# **Extensible Software for Research**

Principles and an Example in julia

Center for Lifespan Psychology, Max Planck Institute for Human Development

Maximilian Ernst

Why should you care?

How do you get there?

a research software developer

#### a research software developer

#### a research software developer

- work with a specific type of model
  - linear regression, deep learning, ...

a research software developer

- work with a specific type of model
  - linear regression, deep learning, ...
- have an idea

a research software developer

- work with a specific type of model
  - linear regression, deep learning, ...
- have an idea
- test it

a research software developer

- work with a specific type of model
  - linear regression, deep learning, ...
- have an idea
- test it
- make it available to applied researchers

- **to test**  $\rightarrow$  prototype
- **b** to make it available  $\rightarrow$  deploy

- **to test**  $\rightarrow$  prototype
- $\clubsuit \ \ to make it available \rightarrow deploy$

What's the fastest way to get there?

- to test  $\rightarrow$  prototype
- $\clubsuit \ \ to make it available \rightarrow deploy$

What's the fastest way to get there?

They are already using existing software.

- to test  $\rightarrow$  prototype
- $\clubsuit \ \ to make it available \rightarrow deploy$

What's the fastest way to get there?

They are already using existing software.

It would be nice if they could extend existing software!





#### **understand** 1000s of lines of code



- **understand** 1000s of lines of code
- make changes, possibly breaking stuff



- understand 1000s of lines of code
- make changes, possibly breaking stuff
- get maintainers to adopt their changes



- understand 1000s of lines of code
- make changes, possibly breaking stuff
- get maintainers to adopt their changes

These hurdles are often too high!

## A year in the life of ...

#### to test: minimal reimplementation

- waste of time
- not well tested
- harder to reproduce
- slow

## A year in the life of ...

#### to test: minimal reimplementation

- waste of time
- not well tested
- harder to reproduce
- slow
- to deploy: put code on github
  - bad user interface, no documentation
  - missing features
  - incompatible to existing software

• from 
$$R \rightarrow julia$$

- care about extensibility
- developer documentation
- assume that code is read

You need to be able to add new features...

- without **understanding** existing code
- without **changing** existing code
- syntactical requirements need to be clear

#### An example: time on a clock

- **ranges from** 0 11 : 59
- ▶ 11+6=5
- $3 \cdot 6 = 6$
- for simplicity: 5.5 = 5:30

#### An example: time on a clock

- **ranges from** 0 11 : 59
- 11 + 6 = 5
- $3 \cdot 6 = 6$
- for simplicity: 5.5 = 5 : 30

disclaimer: I won't show the best way to implement this in julia, but the most instructive way that we can do in a few minutes!

```
a = 1.0
typeof(a) # Float64
b = "hello"
typeof(b) # String
```

#### 6.6\*7.9

```
methods(*)
# 364 methods for generic function "*":
# ...
# [56] *(x::Number, A::LinearAlgebra.UpperTriangular)
# in LinearAlgebra at /usr/share/julia/stdlib/v1.7/
# LinearAlgebra/src/triangular.jl:859
# ...
# [350] *(z::Complex, x::Real) in Base at complex.jl:334
@which 6.6*7.9
# *(x::Float64, y::Float64) in Base at float.jl:405
@which 6*7
# *(x::T, y::T) where T<:Union{..., Int64, ...}
# in Base at int.jl:88</pre>
```

#### You can define your own types...

```
struct ClockTime
    time
end
my_time = ClockTime(5.0)
my_time.time # 5.0
```

```
import Base: +, *
function +(x::ClockTime, y::ClockTime)
    return ClockTime((x.time + v.time) % 12)
end
function *(x::Real, y::ClockTime)
    return ClockTime((x * y.time) % 12)
end
my_time = ClockTime(11.2)
your_time = ClockTime(5.3)
our_time = my_time + your_time # ClockTime(4.5)
7*my_time # ClockTime(6.39...)
```

#### **Sparse matrices of clocktimes**

```
a = zeros(20, 20)
a[3,9] = 1
a[6,9] = sqrt(2)
a[19,1] = π
a[4,5] = e
b = reshape(fill(ClockTime(0.0), 400), 20, 20)
b[1,1] = ClockTime(5.0)
b[1,2] = ClockTime(11.75)
b[6,9] = ClockTime(1.4)
b[16,4] = ClockTime(7.8)
```

$$(ab)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

#### **Sparse matrices of clocktimes**

```
using SparseArrays
```

```
a_sparse = sparse(a)
```

```
b_sparse = sparse(b)
# ERROR: MethodError:
# no method matching zero(::ClockTime)
```

```
import Base: zero
```

```
zero(x::ClockTime) = ClockTime(zero(x.time))
```

```
b_sparse = sparse(b)
```

#### **Sparse matrices of clocktimes**

```
using BenchmarkTools
```

```
@benchmark a_sparse*b_sparse
```

```
BenchmarkTools.Trial: 10000 samples with 183 evaluations.
Range (min ... max): 571.831 ns ... 21.539 \mus
Time (median): 635.415 ns
Time (mean ± \sigma): 821.828 ns ± 932.458 ns
Memory estimate: 2.31 KiB
```

```
@benchmark a*b
```

```
BenchmarkTools.Trial: 6840 samples with 1 evaluation.
Range (min ... max): 611.887 \mus ... 3.603 ms
Time (median): 686.239 \mus
Time (mean ± \sigma): 727.364 \mus ± 221.404 \mus
Memory estimate: 678.25 KiB
```



- functions (in the SparseArrays package) are composed of other functions
- if I can provide the appropriate methods for my type, their code also works for me
- they can give me a list of methods, and I do not need to understand or modify their code

## Why julia? - the bottom line

Because functions can implement very abstract behaviour, this mode of extensibility is widely applicable:

- there can be packages that work with flowers, spaceships or loss functions
- that depends on the scent, speed or gradient
- If I can add a new type and write the appropriate methods, it will work for me!

## Thanks!