (1989) 59-106.
- [3] M.A. Vasiliev, *Int.J.Geom.Meth.Mod.Phys.* **3** (2006) 37-80 [hep-th/0504090].
- [4] M.A. Vasiliev, *Phys.Lett.B* **243** (1990) 378-382.
- [5] M.A. Vasiliev, *Phys.Lett.B* **285** (1992) 225-234.
- [6] D.S. Ponomarev, M.A. Vasiliev, *JHEP* **1201** (2012) 152 [arXiv:1012.2903].
- [7] N.G. Misuna, M.A. Vasiliev, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 140 [arXiv:1301.2230].
- [8] M.V. Khabarov, Yu.M. Zinoviev, *Nucl.Phys.B* **953** (2020) 114959 [arXiv:2001.07903].
- [9] I.L. Buchbinder, T.V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 075 [arXiv:1606.02475].
- [10] N.G. Misuna, *Phys.Lett.B* **798** (2019) 134956 [arXiv:1905.06925].
- [11] N.G. Misuna, *JHEP* **12** (2021) 172 [arXiv:2012.06570].