nature human behaviour

Article

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-022-01452-1

A systematic review and Bayesian metaanalysis of the acoustic features of infantdirected speech

In the format provided by the authors and unedited

Supplementary Information

Table of Contents

S1: Details about the Systematic Search
Supplementary Figure 1.1: PRISMA chart of the systematic review process
Supplementary Table 1.2: PRISMA Main Checklist7
Supplementary Table 1.3: PRISMA Abstract Checklist8
S1.1: Risk of Bias Assessment9
S2: Citation Networks
Supplementary Figure 2.1: Coupling (upper) and Direct-Citation (lower) Networks of Studies on IDS12
S3: Imputation Process 13
Supplementary Table 3.1: An overview of the extent to which imputation has influenced the overall estimation of effect sizes for each acoustic measure
S4: Comparison of f_o range and f_o standard deviation
Supplementary Figure 4.1: A plot showing the distribution of effect sizes for fo range and fo standard deviation14
Supplementary Figure 4.2: A correlation scatter plot14
S5: Choice of Priors, Prior and Posterior Predictive Checks, Prior-Posterior Update Plots, Prior Robustness Checks
S5.1: Choice of Priors15
S5.2: Prior & Posterior Predictive Checks16
Supplementary Figure 5.2.1: Plot of the prior and posterior predictive checks (grey) and observed meta-analytic data (black) for the acoustic measures
S5.3: Prior-Posterior Update Plots17
Supplementary Figure 5.3.1: Panel of prior-posterior update plots for the intercept, slope, standard deviation, and nu for each of the acoustic variables under investigation
S5.4: Prior Sensitivity Analysis for Intercept & Slope18
Supplementary Figure 5.4.1: Panel of plots showing how the intercept and age estimates for each acoustic variable change with different standard deviations for the priors
Supplementary Figure 5.4.2: Panel of plots showing how the evidence ratio (ER) for the intercept and age estimates for each acoustic variable change with different standard deviations for the priors
S6: Forest Plots & Overview Plots
<i>S6: Forest Plots & Overview Plots</i>
 S6: Forest Plots & Overview Plots

Supplementary Figure 6.4. Forest plot for articulation rate estimates according to s	study 23
Supplementary Figure 6.5. Forest plot for vowel duration estimates according to st	udy 24
Supplementary Figure 6.6. A panel of plots showing each acoustic measure as a fun infant age.	ection of
S7: Age Distributions Across Languages	
Supplementary Figure 7: Plots showing the age distribution by language of the effe each of the acoustic variables under investigation	ct sizes for 26
S8: Cross-Tab for Task & Environment	27
Supplementary Figure 8: Cross-tab for the predictors of task and environment with the x-axis	h age on 27
S9: Parameter Estimates for Best Models	
Supplementary Table 9.1: Model parameter estimates for <i>f</i> ₀	29
Supplementary Table 9.2: Model parameter estimates for <i>f</i> ₀ variability	31
Supplementary Table 9.3: Model parameter estimates for vowel space area	33
Supplementary Table 9.5: Model parameter estimates for vowel duration	35
S10: Publication Bias Sensitivity Plots	36
S11: Overview of Languages and Sample Sizes	38
Supplementary Table 11.1 Overview of total sample size (i.e., number of speakers) to language	according 38
S12: Overview of Studies, Measures & Number of Effect Sizes	39
Supplementary Table 12.1 Overview of studies, measures, languages and number o sizes	f effect 45

S1: Details about the Systematic Search



Supplementary Figure 1.1: PRISMA chart of the systematic review process

Торіс	No.	Item	Location where item is reported
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Title
ABSTRACT			
Abstract	2	See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist	
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.	Introduction
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Introduction
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	5	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.	Methods
Information sources	6	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.	Methods
Search strategy	7	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.	Methods
Selection process	8	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Methods
Data collection process	9	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Methods
Data items	10a	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.	Methods
	10b	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.	Methods

Торіс	No.	Item	Location where item is reported
Study risk of bias assessment	11	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.	Methods
Effect measures	12	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.	Methods
Synthesis methods	13a	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item 5)).	Methods
	13b	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.	Methods
	13c	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.	Methods
	13d	Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.	Methods
	13e	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta- regression).	Methods
	13f	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.	Methods
Reporting bias assessment	14	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).	Methods
Certainty assessment	15	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.	Methods
RESULTS			
Study selection 16a Describe the selection precords ide number of side ideally.		Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.	S1.1
	16b	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.	NA

Торіс	No.	Item	Location where item is reported
Study characteristics	17	Cite each included study and present its characteristics.	S2
Risk of bias in studies	18	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.	S1.1
Results of individual studies	19	For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.	Results
Results of syntheses	20a	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.	Results
	20b	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect.	Results
	20c	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.	Results
	20d	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.	Results
Reporting biases	21	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.	Methods
Certainty of evidence	22	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.	Results
DISCUSSION			
Discussion	23a	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.	Discussion
	23b	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.	Discussion
	23c	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.	Discussion
	23d	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.	Discussion
OTHER INFORMATION			
Registration and protocol	24a	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.	NA
	24b	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared.	Methods

Торіс	No.	Item	Location where item is reported
	24c	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.	NA
Support	25	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.	Acknowledgements
Competing interests	26	Declare any competing interests of review authors.	Competing Interests Statement
Availability of data, code and other materials	27 Report which of the following are pu available and where they can be for template data collection forms; da extracted from included studies; data for all analyses; analytic code; any o materials used in the review.		Data & Code availability statement

Supplementary Table 1.2: PRISMA Main Checklist

Торіс	No.	Item	Reported?
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review.	Yes
BACKGROUND			
Objectives	2	Provide an explicit statement of the main objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.	Yes
METHODS			
Eligibility criteria	3	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review.	Yes
Information sources	4	Specify the information sources (e.g. databases, registers) used to identify studies and the date when each was last searched.	Yes
Risk of bias	5	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies.	Yes
Synthesis of results	6	Specify the methods used to present and synthesize results.	Yes
RESULTS			
Included studies	7	Give the total number of included studies and participants and summarise relevant characteristics of studies.	Yes
Synthesis of results	8	Present results for main outcomes, preferably indicating the number of included studies and participants for each. If meta-analysis was done, report the summary estimate and confidence/credible interval. If comparing groups, indicate the direction of the effect (i.e. which group is favoured).	Yes
DISCUSSION			
Limitations of evidence	9	Provide a brief summary of the limitations of the evidence included in the review (e.g. study risk of bias, inconsistency and imprecision).	Yes
Interpretation	10	Provide a general interpretation of the results and important implications.	Yes
OTHER			
Funding	11	Specify the primary source of funding for the review.	Yes
Registration	12	Provide the register name and registration number.	NA

Supplementary Table 1.3: PRISMA Abstract Checklist

S1.1: Risk of Bias Assessment

Despite being less prone to bias than more subjective literature overviews, systematic searches and meta-analyses cannot completely avoid bias. In this section, we discuss some of the potential biases in our systematic search and selection process. Firstly, our choice of search terms may select a biased subset of the literature. In order to counteract this potential source of bias, we aimed to make our list of search terms as inclusive as possible. We conducted initial searches after carefully reading through relevant papers and included additional terms before conducting the final systematic search. We also performed forward and backward searches of the literature using cutting-edge bibliography tools (Research Rabbit and Connected Papers) to expand the scope of our search to relevant studies that were not found initially. Secondly, the *published literature itself may represent a biased subset of* the literature available on the acoustic features of IDS, to a greater extent reporting outcome measures for which manipulation created a significant effect. In order to counteract the effects of this publication bias in the meta-analysis, we carried out the following. i) In the literature search we included both published and grey literature, such as pre-prints, conference proceedings, etc. and informally solicited literature suggestions on twitter and from experts. ii) In the manuscript, we actively encourage researchers with unpublished and published work to submit their experimental results to an open repository with the data from our meta-analysis (MetaLab: https://langcog.github.io/metalab/). iii) In the meta-analysis, we assess the extent to which the meta-analytic estimates change under different assumptions of publication bias in the literature, by conducting quantitative sensitivity analyses. We should also note that a related source of bias may manifest itself in the study selection process where authors exclude papers not conforming to their hypotheses. However, because this project concerns estimates of acoustic features above and beyond statistical significance tests, we had no specific directional hypotheses to test and strong incentives to include as much data as possible. Thirdly, bias might arise as a function of the reporting of estimates (e.g., studies with missing estimates of uncertainty may be of systematically lower quality than other studies). Because most of the papers with missing data were older papers, we chose not to contact the original authors to provide the missing data because we know from previous work that answers are extremely unlikely. We instead decided to impute the missing measures of uncertainty, as outlined in Section 2.1. This imputation process was shown not to bias any of the results, as shown in Section S3, and better counteracts this potential source of bias than simply excluding studies not reporting measures of uncertainty. In general, although no analysis can remain completely unbiased, we hope this project can serve as a first step towards a cumulative self-correcting enterprise. Accordingly, we make our data openly available as a Community Augmented Meta-Analysis on the MetaLab website¹⁵¹. This makes

it possible to critique, integrate and update our selection of studies in a straightforward manner.

S2: Citation Networks

The upper network shows the co-citation coupling strength (i.e., the number of times two studies are cited together by a new article as well as bibliographic similarity) for only the journal articles of the final sample of cited studies. The colour and thickness of the lines represent clusters of strong citation links. The lower direct-citation network shows which studies cite each other. The colours represent clusters of strong

direct-citation links. In the below direct-citation plot, the colours of the nodes represent the acoustic measures under investigation; specifically, dark green is f_0 , light green is f_0 variability, orange is vowel space area, purple is articulation rate, and light orange is vowel duration.





Supplementary Figure 2.1: Coupling (upper) and Direct-Citation (lower) Networks of Studies on IDS.

S3: Imputation Process

In order to incorporate the statistical uncertainty associated with the partially stochastic nature of this imputation process (Azur et al., 2011; Sterne et al., 2009), we constructed 20 datasets with sample size, mean values for each acoustic variable, and existing standard deviation values as predictors. The standard deviation values of the imputed datasets were checked for similarity to the reported standard deviations and post-processed to include only values within the range of the existing standard deviation values. In order to check that this process of multiple imputation did not bias the estimation of the overall effect size for each acoustic measure, we compared the estimates of the intercepts-only models for the imputed and non-imputed datasets, as shown in Supplementary Table 3.1 below. There does not appear to be evidence of bias, as the effect size estimate of the models with the imputed datasets lies within the credible interval of the non-imputed datasets in each case.

Acoustic Measure	Intercept Estimate Without	Effect Size Estimate With	
	Imputation (n = total	Imputation (n = total	
	observations)	observations)	
fo	1.09 [0.83; 1.34] (n = 250)	1.17 [0.86; 1.45] (n = 262)	
f ₀ Variability	0.76 [0.49; 1.00] (n = 208)	0.69 [0.44; 1.92] (n = 223)	
Vowel Space Area	0.49 [-0.08; 1.09] (n = 51)	0.66 [0.34; 0.98] (n = 107)	
Articulation Rate	-0.91 [-1.42; -0.42] (n = 56)	-1.05 [-1.53; -0.60] (n = 60)	
Vowel Duration	0.47 [0.02; 0.91] (n = 72)	0.48 [0.08; 0.88] (n = 82)	

Supplementary Table 3.1: An overview of the extent to which imputation has influenced the overall estimation of effect sizes for each acoustic measure

S4: Comparison of f_0 range and f_0 standard deviation



Supplementary Figure 4.1: A plot showing the distribution of effect sizes for fo range and fo standard deviation. The similar distributions speak in favor of our choice to combine the measures into one measure of f_0 variability.



Supplementary Figure 4.2: A correlation scatter plot showing the distribution of average effect sizes for the studies reporting both measures (left) and a plot of the effect sizes as a function of measure (right). A Bayesian multivariate model with range and standard deviation as separate outcomes shows a strong correlation between the two measures 0.73 [0.38; 0.98] (without Kondaurova et al., 2013), as these authors report f_0 range in semitones and f_0 standard deviation in Hz). This estimate is based on a total of 573 participants across the 8 studies.

S5: Choice of Priors, Prior and Posterior Predictive Checks, Prior-Posterior Update Plots, Prior Robustness Checks

S5.1: Choice of Priors

We chose weakly informative priors in order to ensure that their influence on the meta-analytic estimates was small and to discount extreme effect sizes as unlikely^{152, 153}

(cf. Lemoine, 2019; Gelman, Simpson & Betancourt, 2017); for the overall effect size, we chose a Gaussian distribution with a mean of 0 and standard deviation of 2.5 based on our prior expectations for effect sizes. This prior implies that we expect approximately 95% of the effect size distribution to be between -5 and 5. For the slope of the model, we encoded our expectations with a Gaussian prior with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1, which implies that we expect the vast majority of values for the coefficient of the effect size difference between ADS and IDS to be between -2 and 2. For the heterogeneity of the effects (i.e., the standard deviation of random effects), we chose a positive truncated normal distribution with a mean of 1 and standard deviation of 1. For the degrees of freedom parameter, v, of the Student's t-distribution, a gamma distribution with a shape parameter of 2 and a scale parameter of 0.1 was chosen. This ensures that the model remains robust to the influence of outliers. Prior predictive checks were performed to ensure that model predictions for plausible values of effect sizes would only exclude implausibly high or low values on the basis of the priors¹⁵².

The models were fitted with Hamiltonian Monte Carlo samplers with 2 parallel chains with 5,000 iterations each, an adapt delta of 0.99 and a maximum tree depth of 20 in order to ensure no divergence in the estimation process. The quality of the models was assessed by i) ensuring Rhat statistics to be lower than 1.1, ii) carrying out prior and posterior predictive checks, iii) plotting prior against posterior estimates and assessing whether the posteriors had lower variance than the priors, iv) ensuring no divergences in the process of estimation, v) checking that the number of effective bulk and tail samples was above 200, vi) conducting prior sensitivity analyses.

For the intercepts-only and full models, we used the following *brms*¹⁴² formula and priors, with a student t likelihood for all of the effect sizes measures, as shown below:

Intercepts Model Structure: Full Model Structure: $Effect_Size \mid se(Effect_Size_se) \sim 1 + (1 \mid Lang/StudySite/measure)$ $Effect_Size \mid se(Effect_Size_se) \sim 1 + Age + Lang + Environment + Task + (1 \mid Lang/StudySite/measure))$

Models	Intercepts	Slopes	SD	DoF	
Intercepts	N(0,2.5)	-	N(1,1)	G(2,.1)	
Model					
Full	N(0,2.5)	N(0,1) for	N(1,1)	G(2,.1)	
Moderators		Task &			
Model		Environment			
		N(0.05) for			
		Age			

Supplementary Table 5.1: Priors for the parameters in the intercepts-only model and full model with all moderators. N() refers to a normal distribution, G() indicates a gamma distribution, lkj() refers to the Lewandowski-Kurowicka-Joe distribution. DoF refers to Degrees of Freedom parameter (or v).

S5.2: Prior & Posterior Predictive Checks

As noted above, we performed quality checks of the models by carrying out prior and posterior predictive checks. The below prior predictive checks (on the left) indicate that our priors predict values within the order of magnitude of the distribution. The posterior predictive checks (on the right) indicate that the models have captured the distributions of data for each of the acoustic measures. These plots provide reassurance that our models capture relevant aspects of the overall distributions of dependent variables.



Supplementary Figure 5.2.1: Plot of the prior and posterior predictive checks (grey) and observed metaanalytic data (black) for the acoustic measures.

S5.3: Prior-Posterior Update Plots

A second quality check of the models was carried out by plotting the prior distributions against the posterior estimates of the model. As shown in the below plots, the posteriors exhibit lower variance than the priors. These plots thus indicate that the models have learned from the data and provide additional reassurance that our models have captured relevant

information.



Supplementary Figure 5.3.1: Panel of prior-posterior update plots for the intercept, slope, standard deviation, and nu for each of the acoustic variables under investigation. The prior distributions are represented in blue. In the plots of task and environment, task is represented by orange and environment is represented by brown.

S5.4: Prior Sensitivity Analysis for Intercept & Slope

A third quality check of the models was performed by assessing the extent to which the uncertainty of our priors affected posterior estimates. Because the posterior estimates (on the y-axis) exhibit stability at our choices of priors (i.e., the dashed vertical line), these plots provide reassurance that our choice of priors did not unduly affect model estimations.



Supplementary Figure 5.4.1: Panel of plots showing how the intercept and age estimates for each acoustic variable change with different standard deviations for the priors. The vertical dashed line indicates the standard deviation of the prior chosen for the models. The centres of the error bars (orange points) indicate the posterior estimates for the intercept (left column) and age predictor (right column). The total sample sizes across studies for each of the estimates were 3401, 3006, 1702, 976, 1411 participants for f_0 , f_0 variability, vowel space area, articulation rate, vowel duration, respectively.



Supplementary Figure 5.4.2: Panel of plots showing how the evidence ratio (ER) for the intercept and age estimates for each acoustic variable change with different standard deviations for the priors. The vertical dashed line indicates the standard deviation of the prior chosen for the models.

S6: Forest Plots & Overview Plots

Forest Plot for Studies on fo

Internet Altarra, Mark, Markat, Markat, Mark, Mark, Mark, Mark, Mark, Mark, Mark, Mark, Mar			
00.000.000.000.000 1000.000 00.000.000.0000 10000.000 00.0000.0000.0000 <td< td=""><td>Kalashnikova & Burnham 2018 Australian English</td><td></td><td>3 99 [3 03 4 88]</td></td<>	Kalashnikova & Burnham 2018 Australian English		3 99 [3 03 4 88]
Base Mark (29)	Oristia 2040 Associate Eastich		0.00 [0.00, 4.00]
B.M. M. 2000. With Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. D. Walt Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control 1991. Add Mark Control Sport M. Mark Control 1991.	Cristia_2010_American_English		3.53 [2.25, 4.08]
Diret A, Josef AB, Sole A, Sole	Andruski_&_Kuhl_1996American_English		2.83 [1.53, 4.15]
Min. (J. 202). BMIN. Grad. 4979.04 4979.04 Brann. W. M.	Dideriksen_&_Fusaroli_2018Danish		2.81 [1.36, 3.96]
Market 100. Access Park 32000000000000000000000000000000000000	Uther_et_al_2007British_English		2.37 [0.51, 4.25]
Net Al Mora, Not. Not. Not. 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 / 4 /	Fernald_1989_American_English		2.32 [0.97, 3.63]
bitming 2001, Solida 1	Bergeson_Miller_&_McCune_2006_American_English		2.19 [1.1, 3.28]
Maptimer	Sundberg 1998 Swedish		2.11 [0.38. 3.83]
International control	Kalashnikova et al 2020 Australian English		2 09 [0 53 3 58]
Bits of all of	Kandaurun at al 0040 American English		2.00 [0.00, 0.00]
Mark 2.000000000000000000000000000000000000	Kondadrova_et_al_2013American_English		2.04 [1.42, 2.0]
	Hilton_et_al_2022Ganadian_English_TOH		2.03 [1.24, 2.78]
Rest Market, S.M., Josen, Daya	Liu_Tsao_&_Kuhl_2009Mandarin_Chinese		1.92 [0.89, 2.87]
lein A. Stack SHU A. Shu	Kondaurova_&_Bergeson_2011American_English		1.85 [1.05, 2.64]
Inter, 283, Amerika, Japan 1912 Data Sama, S	Smith_&_Strader_2014American_English		1.83 [0.61, 2.97]
Obs. Britiski, Bark J. All, S. B., Brander 1998.1 Names, A. Sark, D. Marken, S. B., Brander, S. B., Bark, S. Bark, S. B., Bark, B	Raneri_2015American_English		1.8 [1.18, 2.35]
Unit Note And Notes 1	Outters Schreiner Behne & Mani 2020 German		1.79 [1.1, 2.42]
Biology M, 2002, 30, 2002 1 1 10002, 2002 Biology M, 2002, 30, 2002 1 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 Biology M, 2002, 30, 2002 1 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 Biology M, 2002, 30, 2002 1 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 Biology M, 2002, 30, 2002 1 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 Biology M, 2002, 30, 2002 1 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002 10002, 2002,	Liu Tsao & Kubl 2007 Mandarin Chinese		1 76 [0 76 2 69]
Name Apple Image: Apple app	Bendere StGeorge & Eletcher 2021 Dutch		1 69 [0 97, 2 49]
Nime 1	Niurze 8 Ouesi 0000 Jacoba		1.00 [0.07, 2.40]
Image: Market	Niwano_&_Sugal_2002Japanese		1.68 [1.15, 2.22]
Hould, Jawa	Fernald_1989_Japanese		1.68 [0.57, 2.79]
Hisk ac, Jange, Mah, Mai 11,939.0 Loss C, Stadhios B, Stark, Jong, Jong 11,939.0 Nie A, J. Nam, Jong, Jange 11,939.0 Nie A, J. Nam, J. Statu, J. Jange 11,939.0 Nie A, J. Nam, J. Statu, J. Jange 11,939.0 Nie A, J. Nam, J. Statu, J. Jange 11,939.0 Nie A, J. Jange, J. Jange, J. Jange 11,939.0 Nie A, J. Jange,	Fernald_&_Simon_1984German		1.63 [0.64, 2.74]
Bear All 198, Test 1184 2 Bear All 198, Test 1184 2 Bear All 198, Test 1188 2	Hilton_et_al_2022Polish_KRA		1.63 [0.22, 3]
Lance, K. Jonnes, H. Darles, Ball, Martin, Gala Lance, K. Jonnes, J. Darles, J. Jonnes,	Fernald_1989French		1.6 [0.5, 2.68]
West, Mosta, 1992, Japanes 1	Lovcevic_Kalashnikova_&_Burnham_2020Australian_English		1.57 [0.75, 2.26]
Nucl. A. 2012. Junyona 1.108 p.2. Nucl. A. 2012. Nuclease in Call 1.208 p.2. Nucl. A. 2012. Nucleas	Ikeda_&_Masataka_1999_Japanese		1.55 [0.89, 2.21]
Hum, ut, Aley, Aley, Aley, Sanda 1 </td <td>Naoi et al 2012 Jananese</td> <td></td> <td>1.54 [0.79 2 27]</td>	Naoi et al 2012 Jananese		1.54 [0.79 2 27]
Mark A. Bara 11989.42 Name A. Bara 1398.42 Nama A. Bara	Hilton et al 2022 New Zealand English WEL		1 45 [0 62 2 23]
International and the second	Weirich & Simpson 2010 Cormon		1 / [0.75 0.04]
Image: A. A. 2000 Image: A. 20000 Image: A. 20000 Im	weindt_a_omport_zota_defman		1.4 [0.75, 2.01]
Letter, Mar, Janker, Stell 149.8.2. Ward, Mark, Jong, Ward, Stell 149.8.2. Ward, Mark, Jong, Ward, Stell 149.8.2. Ward, Mark, Jong, Ward, Stell 149.8.2. Ward, Ward, Stell 149.8.2. Ward, Ward, Ward, Stell 149.8.2. Ward, Ward	remaid_1989_Italian		1.35 [0.34, 2.34]
lapadi, ad, 2001, Japanese Nep Joy, Joy, Joseph J. San J. Japanese San	Fernald_1989British_English		1.34 [0.3, 2.35]
Wang H, Aloy, Zong, Aminan, Tugah 131 B28.2 Burtom, M. 2002, Junitas, Tugah 131 B28.2 Marker, M. 2002, Markan, Tugah 131 B28.2 Hans, M. 2002, Juny Roh 131 B28.2 Hans, M. 2002, Juny Roh 131 B28.2 Hans, M. 2002, Juny Roh 139 B24.2 Hans, M. 2002, Juny Roh 131 B24.2 Hans, M. 2002, Juny Roh 139 B24.2 Hans, M. 2002, Juny Roh 136 B24.2 Hans, M. 2002, Juny Roh	Igarashi_et_al_2013Japanese		1.34 [0.68, 2.03]
Barbar, H. J. 2002, Justice Topin 139, 182, 2 Arie, J. M. Januar, Y. 2002, Justice Topin 139, 182, 2 Arie, J. M. Januar, Y. 2002, Justice Topin 139, 182, 2 Barbar, H. J. 2002, Justice Topin 119, 182, 2 Const, A. K. 100, Justice Topin 119, 182, 2 Const, A. K. 100, Justice Topin 119, 182, 2 Const, A. K. 100, Justice Topin 119, 182, 2 Const, A. K. 100, Justice Topin 119, 182, 2 Const, A. K. 100, Justice Topin 119, 182, 1 Barbar, J. 2002, Justice Topin 119, 182, 1 Barbar, J. 2002, Justice Topin 119, 182, 1 Barbar, M. 2002, Justice Topin 119, 182, 1 Barbar, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19, 19	Vosoughi_&_Roy_2012American_English		1.34 [0.36, 2.34]
Optic All Material Table _ Display 138 1406.2 Nath, SMC, Markins, Egylet 138 1406.2 Optic All SMC, Markins, Egylet 139 1406.2 Optic All SMC, Markins, Egylet 119 1701.2 Disconce, SAC, Markins, Egylet 119 1702.2 Disconce, AL, Markins, Egylet <t< td=""><td>Burnham_et_al_2002Australian_English</td><td></td><td>1.31 [0.22, 2.35]</td></t<>	Burnham_et_al_2002Australian_English		1.31 [0.22, 2.35]
Mot. 2000. Acrista, Egin 121,002. Niko, H., 2002. Acrista, Egin 122,002. Niko, H., 2002. Acrista, Egin 128,002. Octor, A. Martin, Martin, Christi 128,002. Octor, A. Martin, Martin, Christi 128,002. Niko, H., 2002. Acrista, Egin 138,002. Octor, A. Martin, Martin, Christi 139,002. Niko, H., 2002. Acrista, Egin 139,002. Niko, H., 2002. Acrista, 2002. Core 139,002. Niko, H., 2002.	Ogle_& Maidment 1993 British English		1.3 [0.18 2 38]
Razer, A.P., Mal, Anstrau, Bright Rise, J.R., 2014, Sonza, J.P., 1999 Sonze, J., Short, M.J., Balens Green, S.A., Mill, B.M., Marcin, Disko Green, S.A., Mill, B.M., Marcin, J., Short, B., Short, S., Short, Sh	Ashby 2004 Australian English		1 28 [-0.06. 2.61]
Inter, H. 2022. Servina, Bart 1019 Inter, H. 2022. Servina, Bart 119 Orsen, A., Moll, M., Bartan, Englin 119 Inter, H., Markan, J., Jaconsen 119 Inter, H., J., Markan, J., Jaconsen 119 Inter, H., J., Jaconsen 119 Inter, H., J., Markan, J., Jaconsen 119 Inter, H., J., Markan, J., Markan, J., Markan,	Botner & Bue 1094 American English		1 24 [0 21 2 70]
Bisend, Low, Jon, Barlow 119 Jack Organ, R.J., Hay, J., Marcon, Digin 119 Jack Bisend, Z. Song, J., Barlow 019 Jack Bisend, Z. Ward, Song, J., Barlow 019 Jack Bisend, J. Song, J., Barlow 019 Jack Bisend, J., Barlow, J.,	Hattiel_&_rye_1984Attielicall_cligist		1.24 [=0.51, 2.79]
1980/84, Mayer, 2001. 1980/84, Marget 2001. 1980/84, Mayer, 2002. 1980/84, Marget 2001. 1980/84, Marget 2001. 1980/84, Marget 2001. <t< td=""><td>Hilton_et_al_2022Kannada_JEN</td><td></td><td>1.22 [=0.05, 2.5]</td></t<>	Hilton_et_al_2022Kannada_JEN		1.22 [=0.05, 2.5]
Goor, A., M.Y., Molan, Supple 11102.1 How, A., M.Y., Mohan, Supple 11102.1 How, A., M.Y., Mohan, Supple 1100.1 How, A., Mark, J., Mark, S., Jan, M., Mark, M	Broesch_&_Bryant_2018Bislama		1.18 [0.2, 2.17]
Coord, ed. 1992, Ansien, Englan Hins, ed. 2002, Shareh, Nam, Marken, Shareh Hins, ed. 2002, Shareh, Nam, Shareh Hins, ed. 2002, Shareh, Shareh Hins, ed.	Grieser_&_Kuhl_1988Mandarin_Chinese		1.17 [0.1, 2.23]
Hillor, all, 2022, Polity, 104 1100 (00.2) Hillor, all, 2022, Polity, 104 1101 (10.2) Hillor, all, 2022, Polity, 104 1001 (10.2) Hillor, all, 2022, Polity, 104 1001 (10.2) Hillor, all, 2022, Polity, 104 1001 (10.2) Frends, 1080, generality, 1000 0001 (10.0) Hillor, all, 2022, Polity, 104 0001 (10.0) Hillor, all,	Cooper_et_al_1997American_English		1.11 [0.23, 1.97]
Hilds, H., 2002, Nargalon, MA 100 [10.12] Berden, Stoll, Danbal 110 [10.12] Berden, Stoll, Danbal 110 [10.12] Hilds, H., 2002, Specific All 110 [10.12] Narge, A., 2007, La, Lakan, Tane 110 [10.12] Narge, A., 2007, La, Lakan, Tane 110 [10.12] Narge, A., Lakan, Tane, Tane 110 [10.12]	Hilton_et_al_2022Polish_LIM		1.09 [0.02, 2.16]
Hito, et al. 2022, Equa, 7040 Barles, 2012, Spann, ACO Stag, et, 2102, Spann, ACO Stag, et, 2101, Japanese Hito, et al. 2022, Spann, ACO Stag, et al. 2012, Spann, ACO Stag, et al.	Hilton_et_al_2022Nyangatom_NYA		1.09 [0.13, 2.06]
Before, 2011, Data Hills, et al. 2022, Book, Tolor, Bills, Data Hills, et al. 2022, Book, Tolor, Bills, Data Hills, et al. 2022, Book, Tolor, Bills, Data Hills, et al. 2022, Tolor, Bills, Hills, et al. 2024, Tolor, Bills, Hills, et al. 20	Hilton_et_al_2022_Enga_PNG		1.06 [-0.11, 2.23]
Hills, H., 2002, Sprain, ADD 1145 (-2022,	Benders_2013Dutch		1.06 [0.3, 1.8]
Impose at J. 2011	Hilton et al 2022 Spanish ACO		1.05 [-0.25, 2.36]
Hou, et, al. 2022, American, Ergibi, USD 0.998 pt.7. Navasara, Al, Abbernar, 2016, Lakan, Tanit 0.998 pt.7. Status, A., Weidell, 2012, Brith, Tight 0.917 pt.200. Navasara, Al, Moltan, Tanit 0.918 pt.7. Status, A., Weidell, 2012, Brith, Tight 0.918 pt.7. Navasara, Al, Martina, T., Status, Tanit 0.918 pt.7. Status, A., Weidell, 2012, Brith, Tight 0.918 pt.7. Navasara, Al, Martina, Tight 0.918 pt.7. Status, A., Weidell, 2012, Brith, Tight 0.918 pt.7. Status, T., Status, Tanit 0.918 pt.7. Status, T., Status, T., Status, Tanit 0.918 pt.7. Status, T., Status, T.	Inque et al 2011 Japanese		1 04 [0 26 1 82]
Nagan A, McCarrell, 2010	Hilton et al 2022 American English USD		0.98 [0.18, 1.76]
Books, & Westell, 2001. Better. England 0019 Bioks, & Perkov, 2001. Better. England 0019 Bioks, & Bioks, Bioks <	Narayan & McDermott 2016 Sri Lankan Tamil		0.98 [0.17, 1.8]
aling , Hondi, Ling , Johnson,	Phyte & Wholdell 2001 Pritish English		0.00 [0.17, 1.0]
Heima, Jaou, Janoba 001/1006, 1 Rabion, et, 2008, Janoba 008/0071, 1 Naraya, A, Kabomegan, Sull, Sapato 008/0071, 1 Bothan, 2008, Janoba 008/0071, 1 Bothan, 2008, Janoba, Charles 007/0201, 1 Bothan, 2009, Janoba, 2009, Janoba, Charles 008/0301, 1 Bothan, 2007, Janoba, 2007, Jano	Silute_&_wheidal_2001billish_billish		0.92 [0.1, 1.09]
Support, B., Molecure, 2016. Data 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	Fernaid_1989German		0.91[-0.06, 1.88]
Nargan, Alkobrang, 2016, Tagalog 088 (00.6). Biglad, 2018, Menorgan 088 (00.6). Storban, 2006, Tagalog 088 (00.6). Storban, 2006, Tagalog 088 (00.6). Storban, 2006, Tagalog 088 (00.6). Biglad, 2018, Menorgan 088 (00.6). Storban, 2006, Tagalog 088 (00.6). Biglad, 2019, Menorgan 088 (00.6). Biglad, 2021, Menorgan 088 (00.6). Nitzyan, Alkobrang, 2010, Foren 088 (00.6). Hilton, et., 2022, Training, Tiglah 088 (00.6). Boelen, A., Syntz, 2015, Amrican, Etglah 088 (00.6). Boelen, A., Syntz, 2015, Menorgan 088 (00.6). Hilton, et., 2022, Menorgan 088 (00.6). Boelen, A., Syntz, 2015, Menorgan 088 (00.6). Hilton, et., 2022, Menorgan 08	Sulpizio_et_al_2018Italian		0.89 [0.07, 1.71]
Hilon, st. J. 2022. Thorsa, Logita 0.08 (10.5). Stelama, 2008. American. English 0.08 (10.5). Sheada, J. 2007. Cansadan. English 0.08 (10.5). Basen, A. English, Coll. Norvegian 0.08 (10.5). Sheadam, 2008. American. English 0.08 (10.5). Basen, A. English, English 0.08 (10.5). Basen, A. English, English 0.08 (10.5). Basen, A. English, English, TUR 0.08 (10.5). Basen, A. English, TUR 0.07 (10.2). Basen, A. Bayar, 2015. American. English 0.07 (10.2). Basen, A. Bayar, 2015. American. English 0.07 (10.2). Basenk, A. Bayar, 2015. American. English 0.07 (10.2). Han, D., J. Sagar, Zagar, Zaga	Narayan_&_McDermott_2016Tagalog		0.88 [0.08, 1.71]
Englind, 2018_Novegina Behaltan.com/action_English Behaltan.com/action_English Behaltan.com/action_English Behaltan.com/action_2018_Korean Behaltan.com/action	Hilton_et_al_2022Toposa_TOP		0.88 [-0.05, 1.84]
Bebehan, 2006, Anneican, Engleh Balanen, H., 2017, Canadan, Engleh Balanen, H., 2017, Canadan, Engleh Balanen, H., 2017, Canadan, Engleh Balane, H., 2012, Firinsh, A., Austalan, Engleh Hito, et. al. 2022, Firinsh, A., Swatch, TUR Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Anneican, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, A., Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, Finik, R., 2000, Canadan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, Finik, R., 2001, Mangalan Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, Finik, R., 2002, Danish, M., Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Jantan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Filian Washik, Wilf, Finik, R., 2007, Hungalan Bosesh, A., Synt, 2015, Kenyan, A., Jantan, Engleh Bosesh, A., Synth, W., Barth, 2017, Hungalan Bosesh, B., Synth, W., Barth, 2017, Hungalan Bosesh, B., Synth, W., B., 2017, Hungalan Bosesh, B., Synth, W., B., 2017, Hungalan Bosesh, B., Synth, W., B., 2017, Hungalan, B., Barth, S., 2017, Hungalan Bosesh, B., Synth, W., B., 2017, Hungalan, B., Barth, S., 2017, Hungalan, B., 2018, Barth, W., 2017, Mangalan, B., 2018, Barth, W., 2017, Mangalan, B., 2018, Barth, W., 2018, Barth, M., 2017, Mangalan, B., 2018, Barth, S., 10000, 2016, 2018, 1000, 1000, 2016, 2017, 2017, 2018, 2018, 201	Englund_2018Norwegian		0.88 [0.18, 1.63]
Shuk & Mundail 1989. British English 0881-048. 0881-048. 0891-068. Shuk & Andread, 2021. Journey and Money 2016. Stress and the second se	Sheehan_2008American_English		0.88 [0.17, 1.57]
Rakama, H., 2017. Canada, English Shan, A., Edukar, 2021. Skronga Nagan, A., McDarotz, 2014. Averation, English Lee, et al., 2021. Averation, English Hiton, et, al., 2022. Linkarda, Christe, Bel- Bosech, A., Byant, 2015. Averata, English Bosech, A., Byant, 2015. Averata, A., Filian Bosech, A., Byant, 2017. Japanes Bosech, A.,	Shute & Wheldall 1989 British English		0.88 [-0.05, 1.8]
Stern A. Erglung 2021, Norregine 0.44 (2.5.1) Narayan, A. McDarmott, 2016, Konan 0.84 (0.2.1) Hano, et.al. 2022, Finith, S. Woodh, TUH 0.84 (0.2.1) Tations, et.al. 2022, Minith, S. Woodh, TUH 0.84 (0.2.1) Tations, et.al. 2022, Minith, S. Woodh, TUH 0.84 (0.2.1) Baseh, A. Bynet, C. D., American, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Backer, O.S., A. Bynet, C. D., American, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Backer, A., Bynet, C. D., American, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Backer, A., Bynet, C. D., American, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Backer, A., Bynet, C. D., American, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Backer, A., Bynet, C. D., American, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Baseh, A., Bynet, C. D., Marchan, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Baseh, A., Bynet, C. D., Marchan, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Baseh, A., Bynet, C. D., Marchan, Fighth 0.74 (-0.2.1) Baseh, M., et.al. 2022, Minitrakin, Bingh 0.74 (-0.2.1)<	Bāsānen et al 2017 Canadian English		0.87 [-0.08 1.84]
Naraya, B., Woltmont, Diffe, Kowa 008[003, 1 Lee, et., 2014, Austain, English 008[003, 1 Hitor, et., al. 2022, Thirth, S., Swedt, T.U.H. 008[003, 1 Tariors, et., al. 2002, Canadan, English 007[4]-003, 1 Bosen, A., Byart, 2015, Annoian, English 007[4]-003, 1 Bosen, A., Byart, 2015, Annoian, English 007[4]-003, 1 Bosen, A., Byart, 2015, Annoian, English 008[1]-003, 1 Wassini, Wright, & Tranking, 2007, Junnaizan, English 008[1]-003, 1 Hito, et., al. 2022, Monridy, MEE 008[1]-003, 1 Graphy, et., al. 2017, Novogan 008[1]-003, 1 Hito, et., al. 2027, Monridy, MEE 008[1]-003, 1 Mathing, et., al. 2017, Novogan 008[1]-013, 1 Mathing, et., al. 2017, Monridy, MEE 008[1]-013, 1 Mathing, et., al. 2017, Monridy, MEE 008[1]-013, 1 Mathing, et., al. 2017, Monridy, MEE 008[1]-013, 1 Mathing, et., al. 2017, Markan, Brighth 008[1]-033, 1 Mathing, et., al. 201	Steen & Englund 2021 Norwegian		0.84 [0.25, 1.52]
Integrate 0.000 Las, et. J. 2014 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Finitals, S. Bavecian, TUR 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Finitals, S. Bavecian, TUR 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Finitals, S. Bavecian, TUR 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Finitals, S. Bavecian, TUR 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Finitals, S. Bavecian, TUR 0.000 Bosech, A. Bavari, Corr, J. Santara, English 0.000 0.000 Bosech, A. Bavari, Corr, Janadan, English 0.000 0.000 Bosech, A. Bavari, Corr, Janadan, English 0.000 0.000 Bosech, A. Bavari, Corr, Janadan, English 0.000 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Mandale, Budarian 0.000 0.000 Bosech, A. Bavari, Corr, Janadan, English 0.000 0.000 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Mandale, Budarian 0.000 0.000 0.000 Bosech, A. Bavari, Corr, Janadan, English 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Hitor, et., J. 2022 Mandale, Madarian 0.000 0.000 0.000 Bosech, A. Bavari, Corr, Japanese 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 Mahar, et., J. 2022 Japari, Masan 0.000 0.000 0.000 Mandar	Narayan & MaDarmett 2016 Karaan		0.83 [0.03, 1.62]
Lie Burg, B., John, S., Bordan, Turs, B., Bardan, J., Bardan	Narayan_a_wcbernou_2010Norean		0.05 [0.03, 1.00]
mion, e.g., acc, Zaminan, B., Swedon, UH 0.81-008.1 Trainor, et., acc, Zaminan, Englain 0.74-1028.1 Hilon, et., al. 2022, Mandain, Chinese, ELJ 0.74-1028.1 Broesch, A., Byaral, Zolfs, Merrian, Englain 0.72+02.1 Wasink, Wing, L., Frankin, Englain 0.72+02.1 Wasink, Wing, L., Frankin, Englain 0.72+02.1 Broesch, A., Byaral, Zolfs, Merrian, Englain 0.72+02.1 Wasink, Wing, L., Frankin, Englain 0.72+02.1 Wasink, Wing, L., Frankin, Englain 0.68+0.68.1 Han, Du, Long, K., Kaper, 200.2, Luch 0.68+0.68.1 Han, Du, Long, K., Kaper, 200.2, Luch 0.68+0.68.1 Wasink, Wing, L., Zankin, Englain 0.61+0.11. McMarray, et. al, 2017, Humarian 0.61+0.10.1 McMarray, et. al, 2017, Jumarian 0.62+0.68.1 Wasink, Wing, et. al, 2022, Sprink, MES 0.62+0.64.1 Wasink, Wasin, Marray, et. al, 2022, Jumarian, Englain 0.62+0.64.1 Wasin, K., Pap, 1084, Judich, Mayan 0.62+0.64.1 Wasin, K., Pap, 1084, Judich, Mayan 0.62+0.64.1 Wasin, S., Lawa, et. al, 2022, Bainan, WASi 0.62+0.64.1 Manan, et. al, 2022, Lawasin, Jife 0.62+0.64.1 Mano, et. al, 2022, Lawasin, Jife<	Lee_et_al_2014_Australian_English		0.8 [-0.03, 1.51]
Taining, et, al. 2000anatalan, English 0.78 (-0.03). Brosch A, Byant, 2015Mersican, English 0.74 (-0.23). Buckler, Goy, &, Johnson, 2016Mersican, English 0.72 (-0.2). Buckler, Goy, &, Johnson, 2015Mersican, English 0.72 (-0.2). Buckler, Goy, &, Johnson, 2016Mersican, English 0.72 (-0.2). Buckler, Goy, &, Johnson, 2016Mersican, English 0.72 (-0.2). Bossind, et J., 2021Merside, B., Figure 0.85 (-0.6). Hilton, et J., 2022Merside, MBE 0.85 (-0.6). Brassind, L., 2017Hungsina 0.85 (-0.6). Median, Charles, L., 2017Hungsina 0.85 (-0.6). Median, S., Kitanur, 2017Autralian, English 0.85 (-0.6). Median, S., Kitanur, 2017Autralian, English 0.85 (-0.6). Median, S., Kitanur, 2017Autralian, English 0.85 (-0.6). Milon, et J., 2022Bonnibh, MES 0.85 (-0.6). Submark, S., Kitanur, 2017Autralian, English 0.85 (-0.6). Milon, et J., 2022Bonnibh, MES 0.85 (-0.6). Wasin, M., Kitanu, 2017Autralian, English 0.85 (-0.6). Markan, S., Kitanur, 2017Autralian, English 0.85 (-0.6). Milon, et J., 2022Bonnibh, MES 0.85 (-0.6). Milon, et J., 2022Bonnibh, MES	Hilton_et_al_2022Finnish_&_Swedish_TUR		0.8 [-0.09, 1.71]
Hilon, et. al. 2022, Mandain, Chinese, BEJ Brosch, & Byant, 2015, American, English Buckler, Goy, & Johnson, 2018, Canadian, English Buckler, Goy, & Johnson, 2018, Canadian, English Brosch, & Byant, 2015, Merican, English Han, De, Jong, & Kager, 2020, Dutch Hilon, et, al. 2022, Mandain, Diche Hilon, et, al. 2022, Johnson, MES Support, et. al. 2012, Johnson, Chinese Hiton, et. al. 2022, Joh	Trainor_et_al_2000Canadian_English		0.78 [-0.03, 1.6]
Broesch, & Jyant, 2015_Amarican_English Bucketr, Goy, & Johnson, 2018_Canadian, English Broesch, & Bryant, 2015_Karyan, & Fijian Wassirk, Wright, & Frankin, 2007_Jamaican_English Broesch, & Jayant, 2015_Karyan, & Fijian Wassirk, Wright, & Jonweijan Broesch, & Jayant, 2017_Hungarian Gergely, et al. 2021_Mondpile, MEE Gergely, et al. 2021_Mondpile, MEE Gergely, et al. 2017_Hungarian McMuray, et, al. 2022_Mondpile, MEE Gergely, et al. 2017_Hungarian McMuray, et, al. 2022_Mondpile, MEE Gergely, et al. 2017_Hungarian McMuray, et, al. 2022_Mondpile, MEE Gergely, et al. 2017_Hungarian McMuray, et, al. 2022_Janatian, English McMuray, et, al. 2022_Janatian, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Janatian, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Janatian, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Janatian, Claish Mana, et, al. 2020_Janatian, Claish Mana, et, al. 2020_Janatian, Claish Miton, et, al. 2022_Janatian, Claish Mana, et, al. 2022_Janatian, Claish Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Chaise Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Chaise Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Chaise Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Miton Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Chaise Mana, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Miton Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Miton Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Miton Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Anatana, Shana Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Miton Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Miton Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, English Miton, et, al. 2022_Mantana, Biosech, & Bryant, 2018_Amarican, Engli	Hilton_et_al_2022Mandarin_Chinese_BEJ		0.74 [-0.23, 1.72]
Bucker, Goy, & Johnson, 2018, Canadam, English Bossch, & Byant, 2015, Karyan, & Fijan Wasirk, Wright, & Frankin, 2007, Jamaican, English Bossch, & Byant, 2012, Morwegian Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Gergely, et. al. 2017, Jungarian McMurray, et. al. 2013, American, English Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Gergely, et. al. 2013, American, English Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Gergely, et. al. 2013, American, English Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Gergely, et. al. 2013, American, English Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Gergely, et. al. 2013, American, English Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Gergely, et. al. 2013, American, English Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Gergely, et. al. 2013, American, English Hiton, et. al. 2022, Mondelyo, MBE Hiton, et. al. 2022, Jonatha De patina, & Anano, 2017, Japanese Hiton, et. al. 2022, Jonatha De patina, & Anano, 2017, Japanese Hiton, et. al. 2022, Jonatha De patina, & Anano, 2017, Japanese Hiton, et. al. 2022, Jonatha De patina, ManDam, 2017, Japanese Hiton, et. al. 2022, Jonatha De patina, Bapanese Hiton, et. al. 2022, Jonatha De patina, Bapanese Hiton	Broesch_&_Bryant_2015American_English		0.73 [-0.06, 1.51]
Brosch & Bryart, 2015 _ Kanyan, & Fijian Wassink, Wright, & Frankin, 2007 _ Jamancan, English No Solution, et, al., 2022 _ Norwigain Nan, et, al., 2022 _ Norwigain Near & Jack 202 _ Norwigain Near & Jack 201 _ Jack 202 _ Norwigain Near & Jack 201 _ Jack 202 _ Norwigain Near & Jack 201 _ Jack	Buckler_Goy_&_Johnson_2018Canadian_English		0.72 [-0.2, 1.68]
Wassink, Wright, &, Franklin, 2007_Jamaican, English Rosslund, et, al. 2022_Monregian 068 (-0.33, 1) Han, De, Jong, & Kager, 2020_Dutch 068 (-0.16, 1) Hilton, et, al. 2022_Monregian 068 (-0.16, 1) Modurary, et, al. 2022_Monregian 068 (-0.16, 1) Modurary, et, al. 2022_Monregian 068 (-0.16, 1) Modurary, et, al. 2017_Hungarian 068 (-0.31, 1) Modurary, et, al. 2013_American, English 068 (-0.31, 1) Lam, & Ktamura, 2012_Australian, English 068 (-0.31, 1) WanDam, & De, Paina, 2014 053 (-0.23, 1) WanDam, & De, Paina, 2014 053 (-0.23, 1) WanDam, & Lau 2022_Bisaina, VAN 048 (-0.63, 1) Mino, et, al. 2022_Bisaina, VAN 028 (-0.43, 1) Mino, et, al. 2022_Bisaina, VA	Broesch_&_Bryant_2015Kenyan_&_Fijian		0.72 [-0.2, 1.68]
Rosslund, et, al. 2021. Morwegian 0.00 -0.06, i. Han, De, Jong, & Kager, 2020. Dutch 0.06 -0.06, i. Han, De, Jong, & Kager, 2020. Dutch 0.06 -0.06, i. Gargoly, et, al. 2017. Hungarian 0.06 -0.06, i. MdMurray, et, al. 2013. American, English 0.05 -0.20, i. Lam, & Klamuray, 2012. Australian, English 0.05 -0.20, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Spanish, MES 0.05 -0.20, i. Subjaio. et, al. 2016. German 0.08 -0.20, i. VanDam, & De, Paima, Cinglish 0.08 -0.20, i. Myazawa, et, al. 2017. Japanese 0.04 -0.06, i. Milton, et, al. 2022. Bislama, VIN 0.08 -0.31, i. Manao, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.08 -0.31, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.08 -0.31, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.08 -0.31, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.08 -0.31, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.02 -0.08, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.02 -0.08, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.02 -0.08, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tsimane, TSI 0.02 -0.08, i. Hilton, et, al. 2022. Tosimane, English 0.02 -0.08, i.	Wassink Wright & Franklin 2007 Jamaican English		0 68 [-0 33 1 73]
Han, De, Jong, & Kager, 2020, Dutch 0.081 (-0.05, 1) Han, De, Jong, & Kager, 2020, Dutch 0.081 (-0.05, 1) Hiton, et, al. 2022, Mendique, MRE 0.081 (-0.05, 1) Gergely, et, al., 2017, Hungarian 0.057 (-0.28, 1) McMurzy, et, al., 2013, American, English 0.057 (-0.28, 1) Lam, &, Kitamura, 2012, Australian, English 0.051 (-0.13, 1) Subpizo, et, al. 2018, German 0.051 (-0.28, 1) VanDam, & De, Palma, 2014, American, English 0.044 (-0.68, 2) Name, et, al. 2002, Japanese 0.046 (-0.3, 1) Hiton, et, al. 2002, Japanese 0.045 (-0.70, 1) Hiton, et, al. 2002, Japanese 0.045 (-0.70, 1) Hiton, et, al. 2002, Japanese 0.045 (-0.3, 1) Hiton, et, al. 2002, Mentavai, MEN 0.028 (-0.40, 1) Masataka, 1992, Japanese 0.046 (-0.3, 1) Hiton, et, al. 2002, Mentavai, MEN 0.046 (-0.3, 1) Benesch, & Bryant, 2018, American, Eng	Rosslund et al 2021 Norwegian		0.66 [_0.16_1.59]
1. Hail_to_c.orgRiget	Han Do Jong & Konger 2000 District		0.00 [-0.10, 1.53]
Intender Gerichter Aussein Aufer und in der Gerichter Aussein Aufer aussein	Hilton at al 2000 Manadist MDE		0.00 [-0.00, 1.31]
Lergey_et, al, 2017_Hungahan McMuray_et, al, 2013_American_English Hiton_et, al, 2022_panish_MES Subjoz, et, al, 2018_German VanDam, & De, Palma, 2014_American_English Mixzawa, et, al, 2017_Japanese Hiton, et, al, 2022_Bislama, VAN Han_De_Jong_&, Kager, 2020_Mandarin_Chinese Hiton, et, al, 2022_Tismane, TSI Hiton, et, al, 2022_Tismane, TSI Hiton, et, al, 2022_Tismane, TSI Hiton, et, al, 2022_Tismane, TSI Hiton, et, al, 2022_Mentevai, MEN Abin, & Echols, 1996_American_English Masataka, 1992_Japanese Hiton, et, al, 2022_Mentevai, MEN Abin, & Echols, 1996_American_English De_Palma, 2018_American_English De_Palma, 2018_American_English De_Palma, 2018_American_English De_Palma, 2018_American_English De_Palma, & VanDam, 2017_Danish Pooled Effect	Hilton_et_al_2022imbendjele_imber		0.61 [-0.32, 1.39]
McMurray_et, al, 2012_Australian English 0.57 (-0.3), 1 Lam_& Kitamura, 2012_Australian English 0.58 (-0.3), 1 Sulpizo, et, al. 2018_German 0.51 (-0.29, 1) VanDam_&, De_Palma, 2014_American_English 0.51 (-0.29, 1) Miton_et, al. 2002_Bislama, VAN 0.48 (-0.68, 2) Myazawa, et, al. 2007_Japanese 0.48 (-0.68, 2) Miton_et, al. 2002_Bislama, VAN 0.48 (-0.63, 1) Han, De_, Jong, &, Kager, 2002_Mandarin, Chinese 0.45 (-0.3), 1 Hilton, et, al. 2002_Bislama, VAN 0.39 (-0.43, 1) Han, et, al. 2002_Mandarin, Chinese 0.38 (-0.59, 1) Hilton, et, al. 2002_Uschua, & Achura, SPA 0.29 (-0.63, 1) Mastaka, 1999_Japanese 0.28 (-0.41, 0) Miton, et, al. 2002_Uschua, & Achura, SPA 0.28 (-0.41, 0) Bohn, 2013_Danish 0.20 (-0.81, 1) Bohn, 2013_Danish 0.28 (-0.41, 0) Bohn, 2013_Danish 0.28 (-0.41, 0) Pooled Effect 0.28 (-0.41, 0) Pooled Effect 0.28 (-0.41, 0)	Gergely_et_al_2017_Hungarian		0.61 [-0.1, 1.38]
Lam & Kitamura, 2012. Australian English Hitlon, et al. 2022. Spanish, MES Sulpizio, et al. 2018. German VanDam, 8, De, Palma, 2014. American, English Mazawa, et al. 2017. Japanese Hitlon, et al. 2022. Bislama, VAN Han, De, Jong, & Kager, 2020. Mandain, Chinese Hitlon, et al. 2022. Tisimane, TSI Hitlon, et al. 2022. Tisimane, TSI Hitlon, et al. 2022. Menteval, MEN Masataka, 1992. Japanese Hitlon, et al. 2022. Menteval, MEN Masataka, 1992. Japanese Menteval, MEN Menteval, MEN Menteva	McMurray_et_al_2013American_English		0.57 [-0.29, 1.44]
Hilton, et. al. 2022_Spanish, MES Sulpizio, et. al. 2018_German VanDam, 8, De Jemina, 2014_American_English Ratner, & Pye, 1984_Quiche, Mayan Miyazawa, et. al. 2007_Japanese Amano_et. al. 2008_Japanese Hilton, et. al. 2022_Bislama VAN Han_De_Jong, & Kager. 2020_Mandarin, Chinese Hilton, et. al. 2022_Taimane_TSI Hilton, et. al. 2022_Taimane_TSI Hilton, et. al. 2022_Taimane_TSI Hilton, et. al. 2022_Taimane_TSI Bohn_2013_Danish Broesch, & Bryant_2018_American_English De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_& VanDam_2017_American_English De Palma_& VanDam	Lam_&_Kitamura_2012Australian_English		0.53 [-0.33, 1.29]
Subj2io_et_al_2018_German VanDam.& De_Palma_2014_American_English Rattar: F2, Pe_1194_Outlobe.Mayan Miyazawa_et_al_2017_Japanese Amano.et_al_2006_Japanese Hilton.et_al_2020_Silsiama_VAN Han_De_Jong.& Kager_2020_Mandarin_Chinese Hilton.et_al_2022_Tisimane_TSI Hilton.et_al_2022_Tisimane_TSI Hilton.et_al_2022_Tisimane_TSI Hilton.et_al_2022_Tisimane_TSI Hilton.et_al_2022_Tisimane_TSI Hilton.et_al_2022_Ducbcua_& Achurar_SFA Dobin_2013_Danish Broesch.& Bryan_2018_American_English De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect	Hilton_et_al_2022Spanish_MES		0.52 [-0.45, 1.55]
VanDam & De Palma 2014 _ American English 0.48 [-0.66, 2 Ratner & Pye_1984 _ Outlebe, Mayan 0.48 [-0.66, 2 Miyazawa, etta, 2017 _ Japanese 0.46 [-0.3, 1 Amano, ettal 2006 _ Japanese 0.46 [-0.3, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.48 [-0.68, 2 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Hilton, ettal, 2002 _ Jistama, VAN 0.38 [-0.43, 1 Masataka, 1992 _ Japanese 0.28 [-0.41, 0 Masataka, 1992 _ Japanese 0.28 [-0.41, 0 Hilton ettal, 2002 _ Queckua, & Achuar, SPA 0.28 [-0.41, 0 Boin, 2015 _ Danish 0.28 [-0.41, 0 Poleid Effect 0.48 [-0.63, 0 Hilton ettal, 2002 _ Queckua, & Achuar, SPA 0.20 [-0.83, 0 Boin, 2015 _ Danish -0.06 [-0.91, 0 Poleid Effect 0.48 [-0.63, 0 Hilton etta	Sulpizio_et_al_2018German		0.51 [-0.29, 1.32]
Ratner_& Pye_1984_Quiche_Mayan Myzazwa, et, al. 2017_Japanese Amano_et_al. 2002_Bislama_UAN Han_De_Jong_&, Kager_ 2020_Mandarin_Chinese Hilton, et, al. 2022_Mandarin_Chinese Hilton, et, al. 2022_Usechua, & Achura, SPA Bohn_2013_Danish Broesch_&, Bryant_2018_American_English De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect Pooled Effect De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_K_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_K_VanDam_2017_American_English De_Palma_K_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_K_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_K_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect De_Palma_K_VanDam_2017_American_English Hilton Palma_K_VanDam_2017_American_English Hilton Palma_K_	VanDam_&_De_Palma_2014American_English		0.48 [-0.66, 2.17]
Miyazawa_et_al_2017_Japanese Amano, et_al_2006_Japanese Hilton, et_al_2022_Bislama, VAN Han_De_Jong & Kager, 2020_Mandarin, Chinese Hilton, et_al_2022_Tislimane, TSI Hilton, et_al_2022_Tislimane, TSI Hilton, et_al_2022_Tislimane, TSI Hilton, et_al_2022_Tislimane, TSI Hilton, et_al_2022_Dechata_al_AChturar, SPA Bohn_2013_Danish De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_Engli	Ratner_&_Pye_1984Quiche Mavan		0.48 [-0.83. 1.87]
Amano, et. al. 2006_Japanese Hilton, et. al. 2002_Bislama, VAN Han_De_JongK_Rager, 2020_Mandarin, Chinese Hilton, et. al. 2022_Timane, TSI Hilton, et. al. 2022_Timane, TSI Hilton, et. al. 2022_Timane, TSI Hilton, et. al. 2022_Mentawai _MEN Abin_& Echols_1996_American_English Broesch_& Bryant_2018_American_English De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect	Mivazawa et al 2017 Jananese		0.46 [-0.3 1 26]
Hilton, et, al. 2022_Bislama, VAN Han, De_Jong, &, Kager, 2020_Mandarin, Chinese Hilton, et, al. 2022_Mandarin, Chinese Mastatka, 1999_Japanese Hilton, et, al. 2022_Mandarin, Chinese Hilton, et, al. 2024_Mandarin, et,	Amano et al 2006 Jonanaca		0.45 [_0.07_0.07]
	Hilton at a 0000 Distant 1000		0.45 [-0.07, 0.97]
nan_be_uongnageroucu_MandannInnese Hillion_et_al_2022_Imane_TSI Abin_& Echols_1996_American_English Masataka_1992_Japanese Hillion_et_al_2022_Oucehua_& Achuar_SRA Bohn_2013_Danish Broesch_& Bryant_2018_American_English De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect	miton_et_al_2022Bislama_VAN		0.39 [-0.43, 1.28]
Hilton, et., 2.022_Isimane, 151 Hilton, et., 2.022_Isimane, 151 Hilton, et., 2.022_Montwail, MEN Mastaka, 1996_American_English Mastaka, 1992_Japanese Hilton, et., 2.022_Ouechua, & Achuar, SRA Bohn, 2013_Danish Broesch, & Bryant, 2018_American_English De_Palma, & VanDam, 2017_American_English De_Palma, & VanDam, 2017_American, English De_Palma, & VanDam, 2017_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_American, 2018_Am	Han_De_Jong_&_Kager_2020Mandarin_Chinese		0.39 [-0.33, 1.09]
Hilton, et, al. 2022_Montawai, MEN Albin, & Echols, 1996_American_English- Mastaka, 1992_Japanese Hilton, et, al. 2022_Ouechua, & Achuar, SPA Bohn, 2013_Danish Broesch, & Bryant, 2018_American_English- De_Palma, & VanDam_2017_American_English- Pooled Effect 0 1 2 3 4 5 Effect Size (Hedcoes' a)	Hilton_et_al_2022Tsimane_TSI		0.35 [-0.59, 1.38]
Albin & Echols, 1996 _ American_English Masataka, 1992 _ Quechua, & Achuar, SPA Hilton, et al. 2022 _ Quechua, & Achuar, SPA Bohn, 2013 _ Danish Broesch & Bryant, 2018 _ American_English De Palma, & VanDam, 2017 _ American_English Pooled Effect Pooled Effect 0 1 2 3 4 5 Effect Size (Hednes' o)	Hilton_et_al_2022Mentawai_MEN		0.29 [-0.63, 1.3]
Masataka_1992_Japanese Hilton_et_al_2022_Quechua_&Achuar_SPA Bohn_2013_Danish- Broesch_&Bryant_2013_American_English Pooled Effect 0 1 2 3 3 4 5 Effect Size (Hednes' o)	Albin_&_Echols_1996American_English		0.28 [-0.41, 0.93]
Hilton_et_al_2022_Ouechua_&_Achuar_SPA Bohn_2013_Daniah Broesch_&_Bryant_2018_American_English- De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English- Pooled Effect 0 1 2 3 4 5 Effect Size (Hedcoes' o)	Masataka_1992_Japanese		0.21 [-0.89, 1.42]
Bohn_2013_Danish 0.08 [-0.89, 0. Broesch_&_Bryant_2018_American_English 0.08 [-0.89, 0. De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English 0.08 [-0.89, 0. Pooled Effect 0.08 [-0.80, 0. 0 1 2 Ffeet Size (Hedgaes' o) 0.08 [-0.80, 0.	Hilton_et_al_2022Quechua_&_Achuar_SPA		0.2 [-0.73, 1.23]
Broesch & Bryant_2018_American_English De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect 0 1 2 3 4 5 Effect Size (Hednes' o)	Bohn_2013 Danish		-0.03 [-0.89. 0.84]
De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017_American_English Pooled Effect 0 1 2 3 4 5 Fffect Size (Heddees' o)	Broesch & Brvant 2018 American English		-0.06 [-0.91 0.85]
Pooled Effect	De Palma & VanDam 2017 American English		-0.22 [_0.83, 0.33]
0 1 2 3 4 5 Fflect Size (Heddes' a)	Doolod Effort		1 17 [0 86 4 46]
U 1 2 3 4 5 Effect Size (Hednes' o)	Fooied Effect		i.i/ [0.00, 1.45]
		Fffect Size (Hedges'a)	5

Supplementary Figure 6.1. Forest Plot for fo estimates according to study and language. The estimates are based on a total of 3401 participants across 60 studies investigating 33 distinct languages. The shaded areas indicate the posterior probability density of each estimate. The numbers to the right provide the estimated mean effect size (Hedges' g) and upper and lower 95% credible intervals). The estimates within each study are broken down according to language; this is especially evident for studies^{1, 54}, from which data on a diverse set of languages exist.

Forest Plot for Studies on fo Variability

,				
Steen & Englund 2021 Norwegian				1.97 [1.35, 2.47
lkeda & Masataka 1999 Japanese				1 94 [1 3 2 54
Kondaurova et al 2013 American English				1.75 [1.22, 2.22
Dideriksen & Fusaroli 2018 Danish			•	1.67 [0.8, 2.48
loarashi et al 2013 Japanese -			•	1.65 [1.06, 2.2]
Cooper et al 1997 American English			•	1.6 [0.74, 2.45
Stern_et_al_1983American_English			•	1.57 [0.77, 2.38
Ogle & Maidment 1993 British English			-	1.48 [0.42, 2.53
Hilton et al 2022 Canadian English TOR			-	1.48 [0.81, 2.11
Sulpizio et al 2018 German				1.41 [0.68, 2.14
Raneri 2015 American English				1.36 [0.82, 1.84
Cristia_2010American_English				1.34 [0.71, 1.94
Liu et al 2009 Mandarin Chinese				1.33 [0.53, 2.11
Hilton et al 2022 American English USD				1.28 [0.54, 1.99
Naoi et al 2012 Japanese		•		1.26 [0.61, 1.9
Vosoughi & Roy 2012 American English				1.25 [0.36, 2.15
Ashby_2004_Australian_English				1.22 [0.03, 2.41
Bergeson_et_al_2006American_English -				1.14 [0.34, 1.93
Lovcevic_et_al_2020_Australian_English -				1.11 [0.33, 1.86
Grieser_&_Kuhl_1988Mandarin_Chinese -				1.01 [0.09, 1.93
Hilton_et_al_2022Mbendjele_MBE -				0.94 [0.12, 1.74
Hilton_et_al_2022Enga_PNG -				0.94 [-0.08, 1.94
Smith_&_Strader_2014American_English -				0.91 [0.02, 1.81
Broesch_&_Bryant_2018Bislama -				0.9 [0.07, 1.73
Broesch_&_Bryant_2015Kenyan_&_Fijian -				0.89 [0.07, 1.68
Hilton_et_al_2022_Polish_LIM -		•		0.87 [-0.06, 1.8
Tang_&_Maidment_1996Cantonese_Chinese -				0.82 [-0.13, 1.76
Kempe_2009_Scottish_English		•		0.78 [0.2, 1.33
Miyazawa_et_al_2017Japanese -		•		0.77 [0, 1.64
Räsänen_et_al_2017Canadian_English -		•		0.71 [-0.13, 1.54
Weirich & Simpson 2019 German				0.7 [0.23, 1.26
Hilton et al 2022 Kannada JEN				0.68 [-0.37, 1.72
McMurray et al 2013 American English		•		0.66 [-0.11, 1.42
Narayan_&_McDermott_2016Sri_Lankan_Tamil		•		0.65 [-0.03, 1.33
Hilton_et_al_2022Toposa_TOP -				0.65 [-0.15, 1.44
Narayan_&_McDermott_2016Korean -		•		0.59 [-0.07, 1.26
Shute_&_Wheldall_2001British_English -		•		0.59 [-0.07, 1.22
Hilton_et_al_2022Nyangatom_NYA		•		0.59 [-0.21, 1.38
Hilton_et_al_2022New_Zealand_English_WEL				0.58 [-0.05, 1.21
Sulpizio_et_al_2018Italian -		•		0.57 [-0.1, 1.25
Lam_&_Kitamura_2012Australian_English -				0.56 [-0.05, 1.08
Hilton_et_al_2022_Polish_KRA				0.56 [-0.49, 1.6
Fernald_1989German -				0.54 [-0.32, 1.41
Narayan_&_McDermott_2016Tagalog -				0.52 [-0.15, 1.2
Inoue_et_al_2011Japanese -				0.5 [-0.17, 1.17
Broesch_&_Bryant_2015American_English -				0.49 [-0.2, 1.16
Fernald_1989Italian -				0.49 [-0.36, 1.35
Hilton_et_al_2022Finnish_&_Swedish_TUR -		•		0.45 [-0.28, 1.2
Sundberg_1998Swedish -				0.43 [-0.52, 1.39
Hilton_et_al_2022Mentawai_MEN -				0.4 [-0.38, 1.19
Hilton_et_al_2022Tsimane_TSI -				0.39 [-0.41, 1.2
Fernald_1989_French -		•		0.34 [-0.52, 1.22
Niwano_&_Sugai_2002Japanese -				0.32 [-0.14, 0.73
Fernald_1989_British_English -				0.31 [-0.53, 1.14
Fisher_&_Tokura_1995American_English -				0.28 [-0.45, 1
Trainor_Austin_&_Desjardins_2000Canadian_English				0.26 [-0.45, 0.94
Gergely_et_al_2017Hungarian		•		0.25 [-0.3, 0.86
Rosslund_et_al_2021Norwegian -		•		0.21 [-0.53, 0.92
Fernald_1989American_English -		•		0.19 [-0.67, 1.04
Hilton_et_al_2022_Quechua_&_Achuar_SPA		•		0.17 [-0.6, 0.98
Amano_et_al_2006Japanese -				0.17 [-0.28, 0.57
Hilton_et_al_2022Spanish_MES		•		0.15 [-0.69, 1.02
Han_De_Jong_&_Kager_2020Dutch		•		0.12 [-0.47, 0.77
Hilton_et_al_2022Mandarin_Chinese_BEJ -		•		0.09 [-0.75, 0.94
Masataka_1992Japanese -		•		0.09 [-0.86, 1.06
Hilton_et_al_2022Bislama_VAN		•		0.08 [-0.63, 0.81
Hilton_et_al_2022Spanish_ACO		•		0.08 [-1.1, 1.25
Han_De_Jong_&_Kager_2020Mandarin_Chinese		•		0.07 [-0.52, 0.68
Fernald_1989Japanese -				0 [-0.83, 0.82
Broesch_&_Bryant_2018American_English		•		-0.08 [-0.83, 0.66
Hilton_et_al_2022Quechua_QUE		•		-0.1 [-1.09, 0.85
Sheehan_2008American_English		•		-0.18 [-0.72, 0.3
Outters_et_al_2020German	•			-1.22 [-1.77, -0.59
Pooled Effect -				0.69 [0.44, 0.92
·	-2 -1	0	2 3	4 5
		Effect	Size (rieddes' d)	

Supplementary Figure 6.2. Forest Plot for fo variability estimates according to study. The estimates are based on a total of 3006 participants across 44 studies investigating 34 distinct languages. The shaded areas indicate the posterior probability density of each estimate. The numbers to the right provide the estimated mean effect size (Hedges' g) and upper and lower 95% credible intervals).

Forest Plot for Studies on Vowel Space Area

Hilton et al 2022 Bielama VAN						1 47 [0 37 2 58]
						1.47 [0.07, 2.00]
Kalasinikova_et_al_2020Australian_English						1.44 [0.52, 2.30]
Kuni_et_al_1997Swedish			•			1.42 [0.29, 2.63]
Hilton_et_al_2022Quechua_&_Achuar_SPA			•			1.4 [0.34, 2.45]
Hilton_et_al_2022Mbendjele_MBE ·			•			1.38 [0.27, 2.51]
Hartman_Ratner_&_Newman_2017American_English			•			1.31 [0.63, 2]
Hilton_et_al_2022American_English_USD			*			1.29 [0.51, 2.1]
Miyazawa_et_al_2017Japanese			•			1.29 [0.35, 2.22]
Kalashnikova_et_al_2017Australian_English			+			1.29 [0.18, 2.45]
Hilton_et_al_2022Canadian_English_TOR			+			1.17 [0.2, 2.09]
Uther_Knoll_&_Burnham_2007British_English			*			1.16 [0.15, 2.16]
Burnham_et_al_2002Australian_English			•	_		1.12 [0.17, 2.1]
Lam_&_Kitamura_2012Australian_English			*			1.06 [0.29, 1.83]
Liu_Tsao_&_Kuhl_2009Mandarin_Chinese ·			•			1.02 [0.16, 1.87]
Rosslund et al 2021 Norwegian			•			1.01 [0.16, 1.86]
Liu Kuhl & Tsao 2003 Mandarin Chinese						0.98 [0.19. 1.77]
Hilton et al 2022 Tsimane TSI						0 97 [-0 02 1 94]
Garria_Sierra et al 2021 - Seconda						0.91 [0.05, 1.70]
						0.31 [0.03, 1.73]
Hilton_et_ai_2022Kannada_JEN						0.87 [-0.23, 1.99]
Kuni_et_al_1997Hussian						0.78 [=0.22, 1.79]
Hilton_et_al_2022Finnish_&_Swedish_TUR						0.78 [-0.14, 1.7]
Gergely_et_al_2017Hungarian		•				0.72 [-0.13, 1.57]
Hilton_et_al_2022Nyangatom_NYA ·		•				0.71 [-0.23, 1.65]
Marklund_&_Gustavsson_2020Swedish	 	•				0.67 [-0.28, 1.57]
Kalashnikova_&_Burnham_2018Australian_English		+				0.66 [–0.02, 1.33]
Hilton_et_al_2022Enga_PNG	 	•				0.64 [–0.39, 1.68]
Kuhl_et_al_1997American_English		•				0.63 [–0.24, 1.51]
Hilton_et_al_2022New_Zealand_English_WEL	 	+				0.62 [-0.24, 1.5]
Tang_et_al_2017Mandarin_Chinese		•				0.61 [-0.22, 1.45]
Kondaurova_Bergeson_&_Dilley_2012American_English		•				0.59 [-0.22, 1.39]
Weirich_&_Simpson_2019German	 	•				0.57 [-0.26, 1.42]
Cristia_&_Seidl_2014American_English	 	+				0.56 [-0.02, 1.16]
Wassink_et_al_2007Jamaican_English	 	+				0.52 [-0.45, 1.49]
Xu_et_al_2013Australian_English		-				0.5 [-0.38, 1.39]
Hilton_et_al_2022Polish_KRA		•				0.48 [-0.6, 1.53]
Wieland_et_al_2015American_English		•				0.44 [-0.24, 1.12]
Hilton et al 2022 Spanish ACO		•				0.44 [-0.68, 1.52]
Hilton et al 2022 Polish LIM						0 43 [=0 54 1 41]
Hartman 2013 American English						0.4[-0.29.1.09]
Diderikaan & Eusarali 2019, Dasiah						0.24 [0.42 1.03]
Dideliksen_a_r'usaroll_2018_Danish						0.00 [-0.42, 1.2]
Bonn_2013_Danish						0.32 [=0.04, 1.27]
Hilton_et_al_2022Quechua_QUE						0.31 [-0.63, 1.28]
Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007British_English		•				0.29 [-0.75, 1.33]
Englund_&_Behne_2006Norwegian		+				0.29 [-0.51, 1.12]
Dodane_&_AI-Tamimi_2007French		•				0.28 [-0.81, 1.35]
Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007Japanese		•				0.25 [-0.79, 1.28]
Hilton_et_al_2022Spanish_MES		•				0.22 [-0.71, 1.14]
Hilton_et_al_2022Mentawai_MEN	 	•				0.15 [–0.83, 1.15]
Hilton_et_al_2022Toposa_TOP	 	•				0.15 [–0.83, 1.15]
Rattanasone_Burnham_&_Reilly_2013Cantonese_Chinese		•				0.13 [-0.72, 1.09]
Hilton_et_al_2022_Mandarin_Chinese_BEJ		•				0.13 [-0.77, 1.02]
Burnham_et_al_2015American_English						0 [-0.54, 0.55]
Steen_&_Englund_2021Norwegian	 +					0.23 [-1.02, 0.59]
Benders_2013Dutch	 •					0.25 [-1.24, 0.84]
Lovcevic_et_al_2020Australian_English	 •					0.35 [-1.08, 0.35]
Pooled Effect						0.66 [0.34, 0.98]
)	1 2	2	3	4
		Effe	ct Size (Hedges' g)			

Supplementary Figure 6.3. Forest Plot for vowel space area estimates according to study. The estimates are based on a total of 1702 participants across 33 studies investigating 30 distinct languages. The shaded areas indicate the posterior probability density of each estimate. The numbers to the right provide the estimated mean effect size (Hedges' g) and upper and lower 95% credible intervals). The estimates within each study are broken down according to language; this is especially evident for studies^{1, 54}, from which data on a diverse set of languages exist.



Supplementary Figure 6.4. Forest plot for articulation rate estimates according to study. The estimates are based on a total of 976 participants across 17 studies investigating 17 distinct languages. The shaded areas indicate the posterior probability density of each estimate. The numbers to the right provide the estimated mean effect size (Hedges' g) and upper and lower 95% credible intervals).



Supplementary Figure 6.5. Forest plot for vowel duration estimates according to study. The estimates are based on a total of 1411 participants across 26 studies investigating 11 distinct languages. The shaded areas indicate the posterior probability density of each estimate. The numbers to the right provide the estimated mean effect size (Hedges' g) and upper and lower 95% credible intervals).



Supplementary Figure 6.6. A panel of plots showing each acoustic measure as a function of infant age. The blue lines reflect 100 posterior model predictions for the effect size estimates for each acoustic variable. As above, the grey points show the raw effect size measures. The size of the points is proportional to the inverse of the standard error of the effect size (i.e., the larger the point, the smaller the standard error). Note that each acoustic measure has an x-axis with different limits based on the data available.

S7: Age Distributions Across Languages



Supplementary Figure 7: Plots showing the age distribution by language of the effect sizes for each of the acoustic variables under investigation.



Supplementary Figure 8: Cross-tab for the predictors of task and environment with age on the x-axis. The colors are purely for aesthetic purposes: blue denotes recordings in a naturalistic environment, orange signifies recordings done in the lab.

Model Parameters for f_0	Estimate	
Standard Deviation of Languages	0.29 [0.01; 0.72]	
Standard Deviation of Studies within Languages	0.91 [0.72; 1.14]	
Standard Deviation of Measurements	0.07 [0.00; 0.19]	
Age (months)	-0.02 [-0.03 0.01]	
Australian English	1.29 [0.72; 1.84]	
Bislama	0.85 [-0.37; 2.11]	
British English	1.56 [0.43; 2.68]	
Canadian English	1.21 [0.16; 2.21]	
Danish	1.29 [-0.08; 2.64]	
Dutch	0.97 [-0.12; 2.08]	
Enga	1.33 [-0.25; 2.87]	
Finnish & Swedish	1.19 [-0.27; 2.68]	
French	1.53 [-0.01; 3.13]	
German	1.34 [0.34; 2.35]	
Hungarian	1.14 [-0.35; 2.62]	
Italian	1.3 [0.03; 2.62]	
Jamaican English	0.68 [-0.77; 2.18]	
Japanese	1.04 [0.15; 1.99]	
Kannada	1.46 [-0.17; 3.1]	
Kenyan & Fijian	0.72 [-0.78; 2.22]	
Korean	1 [-0.51; 2.47]	

S9: Parameter Estimates for Best Models

Mandarin Chinese	1.17 [0.15; 2.17]
Mbendjele	1.07 [-0.42; 2.57]
Mentawai	0.84 [-0.67; 2.35]
New Zealand English	1.65 [0.13; 3.09]
Norwegian	0.83 [-0.33; 1.99]
Nyangatom	1.37 [-0.15; 2.88]
Nu	9.41 [4.22; 21.65]

Supplementary Table 9.1: Model parameter estimates for f₀

Model parameters for <i>f</i> ₀ variability	Estimate	
Standard Deviation of Languages	0.21 [0.01; 0.58]	
Standard Deviation of Studies within Languages	0.76 [0.60; 0.95]	
Standard Deviation of Measurements	0.10 [0.01; 0.23]	
Age (months)	0.00 [-0.01; 0.01]	
Australian English	0.49 [0.03; 0.93]	
Bislama	0.29 [-0.83; 1.4]	
British English	0.57 [-0.45; 1.58]	
Canadian English	0.82 [-0.16; 1.77]	
Cantonese Chinese	0.51 [-0.93; 1.94]	
Danish	1.21 [-0.19; 2.58]	
Dutch	0.17 [-1.04; 1.45]	
Enga	0.83 [-0.64; 2.3]	

Finnish & Swedish	0.4 [-0.87; 1.73]
French	0.13 [-1.22; 1.5]
German	0.14 [-0.72; 1.01]
Hungarian	0.27 [-0.96; 1.51]
Italian	0.4 [-0.7; 1.48]
Japanese	0.55 [-0.16; 1.26]
Kannada	0.54 [-0.93; 2.04]
Kenyan & Fijian	0.55 [-0.81; 1.88]
Korean	0.31 [-0.99; 1.59]
Mandarin Chinese	0.42 [-0.47; 1.3]
Mbendjele	0.81 [-0.53; 2.13]
Mentawai	0.37 [-0.93; 1.67]
New Zealand English	0.55 [-0.7; 1.78]
Norwegian	0.89 [-0.16; 1.93]
Nyangatom	0.53 [-0.83; 1.85]
Polish	0.66 [-0.54; 1.85]
Quechua	-0.03 [-1.35; 1.29]
Quechua & Achuar	0.18 [-1.14; 1.49]
Scottish English	0.45 [-0.86; 1.71]
Spanish	-0.19 [-1.42; 1.06]
Sri Lankan Tamil	0.36 [-1.02; 1.69]

Swedish	0.16 [-1.3; 1.59]		
Tagalog	0.26 [-1.02; 1.56]		
Toposa	0.57 [-0.79; 1.93]		
Tsimane	0.35 [-0.95; 1.67]		
US English	0.92 [0.19; 1.56]		
Spontaneous Speech	0.39 [0.05; 0.72]		
Nu	31.17 [11.06; 67.91]		

Supplementary Table 9.2: Model parameter estimates for *f*₀ variability

Model Parameters for Vowel Space	Estimate	
Area		
Standard Deviation of Languages	0.37 [0.02; 0.87]	
Standard Deviation of Studies within Languages	0.61 [0.41; 0.86]	
Standard Deviation of Measurements	0.10 [0.00; 0.27]	
Age (months)	-0.01 [-0.02; 0.01]	
Australian English	0.93 [0.36; 1.42]	
Bislama	1.94 [0.5; 3.29]	
British English	0.99 [-0.32; 2.28]	
Canadian English	1.54 [0.16; 2.83]	
Cantonese Chinese	0.13 [-1.07; 1.41]	
Danish	0.22 [-0.88; 1.36]	
Dutch	-0.33 [-1.52; 0.96]	

Enga	0.87 [-0.59; 2.32]
Finnish & Swedish	1.05 [-0.33; 2.37]
French	0.29 [-1.18; 1.79]
German	0.63 [-0.57; 1.86]
Hungarian	0.92 [-0.39; 2.23]
Jamaican English	0.53 [-0.76; 1.9]
Japanese	1.05 [-0.17; 2.23]
Kannada	1.24 [-0.33; 2.82]
Mandarin Chinese	0.84 [-0.14; 1.8]
Mbendjele	1.9 [0.36; 3.28]
Mentawai	0.21 [-1.14; 1.57]
New Zealand English	0.92 [-0.4; 2.2]
Norwegian	0.11 [-0.91; 1.18]
Nyangatom	0.96 [-0.44; 2.32]
Polish	0.41 [-0.82; 1.68]
Quechua	0.43 [-0.9; 1.79]
Quechua Achuar	1.82 [0.34; 3.17]
Russian	0.77 [-0.54; 2.12]
Spanish	0.5 [-0.7; 1.69]
Swedish	1.47 [0.28; 2.6]
Toposa	0.2 [-1.11; 1.56]
Tsimane	1.31 [-0.12; 2.67]
US English	0.66 [-0.24; 1.56]

Supplementary Table 9.3: Model parameter estimates for vowel space area

Model parameters for articulation rate	Estimate	
Standard Deviation of Languages	0.41 [0.02; 1.14]	
Standard Deviation of Studies within Languages	0.74 [0.42; 1.19]	
Standard Deviation of Measurements	0.23 [0.02; 0.46]	
Age (months)	0.02 [0.00; 0.05]	
Australian English	-1.19 [-2.14; -0.23]	
Bislama	-0.49 [-2.06; 0.97]	
British English	-1.53 [-3.32; 0.25]	
Canadian English	-0.96 [-2.74; 0.92]	
Cantonese Chinese	-1.97 [-3.77; -0.11]	
Danish	-2.03 [-3.7; -0.25]	
Dutch	-0.69 [-1.97; 0.49]	
French	-1.33 [-3.08; 0.45]	
German	-1.38 [-2.9; 0.14]	
Italian	-1.18 [-2.81; 0.45]	
Japanese	-1.65 [-3.42; 0.2]	
Kenyan & Fijian	-1.26 [-2.74; 0.25]	
Korean	-1.3 [-2.92; 0.32]	

Mandarin Chinese	-0.56 [-2.03; 0.82]
Sri Lankan Tamil	-0.79 [-2.41; 0.82]
Tagalog	-1.5 [-3.11; 0.21]
US English	-0.9 [-2.03; 0.07]
Spontaneous Speech	0.95 [-0.08; 1.88]
Nu	23.64 [5.90; 58.56]

Supplementary Table 9.4: Model parameter estimates for articulation rate

Model parameters for vowel duration	Estimate	
Standard Deviation of Languages	0.39 [0.02; 1.14]	
Standard Deviation of Studies within Languages	0.50 [0.12; 0.92]	
Standard Deviation of Measurements	0.16 [0.01; 0.36]	
Age (months)	-0.02 [-0.05; 0.01]	
Australian English	0.6 [-0.08; 1.21]	
British English	1.08 [-0.43; 2.42]	
Canadian English	0.88 [-0.49; 2.18]	
Danish	0.09 [-1.12; 1.44]	
Jamaican English	0.17 [-1.04; 1.5]	
Japanese	0.36 [-0.62; 1.36]	
Mandarin Chinese	0.92 [-0.23; 1.98]	
Norwegian	0.32 [-0.59; 1.35]	
Scottish English	0.55 [-0.63; 1.7]	
Swedish	-0.29 [-1.34; 1]	

US English

0.84 [-0.18; 1.76]

Nu

4.90 [2.19; 11.19]

Supplementary Table 9.5: Model parameter estimates for vowel duration

S10: Publication Bias Sensitivity Plots

The plot indicates what happens to the effect size if the publication probability is x times higher for significant studies than for non-significant studies. An effect size estimate of 0.0 is indicated by the orange dotted line, and the worst-case point estimate (see below) is indicated



Supplementary Figure 10.1: Sensitivity plots for each acoustic variable, showing the effect size estimate as a function of severity of publication bias.

Studies on the diagonal line have exactly p = 0.05. Black diamond: worst-case estimate of effect size based only on non-significant studies. Blue diamond: estimate of effect size for all studies. These plots help to determine the extent to which the non-affirmative studies' point

estimates are systematically smaller than the entire set of point estimates. As a simple heuristic, when the diamonds are close to one another, our quantitative sensitivity analyses will typically indicate that the meta-analysis is fairly robust to publication bias. When the diamonds are distant or if the grey diamond represents a negligible effect size, then our sensitivity analyses may indicate that the meta-analysis is not robust.

Supplementary Figure 10.2: Significance funnel plots for each acoustic variable.

Language	Total Sample Size
American English	2942
Australian English	1049
Bislama	36
British English	156
Canadian English	96
Cantonese Chinese	80
Danish	170
Dutch	335
French	30
German	710
Hungarian	234
Italian	110
Jamaican English	40
Japanese	1441
Kenyan & Fijian	45
Korean	87
Mandarin Chinese	373
Norwegian	924
Quiche Mayan	3
Russian	10
Scottish English	380
Spanish	17
Sri Lankan Tamil	84
Swedish	86
Tagalog	87

S11: Overview of Languages and Sample Sizes

Supplementary Table 11.1 Overview of total sample size (i.e., number of speakers) according to language

S12: Overview of Studies, Measures & Number of Effect Sizes

			Number of
Study	Measure	Language	Effect Sizes
(Albin_&_Echols_1996)	F0	US English	4
(Amano_et_al_2006)	F0	Japanese	69
(Amano_et_al_2006)	F0V	Japanese	70
(Andruski_&_Kuhl_1996)	F0	US English	2
(Andruski_&_Kuhl_1996)	VD	US English	2
(Ashby_2004)	F0	Australian English	1
(Ashby_2004)	F0V	Australian English	1
(Benders_2013)	F0	Dutch	2
(Benders_2013)	VSA	Dutch	2
(Benders_StGeorge_&_Fletcher_2021)	F0	Dutch	2
(Bergeson_et_al_2006)	F0V	US English	2
(Bergeson_Miller_&_McCune_2006)	F0	US English	2
(Bohn_2013)	F0	Danish	1
(Bohn_2013)	VD	Danish	1
(Bohn_2013)	VSA	Danish	1
(Broen_1972)	AR	US English	2
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2015)	AR	Kenyan & Fijian	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2015)	AR	US English	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2015)	F0	Kenyan & Fijian	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2015)	F0	US English	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2015)	F0V	Kenyan & Fijian	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2015)	F0V	US English	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2018)	AR	Bislama	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2018)	AR	US English	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2018)	F0	Bislama	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2018)	F0	US English	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2018)	F0V	Bislama	1
(Broesch_&_Bryant_2018)	F0V	US English	1
(Buckler_Goy_&_Johnson_2018)	FO	Canadian English	1
(Buckler_Goy_&_Johnson_2018)	VD	Canadian English	1
(Burnham_et_al_2002)	FO	Australian English	1
(Burnham_et_al_2002)	VSA	Australian English	1
(Burnham_et_al_2015)	VSA	US English	7
(Church_2002)	AR	Canadian English	1
(Cooper_et_al_1997)	F0	US English	1
(Cooper_et_al_1997)	F0V	US English	1
(Cristia_&_Seidl_2014)	VD	US English	1

(Cristia_&_Seidl_2014)	VSA	US English	4
(Cristia_2010)	AR	US English	2
(Cristia_2010)	F0	US English	2
(Cristia_2010)	F0V	US English	2
(De_Palma_&_VanDam_2017)	F0	US English	2
(Dideriksen_&_Fusaroli_2018)	AR	Danish	1
(Dideriksen_&_Fusaroli_2018)	F0	Danish	1
(Dideriksen_&_Fusaroli_2018)	F0V	Danish	1
(Dideriksen_&_Fusaroli_2018)	VSA	Danish	1
(Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007)	AR	British English	1
(Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007)	AR	French	1
(Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007)	AR	Japanese	1
(Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007)	VSA	British English	1
(Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007)	VSA	French	1
(Dodane_&_Al-Tamimi_2007)	VSA	Japanese	1
(Englund_&_Behne_2006)	VD	Norwegian	6
(Englund_&_Behne_2006)	VSA	Norwegian	6
(Englund_2018)	F0	Norwegian	6
(Englund_2018)	VD	Norwegian	12
(Fernald_&_Simon_1984)	AR	German	1
(Fernald_&_Simon_1984)	F0	German	1
(Fernald_1989)	F0	British English	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0	French	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0	German	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0	Italian	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0	Japanese	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0	US English	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0V	British English	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0V	French	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0V	German	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0V	Italian	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0V	Japanese	2
(Fernald_1989)	F0V	US English	2
(Fisher_&_Tokura_1995)	F0V	US English	1
(Garcia-Sierra_et_al_2021)	VSA	Spanish	1
(Gergely_et_al_2017)	F0	Hungarian	6
(Gergely_et_al_2017)	F0V	Hungarian	6
(Gergely_et_al_2017)	VSA	Hungarian	6
(Grieser_&_Kuhl_1988)	F0	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Grieser_&_Kuhl_1988)	F0V	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Han_De_Jong_&_Kager_2020)	F0	Dutch	2
(Han_De_Jong_&_Kager_2020)	F0	Mandarin Chinese	2
(Han_De_Jong_&_Kager_2020)	F0V	Dutch	2

(Han_De_Jong_&_Kager_2020)	F0V	Mandarin Chinese	2
(Han_et_al_2021)	AR	Dutch	2
(Han_et_al_2021)	AR	Mandarin Chinese	2
(Hartman_2013)	VD	US English	1
(Hartman_2013)	VSA	US English	2
(Hartman_Ratner_&_Newman_2017)	VD	US English	3
(Hartman_Ratner_&_Newman_2017)	VSA	US English	4
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Bislama	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Canadian English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Enga	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Finnish & Swedish	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Kannada	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Mandarin Chinese	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Mbendjele	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Mentawai	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	New Zealand English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Nyangatom	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Polish	3
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Quechua & Achuar	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Spanish	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Toposa	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	Tsimane	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0	US English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Bislama	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Canadian English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Enga	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Finnish & Swedish	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Kannada	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Mandarin Chinese	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Mbendjele	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Mentawai	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	New Zealand English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Nyangatom	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Polish	3
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Quechua	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Quechua & Achuar	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Spanish	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Toposa	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	Tsimane	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	F0V	US English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Bislama	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Canadian English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Enga	1

(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Finnish & Swedish	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Kannada	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Mandarin Chinese	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Mbendjele	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Mentawai	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	New Zealand English	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Nyangatom	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Polish	3
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Quechua	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Quechua & Achuar	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Spanish	2
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Toposa	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	Tsimane	1
(Hilton_et_al_2022)	VSA	US English	1
(Igarashi_et_al_2013)	F0	Japanese	3
(Igarashi_et_al_2013)	F0V	Japanese	3
(Ikeda_&_Masataka_1999)	F0	Japanese	1
(Ikeda_&_Masataka_1999)	F0V	Japanese	1
(Inoue_et_al_2011)	F0	Japanese	1
(Inoue_et_al_2011)	F0V	Japanese	1
(Inoue_et_al_2011)	VD	Japanese	1
(Kalashnikova_&_Burnham_2018)	F0	Australian English	5
(Kalashnikova_&_Burnham_2018)	VSA	Australian English	5
(Kalashnikova_et_al_2017)	VSA	Australian English	1
(Kalashnikova_et_al_2020)	F0	Australian English	1
(Kalashnikova_et_al_2020)	VSA	Australian English	1
(Kempe_2009)	F0V	Scottish English	2
(Kempe_2009)	VD	Scottish English	2
(Kondaurova_&_Bergeson_2011)	F0	US English	6
(Kondaurova_&_Bergeson_2011)	VD	US English	6
(Kondaurova_Bergeson_&_Dilley_2012)	VSA	US English	1
(Kondaurova_et_al_2012)	VD	US English	4
(Kondaurova_et_al_2013)	AR	US English	9
(Kondaurova_et_al_2013)	F0	US English	9
(Kondaurova_et_al_2013)	F0V	US English	11
(Kuhl_et_al_1997)	VSA	Russian	1
(Kuhl_et_al_1997)	VSA	Swedish	1
(Kuhl_et_al_1997)	VSA	US English	1
(Lahey_&_Ernestus_2014)	AR	Dutch	1
(Lam_&_Kitamura_2012)	F0	Australian English	1
(Lam_&_Kitamura_2012)	F0V	Australian English	3
(Lam_&_Kitamura_2012)	VD	Australian English	3
(Lam_&_Kitamura_2012)	VSA	Australian English	1

(Lee_et_al_2014)	AR	Australian English	5
(Lee_et_al_2014)	F0	Australian English	5
(Liu_et_al_2009)	F0V	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Liu_et_al_2009)	VD	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Liu_Kuhl_&_Tsao_2003)	VSA	Mandarin Chinese	2
(Liu_Tsao_&_Kuhl_2007)	F0	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Liu_Tsao_&_Kuhl_2007)	VD	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Liu_Tsao_&_Kuhl_2009)	F0	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Liu_Tsao_&_Kuhl_2009)	VSA	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Lovcevic_et_al_2020)	F0V	Australian English	1
(Lovcevic_et_al_2020)	VD	Australian English	6
(Lovcevic_et_al_2020)	VSA	Australian English	2
(Lovcevic_Kalashnikova_&_Burnham_2020)	F0	Australian English	6
(Marklund_&_Gustavsson_2020)	VD	Swedish	1
(Marklund_&_Gustavsson_2020)	VSA	Swedish	1
(Masataka_1992)	F0	Japanese	1
(Masataka_1992)	F0V	Japanese	1
(McMurray_et_al_2013)	F0	US English	1
(McMurray_et_al_2013)	F0V	US English	1
(Miyazawa_et_al_2017)	F0	Japanese	1
(Miyazawa_et_al_2017)	F0V	Japanese	1
(Miyazawa_et_al_2017)	VD	Japanese	1
(Miyazawa_et_al_2017)	VSA	Japanese	1
(Naoi_et_al_2012)	F0	Japanese	1
(Naoi_et_al_2012)	F0V	Japanese	1
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	AR	Korean	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	AR	Sri Lankan Tamil	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	AR	Tagalog	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	F0	Korean	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	F0	Sri Lankan Tamil	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	F0	Tagalog	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	F0V	Korean	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	F0V	Sri Lankan Tamil	6
(Narayan_&_McDermott_2016)	F0V	Tagalog	6
(Niwano_&_Sugai_2002)	F0	Japanese	4
(Niwano_&_Sugai_2002)	F0V	Japanese	4
(Ogle_&_Maidment_1993)	F0	British English	1
(Ogle_&_Maidment_1993)	F0V	British English	1
(Outters_et_al_2020)	F0V	German	2
(Outters_Schreiner_Behne_&_Mani_2020)	F0	German	2
(Raneri_2015)	AR	US English	4
(Raneri_2015)	F0	US English	4
(Raneri_2015)	F0V	US English	4

(Räsänen_et_al_2017)	F0	Canadian English	1
(Räsänen_et_al_2017)	F0V	Canadian English	1
(Ratner_&_Pye_1984)	F0	Quiche Mayan	1
(Ratner_&_Pye_1984)	F0	US English	1
(Rattanasone_Burnham_&_Reilly_2013)	VSA	Cantonese Chinese	6
(Rosslund_et_al_2021)	F0	Norwegian	1
(Rosslund_et_al_2021)	F0V	Norwegian	1
(Rosslund_et_al_2021)	VD	Norwegian	1
(Rosslund_et_al_2021)	VSA	Norwegian	1
(Sheehan_2008)	AR	US English	2
(Sheehan_2008)	F0	US English	4
(Sheehan_2008)	F0V	US English	4
(Shute_&_Wheldall_1989)	F0	British English	2
(Shute_&_Wheldall_2001)	F0	British English	2
(Shute_&_Wheldall_2001)	F0V	British English	2
(Smith_&_Strader_2014)	F0	US English	1
(Smith_&_Strader_2014)	F0V	US English	1
(Steen_&_Englund_2021)	F0	Norwegian	6
(Steen_&_Englund_2021)	F0V	Norwegian	6
(Steen_&_Englund_2021)	VD	Norwegian	6
(Steen_&_Englund_2021)	VSA	Norwegian	2
(Stern_et_al_1983)	F0V	US English	4
(Sulpizio_et_al_2018)	AR	German	1
(Sulpizio_et_al_2018)	AR	Italian	1
(Sulpizio_et_al_2018)	F0	German	1
(Sulpizio_et_al_2018)	F0	Italian	1
(Sulpizio_et_al_2018)	F0V	German	1
(Sulpizio_et_al_2018)	F0V	Italian	1
(Sundberg_1998)	F0	Swedish	1
(Sundberg_1998)	F0V	Swedish	1
(Sundberg_1998)	VD	Swedish	2
(Tajima_et_al_2013)	VD	Japanese	6
(Tang_&_Maidment_1996)	AR	Cantonese Chinese	1
(Tang_&_Maidment_1996)	F0V	Cantonese Chinese	1
(Tang_et_al_2017)	VD	Mandarin Chinese	6
(Tang_et_al_2017)	VSA	Mandarin Chinese	1
(Trainor_Austin_&_Desjardins_2000)	F0V	Canadian English	1
(Trainor_et_al_2000)	F0	Canadian English	1
(Uther_et_al_2007)	F0	British English	1
(Uther_et_al_2007)	VD	British English	1
(Uther_et_al_2007)	VSA	British English	1
(VanDam_&_De_Palma_2014)	F0	US English	2
(Vosoughi_&_Roy_2012)	F0	US English	5

(Vosoughi_&_Roy_2012)	F0V	US English	5
(Vosoughi_&_Roy_2012)	VD	US English	5
(Wassink_et_al_2007)	VSA	Jamaican English	1
(Wassink_Wright_&_Franklin_2007)	F0	Jamaican English	1
(Wassink_Wright_&_Franklin_2007)	VD	Jamaican English	2
(Weirich_&_Simpson_2019)	F0	German	12
(Weirich_&_Simpson_2019)	F0V	German	12
(Weirich_&_Simpson_2019)	VSA	German	12
(Wieland_et_al_2015)	VSA	US English	2
(Xu_et_al_2013)	VSA	Australian English	1

Supplementary Table 12.1 Overview of studies, measures, languages and number of effect sizes