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#### Abstract

We study Veronese and Segre morphisms between non-commutative projective spaces. We compute finite reduced Gröbner bases for their kernels, and compare them with their analogues in the commutative case.
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## 1 Introduction

In this work, we describe Veronese and Segre morphisms for a class of non-commutative quadratic algebras that have permeated the literature under different names. They made one of their first appearances as quantum affine spaces in [33, Sections 1 and

[^0]4]. There, inspired and motivated by works of Fadeev and collaborators, Drinfeld, and Jimbo, Manin studied quantum affine spaces in connection to Hopf algebras and quantum groups. More recently, these very algebras surfaced as non-commutative projective spaces in the work [5] on mirror symmetry, as well as in the study of deformations of toric varieties [11, 12].

The study of non-commutative algebras defined by quadratic relations as examples of quantum non-commutative spaces has undoubtedly received considerable impetus from the seminal work [17], where the authors considered general deformations of quantum groups and spaces arising from an R-matrix, and from Manin's programme for non-commutative geometry [35]. Quadratic algebras of the kind studied here still play to this day a central role in non-commutative geometry, as they provide a rich source of examples of non-commutative spaces.

Our work is motivated by the relevance of those algebras for non-commutative geometry, especially in relation to the theory of quantum groups, and inspired by the interpretation of morphisms between non-commutative algebras as "maps between non-commutative spaces". We consider here non-commutative analogues of the Veronese and Segre embeddings, two fundamental maps that play pivotal roles not only in classical algebraic geometry but also in applications to other fields of mathematics.

The $d$-Veronese map is the non-degenerate embedding of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ via the very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(d)$. Its image, called the Veronese variety, has a capital importance in algebraic geometry. Just to mention an example, every projective variety is isomorphic to the intersection of a Veronese variety and a linear space (see [27, Exercise 2.9]). The Segre map is the embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{m} \times \mathbb{P}^{n}$ via the very ample line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1,1)$. It is used in projective geometry to endow the Cartesian product of two projective spaces with the structure of a projective variety. In quantum mechanics and quantum information theory, it is a natural mapping for describing non-entangled states (see [7, Sect. 4.3]). Both are studied for the theory of tensor decomposition [31, Sect. 4.3], as the image of the Segre morphism is the locus of rank 1 tensors, while the image of the Veronese morphism plays a similar role for symmetric tensors. Moreover, these constructions are central in the field of algebraic statistics: the variety of moments of a Gaussian random variable is a Veronese variety (see [1, Sect. 6]), while independence models are encoded by Segre varieties (see [18]).

The natural problem of finding non-commutative counterparts of those fundamental constructions has been addressed from different perspectives, for instance in [44] and [41]. Likewise, the equivalent non-commutative notion of line bundle has been studied in several works as [6, 10], and more recently [19], also in connections to quantum group deformations and $C^{*}$-algebra, as well as in work by the first author [2,3] on $q$-deformations of circle bundles and operator K-theory.

In this work, we study the properties of Segre and Veronese maps and of the corresponding algebras from the point of view of the theory of Gröbner bases. In classical algebraic geometry, a variety $V$ is completely determined by its defining ideal. When $V$ is the image of a variety morphism $f$, the ideal of $V$ is the kernel of the algebra morphism corresponding to $f$. Computing a Gröbner basis for the defining ideal can provide valuable information about the properties of $V$. With this motivation in mind, we are interested in computing Gröbner bases for the kernels of the non-commutative Veronese and Segre morphisms.

The theory of Gröbner bases for ideals bears several similarities with that of canonical subalgebra bases or SAGBI's-an acronym that stands for subalgebra analogues of Gröbner bases for ideals. A natural question would be to investigate those in our setting, similar to what is done for instance in [42], where the authors construct and study an SAGBI basis for the quantum Grassmannian. In some sense, the work presented here lends itself to generalisation in the directions of studying maps between more general non-commutative algebras, like deformations of Grassmanians, products thereof, and other homogeneous spaces. We postpone the investigation of this more general setting to future work.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sect. 2 we recall some basics of the theory of Gröbner bases for ideals in the free associative algebra. Our Lemma 2.7 gives a criterion for quadratic Gröbner bases, which is crucial for the proof of our main results, Theorems 5.5 and 6.10. In Sect. 3 we present the quadratic algebras $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$, called quantum spaces, or non-commutative projective spaces, and we recall some of their basic properties. In Sect. 4 we analyse their $d$-Veronese subalgebras. The main result of the section is Theorem 4.5 , which gives a presentation of the $d$-Veronese subalgebra in terms of generators and quadratic relations. In Sect. 5 we introduce and study noncommutative analogues of the Veronese maps for non-commutative projective spaces. We present a modification of the theory of Gröbner bases for ideals in a quantum space and find explicitly a Gröbner basis for the kernel of the Veronese map in Theorem 5.5. Using a similar approach and methods, in Sect. 6 we introduce and study noncommutative analogues of Segre maps and Segre products. Theorem 6.10 describes the reduced Gröbner basis for the kernel of the Segre map. Finally, in Sect. 7 we present various examples that illustrate our results.

## 2 Preliminaries

We start with notation, conventions, and facts which will be used throughout the paper, and recall some basics on Gröbner bases for ideals in the free associative algebra. Lemma 2.7 gives a criterion for quadratic Gröbner bases which is particularly useful in our settings.

### 2.1 Basic notations and conventions

Throughout the paper $X_{n}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ denotes a non-empty set of indeterminates. To simplify notation, we shall often write $X$ instead of $X_{n}$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ the complex free associative algebra with unit generated by $X_{n}$, while $\mathbb{C}\left[X_{n}\right]$ denotes the commutative polynomial ring in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n} .\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ is the free monoid generated by $X_{n}$, where the unit is the empty word, denoted by 1 .

We fix the degree-lexicographic order $<$ on $\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$, where we set $x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}$. As usual, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the set of all positive integers, and $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ is the set of all non-negative integers. Given a non-empty set $F \subset \mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$, we write $(F)$ for the two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ generated by $F$.

In more general settings, we shall also consider associative algebras over a field k. Suppose $A=\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} A_{m}$ is a graded $\mathbf{k}$-algebra such that $A_{0}=\mathbf{k}$, and such that $A$ is finitely generated by elements of positive degree. Recall that its Hilbert function is $h_{A}(m)=\operatorname{dim} A_{m}$ and its Hilbert series is the formal series $H_{A}(t)=$ $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} h_{A}(m) t^{m}$. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[X_{n}\right]$ of commutative polynomials satisfies

$$
h_{\mathbb{C}\left[X_{n}\right]}(d)=\binom{n+d}{n} \quad \text { and } \quad H_{\mathbb{C}\left[X_{n}\right]}=\frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+1}} .
$$

We shall use two well-known gradings on the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ : the natural grading by length and the $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}$-grading.

Let $X^{m}$ be the set of all words of length $m$ in $\langle X\rangle$. Then

$$
\langle X\rangle=\bigsqcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} X^{m}, \quad X^{0}=\{1\}, \quad \text { and } \quad X^{k} X^{m} \subseteq X^{k+m},
$$

so the free monoid $\langle X\rangle$ is naturally graded by length.
Similarly, the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle$ is also graded by length:

$$
\mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle=\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle_{m}, \quad \text { where } \mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle_{m}=\mathbb{C} X^{m}
$$

A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}\langle X\rangle$ is homogeneous of degree $m$ if $f \in \mathbb{C} X^{m}$. We denote by

$$
\mathcal{T}^{n}=\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right):=\left\{x_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \in\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle \mid \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}\right\}
$$

the set of ordered monomials (terms) in $\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ and by

$$
\mathcal{T}_{d}^{n}=\mathcal{T}_{d}\left(X_{n}\right):=\left\{x_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \in \mathcal{T}^{n} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_{i}=d\right\}
$$

the set of ordered monomials of length $d$. It is well known that the cardinality $\left|\mathcal{T}_{d}\left(X_{n}\right)\right|$ is given by the Hilbert function (Hilbert polynomial) $h_{\mathbb{C}\left[X_{n}\right]}(d)$ of the polynomial ring in the variables $X_{n}$ :

$$
\left|\mathcal{T}_{d}\left(X_{n}\right)\right|=\binom{n+d}{n}=h_{\mathbb{C}\left[X_{n}\right]}(d)
$$

Definition 2.1 A monomial $w \in\langle X\rangle$ has multi-degree $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}$, if $w$, considered as a commutative term, can be written as $w=x_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$. In this case we write $\operatorname{deg}(w)=\alpha$. Clearly, $w$ has length $|w|=\alpha_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{n}$. In particular, the unit $1 \in\langle X\rangle$ has multi-degree $\mathbf{0}=(0, \ldots, 0)$, and $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $(1,0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, \operatorname{deg}\left(x_{n}\right)=(0,0, \ldots, 1)$. For each $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}$
we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha}:=x_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \in \mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad X_{\alpha}:=\{w \in\langle X\rangle \mid \operatorname{deg}(w)=\alpha\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free monoid $\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ is naturally $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}$-graded:

$$
\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle=\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}} X_{\alpha}, \quad \text { where } X_{0}=\{1\}, \quad \text { and } \quad X_{\alpha} X_{\beta} \subseteq X_{\alpha+\beta}
$$

In a similar way, the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ is also canonically $\mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}$ graded:

$$
\mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle=\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}} \mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle_{\alpha}, \quad \text { where } \mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle_{\alpha}=\mathbb{C} X_{\alpha}
$$

It follows straightforwardly from (2.1) that $X_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right)=\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n+1}$. Moreover, every $u \in X_{\alpha} \backslash\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ satisfies $u>T_{\alpha}$, i.e., $T_{\alpha}$ is the minimal element of $X_{\alpha}$ with respect to the ordering $<$.

### 2.2 Gröbner bases for ideals in the free associative algebra

In this subsection $\mathbf{k}$ is an arbitrary field and $X=X_{n}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Suppose $f \in$ $\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ is a non-zero polynomial. Its leading monomial with respect to $<$ will be denoted by $\mathbf{L M}(f)$. One has $\mathbf{L M}(f)=u$ if $f=c u+\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant m} c_{i} u_{i}$, where $c, c_{i} \in \mathbf{k}, c \neq 0$ and $u>u_{i} \in\langle X\rangle$, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Given a set $F \subseteq \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ of non-commutative polynomials, $\mathbf{L M}(F)$ denotes the set

$$
\mathbf{L M}(F)=\{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}(f) \mid f \in F\}
$$

A monomial $u \in\langle X\rangle$ is normal modulo $F$ if it does not contain any of the monomials $\mathbf{L M}(f), f \in F$, as a subword. The set of all normal monomials modulo $F$ is denoted by $N(F)$.

Let $I$ be a two-sided graded ideal in $K\langle X\rangle$ and let $I_{m}=I \cap \mathbf{k} X^{m}$. We shall consider graded algebras with a minimal presentation. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $I$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree $\geqslant 2$ and $I=\bigoplus_{m \geqslant 2} I_{m}$. Then the quotient algebra $A=\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle / I$ is finitely generated and inherits its grading $A=\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} A_{m}$ from $\mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$. We shall work with the so-called normal $\mathbf{k}$-basis of $A$.

We say that a monomial $u \in\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ is normal modulo $I$ if it is normal modulo $\mathbf{L M}(I)$. We set $N(I):=N(\mathbf{L M}(I))$. In particular, the free monoid $\langle X\rangle$ splits as a disjoint union

$$
\langle X\rangle=N(I) \sqcup \mathbf{L M}(I)
$$

The free associative algebra $\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ splits as a direct sum of $\mathbf{k}$-vector subspaces $\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle \simeq$ $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{k}} N(I) \oplus I$, and there is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
A \simeq \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{k}} N(I)
$$

We define

$$
N(I)_{m}=\{u \in N(I) \mid u \text { has length } m\} .
$$

Then $A_{m} \simeq \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbf{k}} N(I)_{m}$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
Definition 2.2 Let $I \subset \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ be a two-sided ideal.

- A subset $G \subseteq I$ of monic polynomials is a Gröbner basis of $I$ (with respect to the ordering $<$ ) if
(a) $G$ generates $I$ as a two-sided ideal, and
(b) for every $f \in I$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $\mathbf{L M}(g)$ is a subword of $\mathbf{L M}(f)$, that is $\mathbf{L M}(f)=a \mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}(g) b$, for some $a, b \in\langle X\rangle$.
- A Gröbner basis $G$ is minimal if the set $G \backslash\{f\}$ is not a Gröbner basis of $I$, whenever $f \in G$.
- A minimal Gröbner basis $G$ of $I$ is reduced if each $f \in G$ is a linear combination of normal monomials modulo $G \backslash\{f\}$. In this case we say that $f$ is reduced modulo $G \backslash\{f\}$.
- If $I$ has a finite Gröbner basis $G$, then the algebra $A=\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle /(G)$ is called a standard finitely presented algebra, or shortly an s.f.p. algebra.

It is well known that every ideal $I$ of $\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ has a unique reduced Gröbner basis $G_{0}=G_{0}(I)$ with respect to $<$. However, $G_{0}$ may be infinite. For more details, we refer the reader to [16, 32, 36, 37].

Definition 2.3 Let $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s} \in \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ ( $h_{i}=0$ is also possible). For every $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, s\}$, let $w_{i} \in\langle X\rangle$ be a monomial of degree at least 2 , such that $w_{i}>\mathbf{L M}\left(h_{i}\right)$, whenever $h_{i} \neq 0$, and let $g_{i}=w_{i}-h_{i}$. Each $g_{i}$ is a monic polynomial with $\mathbf{L M}\left(g_{i}\right)=w_{i}$. Let $G=\left\{g_{1} \ldots, g_{s}\right\} \subset \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ and let $I=(G)$ be the two-sided ideal of $\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ generated by $G$. For $u, v \in\langle X\rangle$ and for $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, we consider the $\mathbf{k}$-linear operators $r_{u i v}: \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ called reductions, defined on the basis elements $c \in\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ by

$$
r_{u i v}(c)= \begin{cases}u h_{i} v & \text { if } c=u w_{i} v, \\ c & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then the following conditions hold:
(1) $c-r_{u i v}(\omega) \in I$.
(2) $\mathbf{L M}\left(r_{u i v}(c)\right) \leqslant c$.
(3) More precisely, $\mathbf{L M}\left(r_{u i v}(c)\right)<c$ if and only if $c=u w_{i} v$.

More generally, for $f \in \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ and for any finite sequence of reductions $r=r_{u_{1} i_{1} v_{1}}$ $\circ \cdots \circ r_{u_{t} i_{t} v_{t}}$ one has

$$
f \equiv r(f)(\bmod I) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}(f) \geqslant \mathbf{L M}(r(f)) .
$$

A polynomial $f \in \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ is in normal form $(\bmod G)$ if none of its monomials contains as a subword any of the $w_{i}$ 's. In particular, the 0 element is in normal form.

The degree-lexicographic ordering $<$ on $\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ satisfies the decreasing chain condition, and therefore for every $f \in \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ one can find a normal form of $f$ by means of a finite sequence of reductions defined via $G$. In general, $f$ may have more than one normal forms $(\bmod G)$. It follows from Bergman's Diamond Lemma (see [8, Theorem 1.2]) that $G$ is a Gröbner basis of $I$ if and only if every $f \in \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ has a unique normal form $(\bmod G)$, which will be denoted by $\operatorname{Nor}(f)$. In this case $f \in I$ if and only if $f$ can be reduced to 0 via a finite sequence of reductions.

Definition 2.4 Let $G=\left\{g_{i}=w_{i}-h_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}\right\} \subset \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ be as in Definition 2.3 and let $I=(G)$. Let $u=w_{i}$ and $v=w_{j}$ for some $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ and let $a, b, t \in\langle X\rangle \backslash\{1\}$.

- Suppose that $u=a b, v=b t$ and let $\omega=a b t=u t=a v$. The difference

$$
(u, v)_{\omega}=g_{i} t-a g_{j}=a h_{j}-h_{i} t
$$

is called a composition of overlap. Note that $(u, v)_{\omega} \in I$ and $\mathbf{L M}\left(g_{i} t\right)=\omega=$ $\mathbf{L M}\left(a g_{j}\right)$, so

$$
\mathbf{L M}\left((u, v)_{\omega}\right)=\mathbf{L M}\left(s h_{j}-h_{i} t\right)<\omega .
$$

The composition of overlap $(u, v)_{\omega}$ is solvable if it can be reduced to 0 by means of a finite sequence of reductions defined via $G$.

- Suppose that $\omega=w_{j}=a w_{i} b$. The composition of inclusion corresponding to the pair $(u, \omega)$ is

$$
(u, \omega)_{\omega}:=\left(a g_{i} b\right)-g_{j}=h_{j}-a h_{i} b .
$$

One has $(u, \omega)_{\omega} \in I$ and $\mathbf{L M}(u, \omega)_{\omega}=\mathbf{L M}\left(h_{j}-a h_{i} b\right)<\omega$. The composition of inclusion $(u, \omega)_{\omega}$ is solvable if it can be reduced to 0 by means of a finite sequence of reductions defined via $G$.

The lemma below is a modification of the Diamond Lemma and follows easily from Bergman's result [8, Theorem 1.2].

Lemma 2.5 Let $G=\left\{w_{i}-h_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}\right\} \subset \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ be as in Definition 2.3. Let $I=(G)$ and let $A=\mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle / I$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) The set $G$ is a Gröbner basis of $I$.
(2) All compositions of overlap and all compositions of inclusion are solvable.
(3) Every element $f \in \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ has a unique normal form modulo $G$, denoted by $\mathrm{Nor}_{G}(f)$.
(4) There is an equality $N(G)=N(I)$, so there is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
\mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle \simeq I \oplus \mathbf{k} N(G)
$$

(5) The image of $N(G)$ in $A$ is $a \mathbf{k}$-basis of $A$. In this case $A$ can be identified with the $\mathbf{k}$-vector space $\mathbf{k} N(G)$, made $a \mathbf{k}$-algebra by the multiplication $a \bullet b:=\operatorname{Nor}(a b)$.
Suppose furthermore that $G$ consists of homogeneous polynomials. Then A is graded by length and each of the above conditions is equivalent to
(6) $\operatorname{dim} A_{m}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbf{k} N(G)_{m}\right)=\left|N(G)_{m}\right|$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Corollary 2.6 Let $G=\left\{w_{i}-h_{i} \mid i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}\right\} \subset \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ be as above and let $I=(G)$. Let $N(G)$ and $N(I)$ be the corresponding sets of normal monomials in $\mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$. Then $N(G) \supseteq N(I)$, where an equality holds if and only if $G$ is a Gröbner basis of I.

It is shown in [29, Corollary 6.3] that there exist ideals in the free associative algebra $\mathbf{k}\left\langle x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ for which the existence of a finite Gröbner basis is an undecidable problem.

In this paper, we focus on a class of quadratic standard finitely presented algebras $\mathcal{A}$ known as non-commutative projective spaces or quantum spaces. Each such algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is strictly ordered in the sense of [20, Definition 1.9], so there is a well-defined notion of Gröbner basis of a two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ (cf. [20, Definition 1.2]). Moreover, every two-sided ideal in $\mathcal{A}$ has a finite reduced Gröbner basis.

### 2.3 Quadratic algebras and quadratic Gröbner bases

As usual, let $X=X_{n}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Let $M$ be a non-empty proper subset of $\{0, \ldots, n\}^{2}$. For every $(j, i) \in M$, let $h_{j i} \in \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle$ be either 0 or a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 with $\mathbf{L M}\left(h_{j i}\right)<x_{j} x_{i}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\left\{f_{j i}=x_{j} x_{i}-h_{j i} \mid(j, i) \in M\right\} \subset \mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $I=(\mathcal{R})$ and consider the quadratic algebra $A=\mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle / I$. As in Sect. 2.2, let $N(I)_{m}=N(I) \cap\left(X_{n}\right)^{m}$ and $N(\mathcal{R})_{m}=N(\mathcal{R}) \cap\left(X_{n}\right)^{m}$ be the corresponding subsets of normal words of length $m$. By construction, $\mathcal{R}$ is a $\mathbf{k}$-basis for $I_{2}$, so

$$
\operatorname{dim} I_{2}=|\mathcal{R}|=|M| \quad \text { and } \quad N(I)_{2}=N(\mathcal{R})_{2}=X_{n}^{2} \backslash \mathbf{L M}(\mathcal{R})
$$

As vector spaces,

$$
\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle=I \oplus \mathbf{k} N(I) \quad \text { and } \quad A \cong \mathbf{k} N(I)
$$

Moreover, for the canonical grading by length one has

$$
(\mathbf{k}\langle X\rangle)_{m}=(I)_{m} \oplus \mathbf{k} N(I)_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{m} \cong \mathbf{k} N(I)_{m},
$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.
The following lemma is crucial for the proofs of several results in the paper.
Lemma 2.7 Let $\mathcal{R}$ be defined as in (2.2), let $A=\mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle /(\mathcal{R})$. The following conditions are equivalent
(1) The set $\mathcal{R}$ is a (quadratic) Gröbner basis of the ideal $I=(\mathcal{R})$.
(2) $\operatorname{dim} A_{3}=\left|N(\mathcal{R})_{3}\right|$.
(3) All ambiguities of overlap determined by $\mathbf{L M}(\mathcal{R})=\left\{x_{j} x_{i} \mid(j, i) \in M\right\}$ are $\mathcal{R}$ solvable.

In this case $A$ is a PBW algebra in the sense of [39, Sect. 5].
Proof First note that there are no compositions of inclusions. By Corollary 2.6,

$$
N(I)_{m} \subseteq N(\mathcal{R})_{m} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim} A_{m}=\left|N(I)_{m}\right| \leqslant\left|N(\mathcal{R})_{m}\right|
$$

for every $m \geqslant 2$. The implications $(1) \Leftrightarrow(3)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ follow from Lemma 2.5. $(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ : A composition of overlap is either 0 , or it produces only homogeneous polynomials of degree three. Suppose $\omega=x_{k} x_{j} x_{i}$, where $(k, j),(j, i) \in M$, so $f_{k j}=x_{k} x_{j}-h_{k j} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $f_{j i}=x_{j} x_{i}-h_{j i} \in \mathcal{R}$. Then the corresponding composition of overlap is

$$
\left(x_{k} x_{j}, x_{j} x_{i}\right)_{\omega}=\left(f_{k j}\right) x_{i}-x_{k}\left(f_{j i}\right)=-h_{k j} x_{i}+x_{k} h_{j i} \in I .
$$

By Definition 2.4, a composition is solvable if and only if it can be reduced to 0 . Assume by contradiction that the composition $\left(x_{k} x_{j}, x_{j} x_{i}\right)_{\omega}$ is not solvable. Then $\left(x_{k} x_{j}, x_{j} x_{i}\right)_{\omega} \neq 0$ and we can reduce it by means of a finite sequence of reductions to a (not necessarily unique) normal form

$$
F:=\operatorname{Nor}\left(\left(x_{k} x_{j}, x_{j} x_{i}\right)_{\omega}\right)=c u+\sum_{s=1}^{t} c_{s} u_{s} \in \mathbf{k} N(\mathcal{R})
$$

where $u>u_{s}$ and $c \neq 0$. In particular, $x_{k} x_{j} x_{i}>\mathbf{L M}(F)=u \in N(\mathcal{R})$. However, the polynomial $F$ is in the ideal $I$, hence $\mathbf{L M}(F) \in \mathbf{L M}\left(I_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{L M}(F)$ is not in $N(I)_{3}$. Therefore

$$
N(I)_{3} \varsubsetneqq N(\mathcal{R})_{3} .
$$

Note that we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
A_{3} \cong \mathbf{k} N(I)_{3}
$$

hence $\operatorname{dim} A_{3}=\left|N(I)_{3}\right|<\left|N(\mathcal{R})_{3}\right|$, a contradiction.

Remark 2.8 Lemma 2.7 is very useful for the case when we want to show that an algebra $A$ with explicitly given quadratic defining relations $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathbf{k}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ is $P B W$ (that is $\mathcal{R}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I=(\mathcal{R})$ ) and we have precise information about the dimension $\operatorname{dim} A_{3}=d_{3}$. In this case, instead of following the standard procedure (algorithm) of checking whether all compositions are solvable, we suggest a new simpler procedure:

- find the set $N(\mathcal{R})_{3}$ and its order $\left|N(\mathcal{R})_{3}\right|$, and
- compare the order $\left|N(\mathcal{R})_{3}\right|$ with $\operatorname{dim} A_{3}$.

One has $\left|N(\mathcal{R})_{3}\right| \geqslant \operatorname{dim} A_{3}$ and an equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{R}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I=(\mathcal{R})$. This method is particularly useful when we work in general settings-general $n$ and general quadratic relations $\mathcal{R}$. It implies a similar procedure for ideals in the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$.

We use this result in Sect. 5, see the proof of Theorem 5.2. In Sect. 3.2 we give some basics on Gröbner bases for ideals in a quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$. Lemma 3.14 is an important analogue of Lemma 2.7 designed for quadratic Gröbner bases of ideals in a quantum space.

## 3 Quantum spaces

In this section, we introduce a class of quadratic algebras that are central to our paper. We shall refer to them as quantum spaces, following Manin's terminology.

Various deformations of projective spaces have appeared in the non-commutative geometry literature over the years. Notable examples are the Vaksman-Soibelman quantum projective spaces $C\left(C P_{q}^{n}\right)$, obtained as fixed-point algebras under the canonical circle action on the Vaksman-Soibelman odd quantum spheres $C\left(S_{q}^{2 n+1}\right)$ [43]. They have been extensively studied in the context of Connes' non-commutative geometry [14], possess the structure of quantum homogeneous space, and, remarkably, their algebras of continuous functions can also be realised as graph $C^{*}$-algebras [28]. Their weighted counterparts have also been investigated recently in both contexts, see for instance [15] and [9].

While similar in flavour, the spaces we study here are in some sense a milder form of deformation, related to so-called theta or isospectral deformations [13], and are not endowed with a $*$-algebraic structure nor a norm. They form a special case of the non-commutative deformations of projective spaces studied by Auroux, Katzarkov, and Orlov in the context of mirror symmetry [5]. Under mild assumptions on the deformation parameters, they are examples of non-commutative toric varieties, as outlined in [12]. These algebras are a particular case of the skew-polynomial rings with binomial relations studied in [22,23]. We point out that these objects appear with different names in the literature: they are sometimes referred to as non-commutative projective spaces and quantum affine spaces.

We shall now recall their definition and main properties.

### 3.1 Basic definitions and results

Definition 3.1 A square matrix $\mathbf{q}=\left\|q_{i j}\right\|$ over the complex numbers is multiplicatively anti-symmetric if $q_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, q_{j i}=q_{i j}^{-1}$ and $q_{i i}=1$ for all $i, j$. We shall sometimes refer to $\mathbf{q}$ as a deformation matrix.

Definition 3.2 Let $\mathbf{q}$ be an $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ the complex quadratic algebra with $n+1$ generators $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ subject to the $\binom{n+1}{2}$ quadratic binomial relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}:=\left\{x_{j} x_{i}-q_{j i} x_{i} x_{j} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle /(\mathcal{R})$. We refer to $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ as the quantum space defined by the multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q}$.

Clearly, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ is commutative if and only if all entries of $\mathbf{q}$ are 1 . In this case $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ is isomorphic to the algebra of commutative polynomials $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. Although $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ is non-commutative whenever $\mathbf{q}$ has at least one entry different from 1, it preserves all 'good properties' of the commutative polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, see Facts 3.7.

Example 3.3 For $n=2$ and

$$
\mathbf{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & q^{-2} & 1 \\
q^{2} & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

one obtains the non-commutative variety $\mathbb{P}_{q, \hbar=0}^{2}$ defined in [30, Sect. 3.7]. The quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{2}$ is an Artin-Schelter regular algebra of global dimension 3, see [4].

Remark 3.4 It is easy to prove that the set $\mathcal{R}$ defined in (3.1) is a reduced Gröbner basis for the ideal $I=(\mathcal{R})$ and this fact is well known, see for example [29, Proposition 5.5]. Therefore

$$
N(I)=N(\mathcal{R})=\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right) .
$$

In other words the set $\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right)$ of ordered monomials is the normal basis of the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$. The free monoid $\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ splits as a disjoint union

$$
\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle=\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right) \sqcup \mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}(I),
$$

and $\mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle \simeq \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right) \oplus I$.
Remark 3.5 (1) Every element $f \in \mathbb{C}\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle \backslash I$ has unique normal form $\operatorname{Nor}(f)=$ $\operatorname{Nor}_{\mathcal{R}}(f)=\operatorname{Nor}_{I}(f)$, which satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Nor}(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_{i} T_{i} \in \mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right)
$$

where $c_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, T_{1}<T_{2}<\cdots<T_{s} \leqslant \mathbf{L M}(f)$, and the equality $f=\operatorname{Nor}(f)$ holds in the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Nor}(f)=0$ if and only if $f \in I$.
(2) The normal form $\operatorname{Nor}(f)$ can be found effectively using a finite sequence of reductions defined via $\mathcal{R}$.
(3) There is an equality $\operatorname{Nor}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(x_{j} x_{i}\right)=q_{j i} x_{i} x_{j}$, for every $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$.

When the ideal $I$, or its generating set $\mathcal{R}$ is understood from the context, we shall denote the normal form of $f$ by $\operatorname{Nor}(f)$.

More generally, recall that a quadratic algebra is an associative graded algebra $A=\bigoplus_{i \geqslant 0} A_{i}$ over a ground field $\mathbf{k}$ determined by a vector space of generators $V=A_{1}$ and a subspace of homogeneous quadratic relations $R=R(A) \subset V \otimes V$. We assume that $A$ is finitely generated, so $\operatorname{dim} A_{1}<\infty$. Thus $A=T(V) /(R)$ inherits its grading from the tensor algebra $T(V)$. The Koszul dual algebra of $A$, denoted by $A^{!}$is the quadratic algebra $T\left(V^{*}\right) /\left(R^{\perp}\right)$, see [33,34]. The algebra $A^{!}$is also referred to as the quadratic dual algebra to a quadratic algebra $A$, see [38, p.6].

Note that every quantum space $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ is a skew-polynomial ring with binomial relations in the sense of [22,23], and a quantum binomial algebra in the sense of [25]. Thus the next corollary follows straightforwardly from [24, Theorem A], see also [25, Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 3.6 Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ be a quantum space defined by the multiplicatively antisymmetric matrix $\mathbf{q}$. Then
(1) The Koszul dual $\mathcal{A}^{!}$has a presentation $\mathcal{A}^{!}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right\rangle /\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)$, where $\mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ consists of $\binom{n+1}{2}$ quadratic binomial relations and $n+1$ monomials

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\perp}=\left\{\xi_{j} \xi_{i}-q_{j i}^{-1} \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n\right\} \cup\left\{\xi_{j}^{2} \mid 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n\right\} .
$$

(2) The set $\mathcal{R}^{\perp}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\left(\mathcal{R}^{\perp}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}\left\langle\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right\rangle$, so $\mathcal{A}^{!}$is a PBW algebra with PBW generators $\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}$.
(3) $\mathcal{A}^{!}$is a quantum Grassmann algebra of dimension $n+1$.

The following result can be extracted from [23, 26], and [25, Theorem 1.1]. We use the well-known equality $\binom{n+d}{n}=\binom{n+d}{d}$.
Facts 3.7 Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ be a quantum space.
(1) $\mathcal{A}$ is canonically graded by length, it is generated in degree one, and $\mathcal{A}_{0}=\mathbb{C}$.
(2) $\mathcal{A}$ is a $P B W$-algebra in the sense of Priddy [39, Sect. 5], with a $P B W$ basis $\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right)$. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an isomorphism of vector spaces $\mathcal{A}_{d} \simeq \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right)_{d}$, so

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{d}=\left|\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right)_{d}\right|=\binom{n+d}{d}
$$

(3) $\mathcal{A}$ is Koszul.
(4) $\mathcal{A}$ is a left and a right Noetherian domain.
(5) $\mathcal{A}$ is an Artin-Schelter regular algebra, that is
(a) $\mathcal{A}$ has polynomial growth of degree $n+1$ (equivalently, $\operatorname{GKdim} \mathcal{A}=n+1$ );
(b) $\mathcal{A}$ has finite global dimension $\operatorname{gl} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}=n+1$;
(c) $\mathcal{A}$ is Gorenstein.
(6) The Hilbert series of $\mathcal{A}$ is $H_{\mathcal{A}}(t)=1 /(1-t)^{n+1}$.

Remark 3.8 The algebra $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ is a quantum projective space in the sense of [40, Definition 2.1] and it is solvable in the sense of Kandri-Rodi and Weispfenning [29, Sect. 1].

Suppose a monomial $u \in\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ has multi-degree $\operatorname{deg}(u)=\alpha=\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ and let $T_{\alpha}=x_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$ be as in Definition 2.1. Since all relations in $\mathcal{R}$ are binomials which preserve the multigrading, there exists a unique $\zeta_{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$such that

- $\zeta_{u}$ is a monomial in the entries of $\mathbf{q}$,
- $\operatorname{Nor}_{\mathcal{R}}(u)=\zeta_{u} T_{\alpha}$,
- $u \equiv \zeta_{u} T_{\alpha}$ modulo $I$, i.e., the equality $u=\zeta_{u} T_{\alpha}$ holds in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$.

Convention 3.9 Following [8] (see also our Lemma 2.5), we consider the space $\mathbb{C T}^{n}$ endowed with multiplication defined by

$$
f \bullet g:=\operatorname{Nor}_{\mathcal{R}}(f g),
$$

for every $f, g \in \mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}^{n}$. Then $\left(\mathbb{C T}^{n}, \bullet\right)$ has a well-defined structure of a graded algebra, and there is an isomorphism of graded algebras

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \cong\left(\mathbb{C T}^{n}, \bullet\right)
$$

By convention we shall identify the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ with $\left(\mathbb{C T}{ }^{n}, \bullet\right)$.

### 3.2 Some basics of Gröbner bases theory for ideals in quantum spaces

In Sects. 5 and 6 we shall introduce analogues of the Veronese map $v_{n, d}$ and of the Segre map $s_{n, m}$ for quantum spaces. A natural problem in this context is to describe the reduced Gröbner bases of $\operatorname{ker}\left(v_{n, d}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(s_{n, m}\right)$. Each of the kernels is an ideal of an appropriate quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$, so we need a Gröbner bases theory which is admissible for quantum spaces. Proposition 3.10 shows that each quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ is a strictly ordered algebra in the sense of [20, Definition 1.9], and the Gröbner bases theory for ideals in strictly ordered algebras presented by the third author in [20] and [21] seems natural and convenient for our quantum spaces. Here we follow the approach of these works. Note that the results of [20] and [21] are independent from and agree with [29] and [37].

In the sequel we often work simultaneously with two distinct quantum spaces whose sets of generators $X_{n}=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $Y_{N}=\left\{y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right\}$ are disjoint and have different cardinalities, $N>n$. To avoid ambiguity we denote by $\prec$ the degreelexicographic ordering on $\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ and by $\prec_{0}$ the restriction $\prec_{\mid \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)}$ of $\prec$ on the set of ordered monomials $\mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right) \subset\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$.

Given an arbitrary multiplicatively anti-symmetric $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix $\mathbf{g}=$ $\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$, let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle /\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)$ be the associated quantum space, where

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}:=\left\{y_{j} y_{i}-g_{j i} y_{i} y_{j} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N\right\} .
$$

Following Convention 3.9, we identify the two algebras

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \cong\left(\mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right), \bullet\right)
$$

Let $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{g}}=\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)$. We shall write $\operatorname{Nor}(f)$ for the normal form of $f \in \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$, keeping the ideal $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{g}}$ fixed. The operation $\bullet$ on $\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$ induces also an operation $\star$ on the set $\mathfrak{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$ defined by

$$
u \star v:=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}(\operatorname{Nor}(u v))=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}(u \bullet v),
$$

for every $u, v \in \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$. It is not difficult to see that $\left(\mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right), \star\right)$ is a monoid.
Let $u, v \in \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$, and $\alpha=\operatorname{deg} u+\operatorname{deg} v$. We know that $u \bullet v=\zeta(u, v) T(u, v)$, where $\zeta=\zeta(u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $T(u, v) \in \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{n}\right)$, with $\operatorname{deg} T(u, v)=\alpha$. Similarly, $v \bullet u=\eta(v, u) T(v, u)$, where $\eta(v, u) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $\operatorname{deg} T(v, u)=\alpha=\operatorname{deg} T(u, v)$. The unique ordered monomial in $\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ with multi-degree $\alpha$ is $T_{\alpha}$, therefore

$$
u \star v=v \star u=T_{\alpha} .
$$

It follows that there is an isomorphism of monoids $\left(\mathcal{T}\left(Y_{n}\right), \star\right) \cong\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right]$, the free abelian monoid generated by $Y_{N}$. This agrees with [20, Theorems I and II].

Note that identifying $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ with $\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$ we also have the degree-lexicographic wellordering $\prec_{0}$ on the free abelian monoid $\left(\mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right), \star\right)$. For every $f \in \mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$, its leading monomial with respect to $\prec_{0}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}_{\prec_{0}}(f)$. In fact $\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}_{\prec_{0}}(f)=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}_{\prec}(f)$ and we shall simply write $\mathbf{L M}(f)$.

The proposition below follows straightforwardly from [20].
Proposition 3.10 (1) The quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\left(\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right), \bullet\right)$ is a strictly ordered algebra in the sense of [20, Definition 1.9], that is, each of the following two equivalent conditions is satisfied:

SO1 Let $a, b, c \in \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$. If $a \prec_{0} b$, then $a \star c \prec_{0} b \star c$ and $c \star a \prec_{0} c \star b$;
$\mathbf{S O 2} \mathbf{L M}(f \bullet h)=\mathbf{L M}(\mathbf{L M}(f) \bullet \mathbf{L M}(h))$, for all $f, h \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$.
(2) Every two-sided (respectively, one-sided) ideal $\mathfrak{K}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ has a finite reduced Gröbner basis with respect to the ordering $\prec_{0}$ on $\left(\mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right), \star\right)$, see Definition 3.12.

The properties SO1 and SO2 allow to define Gröbner bases for ideals of a quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ in a natural way, and to use a standard Gröbner bases theory, analogous to the theory of non-commutative Gröbner bases for ideals of the free associative algebra (Diamond Lemma) proposed by Bergman.

Definition 3.11 Let $P \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ be an arbitrary subset, and let $\mathbf{L M}(P)=\{\mathbf{L M}(f) \mid f \in$ $P$ \}. A monomial $T \in \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$ is normal modulo $P$ if it does not contain as a subword any $u \in \mathbf{L M}(P)$. We denote

$$
N_{<_{0}}(P)=\left\{T \in \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right) \mid T \text { is normal } \bmod P\right\}
$$

Definition 3.12 Suppose $\mathfrak{K}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$. A set $F \subset \mathfrak{K}$ is a Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{K}$ if for any $h \in \mathfrak{K}$ there exists an $f \in F$, and monomials $a, b \in \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$ such that $\mathbf{L M}(h)=a \star \mathbf{L M}(f) \star b$. Due to the commutativity of the operation $\star$ this is equivalent to $\mathbf{L M}(h)=u \star \mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}(f)$, for some $u \in \mathcal{T}$.

An interested reader can find various equivalent definitions of a Gröbner basis in [29, 37], and numerous papers which appeared later. Given an ideal $\mathfrak{K}$ generated by a finite set $F$ one can verify algorithmically whether $F$ is a Gröbner basis for the ideal $\mathfrak{K}$, see for example [37].

Lemma 3.13 Let $\mathfrak{K}=(F)$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ generated by the set $F \subset \mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$. Then $F$ is a Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{K}$ if and only if $N(F)=N_{<_{0}}(F)=N_{<_{0}}(\mathfrak{K})$. In this case the vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ splits as a direct sum

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)=\mathfrak{K} \oplus \mathbb{C} N_{<_{0}}(F)
$$

and the set $N_{<_{0}}(F) \subset \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$ projects to a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} / \mathfrak{K}$. Moreover, if $F$ consists of homogeneous polynomials, then

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)_{j}=\left(\mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)\right)_{j}=(\mathfrak{K})_{j} \oplus\left(\mathbb{C} N_{<_{0}}(F)\right)_{j},
$$

for every $j \geqslant 2$.
The following is an analogue of Lemma 2.7 for ideals of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ generated by quadratic polynomials.
Lemma 3.14 Let $\mathfrak{K}=(F)$ be an ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ generated by a set of quadratic polynomials $F \subset\left(\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)\right)_{2}$ and let $B=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} / \mathfrak{K}$. We consider the canonical grading of $B$ induced by the grading of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$. Then $F$ is a Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{K}$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{dim} B_{3}=\left|\left(N_{<_{0}}(F)\right)_{3}\right|
$$

## 4 The $\boldsymbol{d}$-Veronese subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$, its generators and relations

In this section we study the $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ of the quantum space $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$. This is an algebraic construction which mirrors the Veronese embedding. First we recall some basic definitions and facts about Veronese subalgebras of general graded algebras. Our main reference is [38, Sect. 3.2]. The main result of the section is Theorem 4.5 which presents the $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ in terms of generators and explicit quadratic relations.

Definition 4.1 Let $A=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} A_{k}$ be a graded algebra. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, the $d$-Veronese subalgebra of $A$ is the graded algebra

$$
A^{(d)}=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} A_{k d}
$$

Remark 4.2 (1) By definition the algebra $A^{(d)}$ is a subalgebra of $A$. However, the embedding is not a graded algebra morphism. The Hilbert function of $A^{(d)}$ satisfies

$$
h_{A^{(d)}}(t)=\operatorname{dim}\left(A^{(d)}\right)_{t}=\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{t d}\right)=h_{A}(t d)
$$

(2) Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ be the quadratic algebra with relations $\mathcal{R}$ introduced in Definition 3.2. It follows from [38, Proposition 2.2], and Facts 3.7 that its $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ is one-generated, quadratic and Koszul. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ is left and right Noetherian.

We fix a multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q}$ and set $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$. By Convention 3.9, $\mathcal{A}$ is identified with the algebra $\left(\mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}^{n}, \bullet\right)$ and

$$
\mathcal{A}=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{A}_{k} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathbb{C}\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{k}
$$

Hence its $d$-Veronese subalgebra satisfies

$$
\mathcal{A}^{(d)}=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{A}_{k d} \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathbb{C}\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{k d}
$$

The ordered monomials $w \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$ of length $d$ are degree one generators of $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$, hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{d}=\left|\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}\right|=\binom{n+d}{d}
$$

We set $N=\binom{n+d}{d}-1$ and we order the elements of $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$ lexicographically, so

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}=\left\{w_{0}=x_{0}^{d}<w_{1}=\left(x_{0}\right)^{d-1} x_{1}<\cdots<w_{N}=x_{n}^{d}\right\} .
$$

The $d$-Veronese $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ is a quadratic algebra (one)-generated by $w_{0}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N}$. We shall find a minimal set of its quadratic relations, each of which is a linear combination of products $w_{i} w_{j}$ for some $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, N\}$. The following notation will be used throughout the paper.

Notation 4.3 Let $N=\binom{n+d}{d}-1$. For every integer $j, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant N$, we denote by $\alpha^{j}$ the multi-degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{j}\right)$, thus

$$
\alpha^{j}=\left(\alpha_{j_{0}}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{n}}\right) \text { whenever } \quad w_{j}=x_{0}^{\alpha_{j_{0}}} \ldots x_{n}^{\alpha_{j_{n}}} .
$$

We define
$m(j)=\min \left\{s \in\{0, \ldots, n\} \mid \alpha_{j_{s}} \geqslant 1\right\}$ and $M(j)=\max \left\{s \in\{0, \ldots, n\} \mid \alpha_{j_{s}} \geqslant 1\right\}$.
In other words, if $w_{j}=x_{j_{1}}^{\alpha_{j_{1}}} x_{j_{2}}^{\alpha_{j_{2}}} \ldots x_{j_{d}}^{\alpha_{j_{d}}}$ for some $0 \leqslant j_{1} \leqslant j_{2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant j_{d}$ and $\alpha_{j_{1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{j_{d}} \geqslant 1$, then $m(j)=j_{1}$ and $M(j)=j_{d}$. For example, if $w_{j}=x_{2} x_{4}^{3} x_{7}^{2}$, then $m(j)=2$ and $M(j)=7$. We further define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}(n, d) & =\{(i, j) \mid 0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant N\} ; \\
\mathrm{C}(n, 2, d) & =\{(i, j) \in \mathrm{P}(n, d) \mid M(i) \leqslant m(j)\} \\
& =\left\{(i, j) \in \mathrm{P}(n, d) \mid w_{i} w_{j} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}\right\} ; \\
\mathrm{C}(n, 3, d) & =\{(i, j, k) \mid 0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k \leqslant N,(i, j),(j, k) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)\} ; \\
\operatorname{MV}(n, d) & =\{(i, j) \in \mathrm{P}(n, d) \mid M(i)>m(j)\} \\
& =\left\{(i, j) \in \mathrm{P}(n, d) \mid w_{i} w_{j} \notin\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.4 Let $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{p}=\left(\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right)\right)_{p}$ be the set of all ordered monomials $w \in\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ of length $|w|=p$.
(1) The maps

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrl}
\Phi: C(n, 2, d) & \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d} & \text { and } & \Psi: C(n, 3, d)
\end{array} \rightarrow_{\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{3 d}}^{(i, j)} \mapsto w_{i} w_{j} \quad 1 i, j, k\right) \mapsto w_{i} w_{j} w_{k}
$$

are bijective. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |C(n, 2, d)|=\left|\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}\right|=\binom{n+2 d}{n}, \\
& |C(n, 3, d)|=\left|\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{3 d}\right|=\binom{n+3 d}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) The set $P(n, d)$ is a disjoint union $P(n, d)=C(n, 2, d) \sqcup \mathrm{MV}(n, d)$. Moreover

$$
|P(n, d)|=\binom{N+2}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad|\mathrm{MV}(n, d)|=\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}
$$

Proof (1) Given $w_{i}, w_{j} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$, their product $w=w_{i} w_{j}$ belongs to $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}$ if and only if $(i, j) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)$, hence $\Phi$ is well-defined. Observe that every $w \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}$ can be written uniquely as

$$
w=x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{d}} x_{j_{1}} \ldots x_{j_{d}}, \quad \text { where } 0 \leqslant i_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant i_{d} \leqslant j_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant j_{d}
$$

It follows that $w$ has a unique presentation $w=w_{i} w_{j}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{i}=x_{i_{1}} \ldots x_{i_{d}} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}, \quad w_{j}=x_{j_{1}} \ldots x_{j_{d}} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}, \\
& M(i)=i_{d} \leqslant m(j)=j_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad(i, j) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\Phi$ is a bijection.
Consider now the map $\Psi$. Given $w_{i}, w_{j}, w_{k} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$, their product $\omega=w_{i} w_{j} w_{k}$ (considered as an element in $\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ ) belongs to $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{3 d}$ if and only if $(i, j, k) \in$ $\mathrm{C}(n, 3, d)$, hence $\Psi$ is well-defined. The proof that $\Psi$ is bijective is similar to the case of $\Phi$.
(2) It is clear that

$$
|\mathrm{P}(n, d)|=\binom{N+1}{2}+N+1=\binom{N+2}{2}
$$

By definition $\mathrm{P}(n, d)=\mathrm{C}(n, 2, d) \sqcup \mathrm{MV}(n, d)$ is a disjoint union of sets, hence

$$
|\mathrm{MV}(n, d)|=|\mathrm{P}(n, d)|-|\mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)|=\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}
$$

The following result describes the $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$ of the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ in terms of generators and quadratic relations.

Theorem 4.5 Let $\mathbf{q}$ be an $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix and let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$. The $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^{(d)} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is a quadratic algebra with $\binom{n+d}{d}$ generators, namely the elements of $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$, subject to $(N+1)^{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}$ independent quadratic relations which split into two disjoint sets $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{2}$ given below.
(1) The set $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ contains exactly $\binom{N+1}{2}$ relations

$$
\mathcal{R}_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
f_{j i}=w_{j} w_{i}-\varphi_{j i} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}} & \begin{array}{l}
0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N \\
\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in C(n, 2, d), \varphi_{j i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}
\end{array} \tag{4.1}
\end{array}\right\},
$$

where for each pair $j>i$ the product $w_{j} w_{i}$ occurs exactly once in $\mathcal{R}_{1}$, and there is unique pair $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in C(n, 2, d)$ such that $\operatorname{Nor}\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)=\varphi_{j i} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}=\varphi_{j i} T_{\beta}$, with $\beta=\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}\right)$. One has

$$
\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}\left(f_{j i}\right)=w_{j} w_{i}>w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}=T_{\beta} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}
$$

Moreover, for every pair $(i, j) \in C(n, 2, d)$ such that $i<j$, the product $w_{i} w_{j}=$ $T_{\beta} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}$ occurs in a relation $w_{j} w_{i}-\varphi_{j i} w_{i} w_{j} \in \mathcal{R}_{1}$. Each coefficient $\varphi_{j i}$ is a non-zero complex number, uniquely determined by $\mathbf{q}$.
(2) The set $\mathcal{R}_{2}$ consists of exactly $\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}$ relations

$$
\mathcal{R}_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
f_{i j}=w_{i} w_{j}-\varphi_{i j} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}} & \begin{array}{l}
(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d), \\
\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in C(n, 2, d), \varphi_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}
\end{array} \tag{4.2}
\end{array}\right\},
$$

where for each pair $(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)$ the word $w_{i} w_{j}$ occurs exactly once in $\mathcal{R}_{2}$, and determines uniquely a pair $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in C(n, 2, d)$ with $i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$, and a nonzero complex number $\varphi_{i j}$ such that $\operatorname{Nor}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)=\varphi_{i j} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}=\varphi_{i j} T_{\beta}$, with $\beta=$
$\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}\right)$. In particular,

$$
\mathbf{L M}\left(f_{i j}\right)=w_{i} w_{j}>w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}=T_{\beta} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}
$$

(3) The relations $\mathcal{R}_{1} \cup \mathcal{R}_{2}$ imply a set $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime}$ of $\binom{N+1}{2}$ additional relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{w_{j} \cdot w_{i}-g_{j i} w_{i} \cdot w_{j} \mid g_{j i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for each $i<j$ the coefficient $g_{j i}=\frac{\varphi_{j i}}{\varphi_{i j}}$ is uniquely determined by the matrix q. We set $\varphi_{i j}=1$ whenever $(i, j) \in C(n, 2, d)$.
(4) Conversely, the relations $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \mathcal{R}_{2}$ imply the relations $\mathcal{R}_{1}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}^{\prime}$ is also a complete set of independent relations for the $d$-Veronese algebra $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$.

Proof (1) Suppose that $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N$. Then $w_{j}>w_{i}$, and it is not difficult to see that $M(j)>m(i)$, so $w_{j} w_{i}$ is not in normal form. By Remark 3.5, its normal form has the shape $\operatorname{Nor}\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)=\varphi_{j i} T_{\beta}$, where $\beta=\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)=\alpha^{i}+\alpha^{j}$, and $\varphi_{j i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is uniquely determined by the entries of $\mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 4.4, $T_{\beta}=w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}$ for a unique pair $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)$ of ordered monomials $w_{i^{\prime}} \leqslant w_{j^{\prime}}$ of length $d$. We claim that $w_{i^{\prime}}<w_{j^{\prime}}$.

Assume by contradiction that $w_{i^{\prime}}=w_{j^{\prime}}=x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{d}}$, where $x_{i_{1}} \leqslant x_{i_{2}} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant$ $x_{i_{d}}$. This implies that $w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}=w_{i^{\prime}}^{2}=x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{d}} x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \ldots x_{i_{d}} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}$. But this is possible if and only if $x_{i_{k}}=x_{i_{1}}$ for every $k \in\{2, \ldots, d\}$, that is $w_{i^{\prime}}=w_{j^{\prime}}=\left(x_{p}\right)^{d}$, for some $p \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$, so $T_{\beta}=\left(x_{p}\right)^{2 d}$. In other words $\beta=\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)$, where $\beta_{p}=2 d$ and $\beta_{i}=0$ for every $i \neq p$. One has $\beta=\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{j}\right)+$ $\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{i}\right)=\alpha^{j}+\alpha^{i}$, which together with $\left|w_{i}\right|=\left|w_{j}\right|=d$ imply $\alpha^{i}=\alpha^{j}$ and $w_{i}=w_{j}=\left(x_{p}\right)^{d}$, which is impossible, since by assumption $i<j$. Hence $w_{i^{\prime}}<w_{j^{\prime}}$ and $i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$. We know that the equality $w_{j} w_{i}=\operatorname{Nor}\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)$ holds in $\mathcal{A}$, hence it is an equality in $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$. This implies that the equality $\left(w_{j} w_{i}\right)=\varphi_{j i} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}$ holds in $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$, for all $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N$. It follows that $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ satisfies the relations $f_{j i}=0$, for all $f_{j i} \in \mathcal{R}_{1}$, see (4.1). Moreover, the relations satisfy the properties given in part (1). It is clear that the order of $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ is exactly $\binom{N+1}{2}$.
(2) Suppose that $(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)$. Then the following are equalities in $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
w_{i} w_{j}=\operatorname{Nor}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)=\varphi_{i j} T_{\beta}, \quad \text { where } T_{\beta}<w_{i} w_{j}, \quad \beta=\alpha^{i}+\alpha^{j}
$$

and $\varphi_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is uniquely determined by the entries of $\mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 4.4, $T_{\beta}=$ $w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}$ for a unique pair $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)$. We claim that $w_{i^{\prime}}<w_{j^{\prime}}$. As in part (1), assuming that $w_{i^{\prime}}=w_{j^{\prime}}$ we obtain that $w_{i}=w_{j}=\left(x_{p}\right)^{d}$, but then $w_{i} w_{j}=$ $\left(x_{p}^{d}\right)\left(x_{p}^{d}\right) \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)$, which contradicts our assumption $(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)$. The equality $w_{i} w_{j}=\operatorname{Nor}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)$ holds in $\mathcal{A}$, therefore it is an equality in $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$. We have shown that for every pair $(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)$ there is unique pair $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)$ such that $i^{\prime}<j^{\prime}$ and $w_{j} w_{i}=\varphi_{i i} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}$ holds in $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ satisfies the relations (4.2) from $\mathcal{R}_{2}$. It is clear that all properties listed in part (2) hold and $\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}\right|=|\mathrm{MV}(n, d)|=$
$\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L M}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}\right)=\left\{w_{j} w_{i} \mid w_{j}>w_{i}\right\} \\
& \mathbf{L M}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}\right)=\left\{w_{i} w_{j} \mid w_{i} \leqslant w_{j},(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $\mathbf{L M}\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}\right) \cap \mathbf{L M}\left(\mathcal{R}_{2}\right)=\varnothing$ and therefore $\mathcal{R}_{1} \cap \mathcal{R}_{2}=\varnothing$. Hence the set of relations $\mathcal{R}$ is a disjoint union $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{1} \sqcup \mathcal{R}_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathcal{R}|=\left|\mathcal{R}_{1}\right|+\left|\mathcal{R}_{2}\right| & =\binom{N+1}{2}+\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n} \\
& =(N+1)^{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}=\binom{n+d}{n}^{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(3) Assume now that $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N$. Two cases are possible.
(a) $(i, j) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)$. In this case $\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right)=(i, j)$ and $w_{j} w_{i}=\varphi_{j i} w_{i} w_{j}=$ $\varphi_{j i} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}$, so $g_{j i}=\varphi_{j i}$.
(b) $(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)$. Then the two relations

$$
w_{j} w_{i}=\varphi_{j i} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{i} w_{j}=\varphi_{i j} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}
$$

imply

$$
\left(\varphi_{j i}\right)^{-1} w_{j} \cdot w_{i}=w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}=\left(\varphi_{i j}\right)^{-1} w_{i} \cdot w_{j}
$$

and therefore $w_{j} \cdot w_{i}=\frac{\varphi_{j i}}{\varphi_{i j}} w_{i} \cdot w_{j}$. It follows that $w_{j} w_{i}=g_{j i} w_{i} w_{j}$, where the nonzero coefficient $g_{j i}=\frac{\varphi_{j i}}{\varphi_{i j}}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathbf{q}$.
(4) This is analogous to (3).

Observe that Theorem 4.5 contains important numerical data about the $d$-Veronese $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$, which will be used in the sequel, and which we summarise below.

Notation 4.6 Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ be the quantum space defined via a multiplicatively antisymmetric $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrix $\mathbf{q}$. Let $d \geqslant 2$ and $N=\binom{n+d}{n}-1$. We associate to the $d$-Veronese $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$ a list $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$ of invariants uniquely determined by $\mathbf{q}$ and $d$.

Let $\mathfrak{F}_{1}=\left\{\varphi_{j i} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N\right\}$ be the set of coefficients occurring in $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ (see (4.1)) and let $\mathfrak{F}_{2}=\left\{\varphi_{i j} \mid(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)\right\}$ be the set of coefficients occurring in $\mathcal{R}_{2}$ (see (4.2)). Let $\mathbf{g}=\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$ be the multiplicatively anti-symmetric $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix whose entries $g_{i j}, 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N$, are the coefficients occurring in $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime}$ see (4.3).

We collect this information about $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$ in the following data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}: & \mathbf{q}=\left\|q_{i j}\right\| ; \\
& \mathfrak{F}_{1}=\left\{\varphi_{j i} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N\right\}, \text { the set of coefficients occurring in }(4.1) ; \\
& \mathfrak{F}_{2}=\left\{\varphi_{i j} \mid i \leqslant j,(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)\right\}, \text { the set of coefficients } \\
& \text { occurring in }(4.2) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{g}=\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$, a multiplicatively anti-symmetric $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix with

$$
g_{j i}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } i=j \\ \left(\varphi_{j i}\right) /\left(\varphi_{i j}\right) & \text { for }(i, j) \in \mathrm{MV}(n, d) \text { and } i<j \\ \varphi_{j i} & \text { for }(i, j) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d) \text { and } i<j\end{cases}
$$

## 5 Veronese maps

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N=\binom{n+d}{d}-1$. In this section, we introduce and study noncommutative analogues of the Veronese embeddings $V_{n, d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$. The main result of the section is Theorem 5.2, which describes explicitly the reduced Gröbner bases for the kernel of the non-commutative Veronese map.

We keep the notation and conventions from the previous sections, so $X_{n}=$ $\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{n}=\mathcal{T}\left(X_{n}\right) \subset\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ is the set of ordered monomials (terms) in the alphabet $X_{n}$. The set $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$ of all degree $d$ terms is enumerated according the degree-lexicographic order in $\left\langle X_{n}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}=\left\{w_{0}=x_{0}^{d}<w_{1}=\left(x_{0}\right)^{d-1} x_{1}<\cdots<w_{N}=x_{n}^{d}\right\} .
$$

We introduce a second set of variables $Y_{N}=\left\{y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right\}$, and given an arbitrary multiplicatively anti-symmetric $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix $\mathbf{g}=\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$, we present the corresponding quantum space as $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle /\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)$, where

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}:=\left\{y_{j} y_{i}-g_{j i} y_{i} y_{j} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N\right\} .
$$

### 5.1 Definitions and first results

Lemma 5.1 Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $N=\binom{n+d}{d}-1$. Let $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$ and $Y_{N}$ be as above. For every $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q}$, there exists a unique $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{g}=\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$ such that the assignment

$$
y_{0} \mapsto w_{0}, \quad y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}, \quad \ldots, \quad y_{N} \mapsto w_{N}
$$

extends to an algebra homomorphism

$$
v_{n, d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}
$$

The entries of $\mathbf{g}$ are given explicitly in terms of the data $D\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}\right)$ of the $d$-Veronese $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$, see 4.6. The image of the map $v_{n, d}$ is the $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$.

We call $v_{n, d}$ the ( $n, d$ )-Veronese map.
Proof Suppose $\mathbf{q}$ is an $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ be the corresponding quantum space. Assume that there exists an $(N+1)$ $\times(N+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{g}$ such that the map $v_{n, d}$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. Then

$$
w_{j} w_{i}=v_{n, d}\left(y_{j} y_{i}\right)=v_{n, d}\left(g_{j i} y_{i} y_{j}\right)=g_{j i} w_{i} w_{j}
$$

for every $0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant N$. By Theorem 4.5,

$$
w_{j} w_{i}=\varphi_{j i} T_{\beta} \quad \text { and } \quad w_{i} w_{j}=\varphi_{i j} T_{\beta},
$$

for every $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N$, where $T_{\beta} \in\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{2 d}$ is the unique ordered monomial of multi-degree $\beta=\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{j}\right)+\operatorname{deg}\left(w_{i}\right)$. In the particular cases when $(i, j) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d)$, one has $w_{i} w_{j}=T_{\beta}$, so $\varphi_{i j}=1$. The nonzero coefficients $\varphi_{j i}$ and $\varphi_{i j}$ are uniquely determined by the matrix $\mathbf{q}$, see 4.6 . It follows that the equalities

$$
\varphi_{j i} T_{\beta}=w_{j} w_{i}=g_{j i} w_{i} w_{j}=g_{j i} \varphi_{i j} T_{\beta}
$$

hold in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$, so $\left(g_{j i} \varphi_{i j}-\varphi_{j i}\right) T_{\beta}=0$. But $T_{\beta}$ is in the $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j i}=\frac{\varphi_{j i}}{\varphi_{i j}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant N$, which agrees with 4.6. This determines a unique multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{g}$ with the required properties, and therefore the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ is also uniquely determined. The image of $v_{n, d}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ generated by the ordered monomials $\mathcal{T}_{d}$, which by Theorem 4.5 is exactly the $d$-Veronese $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$.

Conversely, if $\mathbf{g}=\left\|g_{i j}\right\|$ is an $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix whose entries satisfy (5.1) then $\mathbf{g}$ is a multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix which determines a quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ and the Veronese map $v_{n, d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}, y_{i} \mapsto w_{i}, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, is well-defined.

We fix an $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{q}$ defining the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ be the quantum space defined via the $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix $\mathbf{g}$ from Lemma 5.1. To simplify notation, as in the previous subsection, we shall write $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$. We know that there is a standard finite presentation $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle /\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}:=\left\{y_{j} y_{i}-g_{j i} y_{i} y_{j} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N\right\} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $J=\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)=\operatorname{ker} \rho$, where $\rho$ is the canonical projection

$$
\rho: \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle /\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}
$$

We can lift the Veronese map $v_{n, d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ to a uniquely determined homomorphism $V: \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ extending the assignment

$$
y_{0} \mapsto w_{0}, y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}, \ldots, y_{N} \mapsto w_{N}
$$

It is clear that the map $V$ is surjective, since the restriction $V_{\mid Y_{N}}: Y_{N} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$ is bijective, and the set of ordered monomials $\left(\mathcal{T}^{n}\right)_{d}$ generates $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$.

Let $K:=\operatorname{ker} V \subset \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$. We want to find the reduced Gröbner basis $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ of the ideal $K$ with respect to the degree-lexicographic order $\prec$ on $\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$, where $y_{0} \prec \cdots \prec y_{N}$.

Heuristically, we use the explicit information on the $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ given in terms of generators and relations in Theorem 4.5, (4.1), and (4.2). In each of these relations we replace $w_{i}$ with $y_{i}, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant N$, preserving the remaining data (the coefficients and the sets of indices), and obtain a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$. This yields two disjoint sets of linearly independent quadratic binomials $\Re_{1}$ and $\Re_{2}$ in $\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ :

- The set $\Re_{1}$, corresponding to the set $\mathcal{R}_{1}$ defined in (4.1), consists of $\binom{N+1}{2}$ quadratic relations:

$$
\Re_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
F_{j i}=y_{j} y_{i}-\varphi_{j i} y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}} & \begin{array}{l}
0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N, i^{\prime}<j^{\prime},\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d) \\
y_{j} y_{i} \succ y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}}, \varphi_{j i} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}
\end{array} \tag{5.3}
\end{array}\right\} ;
$$

- The set $\Re_{2}$, corresponding to the set $\mathcal{R}_{2}$ defined in (4.2), has exactly $\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}$ relations:

$$
\Re_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l|l}
F_{i j}=y_{i} y_{j}-\varphi_{i j} y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}} & \begin{array}{l}
(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d), i^{\prime}<j^{\prime},\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 2, d) \\
y_{i} y_{j} \succ y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}}, \varphi_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}
\end{array} \tag{5.4}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

There is one more set which is contained in $K$ : the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}$ of defining relations for $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$. Note that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}$ corresponds exactly to $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{\prime}$ from (4.3). We set $\Re=\Re_{1} \cup \Re_{2}$ and $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} \cup \mathfrak{R}_{2}$. It is not difficult to see that there are equalities of ideals in $\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ :

$$
(\Re)=\left(\Re_{1}, \Re_{2}\right)=\left(\Re^{\prime}\right)=\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}, \Re_{2}\right)
$$

and that the set of relations $\Re$ and $\Re^{\prime}$ are equivalent.
It is clear that the set $\Re=\Re_{1} \cup \Re_{2}$ of quadratic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ and the set $\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{1} \cup \mathcal{R}_{2}$ of relations of the $d$-Veronese subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ from Theorem 4.5 have the same cardinality. In fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Re^{\prime}\right|=|\mathfrak{R}|=|\mathcal{R}|=(N+1)^{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

as computed in (4.4). We shall prove that the set $\mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{R}_{1} \cup \Re_{2}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of $K$, while $\Re^{\prime}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of $K$.

Theorem 5.2 With notation as above, let $V: \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ be the algebra homomorphism extending the assignment

$$
y_{0} \mapsto w_{0}, \quad y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}, \ldots, \quad y_{N} \mapsto w_{N},
$$

let $K$ be the kernel of $V$. Let $\Re=\Re_{1} \cup \Re_{2}$ be the set of quadratic polynomials given in (5.3) and (5.4), and let $\Re^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} \cup \Re_{2}$, where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is given in (5.2). Then
(1) $\mathfrak{R}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $K$.
(2) $\Re^{\prime}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $K$.

Proof We start with a general observation. The quantum space $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ is a quadratic algebra, therefore its $d$-Veronese $\mathcal{A}^{(d)} \cong \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle / K$ is also quadratic, see Remark 4.2. Hence $K$ is generated by quadratic polynomials and it is graded by length.

Remark 5.3 It is clear that the sets of leading monomials and the sets of normal monomials satisfy the following equalities in $\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{L M}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right) & =\mathbf{L M}\left(\Re_{1}\right)=\left\{y_{j} y_{i} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N\right\}, \\
\mathbf{L M}\left(\Re_{2}\right) & =\left\{y_{i} y_{j} \mid(i, j) \in \mathbf{M V}(n, d)\right\}, \\
\mathbf{L M}(\Re) & =\mathbf{L M}\left(\Re_{1}\right) \cup \mathbf{L M}\left(\Re_{2}\right)=\mathbf{L M}\left(\Re^{\prime}\right), \\
N(\Re) & =N\left(\Re^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $K$ if and only if $\mathfrak{R}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis of $K$.

By Theorem 4.5, the quadratic polynomials $F_{j i}\left(Y_{n}\right)$ in (5.3) and $F_{i j}\left(Y_{n}\right)$ in (5.4) satisfy

$$
V\left(F_{j i}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right)\right)=f_{j i}\left(w_{0}, \ldots, w_{N}\right)=0, \quad \text { for every } 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant N
$$

and

$$
V\left(F_{i j}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{N}\right)\right)=f_{i j}\left(w_{0}, \ldots, w_{N}\right)=0, \quad \text { for every }(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)
$$

Thus $\Re \subset K$ and, in a similar way, $\Re^{\prime} \subset K$. We shall show that $\Re$ is a reduced Gröbner basis of $K$.

As usual, $N(K) \subset \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ denotes the set of normal monomials modulo $K$, and $N(\Re) \subset \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$ denotes the set of normal words modulo $\Re$. In general,

$$
N(K) \subseteq N(\Re),
$$

and by Corollary 2.6 equality holds if and only if $\mathfrak{R}$ is a Gröbner basis of $K$. Recall from Sect. 2.3 that there are isomorphisms of vector spaces

$$
\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle=K \oplus \mathbb{C} N(K), \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{C} N(K) \cong \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle / K \cong \mathcal{A}^{(d)}
$$

The ideal $K$ is graded by length, i.e. $K=\bigoplus_{j \geqslant 0} K_{j}$, with $K_{0}=K_{1}=0$.
For $j \geqslant 0$, let $N(K)_{j}$ be the set of normal words of length $j$, with the convention that $N(K)_{0}=\{1\}, N(K)_{1}=Y_{N}$. As vector spaces,

$$
\left(\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle\right)_{j}=K_{j} \oplus \mathbb{C} N(K)_{j}, \text { and } \mathbb{C} N(K)_{j} \cong \mathcal{A}_{j}^{(d)}=\mathcal{A}_{j d}, \quad \text { for every } j \geqslant 2
$$

In particular, $\left(\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle\right)_{2}=K_{2} \oplus \mathbb{C} N(K)_{2}$, so

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle\right)_{2}=\operatorname{dim} K_{2}+\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{C} N(K)_{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim} K_{2}+\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{2 d} .
$$

We know that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{2 d}=\binom{n+2 d}{n} \text { and } \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle\right)_{2}=\left|\left(Y_{N}\right)^{2}\right|=(N+1)^{2},
$$

where $Y_{N}^{2}$ is the set of all words of length two in $\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$. This, together with (5.5), implies

$$
\operatorname{dim} K_{2}=(N+1)^{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}=|\Re| .
$$

Clearly, the set $\mathfrak{R}$ consists of linearly independent polynomials, therefore $\operatorname{dim} K_{2}=$ $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{C} \Re=|\Re|$. It follows that $\mathbb{C} \Re=K_{2}$, and since $K$ is generated by quadratic polynomials, one has $K=(\Re)$.

We shall use the following remark.
Remark 5.4 The following are equivalent:
(1) $y_{i} y_{j} y_{k} \in N(\Re)_{3}$;
(2) $y_{i} y_{j} \in N(\Re)_{2}$ and $y_{j} y_{k} \in N(\Re)_{2}$;
(3) $(i, j, k) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 3, d)$.

Moreover, there are equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N(\Re)_{3}\right|=|\mathrm{C}(n, 3, d)|=\binom{n+3 d}{n} . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that $\mathcal{A}_{3}^{(d)}=\mathcal{A}_{3 d}$, $\operatorname{so} \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{3}^{(d)}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{3 d}=\binom{n+3 d}{n}$, which together with (5.6) imply

$$
\left|N(\Re)_{3}\right|=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{3 d} .
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 that the set $\mathfrak{R}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $K$. The set of leading monomials $\mathbf{L M}(\Re)$ is an antichain of monomials, hence $\mathfrak{R}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis. For $j>i$, every $F_{j i} \in \mathfrak{R}$ defined in (5.3) is in normal form modulo $\mathfrak{R} \backslash\left\{F_{j i}\right\}$. Similarly, for $(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)$, every $F_{i j} \in \Re$ defined in (5.4) is in normal
 $K$.

It follows from Remark 5.3 that $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of $K$.

### 5.2 The Veronese map $v_{n, d}$ and the reduced Gröbner basis of its kernel

Theorem 5.5 Let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N=\binom{n+d}{d}$ - 1. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ be a quantum space defined by an $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ deformation matrix $\mathbf{q}$ and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ be the quantum space whose multiplicatively anti-symmetric $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ matrix $\mathbf{g}$ is determined by Lemma 5.1. Let

$$
v_{n, d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}
$$

be the Veronese map extending the assignment

$$
y_{0} \mapsto w_{0}, y_{1} \mapsto w_{1}, \ldots, y_{N} \mapsto w_{N} .
$$

(1) The image of $v_{n, d}$ is the d-Veronese subalgebra $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$.
(2) The kernel $\mathfrak{K}:=\operatorname{ker}\left(v_{n, d}\right)$ of the Veronese map has a reduced Gröbner basis consisting of exactly $\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}$ binomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{v}:=\left\{y_{i} y_{j}-\varphi_{i j} y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}} \mid(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d),\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in C(n, 2, d), \varphi_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right\}, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Nor}\left(v_{n, d}\left(y_{i} y_{j}\right)\right)=\varphi_{i j} v_{n, d}\left(y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}}\right), y_{i} y_{j} \succ y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}}$, and $\varphi_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$are invariants of $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$ given in Notation 4.6.

Proof Part (1) follows from Lemma 5.1. For part (2), we first prove that the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{v}$ generates $\mathfrak{K}$. The proof is similar to the argument describing the kernel $K=\operatorname{ker} V$ in Theorem 5.2.

Note that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{v}} \subset \mathfrak{K}$. Indeed, by direct computation, one shows that $v_{n, d}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{v}}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{2}$, the set of relations of the $d$-Veronese $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)}$ given in (4.2), so $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{v}} \subset \mathfrak{K}$. Moreover, it follows from (5.7) that for each pair $(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)$ the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{V}$ contains exactly one element, namely $y_{i} y_{j}-\varphi_{i j} y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}}$, where $\operatorname{Nor}\left(v_{n, d}\left(y_{i} y_{j}\right)\right)=\varphi_{i j} v_{n, d}\left(y_{i^{\prime}} y_{j^{\prime}}\right)$. Here we consider the normal form $\operatorname{Nor}\left(v_{n, d}\left(y_{i} y_{j}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Nor}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right)=\varphi_{i j} w_{i^{\prime}} w_{j^{\prime}}$, see Theorem 4.5 (2). Hence

$$
\left|\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right|=|\operatorname{MV}(n, d)|=\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{n}
$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 4.4. By Convention 3.9, we identify $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \cong\left(\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right), \bullet\right)$. Our goal is to show that the two set of normal words $N(\mathfrak{K})$ and $N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ coincide, where

$$
N(\mathfrak{K})=N_{<_{0}}(\mathfrak{K}) \subset \mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)=N_{<_{0}}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right) \subset \mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right),
$$

as in Definition 3.11. There are obvious isomorphisms of vector spaces

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)=\mathfrak{K} \oplus \mathbb{C} N(\mathfrak{K})
$$

For simplicity of notation, we set $B=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} / \mathfrak{K}$ and consider the canonical grading of $B$ induced by the grading of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$. Then

$$
B=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} / \operatorname{ker}\left(v_{n, d}\right) \cong \operatorname{im}\left(v_{n, d}\right)=\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)^{(d)},
$$

so there are equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)_{m}=\left(\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)\right)_{m}=(\mathfrak{K})_{m} \oplus(\mathbb{C} N(\mathfrak{K}))_{m} \text { and } B_{m} \cong\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)_{m}^{(d)}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)_{m d} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $m \geqslant 2$. In particular, for $m=2$ one has $B_{2} \cong\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)_{2}^{(d)}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)_{2 d}$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)_{2}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{K})_{2}+\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)_{2 d}, \text { hence }\binom{N+2}{2}=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{K})_{2}+\binom{n+2 d}{2},
$$

which implies

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{K})_{2}=\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2 d}{2}=\left|\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right| .
$$

It is clear that the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ is linearly independent, so it is a basis of the graded component $\mathfrak{K}_{2}$, and $\mathfrak{K}_{2}=\mathbb{C} \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$. But the ideal $\mathfrak{K}$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials of degree 2 , therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{K}=\left(\mathfrak{K}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right), \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ generates the kernel $\mathfrak{K}$.
We are now ready to prove that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ is a Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{K}$. We shall provide two proofs.

First proof Here we use an analogue of Remark 5.4 in the settings of a quantum space.
Remark 5.6 The following are equivalent:
(1) $y_{i} y_{j} y_{k} \in N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{v}}\right)_{3}$;
(2) $y_{i} y_{j} \in N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)_{2}$ and $y_{j} y_{k} \in N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)_{2}$;
(3) $(i, j, k) \in \mathrm{C}(n, 3, d)$.

Moreover there are equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)_{3}\right|=|\mathrm{C}(n, 3, d)|=\binom{n+3 d}{n} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.8), $\operatorname{dim} B_{3}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}_{3 d}=\binom{n+3 d}{n}$, which together with (5.10) implies

$$
\left|N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)_{3}\right|=\operatorname{dim} B_{3} .
$$

Now Lemma 3.14 implies that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\mathfrak{K}=\operatorname{ker}\left(v_{n, d}\right)$. It is clear that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{K}$.

Second proof We shall use Theorem 5.2 and ideas from [37]. By (5.9), we know that the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ generates $\mathfrak{K}$. Consider now the ideal $\operatorname{Nor}^{-1}(\mathfrak{K})$ in $\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$. It is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{Nor}^{-1}(\mathfrak{K})=\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{g}}+\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)=\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)+\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}\right)=K,
$$

where $K=\operatorname{ker} V$ is the kernel of the epimorphism $V: \mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{(d)}$ from Theorem 5.2. Indeed, the polynomials in $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{v}}$, considered as elements of the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$, satisfy

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}=\mathfrak{R}_{1}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{v}}=\mathfrak{R}_{2},
$$

where $\Re_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\Re_{2}$ are the relations given in Theorem 5.2, see (4.3) and (5.4). Hence by the same theorem, the set $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} \cup \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{v}}$ is a minimal Gröbner basis of the ideal $K$. Theorem 5.2 also implies that the disjoint union of quadratic relations $\mathfrak{i}=\Re_{1} \cup \Re_{2}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}\left\langle Y_{N}\right\rangle$. It follows from [37, Proposition 9.3 (3)] that the intersection

$$
G=\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathbb{C} N\left(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)=\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathbb{C} N\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)
$$

is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $\mathfrak{K}=\operatorname{ker}\left(v_{n, d}\right)$. Moreover, we have $N\left(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)=$ $\mathbb{C T}\left(Y_{N}\right)$. Then the obvious equalities

$$
G=\mathfrak{R} \cap \mathbb{C} N\left(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)=\left(\Re_{1} \cup \Re_{2}\right) \cap \mathbb{C} \mathcal{T}\left(Y_{N}\right)=\Re_{2}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}
$$

imply that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathrm{V}}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{K}$.
We remark that [37, Proposition 9.3 (4)] implies that the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} \cup G=\mathfrak{R}_{1}^{\prime} \cup \mathfrak{R}_{2}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $K$. This fact agrees with Part (3) of our Theorem 5.2, proven independently.

Corollary 5.7 The set of leading monomials for the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{v}$ does not depend on the deformation matrix $\mathbf{q}$ and equals

$$
\mathbf{L M}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}}^{v}\right)=\left\{y_{i} y_{j} \mid(i, j) \in \operatorname{MV}(n, d)\right\}
$$

## 6 Segre products and Segre maps

In this section we introduce and investigate non-commutative analogues of the Segre embedding $S_{n, m}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \times \mathbb{P}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{(n+1)(m+1)-1}$. The main result of the section is Theorem 6.10, which describes explicitly the reduced Gröbner basis for the kernel of the non-commutative Segre map. We first recall the notion of Segre product of graded algebras, following [38, Sect. 3.2].

Definition 6.1 Let

$$
R=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} R_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad S=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} S_{k}
$$

be graded algebras. The Segre product of $R$ and $S$ is the graded algebra

$$
R \circ S:=\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} R_{k} \otimes S_{k}
$$

Clearly, the Segre product $R \circ S$ is a subalgebra of the tensor product algebra $R \otimes S$. Note that the embedding is not a graded algebra morphism, as it doubles grading. The Hilbert function of $R \circ S$ satisfies

$$
h_{R \circ S}(t)=\operatorname{dim}(R \circ S)_{t}=\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{t} \otimes S_{t}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{t}\right) \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(S_{t}\right)=h_{R}(t) \cdot h_{S}(t)
$$

Given $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
N:=(n+1)(m+1)-1 .
$$

Let $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{q}^{\prime}$ be two multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrices of sizes $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ and $(m+1) \times(m+1)$, respectively, and let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$ be the corresponding quantum spaces. We shall construct a quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ defined via $N+1$ (double indexed) generators

$$
Z_{n m}=\left\{z_{i \alpha} \mid i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}, \alpha \in\{0, \ldots, m\}\right\}
$$

and an $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{g}$ uniquely determined by $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{q}^{\prime}$.

Convention 6.2 We order the set $Z_{n m}$ using the lexicographic ordering on the pairs of indices $(i, \alpha), 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant m$, that is, $z_{i \alpha} \prec z_{j \beta}$ if and only if either (a) $i<j$, or (b) $i=j$, and $\alpha<\beta$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n m}=\left\{z_{00} \prec z_{01} \prec \cdots \prec z_{0 m} \prec z_{10} \prec \cdots \prec z_{n m-1} \prec z_{n m}\right\} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When no confusion arises, we write $Z$ for $Z_{n m}$. As usual, we consider the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle Z\rangle$ and fix the degree-lexicographic ordering $\prec$ induced by (6.1) on the free monoid $\langle Z\rangle$.

In this section, we shall work simultaneously with three disjoint sets of variables, $X=X_{n}, Y=Y_{m}$, and $Z=Z_{n m}$. We shall use notation $\mathcal{T}(X)=\mathcal{T}^{n}, \mathcal{T}(Y)=\mathcal{T}^{m}$ and $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ for the corresponding sets of ordered terms in variables $X$, respectively $Y$, respectively $Z$. In particular, the set $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ of ordered monomials in $Z$ with respect to the ordering (6.1) is

$$
\mathcal{T}(Z)=\left\{z_{00}^{k_{00}} z_{01}^{k_{01}} \ldots z_{10}^{k_{10}} \ldots z_{n m}^{k_{n m}} \mid k_{i \alpha} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}, \alpha \in\{0, \ldots, m\}\right\}
$$

As in Convention 3.9, we identify $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ with $(\mathbb{C T}(X), \bullet)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$ with $(\mathbb{C T}(Y), \bullet)$.
Remark 6.3 Consider the free associative algebra $\mathbb{C}\langle X ; Y\rangle=\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{0}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.y_{m}\right\rangle$, generated by the disjoint union $X_{n} \sqcup Y_{m}$, and the free monoid $\langle X ; Y\rangle=$ $\left\langle x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{0}, \ldots, y_{m}\right\rangle$ with the canonical degree-lexicographic ordering $\prec$ extending $x_{0} \prec x_{1} \prec \cdots \prec x_{n} \prec y_{0} \prec y_{1} \prec \cdots \prec y_{m}$. Let
$\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{R}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}\right)=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}} \cup \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}} \cup\left\{y_{\alpha} x_{i}-x_{i} y_{\alpha} \mid i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}, \alpha \in\{0, \ldots, m\}\right\}$.
Then $\mathcal{R}_{0}$ is the reduced Gröbner basis of the two-sided ideal $\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}\right)$ of $\mathbb{C}\langle X ; Y\rangle$ and there is an isomorphism of algebras

$$
\mathbb{C}\langle X ; Y\rangle /\left(\mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}
$$

Proposition 6.4 In notation as above, let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$ be quantum spaces and let $N:=(n+1)(m+1)-1$. Then there exists a unique $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{g}=\left\|g_{i \alpha, j \beta}\right\|$ such that the assignment

$$
z_{i \alpha} \mapsto x_{i} \otimes y_{\alpha}, \quad \text { for every } i \in\{0, \ldots, n\} \text { and every } \alpha \in\{0, \ldots, m\},
$$

extends to a well-defined $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n, m}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the following conditions hold:
(1) The quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ is presented as

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}=\mathbb{C}\langle Z\rangle /\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}:=\left\{z_{j \beta} z_{i \alpha}-\left(g_{j \beta, i \alpha}\right) z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} \mid z_{j \beta} \succ z_{i \alpha}, z_{j \beta}, z_{i \alpha} \in Z\right\}
$$

is a reduced Gröbner basis for the two-sided ideal $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}\langle Z\rangle$.
(2) There is an isomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \cong(\mathbb{C T}(Z)$, $\bullet)$, where the multiplication $\bullet$ is defined as $u \bullet v:=\operatorname{Nor}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{g}}}(u v)$.
(3) The image $s_{n, m}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)$ is the Segre subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$.

We call the homomorphism $s_{n, m}$ the ( $n, m$ )-Segre map.
Proof Assume that there exists an $(N+1) \times(N+1)$ multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix $\mathbf{g}$ such that $s_{n, m}$ is a homomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras. Let $Z=Z_{n m}$ as above be
the set of generators of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$. We compute $s_{n, m}\left(z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta}\right)$ in two different ways:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{n, m}\left(z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta}\right) & =s_{n, m}\left(z_{i \alpha}\right) s_{n, m}\left(z_{j \beta}\right) \\
& =\left(x_{i} \otimes y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{j} \otimes y_{\beta}\right)=\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \otimes\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right), \\
s_{n, m}\left(z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta}\right) & =s_{n, m}\left(g_{i \alpha, j \beta}\left(z_{j \beta} z_{i \alpha}\right)\right)=g_{i \alpha, j \beta} s_{n, m}\left(z_{j \beta} z_{i \alpha}\right) \\
& =g_{i \alpha, j \beta} s_{n, m}\left(z_{j \beta}\right) s_{n, m}\left(z_{i \alpha}\right)=g_{i \alpha, j \beta}\left(x_{j} x_{i} \otimes y_{\beta} y_{\alpha}\right) \\
& =g_{i \alpha, j \beta} q_{j i} q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \otimes\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \otimes\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right)=\left(g_{i \alpha, j \beta} q_{j i} q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\right)\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \otimes\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right)
$$

for every $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ and every $\alpha, \beta \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. It follows that $\mathbf{g}=\left\|g_{i \alpha, j \beta}\right\|$ is a multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix uniquely determined by the equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i \alpha, j \beta}=\left(q_{j i} q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=q_{i j} q_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark that the matrix $\mathbf{g}$ is equal to the the Kronecker product $\mathbf{q} \otimes \mathbf{q}^{\prime}$ of the matrices $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{q}^{\prime}$.

Conversely, if $\mathbf{g}$ is the multiplicatively anti-symmetric matrix defined via (6.3), then the Segre map (6.2) is a well-defined algebra homomorphism. Conditions (1) and (2) follow straightforwardly from the discussion in Sect. 3, see Remarks 3.4 and Convention 3.9. The Segre subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$ is generated by the elements $x_{i} \otimes y_{\alpha}$ for $i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ and $\alpha \in\{0, \ldots, m\}$. By construction $s_{n, m}\left(z_{i \alpha}\right)=x_{i} \otimes y_{\alpha}$, hence the image $s_{n, m}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)$ is the Segre subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$, which proves (3).

As usual, we identify the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ with $(\mathbb{C T}(Z), \bullet)$, see Convention 3.9.
Remark 6.5 Being a Segre product, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}=s_{n, m}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)$ inherits various properties from the two algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$. In particular, since the latter are one-generated, quadratic, and Koszul, it follows from [38, Proposition 3.2.1] that the algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$ is also one-generated, quadratic, and Koszul. Clearly, the set $\left\{x_{i} \otimes y_{\alpha} \mid i \in\{0, \ldots, n\}, \alpha \in\{0, \ldots, m\}\right\}$ of cardinality $N+1=(n+1)(m+1)$ is a generating set of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$.

Lemma 6.6 The following equalities hold in the Segre product $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$, for all $i, j$, $\alpha, \beta$, such that $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ and $0 \leqslant \alpha<\beta \leqslant m$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\beta}\right) & =\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \circ\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right), \\
\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\beta}\right)\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right) & =q_{j i} q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \circ\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right)=q_{j i} q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\beta}\right), \\
\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\beta}\right) & =q_{j i} q_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \circ\left(y_{\beta} y_{\alpha}\right)=q_{j i} q_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\beta}\right)\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\alpha}\right),  \tag{6.4}\\
\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\beta}\right)\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\alpha}\right) & =x_{i} x_{j} \circ y_{\beta} y_{\alpha} \\
& =q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \circ\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right)=q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\beta}\right), \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x_{j} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)=q_{j i}\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right) \circ\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\alpha}\right),=q_{j i}\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{j} \circ y_{\alpha}\right) \\
& \left(x_{i} \circ y_{\beta}\right)\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)=q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} x_{i}\right) \circ\left(y_{\alpha} y_{\beta}\right)=q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime}\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\alpha}\right)\left(x_{i} \circ y_{\beta}\right) . \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 6.7 (1) The equalities given in Lemma 6.6 imply the following explicit list of defining relations $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}}$ for the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
z_{j \beta} z_{i \alpha}-q_{j i} q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime} z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} & \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} & \text { by (6.4), } \\
z_{j \alpha} z_{i \beta}-q_{j i} q_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime} z_{i \beta} z_{j \alpha} & \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} & \text { by (6.4), } \\
z_{j \alpha} z_{i \alpha}-q_{j i} z_{i \alpha} z_{j \alpha} & \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} & \text { by (6.6), }, \\
z_{i \beta} z_{i \alpha}-q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime} z_{i \alpha} z_{i \beta} & \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{g}} & \text { by (6.6), }
\end{array}
$$

for every $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ and every $0 \leqslant \alpha<\beta \leqslant m$.
(2) Equalities (6.5) imply that the following quadratic binomials in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ are in the kernel of the Segre map:

$$
z_{i \beta} z_{j \alpha}-q_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime} z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} \in \operatorname{ker} s(n, m)
$$

for every $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ and every $0 \leqslant \alpha<\beta \leqslant m$.
Notation 6.8 We denote by $\operatorname{MS}(n, m)$ the following collection of quadruples:

$$
\operatorname{MS}(n, m)=\{(i, j, \beta, \alpha) \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \alpha<\beta \leqslant m\} .
$$

Lemma 6.9 The cardinality of $\operatorname{MS}(n, m)$ is

$$
|\operatorname{MS}(n, m)|=\binom{n+1}{2}\binom{m+1}{2}
$$

Proof Clearly, $|\{(i, j) \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n\}|=\binom{n+1}{2}$. Moreover, for each fixed pair $(i, j)$, $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$, the number of quadruples $\{(i, j, \beta, \alpha) \mid 0 \leqslant \alpha<\beta \leqslant m\}$ is exactly $\binom{m+1}{2}$, which finishes the proof.

We keep the notation and conventions of this section, in particular we identify the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ with $(\mathbb{C T}(Z), \bullet)$. Recall that if $P \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ is an arbitrary set, then $\mathbf{L M}(P)=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}_{<_{0}}(P)$ denotes the set of leading monomials

$$
\mathbf{L M}(P)=\left\{\mathbf{L} \mathbf{M}_{<_{0}}(f) \mid f \in P\right\} .
$$

A monomial $T \in \mathcal{T}(Z)$ is normal modulo $P$ if it does not contain as a subword any $u \in \mathbf{L M}(P)$. The set of all normal $\bmod P$ monomials in $\mathcal{T}(Z)$ is denoted by $N_{<_{0}}(P)$, so

$$
N_{<_{0}}(P)=\{T \in \mathcal{T}(Z) \mid T \text { is normal } \bmod \mathrm{P}\} .
$$

A criterion for a Gröbner basis $F$ of an ideal $\mathfrak{K}=(F)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$ follows straightforwardly as an analogue of Lemma 3.13, in which we only replace $Y_{N}$ with the set of generators $Z$, and keep the remaining notation and assumptions.

Theorem 6.10 The set

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{s}:=\left\{z_{i \beta} z_{j \alpha}-\mathbf{q}_{\beta \alpha}^{\prime} z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} \mid 0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n, 0<\alpha<\beta \leqslant m\right\} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}
$$

consisting of $\binom{n+1}{2}\binom{m+1}{2}$ quadratic binomials is a reduced Gröbner basis for the kernel of the Segre map

$$
s_{n, m}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}
$$

Proof It is clear that $\left|\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right|=|\mathrm{MS}(n, m)|=\binom{n+1}{2}\binom{c+1}{2}$. We set

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathfrak{K}=\operatorname{ker} s_{n, m}, & N(\mathfrak{K})=N_{<_{0}}(\mathfrak{K}), \\
\mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{s}}, & N(\mathcal{R})=N_{<_{0}}(\mathcal{R}) .
\end{array}
$$

By Remark $6.7(2), \mathcal{R} \subset \mathfrak{K}$. We claim that $\mathcal{R}$ generates $\mathfrak{K}$ as a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}$.
The image $s_{n, m}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)$ is the Segre product $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}$, which is a quadratic algebra, see Remark 6.5. Therefore the kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ is generated by polynomials of degree 2 . Moreover, there is an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$
\mathbb{C} N(\mathfrak{K}) \cong \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m} .
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{dim}(\mathbb{C} N(\mathfrak{K}))_{2}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)_{2}\right) \operatorname{dim}\left(\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}\right)_{2}\right)=\binom{n+2}{2}\binom{m+2}{2} .
$$

It is clear that $\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)_{2}=(\mathbb{C T}(Z))_{2}=(\mathfrak{K})_{2} \oplus(\mathbb{C} N(\mathfrak{K}))_{2}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{K})_{2} & =\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)_{2}-\operatorname{dim}(\mathbb{C} N(\mathfrak{K}))_{2}=\binom{N+2}{2}-\binom{n+2}{2}\binom{m+2}{2} \\
& =\binom{(n+1)(m+1)+1}{2}-\binom{n+2}{2}\binom{m+2}{2} \\
& =\binom{n+1}{2}\binom{m+1}{2}=|\mathcal{R}| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the equality $|\mathcal{R}|=\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$, together with the obvious linear independence of the elements of $\mathcal{R}$, imply that $\mathcal{R}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$, so it spans the space $(\mathfrak{K})_{2}$. But we know that the kernel $\mathfrak{K}$ is generated by polynomials of degree 2 , hence $\mathfrak{K}=(\mathcal{R})$.

Next we shall prove that $\mathcal{R}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\mathfrak{K}$. Let $B=\mathcal{A}^{N} / \mathfrak{K}$. Then

$$
B=\mathcal{A}^{N} / \operatorname{ker}\left(s_{n, m}\right) \cong s_{n, m}\left(\mathcal{A}^{N}\right)=\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} B_{3}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}\right)_{3}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{n}\right)_{3} \cdot \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{m}\right)_{3}=\binom{n+3}{3}\binom{m+3}{3} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that $\operatorname{dim} B_{3}=\left|(N(\mathcal{R}))_{3}\right|$. Indeed, by the identification $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N} \simeq(\mathbb{C T}(Z), \bullet)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{N}\right)_{3} & =(\mathbb{C T}(Z))_{3} \\
& =\mathbb{C}\left\{z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} z_{k \gamma} \mid(i, \alpha) \leqslant(j, \beta) \leqslant(k, \gamma), 0 \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leqslant m\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, a monomial $z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} z_{k \gamma} \in(\mathcal{T}(Z))_{3}$ is normal modulo $\mathcal{R}$ if and only if each of its subwords of length $2, z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta}$ and $z_{j \beta} z_{k \gamma}$, is normal modulo $\mathcal{R}$. Moreover,

$$
N(\mathcal{R})_{2}=\left\{z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} \mid 0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \beta \leqslant m\right\},
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(\mathcal{R})_{3}=\left\{z_{i \alpha} z_{j \beta} z_{k \gamma} \mid 0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \beta \leqslant \gamma \leqslant m\right\} . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (6.8) that

$$
\left|N(\mathcal{R})_{3}\right|=\binom{n+3}{3}\binom{m+3}{3}
$$

which together with (6.7) give the desired equality $\operatorname{dim} B_{3}=\left|(N(\mathcal{R}))_{3}\right|$. Now Lemma 3.14 implies that $\mathcal{R}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\mathfrak{K}$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{R}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{K}$.

## 7 Examples

We present here some examples that illustrate the results of our paper.
Example 7.1 (The non-commutative twisted cubic curve) Let $n=1$ and $d=3$. Then

$$
X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}, \quad \mathbf{q}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & q^{-1} \\
q & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{1}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}\right\rangle /\left(x_{1} x_{0}-q x_{0} x_{1}\right) .
$$

In this case $N=\binom{1+3}{3}-1=3$ and the corresponding quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{3}$ is defined by the following data:

$$
Y=\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right\}, \quad \mathbf{g}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & q^{-3} & q^{-6} & q^{-9} \\
q^{3} & 1 & q^{-3} & q^{-6} \\
q^{6} & q^{3} & 1 & q^{-3} \\
q^{9} & q^{6} & q^{3} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The kernel $\operatorname{ker}\left(v_{1,3}\right)$ of the Veronese map $v_{1,3}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{1}$ has a reduced Gröbner basis $G$ given below

$$
G=\left\{y_{1}^{2}-q^{2} y_{0} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{2}-q y_{0} y_{3}, y_{2}^{2}-q^{2} y_{1} y_{3}\right\} .
$$

We have used the fact that in this case $\operatorname{MV}(1,3)=\{(1,1),(1,2),(2,2)\}$.
Setting $q=1$ we obtain that the defining ideal for the commutative Veronese is generated by the three polynomials $\left\{y_{1}^{2}-y_{0} y_{2}, y_{1} y_{2}-y_{0} y_{3}, y_{2}^{2}-y_{1} y_{3}\right\}$. This is exactly the set of generators described and discussed in [27, pp. 23, 51].

Example 7.2 (The non-commutative rational normal curves) Generalising the previous example, we consider $n=1$ and $d$ arbitrary. In notation as above, we write

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{1}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}\right\rangle /\left(x_{1} x_{0}-q x_{0} x_{1}\right)
$$

In this case, $N=\binom{d+1}{d}-1=d$ and the corresponding quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{d}$ is determined by the data

$$
Y=\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\}, \quad \mathbf{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & q^{-d} & q^{-2 d} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & q^{-d^{2}}  \tag{7.1}\\
q^{d} & 1 & q^{-d} & & & & q^{-d(d-1)} \\
q^{2 d} & q^{d} & 1 & \ddots & & & q^{-d(d-2)} \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\
\vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
q^{d(d-1)} & & & & \ddots & 1 & q^{-d} \\
q^{d^{2}} & q^{d(d-1)} & q^{d(d-2)} & \ldots & \ldots & q^{d} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Observe that whenever $q$ is a $d$-th root of unity, the derived $(1, d)$-quantum space is a commutative algebra.

The kernel $\operatorname{ker}\left(v_{1, d}\right)$ of the Veronese map $v_{1, d}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{d} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{1}$ has a reduced Gröbner basis $G$ given by $\binom{d}{2}$ quadratic relations:

$$
G=\left\{y_{i} y_{j}-h_{i j} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant d-1\right\}, \quad h_{i j}= \begin{cases}q^{i(d-j)} y_{0} y_{i+1}, & i+j \leqslant d \\ q^{i(d-j)} y_{i+j-d} y_{d}, & i+j>d\end{cases}
$$

Once again, for $q=1$ we obtain that a reduced Gröbner basis for the defining ideal of the commutative rational normal curve (see [27, Example 1.16]).
Example 7.3 (The non-commutative Veronese surface) Let $n=d=2$, that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, \quad \mathbf{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & q_{10}^{-1} & q_{20}^{-1} \\
q_{10} & 1 & q_{21}^{-1} \\
q_{20} & q_{21} & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{2}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle /\left(x_{1} x_{0}-q_{10} x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{0}-q_{20} x_{0} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{1}-q_{21} x_{1} x_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case $N=5$ and the corresponding (2,2)-quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{5}$ is completely determined by the data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y=\left\{y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right\}, \\
& \mathbf{g}: \\
&=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & q_{10}^{-2} & q_{20}^{-2} & q_{10}^{-4} & q_{20}^{-2} q_{10}^{-2} & q_{20}^{-4} \\
q_{10}^{2} & 1 & q_{20}^{-1} q_{21}^{-1} q_{10} & q_{10}^{-2} & \left(q_{10} q_{20} q_{21}\right)^{-1} & q_{20}^{-2} q_{21}^{-2} \\
q_{20}^{2} & q_{20} q_{21} q_{10}^{-1} & 1 & q_{21}^{2} q_{10}^{-2} & q_{21} q_{10}^{-1} q_{20}^{-1} & q_{20}^{-2} \\
q_{10}^{4} & q_{10}^{2} & q_{21}^{-2} q_{10}^{2} & 1 & q_{21}^{-2} & q_{21}^{-4} \\
q_{20}^{2} q_{10}^{2} & q_{10} q_{20} q_{21} & q_{21}^{-1} q_{10} q_{20} & q_{21}^{2} & 1 & q_{21}^{-2} \\
q_{20}^{4} & q_{20}^{2} q_{21}^{2} & q_{20}^{2} & q_{21}^{4} & q_{21}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that inside the matrix $\mathbf{g}$ we find as submatrices three occurrences of the matrix in (7.1) for $d=2$ and $q$ equal to one of the three commutation parameters, namely

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & q_{10}^{-2} & q_{10}^{-4} \\
q_{10}^{2} & 1 & q_{10}^{-2} \\
q_{10}^{4} & q_{10}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & q_{20}^{-2} & q_{20}^{-4} \\
q_{20}^{2} & 1 & q_{20}^{-2} \\
q_{20}^{4} & q_{20}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & q_{21}^{-2} & q_{21}^{-4} \\
q_{21}^{2} & 1 & q_{21}^{-2} \\
q_{21}^{4} & q_{21}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The kernel of the Veronese map $v_{2,2}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{5} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{2}$ has a reduced Gröbner basis consisting of six quadratic polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
G= & \left(y_{1}^{2}-q_{10} y_{0} y_{3}, y_{1} y_{2}-q_{10} y_{0} y_{4}, y_{2}^{2}-q_{20} y_{0} y_{5}\right. \\
& \left.y_{2} y_{3}-q_{21}^{2} y_{1} y_{4}, y_{2} y_{4}-q_{21} y_{1} y_{5}, y_{4}^{2}-q_{21} y_{3} y_{5}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 7.4 (The Segre quadric) Let $n=m=1$. Following the above conventions, we write

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{1}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}\right\rangle /\left(x_{1} x_{0}-q x_{0} x_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{1}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle y_{0}, y_{1}\right\rangle /\left(y_{1} y_{0}-q^{\prime} y_{0} y_{1}\right)
$$

In this case, $N=3$ and the quantum space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{3}$ is determined by the data

$$
Z=\left\{z_{00}, z_{01}, z_{10}, z_{11}\right\}, \quad \mathbf{g}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & q^{\prime-1} & q^{-1} & \left(q^{\prime} q\right)^{-1} \\
q^{\prime} & 1 & q^{-1} q^{\prime} & q^{-1} \\
q & q\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & 1 & \left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
q q^{\prime} & q & q^{\prime} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The kernel $\operatorname{ker}\left(s_{1,1}\right)$ of the Segre map $s_{1,1}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{1}$ has a reduced Gröbner basis consisting of a single quadratic polynomial

$$
G=\left\{z_{01} z_{10}-q^{\prime} z_{00} z_{11}\right\} .
$$

Example 7.5 (The non-commutative Segre threefold) Let $n=2$ and $m=1$. We consider

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{2}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle /\left(x_{1} x_{0}-q_{1,0} x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{0}-q_{2,0} x_{0} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{1}-q_{2,1} x_{1} x_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{1}=\mathbb{C}\left\langle y_{0} y_{1}\right\rangle /\left(y_{1} y_{0}-q^{\prime} y_{0} y_{1}\right)
$$

Then $N=5$ and the corresponding $(2,1)$-derived quantum space is determined by the following data:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\left\{z_{00}, z_{01}, z_{10}, z_{11}, z_{20}, z_{21}\right\}, \\
\mathbf{g} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & \left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & q_{10}^{-1} & \left(q_{10} q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & q_{20}^{-1} & \left(q_{20} q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
q^{\prime} & 1 & q_{10}^{-1} q^{\prime} & q_{10}^{-1} & q_{20}^{-1} q^{\prime} & q_{20}^{-1} \\
q_{10} & q_{10}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & 1 & \left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & q_{21}^{-1} & \left(q_{21} q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
q_{10} q^{\prime} & q_{10} & q^{\prime} & 1 & q_{21}^{-1} q^{\prime} & q_{21}^{-1} \\
q_{20} & q_{20}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & q_{21} & q_{21}\left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & 1 & \left(q^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
q_{20} q^{\prime} & q_{20} & q_{21} q^{\prime} & q_{21} & q^{\prime} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The kernel $\operatorname{ker}\left(s_{2,1}\right)$ of the Segre map $s_{2,1}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{g}}^{5} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{q}^{\prime}}^{1}$ has a reduced Gröbner basis consisting of three quadratic polynomials

$$
G=\left\{z_{01} z_{10}-q^{\prime} z_{00} z_{11}, z_{01} z_{20}-q^{\prime} z_{00} z_{21}, z_{11} z_{20}-q^{\prime} z_{10} z_{21}\right\}
$$
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