## b-Coloring Parameterized by Pathwidth is XNLP-complete

Lars Jaffke<sup>1</sup>, Paloma T. Lima<sup>2</sup>, and Roohani Sharma<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

lars.jaffke@uib.no

<sup>2</sup>IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

palt@itu.dk

<sup>3</sup>Max Planck Institute for Informatics, Saarland Informatics Campus, Saarbrücken, Germany rsharma@mpi-inf.mpg.de

September 19, 2022

#### Abstract

We show that the *b*-COLORING problem is complete for the class XNLP when parameterized by the pathwidth of the input graph. Besides determining the precise parameterized complexity of this problem, this implies that *b*-COLORING parameterized by pathwidth is W[t]-hard for all *t*, and resolves the parameterized complexity of *b*-COLORING parameterized by treewidth.

### **1** Introduction and Definitions

A *b*-coloring of a graph G is a proper vertex-coloring such that each color class has a vertex, called *b*-vertex, that has a neighbor in each color class except its own. This problem originated in the study of a heuristic for the GRAPH COLORING problem, for more details see for instance [6, 7, 8]. In this note we resolve the open question of the parameterized complexity of the *b*-COLORING problem parameterized by treewidth, which has been posed for instance in [7, 8]. In particular, we show that already in the more restrictive parameterization by *pathwidth*, the problem is XNLP-complete, which implies it is W[t]-hard for all t [3]. To show hardness, we reduce from an orientation problem parameterized by pathwidth that has recently been shown to be XNLP-complete [1].

**Basic notations and definitions.** For two integers  $a \leq b$  we let  $[a..b] = \{a, a + 1, ..., b\}$ , and for a positive integer a, we let [a] = [1..a]. All graphs considered here are finite and simple. For an (undirected or directed) graph G, we denote its vertex set by V(G) and its edge set by E(G). For an edge  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ , we use the shorthand "uv". If G is a directed graph, then denoting the edge  $e = (u, v) \in E(G)$  by uv also points to e being directed from u to v. Given an undirected graph G, an *orientation* of G is a directed graph obtained from G by replacing each edge  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$  by either (u, v) or (v, u). A set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is *independent* if for all pairs of distinct  $u, v \in S$ ,  $uv \notin E(G)$ . A star is an undirected graph with one special vertex called the *center* that is adjacent to all of the remaining vertices, called *leaves*, which form an independent set.

- b-Coloring

Input:	Undirected graph $G$ , integer $k$
Question:	Does $G$ have a $b$ -coloring with $k$ colors?

**Definition 1.** Let G be a graph. A path decomposition of G is a sequence  $\mathcal{B} = B_1, \ldots, B_d$  of subsets of V(G) called *bags* covering V(G) such that:

- (i) For each edge  $e \in E(G)$ , there is some  $i \in [d]$  such that  $e \subseteq B_i$ .
- (ii) For each  $h, i, j \in [d]$  with  $h < i < j, B_h \cap B_j \subseteq B_i$ .

The width of  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $\max_{i \in [d]} |B_i| - 1$ , and the *pathwidth* of G is the smallest width of all its path decompositions.

Membership in XNLP will follow from the membership of b-COLORING parameterized by a linear width measure with more expressive power than pathwidth, namely a width measure equivalent to linear clique-width. We define it next and show its relation to pathwidth.

**Definition 2.** Let G be a graph and  $S \subseteq V(G)$ . The module number of S is the number of equivalence classes of the equivalence relation  $\sim_S$  defined as:  $u \sim_S v \Leftrightarrow N(u) \cap (V(G) \setminus S) = N(v) \cap (V(G) \setminus S)$ . Let  $\pi = v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be a linear order of V(G). The module-width of  $\pi$  is the maximum, over all i, of the module number of  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}$ . The linear module-width of G is the minimum module-width over all its linear orders.

**Lemma 3.** Let G be a graph and  $\mathcal{B}$  be a path decomposition of G of width w. Then one can construct in polynomial time and logarithmic space a linear order of module-width at most w + 2.

Proof. For each vertex v, let  $B_v$  be the leftmost bag (i.e., the bag with the smallest index) of  $\mathcal{B}$  containing v. Let  $\pi = v_1, \ldots, v_n$  be a linear order of V(G) such that the bags  $B_{v_1}, \ldots, B_{v_n}$  appear in the same order as in  $\mathcal{B}$ , with ties broken arbitrarily. Clearly, this order can be constructed within the claimed time and space bounds; we argue that it has module-width at most w + 2. By the properties of a path decomposition, for each  $i \in [n]$ , there are at most w + 1 vertices in  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}$  that have a neighbor in  $\{v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ . Therefore the module-number of each such  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_i\}$  can be at most w + 2: w + 1 for the aforementioned vertices and one for the vertices without neighbors in  $\overline{V_i}$ .

The class XNLP. We assume familiarity with the basic technical notions of parameterized complexity and refer to [4] for an overview. The class XNLP, introduced as  $N[f \text{ poly}, f \log]$  by Elberfeld et al. [5], consists of the parameterized decision problems that given an *n*-bit input with parameter k can be solved by a non-deterministic algorithm that simultaneously uses at most  $f(k)n^c$  time and at most  $f(k) \log n$  space, where f is a computable function and c is a constant. We refer to [3, 5] for more details on this complexity class. Hardness in XNLP is transferred via *parameterized logspace reductions* [5] which are parameterized reductions in the traditional sense [4] with the additional constraint of using only  $f(k) + O(\log n)$  space, where once again k is the parameter of the problem and n is the input size.

## 2 The proof

Adapting the XP-algorithm for b-COLORING parameterized by module-width w [7] to a nondeterministic FPT-time and  $f(w) \log n$  space algorithm, we can show that b-COLORING parameterized by linear module-width, and therefore by pathwidth, is in XNLP. This can be done similarly as in the case of GRAPH COLORING parameterized by linear clique-width as shown in [2]. **Lemma 4.** b-COLORING parameterized by the module-width of a given linear order of the vertices of the input graph is in XNLP.

We start from the following problem in our reduction which is known to be XNLP-complete when parameterized by the width of a given path decomposition of the input graph [1].

CIRCULATING ORIENTATION Input: Undirected graph G with edge weights  $\mathbf{w} \colon E(G) \to \mathbb{N}$  given in unary. Question: Is there an orientation  $\overrightarrow{G}$  of G such that for each  $v \in V(G)$ :

$$\sum_{vx \in E(\overrightarrow{G})} \mathbf{w}(vx) = \sum_{xv \in E(\overrightarrow{G})} \mathbf{w}(xv)$$

**Theorem 5.** b-COLORING parameterized by the width of a given path decomposition of the input graph is XNLP-complete.

Proof. Membership follows from Lemmas 3 and 4. To show XNLP-hardness, we give a parameterized logspace-reduction from the CIRCULATING ORIENTATION problem parameterized by the width of a given path decomposition of the input graph, which was shown to be XNLP-complete in [1]. Let  $(G, \mathbf{w})$  be an instance of CIRCULATING ORIENTATION, given with a path decomposition  $\mathcal{B}$  of G. We let n = |V(G)|, m = |E(G)|, and  $\mathbf{W} = \sum_{e \in E(G)} \mathbf{w}(e)$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we let  $W_v = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \mathbf{w}(uv)$ . We may assume that G is connected and that for all  $e \in E(G), \mathbf{w}(e) \geq 1$ ; therefore  $\mathbf{W} \geq m \geq n-1$ .

We construct an equivalent instance (H, k) of b-COLORING. We let

$$k = 2\mathbf{W} + 3m + n + 2. \tag{1}$$

We begin the construction of H which is illustrated in Figure 1 by adding  $2\mathbf{W} + 2$  disjoint copies of a star with k - 1 leaves. Let  $S^*$  be one of these stars. We denote its center by  $s^*$  and refer to it throughout the proof as the *superstar*. The remaining ones are referred to as *anonymous*. We partition a subset of the leaves of  $S^*$  into  $\mathcal{L} = \{L_{e,v} \mid e \in E(G), v \in e\}$  where for all  $e \in E(G)$  and  $v \in e, |L_{e,v}| = \mathbf{w}(e)$ . Note that this is possible since  $k - 1 \ge 2\mathbf{W}$ .

**Vertex gadget.** For each  $v \in V(G)$ , we add v, as well as a set  $P_v$  of  $k - \frac{3}{2}W_v - 1$  independent vertices to H. We add all edges between v and  $P_v$ , Furthermore, for each edge  $e \in E(G)$  such that  $v \in e$ , we connect v and the vertices in  $L_{e,v}$  in H.

**Edge gadget.** For each  $e = uv \in E(G)$ , we add the following gadget to H. First, it has two vertices  $x_{e,u}$  and  $x_{e,v}$ , a set  $Y_e$  of  $\mathbf{w}(e)$  vertices, and a set  $Z_e$  of  $k - 2\mathbf{w}(e) - 3$  vertices. The vertex  $x_{e,u}$  is adjacent to  $Y_e \cup Z_e \cup L_{e,u}$ , and  $x_{e,v}$  is adjacent to  $Y_e \cup Z_e \cup L_{e,v}$ . We make u and v adjacent to  $Y_e$ . We furthermore add two new vertices  $q_{e,1}$  and  $q_{e,2}$  to H that are connected by an edge, as well as all edges between  $q_{e,h}$  and  $Z_e \cup L_{e,u} \cup L_{e,v} \cup \{x_{e,u}, x_{e,v}\}$  for all  $h \in [2]$ . We let  $X = \{x_{e,u}, x_{e,v} \mid e = uv \in E(G)\}$ , and  $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_{e,1}, q_{e,2} \mid e \in E(G)\}$ .

Adding all vertex and edge gadgets finishes the construction of H, which can be performed using only logarithmic space.



Figure 1: Sketch of the main part of the reduction. Bold edges mean that all edges between the corresponding sets are present. All vertex sets represented by single boxes are independent. Note that  $|L_{e,v_1}| = |L_{e,u}| = |Y_{e_1}| = \mathbf{w}(e_1) = 3$  and recall that  $|Z_{e_1}| = k - 2\mathbf{w}(e_1) - 3$ .

Claim 5.1. If  $(G, \mathbf{w})$  has a circulating orientation, then H has a b-coloring with k colors.

*Proof.* Let  $\overrightarrow{G}$  be the circulating orientation of  $(G, \mathbf{w})$ . We give a coloring of the vertices of H with colors [0..(k-1)]. To do so, we identify some important subsets of [0..(k-1)] whose *b*-vertices will appear in targeted regions of H. First, we let  $V(G) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  and  $E(G) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ . We construct a proper coloring of H such that once the coloring is completed, the following hold.

- (i) The vertex  $s^*$  (the center of the superstar) is a *b*-vertex of color 0.
- (ii) For each  $i \in [n]$ ,  $v_i$  is a *b*-vertex of color *i*.
- (iii) For each  $i \in [m]$ ,  $q_{e_i,1}$  is a b-vertex of color n+i, and  $q_{e_i,2}$  is a b-vertex of color m+n+i.
- (iv) For each  $i \in [m]$ , either  $x_{e_i,u}$  or  $x_{e_i,v}$ , where  $e_i = uv$ , is a *b*-vertex of color 2m + n + i.
- (v) Each of the remaining  $k (3m + n + 1) = 2\mathbf{W} + 1$  colors has a *b*-vertex that is a center of an anomymous star.

Let  $S_1, \ldots, S_{2\mathbf{W}+1}$  be the anonymous stars with centers  $s_1, \ldots, s_{2\mathbf{W}+1}$ , respectively. For each  $i \in [2\mathbf{W}+1]$ , we assign  $s_i$  the color 3m+n+i, and the leaves of  $S_i$  the colors  $[0..(k-1)] \setminus \{3m+n+i\}$ . This satisfies (v). We assign  $s^*$  the color 0 and its leaves the colors [k-1] in such a way that colors  $[(3m+n+1)..(3m+n+2\mathbf{W})]$  appear on the vertices in  $\mathcal{L}$ . This satisfies (i). For each  $i \in [n]$ , we assign  $v_i$  color *i*. For each  $i \in [m]$  and each  $v \in e_i$ , we let  $C_{e_i,v}$  be the colors appearing on  $L_{e_i,v}$ , and we assign  $x_{e_i,v}$  the color 2m+n+i.

We now color the edge gadgets. Let  $i \in [m]$  and  $e_i = uv$ . We give  $q_{e_i,1}$  color n + i and  $q_{e_i,2}$  color m + n + i. We assign the vertices in  $Z_{e_i}$  the colors

$$[0..(k-1)] \setminus (C_{e_i,u} \cup C_{e_i,v} \cup \{n+i, m+n+i, 2m+n+i\}).$$

If  $e_i$  is directed from u to v in  $\overrightarrow{G}$ , then we repeat colors  $C_{e_i,u}$  on  $Y_{e_i}$ . Observe that this makes  $x_{e_i,v}$  a *b*-vertex for color 2m + n + i: it sees colors  $C_{e_i,v}$  on  $L_{e_i,v}$ , colors  $C_{e_i,u}$  on  $Y_{e_i}$ , and the remaining

colors other than its own on  $Y_{e_i} \cup \{q_{e_i,1}, q_{e_i,2}\}$ . Moreover,  $q_{e_i,1}$  is a *b*-vertex for color n + i, since it sees color m + n + i on  $q_{e_2,i}$ , color 2m + n + i on  $x_{e_i,v}$ , and the remaining colors on  $L_{e,u} \cup L_{e,v} \cup Z_e$ . Similarly,  $q_{e_i,2}$  is a *b*-vertex for color m + n + i. Once this is done for all *i*, (iii) and (iv) are satisfied.

We now color the vertex gadgets. We first argue that each  $v \in V(G)$  already sees precisely  $\frac{3}{2}W_v$  colors in its neighborhood. This is because  $v_i$  sees  $W_v$  colors on  $\bigcup_{e \in E(G), v \in e} L_{e,v}$ , and for each edge e that is directed towards v, there are  $\mathbf{w}(e)$  additional colors appearing in the neighborhood of v; concretely, on the set  $Y_e$  of the corresponding edge gadget. Since  $\vec{G}$  is circulating, the latter contribute with an additional  $\frac{1}{2}W_v$  colors in total. Therefore, we can distribute the remaining  $k - \frac{3}{2}W_v - 1$  colors on the set  $P_v$ , which makes v a b-vertex. This satisfies (ii), and we have arrived at a b-coloring of H with k colors.

We now work towards the reverse implication of the correctness proof. We start with a claim regarding the location of the *b*-vertices in any *b*-coloring of H with k colors. Throughout the following, we denote by A the set of centers of the anonymous stars.

# Claim 5.2. Each b-coloring of H with k colors has precisely one b-vertex per color. Moreover, the b-vertices are $\{s^*\} \cup V(G) \cup Q \cup A$ , and for each $e = uv \in E(G)$ , precisely one of $x_{e,u}$ and $x_{e,v}$ .

*Proof.* The only vertices with high enough degree (at least k-1) to become b-vertices in such a coloring of H are in  $\{s^*\} \cup V(G) \cup \mathcal{Q} \cup A \cup X$ . Note that this set has size  $2\mathbf{W} + 4m + n + 2 = k + m$ .

We argue that the gadget of each edge e = uv can contain at most three *b*-vertices. Note that only four of its vertices,  $x_{e,u}$ ,  $x_{e,v}$ ,  $q_{e,1}$ , and  $q_{e,2}$  have high enough degree to be *b*-vertices. Suppose for a contradiction that  $x_{e,u}$  and  $x_{e,v}$  are *b*-vertices for colors  $c_u$  and  $c_v$ , respectively, where  $c_u \neq c_v$ . For  $x_{e,u}$  to be a *b*-vertex of color  $c_u$ , it needs to have a neighbor colored  $c_v$ . By the structure of H, this vertex has to be contained in  $L_{e,u}$ . Similarly, we can conclude that  $L_{e,v}$  contains a vertex colored  $c_u$ . But this means that both  $q_{e,1}$  and  $q_{e,2}$  have two neighbors colored  $c_u$  and two neighbors colored  $c_v$ . Since  $\deg_H(q_{e,h}) = 2\mathbf{w}(e) + |Z_e| + 3 = k$  for all  $h \in [2]$ , this means that each of these vertices sees at most k - 2 colors in its neighborhood, so neither of them is a *b*-vertex. Therefore we can assume from now on that  $x_{e,u}$  and  $x_{e,v}$  receive the same color.

Since we only have k+m vertices of high enough degree to be *b*-vertices, we can only have enough *b*-vertices if each edge gadget has exactly three *b*-vertices, and if all vertices in  $\{s^*\} \cup V(G) \cup A$  are *b*-vertices. Now suppose that for some edge  $e = uv \in E(G)$ , both  $x_{e,u}$  and  $x_{e,v}$  are *b*-vertices for their color. By the structure of *H*, this implies that the same colors have to appear on  $L_{e,u}$  and  $L_{e,v}$ . But  $s^*$  needs to be a *b*-vertex, now there are  $\mathbf{w}(e) \geq 1$  colors in its neighborhood that repeat. Since  $\deg_H(s^*) = k - 1$ , this is not possible. This yields the claim.

Throughout the following, we assume that we have a *b*-coloring of *H* with *k* colors. Again, for each  $e \in E(G)$  and  $v \in e$ , we denote by  $C_{e,v}$  the set of colors appearing on the vertices  $L_{e,v}$ . We prove an auxiliary claim. Note that the second part was already observed in the proof of Claim 5.2, but we restate and argue it here for easy reference.

#### Claim 5.3.

- (i) For each  $e, e' \in E(G)$  and  $v \in e, v' \in e'$ , if  $(e, v) \neq (e', v')$ , then  $C_{e,v} \cap C_{e',v'} = \emptyset$ .
- (ii) For each  $e = uv \in E(G)$ , either colors  $C_{e,u}$  or colors  $C_{e,v}$  appear on  $Y_e$ ; the former if  $x_{e,v}$  is a b-vertex and the latter if  $x_{e,u}$  is a b-vertex.

*Proof.* (i). By Claim 5.2, we know  $s^*$  is a *b*-vertex. Since its degree is k - 1, all its neighbors must receive distinct colors. Hence (i) follows.

(ii). By Claim 5.2, either  $x_{e,v}$  or  $x_{e,u}$  is a *b*-vertex for its color. Suppose that  $x_{e,v}$  is a *b*-vertex (the other case is analogous). For  $x_{e,v}$  to be a *b*-vertex, the colors  $C_{e,u}$  have to appear in its neighborhood. By Claim 5.3(i), we have that  $C_{e,u} \cap C_{e,v} = \emptyset$ . By Claim 5.2,  $q_{e,1}$  is a *b*-vertex for its color. Since the degree of  $q_{e,1}$  is k-1 and  $Z_e \cup L_{e,u} \subset N(q_{e,1})$ , we have that no color of  $C_{e,u}$  appears in  $Z_e$ . Hence, the colors of  $C_{e,u}$  must appear in  $Y_e$ .

We now construct an orientation  $\overrightarrow{G}$  of G. For each edge  $e = uv \in E(G)$ , if  $x_{e,u}$  is a *b*-vertex, then we orient e towards u, and if  $x_{e,v}$  is a *b*-vertex, we orient e towards v. Note that by Claim 5.2, this is well-defined. Throughout the following whenever we write "uv" for an edge in  $\overrightarrow{G}$ , we mean that the edge uv is directed from u to v in  $\overrightarrow{G}$ . The next claim completes the correctness proof of the reduction.

Claim 5.4. For each  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $\sum_{uv \in E(\overrightarrow{G})} \mathbf{w}(uv) = \frac{1}{2}W_v$ .

Proof. We first show that  $\sum_{uv\in E(\vec{G})} \mathbf{w}(uv) \geq \frac{1}{2}W_v$ . By Claim 5.2, v is a *b*-vertex. Moreover,  $\deg_H(v) = k + \frac{1}{2}W_v$  since v has  $k - \frac{3}{2}W_v - 1$  neighbors in  $P_v$ ,  $W_v$  additional neighbors in the edge gadgets,  $W_v$  additional neighbours in  $\mathcal{L}$ ', and no other neighbors. This means that for v to be a *b*-vertex, v needs to see at least  $\frac{1}{2}W_v$  colors in  $\bigcup_{e\in E(G),v\in e} Y_e$ . Claim 5.3 then implies that there is a set of edges  $\{e_1,\ldots,e_d\}$  incident with v and with  $\sum_{i\in[d]} \mathbf{w}(e_i) \geq \frac{1}{2}W_v$  such that for all  $i \in [d]$ ,  $x_{e_i,v}$  is a *b*-vertex. This implies the inequality by our construction of  $\vec{G}$ .

Now we show that  $\sum_{uv\in E(\vec{G})} \mathbf{w}(uv) \leq \frac{1}{2}W_v$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y} = \bigcup_{e\in E(G)}Y_e$ , note that  $|\mathcal{Y}| = \mathbf{W}$ , and that to make each  $v \in V(G)$  a *b*-vertex,  $\frac{1}{2}W_v$  colors must appear in  $N_H(v) \cap \mathcal{Y}$  that are not in  $N_H(v) \setminus \mathcal{Y}$ . Moreover, for each  $e = uv \in E(G)$ ,  $Y_e$  has colors that appear in  $N_H(u) \setminus \mathcal{Y}$  but not in  $N_H(v) \setminus \mathcal{Y}$  or vice versa by Claim 5.3. Since  $\mathbf{W} = \sum_{e\in E(G)} \mathbf{w}(e) = \sum_{v\in V(G)} \frac{1}{2}W_v$ , we can conclude that if for some  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $\sum_{uv\in E(\vec{G})} \mathbf{w}(uv) > \frac{1}{2}W_v$ , then there is another  $v' \in V(G) \setminus \{v\}$  with  $\sum_{uv'\in E(\vec{G})} \mathbf{w}(uv') < \frac{1}{2}W_{v'}$ , contradicting the previous paragraph.

Claim 5.5. Given a path decomposition of G of width w, one can construct a path decomposition of H of width at most w + 5 in polynomial time and logarithmic space.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a path decomposition of G of width w. We add  $s^*$  to all bags of  $\mathcal{B}$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $B_v \in \mathcal{B}$  be a bag containing v. We insert a sequence of  $|P_v|$  bags after  $B_v$  containing  $B_v$ , and a unique vertex of  $P_v$ . For each edge  $e = uv \in E(G)$ , let  $B_e$  be a bag in  $\mathcal{B}$  containing u and v. We insert a sequence of  $|Y_e \cup Z_e \cup L_{e,u} \cup L_{e,v}|$  bags after  $B_e$  containing  $B_e$ ,  $x_{e,u}$ ,  $x_{e,v}$ ,  $q_{e,1}$ ,  $q_{e,2}$ , and a unique vertex of  $L_{e,u} \cup L_{e,v} \cup Y_e \cup Z_e$ . Finally, we append a sequence of bags forming a width-1 path decomposition of the anonymous stars. Note that this gives a path decomposition of H and there is no bag to which we added more than five vertices. It is easy to see that these operations can be performed within the claimed time and space requirements.

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

#### References

 Hans L. Bodlaender, Gunther Cornelissen, and Marieke van der Wegen. Problems hard for treewidth but easy for stable gonality. *CoRR*, abs/2202.06838, 2022. To appear in the proceedings of WG 2022.

- [2] Hans L. Bodlaender, Carla Groenland, Hugo Jacob, Lars Jaffke, and Paloma T. Lima. XNLP-completeness for parameterized problems on graphs with a linear structure. *CoRR*, abs/2201.13119, 2022. To appear at IPEC 2022.
- [3] Hans L. Bodlaender, Carla Groenland, Jesper Nederlof, and Céline M. F. Swennenhuis. Parameterized problems complete for nondeterministic FPT time and logarithmic space. In *Proceedings* 62nd IEEE Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2021, pages 193– 204, 2021.
- [4] Rodney G. Downey and Michael R. Fellows. Parameterized Complexity. Springer, 1999.
- [5] Michael Elberfeld, Christoph Stockhusen, and Till Tantau. On the space and circuit complexity of parameterized problems: Classes and completeness. *Algorithmica*, 71(3):661–701, 2015.
- [6] Robert W. Irving and David F. Manlove. The b-chromatic number of a graph. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 91(1-3):127-141, 1999.
- [7] Lars Jaffke, Paloma T. Lima, and Daniel Lokshtanov. b-Coloring parameterized by cliquewidth. In Markus Bläser and Benjamin Monmege, editors, Proceedings of the 38th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science (STACS 2021), volume 187 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 43:1–43:15, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2021. Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik.
- [8] Ana Shirley Ferreira da Silva. *The b-chromatic number of some tree-like graphs*. PhD thesis, Université Joseph-Fourier-Grenoble I, 2010.