

Supporting Information for

Large-scale analysis of structural brain asymmetries in schizophrenia via the ENIGMA consortium

Dick Schijven, Merel C. Postema, Masaki Fukunaga, Junya Matsumoto, Kenichiro Miura, Sonja M.C. de Zwarte, Neeltje E.M. van Haren, Wiepke Cahn, Hilleke E. Hulshoff Pol, René S. Kahn, Rosa Ayesa-Arriola, Víctor Ortiz-García de la Foz, Diana Tordesillas-Gutierrez, Javier Vázquez-Bourgon, Benedicto Crespo-Facorro, Dag Alnæs, Andreas Dahl, Lars T. Westlye, Ingrid Agartz, Ole A. Andreassen, Erik G. Jönsson, Peter Kochunov, Jason M. Bruggemann, Stanley V. Catts, Patricia T. Michie, Bryan J. Mowry, Yann Quidé, Paul E. Rasser, Ulrich Schall, Rodney J. Scott, Vaughan J. Carr, Melissa J. Green, Frans A. Henskens, Carmel M. Loughland, Christos Pantelis, Cynthia Shannon Weickert, Thomas W. Weickert, Lieuwe de Haan, Katharina Brosch, Julia-Katharina Pfarr, Kai G. Ringwald, Frederike Stein, Andreas Jansen, Tilo T.J. Kircher, Igor Nenadić, Bernd Krämer, Oliver Gruber, Theodore D. Satterthwaite, Juan Bustillo, Daniel H. Mathalon, Adrian Preda, Vince D. Calhoun, Judith M. Ford, Steven G. Potkin, Jingxu Chen, Yunlong Tan, Zhiren Wang, Hong Xiang, Fengmei Fan, Fabio Bernardoni, Stefan Ehrlich, Paola Fuentes-Claramonte, Maria Angeles Garcia-Leon, Amalia Guerrero-Pedraza, Raymond Salvador, Salvador Sarró, Edith Pomarol-Clotet, Valentina Ciullo, Fabrizio Piras, Daniela Vecchio, Nerisa Banaj, Gianfranco Spalletta, Stijn Michielse, Therese van Amelsvoort, Erin W. Dickie, Aristotle N. Voineskos, Kang Sim, Simone Ciufolini, Paola Dazzan, Robin M. Murray, Woo-Sung Kim, Young-Chul Chung, Christina Andreou, André Schmidt, Stefan Borgwardt, Andrew M. McIntosh, Heather C. Whalley, Stephen M. Lawrie, Stefan du Plessis, Hilmar K. Luckhoff, Freda Scheffler, Robin Emsley, Dominik Grotegerd, Rebekka Lencer, Udo Dannlowski, Jesse T. Edmond, Kelly Rootes-Murdy, Julia M. Stephen, Andrew R. Mayer, Linda A. Antonucci, Leonardo Fazio, Giulio Pergola, Alessandro Bertolino, Covadonga M. Díaz-Caneja, Joost Janssen, Noemi G. Lois, Celso Arango, Alexander S. Tomyshev, Irina Lebedeva, Simon Cervenka, Carl M. Sellgren, Foivos Georgiadis, Matthias Kirschner, Stefan Kaiser, Tomas Hajek, Antonin Skoch, Filip Spaniel, Minah Kim, Yoo Bin Kwak, Sanghoon Oh, Jun Soo Kwon, Anthony James, Geor Bakker, Christian Knöchel, Michael Stäblein, Viola Oertel, Anne Uhlmann, Fleur M. Howells, Dan J. Stein, Henk S. Temmingh, Ana M. Diaz-Zuluaga, Julian A. Pineda-Zapata, Carlos López-Jaramillo, Stephanie Homan, Ellen Ji, Werner Surbeck, Philipp Homan, Simon E. Fisher, Barbara Franke, David C. Glahn, Ruben C. Gur, Ryota Hashimoto, Neda Jahanshad, Eileen Luders, Sarah E. Medland, Paul M. Thompson, Jessica A. Turner, Theo G.M. van Erp, Clyde Francks

Corresponding author:

Clyde Francks E-mail: Clyde.Francks@mpi.nl

This PDF file includes:

Supporting Information 1 to 3 Figures S1 to S25 Tables S1 to S13

Table of contents

Supporting Information 1: Quality control for image orientation	. 3
Supporting Information 2: Overview of statistical models for regression and partial correlatio analyses	n 4
Supporting Information 3: Sensitivity and secondary analyses	. 6
Supporting figures	. 8
Supporting tables	53
SI References	83

Supporting Information 1: Quality control for image orientation

The standardized pipeline from raw image data through FreeSurfer does not introduce left-right flipping errors, but to ensure that such errors were not introduced during processing of raw imaging data by non-standard processes (e.g. during the conversion of DICOM to NIFTI files), we compared mean regional asymmetry indexes (AIs) for all datasets against grand sample-size adjusted means. If we noticed a large proportion of reversed average AIs for a dataset, we contacted the relevant site to re-check and correct their process. Table S3 provides a per-region overview of mean asymmetry direction in each dataset compared to grand sample-size adjusted means across datasets.

Supporting Information 2: Overview of statistical models for regression and partial correlation analyses

Below is an overview of the models for the linear regression and partial correlation analyses that were run by each participating site, and from which summary statistics for meta-analysis by the central analysis group were extracted. Model numbers refer to those indicated in the main manuscript text and Supporting Information 3. The independent variable highlighted in **bold** is the predictor of interest in each model, for which effects were combined across datasets in random-effects meta-analysis.

Variable	Туре	Description
AI	Continuous	Asymmetry index
Dx	Categorical (binary)	Diagnosis: schizophrenia or unaffected control
HAND	Categorical (binary)	Hand preference: right or non-right (left + ambidextrous)
ICV	Continuous	Intracranial volume
Scanner	Categorical (binary)	Optional covariate: If a site used multiple scanners to obtain images, <i>n</i> -1 binary dummy covariates (where <i>n</i> is the number of scanners in a given dataset) were added, to differentiate which scanner an individual's data came from.
AP-group	Categorical	Antipsychotic medication groups, tested as binary variables for between-group comparisons.
Clinical variable	Continuous	Schizophrenia-specific clinical variable. We included chlorpromazine-equivalent (CPZ) medication dose, age at onset, duration of illness, PANSS total score, PANSS positive symptom score, PANSS negative symptom score, SAPS score or SANS score.

Abbreviations used in models:

Models to assess case-control differences

Primary model:

[1] AI ~ **Dx** + Age + Sex (+ Scanner)

Primary model with additional covariates:

[3] AI ~ **Dx** + Age + Sex + ICV (+ Scanner)

[5] $AI \sim Dx + Age + Age^2 + Sex (+ Scanner)$

Models to assess medication group differences

Antipsychotic medication between-group comparisons within affected individuals:

[6] Al ~ **AP-group** + Age + Sex (+ Scanner)

Models to assess correlations with clinical variables in affected individuals

[7]	Linear model:	AI ~ Clinical variable + Sex + Age (+ Scanner)
	Partial correlation:	ρ(Al)(Clinical variable)·{Sex, Age, (Scanner)}

Models to assess diagnosis-by-age and diagnosis-by-sex interactions, including correlations with age

- [8] AI ~ Dx + Age + Sex + **Dx*Age +** (Scanner)
- [8b] Linear model: AI ~ Age + Sex (+ Scanner) Partial correlation: ρ(AI)(Age).{Sex, (Scanner)}
- [9] AI ~ Dx + Age + Sex + **Dx*Sex** + (Scanner)

Supporting Information 3: Sensitivity and secondary analyses

Sensitivity analyses

For any asymmetry index (AI) that showed a significant case-control group difference in the primary meta-analysis, we carried out three types of sensitivity analyses:

First, we identified datasets within which the 95% CI of the diagnosis effect did not overlap with the 95% CI of the meta-analyzed effect – using the 'find.outliers' function in the R package *dmetar* (v0.0.9) (1) – and then repeated the meta-analysis after excluding such outlier datasets.

Second, to assess whether between-dataset heterogeneity in effect sizes could be partly explained by known aspects of technical, diagnostic or geographic variability between datasets, we applied meta-regression and the Cochran's Q test. As possible moderators we tested scanner strength, scanner manufacturer, use of a single scanner versus multiple scanners, image slice orientation, FreeSurfer version, diagnostic tool, and geographic origin of datasets (ethnicity was not recorded). See Table S2 for more information on these possible moderators.

Third, we applied models that included the same covariates as the primary analysis, but additionally included either handedness (right-handed vs. non-right-handed), intracranial volume (ICV), both handedness and ICV, or age² (models 2-5 in Supporting Information 2).

Medication group differences

For AIs that showed significant case-control group differences in the primary analysis, we explored associations with antipsychotic medication use at the time of scanning, through between-group comparisons of AIs of unmedicated individuals with schizophrenia, affected individuals taking only first-generation (typical) antipsychotics, affected individuals taking only second-generation (atypical) antipsychotics, and those taking both first- and second-generation antipsychotics. Sex and age were included as covariates (model 6 in Supporting Information 2) and derived Cohen's *d* effect sizes were again meta-analyzed across datasets in a random-effects model. Applying a minimum group size threshold of 5 within any given dataset, sufficient data on the presence/absence of antipsychotic medication use for at least one comparison were available for 31 of the datasets (Table S1B), and the sample sizes for each between-group comparison are in Table S9. We calculated FDR corrected p-values to correct for all of the multiple subgroup comparisons and structural asymmetries tested.

Correlations of asymmetries with clinical variables

For AIs that showed significant case-control group differences in the primary analysis, we assessed relationships between these AIs and clinical variables within affected individuals only: age at onset, duration of illness, chlorpromazine equivalent medication dose (at the time of scanning), as well as positive, negative, and total symptom severity scores from the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) (2), or the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) (3) and Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) (4) (separately depending on data availability, see Table S1A). Partial correlations between brain AIs and these quantitative measures were estimated using

the 'pcor.test' function in the R package *ppcor* (v1.1) (5). Age and sex were included as covariates (model 7 in Supporting Information 2). The same minimum sample size requirement for dataset inclusion was applied as in the linear regression analyses (above). Correlation coefficients were metaanalyzed across datasets in a mixed-effects model including dataset as a random effect. We calculated FDR corrected p-values to control for all of the clinical variables and structural asymmetries tested. Sample sizes for each model are shown in Table S10.

Age- and sex-specific effects

For all AIs in all case-control datasets we applied models which were the same as the primary analysis but additionally included either diagnosis-by-age or diagnosis-by-sex interaction terms. We then carried out meta-analyses of the interaction effect estimates across datasets to assess possible AI differences between affected individuals and controls that were relatively specific to either males or females, or differed with age (models 8-9 in Supporting Information 2). In the same way as our primary analysis, we calculated FDR corrected p-values to account for multiple regional asymmetries tested.

Supporting figures





Fig. S2 (page 10-18). Overall and per-dataset average and range for cortical thickness

asymmetries. For each cortical thickness asymmetry measure, the average in controls (green circles) and individuals affected with schizophrenia (purple squares) is shown. The top (highlighted) row contains the grand sample size-weighted mean and standard deviation (thick line segments). The other rows contain per-dataset averages, standard deviations and minimum and maximum values (indicated with thin line segments).



















Fig. S3 (page 20-28). Overall and per-dataset average and range for cortical surface area

asymmetries. For each cortical surface area asymmetry measure, the average in controls (green circles) and individuals affected with schizophrenia (purple squares) is shown. The top (highlighted) row contains the grand sample size-weighted mean and standard deviation (thick line segments). The other rows contain per-dataset averages, standard deviations and minimum and maximum values (indicated with thin line segments).







Surface Area - Lateral occipital













Fig. S4 (page 30-31). Overall and per-dataset average and range for subcortical volume

asymmetries. For each subcortical volume asymmetry measure, the average in controls (green circles) and individuals affected with schizophrenia (purple squares) is shown. The top (highlighted) row contains the grand sample size-weighted mean and standard deviation (thick line segments). The other rows contain per-dataset averages, standard deviations and minimum and maximum values (indicated with thin line segments).











Fig. S6. Correlations between structural asymmetries in the 14 datasets available for multivariate analysis (i.e. where individual-level data were available to the central analysis team). The correlations between AIs are shown at the intersections of rows and columns. Positive correlations are shown in blue shades, negative correlations are shown in red shades. Figure generated using the *corrplot* package in R (11).



Thickness - Banks of superior temporal sulcus Thickness - Caudal anterior cinculate Thickness - Caudal middle frontal Thickness - Entorhinal Thickness - Fusiform Thickness - Inferior parietal Thickness - Inferior temporal Thickness - Isthmus cingulate Thickness - Lateral orbitofrontal Thickness - Middle temporal Thickness - Parahippocampal Thickness - Pars opercularis Thickness - Pars triangularis Thickness - Posterior cinqulate Thickness - Precentral Thickness - Precuneus Thickness - Rostral middle frontal Thickness - Superior parietal Thickness - Transverse temporal Thickness - Insula Surface Area - Caudal anterior cingulate Surface Area - Cuneus Surface Area - Inferior parietal Surface Area - Inferior temporal Surface Area - Isthmus cingulate Surface Area - Lingual Surface Area - Paracentral Surface Area - Pars opercularis Surface Area - Postcentral Surface Area - Posterior cingulate Surface Area - Rostral anterior cingulate Surface Area - Superior temporal Volume - Lateral ventricle Volume - Thalamus

Fig. S7. Correlations > 0.2 between structural asymmetries in the 14 datasets available for multivariate analysis (i.e. where individual-level data were available to the central analysis team). The correlations between AIs are shown at the intersections of rows and columns. Only correlations > 0.2 are shown and structural asymmetries not having any such large correlations are excluded from the matrix (i.e. this figure shows a subset of the same correlation matrix as in Fig. S6, to aid in visualization of the larger correlations only). Positive correlations are shown in blue shades, negative correlations are shown in red shades. Figure generated using the *corrplot* package in R (11).

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
AMC	206	199		-0.23 [-0.42, -0.03]
ASRB	217	130		-0.10 [-0.31, 0.12]
CAMH	118	146		-0.06 [-0.30, 0.18]
CASSI	53	62		-0.18 [-0.55, 0.19]
CIAM	18	29	<u>├</u>	0.00 [-0.58, 0.58]
CLING	48	323	⊢_∎]	-0.38 [-0.59, -0.18]
COBRE	71	68	<u>├</u>	-0.15 [-0.49, 0.18]
EdinburghEHRS	31	36	⊢	-0.27 [-0.76, 0.22]
EdinburghFunc	25	35		0.08 [-0.44, 0.59]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41	<u>├</u>	-0.07 [-0.52, 0.39]
EONCKS	107	92	<u> </u>	0.10 [-0.18, 0.38]
ESO	40	40		-0.10 [-0.55, 0.34]
FBIRN	185	174	⊢_ ∎	-0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
FIDMAG	160	123		-0.07 [-0.30, 0.17]
FOR2107_Marburg	37	356	_	-0.12 [-0.32, 0.08]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	152	⊢	-0.56 [-0.88, -0.24]
Frankfurt	29	30		-0.32 [-0.84, 0.20]
GAP	65	33		0.23 [-0.17, 0.63]
GROUP	87	181		-0.05 [-0.29, 0.19]
HMS	46	55		-0.14 [-0.53, 0.26]
HUBIN	94	102		-0.11 [-0.39, 0.17]
Huilong	245	88		-0.10 [-0.32, 0.11]
IGP	58	62	⊢	-0.00 [-0.37, 0.36]
IMH	151	76		0.05 [-0.21, 0.31]
JBNU	94	114		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
KaSP	56	32		-0.18 [-0.61, 0.24]
Madrid	21	84		-0.46 [-0.85, -0.07]
MCIC	148	162		0.03 [-0.19, 0.25]
MPRC	206	231		-0.09 [-0.27, 0.10]
OLIN	305	534	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.16 [0.02, 0.29]
Osaka	216	639		-0.04 [-0.17, 0.10]
Oxford	41	33		0.08 [-0.38, 0.54]
PAFIP	352	204	. ⊢∔	-0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]
RomeSL	161	114		0.04 [-0.19, 0.28]
RSCZ	46	52		-0.34 [-0.74, 0.07]
SCORE	159	44		0.20 [-0.08, 0.48]
SNUH	40	40		0.28 [-0.17, 0.73]
SWIFT	24	13		-0.27 [-0.93, 0.40]
TOP	219	303		-0.16 [-0.33, 0.01]
UCISZ	27	30		-0.55 [-1.09, -0.02]
UMCU	276	261		-0.20 [-0.37, -0.03]
UNIBA	73	70	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.17 [-0.16, 0.50]
UNIMAAS	22	7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.30 [-1.06, 0.46]
UPENN	171	183	' <u>⊢</u>	0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
Zurich	60	28	⊢	-0.49 [-0.92, -0.06]
RE Model for All S	Studies			-0.08 [-0.13, -0.03]
Test for between-st	udy heterog	eneity: Q = 67	.56, df = 44, p = 0.01; l^2 = 34.5%, τ^2 = 0.01	
			-15 -1 -05 0 05 1	
			1.0 i 0.0 U 0.0 I	
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S8. Forest plot for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. The meta-analyzed effect sizes across all studies is shown (black diamond), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics).



Fig. S9. Forest plot for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. The meta-analyzed effect sizes across all studies is shown (black diamond), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics).
Dataset	n SZ	n CTR							Estimate [95% CI]
3T scanner(s)									
AMC	206	199			L				-0.23 [-0.42, -0.03]
CASSI	53	62							-0.18 [-0.55, 0.19]
CIAM	18	29			'				0.00 [-0.58, 0.58]
CLING	48	323				:	1		-0.38 [-0.59, -0.18]
COBRE	71	68			' <u>–</u>				-0.15 [-0.49, 0.18]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41							-0.07 [-0.52, 0.39]
EONCKS	107	92							0.10 [-0.18, 0.38]
ESO	40	40			H				-0.10 [-0.55, 0.34]
FBIRN	185	174				⊢			-0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
FOR2107_Marburg	37	356			H	<u>–</u> ∎÷∣ '			-0.12 [-0.32, 0.08]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	152			<u>_</u>	4 '			-0.56 [-0.88, -0.24]
Frankfurt	29	30		'⊢					-0.32 [-0.84, 0.20]
GAP	65	33							0.23 [-0.17, 0.63]
GROUP	87	181			ŀ		•		-0.05 [-0.29, 0.19]
Huilong	245	88			- H	_ `			-0.10 [-0.32, 0.11]
IGP	58	62			-		\neg		-0.00 [-0.37, 0.36]
IMH	151	76					-1		0.05 [-0.21, 0.31]
JBNU	94	114			. –				-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
KaSP	56	32							-0.18 [-0.61, 0.24]
MPRC	206	231							-0.09 [-0.27, 0.10]
OLIN	305	534				÷ ⊢− ■−	-		0.16 [0.02, 0.29]
RomeSL	161	114					4		0.04 [-0.19, 0.28]
RSCZ	46	52							-0.34 [-0.74, 0.07]
SCORE	159	44				_			0.20 [-0.08, 0.48]
SNUH	40	40					•		0.28 [-0.17, 0.73]
SWIFT	24	13		. H—		·			-0.27 [-0.93, 0.40]
UCISZ	27	30							-0.55 [-1.09, -0.02]
UNIBA	73	70							0.17 [-0.16, 0.50]
UNIMAAS	22	7				<u> </u>			-0.30 [-1.06, 0.46]
UPENN	171	183							0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
Zurich	60	28				:			-0.49 [-0.92, -0.06]
RE Model for Subgroup	(Q = 57.10, d	If = 30, p = < .01; I	$t^2 = 46.7\%, \tau^2 = 0.02)$						-0.08 [-0.16, -0.01]
1.5T scanner(s)									
ASRB	217	130			H				-0.10 [-0.31, 0.12]
CAMH	118	146			հ	_			-0.06 [-0.30, 0.18]
EdinburghFunc	25	35			'				0.08 [-0.44, 0.59]
FIDMAG	160	123			' F				-0.07 [-0.30, 0.17]
HMS	46	55			· · ·				-0.14 [-0.53, 0.26]
HUBIN	94	102			· –		•		-0.11 [-0.39, 0.17]
Madrid	21	84				— I : '			-0.46 [-0.85, -0.07]
Oxford	41	33		•					0.08 [-0.38, 0.54]
TOP	219	303				_∎;			-0.16 [-0.33, 0.01]
UMCU	276	261			H-				-0.20 [-0.37, -0.03]
RE Model for Subgroup	(Q = 5.68, df	= 9, p = 0.77; l ² =	= 0.0%, τ^2 = 0.00)						-0.13 [-0.21, -0.05]
Multiple compared	- wath -								
multiple scaller str	140	160							0.02[-0.10, 0.25]
Oceka	148	162							0.03 [=0.19, 0.25]
DAEID	210	039							-0.04 [-0.17, 0.10]
RE Model for Subaroup	0 (Q = 0.31. df	= 2. p = 0.86; l ² =	$= 0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$						-0.01 [-0.11, 0.08]
	(<i>(</i> 1 · · · <i>)</i>	,						
1T scanner(s)	21	26			L				-0.27 [-0.76 0.22]
	31	30							0.27 [0.70, 0.22]
RE Model for Subgroup	(Q = 0.00, df	= 0, p = 1.00; l ² =	$= 0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$						-0.27 [-0.76, 0.22]
		07.50 %	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	04 FC(2	0.04)				
RE Model for All S	tudies (Q	= 67.56, df = 4	14, p = 0.01; l ² =	· 34.5%, τ ² =	• 0.01)			-	-0.08 [-0.13, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup	Difference	es: Q _M = 2.19	, df = 3, p = 0.53						
						i			
			-1.5	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	
					Cab	en's d			

Fig. S10. Forest plot grouped by scanner field strength, for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between individuals with schizophrenia and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Scanner field strength group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
3T scanner(s)				
AMC	206	199	⊢∎ ↓	-0.27 [-0.47, -0.07]
CASSI	53	63		0.12 [-0.24, 0.49]
CIAM	15	28	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.83 [-1.47, -0.19]
CLING	48	323	`∎	-0.25 [-0.45, -0.04]
COBRE	70	70	,⊢————————————————————————————————————	-0.16 [-0.50, 0.17]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.09 [-0.55, 0.36]
EUNCKS	107	92		-0.26[-0.71_0.19]
EBIRN	185	40		-0.18[-0.39_0.02]
FOR2107 Marburg	34	328		0.12 [-0.08, 0.33]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	136		-0.20 [-0.53, 0.13]
Frankfurt	29	30	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.47 [-1.00, 0.06]
GAP	64	33		-0.21 [-0.61, 0.20]
GROUP	87	181		0.02 [-0.22, 0.27]
Hullong	245	88		0.05 [-0.17, 0.26]
IGP	151	53		0.30[0.04_0.56]
JBNU	94	114		0.06 [-0.22, 0.33]
KaSP	56	32		-0.23 [-0.65, 0.20]
MPRC	206	231		-0.01 [-0.20, 0.18]
OLIN	305	534	` }-⊞	-0.14 [-0.28, -0.00]
RomeSL	140	101		-0.13 [-0.39, 0.12]
RSCZ	43	50		0.01 [-0.40, 0.42]
SCORE	159	44		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
SNUH	40	40		0.29 [-0.16, 0.74]
UCISZ	24	13		-0.06 [-0.72, 0.60]
UNIBA	73	30 70		-0.07 [-0.40, 0.26]
UNIMAAS	22	7		-0.33 [-1.09, 0.43]
UPENN	171	188	' ⊢∔∎	0.04 [-0.17, 0.25]
Zurich	60	28		0.18 [-0.25, 0.60]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	e = 45.67, o	df = 30, p = 0.03; l ²	$= 32.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.01)$	-0.06 [-0.13, 0.00]
			*	
1.5T scanner(s)				
ASRB	96	56		-0.33 [-0.66, -0.01]
CAMH	118	146	, ` ⊢ ∎;	-0.08 [-0.32, 0.16]
EdinburghFunc	25	35		-0.34 [-0.86, 0.17]
FIDMAG	160	123		0.01 [-0.23, 0.24]
HIBN	40	55 102		-0.28[-0.56, 0.01]
Madrid	94 21	84		0.31 [-0.08, 0.70]
Oxford	41	33		-0.21 [-0.67, 0.26]
TOP	219	303		-0.10 [-0.27, 0.07]
UMCU	270	258	└──━─┤	-0.19 [-0.37, -0.02]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	e = 11.88, d	$df = 9, p = 0.22; I^2 =$	$= 0.2\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$	-0.12 [-0.20, -0.04]
			•	
Multiple scanner stren	gths			
мсіс	148	162		-0.13 [-0.35, 0.10]
Osaka	216	639	· ⊢_∎	-0.03 [-0.17, 0.10]
PAFIP	352	204		0.06 [-0.11, 0.22]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	e = 1.74, df	= 2, p = 0.42; l ² = 0	$0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$	-0.02 [-0.11, 0.07]
1T scanner/s)				
EdinburghEHRS	31	36		-0.59 [-1.09, -0.09]
DE Model for Subgroup (C	- 0 00 df	$= 0 \ p = 1 \ 0 0 \ l^2 = 0$	0.0% $r^2 = 0.00)$	0.50 [1.00, 0.00]
	i – 0.00, di	- 0, p - 1.00, 1 - 0	0.0%, t = 0.00)	-0.59 [-1.09, -0.09]
RE Model for All Stu	dies (O	= 65 86 df - 44	$4 p = 0.02 l^2 = 27.7\% \tau^2 = 0.01$	_0.07 [-0.12 _0.02]
Toet for Subgroup D	ifforan-	-50.00, ul - 44	$f_{1} = 0.02, 1 = 27.7.0, 1 = 0.01$	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.03]
rest for Subgroup D	merenc	es: Q _M = 5.00, (ui – 3, p – 0.17	
				Г
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5	1
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S11. Forest plot grouped by scanner field strength, for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Scanner field strength group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR							Estimate [95% CI]
Siomono									
	047	400			1	_			_0 10 [_0 21 0 12]
CIAM	217	130							0.00[-0.51, 0.12]
CLING	48	29					1		-0.38 [-0.59, -0.18]
COBRE	71	68			_ ' ⊢				-0.15 [-0.49, 0.18]
EdinburghEHRS	31	36			· · · •				-0.27 [-0.76, 0.22]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41			' <u> </u>		_		-0.07 [-0.52, 0.39]
EONCKS	107	92							0.10 [-0.18, 0.38]
ESO	40	40					-1		-0.10 [-0.55, 0.34]
FOR2107_Marburg	37	356			-				-0.12 [-0.32, 0.08]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	152							-0.56 [-0.88, -0.24]
Frankfurt	29	30							-0.32 [-0.84, 0.20]
GROUP	87	181			, F				-0.05 [-0.29, 0.19]
IBNILI	40	55							-0.14 [-0.55, 0.26]
MPRC	206	231							-0.09[-0.27 0.10]
OLIN	305	534			1		1		0.16[0.02 0.29]
Oxford	41	33			⊢		I		0.08 [-0.38, 0.54]
RomeSL	161	114			1		1		0.04 [-0.19, 0.28]
SCORE	159	44				' <u>⊢-</u>			0.20 [-0.08, 0.48]
SNUH	40	40							0.28 [-0.17, 0.73]
SWIFT	24	13							-0.27 [-0.93, 0.40]
TOP	219	303			\vdash	<u>.</u> ∎; .			-0.16 [-0.33, 0.01]
UPENN	171	183				├──₽ ──┤			0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 41.77, d	f = 22, p = < .01; l	$\tau^2 = 47.6\%, \ \tau^2 = 0.02)$						-0.08 [-0.16, 0.00]
Philips									
AMC	206	199			<u> </u>	■——↓!			-0.23 [-0.42, -0.03]
CASSI	53	62			⊢ <u>'</u>				-0.18 [-0.55, 0.19]
IGP	58	62			. <u> </u>				-0.00 [-0.37, 0.36]
IMH	151	76					4		0.05 [-0.21, 0.31]
Madrid	21	84		H					-0.46 [-0.85, -0.07]
RSCZ	46	52		1	-	<u> </u>			-0.34 [-0.74, 0.07]
	27	30							-0.35 [-1.09, -0.02]
LINIMAAS	270	201		L					-0.20 [-0.37, -0.03]
Zurich	60	28		' ⊢		i	I		-0.49 [-0.92, -0.06]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 10.40, d	f = 9, p = 0.32; l ²	= 1.3%, τ ² = 0.00)	I	•				-0.21 [-0.30, -0.11]
Concercl Electric									
General Electric	440	440			1	_			0.061.0.20.0.191
EdinburghEune	25	25							0.08 [-0.44 0.59]
FIDMAG	25	30							-0.07[-0.30, 0.17]
GAP	65	33			1				0.23 [-0.17, 0.63]
HUBIN	94	102					1		-0.11 [-0.39, 0.17]
KaSP	56	32			'				-0.18 [-0.61, 0.24]
Osaka	216	639			•	⊢-∎∺ `			-0.04 [-0.17, 0.10]
PAFIP	352	204				⊢ ∎–́-			-0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]
UNIBA	73	70							0.17 [-0.16, 0.50]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 4.21, df	= 8, p = 0.84; l ² =	0.0%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)			•			-0.02 [-0.10, 0.06]
Scanners from multip	le manufa	cturers							
FBIRN	185	174				⊢			-0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
Huilong	245	88			H	÷∎÷ I `.			-0.10 [-0.32, 0.11]
MCIC	148	162							0.03 [-0.19, 0.25]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 0.78, df	= 2, p = 0.68; l ² =	0.0%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)						-0.02 [-0.15, 0.10]
		07.50 16 2	4 0.04 2	04 F0/ ²	0.04)				
RE Model for All St	uales (Q :	= 67.56, df $= 4$	4, p = 0.01; l ² =	34.5%, τ ⁻ =	0.01)			-	0.08 [-0.13, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup I	Difference	es: Q _M = 7.22,	dt = 3, p = 0.07						
				1	1	i	1		
			-1.5	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	
					Cohe	n's d			

Fig. S12. Forest plot grouped by scanner manufacturer, for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Scanner manufacturer group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
0:				
Siemens				0.22.1.0.66 0.011
CIAM	96	56		-0.33 [-0.66, -0.01] -0.83 [-1.47, -0.19]
CLING	15	20		-0.25[-0.45, -0.04]
COBRE	70	70		-0.16[-0.50, 0.17]
EdinburghEHRS	31	36		-0.59 [-1.09, -0.09]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.09 [-0.55, 0.36]
EONCKS	107	92	' <mark>⊢÷∎'</mark>	0.12 [-0.16, 0.40]
ESO	40	40		-0.26 [-0.71, 0.19]
FOR2107_Marburg	34	328		0.12 [-0.08, 0.33]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	136		-0.20 [-0.53, 0.13]
Frankfurt	29	30		-0.47 [-1.00, 0.06]
GROUP	87	181		0.02 [-0.22, 0.27]
IBNU	40	55		0.06[-0.22, 0.33]
MPRC	94 206	114		-0.01[-0.22, 0.33]
OLIN	305	534		-0.14 [-0.28, -0.00]
Oxford	41	33		-0.21 [-0.67, 0.26]
RomeSL	140	101		-0.13 [-0.39, 0.12]
SCORE	159	44		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
SNUH	40	40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.29 [-0.16, 0.74]
SWIFT	24	13		-0.06 [-0.72, 0.60]
TOP	219	303	' <u>⊢∎÷</u>	-0.10 [-0.27, 0.07]
UPENN	171	188		0.04 [-0.17, 0.25]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	Q = 31.89, d	f = 22, p = 0	.08; $l^2 = 19.0\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)	-0.09 [-0.15, -0.02]
Dhiling				
r nilips	202	100	· • ·	1700 TA 0 1700
	206	199		
IGP	50	52		0.12 [0.24, 0.43]
IMH	151	76		0.30[0.04 0.56]
Madrid	21	84		0.31 [-0.08, 0.70]
RSCZ	43	50	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.01 [-0.40, 0.42]
UCISZ	27	30		-0.48 [-1.02, 0.06]
UMCU	270	258	' ∔∎	-0.19 [-0.37, -0.02]
UNIMAAS	22	7		-0.33 [-1.09, 0.43]
Zurich	60	28		0.18 [-0.25, 0.60]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	Q = 23.98, d	f = 9, p = < .(01; $l^2 = 61.2\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.04$)	-0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]
Conoral Electric				
	440	110		0.09.0.02.0.161
EdiphurahEune	118	140		-0.06 [-0.32, 0.16]
FIDMAG	25	30 123		0.01[-0.23, 0.24]
GAP	64	33		-0.21 [-0.61, 0.20]
HUBIN	94	102		-0.28 [-0.56, 0.01]
KaSP	56	32		-0.23 [-0.65, 0.20]
Osaka	216	639	╵└─╋┥╵	-0.03 [-0.17, 0.10]
PAFIP	352	204	╵┝──╋──┤	0.06 [-0.11, 0.22]
UNIBA	73	70		-0.07 [-0.40, 0.26]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	Q = 6.94, df	= 8, p = 0.54	$l; l^2 = 0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$	-0.05 [-0.13, 0.02]
Soonnoro from multi-1	o monufo	oturoro		
Scanners from multiple		Lurers	· • ·	-0.0 0.0 0.0 <u>-1.01</u> 0-
Huilong	185	174		0.05[-0.17 0.26]
MCIC	240	162		-0.13[-0.35_0.10]
	140	102		0.13[0.00, 0.10]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	Q = 2.46, df	= 2, p = 0.29	$t_{1}^{2} = 19.6\%, \tau^{2} = 0.00)$	-0.09 [-0.23, 0.05]
	dias (C	- 65 96 -	$f = 44$ $r = 0.02$ $l^2 = 27.70(-2^2 = 0.04)$	0.071.0.40.0.00
RE Model for All Stu	ales (Q :	= 65.86, 0	$f = 44, \beta = 0.02; f = 27.7\%, \tau = 0.01$	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup D	ifference	es: Q _M = 0	.96, df = 3, p = 0.81	
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5	1
				·
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S13. Forest plot grouped by scanner manufacturer, for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Scanner manufacturer group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.



Fig. S14. Forest plot where datasets are grouped by use of a single scanner versus multiple scanners, for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the perdataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Single scanner versus multiple scanner group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.



Fig. S15. Forest plot where datasets are grouped by the use of a single scanner versus multiple scanners, for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Single scanner versus multiple scanner group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
Sacittal				
ASRB	217	120		-0 10 [-0 31 0 12]
CASSI	53	62		-0.18[-0.55, 0.19]
CIAM	18	29		0.00[-0.58, 0.58]
CLING	48	323		-0.38 [-0.59, -0.18]
COBRE	71	68		-0.15[-0.49, 0.18]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.07 [-0.52, 0.39]
EONCKS	107	92		0.10 [-0.18, 0.38]
ESO	40	40		-0.10 [-0.55, 0.34]
FBIRN	185	174		-0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
FOR2107_Marburg	37	356		-0.12 [-0.32, 0.08]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	152		-0.56 [-0.88, -0.24]
Frankfurt	29	30		-0.32 [-0.84, 0.20]
GAP	65	33		0.23 [-0.17, 0.63]
GROUP	87	181		-0.05 [-0.29, 0.19]
Hullong	245	88		-0.10 [-0.32, 0.11]
	58	62		-0.00 [-0.37, 0.36]
JBN0 KaSP	94	114		-0.18[-0.61_0.24]
Madrid	20	32 84		-0.46[-0.85 -0.07]
MPRC	206	231		-0.09[-0.27_0.10]
Osaka	216	639		-0.04 [-0.17, 0.10]
Oxford	41	33		0.08 [-0.38, 0.54]
RomeSL	161	114		0.04 [-0.19, 0.28]
RSCZ	46	52		-0.34 [-0.74, 0.07]
SCORE	159	44	' ↓	0.20 [-0.08, 0.48]
SNUH	40	40		0.28 [-0.17, 0.73]
TOP	219	303	<u>+</u>	-0.16 [-0.33, 0.01]
UCISZ	27	30		-0.55 [-1.09, -0.02]
UNIMAAS	22	7		-0.30 [-1.06, 0.46]
Zurich	60	28		-0.49 [-0.92, -0.06]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 43.90, di	f = 29, p = 0.04; l ² =	$= 32.2\%, \tau^2 = 0.01)$	-0.11 [-0.18, -0.05]
Axial				
AMC	206	199		-0.23 [-0.42, -0.03]
CAMH	118	146		-0.06 [-0.30, 0.18]
	25	35		0.08 [=0.44, 0.59]
HMS	160	123		-0.07 [-0.30, 0.17]
IMH	40	76		0.05[-0.21_0.31]
OLIN	305	534		0 16 [0.02 0 29]
UNIBA	73	70		0.17 [-0.16, 0.50]
UPENN	171	183		0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 12.47, di	f = 8, p = 0.13; l ² =	$39.6\%, \tau^2 = 0.01)$	-0.00 [-0.11, 0.10]
Coronal				
EdinburghEHRS	31	36		-0.27 [-0.76, 0.22]
HUBIN	94	102	` 	-0.11 [-0.39, 0.17]
MCIC	148	162		0.03 [-0.19, 0.25]
PAFIP	352	204		-0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]
UMCU	276	261		-0.20 [-0.37, -0.03]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 4.34, df :	= 4, p = 0.36; l ² = 2	21.2%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)	-0.08 [-0.20, 0.03]
o. "				
Oblique				
SWIFT	24	13		-0.27 [-0.93, 0.40]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 0.00, df =	= 0, p = 1.00; l ² = 0	$0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$	-0.27 [-0.93, 0.40]
RE Model for All Stu	udies (Q =	= 67.56, df = 44	$p = 0.01; l^2 = 34.5\%, \tau^2 = 0.01)$	-0.08 [-0.13, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup	Difference	es: Q _M = 3.40, d	df = 3, p = 0.33	
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1	
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S16. Forest plot grouped by image slice orientation, for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Image slice orientation group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
Corrittal				
Sagittai	00	50	· - ·	-0.22 [-0.66 -0.01]
CASSI	96	56		-0.33 [-0.06, -0.01]
CIAM	15	28		-0.83 [-1.47 -0.19]
CLING	48	323		-0.25 [-0.45, -0.04]
COBRE	70	70		-0.16 [-0.50, 0.17]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.09 [-0.55, 0.36]
EONCKS	107	92		0.12 [-0.16, 0.40]
ESO	40	40		-0.26 [-0.71, 0.19]
FBIRN	185	174		-0.18 [-0.39, 0.02]
FOR2107_Marburg	34	328		0.12 [-0.08, 0.33]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	136		-0.20 [-0.53, 0.13]
GAP	29	30		-0.47 [-1.00, 0.06]
GROUP	87	181		0.02 [-0.22, 0.27]
Huilong	245	88		0.05 [-0.17, 0.26]
IGP	50	53		0.17 [-0.22, 0.56]
JBNU	94	114		0.06 [-0.22, 0.33]
KaSP	56	32		-0.23 [-0.65, 0.20]
Madrid	21	84		0.31 [-0.08, 0.70]
MPRC	206	231		-0.01 [-0.20, 0.18]
Osaka	216	639	. ⊢∎⊣ .	-0.03 [-0.17, 0.10]
Oxford	41	33		-0.21 [-0.67, 0.26]
RomeSL	140	101	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-0.13 [-0.39, 0.12]
RSCZ	43	50		0.01 [-0.40, 0.42]
SCORE	159	44		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
TOP	40	40		0.29 [-0.16, 0.74]
	219	303		-0.10 [-0.27, 0.07]
	27	30		-0.33 [-1.02, 0.00]
Zurich	60	28		0.18 [-0.25, 0.60]
DE Madal (an Outhernaum //		- 00 0 40 1	$1^2 - 44.00(-^2 - 0.00)$	
RE Model for Subgroup (C	l = 38.86, di	= 29, p = 0.10; I	$T = 11.9\%, \tau = 0.00)$	-0.06 [-0.12, -0.01]
Axial				
AMC	206	199		-0 27 [-0 47 -0 07]
CAMH	118	146		-0.08 [-0.32, 0.16]
EdinburghFunc	25	35		-0.34 [-0.86, 0.17]
FIDMAG	160	123		0.01 [-0.23, 0.24]
HMS	46	55		0.12 [-0.28, 0.51]
IMH	151	76		0.30 [0.04, 0.56]
OLIN	305	534		-0.14 [-0.28, -0.00]
UNIBA	73	70		-0.07 [-0.40, 0.26]
UPENN	171	188		0.04 [-0.17, 0.25]
RE Model for Subgroup (C) = 16.12, df	= 8, p = 0.04; I ²	$t^2 = 51.9\%, \tau^2 = 0.02)$	-0.05 [-0.17, 0.07]
. .				
Coronal				
EdinburghEHRS	31	36		-0.59 [-1.09, -0.09]
HUBIN	94	102		-0.28 [-0.56, 0.01]
MCIC	148	162		-0.13 [-0.35, 0.10]
	352	204		0.06 [-0.11, 0.22]
UNICO	270	258		-0.19[-0.37, -0.02]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	a = 9.75, df =	= 4, p = 0.04; l ² =	= 56.8%, τ ^z = 0.02)	-0.16 [-0.32, 0.00]
Oblique				
SWIFT	24	13		-0.06 [-0.72, 0.60]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	a = 0.00, df =	= 0, p = 1.00; l ² =	$= 0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$	-0.06 [-0.72, 0.60]
RE Model for All Stu	dies (Q =	= 65.86, df = 4	44, p = 0.02; $l^2 = 27.7\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.01$)	-0.07 [-0.120.03]
Test for Subaroup D	ifference	s: Q ₁₄ = 1.32	. df = 3. p = 0.73	,
		∽ _M 1.02,		
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1	
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S17. Forest plot grouped by image slice orientation, for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Image slice orientation group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
Version 5				
AMC	206	199		-0.23 [-0.42, -0.03]
ASRB	217	130		-0.10 [-0.31, 0.12]
CAMH	118	146		-0.06 [-0.30, 0.18]
CASSI	53	62		-0.18 [-0.55, 0.19]
CIAM	18	29	<u> </u>	0.00 [-0.58, 0.58]
CLING	48	323	⊢_₩	-0.38 [-0.59, -0.18]
CUBRE EdiphurahEUDS	71	68		-0.15 [-0.49, 0.18]
EdinburghErinko	25	30		0.08 [-0.44 0.59]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.07 [-0.52, 0.39]
ESO	40	40	└ <u></u>	-0.10 [-0.55, 0.34]
FBIRN	185	174	' ⊢∔ '	-0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
FIDMAG	160	123	,∎	-0.07 [-0.30, 0.17]
FOR2107_Marburg	37	356		-0.12 [-0.32, 0.08]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	152		-0.56 [-0.88, -0.24]
GAR	29	30		-0.32 [-0.64, 0.20]
GROUP	87	33 181		-0.05 [-0.29, 0.19]
HMS	46	55		-0.14 [-0.53, 0.26]
HUBIN	94	102	' <u>⊢∎</u> ÷ '	-0.11 [-0.39, 0.17]
Huilong	245	88		-0.10 [-0.32, 0.11]
IGP	58	62		-0.00 [-0.37, 0.36]
IMH	151	76	, ⊢_ i ∎	0.05 [-0.21, 0.31]
KaSP	56	32		-0.18 [-0.61, 0.24]
	206	231		-0.09 [-0.27, 0.10]
Osaka	216	639		-0.04[-0.17 0.10]
Oxford	41	33		0.08 [-0.38, 0.54]
PAFIP	352	204	╵╞╼╋╼┥	-0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]
RSCZ	46	52		-0.34 [-0.74, 0.07]
SNUH	40	40		0.28 [-0.17, 0.73]
UMCU	276	261		-0.20 [-0.37, -0.03]
UNIMAAS	22	7		-0.30 [-1.06, 0.46]
UPENN	171	183		0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 46.36, d	f = 33, p = 0.06; I	$^{2} = 32.9\%, \tau^{2} = 0.01$	-0.09 [-0.14, -0.03]
Version 6				
FONCKS	107	02		0 10 [-0 18 0 38]
Madrid	21	92 84		-0.46 [-0.85, -0.07]
RomeSL	161	114		0.04 [-0.19, 0.28]
SCORE	159	44		0.20 [-0.08, 0.48]
UCISZ	27	30		-0.55 [-1.09, -0.02]
Zurich	60	28		-0.49 [-0.92, -0.06]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 16.60, d	f = 5, p = < .01; l ²	$= 73.7\%, \tau^2 = 0.08)$	-0.15 [-0.41, 0.12]
Mana ia 11 7				
Version /				0.445.0.44.0.44
JBNU	94	114		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
UNIBA	24 73	70		0.17 [-0.16 0.50]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 2.50, df	= 2, p = 0.29; l ² =	: 26.9%, τ^2 = 0.01)	-0.04 [-0.29, 0.21]
Vorsion 4				
MCIC	149	162		0.03 [-0 19 0 25]
TOP	219	303		-0.16[-0.33, 0.01]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 1.77, df	= 1, p = 0.18; l ² =	: 43.5%, τ ² = 0.01)	-0.08 [-0.26, 0.11]
RE Model for All St	udies (Q =	= 67.56, df = 4	$4, p = 0.01; l^2 = 34.5\%, \tau^2 = 0.01)$	-0.08 [-0.13, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup I	Difference	es: Q _M = 0.18,	df = 3, p = 0.98	
				1
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5	1
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S18. Forest plot grouped by Freesurfer version, for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Freesurfer version group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
Version E				
Version 5	000	100		-0.27[-0.47 -0.07]
ANIC	206	199		-0.27 [-0.47, -0.07]
CAMH	118	146		-0.08[-0.32]0.16]
CASSI	53	63		0.12 [-0.24, 0.49]
CIAM	15	28		-0.83 [-1.47, -0.19]
CLING	48	323	' 	-0.25 [-0.45, -0.04]
COBRE	70	70		-0.16 [-0.50, 0.17]
EdinburghEHRS	31	36	⊢ ⊢ ↓ ↓ ``	-0.59 [-1.09, -0.09]
EdinburghFunc	25	35		-0.34 [-0.86, 0.17]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.09 [-0.55, 0.36]
ESO	40	40		-0.26 [-0.71, 0.19]
FBIRN	185	174		-0.18 [-0.39, 0.02]
FIDMAG	160	123		0.01 [-0.23, 0.24]
FOR2107_Mainung	34	328		0.12 [-0.06, 0.33]
FORZI07_INDENSIE	20	30		-0.20 [-0.33, 0.13]
GAP	64	33		-0.21 [-0.61, 0.20]
GROUP	87	181		0.02 [-0.22, 0.27]
HMS	46	55		0.12 [-0.28, 0.51]
HUBIN	94	102		-0.28 [-0.56, 0.01]
Huilong	245	88		0.05 [-0.17, 0.26]
IGP	50	53		0.17 [-0.22, 0.56]
IMH	151	76		0.30 [0.04, 0.56]
KaSP	56	32		-0.23 [-0.65, 0.20]
MPRC	206	231		-0.01 [-0.20, 0.18]
OLIN	305	534		-0.14 [-0.28, -0.00]
Osaka	216	639		-0.03 [-0.17, 0.10]
	352	204		0.06[-0.11_0.22]
RSCZ	43	50		0.01 [-0.40, 0.42]
SNUH	40	40		0.29 [-0.16, 0.74]
UMCU	270	258	⊢_∎ {∃	-0.19 [-0.37, -0.02]
UNIMAAS	22	7		-0.33 [-1.09, 0.43]
UPENN	171	188		0.04 [-0.17, 0.25]
RE Model for Subgroup ((Q = 55.10, d	f = 33, p = < .01	$ z ^2 = 37.5\%, \tau^2 = 0.01$	-0.09 [-0.15, -0.03]
Version 6				
EUNCKS	107	92		0.12 [-0.16, 0.40]
RomeSI	21	84 101		-0.13 [-0.30, 0.12]
SCORE	140	44		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
UCISZ	27	30		-0.48 [-1.02, 0.06]
Zurich	60	28	′ <u>⊢ · · -</u>	0.18 [-0.25, 0.60]
RE Model for Subgroup	0 - 9 95 df	- 5 n - 0 12 l	2 - 37.3% r^{2} - 0.03	0.011.0.18.0.161
ILE Model for Subgroup ((Q = 0.00, ui	- 5, p - 0.12, 1	- 51.576, t = 0.02)	-0.01 [-0.16, 0.16]
Version 7				
JBNU	94	114		0.06 [-0.22, 0.33]
SWIFT	24	13		-0.06 [-0.72, 0.60]
UNIBA	73	70		-0.07 [-0.40, 0.26]
RE Model for Subgroup ((Q = 0.36, df	= 2, p = 0.83; İ	$r^2 = 0.0\%, r^2 = 0.00)$	-0.00 [-0.20, 0.20]
Version 4				
MCIC	148	162		-0.13 [-0.35 0 10]
TOP	219	303		-0.10 [-0.27, 0.07]
PE Model for Subgroup	(O = 0 03 df	- 1 n - 0.85 l	$r^2 = 0.0\% \ r^2 = 0.00)$	-0.11[-0.25_0.02]
KE Model for Subgroup ((Q – 0.03, ui	– 1, p – 0.65, i	- 0.0 /b, t - 0.00)	-0.11 [-0.25, 0.05]
RE Model for All St	udies (Q :	= 65.86, df =	$= 44, p = 0.02; l^2 = 27.7\%, \tau^2 = 0.01)$	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup	Difference	es: Q _M = 1.1	9, df = 3, p = 0.75 ▼	,]
				1
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5	I
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S19. Forest plot grouped by Freesurfer version, for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Freesurfer version group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
DSM				
AMC	206	199	⊢	-0.23 [-0.42, -0.03]
CAMH	118	146		-0.06 [-0.30, 0.18]
CASSI	53	62		-0.18 [-0.55, 0.19]
CIAM	18	29		0.00 [-0.58, 0.58]
COBRE	71	68		-0.15 [-0.49, 0.18]
EdinburghEHRS	31	36		-0.27 [-0.76, 0.22]
	25	35		0.08 [-0.44, 0.59]
EQNICKS	35	41		-0.07 [-0.32, 0.39]
FBIRN	185	92 174		-0.00[-0.21_0.21]
FIDMAG	160	123		-0.07 [-0.30, 0.17]
FOR2107 Marburg	37	356		-0.12 [-0.32, 0.08]
FOR2107 Muenster	8	152		-0.56 [-0.88, -0.24]
Frankfurt	29	30	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.32 [-0.84, 0.20]
GROUP	87	181	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-0.05 [-0.29, 0.19]
HUBIN	94	102		-0.11 [-0.39, 0.17]
Huilong	245	88		-0.10 [-0.32, 0.11]
IMH	151	76	_	0.05 [-0.21, 0.31]
JBNU K- OD	94	114		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
KaSP Modrid	56	32		-0.18 [-0.61, 0.24]
Mauria	21	84		-0.46 [-0.85, -0.07]
MPRC	140	102		-0.09[-0.27_0.10]
OLIN	206	231		0.16[0.02 0.29]
Osaka	216	639		-0.04 [-0.17, 0.10]
Oxford	41	33		0.08 [-0.38, 0.54]
PAFIP	352	204	'⊢≢'	-0.00 [-0.17, 0.17]
RomeSL	161	114		0.04 [-0.19, 0.28]
SCORE	159	44		0.20 [-0.08, 0.48]
SNUH	40	40		0.28 [-0.17, 0.73]
SWIFI	24	13		-0.27 [-0.93, 0.40]
	219	303		-0.16[-0.33, 0.01]
UMCU	276	261		-0.20 [-0.37 -0.03]
UNIBA	73	201		0.17 [-0.16 0.50]
UNIMAAS	22	7		-0.30 [-1.06, 0.46]
UPENN	171	183		0.00 [-0.21, 0.21]
Zurich	60	28	<u>⊢−−−−</u> −−− <u>−</u> − <u>−</u> <u>−</u> <u>−</u> − <u>−</u> <u>−</u> <u>−</u> <u>−</u> <u>−</u> <u>−</u>	-0.49 [-0.92, -0.06]
RE Model for Subgroup	o (Q = 54.33, d	lf = 37, p = 0.03; l	$^{2} = 29.6\%, \tau^{2} = 0.01)$	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.02]
ICD				
ASRB	217	130		-0.10 [-0.31, 0.12]
ESO	40	40		-0.10 [-0.55, 0.34]
GAP	65	33	' ⊢÷∎ '	0.23 [-0.17, 0.63]
IGP	58	62		-0.00 [-0.37, 0.36]
RSCZ	46	52	⊢ − − − −	-0.34 [-0.74, 0.07]
RE Model for Subgroup	o (Q = 4.00, df	= 4, p = 0.41; l ² =	0.0%, τ ² = 0.00)	-0.07 [-0.22, 0.07]
Mixed				
CLING	48	323	⊢_∎ 1 [⋮]	-0.38 [-0.59, -0.18]
HMS	46	55		-0.14 [-0.53, 0.26]
RE Model for Subgroup	o (Q = 1.16, df	= 1, p = 0.28; l ² =	14.1%, τ ² = 0.00)	-0.32 [-0.53, -0.11]
RE Model for All S	Studies (Q	= 67.56, df = 4	.4, p = 0.01; I^2 = 34.5%, τ^2 = 0.01)	-0.08 [-0.13, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup	Difference	es: Q _M = 4.55,	df = 2, p = 0.10	
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5	1
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S20. Forest plot grouped by diagnostic tool, for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Diagnostic tool group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
DSM AMC	206	199	 ∎_ _	-0.27 [-0.47, -0.07]
CAMH	118	146		-0.08 [-0.32, 0.16]
CASSI	53	63		0.12 [-0.24, 0.49]
CIAM	15	28		-0.83 [-1.47, -0.19]
EdinburghEHRS	70	70		-0.59 [-0.50, 0.17]
EdinburghErnko	25	35		-0.34 [-0.86, 0.17]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.09 [-0.55, 0.36]
EONCKS	107	92		0.12 [-0.16, 0.40]
FBIRN	185	174		-0.18 [-0.39, 0.02]
FIDMAG	160	123		0.01 [-0.23, 0.24]
FOR2107_Marburg	34	328		0.12 [-0.08, 0.33]
Forzior_indensier	0 20	30		-0.47 [-1.00 0.06]
GROUP	87	181		0.02 [-0.22, 0.27]
HUBIN	94	102	⊢ ∎	-0.28 [-0.56, 0.01]
Huilong	245	88		0.05 [-0.17, 0.26]
IMH	151	76	:	0.30 [0.04, 0.56]
JBNU	94	114		0.06 [-0.22, 0.33]
KaSP Modrid	56	32		-0.23 [-0.65, 0.20]
MCIC	21	84		-0.13[-0.35, 0.10]
MPRC	206	231		-0.01 [-0.20, 0.18]
OLIN	305	534		-0.14 [-0.28, -0.00]
Osaka	216	639		-0.03 [-0.17, 0.10]
Oxford	41	33	<u> </u>	-0.21 [-0.67, 0.26]
PAFIP	352	204		0.06 [-0.11, 0.22]
RomeSL	140	101		-0.13 [-0.39, 0.12]
SNUH	159	44		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
SWIFT	24	40		-0.06 [-0.72, 0.60]
TOP	219	303	╵─────	-0.10 [-0.27, 0.07]
UCISZ	27	30	<u> </u>	-0.48 [-1.02, 0.06]
UMCU	270	258		-0.19 [-0.37, -0.02]
UNIBA	73	70		-0.07 [-0.40, 0.26]
	22	7		-0.33 [-1.09, 0.43]
Zurich	60	188		0.18 [-0.25 0.60]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 56.78, d	20 If = 37, p = 0.02	$ z ^2 = 26.5\%, \tau^2 = 0.01$	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.01]
ICD				
ASRB	96	56	⊢	-0.33 [-0.66, -0.01]
ESO	40	40		-0.26 [-0.71, 0.19]
GAP	64	33		-0.21 [-0.61, 0.20]
IGP	50	53		0.17 [-0.22, 0.56]
RSCZ	43	50		0.01 [-0.40, 0.42]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 4.71, df	= 4, p = 0.32; I	$z^2 = 19.8\%, \tau^2 = 0.01)$	-0.13 [-0.33, 0.06]
Mixed				
CLING	48	323	⊢_ ∎	-0.25 [-0.45, -0.04]
HMS	46	55		0.12 [-0.28, 0.51]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q = 2.57, df	= 1, p = 0.11; I	$r^2 = 61.1\%, \tau^2 = 0.04)$	-0.11 [-0.45, 0.24]
RE Model for All St	udies (O :	= 65.86 df -	$44 \text{ p} = 0.02 \cdot l^2 = 27.7\% \ \tau^2 = 0.01$	-0.07 [-0.12 -0.03]
Tast for Subgroup		= 00.00, ul =	$\nabla f = 2$ n = 0.63	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.03]
	Sincrenice	 • 0.9	ο, αι – 2, μ – 0.00	
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1	I
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S21. Forest plot grouped by diagnostic tool, for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Diagnostic tool group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR						Estimate [95% CI]
Europe AMC CLING EdinburghEHRS EdinburghFunc	206 48 31 25	199 323 36 35		F		∎ 		-0.23 [-0.42, -0.03] -0.38 [-0.59, -0.18] -0.27 [-0.76, 0.22] 0.08 [-0.44, 0.59]
ESO FIDMAG FOR2107_Marburg FOR2107_Muenster Frankfurt	35 40 160 37 8 29	41 40 123 356 152 30		۲ <u>–</u>				-0.07 [-0.52, 0.34] -0.07 [-0.55, 0.34] -0.07 [-0.30, 0.17] -0.12 [-0.32, 0.08] -0.56 [-0.88, -0.24] -0.32 [-0.84, 0.20]
GAP GROUP HMS HUBIN KaSP Madrid	65 87 46 94 56 21	33 181 55 102 32 84		I				0.23 [-0.17, 0.63] -0.05 [-0.29, 0.19] -0.14 [-0.53, 0.26] -0.11 [-0.39, 0.17] -0.18 [-0.61, 0.24] -0.46 [-0.85, -0.07]
Oxford PAFIP RomeSL RSCZ SCORE SWIFT	41 352 161 46 159 24	33 204 114 52 44 13		F				0.08 [-0.38, 0.54] -0.00 [-0.17, 0.17] 0.04 [-0.19, 0.28] -0.34 [-0.74, 0.07] 0.20 [-0.08, 0.48] -0.27 [-0.93, 0.40]
TOP UMCU UNIBA UNIMAAS Zurich	219 276 73 22 60	303 261 70 7 28 $-26 = 0.023 t^{2}$	- 28.0% -2 - 0.04)					-0.16[-0.33, 0.01] -0.20[-0.37, -0.03] 0.17[-0.16, 0.50] -0.30[-1.06, 0.46] -0.49[-0.92, -0.06]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q	= 40.63, df	= 26, p = 0.03; I ⁻	= 38.9%, τ ⁻ = 0.01)			•		-0.14 [-0.21, -0.06]
North-America CAMH COBRE	118 71	146 68			⊢ ⊢			-0.06 [-0.30, 0.18] -0.15 [-0.49, 0.18]
FBIRN MCIC MPRC OLIN UCISZ	185 148 206 305 27	174 162 231 534 30		L	ŀ		4	-0.00 [-0.21, 0.21] 0.03 [-0.19, 0.25] -0.09 [-0.27, 0.10] 0.16 [0.02, 0.29] -0.55 [-1.09, -0.02]
UPENN RE Model for Subgroup (Q	171 = 10.94, df	183 = 7, p = 0.14; l ² =	29.5%, τ ² = 0.01)	I				0.00 [-0.21, 0.21] -0.01 [-0.10, 0.09]
Asia			. ,					
Huilong IMH JBNU Osaka	245 151 94 216	88 76 114 639			+ +	<u>-</u> ∎-≟- 	-	-0.10 [-0.32, 0.11] 0.05 [-0.21, 0.31] -0.14 [-0.41, 0.14] -0.04 [-0.17, 0.10]
SNUH RE Model for Subgroup (Q	40 = 3.25, df =	40 4, p = 0.52; l ² = 0	$0.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$					0.28 [-0.17, 0.73] -0.04 [-0.13, 0.06]
Australia ASRB	217	130			. ⊢			-0.10 [-0.31, 0.12]
CASSI IGP	53 58	62 62	2 0 00)					-0.18 [-0.55, 0.19] -0.00 [-0.37, 0.36]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q	= 0.44, df =	2, p = 0.80; I = 0	J.0%, τ = 0.00)		4			-0.09 [-0.26, 0.07]
Africa CIAM EONCKS	18 107	29 92			l		 	0.00 [-0.58, 0.58] 0.10 [-0.18, 0.38]
RE Model for Subgroup (Q	= 0.10, df =	1, p = 0.76; l ² = 0	0.0%, $\tau^2 = 0.00$)					0.08 [-0.17, 0.34]
RE Model for All Stu	dies (Q =	67.56, df = 44	, p = 0.01; l ² = 3	34.5%, τ ² = 0	0.01)	•		-0.08 [-0.13, -0.03]
Test for Subgroup Di	fferences	s: Q _M = 6.54, c	df = 4, p = 0.16			•		- · · ·
			-1.5	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1

Fig. S22. Forest plot grouped by geographic origin, for random effects meta-analysis of rostral anterior cingulate thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Image slice orientation group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Geographic origin groups are ordered from largest to smallest.

Cohen's d

Dataset	n SZ	n CTR		Estimate [95% CI]
Europe				
AMC	206	199		-0.27 [-0.47, -0.07]
CLING	48	323		-0.25 [-0.45, -0.04]
EdinburghEHRS	31	36		-0.59 [-1.09, -0.09]
EdinburghFunc	25	35		-0.34 [-0.86, 0.17]
EdinburghSFMH	35	41		-0.09 [-0.55, 0.36]
ESO	40	40		-0.26 [-0.71, 0.19]
FIDMAG	160	123		0.01 [-0.23, 0.24]
FOR2107_Marburg	34	328		0.12 [-0.08, 0.33]
FOR2107_Muenster	8	136		-0.20 [-0.53, 0.13]
Frankfurt	29	30		-0.47 [-1.00, 0.06]
GAP	64	33		-0.21 [-0.61, 0.20]
GROUP	87	181		0.02 [-0.22, 0.27]
HMS	46	55		0.12 [-0.28, 0.51]
HUBIN	94	102		-0.28 [-0.56, 0.01]
Madrid	21	32		0.31 [-0.08 0.70]
Oxford	Z I 41	04		-0.21[-0.67_0.26]
PAEIP	352	204		0.06[-0.11_0.22]
RomeSI	140	101		-0.13[-0.39, 0.12]
RSCZ	43	50		0.01 [-0.40, 0.42]
SCORE	159	44		-0.14 [-0.41, 0.14]
SWIFT	24	13		-0.06 [-0.72, 0.60]
TOP	219	303		-0.10 [-0.27, 0.07]
UMCU	270	258		-0.19 [-0.37, -0.02]
UNIBA	73	70		-0.07 [-0.40, 0.26]
UNIMAAS	22	7		-0.33 [-1.09, 0.43]
Zurich	60	28		0.18 [-0.25, 0.60]
RE Model for Subgroup (C) = 33 39 d	$f = 26 \ p = 0.15$	$r^2 = 25.4\%$ $r^2 = 0.01$	-0 11 [-0 17 -0 04]
	. 00.00, 0			0.11[0.11, 0.04]
North-America				
CAMH	118	146		-0.08 [-0.32, 0.16]
COBRE	70	70		-0.16 [-0.50, 0.17]
FBIRN	185	1/4		-0.18 [-0.39, 0.02]
MPRC	148	162		-0.13 [-0.35, 0.10]
	200	231		-0.14 [-0.28, -0.00]
	305	20		-0.48[-1.02, 0.06]
LIPENN	171	188		0.04 [-0.17 0.25]
	17.1	100		0.04 [0.11, 0.20]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	2 = 5.84, df	= 7, p = 0.56; l ² =	$0.1\%, \tau^2 = 0.00)$	-0.10 [-0.18, -0.03]
Asia				
Huilong	245	88		0.05 [-0.17, 0.26]
IMH	151	76		0.30 [0.04, 0.56]
JBNU	94	114		0.06 [-0.22, 0.33]
Osaka	216	639		-0.03 [-0.17, 0.10]
SNUH	40	40		0.29 [-0.16, 0.74]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	g = 6.07, df	$= 4, p = 0.19; I^2 =$	$37.0\%, \tau^2 = 0.01$	0.08 [-0.05, 0.22]
		<i>/</i> 1 · · <i>/</i>		
Australia				
AUSITALIA	00	50		-0.33[-0.66, -0.01]
CASSI	90	50		-0.33 [-0.00, -0.01]
LCP CASSI	53	63		0.17 [-0.24, 0.49]
IGF	50	23		0.17 [0.22, 0.00]
RE Model for Subgroup (C	Q = 5.04, df	= 2, p = 0.08; l ² =	59.6%, $\tau^2 = 0.05$)	-0.03 [-0.35, 0.30]
Africa				
CIAM	15	28		-0.83 [-1.47, -0.19]
EONCKS	107	92	' '⊢÷∎	0.12 [-0.16, 0.40]
RE Model for Subgroup () - 7 06 df	$-1 n - < 01 \cdot l^2$	$95.90(-\pi^2 - 0.29)$	0.21 [1.22 0.61]
IVE model for Subgroup (C	ε – 1.00, αι	- i, p - < .01, 1 -	00.070, t = 0.00	-0.31 [-1.23, 0.61]
DE Madal far All Chu			$4 = 0.00 \cdot l^2 = 0.7 \cdot 70 (-2 = 0.01)$	0.071.040.0001
RE Model for All Stu	ales (Q	= 65.86, af = 4	4, $p = 0.02$; $1 = 27.7\%$, $\tau = 0.01$)	-0.07 [-0.12, -0.03]
Test for Subarous D	ifference	s : 0., = 6.04	df = 4 n = 0.14	
issi isi subgioup D		 - 0.34,	דו ען,י וא	
				,
				1
			-1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5	1
			Cohen's d	

Fig. S23. Forest plot grouped by geographic origin, for random effects meta-analysis of middle temporal gyrus thickness asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, and the numbers of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. Meta-analyzed effect sizes are shown at a group level (gray diamonds) and across all studies (black diamonds), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics). Geographic origin group differences were tested using an omnibus test for heterogeneity. Groups are ordered from largest to smallest.



Fig. S24. Forest plot for random effects meta-analysis of pallidum volume asymmetry differences between schizophrenia individuals and unaffected controls, with age interaction. Shown are the per-dataset effect sizes, including confidence intervals, of affected individuals (n SZ) and unaffected controls (n CTR). Cohen's *d* dot sizes represent relative dataset sizes. The meta-analyzed effect sizes across all studies is shown (black diamond), as well as between-dataset heterogeneity statistics (Cochran's Q test statistics).





Supporting tables

Table S1A. Dataset summary descriptions and data availability

Dataset	Country	N (Total)	N (SZ)	N (CTR)	M/F (SZ)	M/F (CTR)	Age (SZ) (years)	Age (CTR) (years)	Handedness (SZ) (N R/L/A)	Handedness (CTR) (N R/L/A)	Age at Onset (years)	Duration of illness (years)	PANSS Total Score	PANSS Negative Score	PANSS Positive Score	SANS Total Score	SAPS Total Score
AMC	Netherlands	405	206	199	180 / 26	130 / 69	22.15	23.49			19.99	2.18					
ASRB	Australia	429	263	166	177 / 86	79 / 87	38.59	39.28	240 / 23 / 0	136 / 30 / 0	23.61	14.98				18.53	
САМН	Canada	264	118	146	70 / 48	77 / 69	43.95	43.6	107 / 8 / 3	139 / 6 / 1	24.9	19.17	53.11	13.97	13.92		
CASSI	Australia	116	53	63	35 / 18	33 / 30	35.17	30.49	45 / 4 / 3	53 / 4 / 4	23.08	12.11	33.38	8.58	8.17		
CIAM	South Africa	51	21	30	13 / 8	16 / 14	31.05	26.6	18/3/0	28 / 1 / 1	22.76	8.29	55.52	15.19	13.57		
CLING	Germany	371	48	323	35 / 13	132 / 191	32.44	25.18	43 / 5 / 0	307 / 15 / 1	24.4	7.73	49.38	11.91	11.17		
COBRE	United States	143	73	70	60 / 13	50 / 20	37.4	35.7	60 / 10 / 3	67 / 1 / 2	21.36	15.82	59.97	14.85	15.16		
EdinburghEHRS	United Kingdom	67	31	36	19 / 12	17 / 19	21.82	21.17	28/1/2	31 / 3 / 2	21.81						
EdinburghFunc	United Kingdom	60	25	35	11 / 14	18 / 17	37.16	37.51	14/9/0	32 / 2 / 0	22.25	15.5	43.04	10	10.64		
EdinburghSFMH	United Kingdom	76	35	41	23 / 12	23 / 18	37.51	38.22	20 / 4 / 6	26 / 4 / 2	22.97	14.35	56.37	14.06	13.37	27.94	
EONCKS	South Africa	200	108	92	74 / 34	51 / 41	34.17	31.86	94 / 10 / 0	80 / 10 / 0	20.93	13.24				33.03	23.21
ESO	Czech Republic	80	40	40	20 / 20	20 / 20	29.45	29.07	40 / 0 / 0	38 / 1 / 0	28.8	0.6	63.83	16.07	14.2		
FBIRN	United States	359	185	174	139 / 46	124 / 50	38.95	37.52	168 / 13 / 4	165 / 7 / 2	21.79	17.22	58.6	14.54	15.46	19.63	16.66
FIDMAG	Spain	283	160	123	124 / 36	54 / 69	39.64	37.54	156 / 3 / 1	123 / 0 / 0	23.01	15.53	76.21	22.58	16.83	37.19	
FOR2107 Marburg	Germany	403	37	366	23 / 14	143 / 223	37.22	34	32/5/0	340 / 25 / 1	21.17	15.89				18.76	13.24
FOR2107 Muenster	Germany	163	8	155	4 / 4	60 / 95	33.38	27.04	7/1/0	142 / 12 / 1	22.25	11.12				8.12	6.38
Frankfurt	Germany	59	29	30	20 / 9	13 / 17	38.1	35.2	29/0/0	30 / 0 / 0	35.62	10.91	67.19	16.7	16.93		
GAP	United Kingdom	209	122	87	85 / 37	32 / 55	27.49	25.93					62.35	16.47	15.22		
GIPSI	Colombia	43	43		35 / 8		33.53				19.07	14.12				32.21	9.26
GROUP	Netherlands	271	88	183	59 / 29	83 / 100	28.16	30.11									
HMS	Germany	101	46	55	32 / 14	28 / 27	28.39	35.38	38 / 4 / 4	39 / 3 / 3			90.22	22.09	21.07		
HUBIN	Sweden	196	94	102	70 / 24	69 / 33	41.71	41.97	78/9/3	90 / 6 / 3	24.51	17.05				22.29	9.02
Huilong	China	333	245	88	133 / 112	49 / 39	25.53	27.7									
IGP	Australia	138	68	70	40 / 28	38 / 32	41.67	36.03	57/1/8	60 / 1 / 8	22.87	18.82	55.47	14.54	13.78	29.11	17.24
ІМН	Singapore	227	151	76	105 / 46	47 / 29	33.08	31.75	138 / 12 / 1	68 / 8 / 0	25.92	6.53	39.91	8.99	10.62		
JBNU	South Korea	208	94	114	57 / 37	48 / 66	39.29	41.41	88/2/4	113/0/0	29.99	9.03	52.96	12.63	14.23		
KaSP	Sweden	88	56	32	34 / 22	15 / 17	30.29	27.5	49 / 5 / 1	32 / 0 / 0		1.21	74.04	17.05	18.62		
Madrid	Spain	105	21	84	17 / 4	59 / 25	16.24	11.82	19/2/0	75 / 4 / 0	15.76	0.48	96.48	24.62	23.71		
MCIC	United States	311	148	163	113 / 35	101 / 62	32.89	31.43	131 / 7 / 6	149 / 5 / 9	22.75	10.22				23.31	22.81
MPRC	United States	437	206	231	128 / 78	96 / 135	35.42	37.1									
OLIN	United States	868	312	556	174 / 138	310 / 246	37.69	37.64									
Osaka	Japan	855	216	639	118 / 98	318 / 321	36.08	34.09	205 / 10 / 1	602 / 36 / 1	24.43	11.22	80.88	19.6	18.67		
Oxford	United Kingdom	74	41	33	24 / 17	15 / 18	16.25	16.06			14.48	1.79		16.2	22.24		
PAFIP	Spain	556	352	204	214 / 138	127 / 77	29.86	29.2	295 / 22 / 21	182 / 12 / 9	28.9	1.01	63.52	10.41	19.66	9.78	26.31

RomeSL	Italy	280	164	116	110 / 54	73 / 43	39.4	37.48	153 / 5 / 2	15 / 1 / 0	24.5	14.91	86.3	20.97	20.91	28.82	31.48
RSCZ	Russia	98	46	52	46 / 0	52 / 0	22.16	22.31	46 / 0 / 0	52 / 0 / 0	21.07	1.1	59.85	18.54	11.24		
SCORE	Switzerland	205	161	44	117 / 44	17 / 27	25.53	25.48	147 / 12 / 2	40 / 4 / 0	24.46	1.07				15.8	
SNUH	South Korea	80	40	40	18 / 22	20 / 20	22.88	22.57	0 / 35 / 5	0 / 35 / 5	22.32	5.96	68.6	17.18	16.57		
SWIFT	Switzerland	37	24	13	17 / 7	5/8	34.21	29.31	24 / 0 / 0	13/0/0	24.77	9.51	57.96	12.75	16.38		
ТОР	Norway	522	219	303	130 / 89	159 / 144	32.02	35.36	172 / 22 / 2	279 / 22 / 2	23.93	8.27	62.02	15.53	14.87		
UCISZ	United States	57	27	30	22 / 5	23 / 7	42.93	41.37	22/2/3	25 / 4 / 1	25	17.5	59.96	16.04	15.56	22.81	13.41
UMCU	Netherlands	600	315	285	236 / 79	165 / 120	30.91	32.89	274 / 26 / 7	225 / 36 / 2	21.91	9.06	65.54	16.59	15.86		
UNIBA	Italy	143	73	70	54 / 19	28 / 42	33.48	26.6	49 / 4 / 20	51 / 2 / 17	20.69	11.81	78.59	22.1	17.41		
UNIMAAS	Netherlands	66	31	35	21 / 10	24 / 11	28.32	28.14	4 / 20 / 0	4 / 18 / 0	21.13	7.19	49.65	11.97	12.58		
UPENN	United States	370	177	193	105 / 72	90 / 103	38.93	36.44	148 / 23 / 6	174 / 15 / 4	20.74	17.35				23.69	18.28
Zurich	Switzerland	88	60	28	45 / 15	18 / 10	30.53	32.54	10 / 44 / 6	0 / 24 / 4	22.23	8.36	48.65	14.5	10.72	24.92	
TOTAL/MEAN		11095	5080	6015	3386 / 1694	3149 / 2866	33.32	32.98	3248 / 366 / 124	4025 / 357 / 85	23.63	9.98	63.62	16.03	15.59	21.32	20.8

N: Sample size; SZ: Individuals affected with schizophrenia; CTR: Unaffected controls; M: Males; F: Females; R: Right-handed; L: Left-handed; A: Ambidextrous; PANSS: Positive And Negative Syndrome Scale; SANS: Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms; SAPS: Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms.

Table S1B. Dataset medication information

Dataset	N Unmedicated	M/F Unmedicated	N First Generation (Typical)	M/F First Generation (Typical)	N Second Generation (Atypical)	M/F Second Generation (Atypical)	N Both (Atypical + Typical)	M/F Both (Atypical + Typical)	Mean CPZ (All)
AMC	11	10/1			122	104 / 18			484.37
ASRB	43	30 / 13	12	9/3	198	134 / 64	9	4 / 5	
САМН	19	14 / 5	7	3 / 4	84	48 / 36	8	5/3	288.63
CASSI			3	1/2	46	30 / 16	4	4 / 0	598.24
CIAM	4	1/3	5	4 / 1	9	6/3	3	2 / 1	
CLING	8	7 / 1			35	24 / 11	5	4 / 1	651.04
COBRE			7	7 / 0	62	50 / 12	1	0 / 1	547.22
EdinburghEHRS	3	2 / 1	9	6 / 3	11	7 / 4	6	2/4	497.58
EdinburghFunc			8	4 / 4	15	6 / 9	2	1 / 1	590.32
EdinburghSFMH	11	6 / 5	1	1 / 0	23	16 / 7			309.85
EONCKS	9	8 / 1	17	11 / 6	79	54 / 25			
ESO					29	15 / 14	2	2/0	
FBIRN			20	17 / 3	137	102 / 35	10	6 / 4	373.3
FIDMAG	2	1 / 1	100	77 / 23	9	8 / 1	27	20 / 7	573.75
FOR2107 Marburg	6	5 / 1	3	0/3	25	17 / 8	3	1 / 2	403.72
FOR2107 Muenster	1	0 / 1			7	4/3			306.66
Frankfurt			3	3 / 0	26	17 / 9			605.14
GAP					10	7/3	3	3 / 0	194.77
GIPSI	3	2 / 1	2	2/0	29	22 / 7	9	9 / 0	422.95
GROUP									
HMS	6	4/2			39	27 / 12	1	1 / 0	312.96
HUBIN	6	4/2	40	31 / 9	38	26 / 12	10	9 / 1	272.67
Huilong			7	7 / 0	95	47 / 48			
IGP	10	5/5	3	1 / 2	53	33 / 20	2	1 / 1	655.24
IMH			60	45 / 15	66	45 / 21	24	15 / 9	200.23
JBNU	28	14 / 14	62	40 / 22	1	1 / 0	3	2 / 1	280.21
KaSP	29	19/10			25	14 / 11	2	1 / 1	
Madrid			20	16 / 4			1	1 / 0	
MCIC	8	6/2	10	6 / 4	117	90 / 27	7	5/2	533.53
MPRC									
OLIN									
Osaka	21	11 / 10	10	3 / 7	139	77 / 62	46	27 / 19	603.81
Oxford	41	24 / 17							353.82
PAFIP			24	16 / 8	328	198 / 130			134.28
RomeSL	11	6 / 5	26	13 / 13	82	59 / 23	42	30 / 12	302.23
RSCZ									
SCORE	121	92 / 29	2	2/0	38	23 / 15			203.18
SNUH	9	3/6			30	15 / 15	1	0 / 1	188.05

SWIFT			2	2/0	18	11 / 7			553.95
ТОР	28	16 / 12	5	2/3	158	97 / 61	18	10 / 8	404.39
UCISZ									
UMCU	27	20 / 7	87	58 / 29	166	129 / 37	5	5/0	
UNIBA			4	4 / 0	43	33 / 10	7	4/3	641.97
UNIMAAS	31	21 / 10							
UPENN			13	9 / 4	65	39 / 26	5	3/2	481.65
Zurich	3	2 / 1			55	41 / 14	2	2/0	494.76
TOTAL/MEAN	499	333 / 166	572	400 / 172	2512	1676 / 836	268	179 / 89	394.38

N: Sample size; M: Males; F: Females; CPZ: Chlorpromazine equivalent medication dose. Grayed out numbers indicate medication groups that had insufficient sample size in a dataset (< 5 individuals).

Dataset	N (Total)	N (SZ)	N (CTR)	M/F (SZ)	M/F (CTR)	Age (SZ) (years)	Age (CTR) (years)
ASRB 1	2	1	1	0 / 1	1/0	56.00	36.00
ASRB 2	4	3	1	2 / 1	1/0	46.00	30.00
ASRB 3	3	2	1	0/2	0 / 1	52.50	51.00
CASSI	81	35	46	20 / 15	21 / 25	32.97	30.91
COBRE	102	53	49	45 / 8	35 / 14	37.70	37.41
EONCKS	199	107	92	73 / 34	51 / 41	34.07	31.86
ESO	12	6	6	3/3	3/3	33.00	28.83
FOR2107 Marburg	142	16	126	9 / 7	42 / 84	38.19	35.37
FOR2107 Muenster	32	1	31	0 / 1	13 / 18	27.00	28.58
IGP	7	2	5	1 / 1	3/2	41.33	35.00
IMH	147	89	58	59 / 30	35 / 23	33.94	32.57
MPRC 1	158	95	63	60 / 35	22 / 41	37.36	43.05
MPRC 2	215	76	139	42 / 34	55 / 84	32.13	34.46
MPRC 3	63	35	28	26 / 9	18 / 10	37.31	35.86
OLIN	649	297	352	167 / 130	201 / 151	38.04	38.87
UCISZ	57	27	30	22 / 5	23 / 7	42.93	41.37
UNIBA	69	30	39	21/9	11 / 28	33.77	26.26
Zurich	88	60	28	45 / 15	18 / 10	30.53	32.54
TOTAL/MEAN	2030	935	1095	595 / 340	553 / 542	35.97	35.84

Table S1C. Subset for multivariate analysis (complete individual-level data available to the central analysis team)

N: Sample size; SZ: Individuals affected with schizophrenia; CTR: Unaffected controls; M: Males; F: Females.

Table S2. Dataset-specific information

Dataset	Instrument for SZ diagnosis	Recruitment of healthy controls and absence of SZ diagnosis	Instrument for handedness assessment	Number of scanners	Scanner manufacturer and type	Imaging protocols	Slice orientation	FreeSurfer version	Operating system
АМС	DSM-IV	Random mailing/schools in the neighborhood of Amsterdam. No life-time psychotic symptoms.	No handedness data	1	3T Philips Intera	TR: 8-9.8, M=9,4 (0,41). TE:3,5-4,6, M=4,26 (0,46). Slice thickness: 1/1.2, flip angle: 8degr, rows/columns: 192-288, M=255,49 (7,00). Pixel spacing: 1mm	Axial	v5.0.0	Linux CentOS 4 x86_64
ASRB	ICD-10	Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI)	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	5	1.5T Siemens Avanto	High-resolution T1-weighted structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI) brain scans (MPRAGE) were acquired using an optimized magnetization prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo on 1.5 T Siemens Avanto scanners (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) across five Australian research sites. Image parameters were set to 176 slices of 1mm thickness, no gap with field-of-view 250 x 250 mm2, repetition time 1980 ms, echo time 4.3 ms, data acquisition matrix 256 x 256, with a flip matrix of 15°, resulting in a voxel size of 0.98x0.98x1.0 mm3.	Sagittal	v5.1.0	Mac OS X
САМН	DSM-IV	DSM-IV	Self-report	1	1.5T General Electric	SPGR, TR/TE/TI=12.3/5.3/300ms, flip angle=20°, 256x256x128 matrix, FOV=240x240mm, slice thickness=1.5mm.	Axial	v5.3.0	Xubuntu x86_64 linux
CASSI	A diagnosis of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder was determined using the Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual IV- TR Axis I Disorders by a clinician trained in administration of the SCID which was confirmed independently by another clinician.	Exclusion criteria for healthy controls consisted of a personal history of or a first-degree relative with a DSM- IV Axis I psychiatric diagnosis, history of substance abuse or dependence (within the past 5 years), head injuries with loss of consciousness, seizures, central nervous system infection, untreated diabetes or hypertension or mental retardation.	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Philips Achieva	T1 weighted gradient echo planar. Number of acquisitions: 180. Flip angle: 90 degrees. TE: 2.4 ms. TR 5.4 ms. Field of view 256. Image dimensions 256x256 voxels. Voxel size = 1x1x1 mm.	Sagittal	v5.1.0	Mac OS X 10.8
CIAM	SCID using DSM-IV by clinically trained research team members. Only those participants which made a clear diagnosis of schizophrenia were included in our cohort.	Clear of any Axis I disorders as per SCID using DSM-IV	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens Allegra	MPRAGE sequence: TR = 2530 ms, graded TE = $1.53, 3.21, 4.89, 6.57$ ms, flip angle = 7°, FOV = 256 mm, slice thickness = 1.33 mm, 128 slices, voxel size $1.3x1.0x1.3$, scan time 8:06. Single channel coil used.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux
CLING	ICD-10 and DSM-IV	Clinical interview	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens TIM Trio	MRI scanning was performed on a 3.0-Tesla Magnetom TIM Trio (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). A T1-weighted, 3D magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo sequence (MPRAGE) (TR/TE/TI/FA=2250 ms/3.26 ms/900 ms/9°; image matrix = 256 x 256; duration 8 min and 26 sec) was acquired generating 192 sagittal slices with a voxel size of 1 mm3.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Ubuntu 12.04
COBRE	Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID) for diagnostic confirmation (consensus was reached by two research psychiatrists using the SCID-DSM-IV-TR, patient version) and evaluation for co-morbidities.	Healthy controls were recruited from the same geographic location via IRB-approved advertisement and completed the SCID-Non-Patient Edition to rule out Axis I conditions. Additional exclusion criteria for HCs included a current or past psychiatric disorder (with the exception of one lifetime major depressive episode), head trauma with a loss of consciousness greater than 5 min, recent history of substance abuse or dependence, depression or antidepressant use within the past 6 months, lifetime antidepressant use of more than one year, and history of a psychotic disorder in a first-degree relative.	Self-report	1	3T Siemens TIM Trio	T1-weighted images were acquired with a 5-echo multi-echo MPRAGE sequence [TE (echo times) = 1.64, 3.5, 5.36, 7.22, 9.08 ms, TR (repetition time) = 2.53 s, TI (inversion time) = 1.2 s, 7° flip angle, number of excitations (NEX) = 1, slice thickness = 1 mm, FOV (field of view) = 256 mm, resolution = 256x256].	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux RedHat

EdinburghEHRS	Psychiatric hospital case notes reviewed with the Operational Criteria (OPCRIT) check-list; diagnosis confirmed using the Present State Examination (PSE) according to the DSM-IV criteria for schizophrenia or schizophreniform disorder.	Controls were recruited from the social networks of schizophrenia high-risk participants and from local youth groups. The main criteria for recruitment was no personal or family history of major psychiatric disorder. Controls were matched to cases at the group level in terms of age, sex, education and ethnicity.	Annett Handedness Scale	1	1T Siemens	Scanned with a 1 Tesla 42 SPE Siemens MRI scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). 128 contiguous coronal T1-weighted slices (thickness1.88 mm, field-of-view 250 x 250 mm) were obtained using a Magnetisation Prepared Rapid Acquisition of Gradient Echo (MPRAGE)sequence (TR=10ms, TE=4ms, TI=200ms, relaxation time 500ms).	Coronal	v5.3.0	Linux
EdinburghFunc	Diagnosis established using Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders (SCID) according to the DSM criteria.	Control participants were recruited from the pool of unaffected non-genetic relatives and social contacts of case participants. Absence of schizophrenia diagnosis was confirmed through SCID screening.	Self-report	1	1.5T General Electric Signa	A coronal gradient echo sequence with magnetization preparation and produced 128 coronal high-resolution T1-weighted images, which were used for structural image analysis (time of inversion [TI] 600 msec, echo time 3.4 msec, flip angle 15, field of view 22, slice thickness 1.7 mm, matrix 256 192).	Axial	v5.1.0	Linux
EdinburghSFMH	Diagnosis established using Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders (SCID) according to the DSM criteria.	Control participants were recruited from the pool of unaffected non-genetic relatives and social contacts of case participants. Absence of schizophrenia diagnosis was confirmed through SCID screening.	Self-report	1	3T Siemens Verio	Used T1-weighted, magnetisation prepared rapid acquisition gradient echo (MP-RAGE) sequence prescribed using the AC-PC line, providing 160 saggital slices of 1.0mm thickness, with 256 x 256mm2 field of view, matrix size 256 x 256mm2. Further scan parameters – repetition time = 2300ms, echo time = 2.98ms, inversion time = 900ms and flip angle = 9 degrees.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux
EONCKS	DSM-IV (PANS) clinical interview	DSM IV (PANS) clinical interview	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens Allegra	MPRAGE 2080 ms repetition time; 4.88 ms echo time, Field of view: 230 mm, 176 slices, 0.9 mm X 0.9 mm X 1 mm voxel size.	Sagittal	v6	CentOS
ESO	M.I.N.I., ICD-10	M.I.N.I.	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens TIM Trio	MP-RAGE 3D, 1mm thickness, acquisition matrix 256 x 256, TR=2300ms, TE=4,63ms, TI=900ms,	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux
FBIRN	SCID-I/P (DSM-IV-TR)	SCID-I/NP (DSM-IV-TR)	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	7	3T Siemens TIM Trio; 3T General Electric Discovery MR750	High-resolution structural imaging scans were acquired on six 3T Siemens Tim® Trio System and one 3T General Electric Discovery MR750 scanner. MP-RAGE scan parameters for the Siemens scanner were: scan plane=sagittal, TR/TE/TI=2300/2.94/1100ms, GRAPPA acceleration factor=2, flip angle=9°, resolution=256x256x160, FOV=220mm2, voxel size=0.86x0.86x1.2mm, and NEX=1. IR-SPGR scan parameters for the General Electric scanner were: scan plane=sagittal, TR/TE/TI=5.95/1.99/450ms, ASSET acceleration factor=2, a flip angle=12°, resolution=256x256x166, FOV=220mm2, voxel size=0.86x0.86x1.2mm, and NEX=1. All scans covered the entire brain.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	CentOS 64bit
FIDMAG	DSM-IV	SCID. We also ask about any personal or first-degree relatives of any mental major disorder	Self-report	1	1.5T General Electric Signa	180 axial slices; 1mm slice thickness, no gap, matrix size 512x512; 0.5x0.5x1mm3 voxel resolution; TE 4ms, TR 2000ms, flip angle 15°	Axial	v5.3.0	Linux Ubuntu
FOR2107_Marburg	DSM-IV-TR using SCID-I	DSM-IV-TR: no current or former psychiatric diagnosis according to SCID I	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens Magnetom TrioTim Syngo	MPRAGE imaging sequence. 1 acquisition. Flip angle: 9 degrees. TE: 2.26 ms. TR: 1900 ms. TI: 900 ms. Acceleration factor: 2Field of view: 256. Image dimensions: 256x256x176 voxels. Voxel size: 1x1x1 mm.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server release 5.11 (Tikanga)
FOR2107_Muenster	Diagnosis were established based on SCID interviews including all available clinical information.	SCID, and family history for major psychiatric disorders was carefully assessed.	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens PRISMA	MPRAGE imaging sequence. 1 acquisition. Flip angle: 8 degrees. TE: 2.28 ms. TR: 2130 ms. TI: 900 ms. Acceleration factor: 2. Field of view: 256. Image dimensions: 256x256x192 voxels. Voxel size: 1.0x1.0x1.0mm.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Red Hat Enterprise Linux Server release 5.11 (Tikanga)
Frankfurt	through SCID I; a psychiatrist did the diagnosis.	SCID I	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens Trio	176 slices, slice thickness 1mm, TR=7.92 ms, TE= 2.48 ms, voxel resolution= 1x1x1 mm, flip angle= 16°.	Sagittal	v5.1.0	Linux Axia
GAP	ICD-10 using Schedules for Clinical Assessment in Neuropsychiatry (SCAN)	Selected using internet and newspaper adverts and distribution of leaflets; administered the Psychosis Screening Questionnaire to exclude psychosis	No handedness data	1	3T General Electric Signa HDx	SAGITTAL ADNI MPRAGE GE, slice thickness = 1.2mm, spatial positions = 166 slices, flip angle = 8º, fov = 260mm x 260mm, TR/TE/TI = 6.988/2.848/650ms, matrix = 256mm x 256mm.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux

GIPSI	DIGS using DSM-IV-TR.	Case-only cohort	No handedness data	1	3T Philips Ingenia	Sequence 3D T1-weighted TFE, 160 axial slices, 1x0.6x0.6 mm3 voxel resolution, TE/TR= 2.063/4.756 ms, flip angle= 15	Axial	v5.3.0	Linux Ubuntu
GROUP	DSM-IV via CASH interview	CASH interview	No handedness data	2	3T Siemens Allegra syngo MR A30	Modified Driven Equilibrium Fourier Transform (MDEFT) sequence; 176 slices, 1 mm isotropic voxel size, echo time 2.4 ms, repetition time 7.92 ms, inversion time 910 ms, flip angle 15°, total acquisition time 12 min 51 s; Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient-Echo (MPRAGE; Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative) sequence 192 slices, 1 mm isotropic voxel size, echo time 2.6 ms, repetition time 2250 ms, inversion time 900 ms, flip angle 9°, total acquisition time 7 min 23 s. The matrix size was 256 x 256 and field of view was 256 x 256 mm2. The number of excitations was one. Two sequences were used because of a scanner update during data collection.	Sagittal	v5.0.0	Mac OS X
нмѕ	ICD-10 and DSM-IV	Clinical interview	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	1.5T Siemens Magnetom Sonata	MRI scanning was performed on a 1.5-Tesla Magnetom Sonata (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). A T1-weighted, magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo sequence (MPRAGE) (TR/TE/TI/FA=1900 ms/4.0 ms/700 ms/15°; image matrix = 256 x 256) was acquired generating 176 consecutive sagittal slices with a voxel size of 1 mm3. ~5 min.	Axial	v5.1.0	Linux
HUBIN	DSM-III-R/DSM-IV based on SCID-I and reviews of medical records	SCID-I	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	1.5T General Electronic Signa	T1-weighted images, using a three-dimensional spoiled gradient recalled (SPGR) pulse sequence, were acquired with the following parameters; 1.5 mm coronal slices, no gap, 35° flip angle, repetition time (TR) = 24 ms, echo time (TE) = 6.0 ms, number of excitations (NEX) = 2, field of view (FOV) = 24 cm, acquisition matrix = 256 × 192. T2-weighted images were acquired with the following parameters; 2.0 mm coronal slices, no gap, TR = 6,000 ms, TE = 84 ms, NEX = 2, FOV = 24 cm, acquisition matrix = 256 × 192.	Coronal	v5.3.0	Linux RedHat
Huilong	DSM-IV	They participated through community recruitment. Confirmed as healthy controls through Interview with a psychiatist.	No handedness data	3	3T Siemens Verio; 3T GE Signa HDxt	T1-weighted, 3D BRAVO, 1x1x1mm, TE/TR/TI=2.5/6.8/1100ms, flip angle=7 degrees.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux
IGP	ICD-10	Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI)	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Philips Achieva TX	MPRAGE imaging sequence. 200 acquisitions. Flip angle: 8 degrees. TE 4.1 ms. TR 8.9 ms. Field of view 240. Image dimensions 268x268. Voxel size 0.9x0.9x0.9.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Mac OS X
імн	SCID-P (DSM-IV)	SCID-NP	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Philips Achieva	MPRAGE imaging sequence. 3 acquisitions. Flip angle: 8 degrees. TE: 3.3 ms. TR 7200 ms. Field of view: 230. Image dimensions: 256x204 voxels. Voxel size: 0.9x0.9x0.9 mm.	Axial	v5.3.0	Mac OS X
JBNU	DSM-5	Healthy controls were recruited through interview using the non-patient version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-IV)	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens MAGNETOM Verio Syngo	MPRAGE imaging sequence. Flip angle: 9 degrees. TE 2.45 ms. TR 1900 ms. Field of view 250. Image dimensions 350x263x350. Voxel size 1x1x1 mm.	Sagittal	v7.1.0	Linux
KaSP	SCID-I	MINI	Self-report	1	3T General Electric	3D IR prep fast SPGR, TR=7.904ms, TE=3.06ms, TI = 450ms, flip angle = 12, 146 slices, voxel size = 0.934 x 0.934 x 1.2 mm3, matrix = 256 x 256.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux RedHat Enterprise 6.5

Madrid	A DSM-IV-TR diagnosis of schizophrenia group of control or its absence in the unaffected sample was established after a clinical interview using the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS- PL) or the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders (SCID), for participants younger or older than 18, as appropriate. SCID for adults and K-SADS for adolescents	A DSM-IV-TR diagnosis of schizophrenia in the schizophrenia group of control or its absence in the unaffected sample was established after a clinical interview using the Kiddie Schedule for Affective Disorders and Schizophrenia (K-SADS-PL) or the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders (SCID), for participants younger or older than 18, as appropriate.	Neurological Evaluation Scale (NES)	1	1.5T Philips Intera	Sagittal T1 (FFE) 3D, 175 slices, voxel 1x0.94x0.94 mm3, FOV 256x256, TR=25 ms, TE=9.2 ms	Sagittal	v6	Linux
МСІС	A Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID/SCID-NP for controls) or the Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History (CASH) were used to diagnose primary and co-morbid psychiatric disorders in controls and patients.	A Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID/SCID- NP for controls) or the Comprehensive Assessment of Symptoms and History (CASH) were used to diagnose primary and co-morbid psychiatric disorders in controls and patients.	Annett Handedness Scale	4	1.5T, 3T Siemens and General Electric	T1 scans: TR = 2530 ms for 3 T, TR = 12 ms for 1.5 T; TE = 3.79 ms for 3 T, TE = 4.76 ms for 1.5 T; FA = 7 for 3 T, FA = 20 for 1.5 T; TI = 1100 for 3 T; Bandwidth = 181 for 3 T, Bandwidth = 110 for 1.5 T; 0.625x0.625 mm voxel size; slice thickness 1.5 mm; FOV 256x256x128 cm matrix; FOV = 16 cm (could be increased to 18 cm when needed for full brain coverage).	Coronal	v4.0.1	Linux (multiple versions)
MPRC	Patients at the MPRC clinic; Clinical interview with SCID DSM-IV.	Local advertisement and clinical interview	No handedness data	3	3T Siemens Allegro; 3T Siemens Trio	Siemens Allegro: T1-weighted, 3D MPRAGE, 1x1x1mm, TE/TR/TI=4.3/2500/1000ms, flip angle=8 degrees. Siemens Trio: T1-weighted, 3D MPRAGE, 1x1x1mm, TE/TR/TI=2.9/2300/900ms, flip angle=9 degrees.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux
OLIN	SCID	SCID-NP	No handedness data	1	3T Siemens Allegra	T1-weighted, 3D magnetization prepared rapid gradient-echo (MPRAGE) sequence (TR/TE/TI=2200/4.13/766 ms, flip angle=13°, voxel size [isotropic]=0.8mm, image size=240 x 320 x 208 voxels), with axial slices parallel to the AC-PC line.	Axial	v5.1.0	CentOS 7
Osaka	SCID-P; DSM-IV	SCID-NP	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	2	1.5T GE Signa Excite; 3T GE Signa HDxt	3D-IR-FSPGR, TR/TE/TI=12.6/4.2/400ms, flip angle=15°, 256x256x124 matrix, FOV=240x240mm, slice thickness=1.4mm, Nex=1, No Asset, QD Head coil; 3D-IR-FSPGR, TR/TE/TI=7.2/2.9/400ms, flip angle=11°, 256x256x172 matrix, FOV=240x240mm, slice thickness=1.0mm, Nex=1, No Asset, 8ch Brain coil.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	SUSE Linux Enterprise Server 10; Red Hat Enterprise Linux 6
Oxford	KSADS-PL, DSM-IV	General population, recruited through GP practices. All screened with KSADS-PL.	No handedness data	1	1.5T Siemens Sonata	3D T1-weighted FLASH imaging sequence. Acquisition matrix 256 x 256, 208 slices, 1 x 1 mm ² in-plane resolution, slice thickness 1 mm, TE/TR = 5.6/12 ms, flip angle alpha = 19°.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Linux
PAFIP	Diagnosis of schizophrenia was confirmed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I).	The unaffected controls had no current or past history of psychiatric neurological, or general medical illnesses, including substance abuse, according to an abbreviated version of the Comprehensive Assessment of Symptom and History (CASH).	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	2	1.5T General Electric; 3T General Electric	Three-dimensional T1-weighted images, using a spoiled grass (SPGR) sequence acquired in the coronal plane with: echo time (TE)=5 ms, repetition time (TR)=24 ms, numbers of excitations (NEX)=2, rotation angle=45°, field of view (FOV)=26x19.5 cm, slice thickness=1.5mm and a matrix of 256x192.	Coronal	v5.0.0	Ubuntu 11.04 x86_64
RomeSL	Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV-TR (SCID-I/P)	Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Non-patient Edition (SCID-I-NP).	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens Allegra	MPRAGE imaging sequence. T1-weighted, 3D MDEFT, 1x1x1xmm, TE/TR =2.4/7.92 ms, flip angle=15. TE: 910 ms. Acceleration factor: 1. Field of view: 256. Image dimensions: 176x224x256 voxels.	Sagittal	v6.0dev	Linux
RSCZ	ICD-10	Unaffected controls were recruited from acquaintances of the researchers and Mental Health Research Center staff, absence of SZ diagnosis were confirmed by self- report	Partly Annett Handedness Scale, partly Self-report	1	3T Philips Achieva	A turbo field echo sequence covering the whole brain. TR = 8,200 ms, TE = 3.7 ms, TI = 1,020 ms, flip angle = 8, SENSE factor = 1.5, FOV = 240 mm, voxel size of 0.83 x 0.83 mm with a slice thickness of 1 mm, no gap.	Sagittal	v5.3.0	CentOS 6.6
SCORE	DSM-IV	Self-report	Self-report	1	3T Magnetom Verio	MPRAGE: acquisition matrix: 256×256×176, isotropic spatial resolution: 1x1x1mm3, TI=100ms, TR=2s, TE=3.4 ms, flip angle: 8° and bandwidth of 200 Hz/pixel.	Sagittal	v6.0dev	Ubuntu 18.04 LTS

SNUH	Schizophrenia patients were diagnosed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM, fourth edition (DSM-IV), Axis I (SCID-I)	Controls were screened, and confirmed using the SCID Nonpatient Edition (SCID-NP). They were excluded when they had any past or current SCID-NP axis I diagnoses and first- to third-degree biological relations with psychotic disorders.	Annett Handedness Scale	1	3T Siemens Trio	High-resolution T1-weighted, three-dimensional Magnetization Prepared Rapid Gradient Echo (TR = 670ms; TE=1.89ms; FOV=250mm; FA=9°; voxel size=1x1x1mm3).	Sagittal	v5.3.0	Mac OS X 10.9
SWIFT	SCID	Screening questionnaire of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders or the Mini- International Neuropsychiatric Interview.	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Siemens Trio	MPRAGE imaging sequence. Flip angle: 7 degrees. TE: 92 ms. TR: 8000 ms. Field of view: 256. Image dimensions: 158x255x255 voxels. Voxel size: 2x2x2 mm.	Oblique	v7.1.0	Linux CentOS 7 x86_64- 7.1.0- 20200511- 813297b
ТОР	The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV axis 1 disorders (SCID-IV).	Healthy controls were randomly drawn from the national population registry in the same geographical area as the patients, and invited by letter to participate. They were screened prior to participation. Absence of current or previous history of a psychiatric disorder was determined by self-report. Current symptomatology was screened for on the day of inclusion using the Prime MD and alcohol and drug use were screened for using AUDIT/DUDIT. The exclusion criteria for healthy controls were: - Age outside of the range 18-65 years. - Current or previous psychiatric disorder. - History of severe mental illness in a first-degree relative.	Self-report	1	1.5T Siemens Magnetom Sonata	Two sagittal T1-weighted magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) volumes were acquired with the Siemens tfl3d1_ns pulse sequence (TE = 3.93 ms, TR = 2730 ms, TI = 1000 ms, flip angle = 7° ; FOV = 24 cm, voxel size= $1.33 \times 0.94 \times 1$ mm3, number of partitions = 160).	Sagittal	v4.5.0	Linux CentOS or Ubuntu
UCISZ	SCID-I/P (DSM-IV-TR)	- Any alcohol or drug abuse or dependence. SCID-I/NP (DSM-IV-TR)	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Philips Achieva	High-resolution structural imaging scans were acquired on on a 3T Philips Achieve using a T1 Turbo Fast Spin Echo (TFE) with 200 sagittal slices, 320x274 matrix size, 0.75mm3 isotropic voxels, TR = 11ms, TE =4.562ms, flip angle = 18°, Turbo = 180	Sagittal	v6.0dev	CentOS 64bit
имси	CASH or SCID, following DSM-IV	CASH or SCID, following DSM-IV	CASH or Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	2	1.5T Philips Intera and Achieva	T1-weighted three-dimensional fastfield echo (3D- FFE) scans with 160– 180 contiguous coronal slices [256 3 256 matrix, echo time (TE)=4.6 ms, repetition time (TR)=30 ms, flip angle=30 degrees, 1x1x1.2 mm3 voxels, field of view [FOV] = 256 mm/ 70%].	Coronal	v5.1.0	Linux centOS 4_x86_64- stable-pub
UNIBA	DSM-IV	SCID	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T General Electric Signa	MPRAGE imaging sequence. Flip angle: 6 degrees. TE: 3 ms. TR 25 ms. Field of view: 256. Image dimensions: 256x256x124 voxels. Voxel size: 1x1x1.3 mm.	Axial	v7.1.0	Linux Xubuntu 18.04 LTS
UNIMAAS	Diagnosis was confirmed using the CASH by trained clinicians. Psychotic symptom severity was assessed using the PANSS.	Controls were age matched and they were found through advertisement in newspapers and social media platforms. Diagnosis was ruled-out through the CASH by trained clinicians	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	1	3T Philips Ingenia	32-channel head sense coil. MPRAGE: 180 slices, voxel size 1 x 1 x 1 mm, TR: 7.0 ms, TE: 3.2 ms	Sagittal	v5.4	Linux
UPENN	SCID	SCID	Edinburgh Handedness Inventory	2	3T Siemens TIM Trio	MPRAGE, TR=1810 ms, TE= 3.51 ms, TI=1100 ms, flip angle 9, FOV= 240 x 180 mm, matrix= 256 x 192, resolution = 0.9 x 0.9 mm, slices = 160, slice/skip thickness = 1 mm/0 mm.	Axial	v5.3.0	Linux RedHat Enterprise 5
Zurich	Diagnosis of schizophrenia was confirmed using a structured Mini- International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) for DSM-IV.	We excluded patients with any other DSM-IV Axis I disorder (in particular, current substance use disorder and major depressive disorder), those medicated with lorazepam at a dose higher than 1 mg, those with florid psychotic symptoms (i.e., any positive subscale item score higher than 4 on the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale [PANSS]) and those with extrapyramidal side effects (i.e., a total score higher than 2 on the Modified Simpson–Angus Scale [MSAS]). Healthy controls were screened for any neuropsychiatric disorders using the structured Mini- International Neuropsychiatric Interview to ensure that they had no previous or present psychiatric illness. Both patients and healthy controls were required to have a normal physical and neurologic status and no history of major head injury or neurologic disorder.	Self-report	1	3T Philips	3D T1-weighted images were acquired with an ultra fast gradient echo T1-weighted sequence(TR=8.4ms, TE=3.8ms, flip angle=8°) in 160 sagittal plan slices (Timm slice thickness, no slice gap) of 240x240mm2 resulting in 1x1x1mm3voxels.	Sagittal	v6.0.0	Linux

Region	AMC	ASRB	CAMH	CIAM	CLING	COBRE	EdinburghEHRS	EdinburghFunc	EdinburghSFMH	EONCKS	FBIRN	FIDMAG	FOR2107 Marburg	FOR2107 Muenster	Frankfurt	GAP	GIPSI	GROUP	SMH	HUBIN	Huilong	HWI	JBNU	KaSP	Madrid	MCIC	MPRC	OLIN	Osaka	Oxford PAFIP	RomeSL	RSCZ	SCORE	SNUH	SWIFT	TOP	UCISZ	UNIBA	UNIMAAS	UPENN	Zurich	Datasets with + direction	Datasets with - uirection	Weighted grand-mean Al
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	-	+	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ -	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+ +	-	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	-	+	+	+ 4	1 (5 (0.033
Isthmus cingulate cortex	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	-	+	+ +	- +	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+ •	+ +	• +	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+ -	-	+	+	+	+	+ •	+	+	- 1	+	+ 3	9	7 (0.018
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	-	+	-	+	+ •		+	-	+	- 3	1 1	5 (0.013
Frontal pole	-	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+		- +	+	+	+	-	1	-	+	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+ 3	3 1	3 (0.012
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	+	-	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	-	• +	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+ ·	+ -	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	-	- +	+	+	-	-	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	-	+ 3	3 1	3 (0.012
Precentral gyrus	-	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	-	-	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ 4	3 3	3 (0.012
Posterior cingulate cortex	+	+		+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	• +	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+ ·	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+ +	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 3	7 9) (0.012
Postcentral gyrus	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ 4	6 () (0.011
Superior frontal gyrus	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+ -	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	-	+	+	+	+ •	+	+	/	+	+ 3	6 1	0 0).0091
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+		+	-	-	+	+	+ •	+	- 1		-	- 2	8 1	8 0).0087
Parahippocampal gyrus	+	+	+ +	-	-	+	-	-	-	+ +	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+ +	+	+	-	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ 3	4 1	2 0).0077
Pars orbitalis	+	-			+	+	-	-	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	- +	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	E.,	+ 2	6 2	0 0).0060
Insula	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	· -	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	-	-	+	-		+ +	+	+	+	- 3	2 1	4 0).0057
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	-	-	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+	-	+ +	• +	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+ ·	+ +	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	-	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	- (- 3	1 1	5 0).0053
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-	-		+	+	+	-	-	+	+ +	• +	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	÷ _		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	- 2	1 2	.5 0).0047
Superior parietal cortex	+	+	- 1	+ +	-	+	+	-	+	-	• +	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+ +	-	+	+	+	-	+ +	+ +	+	+	+	+ 3	5 1	1 0).0041
Overall	-	-		+	+	+	-	+	+	+ +	• +	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-		+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+		-	-	+	-	+	+ +	+ +	+	+	-	- 2	5 2	.1 0.	.00080
Pars opercularis	÷.,	-	•	+	+	+	+	-	-		+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	- +	•	-	+	+	+	+	-	+		+	-	+	-	+		- +	+	+	-	- 1	9 2	.7 -0).0028
Supramarginal gyrus	-	+		+ +	-	-	+	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	- +	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	- +	+	-	+	+	-		+ -	+	+	-	- 1	63	0 -0	0.0030
Pars triangularis	-	-		+	+	+	-	+	-		+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-		-	-	+	-	+	+ +	+ -	-	-	-	- 1	53	1 -(0.0033
Fusiform gyrus	+	+			-	-	+	-	-	+ -	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	- +	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	- +	-	+	•	-	-		+ +	-	-	-	- 1	8 2	.8 -0	0.0036
Precuneus	+	+		-	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	- +	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- +	-	+	•	-	+	-	+ +	<u> </u>	+	-	+ 1	4 3	2 -0	0.0048
Pericalcarine cortex	+	-	+ -		+	-	-	+	-	+ -	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+ -	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	+		- +	+	-		- 1	53	1 -().0057
Paracentral lobule	+	-			-	-	+	-	-	+ -	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	- +	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	+	-	-	-		+ +	-	-	-	+ 1	1 3	5 -0	0.0058
Inferior temporal gyrus	-	-			-	-	+	+	-	+ -	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-		- +	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+ -	+	-	-	-	-		- +	+	+	-	- 1	53	1 -(0.0083
Inferior parietal cortex	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+ -	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+ +	-	+	-	+	-		+ -	+	+	+	- 1	4 3	2 -0	0.0088
Middle temporal gyrus	-	-			+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-		+	-	-	-	+			+	+	-	- 7	73	9 -	0.011
Superior temporal gyrus	-	-			+	-	-	+	-	+ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	+	-	+			-	-	-	- (64	0 -	0.012
Cuneus	+	-	+ -	+	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- +	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	-		+ +	+	+	-	- 1	1 3	5 -	0.012
Transverse temporal gyrus	+	-			-	-	+	-	-	+ -	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			-	+ /	+	- 7	73	.9 -	0.015
Lingual gyrus	-	-			-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+		- +	-	+ /	-	- !	54	1 -	0.021
Lateral occipital cortex	-	-		• •	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		+ -	-	-	-	- :	24	4 -	0.023
Temporal pole	-	-			-	-	-	+	-	+ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- +	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	- !	54	/1 -	0.030
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	-	-			-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	- 1	0 4	6 -	0.037
Entorhinal cortex	-	-			-	-	-	+	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	- :	24	4 -	0.038

Table S3A. Mean cortical thickness AI direction per dataset compared to sample size weighted grand mean across datasets

Table S3B. Mean cortical surface area AI direction per dataset compared to sample size weighted grand mean across datasets.

Region	AMC	ASRB	самн	CASSI	CIAM	CLING	COBRE	EdinburghEHRS	EdinburghFunc	EdinburghSFMH	EONCKS	ESO	FBIRN	FIDMAG	FOR2107 Marburg	FOR2107 Muenster	Frankfurt	GAP	GIPSI	GROUP	SMH	HUBIN	Huilong	GP	HM	JENO	Madrid	MCIC	MPRC	OLIN	Osaka	Oxford	PAFIP	RomeSL	RSCZ	SCORE	SWIFT	TOP	UCISZ	UMCU	UNIBA	UNIMAAS	UPENN	Zurich	Datasets with + direction	Datasets with – direction	Weighted grand-mean Al
Transverse temporal gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.29
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.22
Pars opercularis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.17
Entorhinal cortex	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.16
Temporal pole	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.12
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.090
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.077
Isthmus cingulate cortex	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.067
Supramarginal gyrus	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	45	1	0.061
Superior temporal gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	· +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.049
Inferior temporal gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	45	1	0.048
Parahippocampal gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	44	2	0.041
Postcentral gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.039
Superior frontal gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+ +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.031
Fusiform gyrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	43	3	0.029
Lateral occipital cortex	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	- +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	46	0	0.028
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	+ -		-	+	+	- {	-	+	-	23	23	0.0098
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-		-	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+ +		+	+	-	+	-	+	-	26	20	0.0083
Superior parietal cortex	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+ •		+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	+ -	+		+	+	+	+	-	+	27	19	0.0033
Overall																- 1	+	-	- 1	NA				-	-		+	1.5				-	+	+	-				+						5	40	-0.003€
Precentral gyrus	-	-	-	+		-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+ •		-	- -	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	- +			-		-	-	-	-	8	38	-0.0067
Posterior cingulate cortex	+	-	-	-		-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-		+	-	-	+	-				-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+ -			+		-		-	-	10	36	-0.013
Lingual gyrus	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+		-	-	-	-	+	-		+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-		-	+	+	-	-	+		-	+	+ -			-	-	-	-	+	-	15	31	-0.013
Insula	-	-		+	+	-	-		+	-	+	+					+	-	-	+		-	-	+ -	+ -		-	-		+		-	+	+	+	+ -	+	-	-		- '	+		+	18	28	-0.015
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-		-	-				-		-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-			-		-					-		-	-			0	46	-0.034
Precuneus	-	-	-	-		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-		-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.043
Cuneus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-		-	-	0	46	-0.045
Middle temporal gyrus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.095
Pericalcarine cortex	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.10
Paracentral lobule	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.12
Pars triangularis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.15
Inferior parietal cortex	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.16
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.17
Pars orbitalis	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.21
Frontal pole	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	0	46	-0.29
-																																															

Table S3C. Mean subcortical volume AI direction per dataset compared to sample size weighted grand mean across datasets.

Region	AMC	ASRB	CAMH	CASSI	CIAM	CLING	COBRE	EdinburghEHRS	EdinburghFunc	EdinburghSFMH	EONCKS	ESO	FBIRN	FIDMAG	FOR2107 Marburg	FOR2107 Muenster	Frankfurt	GAP	GIPSI	GROUP	HMS	Huilona	lGP	HMI	JBNU	KaSP	Madrid	MCIC	MPRC	Osaka	Oxford	PAFIP	RomeSL	RSCZ	SCORE	SWIET	TOP	UCISZ	UMCU	UNIBA	UNIMAAS	UPENN	Zurich	Datasets with + direction	Datasets with - direction	Weighted grand-mean AI
Lateral Ventricles	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+	+ +	• +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	+	+	+ 1	IA ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ 4	45	0	0.095
Thalamus	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+	- +	· NA	4 +	+	+	+	+	+	+ -	+	+	+	+	+	+ ·	+ +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+ :	39	6	0.049
Putamen	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+ ·	+	+ +	• +	+	-	-	+	+	+	+ +	+	+	-	+	+	+ ·	+ -	+	+	+	+	-	+	+ :	38	8	0.033
Pallidum	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+ +		-	+	-	-	+	+	- +	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+ 2	25	21	0.030
Caudate Nucelus	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-		NA	۰ ۸	-	-	-	+	+		+	+	-	-	-	+ ·	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	- '	12	33	-0.011
Hippocampus	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	+	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	+	-	-	-	-	3	43	-0.019
Accumbens	-	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+ -	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+ -	+	+	-	-	-	-		+	-	+	-	-	-	- '	16	30	-0.049
Amygdala	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	44	-0.056

Table S4A. Weighted mean thickness and surface area Als for cortical regions.

		Co	Cortical Surface Area									
	Unaffected individuals Schizophrenia individuals Unaffected individuals Schizophrenia Al (mean) sd (pooled) N Al (mean) sd (po											uals
Region	Al (mean)	sd (pooled)	Ν	Al (mean)	sd (pooled)	N	Al (mean)	sd (pooled)	Ν	AI (mean)	sd (pooled)	Ν
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	-0.0379	0.0757	5670	-0.0365	0.0764	4764	0.0888	0.1573	5667	0.0911	0.162	4762
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	0.0337	0.1027	5849	0.0312	0.1096	4936	-0.1723	0.2394	5846	-0.1654	0.2428	4934
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	0.0066	0.0484	5844	0.0037	0.0495	4913	0.0767	0.1477	5840	0.0765	0.1506	4908
Cuneus	-0.0134	0.0634	5785	-0.011	0.0636	4841	-0.0423	0.1244	5781	-0.049	0.1286	4839
Entorhinal cortex	-0.0384	0.1086	5564	-0.0377	0.1095	4682	0.1636	0.2154	5560	0.149	0.2155	4680
Fusiform gyrus	-0.0049	0.0444	5802	-0.002	0.0442	4837	0.0302	0.1009	5796	0.0276	0.1007	4836
Inferior parietal cortex	-0.0091	0.0406	5695	-0.0085	0.0412	4697	-0.1622	0.1025	5691	-0.164	0.1042	4699
Inferior temporal gyrus	-0.0083	0.0534	5761	-0.0082	0.0536	4814	0.049	0.1113	5758	0.0466	0.1122	4812
Isthmus cingulate cortex	0.0201	0.0770	5875	0.0164	0.0784	4949	0.0656	0.1431	5871	0.0676	0.1444	4948
Lateral occipital cortex	-0.0228	0.0444	5819	-0.0223	0.0441	4838	0.0273	0.0953	5814	0.0287	0.0979	4836
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	0.0123	0.0545	5870	0.0112	0.0541	4936	0.011	0.0767	5865	0.0051	0.0794	4937
Lingual gyrus	-0.0219	0.0510	5843	-0.0201	0.0503	4932	-0.0115	0.1004	5840	-0.0144	0.1033	4928
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	0.0107	0.0673	5825	0.0062	0.0668	4906	0.0085	0.1133	5824	0.0113	0.1143	4904
Middle temporal gyrus	-0.0080	0.0481	5673	-0.0148	0.048	4727	-0.0957	0.0888	5668	-0.0943	0.0896	4724
Parahippocampal gyrus	0.0074	0.0910	5849	0.0081	0.0904	4906	0.0404	0.1266	5845	0.0419	0.1257	4899
Paracentral lobule	-0.0060	0.0515	5871	-0.0055	0.0522	4956	-0.124	0.1239	5868	-0.1227	0.1232	4953
Pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.0029	0.0555	5824	-0.0026	0.0565	4875	0.1718	0.1587	5818	0.1722	0.1565	4873
Pars orbitalis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.0068	0.0841	5838	0.005	0.0843	4904	-0.2089	0.1197	5835	-0.2081	0.1211	4904
Pars triangularis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.0028	0.0608	5807	-0.0039	0.0611	4861	-0.1508	0.1495	5801	-0.1498	0.1498	4860
Pericalcarine cortex	-0.0062	0.0753	5833	-0.0051	0.0758	4945	-0.0984	0.1158	5831	-0.1018	0.119	4941
Postcentral gyrus	0.0110	0.0453	5770	0.0119	0.044	4836	0.0393	0.0871	5766	0.0387	0.0849	4835
Posterior cingulate cortex	0.0120	0.0612	5880	0.0109	0.0629	4959	-0.0143	0.15	5873	-0.0104	0.152	4957
Precentral gyrus	0.0128	0.0380	5807	0.0107	0.0405	4851	-0.0073	0.0751	5800	-0.006	0.0775	4849
Precuneus	-0.0059	0.0387	5864	-0.0035	0.0385	4911	-0.0431	0.0764	5862	-0.0424	0.0771	4912
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	0.0116	0.0863	5811	-0.0035	0.0923	4894	0.2083	0.2027	5807	0.2273	0.209	4892
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	0.0154	0.0404	5811	0.0095	0.0393	4849	-0.0333	0.0806	5808	-0.0353	0.0839	4846
Superior frontal gyrus	0.0109	0.0287	5833	0.0069	0.029	4897	0.03	0.0673	5830	0.0317	0.0762	4894
Superior parietal cortex	0.0032	0.0338	5766	0.0053	0.0345	4777	0.0033	0.0852	5763	0.0033	0.0852	4777
Superior temporal gyrus	-0.0111	0.0425	5596	-0.013	0.0433	4665	0.0474	0.0774	5591	0.0518	0.0791	4668
Supramarginal gyrus	-0.0036	0.0439	5613	-0.0023	0.0454	4649	0.0568	0.1194	5608	0.0663	0.1241	4650
Frontal pole	0.0143	0.1185	5880	0.0102	0.118	4975	-0.2887	0.1818	5878	-0.2828	0.181	4970
Temporal pole	-0.0316	0.0960	5765	-0.0271	0.0964	4808	0.1214	0.1502	5763	0.1138	0.1481	4808
Transverse temporal gyrus	-0.0150	0.0944	5880	-0.0159	0.0944	4966	0.2866	0.1525	5873	0.2945	0.1537	4963
Insula	0.0065	0.0464	5807	0.0048	0.0478	4963	-0.0162	0.0831	5801	-0.0136	0.0862	4961
Overall	0.0013	0.0136	5915	0.0001	0.0137	4988	-0.0039	0.0142	5730	-0.0033	0.0156	4899

Al: Asymmetry Index; sd: standard deviation; N: Sample size.

Table S4B. Weighted mean volume AIs for subcortical regions.

	Subcortical Volume												
	Unaffected individuals Schizophrenia individ												
Region	Al (mean)	sd (pooled)	Ν	AI (mean)	sd (pooled)	Ν							
Lateral Ventricles	0.093	0.2702	5770	0.0981	0.2449	4872							
Thalamus	0.0507	0.064	5718	0.0464	0.0643	4788							
Caudate Nucelus	-0.0101	0.0603	5709	-0.0123	0.0595	4791							
Putamen	0.0363	0.0629	5758	0.0284	0.063	5035							
Pallidum	0.0277	0.1134	5687	0.033	0.1086	5007							
Hippocampus	-0.019	0.0693	5771	-0.0183	0.0696	5015							
Amygdala	-0.0487	0.1068	5784	-0.0633	0.1023	5033							
Accumbens	-0.0441	0.1578	5775	-0.0553	0.1732	5009							

Al: Asymmetry Index; sd: standard deviation; N: Sample size.

Table S5A. Meta-analysis results of case-control differences for cortical thickness Als.

Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pα	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	0.0060	0.020	[-0.033, 0.045]	0.30	7.62E-01	9.84E-01	36	8.00E-01	0	44	4721	5670
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	0.015	0.022	[-0.029, 0.058]	0.65	5.14E-01	9.69E-01	53.4	1.56E-01	16.5	44	4893	5849
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	-0.056	0.030	[-0.115, 0.002]	-1.89	5.81E-02	3.93E-01	85.3	1.89E-04	49.6	44	4870	5844
Cuneus	0.00030	0.020	[-0.038, 0.039]	0.01	9.89E-01	9.89E-01	35.9	8.03E-01	0	44	4798	5785
Entorhinal cortex	-0.0082	0.021	[-0.048, 0.032]	-0.40	6.91E-01	9.69E-01	39.4	6.69E-01	3.3	44	4639	5564
Fusiform gyrus	0.041	0.026	[-0.011, 0.092]	1.54	1.24E-01	6.19E-01	67.8	1.22E-02	36	44	4794	5802
Inferior parietal cortex	-0.060	0.026	[-0.111, -0.009]	-2.32	2.01E-02	2.35E-01	67.5	1.29E-02	32.7	44	4654	5695
Inferior temporal gyrus	-0.0011	0.027	[-0.053, 0.051]	-0.04	9.68E-01	9.89E-01	72.8	4.11E-03	36.7	44	4771	5761
Isthmus cingulate cortex	-0.00060	0.019	[-0.039, 0.037]	-0.03	9.77E-01	9.89E-01	33.7	8.71E-01	0	44	4906	5875
Lateral occipital cortex	-0.014	0.032	[-0.076, 0.049]	-0.43	6.69E-01	9.69E-01	95	1.31E-05	55.2	44	4795	5819
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	-0.012	0.033	[-0.077, 0.054]	-0.36	7.20E-01	9.69E-01	112.2	7.04E-08	60.2	44	4893	5870
Lingual gyrus	0.012	0.028	[-0.044, 0.067]	0.42	6.77E-01	9.69E-01	80	7.38E-04	44.3	44	4889	5843
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	0.0085	0.031	[-0.053, 0.07]	0.27	7.87E-01	9.84E-01	96.5	8.42E-06	54.4	44	4863	5825
Middle temporal gyrus	-0.074	0.025	[-0.123, -0.026]	-2.99	2.75E-03	4.82E-02	65.9	1.80E-02	27.7	44	4684	5673
Parahippocampal gyrus	-0.029	0.025	[-0.079, 0.021]	-1.15	2.49E-01	7.26E-01	66.3	1.64E-02	32.9	44	4863	5849
Paracentral lobule	-0.0022	0.019	[-0.04, 0.036]	-0.11	9.11E-01	9.89E-01	39.5	6.65E-01	0	44	4913	5871
Pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.016	0.029	[-0.039, 0.072]	0.57	5.66E-01	9.69E-01	80.8	6.08E-04	45.1	44	4832	5824
Pars orbitalis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.021	0.029	[-0.078, 0.037]	-0.71	4.77E-01	9.69E-01	81.6	4.88E-04	47.9	44	4861	5838
Pars triangularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.014	0.029	[-0.044, 0.071]	0.47	6.41E-01	9.69E-01	85.3	1.90E-04	47.8	44	4818	5807
Pericalcarine cortex	0.027	0.020	[-0.013, 0.066]	1.33	1.84E-01	6.62E-01	46.4	3.72E-01	3.4	44	4902	5833
Postcentral gyrus	0.010	0.026	[-0.041, 0.06]	0.37	7.12E-01	9.69E-01	66.4	1.62E-02	33.7	44	4793	5770
Posterior cingulate cortex	0.023	0.025	[-0.025, 0.071]	0.94	3.49E-01	8.30E-01	55.7	1.11E-01	29.5	44	4916	5880
Precentral gyrus	-0.037	0.028	[-0.092, 0.018]	-1.31	1.89E-01	6.62E-01	76.7	1.63E-03	43.1	44	4808	5807
Precuneus	-0.0054	0.028	[-0.059, 0.049]	-0.19	8.45E-01	9.89E-01	75.6	2.15E-03	41.9	44	4868	5864
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.083	0.026	[-0.134, -0.032]	-3.21	1.34E-03	4.69E-02	67.6	1.27E-02	34.5	44	4851	5811
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	-0.044	0.033	[-0.11, 0.021]	-1.33	1.84E-01	6.62E-01	106.1	4.79E-07	59.4	44	4806	5811
Superior frontal gyrus	-0.049	0.027	[-0.101, 0.003]	-1.83	6.73E-02	3.93E-01	71.8	5.16E-03	38.3	44	4854	5833
Superior parietal cortex	0.012	0.026	[-0.039, 0.063]	0.46	6.45E-01	9.69E-01	68.6	1.02E-02	33.6	44	4734	5766
Superior temporal gyrus	-0.0029	0.028	[-0.058, 0.052]	-0.10	9.18E-01	9.89E-01	77.1	1.48E-03	40.9	44	4622	5596
Supramarginal gyrus	-0.032	0.034	[-0.099, 0.036]	-0.92	3.56E-01	8.30E-01	99.9	3.15E-06	60.3	44	4606	5613
Frontal pole	-0.018	0.019	[-0.056, 0.02]	-0.92	3.55E-01	8.30E-01	49.9	2.51E-01	0	44	4932	5880
Temporal pole	-0.012	0.020	[-0.05, 0.027]	-0.60	5.48E-01	9.69E-01	39.9	6.47E-01	0	44	4765	5765
Transverse temporal gyrus	0.026	0.022	[-0.016, 0.068]	1.21	2.27E-01	7.24E-01	56.8	9.40E-02	13.1	44	4923	5880
Insula	-0.0013	0.024	[-0.049, 0.046]	-0.05	9.58E-01	9.89E-01	55.5	1.14E-01	27	44	4920	5807
Overall	-0.053	0.027	[-0.107, 0.001]	-1.92	5.51E-02	3.93E-01	72.6	4.28E-03	42.2	44	4945	5915

Cohen's d: meta-analysis Cohen's d effect size; se: Standard error of Cohen's d effect size; CI: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; p_{FDR}: FDR-corrected p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p_o: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; I²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N SZ: Number of individuals affected with schizophrenia; N CTR: Number of unaffected individuals.

Table S5B. Meta-analysis results of case-control differences for cortical surface area Als.

Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pa	1 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	-0.014	0.025	[-0.063, 0.036]	-0.53	5.94E-01	9.04E-01	66.5	1.58E-02	30.8	44	4719	5667
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	0.017	0.026	[-0.033, 0.068]	0.67	5.04E-01	8.27E-01	70.8	6.39E-03	35	44	4892	5846
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	0.028	0.024	[-0.019, 0.075]	1.15	2.48E-01	6.66E-01	56.1	1.04E-01	26.4	44	4865	5840
Cuneus	-0.042	0.020	[-0.081, -0.003]	-2.09	3.67E-02	5.03E-01	50.9	2.20E-01	2.2	44	4796	5781
Entorhinal cortex	-0.039	0.020	[-0.078, 0]	-1.94	5.19E-02	5.03E-01	47.1	3.46E-01	0	44	4637	5560
Fusiform gyrus	0.0062	0.024	[-0.04, 0.053]	0.26	7.94E-01	9.77E-01	60	5.43E-02	23.9	44	4793	5796
Inferior parietal cortex	0.028	0.020	[-0.011, 0.067]	1.42	1.55E-01	5.03E-01	60	5.48E-02	0	44	4656	5691
Inferior temporal gyrus	-0.00030	0.024	[-0.047, 0.046]	-0.01	9.89E-01	9.95E-01	61.6	4.09E-02	22.4	44	4769	5758
Isthmus cingulate cortex	-0.030	0.021	[-0.071, 0.01]	-1.48	1.40E-01	5.03E-01	43.6	4.88E-01	7.5	44	4905	5871
Lateral occipital cortex	0.018	0.026	[-0.033, 0.069]	0.69	4.93E-01	8.27E-01	63.3	2.95E-02	34.6	44	4793	5814
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	-0.051	0.036	[-0.121, 0.019]	-1.42	1.57E-01	5.03E-01	126	7.81E-10	65.4	44	4894	5865
Lingual gyrus	-0.028	0.019	[-0.066, 0.01]	-1.43	1.54E-01	5.03E-01	55.5	1.14E-01	0	44	4885	5840
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	0.032	0.032	[-0.031, 0.094]	0.99	3.23E-01	7.54E-01	98.6	4.58E-06	55.8	44	4861	5824
Middle temporal gyrus	-0.0027	0.026	[-0.054, 0.048]	-0.1	9.17E-01	9.95E-01	69.4	8.58E-03	32.8	44	4681	5668
Parahippocampal gyrus	0.042	0.020	[0.004, 0.08]	2.14	3.22E-02	5.03E-01	38.4	7.08E-01	0.9	44	4856	5845
Paracentral lobule	0.015	0.020	[-0.025, 0.054]	0.72	4.70E-01	8.27E-01	46.5	3.69E-01	5.1	44	4910	5868
Pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.0014	0.019	[-0.037, 0.04]	0.07	9.44E-01	9.95E-01	42.3	5.46E-01	0	44	4830	5818
Pars orbitalis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.028	0.026	[-0.078, 0.022]	-1.11	2.66E-01	6.66E-01	63.9	2.66E-02	33.2	44	4861	5835
Pars triangularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.013	0.020	[-0.025, 0.052]	0.69	4.92E-01	8.27E-01	37.8	7.32E-01	0	44	4817	5801
Pericalcarine cortex	-0.044	0.023	[-0.089, 0.001]	-1.9	5.79E-02	5.03E-01	55.5	1.14E-01	21.4	44	4898	5831
Postcentral gyrus	-0.0067	0.021	[-0.047, 0.034]	-0.32	7.49E-01	9.77E-01	48.8	2.85E-01	7.4	44	4792	5766
Posterior cingulate cortex	0.011	0.023	[-0.034, 0.056]	0.48	6.30E-01	9.18E-01	48.9	2.81E-01	19.9	44	4914	5873
Precentral gyrus	0.0047	0.020	[-0.034, 0.043]	0.24	8.09E-01	9.77E-01	43.8	4.79E-01	0	44	4807	5800
Precuneus	0.039	0.024	[-0.008, 0.085]	1.63	1.03E-01	5.03E-01	59.3	6.16E-02	23.9	44	4869	5862
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	0.033	0.024	[-0.013, 0.08]	1.41	1.58E-01	5.03E-01	65.8	1.81E-02	23.6	44	4849	5807
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	-0.00010	0.023	[-0.045, 0.045]	-0.01	9.95E-01	9.95E-01	63.5	2.88E-02	19.8	44	4803	5808
Superior frontal gyrus	0.016	0.023	[-0.028, 0.061]	0.71	4.76E-01	8.27E-01	58.6	6.99E-02	18.6	44	4851	5830
Superior parietal cortex	-0.010	0.024	[-0.056, 0.037]	-0.41	6.84E-01	9.57E-01	58.3	7.33E-02	22.9	44	4734	5763
Superior temporal gyrus	-0.0038	0.020	[-0.043, 0.035]	-0.19	8.50E-01	9.92E-01	46.5	3.69E-01	0	44	4625	5591
Supramarginal gyrus	0.015	0.023	[-0.031, 0.061]	0.64	5.20E-01	8.27E-01	60.4	5.08E-02	19.6	44	4607	5608
Frontal pole	-0.0057	0.023	[-0.052, 0.04]	-0.24	8.07E-01	9.77E-01	59.9	5.53E-02	23.6	44	4927	5878
Temporal pole	0.0036	0.024	[-0.043, 0.05]	0.15	8.78E-01	9.92E-01	52.6	1.76E-01	22.3	44	4765	5763
Transverse temporal gyrus	0.042	0.024	[-0.005, 0.089]	1.76	7.87E-02	5.03E-01	54.4	1.35E-01	25.9	44	4920	5873
Insula	0.019	0.024	[-0.029, 0.066]	0.76	4.49E-01	8.27E-01	61	4.52E-02	28.1	44	4918	5801
Overall	0.027	0.022	[-0.016, 0.07]	1.23	2.18E-01	6.35E-01	47.4	2.98E-01	14	43	4856	5730

Cohen's d: meta-analysis Cohen's d effect size; se: Standard error of Cohen's d effect size; CI: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; p_{FDR}: FDR-corrected p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p₀: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; I²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N SZ: Number of individuals affected with schizophrenia; N CTR: Number of unaffected individuals.

Table S5C. Meta-analysis results of case-control differences for subcortical volume Als.

Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pq	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Lateral Ventricles	0.034	0.021	[-0.007, 0.076]	1.62	1.06E-01	1.69E-01	53.1	1.39E-01	9.8	43	4829	5770
Thalamus	0.046	0.041	[-0.034, 0.125]	1.12	2.63E-01	3.01E-01	145.5	4.58E-13	73	43	4746	5718
Caudate Nucelus	0.033	0.030	[-0.025, 0.091]	1.13	2.60E-01	3.01E-01	88.5	5.49E-05	48.3	43	4748	5709
Putamen	-0.043	0.025	[-0.092, 0.005]	-1.74	8.16E-02	1.63E-01	66.2	1.67E-02	30.4	44	4992	5758
Pallidum	0.070	0.039	[-0.006, 0.146]	1.82	6.92E-02	1.63E-01	152.9	6.09E-14	70.5	44	4964	5687
Hippocampus	-0.0070	0.023	[-0.053, 0.039]	-0.3	7.65E-01	7.65E-01	56.1	1.05E-01	23	44	4973	5771
Amygdala	-0.045	0.024	[-0.093, 0.002]	-1.88	5.98E-02	1.63E-01	62.6	3.37E-02	27.3	44	4990	5784
Accumbens	0.062	0.026	[0.01, 0.113]	2.33	1.97E-02	1.58E-01	74	3.10E-03	37.8	44	4966	5775

Cohen's d: meta-analysis Cohen's d effect size; se: Standard error of Cohen's d effect size; CI: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; p_{FDR}: FDR-corrected p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p_q: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; l²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N SZ: Number of individuals affected with schizophrenia; N CTR: Number of unaffected individuals.

Table S6. Analysis of directionality for significant AI alterations that arose in primary case-control analysis.

Hemisphere	Measurement	Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pq	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
AI	Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.083	0.026	[-0.134, -0.032]	-3.21	1.34E-03	4.69E-02	67.6	1.27E-02	34.5	44	4851	5811
Left	Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.20	0.042	[-0.278, -0.114]	-4.69	2.71E-06		160.5	3.62E-15	74.6	44	4851	5811
Right	Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.094	0.029	[-0.151, -0.036]	-3.19	1.44E-03		83.2	3.28E-04	48.1	44	4851	5811
AI	Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	-0.074	0.025	[-0.123, -0.026]	-2.99	2.75E-03	4.82E-02	65.9	1.80E-02	27.7	44	4684	5673
Left	Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	-0.41	0.046	[-0.496, -0.315]	-8.81	1.31E-18		175.5	1.26E-17	78.3	44	4684	5673
Right	Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	-0.36	0.045	[-0.444, -0.266]	-7.8	5.95E-15		183	7.20E-19	77.9	44	4684	5673

For each region, the primary meta-analysis result is shown (AI), as well as the separate effects on cortical thickness of the left and right hemisphere.

Al: Asymmetry index; Cohen's d: meta-analysis Cohen's d effect size; se: Standard error of Cohen's d effect size; CI: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; pFDR-corrected p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p_a: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; I²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N SZ: Number of individuals affected with schizophrenia; N CTR: Number of unaffected individuals.

Table S7. Meta-analysis results and analysis of directionality for significant AI alterations that arose in primary case-control analysis, here with outlier datasets removed.

Hemisphere	Measurement	Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	Q	pq	l ²	df	N SZ	N CTR	Outlier datasets removed
AI	Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.073	0.021	[-0.114, -0.032]	-3.51	4.45E-04	38.9	5.64E-01	0	41	4490	4802	CLING, FOR2107_Muenster, OLIN
Left	Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.17	0.037	[-0.242, -0.096]	-4.54	5.59E-06	103.9	2.27E-07	63	41	4490	4802	CLING, FOR2107_Muenster, OLIN
Right	Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.084	0.032	[-0.146, -0.022]	-2.66	7.76E-03	78.7	3.65E-04	48.6	41	4490	4802	CLING, FOR2107_Muenster, OLIN
AI	Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	-0.079	0.023	[-0.124, -0.034]	-3.44	5.85E-04	52.6	1.26E-01	16.8	42	4518	5569	CIAM, IMH
Left	Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	-0.39	0.046	[-0.484, -0.303]	-8.52	1.54E-17	166.7	8.99E-17	77.9	42	4518	5569	CIAM, IMH
Right	Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	-0.34	0.046	[-0.432, -0.251]	-7.41	1.26E-13	174.6	4.20E-18	78	42	4518	5569	CIAM, IMH

For each region, the meta-analysis result with outlier datasets removed is shown (AI), as well as the separate effects on cortical thickness of the left and right hemisphere with the AI outlier datasets removed.

Al: Asymmetry index; Cohen's d: meta-analysis Cohen's d effect size; se: Standard error of Cohen's d effect size; Cl: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; pQ: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; l²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N SZ: Number of individuals affected with schizophrenia; N CTR: Number of unaffected individuals.
Table S8. Meta-analysis results of case-control differences for significant AI alterations that arose in primary case-control analysis, here using models with additional covariates.

Measurement	Region	Model	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	Q	pα	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Primary	-0.083	0.026	[-0.134, -0.032]	-3.21	1.34E-03	67.6	1.27E-02	34.5	44	4851	5811
Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Primary + Handedness	-0.10	0.029	[-0.162, -0.048]	-3.6	3.16E-04	46.7	5.80E-02	29.4	33	3481	4222
Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Primary + ICV	-0.086	0.026	[-0.136, -0.035]	-3.32	8.93E-04	66.6	1.54E-02	33.8	44	4845	5811
Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Primary + Handedness + ICV	-0.11	0.029	[-0.163, -0.05]	-3.71	2.03E-04	46	6.58E-02	27.8	33	3478	4222
Thickness	Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Primary + Age ²	-0.088	0.026	[-0.139, -0.037]	-3.37	7.60E-04	70.1	7.38E-03	35.3	44	4851	5811
Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	Primary	-0.074	0.025	[-0.123, -0.026]	-2.99	2.75E-03	65.9	1.80E-02	27.7	44	4684	5673
Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	Primary + Handedness	-0.074	0.030	[-0.133, -0.015]	-2.44	1.46E-02	52.7	1.61E-02	31.1	33	3318	4099
Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	Primary + ICV	-0.075	0.025	[-0.124, -0.026]	-2.98	2.87E-03	67.2	1.36E-02	28.3	44	4678	5673
Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	Primary + Handedness + ICV	-0.069	0.029	[-0.125, -0.012]	-2.36	1.84E-02	51.1	2.28E-02	26.8	33	3315	4099
Thickness	Middle temporal gyrus	Primary + Age ²	-0.067	0.023	[-0.112, -0.021]	-2.84	4.50E-03	61.8	3.94E-02	20.4	44	4684	5673

For each significantly different structural AI from the primary analysis, the meta-analysis result is shown ('Primary') as well as the meta-analysis results of the primary analysis model with additional covariates added.

Table S9. Meta-analysis results of antipsychotic medication group differences, for AIs that showed alterations in primary case-control analysis.

Region	Group 1	Group 2	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pq] 2	df	N Group 1	N Group 2
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	First-generation antipsychotics	Unmedicated	0.072	0.097	[-0.117, 0.261]	0.74	4.57E-01	7.83E-01	8.6	4.77E-01	0	9	268	186
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	Second-generation antipsychotics	Unmedicated	0.021	0.065	[-0.107, 0.148]	0.32	7.52E-01	8.97E-01	26.2	7.08E-02	38.5	17	1382	365
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	First- and second-generation antipsychotics	Unmedicated	0.014	0.12	[-0.22, 0.249]	0.12	9.04E-01	9.04E-01	10.3	2.46E-01	0	8	146	157
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	First-generation antipsychotics	Second-generation antipsychotics	0.016	0.072	[-0.125, 0.157]	0.22	8.22E-01	8.97E-01	41.7	1.21E-03	60	18	458	1789
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	First- and second-generation antipsychotics	First-generation antipsychotics	-0.18	0.146	[-0.464, 0.109]	-1.22	2.24E-01	5.38E-01	28.7	4.33E-03	59.8	12	213	392
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	First- and second-generation antipsychotics	Second-generation antipsychotics	-0.070	0.119	[-0.304, 0.164]	-0.59	5.57E-01	8.36E-01	61	1.70E-07	79.1	15	234	1312
Middle temporal gyrus	First-generation antipsychotics	Unmedicated	-0.14	0.159	[-0.451, 0.171]	-0.88	8.10E-01	8.97E-01	19.2	2.37E-02	54.8	9	256	176
Middle temporal gyrus	Second-generation antipsychotics	Unmedicated	-0.097	0.075	[-0.244, 0.05]	-1.3	1.94E-01	5.38E-01	34.7	6.72E-03	49.6	17	1259	355
Middle temporal gyrus	First- and second-generation antipsychotics	Unmedicated	-0.21	0.13	[-0.467, 0.041]	-1.64	1.00E-01	4.24E-01	4	7.81E-01	0	7	133	125
Middle temporal gyrus	First-generation antipsychotics	Second-generation antipsychotics	-0.21	0.081	[-0.365, -0.048]	-2.56	1.06E-02	1.27E-01	52.3	3.41E-05	65.8	18	445	1673
Middle temporal gyrus	First- and second-generation antipsychotics	First-generation antipsychotics	0.092	0.111	[-0.127, 0.31]	0.82	4.11E-01	7.83E-01	16.4	1.27E-01	31.8	11	204	380
Middle temporal gyrus	First- and second-generation antipsychotics	Second-generation antipsychotics	-0.11	0.067	[-0.239, 0.023]	-1.61	1.06E-01	4.24E-01	22.8	8.76E-02	29.5	15	225	1198

For each significantly different structural AI from the primary analysis, meta-analysis results of between medication-group comparisons in affected individuals are shown. The effect size reflects the effect of group 1 compared to group 2.

Table S10A. Meta-analysis results of partial correlations between rostral anterior cingulate thickness AI and schizophrenia-specific variables.

Variable	r	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	p _Q	 ²	df	Ν
Chlorpromazine equivalent medication dose	-0.016	0.021	[-0.058, 0.025]	-0.77	4.43E-01	6.44E-01	48.5	1.77E-02	12.4	30	2688
Age at onset	-0.0032	0.017	[-0.036, 0.029]	-0.19	8.46E-01	9.03E-01	23.9	9.39E-01	0	36	3661
Duration of illness	0.0032	0.016	[-0.029, 0.035]	0.19	8.47E-01	9.03E-01	27.5	8.45E-01	0	36	3679
PANSS - Total score	0.046	0.030	[-0.014, 0.105]	1.51	1.30E-01	3.47E-01	53.8	2.39E-03	44.8	28	2236
PANSS - Positive symptom score	0.042	0.027	[-0.011, 0.095]	1.56	1.18E-01	3.47E-01	48.1	1.45E-02	31.9	29	2288
PANSS - Negative symptom score	0.016	0.026	[-0.034, 0.066]	0.61	5.41E-01	7.21E-01	42.5	5.10E-02	25.4	29	2290
SAPS - Total score	0.00070	0.030	[-0.057, 0.059]	0.02	9.81E-01	9.81E-01	7.3	7.01E-01	0	10	1140
SANS - Total score	0.049	0.024	[0.003, 0.096]	2.08	3.77E-02	3.20E-01	12.4	6.46E-01	0.4	15	1769

r: meta-analysis partial correlation coefficient; se: Standard error of partial correlation coefficient; CI: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; p_{FDR}: FDR-corrected p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p_Q: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; I²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N: Sample size

Table S10B. Meta-analysis results of partial correlations between middle temporal gyrus thickness AI and schizophrenia-specific variables.

Variable	r	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	ρα	I ²	df	Ν
Chlorpromazine equivalent medication dose	-0.030	0.032	[-0.092, 0.032]	-0.94	3.46E-01	6.15E-01	64	2.96E-04	57.7	30	2658
Age at onset	0.045	0.024	[-0.002, 0.092]	1.86	6.30E-02	3.20E-01	67.4	1.15E-03	44.3	36	3500
Duration of illness	-0.048	0.024	[-0.095, 0]	-1.97	4.91E-02	3.20E-01	68.8	7.98E-04	45.2	36	3519
PANSS - Total score	0.026	0.025	[-0.022, 0.075]	1.06	2.87E-01	5.74E-01	33.5	2.19E-01	18.9	28	2196
PANSS - Positive symptom score	0.029	0.026	[-0.021, 0.079]	1.13	2.57E-01	5.74E-01	41.8	5.79E-02	25	29	2247
PANSS - Negative symptom score	0.0046	0.021	[-0.037, 0.046]	0.22	8.28E-01	9.03E-01	24.4	7.08E-01	0	29	2249
SAPS - Total score	0.029	0.037	[-0.043, 0.1]	0.78	4.37E-01	6.44E-01	12.7	2.39E-01	28	10	1109
SANS - Total score	-0.043	0.025	[-0.092, 0.005]	-1.75	7.99E-02	3.20E-01	9.5	8.47E-01	0	15	1624

r: meta-analysis partial correlation coefficient; se: Standard error of partial correlation coefficient; CI: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; p_{FDR}: FDR-corrected p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p_Q: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; I²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N: Sample size

Table S11A. Meta-analysis results of diagnosis-by-age effects for cortical thickness Als.

Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	p _Q	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	-0.017	0.020	[-0.056, 0.021]	-0.88	3.77E-01	8.83E-01	37.3	7.52E-01	0	44	4721	5670
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	-0.041	0.030	[-0.099, 0.018]	-1.35	1.76E-01	8.83E-01	85.2	1.92E-04	50.5	44	4893	5849
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	0.058	0.027	[0.005, 0.11]	2.17	3.03E-02	5.31E-01	69.7	8.13E-03	37.9	44	4870	5844
Cuneus	-0.0031	0.021	[-0.043, 0.037]	-0.15	8.81E-01	9.69E-01	41.3	5.86E-01	6	44	4798	5785
Entorhinal cortex	0.012	0.02	[-0.027, 0.051]	0.6	5.51E-01	9.65E-01	70.1	7.40E-03	0	44	4639	5564
Fusiform gyrus	-0.023	0.025	[-0.073, 0.026]	-0.91	3.61E-01	8.83E-01	63.9	2.65E-02	31.5	44	4794	5802
Inferior parietal cortex	0.029	0.020	[-0.01, 0.068]	1.46	1.43E-01	8.83E-01	39.7	6.57E-01	0	44	4654	5695
Inferior temporal gyrus	0.0055	0.020	[-0.034, 0.045]	0.27	7.84E-01	9.69E-01	54.8	1.28E-01	2.7	44	4771	5761
Isthmus cingulate cortex	0.0063	0.022	[-0.037, 0.05]	0.28	7.79E-01	9.69E-01	48.3	3.02E-01	17.3	44	4906	5875
Lateral occipital cortex	0.0011	0.027	[-0.052, 0.054]	0.04	9.69E-01	9.69E-01	74	3.11E-03	39.3	44	4795	5819
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	0.0025	0.024	[-0.044, 0.049]	0.11	9.16E-01	9.69E-01	54.2	1.40E-01	23.9	44	4893	5870
Lingual gyrus	-0.016	0.023	[-0.061, 0.029]	-0.69	4.92E-01	9.36E-01	56.7	9.41E-02	20	44	4889	5843
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	0.0017	0.019	[-0.036, 0.04]	0.09	9.31E-01	9.69E-01	32.5	8.99E-01	0	44	4863	5825
Middle temporal gyrus	-0.026	0.020	[-0.064, 0.013]	-1.3	1.93E-01	8.83E-01	58	7.66E-02	0	44	4684	5673
Parahippocampal gyrus	-0.031	0.028	[-0.085, 0.023]	-1.13	2.57E-01	8.83E-01	77.1	1.48E-03	41.9	44	4863	5849
Paracentral lobule	0.0018	0.023	[-0.044, 0.048]	0.08	9.38E-01	9.69E-01	59.1	6.37E-02	23.5	44	4913	5871
Pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.020	0.022	[-0.023, 0.062]	0.92	3.60E-01	8.83E-01	47.4	3.37E-01	12.7	44	4832	5824
Pars orbitalis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.019	0.024	[-0.066, 0.028]	-0.79	4.29E-01	8.83E-01	57.4	8.46E-02	25.9	44	4861	5838
Pars triangularis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.016	0.020	[-0.054, 0.022]	-0.82	4.13E-01	8.83E-01	38.8	6.93E-01	0	44	4818	5807
Pericalcarine cortex	0.013	0.019	[-0.025, 0.051]	0.66	5.08E-01	9.36E-01	44	4.70E-01	0	44	4902	5833
Postcentral gyrus	0.025	0.030	[-0.033, 0.084]	0.85	3.93E-01	8.83E-01	84.4	2.40E-04	49	44	4793	5770
Posterior cingulate cortex	-0.0010	0.023	[-0.046, 0.044]	-0.04	9.67E-01	9.69E-01	56.3	1.01E-01	21.2	44	4916	5880
Precentral gyrus	0.019	0.020	[-0.019, 0.057]	0.98	3.26E-01	8.83E-01	31.8	9.14E-01	0	44	4808	5807
Precuneus	-0.032	0.023	[-0.078, 0.013]	-1.39	1.63E-01	8.83E-01	59.1	6.40E-02	21.5	44	4868	5864
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.029	0.028	[-0.084, 0.026]	-1.04	2.99E-01	8.83E-01	78.5	1.05E-03	43.9	44	4851	5811
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	-0.013	0.027	[-0.066, 0.041]	-0.46	6.42E-01	9.69E-01	72.2	4.70E-03	40.2	44	4806	5811
Superior frontal gyrus	0.022	0.020	[-0.018, 0.061]	1.07	2.82E-01	8.83E-01	49.2	2.74E-01	3.2	44	4854	5833
Superior parietal cortex	0.048	0.020	[0.009, 0.086]	2.44	1.49E-02	5.21E-01	43.6	4.89E-01	0	44	4734	5766
Superior temporal gyrus	-0.0034	0.021	[-0.045, 0.038]	-0.16	8.73E-01	9.69E-01	65	2.12E-02	7.6	44	4622	5596
Supramarginal gyrus	0.0058	0.025	[-0.043, 0.055]	0.23	8.17E-01	9.69E-01	65.9	1.79E-02	27.1	44	4606	5613
Frontal pole	0.00080	0.019	[-0.037, 0.039]	0.04	9.68E-01	9.69E-01	33.4	8.77E-01	0	44	4932	5880
Temporal pole	-0.030	0.023	[-0.075, 0.014]	-1.34	1.80E-01	8.83E-01	50.3	2.37E-01	17.7	44	4765	5765
Transverse temporal gyrus	0.0047	0.019	[-0.033, 0.043]	0.24	8.11E-01	9.69E-01	46.5	3.69E-01	0.4	44	4923	5880
Insula	0.015	0.029	[-0.041, 0.072]	0.53	5.98E-01	9.69E-01	81.2	5.50E-04	46.2	44	4920	5807
Overall	0.0043	0.019	[-0.034, 0.042]	0.22	8.24E-01	9.69E-01	40.9	6.04E-01	0.8	44	4945	5915

Table S11B. Meta-analysis results of diagnosis-by-age effects for cortical surface area Als.

Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pα	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	-0.052	0.020	[-0.091, -0.013]	-2.64	8.36E-03	2.93E-01	45.9	3.92E-01	0	44	4719	5667
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	-0.043	0.023	[-0.088, 0.001]	-1.9	5.78E-02	4.05E-01	58	7.62E-02	19.2	44	4892	5846
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	-0.019	0.030	[-0.078, 0.041]	-0.61	5.40E-01	9.62E-01	87.5	1.05E-04	51.2	44	4865	5840
Cuneus	-0.030	0.027	[-0.083, 0.024]	-1.08	2.79E-01	7.40E-01	75.1	2.41E-03	40.4	44	4796	5781
Entorhinal cortex	0.0082	0.021	[-0.032, 0.049]	0.4	6.90E-01	9.62E-01	53.8	1.49E-01	4	44	4637	5560
Fusiform gyrus	0.0047	0.020	[-0.034, 0.043]	0.24	8.09E-01	9.62E-01	38.5	7.07E-01	0	44	4793	5796
Inferior parietal cortex	-0.0035	0.022	[-0.046, 0.039]	-0.16	8.72E-01	9.62E-01	51	2.17E-01	11.1	44	4656	5691
Inferior temporal gyrus	0.0024	0.023	[-0.042, 0.047]	0.1	9.18E-01	9.62E-01	64.1	2.54E-02	18.6	44	4769	5758
Isthmus cingulate cortex	0.049	0.023	[0.004, 0.095]	2.14	3.25E-02	3.95E-01	70.3	7.18E-03	21.5	44	4905	5871
Lateral occipital cortex	0.035	0.020	[-0.004, 0.073]	1.77	7.63E-02	4.44E-01	50.7	2.26E-01	0.1	44	4793	5814
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	0.015	0.032	[-0.048, 0.077]	0.46	6.43E-01	9.62E-01	96.1	9.62E-06	56	44	4894	5865
Lingual gyrus	-0.039	0.019	[-0.077, -0.001]	-2	4.51E-02	3.95E-01	40.1	6.41E-01	0.3	44	4885	5840
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	0.025	0.019	[-0.013, 0.063]	1.29	1.95E-01	7.40E-01	50.5	2.32E-01	0	44	4861	5824
Middle temporal gyrus	-0.030	0.022	[-0.073, 0.012]	-1.4	1.63E-01	7.11E-01	46.8	3.59E-01	11.6	44	4681	5668
Parahippocampal gyrus	-0.0030	0.019	[-0.041, 0.035]	-0.16	8.75E-01	9.62E-01	37.8	7.33E-01	0	44	4856	5845
Paracentral lobule	0.0055	0.022	[-0.038, 0.049]	0.25	8.05E-01	9.62E-01	57.1	8.88E-02	16.8	44	4910	5868
Pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.017	0.029	[-0.04, 0.073]	0.58	5.59E-01	9.62E-01	79.1	9.11E-04	46	44	4830	5818
Pars orbitalis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.0028	0.023	[-0.047, 0.041]	-0.12	9.01E-01	9.62E-01	58.2	7.37E-02	18	44	4861	5835
Pars triangularis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.0037	0.021	[-0.046, 0.038]	-0.18	8.61E-01	9.62E-01	56.1	1.05E-01	10.6	44	4817	5801
Pericalcarine cortex	-0.035	0.029	[-0.091, 0.022]	-1.21	2.27E-01	7.40E-01	80.2	7.00E-04	46.3	44	4898	5831
Postcentral gyrus	0.020	0.022	[-0.023, 0.063]	0.93	3.53E-01	7.72E-01	58.3	7.30E-02	13.7	44	4792	5766
Posterior cingulate cortex	0.022	0.021	[-0.019, 0.064]	1.04	2.96E-01	7.40E-01	54.7	1.29E-01	10.6	44	4914	5873
Precentral gyrus	-0.048	0.024	[-0.095, -0.002]	-2.04	4.09E-02	3.95E-01	58	7.62E-02	23.4	44	4807	5800
Precuneus	0.0035	0.025	[-0.045, 0.052]	0.14	8.86E-01	9.62E-01	62.7	3.33E-02	29.5	44	4869	5862
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	0.0015	0.024	[-0.046, 0.049]	0.06	9.50E-01	9.62E-01	67.1	1.39E-02	26.9	44	4849	5807
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	0.0050	0.036	[-0.066, 0.076]	0.14	8.90E-01	9.62E-01	122	2.95E-09	65.4	44	4803	5808
Superior frontal gyrus	0.028	0.025	[-0.021, 0.077]	1.12	2.64E-01	7.40E-01	64.9	2.19E-02	31	44	4851	5830
Superior parietal cortex	-0.0052	0.028	[-0.06, 0.05]	-0.19	8.52E-01	9.62E-01	79.7	7.98E-04	43.1	44	4734	5763
Superior temporal gyrus	0.010	0.033	[-0.055, 0.075]	0.3	7.61E-01	9.62E-01	106	5.84E-07	57.1	44	4625	5591
Supramarginal gyrus	0.020	0.020	[-0.02, 0.06]	0.99	3.22E-01	7.51E-01	42.1	5.55E-01	2.5	44	4607	5608
Frontal pole	-0.023	0.022	[-0.066, 0.02]	-1.05	2.95E-01	7.40E-01	57.6	8.21E-02	14.5	44	4927	5878
Temporal pole	0.0088	0.026	[-0.043, 0.06]	0.33	7.38E-01	9.62E-01	67.9	1.19E-02	35.2	44	4765	5763
Transverse temporal gyrus	0.033	0.019	[-0.005, 0.071]	1.7	8.88E-02	4.44E-01	40.5	6.22E-01	0	44	4920	5873
Insula	-0.0012	0.025	[-0.051, 0.049]	-0.05	9.62E-01	9.62E-01	68.8	9.73E-03	32.4	44	4918	5801
Overall	-0.0017	0.020	[-0.04, 0.037]	-0.09	9.32E-01	9.62E-01	38.6	6.63E-01	0	43	4856	5730

Table S11C. Meta-analysis results of diagnosis-by-age effects for subcortical volume Als.

Region	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	Z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	p _Q	I ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Lateral Ventricles	-0.0026	0.031	[-0.064, 0.058]	-0.08	9.34E-01	9.34E-01	87.7	6.80E-05	53.7	43	4829	5770
Thalamus	0.016	0.022	[-0.027, 0.059]	0.73	4.62E-01	7.40E-01	52.8	1.46E-01	12.4	43	4746	5718
Caudate Nucelus	-0.0038	0.023	[-0.05, 0.042]	-0.16	8.70E-01	9.34E-01	58.3	5.93E-02	22	43	4748	5709
Putamen	-0.023	0.022	[-0.066, 0.021]	-1.01	3.15E-01	6.29E-01	48.6	2.93E-01	17.9	44	4992	5758
Pallidum	0.081	0.025	[0.032, 0.129]	3.26	1.13E-03	9.00E-03	64.5	2.35E-02	29.8	44	4964	5687
Hippocampus	0.027	0.025	[-0.022, 0.076]	1.08	2.79E-01	6.29E-01	64.9	2.17E-02	31	44	4973	5771
Amygdala	-0.058	0.026	[-0.109, -0.006]	-2.2	2.76E-02	1.10E-01	72	4.84E-03	36.8	44	4990	5784
Accumbens	0.0057	0.022	[-0.037, 0.048]	0.26	7.93E-01	9.34E-01	57.1	8.91E-02	14.1	44	4966	5775

Table S12A. Analysis of directionality for pallidum volume AI diagnosis-by-age effect.

Hemisphere	Cohen's d	se	95% CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	p _Q	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
AI	0.081	0.025	[0.032, 0.129]	3.26	1.13E-03	9.00E-03	64.5	2.35E-02	29.8	44	4964	5687
Left	0.073	0.029	[0.016, 0.129]	2.53	1.14E-02		85.5	1.78E-04	46.3	44	4964	5687
Right	0.022	0.030	[-0.036, 0.08]	0.74	4.58E-01		90.2	5.02E-05	49	44	4964	5687

For pallidum volume, the diagnosis-by-age meta-analysis results for asymmetry is shown, as well as the bilateral meta-analysis results.

Al: Asymmetry index; Cohen's d: meta-analysis Cohen's d effect size; se: Standard error of Cohen's d effect size; Cl: Confidence interval; z: Meta-analysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; p_{FDR} : FDR-corrected p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p_{α} : p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; I²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N SZ: Number of individuals affected with schizophrenia; N CTR: Number of unaffected individuals.

Table S12B. Meta-analysis results of correlations between pallidum volume and age in cases and controls.

Individuals	Hemisphere	r	se	95% CI	z	р	Q	p _Q	I ²	df	Ν
Cases	AI	0.011	0.022	[-0.032, 0.053]	0.48	6.30E-01	90.7	4.32E-05	52.6	44	5001
Cases	Left	-0.17	0.030	[-0.232, -0.115]	-5.86	4.73E-09	167.3	2.87E-16	77	44	5001
Cases	Right	-0.20	0.022	[-0.245, -0.16]	-9.42	4.73E-21	87.6	7.02E-05	52.1	43	5001
Controls	AI	-0.077	0.023	[-0.123, -0.031]	-3.28	1.05E-03	116.1	2.05E-08	63.4	44	5687
Controls	Left	-0.27	0.027	[-0.319, -0.212]	-9.74	2.14E-22	165.5	5.56E-16	78	44	5687
Controls	Right	-0.24	0.029	[-0.297, -0.184]	-8.36	6.18E-17	196.6	3.46E-21	80.1	44	5687

For pallidum volume, the correlation of age with volume asymmetry and bilateral volume measures is shown in cases and controls.

Al: Asymmetry index; r: meta-analysis partial correlation coefficient; se: Standard error of partial correlation coefficient; Cl: Confidence interval; z: Metaanalysis z-value; p: meta-analysis p-value; Q: Cochran's Q-statistic of between-study heterogeneity; p₀: p-value of Cochran's Q-statistic; I²: Percentage of variation across studies due to heterogeneity; df: Degrees of freedom in meta-analysis; N: Sample size Table S13A. Meta-analysis results of diagnosis-by-sex effects for cortical thickness Als.

Region	Cohen's d	SE	CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pq	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	-0.019	0.026	[-0.071, 0.033]	-0.73	4.67E-01	9.57E-01	66.1	1.34E-02	35.1	43	4682	5622
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	0.0043	0.019	[-0.034, 0.042]	0.22	8.26E-01	9.63E-01	38.8	6.54E-01	0	43	4848	5797
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	0.023	0.024	[-0.023, 0.069]	0.97	3.34E-01	9.57E-01	56	8.82E-02	23.3	43	4824	5792
Cuneus	0.011	0.021	[-0.03, 0.051]	0.51	6.12E-01	9.57E-01	47.2	3.05E-01	6.9	43	4752	5733
Entorhinal cortex	0.0071	0.020	[-0.033, 0.047]	0.35	7.30E-01	9.57E-01	46.1	3.45E-01	2.8	43	4619	5534
Fusiform gyrus	0.025	0.021	[-0.016, 0.067]	1.2	2.30E-01	9.57E-01	53.6	1.29E-01	8.8	43	4750	5750
Inferior parietal cortex	0.013	0.023	[-0.033, 0.059]	0.56	5.75E-01	9.57E-01	54.2	1.18E-01	19.2	43	4608	5643
Inferior temporal gyrus	-0.022	0.023	[-0.066, 0.023]	-0.96	3.37E-01	9.57E-01	65.6	1.49E-02	16.3	43	4725	5709
Isthmus cingulate cortex	0.0088	0.026	[-0.041, 0.059]	0.35	7.30E-01	9.57E-01	64.8	1.72E-02	33.1	43	4860	5823
Lateral occipital cortex	0.010	0.027	[-0.042, 0.062]	0.38	7.03E-01	9.57E-01	70.3	5.35E-03	36.6	43	4749	5767
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	0.024	0.024	[-0.023, 0.071]	1.01	3.12E-01	9.57E-01	59.8	4.60E-02	24.6	43	4847	5818
Lingual gyrus	-0.0084	0.022	[-0.052, 0.035]	-0.38	7.04E-01	9.57E-01	55.8	9.11E-02	15.1	43	4843	5791
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	-0.0058	0.022	[-0.049, 0.037]	-0.26	7.93E-01	9.57E-01	48.6	2.57E-01	14.5	43	4817	5773
Middle temporal gyrus	-0.021	0.020	[-0.06, 0.018]	-1.05	2.93E-01	9.57E-01	40.3	5.89E-01	0	43	4641	5623
Parahippocampal gyrus	0.013	0.027	[-0.04, 0.066]	0.47	6.38E-01	9.57E-01	67.9	9.01E-03	39	43	4818	5797
Paracentral lobule	0.0017	0.023	[-0.043, 0.046]	0.08	9.40E-01	9.69E-01	64.9	1.71E-02	18.6	43	4867	5819
Pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.019	0.027	[-0.072, 0.033]	-0.72	4.71E-01	9.57E-01	70.1	5.67E-03	38.3	43	4786	5772
Pars orbitalis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.021	0.020	[-0.017, 0.059]	1.08	2.82E-01	9.57E-01	44	4.31E-01	0.1	43	4815	5786
Pars triangularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.0079	0.020	[-0.031, 0.047]	0.4	6.92E-01	9.57E-01	45.1	3.85E-01	2.1	43	4772	5755
Pericalcarine cortex	0.017	0.023	[-0.029, 0.063]	0.73	4.66E-01	9.57E-01	53.5	1.31E-01	22.4	43	4856	5782
Postcentral gyrus	0.0054	0.020	[-0.033, 0.044]	0.27	7.83E-01	9.57E-01	40.4	5.85E-01	0	43	4748	5718
Posterior cingulate cortex	0.044	0.028	[-0.01, 0.098]	1.59	1.13E-01	9.57E-01	74.3	2.16E-03	41.6	43	4870	5828
Precentral gyrus	-0.0061	0.022	[-0.049, 0.037]	-0.28	7.80E-01	9.57E-01	47.9	2.81E-01	13.9	43	4762	5755
Precuneus	-0.044	0.022	[-0.087, -0.001]	-2	4.54E-02	9.57E-01	55.4	9.68E-02	13.9	43	4822	5812
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.0091	0.020	[-0.047, 0.029]	-0.46	6.42E-01	9.57E-01	44.1	4.25E-01	0	43	4805	5759
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	-0.031	0.025	[-0.08, 0.019]	-1.21	2.27E-01	9.57E-01	58.9	5.40E-02	31	43	4760	5759
Superior frontal gyrus	-0.00070	0.020	[-0.039, 0.038]	-0.04	9.72E-01	9.72E-01	37.9	6.91E-01	0	43	4808	5781
Superior parietal cortex	-0.014	0.022	[-0.057, 0.029]	-0.63	5.28E-01	9.57E-01	58.8	5.43E-02	13.7	43	4689	5714
Superior temporal gyrus	0.027	0.023	[-0.019, 0.072]	1.13	2.57E-01	9.57E-01	55.4	9.72E-02	18.8	43	4579	5545
Supramarginal gyrus	-0.024	0.028	[-0.078, 0.03]	-0.88	3.80E-01	9.57E-01	68.8	7.41E-03	38.2	43	4561	5561
Frontal pole	-0.0036	0.024	[-0.051, 0.044]	-0.15	8.84E-01	9.69E-01	67.1	1.08E-02	27.8	43	4886	5828
Temporal pole	-0.0026	0.027	[-0.056, 0.051]	-0.1	9.24E-01	9.69E-01	70.8	4.78E-03	39	43	4719	5713
Transverse temporal gyrus	0.035	0.019	[-0.004, 0.073]	1.78	7.55E-02	9.57E-01	40	6.02E-01	0.2	43	4877	5828
Insula	-0.020	0.022	[-0.063, 0.024]	-0.88	3.77E-01	9.57E-01	67.3	1.04E-02	14.7	43	4874	5755
Overall	0.0015	0.020	[-0.038, 0.041]	0.07	9.41E-01	9.69E-01	57.3	7.13E-02	4.1	43	4899	5863

Table S13B. Meta-analysis results of diagnosis-by-sex effects for cortical surface area Als.

Region	Cohen's d	SE	CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	pα	 ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Banks of superior temporal sulcus	0.0090	0.022	[-0.034, 0.052]	0.41	6.79E-01	9.78E-01	45.9	3.51E-01	11.3	43	4680	5619
Caudal anterior cingulate cortex	-0.021	0.019	[-0.059, 0.017]	-1.09	2.75E-01	9.44E-01	28.6	9.54E-01	0	43	4847	5794
Caudal middle frontal gyrus	0.0056	0.022	[-0.037, 0.048]	0.26	7.94E-01	9.78E-01	54.6	1.11E-01	11.9	43	4819	5788
Cuneus	-0.029	0.025	[-0.079, 0.021]	-1.15	2.50E-01	9.44E-01	62.5	2.77E-02	31.6	43	4750	5729
Entorhinal cortex	-0.010	0.020	[-0.05, 0.029]	-0.52	6.02E-01	9.78E-01	43.8	4.39E-01	0	43	4617	5530
Fusiform gyrus	0.010	0.024	[-0.036, 0.057]	0.42	6.72E-01	9.78E-01	64.7	1.79E-02	23.2	43	4749	5744
Inferior parietal cortex	0.013	0.023	[-0.031, 0.058]	0.59	5.58E-01	9.78E-01	49.7	2.23E-01	16.5	43	4610	5639
Inferior temporal gyrus	-0.0026	0.021	[-0.045, 0.039]	-0.12	9.03E-01	9.78E-01	45.3	3.76E-01	10.3	43	4723	5706
Isthmus cingulate cortex	-0.035	0.023	[-0.079, 0.009]	-1.54	1.23E-01	8.54E-01	65.8	1.43E-02	18.1	43	4859	5819
Lateral occipital cortex	-0.032	0.022	[-0.075, 0.011]	-1.45	1.46E-01	8.54E-01	50.8	1.92E-01	14.2	43	4747	5762
Lateral orbitofrontal cortex	0.011	0.027	[-0.042, 0.064]	0.41	6.82E-01	9.78E-01	72.6	3.15E-03	38.9	43	4848	5813
Lingual gyrus	-0.016	0.021	[-0.057, 0.025]	-0.76	4.45E-01	9.74E-01	50	2.15E-01	9.4	43	4839	5788
Medial orbitofrontal cortex	-0.016	0.020	[-0.054, 0.022]	-0.81	4.15E-01	9.69E-01	44.2	4.21E-01	0	43	4815	5772
Middle temporal gyrus	-0.023	0.023	[-0.068, 0.023]	-0.98	3.27E-01	9.53E-01	57.2	7.25E-02	18.3	43	4638	5618
Parahippocampal gyrus	-0.026	0.024	[-0.073, 0.022]	-1.05	2.94E-01	9.44E-01	60.4	4.10E-02	26.6	43	4811	5793
Paracentral lobule	0.023	0.026	[-0.027, 0.073]	0.89	3.72E-01	9.69E-01	69.5	6.35E-03	34	43	4864	5816
Pars opercularis of inferior frontal gyrus	0.00020	0.023	[-0.044, 0.044]	0.01	9.93E-01	9.93E-01	60.1	4.33E-02	17.3	43	4784	5766
Pars orbitalis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.048	0.022	[-0.091, -0.006]	-2.23	2.56E-02	4.48E-01	50.3	2.06E-01	12.5	43	4815	5783
Pars triangularis of inferior frontal gyrus	-0.055	0.021	[-0.096, -0.014]	-2.62	8.71E-03	3.05E-01	44.2	4.21E-01	7.5	43	4771	5749
Pericalcarine cortex	0.0074	0.020	[-0.031, 0.046]	0.38	7.04E-01	9.78E-01	41.7	5.29E-01	0	43	4852	5780
Postcentral gyrus	-0.0028	0.020	[-0.041, 0.036]	-0.14	8.85E-01	9.78E-01	38	6.86E-01	0	43	4747	5714
Posterior cingulate cortex	0.0055	0.022	[-0.038, 0.049]	0.25	8.03E-01	9.78E-01	56.3	8.34E-02	15.1	43	4868	5821
Precentral gyrus	-0.016	0.020	[-0.055, 0.022]	-0.83	4.06E-01	9.69E-01	50.1	2.12E-01	0	43	4761	5748
Precuneus	-0.0026	0.020	[-0.041, 0.036]	-0.13	8.96E-01	9.78E-01	47.7	2.86E-01	1.8	43	4823	5810
Rostral anterior cingulate cortex	-0.010	0.022	[-0.054, 0.033]	-0.47	6.40E-01	9.78E-01	54	1.21E-01	16.1	43	4803	5755
Rostral middle frontal gyrus	0.035	0.033	[-0.03, 0.1]	1.04	2.97E-01	9.44E-01	99.4	2.33E-06	58.7	43	4757	5756
Superior frontal gyrus	0.0012	0.020	[-0.037, 0.04]	0.06	9.50E-01	9.78E-01	41	5.61E-01	0	43	4805	5778
Superior parietal cortex	-0.0041	0.026	[-0.056, 0.047]	-0.15	8.77E-01	9.78E-01	69.6	6.21E-03	34.9	43	4689	5711
Superior temporal gyrus	-0.043	0.024	[-0.091, 0.005]	-1.77	7.75E-02	6.85E-01	62.4	2.81E-02	23.9	43	4582	5540
Supramarginal gyrus	0.0050	0.020	[-0.034, 0.044]	0.25	8.03E-01	9.78E-01	40.5	5.82E-01	0	43	4562	5556
Frontal pole	0.035	0.020	[-0.004, 0.074]	1.76	7.83E-02	6.85E-01	57.3	7.10E-02	3.2	43	4881	5826
Temporal pole	-0.0015	0.023	[-0.047, 0.044]	-0.06	9.49E-01	9.78E-01	55.7	9.27E-02	20.5	43	4719	5711
Transverse temporal gyrus	0.0082	0.027	[-0.045, 0.061]	0.3	7.63E-01	9.78E-01	71.3	4.30E-03	40	43	4874	5821
Insula	0.0019	0.022	[-0.042, 0.045]	0.09	9.31E-01	9.78E-01	55.4	9.72E-02	15.8	43	4872	5749
Overall	-0.041	0.032	[-0.104, 0.022]	-1.27	2.02E-01	9.44E-01	93.9	7.74E-06	55.6	42	4810	5678

Table S13C. Meta-analysis results of diagnosis-by-sex effects for subcortical volume Als.

Region	Cohen's d	SE	CI	z	р	p _{FDR}	Q	p _Q	I ²	df	N SZ	N CTR
Lateral Ventricles	0.026	0.030	[-0.032, 0.085]	0.88	3.79E-01	6.06E-01	80.4	3.32E-04	49.6	42	4783	5718
Thalamus	0.043	0.020	[0.004, 0.081]	2.15	3.13E-02	2.21E-01	48.2	2.35E-01	0	42	4701	5666
Caudate Nucelus	0.017	0.025	[-0.032, 0.067]	0.68	4.98E-01	6.64E-01	63.5	1.77E-02	30.8	42	4702	5657
Putamen	-0.0072	0.023	[-0.052, 0.038]	-0.31	7.55E-01	8.32E-01	51.6	1.73E-01	20.6	43	4946	5706
Pallidum	-0.0044	0.021	[-0.045, 0.036]	-0.21	8.32E-01	8.32E-01	43.8	4.37E-01	7.6	43	4928	5647
Hippocampus	-0.044	0.023	[-0.089, 0.001]	-1.92	5.53E-02	2.21E-01	60.6	3.94E-02	20.1	43	4929	5720
Amygdala	-0.027	0.021	[-0.068, 0.015]	-1.25	2.10E-01	5.60E-01	46.9	3.15E-01	10.5	43	4944	5732
Accumbens	0.024	0.026	[-0.026, 0.075]	0.95	3.40E-01	6.06E-01	61.1	3.57E-02	33.8	43	4921	5725

SI References

- 1. M. Harrer, P. Cuijpers, T. Furukawa, D.D. Ebert, dmetar: Companion R Package For The Guide 'Doing Meta-Analysis in R'. (2019).
- 2. S.R. Kay, A. Fiszbein, L.A. Opler, The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophr Bull* **13**, 261-276 (1987).
- 3. N.C. Andreasen, The scale for the assessment of positive symptoms (SAPS), (The University of Iowa, 1984).
- 4. N.C. Andreasen, The scale for the assessment of negative symptoms (SANS), (The University of Iowa, 1984).
- 5. S. Kim, ppcor: An R Package for a Fast Calculation to Semi-partial Correlation Coefficients. *Commun Stat Appl Methods* **22**, 665-674 (2015).
- 6. H. Wickham, ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, (Springer-Verlag New York, 2016).
- 7. A. South, rnaturalearth: World Map Data from Natural Earth. R package version 0.1.0. <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rnaturalearth</u>. (2017).
- 8. E. Pebesma, Simple Features for R: Standardized Support for Spatial Vector Data. *R J* **10**, 439-446 (2018).
- 9. K. Slowikowski, ggrepel: Automatically Position Non-Overlapping Text Labels with 'ggplot2'. R package version 0.9.1. <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggrepel</u>. (2021).
- 10. J. Radua *et al.*, Increased power by harmonizing structural MRI site differences with the ComBat batch adjustment method in ENIGMA. *Neuroimage* **218**, 116956 (2020).
- 11. T. Wei, V. Simko, R package 'corrplot': Visualization of a Correlation Matrix (Version 0.92). <u>https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot</u>. (2021).