# Quasi-normal modes of non-separable perturbation equations: the scalar non-Kerr case

Rajes Ghosh (1),<sup>1</sup> Nicola Franchini (1),<sup>2,3</sup> Sebastian H. Völkel (1),<sup>4</sup> and Enrico Barausse (1),<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar, Gujarat 382355, India<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Université Paris Cité, CNRS, Astroparticule et Cosmologie, F-75013 Paris, France

<sup>3</sup>CNRS-UCB International Research Laboratory, Centre Pierre Binétruy,

<sup>4</sup>Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), D-14476 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>5</sup>SISSA, Via Bonomea 265, 34136 Trieste, Italy and INFN Sezione di Trieste

<sup>6</sup>IFPU - Institute for Fundamental Physics of the Universe, Via Beirut 2, 34014 Trieste, Italy

(Dated: March 2, 2023)

Scalar, vector and tensor perturbations on the Kerr spacetime are governed by equations that can be solved by separation of variables, but the same is not true in generic stationary and axisymmetric geometries. This complicates the calculation of black-hole quasi-normal mode frequencies in theories that extend/modify general relativity, because one generally has to calculate the eigenvalue spectrum of a two-dimensional partial differential equation (in the radial and angular variables) instead of an ordinary differential equation (in the radial variable). In this work, we show that if the background geometry is close to the Kerr one, the problem considerably simplifies. One can indeed compute the quasi-normal mode frequencies, at least at leading order in the deviation from Kerr, by solving an ordinary differential equation subject to suitable boundary conditions. Although our method is general, in this paper we apply it to scalar perturbations on top of a Kerr black hole with an anomalous quadrupole moment, or on top of a slowly rotating Kerr background.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Current and future measurements of gravitational waves from mergers of binary black holes (BHs) provide new ways to test the strong field and highly relativistic regime of general relativity (GR) [1–4]. One promising direction to probe the workings of gravity near BHs is to study the emission of quasi-normal modes (QNMs) in the post-merger phase of these BH binaries [5–7]. If GR is the correct underlying theory of gravity, then the QNM spectrum of astrophysical BHs must be uniquely determined by their mass and spin [8–10]. More precisely, the spectrum of a perturbed Schwarzschild BH can be obtained by solving the Regge-Wheeler [11] (in the odd parity sector) or Zerilli [12] (in the even parity sector) equations. For a perturbed Kerr BH, one has instead to solve the Teukolsky equation [13] (see also [14]).

One remarkable property of GR, which does not hold for more general gravitational theories, is that the perturbation equations for a rotating BH can be decoupled into a radial and an angular part [13, 14]. This separability property considerably simplifies the calculation of QNMs relative to situations in which the equations do not decouple, e.g., BH perturbations beyond GR, or even neutron star oscillations in GR.

One obvious approach to adopt is a forward one in which QNMs are computed beyond GR on a theory by theory basis. Specific theories that have been studied (for spherical or slowly rotating BHs) include, e.g., dynamical Chern-Simons gravity [15–18], Einstein-dilatonGauss-Bonnet gravity [19–24], Lorentz-violating gravity [25]. There are also recent works aiming to generalize the Teukolsky equation to more generic theories and arbitrary rotation [26, 27]. Being this theory-bytheory approach necessarily limited to a few case studies, much effort has also been directed at developing theory-agnostic approaches to these calculations, e.g., the parametrized QNM framework [28–32], the effective field theory of QNMs [33, 34], and modified perturbation equations of parametrized BH metrics [35]. These calculations, however, are also restricted (like those of the aforementioned forward modeling approaches) to perturbations over spherically symmetric or slowly rotating BHs, and their applicability to realistic astrophysical BHs is therefore limited. Recent theory agnostic approaches that go beyond spherical symmetry and/or the slow rotation limit are intrinsically approximate, as they adopt the eikonal limit [36-40], or simply attempt to describe possible deviations from GR in the QNM spectrum by decomposing the latter in powers of mass and spin [41, 42].

In this work, we outline an alternative approach that allows for efficiently computing BH QNMs for background geometries that do not yield separable perturbation equations, but which are perturbatively close to Kerr or Schwarzschild. Note that a similar technique has also been presented in Ref. [43], although it has been applied to rotating BHs in higher-derivative gravity. We present the technical details of the method in Sec. II. To showcase its performance, we then consider the example of scalar perturbations, which we solve (in Sec. III) for a slowly rotating Kerr BH, and for a Schwarzschild/Kerr BH with an anomalous quadrupole moment. We stress that the latter case is highly non-trivial, as it does not yield separable perturbation equations in general. Our

IRL2007, CPB-IN2P3, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>\*</sup> rajes.ghosh@iitgn.ac.in

conclusions are finally presented in Sec. IV. Throughout this work we use units in which G = c = 1.

# II. METHODOLOGY

As discussed above, the aim of this work is to devise a general method to calculate the scalar QNMs of a stationary, axisymmetric BH spacetime given by

$$g_{\mu\nu}(r,\theta) = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}(r,\theta) + \epsilon g^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}(r,\theta) , \qquad (1)$$

where  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$  refers to the Kerr metric, and its deviation away from GR is described by the term  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a small perturbation parameter. The only restriction on the term  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$  considered here is that it is consistent with axial symmetry. In Boyer-Lindquist coordinates  $x^{\mu} = (t, r, \theta, \varphi)$  the line element of the Kerr metric is

$$g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\rho^2}\right)dt^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta \left[\frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2 - \Delta a^2 \sin^2\theta}{\rho^2}\right]d\varphi^2 \quad (2) - \frac{4Mra\sin^2\theta}{\rho^2} dt d\varphi,$$

where  $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2\theta$ ,  $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr$ , and Mand a are the mass and the spin of the BH, respectively. The non-zero terms of  $g^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$  are unspecified functions of rand  $\theta$ .

We now study scalar perturbations  $\Psi$  on top of the metric in Eq. (1), satisfying the Klein-Gordon equation  $g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\Psi = 0$ . We will show that the assumption of small deviations from GR makes the perturbation equation inherit the separability property of the Kerr case. We start by expanding  $\Psi$  as [13, 14, 44],

$$\Psi = \int d\omega \, \sum_{\ell,m} \frac{Z_{\ell m}(r)}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \, S_{\ell m}(\theta) \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t + \mathrm{i}m\varphi} \, . \tag{3}$$

In the case  $\epsilon = 0$ , the radial and angular parts decouple and satisfy

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} Z_{\ell m}}{\mathrm{d} r_{*}^{2}} + V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) Z_{\ell m} = 0, \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} \theta} \left[ \sin \theta \frac{\mathrm{d} S_{\ell m}(\theta)}{\mathrm{d} \theta} \right] + \left[ a^{2} \omega^{2} \cos^{2} \theta + \lambda_{\ell m} - \frac{m^{2}}{\sin^{2} \theta} \right] S_{\ell m}(\theta) = 0. \qquad (5)$$

Here,  $r_*$  is the tortoise coordinate defined by  $dr/dr_* = h(r) = \Delta/(r^2 + a^2)$ ,  $\lambda_{\ell m}$  is a separation constant, and the effective potential is given by [44],

$$V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) = \frac{K^2(r) - \lambda_{\ell m} \,\Delta(r)}{(r^2 + a^2)^2} - \frac{dG(r)}{dr_*} - G^2(r) \,, \quad (6)$$

where  $K(r) = (r^2 + a^2)\omega - am$ , and  $G(r) = r\Delta(r)/(r^2 + a^2)^2$ . The solutions  $S_{\ell m}(\theta)$  of the angular equation are the scalar spheroidal harmonics [13, 14]. They form a complete basis for angular functions and satisfy the orthonormality relation [14, 45],

$$\int d\theta \,\sin\theta \, S_{\ell m}(\theta) \, S^*_{\ell' m'}(\theta) = \delta_{\ell \ell'} \, \delta_{m m'} \,. \tag{7}$$

In the limit  $a \to 0$ , they reduce to scalar spherical harmonics, as  $S_{\ell m}(\theta) e^{im\varphi} \to Y_{\ell m}(\theta,\varphi)$ .

In the general case  $\epsilon \neq 0$ , the Klein-Gordon equation does not automatically separate, since it acquires additional terms coupling the radial and angular functions. By neglecting terms of second order in  $\epsilon$ , the Klein-Gordon equation becomes

$$\int d\omega \sum_{\ell,m} e^{-i\omega t + im\varphi} S_{\ell m}(\theta) \left[ \frac{d^2}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) \right] Z_{\ell m} = \epsilon \,\mathcal{J}[\Psi] \,,$$
(8)

where the source term is given by

$$\mathcal{J}[\Psi] = -\frac{h(r)\rho^2}{g_{(0)}\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} \,\partial_\mu \left[ g_{(0)} \,g_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} \,\partial_\nu \Psi + g_{(1)} \,g_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} \,\partial_\nu \Psi \right] \,,$$
(9)

and we have used the notation  $\sqrt{-\det g} = g_{(0)} + \epsilon g_{(1)}$ . Inserting the ansatz given by Eq. (3) in the source term, one gets the following form

$$\mathcal{J} = \int \mathrm{d}\omega \sum_{\ell,m} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\omega t + \mathrm{i}m\varphi} J_{\ell m}(r,\theta)$$
(10)

where the function  $J_{\ell m}$  takes the following form

$$J_{\ell m} = a(r,\theta) Z_{\ell m}(r) S'_{\ell m}(\theta) + b(r,\theta) Z'_{\ell m}(r) S_{\ell m}(\theta) + c_{\ell m}(r,\theta) Z_{\ell m}(r) S_{\ell m}(\theta) .$$
(11)

The explicit form of the functions  $a_{\ell m}$ ,  $b_{\ell m}$  and  $c_{\ell m}$  is given in Appendix A. To obtain this formula, we made use of the fact that  $S(\theta)$  satisfies Eq. (5) to get rid of  $S''(\theta)$  terms, and that, neglecting  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)^2$  terms,  $d^2 Z/dr_*^2$ can be eliminated with Eq. (4).<sup>1</sup> Now, we can make use of the fact that scalar spheroidal harmonics represent a complete basis for angular functions, meaning that it is possible to perform the following decomposition

$$J_{\ell m}(r,\theta) = \sum_{\ell'} j_{\ell\ell' m}(r) S_{\ell' m}(\theta)$$
(12)

By reintroducing this form back into Eq. (8), we have that for each term in  $\ell$ , m it reads

$$S_{\ell m} \left[ \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}r_*^2} + V_{\ell m}^{(0)} \right] Z_{\ell m} = \epsilon \sum_{\ell'} j_{\ell \ell' m}(r) S_{\ell' m}(\theta) \quad (13)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is also worth noticing that terms proportional to  $Z'(r) S'(\theta)$  never appear due to the choice of  $g^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$  being axisymmetric.

By multiplying both side by  $S_{\ell m}^*$  and using the orthonormality relation in Eq. (7), one can completely decouple away the angular contribution from the radial perturbation equation. As it was singled out in Eq. (11) for  $J_{\ell m}$ , also the coefficients  $j_{\ell\ell'}(r)$  must contain terms linear in Z and Z', with all the possible indices  $\ell'$  selected by the projection of the equation onto the spheroidal harmonics  $S_{\ell m}$ . Hence, without loss of generality, we have

$$j_{\ell'm} \equiv j_{\ell'\ell'm} = \alpha_{\ell'm}(r) Z_{\ell'm} + \beta_{\ell'm}(r) \frac{\mathrm{d}Z_{\ell'm}}{\mathrm{d}r_*}, \quad (14)$$

where the exact form of  $\alpha_{\ell'm}$  and  $\beta_{\ell'm}$  depends on the case of study and on the exact form of  $g^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}$ . We can write the radial perturbation equation as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Z_{\ell m}}{\mathrm{d} r_*^2} + V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) Z_{\ell m} = \epsilon \, j_{\ell m} + \epsilon \, \sum_{\ell' \neq \ell} j_{\ell' m} \,. \tag{15}$$

One can further simplify the equation with the redefinition  $Z_{\ell m} \to Z_{\ell m} \exp \left[-\epsilon/2 \int dr \beta_{\ell m}(r)/h(r)\right]$  to get rid of the  $dZ_{\ell m}/dr_*$  term introduced via Eq. (14),<sup>2</sup> yielding

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 Z_{\ell m}}{\mathrm{d} r_*^2} + V_{\ell m}(r) \, Z_{\ell m} = \epsilon \, \sum_{\ell' \neq \ell} j_{\ell' m} \,, \qquad (16)$$

where the master potential is given by

$$V_{\ell m}(r) = V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) - \epsilon \left[ \alpha_{\ell m}(r) - \frac{1}{2} \beta'_{\ell m}(r) h(r) \right].$$
(17)

Note that Eq. (16) still represents a coupled system of equations between different  $\ell$  modes of the radial eigenfunction  $Z_{\ell m}$ . Finally, with the field redefinition,  $Z_{\ell m}(r) = X_{\ell m}(r) + \epsilon U_{\ell m}(r)$ , such that  $U_{\ell m}(r)$  is chosen to obey the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 U_{\ell m}}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) U_{\ell m} = \sum_{\ell' \neq \ell} j_{\ell' m}(r) := T_{\ell m}(r_*) \,, \quad (18)$$

 $X_{\ell m}(r)$  must satisfy the decoupled equation

$$\frac{d^2 X_{\ell m}}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}(r) X_{\ell m} = 0 , \qquad (19)$$

with the QNM potential  $V_{\ell m}(r)$  given in Eq. (17). This is an eigenvalue equation, which can be solved for the QNM frequencies  $\omega_{\ell m}$  by imposing ingoing boundary conditions at the horizon and outgoing boundary conditions at infinity. The QNMs obtained in this way are the main result of our perturbative calculation. As for  $U_{\ell m}(r)$ , the differential equation (18) has known coefficients, and the source  $T_{\ell m}$  is a functional of  $Z_{\ell' m}$ (with  $\ell' \neq \ell$ ) and their derivatives, which are known (in principle) by solving the background QNM equation given by Eq. (4). Moreover, the frequency  $\omega = \omega_{\ell m}$  appearing in Eq. (18) (through  $V_{\ell m}^{(0)}$  and  $T_{\ell m}$ ) is also known. That frequency can be obtained by solving either Eq. (4) or Eq. (19) as eigenvalue problems.<sup>3</sup> One can solve Eq. (18) by imposing that  $U_{\ell m}$  satisfies ingoing boundary conditions for  $r_* \to -\infty$  and outgoing ones for  $r_* \to \infty$ . The solution can be explicitly found by using the 'variation of parameters'-method [46]. For this purpose, let us consider two linearly independent solutions  $U_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r_*)$  and  $U_{\ell m}^{(2)}(r_*)$  of the homogeneous part of Eq. (18), going to zero respectively at the horizon/infinity, i.e.

$$U_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r_*) \sim e^{i\omega_{\ell m}r_*} \text{ for } r_* \to -\infty, \qquad (20)$$

$$U_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r_*) \sim A e^{i\omega_{\ell m} r_*} + B e^{-i\omega_{\ell m} r_*} \text{ for } r_* \to +\infty, \quad (21)$$

$$U_{\ell m}^{(2)}(r_*) \sim e^{-i\omega_{\ell m}r_*} \text{ for } r_* \to \infty, \qquad (22)$$

$$U_{\ell m}^{(2)}(r_*) \sim C e^{i\omega_{\ell m} r_*} + D e^{-i\omega_{\ell m} r_*} \text{ for } r_* \to -\infty, \quad (23)$$

where A, B, C and D are constant complex coefficients. The absence of the first derivative term  $dU_{\ell m}/dr_*$  in Eq. (18) implies that the Wronskian  $(dU_{\ell m}^{(2)}/dr_*)U_{\ell m}^{(1)} - (dU_{\ell m}^{(1)}/dr_*)U_{\ell m}^{(2)}$  is a constant  $W \neq 0^4$ . Then, we can write a solution as

$$U_{\ell m}(r_*) = U_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r_*) \int_{r_*}^{r_{*,2}} \frac{U_{\ell m}^{(2)}(x)}{W} T_{\ell m}(x) dx + U_{\ell m}^{(2)}(r_*) \int_{r_{*,1}}^{r_*} \frac{U_{\ell m}^{(1)}(x)}{W} T_{\ell m}(x) dx, \quad (24)$$

with  $r_{*,1}$  and  $r_{*,2}$  constants. Note that from Eq. (14), it follows that if the functions  $\alpha_{\ell'm}$  and  $\beta_{\ell'm}$  remain finite as  $r_* \to \pm \infty$ , the source  $T_{\ell m}$  diverges at most as  $\exp(\pm i\omega_{\ell'm}r_*)$ , with  $\ell' \neq \ell$ , for  $r_* \to \pm \infty$ .<sup>5</sup> Using the behavior of  $U_{\ell m}^{(1,2)}$  given in Eqs. (20)–(23) and integrating by parts, it therefore follows that for  $r_* \to \pm \infty$  one has  $U_{\ell m} \sim \exp(\pm i\omega_{\ell'm}r_*)\mathcal{A}_{\pm}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_{\pm}$  depends linearly on the asymptotic values of  $\alpha_{\ell'm}$  and  $\beta_{\ell'm}$  as  $r_* \to \pm \infty$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> To ensure that the boundary conditions at  $r_* \to \pm \infty$  for the new functions  $Z_{\ell m}$  are the same as for the old functions, one needs to require that  $\beta$  goes at least as  $1/r_*^2$  as  $r_* \to \pm \infty$ . This is verified for the examples that we consider in the following, for which  $\beta(r) = 0$  and therefore the transformation is not needed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The two estimates for  $\omega_{\ell m}$  would differ only by  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ , and because Eq. (18) appears already at linear order in  $\epsilon$ , this difference only affects the equations at  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)^2$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Wronskian is not zero, as the two solutions are linearly independent. Should one choose instead the two solutions to satisfy ingoing/outgoing boundary conditions at the horizon/infinity, the Wronskian would vanish [if  $\omega_{\ell m}$  is obtained from Eq. (4)] or be ~  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$  [if  $\omega_{\ell m}$  is obtained from Eq. (19)]. This explains why it is more convenient to choose  $U_{\ell m}^{(1,2)}$  satisfying Eqs. (20)–(23).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> These possible divergences are simply an artefact of working in the frequency domain. Once the time dependence  $\exp(-i\omega_{\ell'}mt)$  is restored [c.f. Eq. (4)], it becomes clear that  $T_{\ell m}$  is finite at future null infinity and on the future event horizon.

These behaviors are sensible as they correspond to outgoing/ingoing boundary conditions for the  $(\ell' \neq \ell, m)$ modes, which also appear in Eq. (16) due to the mode mixing term on the right-hand side. Note, however, that the explicit form of  $U_{\ell m}(r_*)$  is not needed to solve for the QNM spectrum from our master equation in Eq. (19), but only if one wants to reconstruct the scalar eigenfunctions. For more details we refer the reader to Appendix B, where we provide more algebraic details on our method.

Let us end this section with a brief summary of the methodology presented above. Given any stationary, axisymmetric BH metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , see Eq. (1), perturbatively connected to the Kerr BH metric, we are interested in studying the scalar QNM modes of the spacetime. We start by expanding the scalar field  $\Psi$  in scalar spheroidal harmonics in Eq. (3), and manipulate the Klein-Gordon equation  $g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}\Psi = 0$  into an inhomogeneous differential equation with a known source term, c.f. Eq. (8)and Eq. (9). Then, the right hand side of Eq. (8) can itself be decomposed in spheroidal harmonics as described below Eq. (9). This reduces the perturbation equation to Eq. (15), with the potential  $V_{\ell m}^{(0)}$  matching that of the Kerr case [c.f. Eq. (6)]. Note that the right hand side of Eq. (15) depends on the various radial eigenfunctions  $Z_{\ell'm}$  (with  $\ell' = \ell, \ell \pm 1, \ell \pm 2, \dots$ ) and their derivatives. Further simplifications can be made by absorbing terms proportional to  $Z_{\ell m}$  and its derivative into a redefined potential  $V_{\ell m}$ . Readers are referred to Eq. (16) and Eq. (17) for more details. However, the equation thus obtained still constitutes a coupled systems of differential equations, due to the presence of couplings between various  $\ell$ -modes [via the source term in the right hand side of Eq. (16)]. These differential equations can be decoupled by introducing a field redefinition, which leads to Eq. (19). The equation in this final form can be used to compute the QNM frequencies  $\omega$ .

# **III. APPLICATION AND RESULTS**

To illustrate the method outlined in Sec. II, let us consider some examples where the  $\epsilon = 0$  metric  $g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu}$  is that of either a Schwarzschild or a Kerr BH. For Schwarzschild, we need to set a = 0 and replace  $e^{im\phi} S_{\ell m}(\theta) \to Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$  in the expressions derived above.

## A. Slowly rotating Kerr BH

Let us consider the evolution of a scalar field  $\Psi$  in a slowly rotating Kerr spacetime. In this case,  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$  is a Schwarzschild metric of mass M and the deviation metric  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$  has only two non-zero components,  $g_{t\phi}^{(1)} = g_{\phi t}^{(1)} =$  $-2 M^2 \sin^2 \theta / r$ , with the expansion parameter  $\epsilon = a/M$ . The horizon remains at the same location as that of the background Schwarzschild BH, i.e., at r = 2M. Therefore, the near horizon boundary condition also remains unchanged. Using Eq. (9), we obtain the source term as  $\mathcal{J}[\Psi] = \frac{4M^2 \omega m}{r^2(r-2M)} \Psi$ , and the final QNM equation takes the form,

$$\frac{d^2 Z_{\ell m}}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}(r) Z_{\ell m} = 0 , \qquad (25)$$

with the master potential

$$V_{\ell m}(r) = V_{\ell}^{\rm Sch}(r) - \frac{4 \epsilon \ M^2 \omega \, m}{r^3} \,,$$
 (26)

where

$$V_{\ell}^{\rm Sch}(r) = \omega^2 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1) f(r)}{r^2} - \frac{2M f(r)}{r^3}$$
(27)

and f(r) = 1 - 2M/r. This matches exactly the potential given in Refs. [47, 48]. One then needs to solve Eq. (25) to get the QNM frequencies for slowly rotating Kerr BHs.

### B. Schwarzschild-quadrupole metric

Due to the celebrated 'no-hair' theorem [9, 10], a vacuum BH solution of GR is characterized only by two parameters, namely the mass M and spin a. In other words, all higher multipole moments [49, 50] of the vacuum BH solutions of GR are specified uniquely by Mand a. As a consequence of this theorem, other stationary, axisymmetric and asymptotically flat vacuum GR solutions cannot represent a regular spacetime on and outside the event horizon. See e.g. Ref. [51] for a specific example. However, if there are modifications to GR, the no-hair theorem can be violated and BHs can have extra hairs, which will in turn change the relation of various multipole moments (in particular, the quadrupole moment) with mass M and spin a. Interestingly, such deviations from the Schwarzschild/Kerr metric have already been considered quite extensively in literature, and constraints on them from various (existing or future) astrophysical observations have been worked out (see e.g. Ref. [40, 52–59])

Here, we perform the QNM analysis of a Schwarzschild BH with an anomalous (i.e. non-GR) quadrupole moment. For this purpose, let us first construct a BH metric that has Schwarzschild-like structure near the horizon and reduces to the non-rotating Hartle-Thorne metric, which represents the exterior vacuum spacetime of a static body of mass M and dimensionless quadrupole moment  $q = \epsilon$  as  $r \to \infty$  [60–62]. The leading-order fall-off of the metric components for  $r \to \infty$  can also be obtained from the Hartle-Thorne metric given in Eq. (2.6) of Ref. [63], with the spin set to zero. We are interested in studying the effect of the quadrupole correction on the QNMs. Up to linear order in  $\epsilon$ , the non-zero covariant metric components are

$$g_{tt} = -f(r) \left[ 1 + \epsilon f_1(r) P_2(\cos \theta) \right],$$
  

$$g_{rr} = f(r)^{-1} \left[ 1 - \epsilon f_1(r) P_2(\cos \theta) \right],$$
  

$$g_{\theta\theta} = (\sin \theta)^{-2} g_{\phi\phi} = r^2 \left[ 1 - \epsilon f_1(r) P_2(\cos \theta) \right],$$
  
(28)

where  $f_1(r) = 2 f(r) (M/r)^3$ , and  $P_2(\cos \theta)$  is the Legendre polynomial of second order. Note that by construction, the event horizon location (and hence the corresponding boundary condition) remains the same as in the Schwarzschild metric.

Because of the presence of the Legendre polynomial  $P_2(\cos \theta)$  in the metric, the source term  $\mathcal{J}$  will contain the product  $P_2(\cos \theta) Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$ , i.e., a coupling between various angular momentum components and the quadrupole,

$$\mathcal{J}[\Psi] = \frac{4M^3 \,\omega^2}{r^3} \,P_2(\cos\theta) \,\Psi \ . \tag{29}$$

As it was shown in the Methodology section, we can decompose the radial and the angular dependency in terms of  $Y_{\ell'm'}(\theta, \phi)$ , by using recursively the relation [48]

$$\cos\theta Y_{\ell m} = Q_{\ell+1m} Y_{\ell+1m} + Q_{\ell m} Y_{\ell-1m}, \qquad (30)$$

where we define  $Q_{\ell m}$  and two symbols that will appear later as

$$Q_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{\ell^2 - m^2}{4\ell^2 - 1}},\tag{31}$$

$$A_{\ell m} = Q_{\ell m}^2 + Q_{\ell+1m}^2, \qquad B_{\ell m} = Q_{\ell-1m} Q_{\ell m}.$$
 (32)

Following the method prescribed in Sec. II, one finally gets the master equation,

$$\frac{d^2 Z_{\ell m}}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}(r) Z_{\ell m} =$$

$$3\epsilon \,\omega^2 f_1(r) \left( B_{\ell+2m} Z_{\ell+2m} + B_{\ell m} Z_{\ell-2m} \right),$$
(33)

where  $dr_* = dr/(1 - 2M/r)$ , the potential reads

$$V_{\ell m} = V_{\ell}^{\rm Sch} - \epsilon f_1(r) \,\omega^2 \left(3A_{\ell m} - 1\right), \qquad (34)$$

with  $V_{\ell}^{\text{Sch}}$  being the effective potential for the Schwarzschild BH defined in Eq. (27). Then, following Sec. II (for more details see Appendix B), we can reduce the coupled system of equations to a single equation in the form of Eq. (19). We have calculated the QNM frequencies from this equation using two methods, namely the method of continued fraction [64, 65] and a linear expansion in  $\epsilon$  along the lines of Refs. [28, 31]. The two methods agree well, as shown in Fig. 1. We have also checked the validity of the decoupling technique that we used (see Sec. II and Appendix B) by calculating QNM modes from Eq. (33), i.e., without removing the right hand side, and these modes matches well with the QNMs after decoupling Eq. (33). The coupled system was solved with the continued fraction code described in Ref. [31]. We computed numerically frequencies of the spectrum for



FIG. 1. Relative difference (absolute value) between the  $\ell = m = 2$  scalar mode on top of a Schwarzschild BH with quadrupolar correction  $\epsilon$  and its GR correspondent. Solid lines refer to the real part of the mode, dashed lines to the imaginary part. We computed the modes with either a continued fraction method (blue line) or a linear expansion in  $\epsilon$  (orange line).

a given  $\ell$  and m, by truncating the system at some  $\ell_{\max}$ . By comparing different  $\ell_{\max}$ , one can study the numerical convergence of the QNMs until they achieve the desired accuracy. We found, for the cases considered, that the difference between the modes found with  $\ell_{\max} = \ell + 2$ and those with  $\ell_{\max} = \ell + 4$  was smaller than the error in  $\epsilon$  given by the linear approximation. In addition, we have compared different modes of the Schwarzschildquadrupole BH with Schwarzschild QNMs. In Fig. 1, we plot the relative differences between the real and imaginary parts of these modes, for  $\ell = m = 2$  and as a function of the anomalous quadrupole moment. As expected, the effect of  $\epsilon$  on the QNMs is always small reflecting the fact that we are in the linear approximation regime.

As another test, we will now discuss the 'eikonal limit' of the QNM frequencies that we obtain. This is motivated by the fact that the eikonal limit of the QNM equation in Schwarzschild/Kerr BH spacetimes has an interesting correspondence with the circular photon orbit. In particular, one finds that in the eikonal limit the real part of the Schwarzschild/Kerr QNMs is proportional to the orbital frequency of the circular photon orbit, and the imaginary part is related to the Lyapunov exponent of the perturbed motion near the circular photon orbit [66–71]. We want to check whether such correspondence is present for the case of Schwarzschild-quadrupole metric under consideration. One expects this correspondence to hold for the following reason. In the eikonal limit, we can write the perturbation as  $\Psi = A \exp(iS)$ , where S is a phase factor that changes rapidly. Replacing this ansatz in the Klein-Gordon equation, we get the Hamilton-Jacobi equation  $g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}S \partial_{\nu}S = 0$  for photons under the assumption of rapidly varying phase. This implies the geodesic equation for photons [72, 73].

For this check, we focus on equatorial photon orbits.

Note that because of the equatorial symmetry of the metric, initial conditions ( $\theta = \pi/2$ ,  $\dot{\theta} = 0$ ) yield orbits confined in the equatorial plane. A subtle point is that the eikonal QNM equation takes the same form as the null geodesic equation when both are expressed in terms of the same tortoise coordinate. In our case, however, the QNM equation contains the Schwarzschild tortoise coordinate  $r_*$ , which is different from the new tortoise coordinate  $\bar{r}_*$  of the Schwarzschild-quadrupole metric. On the equatorial plane, these two coordinates are related by  $d\bar{r}_* = dr_* (1 + \epsilon f_1(r)/2)$ . By using  $r_*$ , the eikonal limit (obtained for  $\ell = m \gg 1$ ) of Eq. (33) is given by  $d^2 X_{\ell m}/dr_*^2 + V_{\ell m}^{\rm eik}(r) X_{\ell m} = 0$ , where

$$V_{\ell m}^{\rm eik}(r) \simeq \omega^2 \left[ 1 + \epsilon f_1(r) \right] - \frac{\ell^2 f(r)}{r^2} \,.$$
 (35)

Here, we used the fact that  $Q_{\ell m} \to 0$  in the eikonal limit. When expressed in terms of new tortoise coordinate  $\bar{r}_*$ , the above equation generates a first derivative term  $dX_{\ell m}/d\bar{r}_*$ , which can then be absorbed in a redefined variable  $X_{\ell m} \to \tilde{X}_{\ell m} \exp\left[-\epsilon/4 \int d\bar{r}_* f(r) f'_1(r)\right]$ . For more details see Eq. (C6) in Appendix C. Thus, the final eikonal-limit QNM equation in the new  $\bar{r}_*$  coordinate becomes  $d^2 \tilde{X}_{\ell m}/d\bar{r}_*^2 + \tilde{V}_{\ell m}^{\rm eik}(r) \tilde{X}_{\ell m} = 0$ , with the potential

$$\widetilde{V}_{\ell m}^{\text{eik}} \simeq \left[1 - \epsilon f_1(r)\right] V_{\ell m}^{\text{eik}}(r) \simeq \omega^2 - \frac{\ell^2 f(r)}{r^2} \left[1 - \epsilon f_1(r)\right],$$
(36)

where  $V_{\ell m}^{\rm eik}(r)$  is given by Eq. (35). Now, the equatorial potential for null orbits can instead be calculated as  $V(r) = E^2 - L^2 f(r) [1 - \epsilon f_1(r)]/r^2$ , with L and E the photon's angular momentum and energy, respectively. This potential thus matches the QNM potential in the eikonal limit (see Eq. (36)) provided the energy E and the angular momentum L of the photon are identified with the eikonal QNM frequency  $\omega$  and angular momentum quantum number  $\ell$ .

The correspondence derived above between null geodesics and QNMs is non-trivial, but it is only valid for  $\ell \gg 1$ . One can also check whether the eikonal approximation yields qualitatively correct results also for moderate  $\ell$ . This would have implications for previous results employing the eikonal approximation in that regime (e.g. Refs. [36, 40]). To this purpose, we have numerically computed the QNMs in the eikonal limit from the circular photon orbit's frequency  $\Omega_p$  and its Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_p$  as

$$\omega_{ln} \approx \ell \,\Omega_p - \mathrm{i}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left|\lambda_p\right|,\tag{37}$$

following standard procedures (see, e.g., Ref. [36, 40]). As expected, we find very good agreement with our results for large  $\ell$ , but also the correct trend for moderate  $\ell$ .

## C. Kerr-quadrupole metric

In the same spirit of the static quadrupole metric construction of the previous section, we may design a rotating BH metric with Kerr-like structure near the event horizon at  $r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$ , and the same leading asymptotic structure as that of the metric given in Eqs. (2.13-2.16) of Ref. [63] at  $r \gg r_+$ , which is nothing but the Hartle-Thorne metric that represents the exterior vacuum spacetime of a rotating axisymmetric and stationary body of mass M, spin *a*, and quadrupole moment q [60, 61]. The perturbative parameter in this metric is  $\epsilon = q - (a/M)^2$ , where *q* is the dimensionless quadrupole moment of the BH which is not uniquely specified by mass and spin unlike Kerr. Note that by construction, the event horizon location remains same as in a Kerr spacetime.

The non-zero metric components of the metric, up to  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ , are therefore

$$g_{tt} = g_{tt}^{\text{Kerr}} \left[ 1 + \epsilon f_2(r) P_2(\cos \theta) \right],$$
  

$$g_{rr} = g_{rr}^{\text{Kerr}} \left[ 1 - \epsilon f_2(r) P_2(\cos \theta) \right],$$
  

$$g_{\theta\theta} = g_{\theta\theta}^{\text{Kerr}} \left[ 1 - \epsilon f_2(r) P_2(\cos \theta) \right],$$
  

$$g_{\phi\phi} = g_{\phi\phi}^{\text{Kerr}} \left[ 1 - \epsilon f_2(r) P_2(\cos \theta) \right],$$
  

$$g_{t\phi} = g_{t\phi}^{\text{Kerr}},$$
  
(38)

where  $f_2(r) = 2 F(r) (M/r)^3$  with  $F(r) = \Delta(r)/r^2$ . Note that in the limit  $a \to 0$ , we obtain the Schwarzschildquadrupole metric given by Eq. (28). Let us now study the effect of the anomalous quadrupole moment on the QNM spectrum, at leading (linear) order in  $\epsilon$ .

To get the source term  $\mathcal{J}[\Psi]$ , one can directly follow the general prescription given in Sec. II. However, for simplicity, we make one more approximation and expand the source term in the product  $a\omega$ . Such expansion, while linear in  $\epsilon$ , is valid unless the spin is not close to the extremal Kerr limit. In this way, we can express the spheroidal harmonics  $S_{\ell m}(\theta)$  as a combination of generalised Legendre polynomials  $P_{\ell m}(\theta)$  as follows

$$S_{\ell m}(\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a\omega)^{2n} \sum_{k=-2n}^{N} K_{\ell k m}^{(N)} P_{\ell+k m}(\theta)$$
(39)

where the coefficients  $K_{\ell k m}^{(N)}$  can be easily found within the Black Hole Perturbation Toolkit [74]. Under this approximation, one gets the following perturbation equation,

$$\frac{d^2 Z_{\ell m}}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}(r) Z_{\ell m} = \epsilon \sum_{\ell' \neq \ell} j_{\ell' m} + \epsilon \,\mathfrak{O}(a^N \omega^N) \,. \tag{40}$$

The term  $\sum_{\ell'\neq\ell} j_{\ell'm}$  contains  $Z_{\ell'm}$  and their derivatives with  $\ell' = \{\ell \pm 2, \ldots, \ell \pm N\}$ . Then, following Sec. II (for more details see Appendix B), we can reduce the above coupled system to the decoupled equation given by Eq. (19), which for the case N = 2 one gets the potential,

$$V_{\ell m} = V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) + 3 \epsilon a^2 \omega^4 f_1(r) \left( K_{\ell 2 m}^{(1)} B_{\ell + 2m} + K_{\ell - 2 m}^{(1)} B_{\ell m} \right) - \epsilon f_2(r) (a^2 + r^2)^{-2} f_3(r) + \epsilon \mathcal{O}(a^3 \omega^3), \qquad (41)$$

where  $V_{\ell m}^{(0)}$  is given in Eq. (6) and

$$f_{3}(r) = r \Big[ \omega^{2} r^{3} - 2ma \,\omega M + a^{2} \omega^{2} (r+2M) \Big] (3A_{\ell m} - 1) + a^{2} \omega^{2} \,\Delta(r) \Big[ (3A_{\ell m} - 1)A_{\ell m} + 3(B_{\ell+2}^{m})^{2} + 3(B_{\ell m})^{2} \Big] .$$
(42)

Note that  $\{f_1, f_2, A_{\ell m}, B_{\ell m}, K_{\ell m}\}$  are defined in Secs. III B and III C.

The results of our QNM analysis are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. The relative difference between the  $\ell = m = 2$ scalar QNM modes between the Kerr-quadrupole BH and a Kerr BH of same mass and spin is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of the anomalous quadrupole moments  $\epsilon$  for different values of spins a. Though the relative differences in both real and imaginary parts of QNM modes increase for larger values of a and  $\epsilon$ , it always remains small reflecting the fact that we are working under the linear approximation. In Fig. 3 the solid lines compare the QNM modes for the potential given in Eq. (41), where the approximation of the source term was truncated at N = 1, with those where terms up to N = 2 in the  $a\omega$ expansion were included, whereas the dashed lines show the absolute difference between truncation at N = 4 and N = 2. Note that the size  $|\Delta \omega|$  of the relative difference between the  $\ell = m = 2$  mode obtained considering the quadratic and quartic expansions in  $a\omega$  of Eq. (42) is smaller than the same obtained using the linear and quadratic expansions for any fixed values of  $(a, \epsilon)$ .

In general, it is non-trivial to demonstrate the explicit correspondence between QNMs and the unstable photon orbit in the eikonal limit for arbitrary spacetimes, as we did in the previous section for the Schwarzschild quadrupole case. The correspondence for the Kerr-Newman BH has been demonstrated recently [75]. In order to test our analytic framework for the Kerr quadrupole case, we numerically computed the QNMs in the eikonal limit via Eq. (37), as we did for the Schwarzschild quadrupole metric, and compared to our results. Again, we found very good agreement for large  $\ell$ , as expected, but also the correct trend for moderate  $\ell$ .

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we outlined a procedure that allows one to compute BH QNMs in cases where the separability of the perturbation equations is not achievable exactly, but the background spacetime's deviation from the Kerr solutions is small. The underlying idea, which has also been used in the case of rotating BHs in higher-derivative



FIG. 2. Relative difference between the  $\ell = m = 2$  scalar mode on top of a Kerr BH with anomalous quadrupole moment  $\epsilon$  and its GR correspondent, for different values of the spin *a*. Solid lines refer to the real part of the mode, dashed lines to the imaginary part. We computed the modes with the continued fraction method.



FIG. 3. Comparison of the absolute difference for the  $\ell = m = 2$  scalar mode on top of a Kerr BH with anomalous quadrupole moment  $\epsilon$  and spin *a*. Solid lines compare the modes obtained with a N = 1 and N = 2 truncation in *a* for the right-hand side of Eq. (42), whereas dashed lines show the difference between N = 2 and N = 4 approximations. The colors respect the same legend of Fig. 2.

gravity in Ref. [43], is to rewrite the perturbation equations in an approximate fashion by making use of the spheroidal harmonics basis. While the resulting system of equations shows couplings between radial functions with different quantum numbers, as a result of the deviations from Kerr, we find that the system can be diagonalized (i.e. decoupled) via a suitable redefinition of the radial functions. As implicit in our perturbative treatment, we find that the corrections to the QNM spectrum of Kerr/Schwarzschild BHs are small, which allows for mapping our results into the parameterized QNM framework of Refs. [28, 29, 31].

The consistency of our method has been checked in several ways. We verified that when applied to a slowly rotating Kerr BH, one obtains the known analytic result for the (scalar) perturbation equation. We also quantified the accuracy of the QNM frequencies when computed at different orders when expanding the spheroidal harmonics into spherical harmonics, and find good agreement. Furthermore, we computed the QNM frequencies with a continued fraction method, and verified that the results agree with the linear order of the parametrized QNM framework of Refs. [28, 29, 31]. Finally, we also used the eikonal approximation relating the orbital frequency at the photon ring and its Lyapunov exponent to the QNMs. We have found very good agreement for large  $\ell$ , and the correct trend also for moderate  $\ell$ .

In this paper, we have focused on scalar perturbations on top of non-Kerr/non-Schwarzschild metrics as a nontrivial toy problem. The extension to the full gravitational case requires one to choose a specific theory of gravity, compute the background metric, and then derive the set of perturbation equations. We will tackle this extension in future work.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank P. Pani and A. Maselli for insightful comments on a draft of this work and for many enlightening conversations on quasi-normal modes. The research of R.G. is supported by the Prime Minister Research Fellowship (PMRF ID: 1700531), Government of India. R.G. also wants to thank all members of SISSA and IFPU for their warm hospitality and pleasant company over his stay there, during which the most part of this work was completed. S.V. acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) - project number: 386119226. E.B. acknowledges support from the European Union's H2020 ERC Consolidator Grant "GRavity from Astrophysical to Microscopic Scales" (Grant No. GRAMS-815673). This work was also supported by the EU Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 101007855. This work makes use of the Black Hole Perturbation Toolkit.

## Appendix A: The source term

In this section of the appendix, we provide the explicit form of the coefficients a, b and  $c_{\ell m}$  appearing in Eq. (11). The modification to the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$  is chosen to be the most general stationary and axisymmetric configuration (not necessarily circular) [76]. We have

$$a(r,\theta) = \partial_{\theta} \left[ v_2 - u \frac{a^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2 \Delta} \right] + 2f_{t\theta}, \qquad (A1)$$

$$b(r,\theta) = \Delta \partial_r \left[ v_1 - u \frac{a^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2 \Delta} \right] + 2f_{tr}, \qquad (A2)$$

$$c_{\ell,m}(r,\theta) = r \,\omega \,w_{10} \left[ \frac{K}{\Delta} + r\omega \right] + a^2 \omega^2 w_{02} \cos^2 \theta$$
$$- \frac{4Mar uF}{\rho^2 \Delta} \left[ m \left( \rho^2 - r \right) + ar\omega \sin^2 \theta \right]$$
$$+ \frac{m^2 w_{23}}{\sin^2 \theta} + w_{12} \lambda_{\ell m} + \partial_r f_{tr}$$
$$+ am w_{13} \frac{2Mr \omega - am}{\Delta} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta \left( \sin \theta f_{t\theta} \right), \quad (A3)$$

where we defined

$$u(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{tt} - 2f_{t\varphi} + f_{\varphi\varphi} \right), \tag{A4}$$

$$v_0(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( -f_{tt} + f_{rr} + f_{\theta\theta} + f_{\varphi\varphi} \right), \qquad (A5)$$

$$v_1(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{tt} - f_{rr} + f_{\theta\theta} + f_{\varphi\varphi} \right), \qquad (A6)$$

$$v_2(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{tt} + f_{rr} - f_{\theta\theta} + f_{\varphi\varphi} \right), \qquad (A7)$$

$$v_3(r,\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( f_{tt} + f_{rr} + f_{\theta\theta} - f_{\varphi\varphi} \right), \qquad (A8)$$

and  $w_{ij} = v_i - v_j$ . The functions are defined as

$$f_{tt}(r,\theta) = \frac{g_{tt}^{(1)}}{g_{tt}^{(0)}}, \qquad f_{rr}(r,\theta) = \frac{g_{rr}^{(1)}}{g_{rr}^{(0)}}, \qquad (A9)$$

$$f_{tr}(r,\theta) = \frac{g_{tt}^{(1)}}{g_{rr}^{(0)}}, \qquad f_{rr}(r,\theta) = \frac{g_{rr}^{(1)}}{g_{rr}^{(0)}}, \qquad (A10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta\theta}(r,\theta) &= g_{\theta\theta} / g_{\theta\theta} , \qquad f_{\varphi\varphi}(r,\theta) = g_{\varphi\varphi}' / g_{\varphi\varphi}', \quad \text{(A10)} \\ f_{t\varphi}(r,\theta) &= g_{t\varphi}^{(1)} / g_{t\varphi}^{(0)}, \qquad f_{tr}(r,\theta) = \Delta F g_{tr}^{(1)}, \quad \text{(A11)} \end{aligned}$$

$$f_{t\theta}(r,\theta) = Fg_{t\theta}^{(1)}, \qquad F = i\left(\omega + \frac{rK}{\rho^2\Delta}\right).$$
 (A12)

#### Appendix B: Decoupling the wave equation

In Sec. II, we have discussed a general method to decouple a system of coupled QNM equations of the form given in Eq. (16). Thus, one may directly use this method to deal with the QNM equations as given by Eq. (33) and Eq. (40).

However, in this appendix, we will use a slight variation of the method presented in Sec. II, in order to show that our results reduce to those of Ref. [48] for some limiting cases. For this purpose, consider a system of coupled wave equations of the form

$$\frac{d^2 Z_{\ell m}}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}(r) \, Z_{\ell m} = \epsilon \left[ f_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r) \, Z_{\ell+2,m} + f_{\ell m}^{(2)}(r) \, Z_{\ell-2,m} \right].$$
(B1)

Note that the right hand side is a special case of the more general situation shown in Eq. (16). Now, let us assume that the ratio  $\left(f_{\ell m}^{(1)}/f_{\ell m}^{(2)}\right)$  is *r*-independent, which is the case for Eq. (33). This motivates us to introduce a field redefinition,  $X_{\ell m}(r_*) = Z_{\ell m}(r_*) + \epsilon \tilde{Z}_{\ell m}(r_*)/n(r) + \epsilon U_{\ell m}(r_*)$ , where  $r_*$  is the background tortoise coordinate defined by  $dr/dr_* = h(r)$  and  $\tilde{Z}_{\ell m} = c_{\ell m} Z_{\ell+2,m} - d_{\ell m} Z_{\ell-2,m}$ . We want to choose the *r*-independent coefficients  $(c_{\ell m}, d_{\ell m})$  and the function  $U_{\ell m}$  in such a way that  $X_{\ell m}$  satisfies the standard wave equation in decoupled form when  $O(\epsilon^2)$  quantities are neglected, see Eq. (19). One such choice is given by

$$c_{\ell m} = \frac{f_{\ell m}^{(1)}(r) n(r)}{V_{\ell+2,m}^{(0)} - V_{\ell m}^{(0)}}, \quad d_{\ell m} = \frac{f_{\ell m}^{(2)}(r) n(r)}{V_{\ell m}^{(0)} - V_{\ell-2,m}^{(0)}}; \quad (B2)$$

$$\frac{d^2 U_{\ell m}}{dr_*^2} + V_{\ell m}^{(0)}(r) U_{\ell m} = \partial_{r_*} \left(\frac{n'(r) h(r)}{n^2(r)}\right) \tilde{Z}_{\ell m} + \frac{2n'(r) h(r)}{n^2(r)} \frac{d\tilde{Z}_{\ell m}}{dr_*} .$$
(B3)

where  $Z_{\ell m}$  in the right hand side of the above equation must be considered as  $\tilde{Z}_{\ell m}(\epsilon = 0)$  and  $V_{\ell m}^{(0)}$  is the Schwarzschild (Kerr) QNM potential given in Eq. (27) (Eq. (6)). To check our result, one can readily see that the choice n(r) = 1 and  $U_{\ell m} = 0$  agrees with the case presented in Ref. [48] for massless scalar perturbations of a slowly rotating Kerr BH.

Few comments are in order here. First, we should choose n(r) is such a way that  $(c_{\ell m}, d_{\ell m})$  are r-independent. Given that the ratio  $\left(f_{\ell m}^{(1)}/f_{\ell m}^{(2)}\right)$  is r-independent, that is only possible if the ratio  $\left(V_{\ell+2,m}^{(0)} - V_{\ell m}^{(0)}\right)/\left(V_{\ell m}^{(0)} - V_{\ell-2,m}^{(0)}\right)$  is also r-independent. In fact, this is the case for the Schwarzschild metric with an anomalous quadrupole moment which we considered in this paper, see Eq. (33). In that case, it is easy to see that n(r) = r,  $d_{\ell m} = -3M^3\omega^2 B_{\ell}^m/(2\ell-1)$ , and  $c_{\ell m} = d_{\ell+2,m}$  represent a valid solution. In contrast, if at least one of the ratios  $\left(f_{\ell m}^{(1)}/f_{\ell m}^{(2)}\right)$  and  $\left(V_{\ell+2,m}^{(0)} - V_{\ell m}^{(0)}\right)/\left(V_{\ell m}^{(0)} - V_{\ell-2,m}^{(0)}\right)$  depends on r, the coefficients of  $Z_{\ell+2,m}$  and  $Z_{\ell-2,m}$  in the field redefinition cannot both be set proportional to  $n^{-1}(r)$ .

After finding  $(n(r), c_{\ell m}, d_{\ell m})$ , we can substitute their values in the differential equation for  $U_{\ell m}$  given in Eq. (B2), where both the source and the frequencies appearing in the potential are in principle known. Therefore, we can always solve for  $U_{\ell m}$ , c.f. Eq. (24) and related discussion. As a result, the final equation takes the form given in Eq. (19), which can be solved to find the QNM frequencies  $\omega$ .

One can use the above method repeatedly for decoupling an equation with higher order mode couplings too, where  $\ell$  mode is coupled to  $\{\ell - \bar{\ell}, \ldots, \ell - 2, \ell - 1, \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \ldots, \ell + \bar{\ell}\}$  modes, see for example Eq. (40) in the Kerr-quadrupole case discussed in Sec. III C. By symmetry, it is easy to see that higher multipole perturbations (such as quadrupole, octupole and so on) of the background BH metric may give rise to such couplings. Therefore, from a phenomenological point of view, one may directly start with a potential  $V_{\ell m} = V_{\ell m}^{(0)} + \sum_i \epsilon_i V_{\ell m}^{i(1)}$  in Eq. (19), where the background  $V_{\ell m}^{(0)}$  has been perturbed by contributions  $V_{\ell m}^{i(1)}$ coming from various higher multipoles. This motivates the work presented in Ref. [31]. It is not hard to concoct a similar method for decoupling an equation with a source term containing derivatives of  $Z_{\ell'm}$  as well.

#### Appendix C: Wave equation in terms of $\bar{r}_*$

In this appendix, we want to address one more issue that may arise. In Eq. (19), the derivatives are with respect to the Kerr/Schwarzschild tortoise coordinate  $\bar{r}_*$  of the metric given by Eq. (1). However, if the horizon location remains the same, we may still choose to work with the old tortoise coordinate, as the near-horizon (ingoing) boundary condition remains unaltered [44, 48]. However, for a general scenario where this is not the case, we have to tackle the problem of incorporating the QNM boundary conditions properly.

For this purpose, let us assume that the new and old tortoise coordinates are related by  $d\bar{r}_* = dr_* [1 + \epsilon g(r)]$ , where g depends on the radial coordinate only. Note that one can always put the function g in such a form by writing the metric in coordinates in which the radial coordinate is constant on the horizon. Using this relation, we can express the derivatives in Eq. (19) with respect to  $\bar{r}_*$ , to get

$$\frac{d^2 X_{\ell m}}{d\bar{r}_*^2} + \epsilon h(r) g'(r) \frac{d X_{\ell m}}{d\bar{r}_*} + V_{\ell m}(r) \Big( 1 - 2 \epsilon g(r) \Big) X_{\ell m} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) = 0 , \qquad (C1)$$

where  $dr/dr_* = h(r)$ . We can omit the first derivative term in the left-hand side by a field redefinition,  $X_{\ell m} \rightarrow \widetilde{X}_{\ell m} \exp\left[-\epsilon/2 \int d\bar{r}_* h(r) g'(r)\right]$ . Then, the final master equation becomes  $d^2 \widetilde{X}_{\ell m}/d\bar{r}_*^2 + \widetilde{V}_{\ell m}(r) \widetilde{X}_{\ell m} = 0$ , with the redefined potential as

$$\widetilde{V}_{\ell m}(r) = V_{\ell m}(r) \left[ 1 - 2\epsilon g(r) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon h(r) \frac{d \left[ h(r) g'(r) \right]}{dr}.$$
(C2)

As a demonstration of the method above, let us discuss a suggestive example, where we consider the effect of a small charge on the Schwarzschild scalar QNMs. In other words, the spacetime is Reissner-Nordström (RN) with a small charge  $|Q| \ll M$ , and the location of the horizon is different from Schwarzschild. We will consider  $\epsilon =$ 

 $Q^2$  as our perturbative parameter. In this case,  $g(r)=-\left[r^2\,f(r)\right]^{-1}$  and h(r)=f(r). Then, Eq.(17) gives the potential^6

$$V_{\ell}(r) = V_{\ell}^{\rm Sch}(r) - \epsilon \, \frac{2\,M^2 + 2\,r^4\,\omega^2 - r^2\,f(r)\,(\ell^2 + \ell - 1)}{r^6\,f(r)}\,.$$
(C3)

Therefore, using Eq. (C2) the master QNM equation becomes

$$\frac{d^2 \widetilde{X}_{\ell m}}{d\bar{r}_*^2} + \widetilde{V}_\ell(r) \,\widetilde{X}_{\ell m} = 0 \,, \qquad (C4)$$

where the master potential is

$$\widetilde{V}_{\ell}(r) = V_{\ell}^{\text{Sch}}(r) - \epsilon \, \frac{6\,M + (\ell+2)(\ell-1)\,r}{r^5} \,, \qquad (\text{C5})$$

- B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), "Tests of general relativity with GW150914," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 221101 (2016), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 121, 129902 (2018)], arXiv:1602.03841 [gr-qc].
- [2] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), "Tests of General Relativity with the Binary Black Hole Signals from the LIGO-Virgo Catalog GWTC-1," Phys. Rev. D 100, 104036 (2019), arXiv:1903.04467 [gr-qc].
- [3] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), "Tests of general relativity with binary black holes from the second LIGO-Virgo gravitational-wave transient catalog," Phys. Rev. D 103, 122002 (2021), arXiv:2010.14529 [gr-qc].
- [4] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, VIRGO, KAGRA), "Tests of General Relativity with GWTC-3," (2021), arXiv:2112.06861 [gr-qc].
- [5] S. Detweiler, "Black holes and gravitational waves. III -The resonant frequencies of rotating holes," Astrophys. J. 239, 292–295 (1980).
- [6] Olaf Dreyer, Bernard J. Kelly, Badri Krishnan, Lee Samuel Finn, David Garrison, and Ramon Lopez-Aleman, "Black hole spectroscopy: Testing general relativity through gravitational wave observations," Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 787–804 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0309007.
- [7] Emanuele Berti, Vitor Cardoso, and Clifford M. Will, "On gravitational-wave spectroscopy of massive black holes with the space interferometer LISA," Phys. Rev. D 73, 064030 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0512160.
- [8] Roy P. Kerr, "Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics," Phys. Rev. Lett. 11, 237–238 (1963).
- [9] B. Carter, "Axisymmetric Black Hole Has Only Two Degrees of Freedom," Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 331–333 (1971).
- [10] D. C. Robinson, "Uniqueness of the Kerr black hole," Phys. Rev. Lett. **34**, 905–906 (1975).

which can be verified by a direct calculation of QNM equation for a RN spacetime.

Another interesting conclusion follows directly from Eq. (C2). Note that in the eikonal limit ( $\ell = m \gg 1$ ), the last term (which is  $\ell$ -independent) in the right hand side of Eq. (C2) can be neglected and the eikonal potential takes a very simplified form:

$$\widetilde{V}_{\ell m}^{\text{eik}}(r) \simeq V_{\ell m}^{\text{eik}}(r) \left[ 1 - 2 \,\epsilon \, g(r) \right], \tag{C6}$$

where  $V_{\ell m}^{\rm eik}(r)$  is the eikonal limit of the potential  $V_{\ell m}(r)$  given in Eq. (17). We shall use this equation in Sec. III B (see Eq. (36)) to derive the eikonal QNM potential in the Schwarzschild-quadrupole case.

- [11] Tullio Regge and John A. Wheeler, "Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity," Phys. Rev. 108, 1063–1069 (1957).
- [12] Frank J. Zerilli, "Effective potential for even parity Regge-Wheeler gravitational perturbation equations," Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 737–738 (1970).
- [13] Saul A. Teukolsky, "Perturbations of a rotating black hole. 1. Fundamental equations for gravitational electromagnetic and neutrino field perturbations," Astrophys. J. 185, 635–647 (1973).
- [14] Dieter R. Brill, Paul L. Chrzanowski, C. Martin Pereira, Edward D. Fackerell, and James R. Ipser, "Solution of the scalar wave equation in a kerr background by separation of variables," Phys. Rev. D 5, 1913–1915 (1972).
- [15] Vitor Cardoso and Leonardo Gualtieri, "Perturbations of Schwarzschild black holes in Dynamical Chern-Simons modified gravity," Phys. Rev. D 80, 064008 (2009), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 81, 089903 (2010)], arXiv:0907.5008 [gr-qc].
- [16] C. Molina, Paolo Pani, Vitor Cardoso, and Leonardo Gualtieri, "Gravitational signature of Schwarzschild black holes in dynamical Chern-Simons gravity," Phys. Rev. D 81, 124021 (2010), arXiv:1004.4007 [gr-qc].
- [17] Pratik Wagle, Nicolas Yunes, and Hector O. Silva, "Quasinormal modes of slowly-rotating black holes in dynamical Chern-Simons gravity," Phys. Rev. D 105, 124003 (2022), arXiv:2103.09913 [gr-qc].
- [18] Manu Srivastava, Yanbei Chen, and S. Shankaranarayanan, "Analytical computation of quasinormal modes of slowly rotating black holes in dynamical Chern-Simons gravity," Phys. Rev. D 104, 064034 (2021), arXiv:2106.06209 [gr-qc].
- [19] Jose Luis Blázquez-Salcedo, Caio F. B. Macedo, Vitor Cardoso, Valeria Ferrari, Leonardo Gualtieri, Fech Scen Khoo, Jutta Kunz, and Paolo Pani, "Perturbed black holes in Einstein-dilaton-Gauss-Bonnet gravity: Stability, ringdown, and gravitational-wave emission," Phys. Rev. D 94, 104024 (2016), arXiv:1609.01286 [gr-qc].
- [20] Jose Luis Blázquez-Salcedo, Fech Scen Khoo, and Jutta Kunz, "Quasinormal modes of Einstein-Gauss-Bonnetdilaton black holes," Phys. Rev. D 96, 064008 (2017), arXiv:1706.03262 [gr-qc].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Since for the case of RN BH, the function g(r) and the  $\epsilon$ -dependent term in  $V_{\ell}(r)$  given by Eq. (C3) diverges at r = 2M, our perturbative analysis is not strictly valid near r = 2M. However, following our analysis, one gets the correct (and regular) result at the end, see Eq. (C5).

- [21] Jose Luis Blázquez-Salcedo, Daniela D. Doneva, Sarah Kahlen, Jutta Kunz, Petya Nedkova, and Stoytcho S. Yazadjiev, "Axial perturbations of the scalarized Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black holes," Phys. Rev. D 101, 104006 (2020), arXiv:2003.02862 [gr-qc].
- [22] Jose Luis Blázquez-Salcedo, Daniela D. Doneva, Sarah Kahlen, Jutta Kunz, Petya Nedkova, and Stoytcho S. Yazadjiev, "Polar quasinormal modes of the scalarized Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black holes," Phys. Rev. D 102, 024086 (2020), arXiv:2006.06006 [gr-qc].
- [23] Lorenzo Pierini and Leonardo Gualtieri, "Quasi-normal modes of rotating black holes in Einstein-dilaton Gauss-Bonnet gravity: the first order in rotation," Phys. Rev. D 103, 124017 (2021), arXiv:2103.09870 [gr-qc].
- [24] Lorenzo Pierini and Leonardo Gualtieri, "Quasinormal modes of rotating black holes in Einstein-dilaton Gauss-Bonnet gravity: The second order in rotation," Phys. Rev. D 106, 104009 (2022), arXiv:2207.11267 [gr-qc].
- [25] Nicola Franchini, Mario Herrero-Valea, and Enrico Barausse, "Relation between general relativity and a class of Hořava gravity theories," Phys. Rev. D 103, 084012 (2021), arXiv:2103.00929 [gr-qc].
- [26] Dongjun Li, Pratik Wagle, Yanbei Chen, and Nicolás Yunes, "Perturbations of spinning black holes beyond General Relativity: Modified Teukolsky equation," (2022), arXiv:2206.10652 [gr-qc].
- [27] Asad Hussain and Aaron Zimmerman, "Approach to computing spectral shifts for black holes beyond Kerr," Phys. Rev. D 106, 104018 (2022), arXiv:2206.10653 [grqc].
- [28] Vitor Cardoso, Masashi Kimura, Andrea Maselli, Emanuele Berti, Caio F. B. Macedo, and Ryan Mc-Manus, "Parametrized black hole quasinormal ringdown: Decoupled equations for nonrotating black holes," Phys. Rev. D 99, 104077 (2019), arXiv:1901.01265 [gr-qc].
- [29] Ryan McManus, Emanuele Berti, Caio F. B. Macedo, Masashi Kimura, Andrea Maselli, and Vitor Cardoso, "Parametrized black hole quasinormal ringdown. II. Coupled equations and quadratic corrections for nonrotating black holes," Phys. Rev. D 100, 044061 (2019), arXiv:1906.05155 [gr-qc].
- [30] Masashi Kimura, "Note on the parametrized black hole quasinormal ringdown formalism," Phys. Rev. D 101, 064031 (2020), arXiv:2001.09613 [gr-qc].
- [31] Sebastian H. Völkel, Nicola Franchini, and Enrico Barausse, "Theory-agnostic reconstruction of potential and couplings from quasinormal modes," Phys. Rev. D 105, 084046 (2022), arXiv:2202.08655 [gr-qc].
- [32] Nicola Franchini and Sebastian H. Völkel, "A parametrized quasi-normal mode framework for non-Schwarzschild metrics," (2022), arXiv:2210.14020 [gr-qc].
- [33] Gabriele Franciolini, Lam Hui, Riccardo Penco, Luca Santoni, and Enrico Trincherini, "Effective Field Theory of Black Hole Quasinormal Modes in Scalar-Tensor Theories," JHEP 02, 127 (2019), arXiv:1810.07706 [hepth].
- [34] Lam Hui, Alessandro Podo, Luca Santoni, and Enrico Trincherini, "Effective Field Theory for the perturbations of a slowly rotating black hole," JHEP 12, 183 (2021), arXiv:2111.02072 [hep-th].
- [35] Sebastian H. Völkel and Enrico Barausse, "Bayesian Metric Reconstruction with Gravitational Wave Observations," Phys. Rev. D 102, 084025 (2020),

arXiv:2007.02986 [gr-qc].

- [36] Kostas Glampedakis, George Pappas, Hector O. Silva, and Emanuele Berti, "Post-Kerr black hole spectroscopy," Phys. Rev. D 96, 064054 (2017), arXiv:1706.07658 [grqc].
- [37] Kostas Glampedakis and Hector O. Silva, "Eikonal quasinormal modes of black holes beyond General Relativity," Phys. Rev. D 100, 044040 (2019), arXiv:1906.05455 [grqc].
- [38] Hector O. Silva and Kostas Glampedakis, "Eikonal quasinormal modes of black holes beyond general relativity.
   II. Generalized scalar-tensor perturbations," Phys. Rev. D 101, 044051 (2020), arXiv:1912.09286 [gr-qc].
- [39] Albert Bryant, Hector O. Silva, Kent Yagi, and Kostas Glampedakis, "Eikonal quasinormal modes of black holes beyond general relativity. III. Scalar Gauss-Bonnet gravity," Phys. Rev. D 104, 044051 (2021), arXiv:2106.09657 [gr-qc].
- [40] Kallol Dey, Enrico Barausse, and Soumen Basak, "Measuring deviations from the Kerr geometry with black hole ringdown," (2022), arXiv:2212.10725 [gr-qc].
- [41] Andrea Maselli, Paolo Pani, Leonardo Gualtieri, and Emanuele Berti, "Parametrized ringdown spin expansion coefficients: a data-analysis framework for black-hole spectroscopy with multiple events," Phys. Rev. D 101, 024043 (2020), arXiv:1910.12893 [gr-qc].
- [42] Gregorio Carullo, "Enhancing modified gravity detection from gravitational-wave observations using the parametrized ringdown spin expansion coeffcients formalism," Phys. Rev. D 103, 124043 (2021), arXiv:2102.05939 [gr-qc].
- [43] Pablo A. Cano, Kwinten Fransen, and Thomas Hertog, "Ringing of rotating black holes in higher-derivative gravity," Phys. Rev. D 102, 044047 (2020), arXiv:2005.03671 [gr-qc].
- [44] Emanuele Berti, Vitor Cardoso, and Andrei O. Starinets, "Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes," Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 163001 (2009), arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc].
- [45] Emanuele Berti, Vitor Cardoso, and Marc Casals, "Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics in four and higher dimensions," Phys. Rev. D 73, 024013 (2006), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 73, 109902 (2006)], arXiv:gr-qc/0511111.
- [46] R. Kent Nagle, Edward B. Saff, and Arthur David Snider, "Fundamentals of differential equations and boundary value problems, 6th edition," (1993).
- [47] Paolo Pani, Vitor Cardoso, Leonardo Gualtieri, Emanuele Berti, and Akihiro Ishibashi, "Perturbations of slowly rotating black holes: massive vector fields in the Kerr metric," Phys. Rev. D 86, 104017 (2012), arXiv:1209.0773 [gr-qc].
- [48] Paolo Pani, "Advanced Methods in Black-Hole Perturbation Theory," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 28, 1340018 (2013), arXiv:1305.6759 [gr-qc].
- [49] R. O. Hansen, "Multipole moments of stationary spacetimes," J. Math. Phys. 15, 46–52 (1974).
- [50] Robert P. Geroch, "Multipole moments. II. Curved space," J. Math. Phys. 11, 2580–2588 (1970).
- [51] V S Manko and I D Novikov, "Generalizations of the kerr and kerr-newman metrics possessing an arbitrary set of mass-multipole moments," Classical and Quantum Gravity 9, 2477 (1992).
- [52] F. D. Ryan, "Gravitational waves from the inspiral of a

compact object into a massive, axisymmetric body with arbitrary multipole moments," Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5707–5718 (1995).

- [53] Fintan D. Ryan, "Accuracy of estimating the multipole moments of a massive body from the gravitational waves of a binary inspiral," Phys. Rev. D 56, 1845–1855 (1997).
- [54] Jonathan R. Gair, Chao Li, and Ilya Mandel, "Observable Properties of Orbits in Exact Bumpy Spacetimes," Phys. Rev. D 77, 024035 (2008), arXiv:0708.0628 [gr-qc].
- [55] Cosimo Bambi and Enrico Barausse, "Constraining the quadrupole moment of stellar-mass black-hole candidates with the continuum fitting method," Astrophys. J. 731, 121 (2011), arXiv:1012.2007 [gr-qc].
- [56] Cosimo Bambi and Enrico Barausse, "The Final stages of accretion onto non-Kerr compact objects," Phys. Rev. D 84, 084034 (2011), arXiv:1108.4740 [gr-qc].
- [57] Cosimo Bambi, Jiachen Jiang, and James F. Steiner, "Testing the no-hair theorem with the continuum-fitting and the iron line methods: a short review," Class. Quant. Grav. 33, 064001 (2016), arXiv:1511.07587 [gr-qc].
- [58] Sebastian H. Völkel, Enrico Barausse, Nicola Franchini, and Avery E. Broderick, "EHT tests of the strong-field regime of general relativity," Class. Quant. Grav. 38, 21LT01 (2021), arXiv:2011.06812 [gr-qc].
- [59] Prashant Kocherlakota *et al.* (Event Horizon Telescope), "Constraints on black-hole charges with the 2017 EHT observations of M87\*," Phys. Rev. D 103, 104047 (2021), arXiv:2105.09343 [gr-qc].
- [60] James B. Hartle, "Slowly Rotating Relativistic Stars. I. Equations of Structure," Astrophys. J. 150, 1005 (1967).
- [61] James B. Hartle and Kip S. Thorne, "Slowly Rotating Relativistic Stars. II. Models for Neutron Stars and Supermassive Stars," Astrophys. J. 153, 807 (1968).
- [62] Alireza Allahyari, Hassan Firouzjahi, and Bahram Mashhoon, "Quasinormal Modes of a Black Hole with Quadrupole Moment," Phys. Rev. D 99, 044005 (2019), arXiv:1812.03376 [gr-qc].
- [63] Kostas Glampedakis and Stanislav Babak, "Mapping spacetimes with LISA: Inspiral of a test-body in a 'quasi-Kerr' field," Class. Quant. Grav. 23, 4167–4188 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0510057.
- [64] E. W. Leaver, "An Analytic Representation for the

Quasi-Normal Modes of Kerr Black Holes," Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A **402**, 285–298 (1985).

- [65] E. W. Leaver, "Solutions to a generalized spheroidal wave equation: Teukolsky's equations in general relativity, and the two-center problem in molecular quantum mechanics," Journal of Mathematical Physics 27, 1238–1265 (1986).
- [66] Valeria Ferrari and Bahram Mashhoon, "New approach to the quasinormal modes of a black hole," Phys. Rev. D 30, 295–304 (1984).
- [67] B. F. Schutz and C. M. Will, "Black hole normal modes - A semianalytic approach," APJL 291, L33–L36 (1985).
- [68] Sai Iyer and Clifford M. Will, "Black Hole Normal Modes: A WKB Approach. 1. Foundations and Application of a Higher Order WKB Analysis of Potential Barrier Scattering," Phys. Rev. D 35, 3621 (1987).
- [69] Sai Iyer, "Black hole normal modes: a WKB approach. 2. Schwarzschild black holes," Phys. Rev. D 35, 3632 (1987).
- [70] Sam R. Dolan, "The Quasinormal Mode Spectrum of a Kerr Black Hole in the Eikonal Limit," Phys. Rev. D 82, 104003 (2010), arXiv:1007.5097 [gr-qc].
- [71] Huan Yang, David A. Nichols, Fan Zhang, Aaron Zimmerman, Zhongyang Zhang, and Yanbei Chen, "Quasinormal-mode spectrum of Kerr black holes and its geometric interpretation," Phys. Rev. D 86, 104006 (2012), arXiv:1207.4253 [gr-qc].
- [72] Fernando de Felice and C. J. S. Clarke, *Relativity on curved manifolds* (Cambridge University Press, 1992).
- [73] Enrico Barausse, "Black holes in General Relativity and beyond," MDPI Proc. 17, 1 (2019), arXiv:1902.09199 [grqc].
- [74] "Black Hole Perturbation Toolkit," (bhptoolkit.org).
- [75] Peng-Cheng Li, Tsai-Chen Lee, Minyong Guo, and Bin Chen, "Correspondence of eikonal quasinormal modes and unstable fundamental photon orbits for a Kerr-Newman black hole," Phys. Rev. D 104, 084044 (2021), arXiv:2105.14268 [gr-qc].
- [76] Robert M. Wald, *General Relativity* (Chicago Univ. Pr., Chicago, USA, 1984).