

ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

Metabolism of plant-derived toxins from its insect host increases the success of the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*

Ruo Sun ^[b], Benke Hong², Michael Reichelt ^[b], Katrin Luck^{1,2}, Duc Tam Mai¹, Xingcong Jiang³, Jonathan Gershenzon ^[b] and Daniel Giddings Vassão ^[b].

© The Author(s) 2023

Beauveria bassiana is a soil fungus that parasitizes a large number of arthropod species, including numerous crop pests, causing white muscardine disease and is therefore used as a biological insecticide. However, some insects, such as the cabbage aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae*), defend themselves chemically by sequestering dietary pro-toxins (glucosinolates) from their Brassicales host plants. Glucosinolates are accumulated by cabbage aphids and activated to form toxic isothiocyanates when under attack. While isothiocyanate formation protects aphids against most attackers, *B. bassiana* is still able to infect the cabbage aphid under natural conditions. We therefore investigated how this fungus is able to circumvent the chemical defense system of the cabbage aphid. Here, we describe how *B. bassiana* infection activates the cabbage aphid defense system, but the resulting toxins are metabolized by *B. bassiana* via the mercapturic acid pathway, of which the first step is catalyzed by glutathione-*S*-transferases of low substrate specificity. This detoxification pathway enhances *B. bassiana* growth when isothiocyanates are present in natural concentrations, and so appears to be an important factor in fungal parasitization of these chemically defended aphids.

The ISME Journal; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01480-3

INTRODUCTION

Multitrophic interactions involving plants, herbivores, and herbivore enemies are ubiquitous in natural and agricultural ecosystems. In these contexts, plant chemical defenses are not only used by plants for protection against herbivores and pathogens, but also traverse trophic levels and can be exploited by some herbivores as defenses against their own predators, parasitoids, and pathogens [1, 2]. Many insect herbivores are now known to sequester plant defenses [3], but the effectiveness of these stored metabolites against different types of herbivore enemies has been much less studied, especially against pathogenic microorganisms.

Among the best-studied plant chemical defense systems are the glucosinolates (GSLs), glucosylated defense metabolites in plants of the order Brassicales that are activated by myrosinase enzymes upon tissue damage to produce isothiocyanates (ITCs) and other toxic hydrolysis products [4]. The GSL pro-toxins have side-chains derived from their precursor amino acids, and are accumulated in high concentrations in different plant tissues, often as mixtures. Their activation products are perceived by humans as the pungent flavors characteristic of, for example, cabbages, wasabi, mustard, and radishes. This two-component defense system, the so-called "mustard oil bomb", generates defensive compounds that can traverse trophic levels, affecting not only consuming herbivores [5] but subsequently also their natural enemies [6, 7]. A well-known example involves the cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae*

(Hemiptera: Aphididae), a specialist of Brassicales plants that sequesters plant GSLs. These insects ingest the plant pro-toxins via a piercing-sucking feeding mode that minimizes tissue rupture and so mostly circumvents GSL activation by the plant defense system [8]. Besides, these insects are capable of taking up and accumulating GLSs selectively [7]. In addition to sequestering GSLs in its hemolymph, the cabbage aphid produces an endogenous myrosinase enzyme in its head and thoracic muscles [9]. Once the cabbage aphid is attacked, its sequestered GSLs are activated by myrosinase just as when the plant is damaged, resulting in the formation of toxic ITCs and giving the cabbage aphid the apt moniker "walking mustard oil bomb" [9]. The ITCs thus formed by the herbivore can cause negative effects on higher trophic level predators or parasitoids [6, 7, 10, 11]. The high electrophilicity of the ITC -N = C = S functional group enables these compounds to react quickly and at times spontaneously with biological nucleophiles [12], resulting in their insecticidal [5], bactericidal [13, 14], and fungicidal properties [15]. In spite of these potent chemical defenses, populations of cabbage aphids can often be controlled by the use of suitable natural enemies such as insect predators [16], parasitoids [17], or entomopathogenic fungi [18]. However, it is not yet known how these enemies circumvent the ITCs released from the cabbage aphid.

The entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Hypocreales: Cordycipitaceae) is a biological control agent widely used

¹Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Department of Biochemistry, Jena, Germany. ²Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Department of Natural Product Biosynthesis, Jena, Germany. ³Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Department of Evolutionary Neuroethology, Jena, Germany. ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany. ^{Semanly, 4}Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Department of Archaeology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthropology, Jena, Germany, ⁴Max Planck Institute of Geoanthrop

2

against a number of agricultural insect pests such as whiteflies [19, 20], aphids [21, 22], and beetles [23, 24], in which it causes white muscardine disease. Once its conidia come into contact with the body of the insect host, they germinate, penetrate the cuticle, and grow inside the insects as hyphae and blastospores, typically killing the insect within days. Afterwards, a white mold emerges from the insect cadaver and produces new conidia for a next generation [25]. In terms of susceptibility to ITCs, previous studies of another entomopathogen, Metarhizium anisopliae, have shown that germination and growth in vitro, as well as its ability to infect insects were reduced by phenylethyl- (2PE-), 2-chlorophenyl-, and allyl-ITCs (A-ITC) [26]. In addition, the volatile A-ITC inhibited germination of conidia of the entomopathogenic fungi B. bassiana and Isaria fumosorosea [27]. Nevertheless, the ITC-producing cabbage aphid can still be readily controlled by B. bassiana and other entomopathogens [18, 28, 29]. It is unclear, however, whether the GSLs accumulated in the cabbage aphid are indeed activated and harmful to B. bassiana during their infection of this insect. Adding to the complexity of this interaction, the production and accumulation of different GSLs are variable among plants of Brassicales that serve as cabbage aphid hosts, as well as among different plant organs and plant developmental stages [30, 31]. Furthermore, the accumulation of GSLs from plants by the cabbage aphid is selective, being strongly influenced by the side-chain structure of the different GSLs [7, 10]. Nevertheless, whether GSLs with different side-chain structures have differential impact on *B. bassiana* after accumulation by the cabbage aphid is still unknown.

The ability of organisms to tolerate feeding on GSL-producing plants or insects can often be attributed to their capacities to metabolically deactivate GSLs or ITCs. Such metabolic mechanisms against the GSL defense system have been well studied in certain herbivorous insects. Larvae of *Plutella xylostella* and *Pieris rapae*, for example, can efficiently metabolize ingested GSLs to non-toxic products and thus prevent ITC formation [32, 33]. However, most herbivores are apparently unable to block ITC formation, but instead metabolize the ITCs once formed via conjugation to glutathione (GSH) followed by the mercapturic acid pathway [34]. Among microorganisms, the plant pathogens *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* [35] and *Pseudomonas syringae* [36] have been shown to hydrolyze ITCs to form non-toxic amines, but entomopathogenic fungi have not been investigated regarding their metabolism of GSLs and ITCs.

Here we studied the accumulation, metabolism, and biological effects of these plant defensive metabolites in the tritrophic interaction between Brassicales plants, the cabbage aphid, and the entomopathogenic fungus B. bassiana. We examined how the parasitization by B. bassiana is affected by the GSLs accumulated as defenses by cabbage aphids. Using non-targeted and targeted metabolomic analyses, we identified the mercapturic acid pathway as the major route by which B. bassiana metabolizes ITCs during cabbage aphid infection. The fungal enzymes involved in the initial detoxification reaction were then identified and characterized in vitro. Finally, we investigated the influence of B. bassiana ITC metabolism on its physiological development. Our results help to shed light on how insect-sequestered and activated toxins can be tolerated by a widely used pest-controlling fungus, and illustrate more generally how plant defensive compounds act across multiple trophic levels, including effects on pathogenic microorganisms.

RESULTS

Development of *B. bassiana* on cabbage aphids is impeded by glucosinolate (GSL) sequestration in the aphids

Experimental infection of the cabbage aphid (*B. brassicae*) by the entomopathogenic fungus *B. bassiana* dramatically reduced the size of the aphid populations. Aphids were fed for 14 d on *Brassica*

napus, Brassica nigra, Brassica oleracea, or Nasturtium officinale plants (Fig. 1A), with each plant species containing a different major GSL: 2hydroxy-3-butenyl (2OH3But)-GSL (4) in B. napus, allyl (A)-GSL (2) in B. nigra, 4-methylsulfinylbutyl (4MSOB)-GSL (1) in B. oleracea, and 2-phenylethyl (2PE)-GSL (3) in N. officinale (Table S1). Forty 4th instar nymphs were transferred to each individual host plant per replicate, with 6 replicates per plant species being infected by the fungus and another 6 remaining uninfected. During 5 days of feeding, the surviving 4th instar nymphs turned into adults and reproduced. The new offspring increased the total number of aphids, resulting in up to approximately 200 aphids per plant for the uninfected aphids on B. napus (Fig. 1A). However, the number of surviving B. bassianainfected aphids, including offspring, was only approximately 3 aphids per plant for B. napus, 11 aphids per plant for B. nigra, 25 aphids per plant for B. oleracea, and 9 aphids per plant for N. officinale (Fig. 1A). The survivorship of infected aphids on *B. napus* was significantly lower than on *B. niara* or *N. officinale* (Fig. S1B). The abundance of the fungus on aphids feeding on each host plant was then measured based on the guantities of the *B. bassiana actin* (Bbactin) gene transcript relative to the transcript of the cabbage aphid elongation factor 1 alpha (ef1a) gene, as determined by RTgPCR on RNA extracted from infected and non-infected aphids (Fig. 1B). Aphids fed on B. napus plants contained over 7-fold higher B. bassiana levels than aphids fed on B. nigra, B. oleracea, and N. officinale plants (Fig. 1B). These results suggest that the insects fed on B. napus are less well defended against fungal infection.

As expected, the contents of GSLs accumulated in aphids were generally higher than those in their respective host plants (Fig. 1C and Table S1). The aphids accumulated 38.1 µmol GSLs per gram dry body weight when feeding on *B. napus*, while the total GSL concentrations were 64.1 µmol/g, 72.6 µmol/g, and 46.1 µmol/g in insects fed on B. nigra, B. oleracea, and N. officinale, respectively (Fig. 1C). The GSL profiles in the aphids broadly matched those of their corresponding host plants, although their concentrations in the insects relative to those in the plant leaves varied, with the aphids containing 15.3 times the concentration of 2OH3But-GSL (4) (B. napus), 1.2 times A-GSL (2) (B. nigra), 6.2 times 4MSOB-GSL (1) (B. oleracea), and 1.0 times 2PE-GSL (3) (N. officinale), relative to their respective plant hosts (Fig. 1D and Table S1). Taken together, these results indicate that the successful parasitization of cabbage aphids by B. bassiana is correlated not only to the total amount of GSLs accumulated by the insects, but also to the chemical structure of the accumulated GSLs.

To examine the influence of the accumulated GSLs on the resistance of the cabbage aphid against B. bassiana in a more controlled way, Arabidopsis thaliana plants with (Col-0 wild-type) and without (myb28myb29 mutant) aliphatic GSLs were used as hosts for the cabbage aphid. Aphids accumulated aliphatic GSLs after two weeks of continuous feeding on wild-type plants, especially 4MSOB-GSL (1) which reached 30.4 µmol/g DW, but not when feeding on myb28myb29 plants, which lack this class of GSLs (Fig. 1E). When infected by *B. bassiana*, aphids that had fed on wild-type plants showed increased survival and offspring compared to aphids that had fed on myb28myb29 plants (Fig. 1F and Fig. S1C, D). Correspondingly, the relative abundance of Bbactin transcripts was lower in cabbage aphids feeding on wild-type plants than on GSL-depleted plants (Fig. 1G). Photographs of cabbage aphids taken five days after B. bassiana infection show the hyphae emerging from aphid cadavers and visible as a white mold when aphids had fed on myb28myb29 plants (Fig. 1H). However, the cadavers of B. bassiana-infected aphids that had been fed on wild-type Col-0 plants containing aliphatic GSLs did not display such obvious emerged hyphae (Fig. 1H). Therefore, when cabbage aphids fed on wild-type Col-0 plants, they accumulated higher amounts of aliphatic GSLs and showed increased survival and offspring after B. bassiana infection, with correspondingly lower *B. bassiana* abundance compared to aphids fed on plants lacking aliphatic GSLs.



GSLs sequestered by the cabbage aphid are hydrolyzed to isothiocyanates (ITCs) upon *B. bassiana* infection

It has been well documented that cabbage aphids utilize accumulated GSLs and their toxic ITC derivatives as defenses when attacked by predators [7, 10], but there is no information on

whether GSLs can be deployed against pathogens. In aphids not infected by *B. bassiana*, 4MSOB-GSL (1) was mostly present as the intact GSL (Fig. 2A). Once cabbage aphids were infected by *B. bassiana*, 4MSOB-GSL (1) levels were drastically reduced in the aphids feeding on wild-type *A. thaliana* plants, and most of the

The ISME Journal

4

Fig. 1 Development of *Beauveria bassiana* on cabbage aphids is affected by the glucosinolates (GSLs) of their Brassicales host plants. A–D Cabbage aphids were fed on *Brassica napus, B. nigra, B. oleracea, or Nasturtium officinale* as host plants. A Number of living aphids, including both surviving adults and their offspring, for aphids infected by *B. bassiana* compared to non-infected ones (n = 6). **B** Relative abundance of *B. bassiana* infecting aphids (measured as the transcript level of the *B. bassiana* actin gene (*actin*) relative to the cabbage aphid elongation factor 1 alpha gene (*ef1a*), n = 5). **C** GSL content of host plants and cabbage aphids (n = 5, detailed GSL contents are listed in Table S1). **D** Principal component analysis (PCA) plot showing the variation among GSL accumulation by the cabbage aphid on different Brassicales plants. Vectors indicate the direction and strength of the GSLs accumulated by aphids on different host plants in relation to the overall GSL distribution. **E–H** Cabbage aphids were fed on *Arabidopsis thaliana* with aliphatic GSLs (wild type Col-0 plants) and without aliphatic GSLs (*myb28myb29* mutant plants). **E** GSL content of *A. thaliana* plants and cabbage aphids (n = 5). **F** Number of living aphids after infection by *B. bassiana* (n = 6). **G** Relative abundance of *B. bassiana* infecting aphids (measured as the transcript level of the *B. bassiana actin* gene relative to the cabbage aphid *ef1a* gene, n = 5). **H** Photographs of *B. bassiana*-infected aphids from an *A. thaliana* wild-type plant (left) and a *myb28myb29* plant (right) taken on the 6th day after infection. 3MSOP-GSL, 3-methylsulfinylpropyl GSL; 4MSOB-GSL, 4-methylsulfinylpentyl GSL; 4MOI3M-GSL, 4-methylsulfinyloctyl GSL. 4-methylsulfinyloctyl GSL; 4MTB-GSL (**5**), 4-methylthiobutyl GSL; 13M-GSL, indolyl-3-methyl GSL; 4MOI3M-GSL, 4-methylsulfinyloctyl GSL. The statistical methods are listed in the figures. Asterisks and lowercase letters denote statistically significant differences.

sequestered 4MSOB-GSL (1) was converted to 4MSOB-ITC (1a) (Fig. 2A). Moreover, other GSLs such as A-GSL (2), 2PE-GSL (3), and 20H3But-GSL (4) that were sequestered by cabbage aphids from other Brassicales host plants were also hydrolyzed and transformed to ITCs and other hydrolysis products, such as A-ITC (2a), 2PE-ITC (3a), and goitrin (4b), respectively (Fig. 2B). Goitrin (4b) is the spontaneous cyclization product of 2OH3But-ITC (4a) due to the intramolecular reaction of its hydroxyl group with the electrophilic ITC core group (Fig. 2C) [30]. This variation in GLS hydrolysis products may affect B. bassiana development on aphids fed on B. napus, which accumulate high amounts of 2OH3But-GSL (4) from their host plant (Fig. 1C and Table S1). We then measured the potential of the aphid to carry out GSL hydrolysis, and found that 1.5 to 3.8 µmol 4MSOB-ITC (1a), A-ITC (2a), 2PE-ITC (3a), and goitrin (4b) were formed per min during in vitro incubation of the corresponding GSLs with 1 µg crude protein extracted from the cabbage aphid (Fig. S2). Hence, these data indicate that during infection of the cabbage aphid, B. bassiana encounters ITCs produced by activation of stored GSLs, and so may benefit from detoxifying these toxins.

B. bassiana metabolizes ITCs via the mercapturic acid pathway

To determine whether B. bassiana metabolizes the major GSL hydrolysis products it encounters, B. bassiana cultures were incubated with either 4MSOB-ITC (1a), A-ITC (2a), 2PE-ITC (3a) or goitrin (4b) dissolved in 0.025% ethanol in potato dextrose broth (PDB) for 24 h, and the resulting metabolites were analyzed via non-targeted metabolomics using ultra high performance liquid chromatography coupled to quadrupole time of flight mass spectrometry (UHPLC-gTOFMS). B. bassiana incubated with 0.025% ethanol in PDB was used as a negative control for metabolomic comparisons. Volcano plots of extracted LC-MS/MS features from these non-targeted analyses indicated that the major metabolites of 4MSOB-ITC (1a) produced by B. bassiana were 4MSOB-ITC-GSH (1b) (the glutathione conjugate), 4MSOB-ITC-Cys-Glu (1c) (the cysteinyl-glutamate conjugate), 4MSOB-ITC-Cys-Gly (1d) (the cysteinyl-glycine conjugate), 4MSOB-ITC-Cys (1e) (the cysteine conjugate), and 4MSOB-ITC-NAC (1f) (the N-acetylcysteine conjugate) (Fig. 3A). Identification was confirmed by chromatography of standards prepared by chemical synthesis. Chromatographic peaks with MS features matching some of the corresponding metabolites of 4MTB (4-methylthiobutyl)-ITC (5a) and 4MSOOB (4-methylsulfonylbutyl)-ITC (6a) (which make around 3.8% of the commercially obtained 4MSOB-ITC (1a) used herein (Fig. S3)), were also detected, including 4MTB-ITC-GSH (5b), 4MTB-ITC-Cys-Glu (5c), 4MSOOB-GSH (6b), and 4MSOOB-Cys (6e) (Fig. 3A). Similarly, A-ITC-GSH (2b), A-ITC-Cys-Glu (2c), A-ITC-Cys (2e), and A-ITC-NAC (2f) conjugates were detected as products of A-ITC (2a) metabolism (Fig. 3B); and 2PE-ITC-GSH (3b), 2PE-ITC-Cys-Glu (3c), 2PE-ITC-Cys-Gly (3d), 2PE-ITC-Cys (3e), and 2PE-ITC-NAC (3f) conjugates were the main products of 2PE-ITC (3a) metabolism by *B. bassiana* (Fig. 3C). However, upon incubation with goitrin (**4b**), no signals corresponding to a potential GSH conjugate or its derivatives could be observed, and no signals were present that suggested goitrin (**4b**) metabolism (Fig. 3D). The structures of the ITC conjugate products were confirmed by chemical synthesis followed by NMR analyses (Supplementary file 2), or comparison to commercially available standards.

B. bassiana appears to metabolize most ITCs via the general mercapturic acid pathway. This pathway involves the conjugation of the toxin to glutathione (GSH), followed by sequential hydrolysis of the amino acid constituents of GSH to form Cys-Glu, Cys-Gly, and Cys conjugates, and finally acetylation to give the NAC conjugate (Fig. 4A and Fig. S4). To examine the dynamics of 4MSOB-ITC (1a) metabolism by B. bassiana in more detail, fungal cultures were incubated with 100 µM or 400 µM 4MSOB-ITC (1a) in PDB medium for 4 h, 12 h, and 24 h, and 4MSOB-ITC (1a) metabolites were analyzed via targeted metabolomics using UHPLC coupled to a triple-quadrupole MS. B. bassiana absorbed 4MSOB-ITC (1a) from the PDB medium into their hyphae and blastospores, and the concentrations of this compound in B. bassiana increased during the 24 h incubation (Fig. 4B). Conversely, the amounts of 4MSOB-ITC (1a) present in the surrounding PDB medium significantly decreased during this time and 4MSOB-ITC (1a) was barely detectable in the 100 µM samples after 24 h (Fig. 4C). Confirming the previous results, B. bassiana metabolized the absorbed 4MSOB-ITC (1a) via GSH conjugation followed by the mercapturic acid pathway, and 4MSOB-ITC conjugates were increasingly observed both in B. bassiana tissues and in the surrounding PDB medium (Fig. 4D, E). In B. bassiana hyphae and blastospores, 4MSOB-ITC-Cys-Glu (1c) and 4MSOB-ITC-Cys (1e) represented over 70% of the 4MSOB-ITC conjugates formed (Fig. 4D), while 4MSOB-ITC-Cys (1e) and 4MSOB-ITC-NAC (1f) comprised over 85% of the total 4MSOB-ITC conjugates present in the medium after 24 h (Fig. 4E). Furthermore, we analyzed B. bassianainfected aphids fed on A. thaliana wild type plants, and observed that one third of the 4MSOB-ITC (1a) formed was converted into 4MSOB-ITC conjugates, mainly in the form of 4MSOB-ITC-Cys (1e), after five days of B. bassiana infection (Fig. 2A and Fig. 4F).

Beauveria bassiana glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) catalyze reactions with ITCs, and expression of some encoding genes is induced by ITC treatment

Given the predominance of mercapturic acid pathway products after exposure to ITCs, the biochemistry of *B. bassiana* ITC metabolism was investigated in more detail. Glutathione-*S*transferases (GSTs) are typically responsible for the first metabolic step of GSH conjugation to ITCs and other electrophiles. To elucidate the involvement of particular GSTs, all seventeen putative GST-encoding genes present in *B. bassiana* were cloned. Predicted BbGST proteins were classified into twelve subfamilies based on conserved domains within the amino acid sequence. Proteins were assigned to the Ure2p_like, GTT1, Sigma_like, EF1By,



Fig. 2 Formation of GSL hydrolysis products in the cabbage aphid. A Cabbage aphids feeding on wild-type *A. thaliana* plants (which contain 4MSOB-GSL (1)) were infected with *B. bassiana* (n = 5). Amounts of 4MSOB-GSL (1) (left) and its hydrolysis product 4MSOB-ITC (1a) (right) were quantified. **B** The major GSLs sequestered in cabbage aphids were hydrolyzed to ITCs upon *B. bassiana* infection. **C** 2OH3But-ITC (4a) cyclizes spontaneously to form goitrin (4b). Statistically significant differences between means (±SE) were determined by two-tailed *t* tests in A and are denoted by asterisks.

N_3 (GSTN family, unknown subfamily 3), N_2 (GSTN family, unknown subfamily 2), N_1 (GSTN family, unknown subfamily 1), glutathionyl hydroquinone reductase (GHR), Zeta, etherase_LigE, and Kappa subfamilies as well as to a group of unclassified GSTs. They were named as BbGSTUre2p, BbGSTGTT1, BbGSTS, BbGSTEF1B_γ, BbGSTN3, BbGSTN2, BbGSTN1, BbGSTGHR, BbGSTZ, BbGSTE, BbGSTK, and BbGSTU (Fig. 5A and Fig. S5). The closest hits of each BbGST sequence among previously classified GST family proteins in the NCBI database are listed in Table S2.

Levels of *BbGST* expression in *B. bassiana* incubated with 50 µM ITCs (4MSOB-ITC (1a), A-ITC (2a), and 2PE-ITC (3a)) for 4 h were compared to those from a control treatment using RT-qPCR. Consequently, we observed that expression of *BbGSTUre2p2*, *BbGSTUre2p3*, *BbGSTN21*, and *BbGSTGHR* was upregulated by all three ITCs (Fig. 5B). The expression of *BbGSTUre2p1*, *BbGSTGT12*, *BbGSTN3*, *BbGSTe1*, and *BbGSTU* was induced by A-ITC (2a) and 2PE-ITC (3a), but not by 50 µM 4MSOB-ITC (1a) (Fig. 5B). When *B. bassiana* was incubated with 400 µM 4MSOB-ITC (1a), the expression of *BbGSTU* was induced after 4 h of incubation, and *BbGSTe1* and *BbGSTN1* transcripts were up-regulated after 12 h of

incubation (Fig. S6). The results show that the expression of *BbGSTs* from eight subfamilies, namely *BbGSTUre2p*, *BbGSTGTT1*, *BbGSTN3*, *BbGSTN2*, *BbGSTN1*, *BbGSTGHR*, *BbGSTe*, and *BbGSTU*, is induced by ITCs (Fig. 5B), and the inducibility of *BbGST* genes is influenced by both the side-chain structure of ITCs and the concentration of these toxins.

5

The enzyme activities of BbGSTs towards 4MSOB-ITC (1a), A-ITC (2a), and 2PE-ITC (3a) were measured using in vitro incubations of His-tag purified heterologously produced enzymes, with the corresponding GSH-conjugate products being quantified by their absorption at 274 nm [37]. Although the BbGSTs characterized were distributed into twelve subfamilies and the amino acid identities among the proteins were very low (Fig. 5A), varying between 6.5 to ~40% (Table S3), the most active BbGSTs all had higher rates of catalysis with 4MSOB-ITC (1a) than A-ITC (2a) or 2PE-ITC BbGSTUre2p1, (3a), including BbGSTUre2p2, BbGSTUre2p3, BbGSTN21, and BbGSTN3 (Fig. 5C). BbGSTU had higher activity with A-ITC (2a), and BbGSTe1 had higher activity with 2PE-ITC (3a) (Fig. 5C). Based on a principal component analysis among GST protein activities and ITCs, it was apparent that BbGSTUre2p1, BbGSTUre2p3, and BbGSTN3 were the most specific enzymes towards 4MSOB-ITC (1a); BbGSTU was more specific to A-ITC (2a); and BbGSTe1 was more specific to 2PE-ITC (3a) (Fig. 5D). In vitro enzyme kinetic assays confirmed that BbGSTUre2p2, BbGSTUre2p3, and BbGSTe1 had higher activities (V_{max}) with 4MSOB-ITC (1a) than A-ITC (2a) and 2PE-ITC (3a) (Table 1). BbGSTU, BbGSTN21, and BbGSTN3 had the highest catalytic efficiencies (k_{cat}/K_M) with A-ITC (2a). BbGSTe1 had the highest V_{max} and $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{M}}$ with 2PE-ITC (**3a**) of any of the enzymes, although its k_{cat}/K_{M} with 4MSOB-ITC (1a) was still higher than that for 2PE-ITC (3a) (Table 1). Therefore, we could confirm that BbGSTs from different families are active in vitro towards ITCs with different side-chain structures, and might cooperate in vivo to metabolize these plant-derived, insect-formed toxins.

ITCs reduce *B. bassiana* growth at high concentration because of insufficient glutathione (GSH) for GST-catalyzed detoxification reactions

Although B. bassiana detoxifies ITCs via conjugation to GSH, the growth of this fungus was still negatively impacted by these GSL hydrolysis products. The growth of B. bassiana was negatively correlated with the concentrations of 4MSOB-ITC (1a), A-ITC (2a), and 2PE-ITC (3a) on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates, but was not affected by the goitrin (4b) treatment (Fig. 6A). Additionally, B. bassiana grew much better on media containing 4MSOB-ITC (1a) and A-ITC (2a) than on media with 2PE-ITC (3a). B. bassiana growth was reduced by 50% at 4MSOB-ITC (1a) and A-ITC (2a) concentrations around 180 µM, while 2PE-ITC (3a) reduced B. bassiana growth by two-thirds even at 30 µM (Fig. 6A). In order to understand the biochemical reasons for these growth reductions, we examined the amounts of free amino acids in B. bassiana, as nitrogen is often a limiting macronutrient for fungal growth [38]. The non-targeted metabolomic analyses had shown that GSH concentrations declined after incubation with ITCs (Fig. 3). Using targeted chemical analyses, we determined that ITC metabolism reduced not only GSH content, but also that of oxidized glutathione (GSSG) (Fig. 6B). However, cysteine and glycine concentrations increased after 4MSOB-ITC (1a) and A-ITC (2a) treatment, but not after exposure to 2PE-ITC (3a), which reduced the contents of not only glycine, but also proline, alanine, and tryptophan, compared to the untreated control group (Fig. 6B).

In order to further explore the connection between the decline of GSH levels and the reduction of fungal growth, we complemented the medium with GSH. The radial growth of *B. bassiana* on the basic medium was increased by the supplementation of the medium with increasing concentrations of GSH, which partially remedied the toxicity of 4MSOB-ITC (**1a**) towards *B. bassiana* (Fig. 6C). Similarly, an increased availability of GSH



Fig. 3 *B. bassiana* metabolizes the major GSL hydrolysis products, the ITCs, to form glutathione (GSH) conjugates and derivatives. A Volcano plots of extracted LC-MS/MS features from non-targeted UHPLC-qTOFMS analyses after incubation of the fungus with 4MSOB-ITC (1a) in potato dextrose broth indicate that the major metabolites detected derive from conjugation to glutathione (GSH), followed by cleavage of the amino acid constituents of GSH to form CysGlu, CysGly, and Cys conjugates, and then acetylation to give the corresponding NAC conjugate (for chemical structures, please see Fig. 4). Metabolites of 4MTB-ITC (5a) and 4MSOOB-ITC (6a), which make up 3.8% of a commercial standard of 4MSOB-ITC (1a) (Fig. S3), were also detected. As a control (CT), the fungus was incubated without 4MSOB-ITC (1a). B A-ITC (2a) and C 2PE-ITC (3a) were also metabolized by *B. bassiana* with the formation of apparent GSH conjugates and derivatives. D No detectable derivatives were formed when *B. bassiana* was exposed to goitrin (4b). Confirmed and putative products are listed in Table S4. The NMR analyses of ITC conjugates are shown in Supplementary file 2; the chromatography of commercial ITC conjugates and mass spectra of the putative ITC-amino acid conjugates are shown in Supplementary file 4. Significant differences between means were determined by two sample *t* tests ($p \le 0.01$).

partially rescued *B. bassiana* growth under A-ITC (**2a**) exposure, but only helped the fungus very slightly in the presence of 2PE-ITC (**3a**) (Fig. S7). Therefore, BbGSTs metabolize ITCs but at the marked expense of GSH, whose concentration appears to limit the extent of detoxification possible for *B. bassiana* under natural ITC concentrations.

DISCUSSION

6

Plant chemical defenses are sometimes recruited by specialized insect herbivores for protection against their enemies [2, 9, 39–42], but the ingestion and accumulation of plant-produced toxins has the potential to negatively impact these herbivores as well. Insects can avoid poisoning themselves by being insensitive to plant toxins [43], or sequestering non-toxic precursors ("pro-toxins") [9, 40, 44, 45] that can be secreted or activated when needed. The cabbage aphid *B. brassicae* [9] and the horseradish flea beetle *Phyllotreta armoraciae* [45] are two insect herbivores specialized on crucifer plants that can sequester and accumulate ingested GSLs in their hemolymph. Both produce endogenous myrosinases to activate GSL, forming "walking and flying mustard oil bombs" that

predatory insects Harmonia axyridis [11], Chrysoperla carnea [7], and Adalia bipunctata [16]. Nothing is known, however, about how this defense might function against insect pathogens. In this study, we examine whether the GSLs selectively accumulated by the cabbage aphid affect the development of *B. bassiana*, an entomopathogenic fungus often used in pest control. Using A. thaliana wild-type plants and myb28myb29 mutant plants (with and without aliphatic GSLs, respectively), we determined that aliphatic GSLs negatively impact B. bassiana (Fig. 1G, H). Cabbage aphids feeding on B. nigra, B. oleracea, or N. officinale, which accumulated the ITC precursors A-GSL (2), 4MSOB-GSL (1), or 2PE-GSL (3), respectively, supported much lower B. bassiana growth than aphids fed on B. napus, which accumulated 2OH3But-GSL (4), a GSL that does not yield a stable ITC toxin after activation (Fig. 1B, D). Accordingly, radial growth rates of B. bassiana in vitro were negatively correlated with increasing concentrations of A-ITC (2a), 4MSOB-ITC (1a), and 2PE-ITC (3a), but not of the 2OH3But-GSL (4) product goitrin (4b) (Fig. 6A), suggesting differences in the toxicities of these GSL hydrolysis products. Similar differences have been previously attributed to the disparate metabolism of aliphatic, benzenic, and indolic GSL

may be effective against higher trophic level organisms such as the



Fig. 4 4MSOB-ITC (1a) is metabolized by *B. bassiana* via the mercapturic acid pathway. **A** An overview of the pathway for 4MSOB-ITC (1a) metabolism. **B–E** 4MSOB-ITC (1a) was added to the *B. bassiana* growth medium (100 and 400 μ M) and analyses were performed after 4, 12, and 24 h of incubation (n = 5). Amounts of 4MSOB-ITC (1a) remaining in *B. bassiana* (**B**) and its growth medium (**C**). 4MSOB-ITC conjugates found in *B. bassiana* (**D**) and its growth medium (**E**). **F** 4MSOB-ITC conjugates formed in cabbage aphids feeding on wild-type *A. thaliana* plants (which contain 4MSOB-GSL (1)) after infection with *B. bassiana* (n = 5). Statistically significant differences between means (±SE) were determined by Tukey HSD tests in conjunction with one-way ANOVA in **B–E**, and by two-tailed *t* tests in **F**, and are denoted by asterisks and lowercase letters.

hydrolysis products, as for example by the insect *Phaedon cochleariae*, which conjugates different amino acids to hydrolysis products derived from different GSL structural types [46]. Our results may also help explain previous reports that the pathogenicity of *B. bassiana* towards its insect hosts is influenced by the plant on which

the insect is feeding [47-50]. For instance, the susceptibility of the Brassicales specialist, *P. xylostella*, to *B. bassiana* has been found to vary depending on the plant species [51]. In this study, we demonstrated that differences in GSL composition altered the success of *B. bassiana* on cabbage aphids.



Fig. 5 Glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) of *B. bassiana* **and their gene inducibility and enzymatic activities with three ITCs. A** Phylogenetic analysis of the seventeen *B. bassiana* GSTs shows division into twelve groups, eight of which represent existing subfamilies. The BbGST amino acid sequences were aligned and a UPGMA tree was generated. The branch labels represent the expected amino acid substitutions per site. **B** Heatmap showing the expression of GST-encoding genes in *B. bassiana* (relative to *Bbactin, n* = 5). The data show log₂ fold-change of *GST* gene expression level in *B. bassiana* incubated with 4MSOB-ITC (**1a**), A-ITC (**2a**), and 2PE-ITC (**3a**), relative to the expression in the *B. bassiana* control group. Only statistically significant differences are indicated. **C** Specific activity \pm SE (µmol substrate consumed \cdot mg⁻¹ enzyme \cdot min⁻¹) of *B. bassiana* GSTs was determined for 4MSOB-ITC (**1a**), A-ITC (**2a**), and 2PE-ITC (**3a**) (*n* = 4). Specific activity values corrected for non-enzymatic conjugation are listed in Table S5. **D** PCA plot showing the variation of GST activities with different ITCs. Vectors indicate the direction and strength of each GST protein activity relative to the overall distribution. Statistically significant differences between means (±SE) were determined by two-tailed t tests ($p \le 0.05$) in **B**, and by Tukey HSD tests in conjunction with two-way ANOVA in **C**, and are denoted by asterisks and lowercase letters.

The fungus *B. bassiana* counteracts the toxicity of ITCs by metabolizing these GSL hydrolysis products. Using both non-targeted and targeted metabolomic analyses, we determined that this entomopathogen detoxifies dietary ITCs by conjugation to GSH, and can hence successfully infect even host insects that

accumulate and activate GSLs. During the mercapturic acid pathway, ITCs are initially conjugated to GSH followed by the removal of Glu and Gly and *N*-acetylation leading to the formation of ITC-Cys-Glu, ITC-Cys-Gly, ITC-Cys, and ITC-NAC derivatives. These modifications profoundly alter the electrophilicity and

8

	,				
Enzymes	Substrates	V _{max} μmol ∙ mg⁻ ¹ ∙ min⁻ ¹	κ _м μΜ	k _{cat} s ⁻¹	k _{cat} /K _M mM⁻¹ ∙ s⁻¹
BbGSTUre2p2	4MSOB-ITC (1a)	1.09 ± 0.54	1210.99 ± 889.50	0.45 ± 0.23	0.37 ± 0.19
	A-ITC (2a)	0.44 ± 0.12	487.23 ± 266.11	0.18 ± 0.05	0.37 ± 0.10
	2PE-ITC (3a)	0.15 ± 0.02	223.06 ± 86.81	0.06 ± 0.01	0.28 ± 0.05
BbGSTUre2p3	4MSOB-ITC (1a)	1.04 ± 0.27	747.36 ± 338.25	0.47 ± 0.12	0.63 ± 0.17
	A-ITC (2a)	0.59 ± 0.24	831.61 ± 572.57	0.27 ± 0.11	0.32 ± 0.13
	2PE-ITC (3a)	0.08 ± 0.01	71.76 ± 38.91	0.04 ± 0.01	0.49 ± 0.08
BbGSTU	4MSOB-ITC (1a)	0.40 ± 0.09	365.55 ± 184.07	0.24 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.15
	A-ITC (2a)	2.64 ± 1.33	1178.31 ± 884.78	1.59 ± 0.80	1.35 ± 0.68
	2PE-ITC (3a)	0.44 ± 0.09	391.89 ± 159.63	0.27 ± 0.06	0.68 ± 0.14
BbGSTe1	4MSOB-ITC (1a)	3.86 ± 1.80	1220.41 ± 839.36	1.77 ± 0.82	1.45 ± 0.68
	A-ITC (2a)	0.41 ± 0.05	312.75 ± 100.06	0.19 ± 0.02	0.59 ± 0.08
	2PE-ITC (3a)	1.90 ± 0.76	860.76 ± 561.56	0.88 ± 0.35	1.02 ± 0.41
BbGSTN21	4MSOB-ITC (1a)	3.96 ± 1.34	1132.00 ± 578.29	1.66 ± 0.56	1.47 ± 0.50
	A-ITC (2a)	2.39 ± 0.58	448.48 ± 226.89	1.00 ± 0.24	2.23 ± 0.54
	2PE-ITC (3a)	0.97 ± 0.86	1191.89±1464.92	0.41 ± 0.36	0.34 ± 0.30
BbGSTN3	4MSOB-ITC (1a)	1.06 ± 0.30	884.72 ± 412.37	0.72 ± 0.21	0.82 ± 0.23
	A-ITC (2a)	1.61 ± 0.78	784.38 ± 647.72	1.09 ± 0.53	1.39 ± 0.68
	2PE-ITC (3a)	0.32 ± 0.11	396.52 ± 270.03	0.22 ± 0.08	0.55 ± 0.19

Table 1.	Kinetic constants of B.	bassiana GST enz	mes with the substrates	s 4MSOB-ITC (1a), A-IT	C (2a) and 2PE-ITC (3a)
----------	-------------------------	------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------	---

Michaelis-Menten constants (\pm SE) were determined by nonlinear regression of enzymatic activities measured using variable ITC concentrations (25 μ M to 800 μ M) at saturating GSH concentration (4 mM), n = 4.



Fig. 6 GSL hydrolysis products reduce *B. bassiana* growth and GSH content, but supplementation with GSH alleviates the toxicity of 4MSOB-ITC (1a). A The growth of *B. bassiana* is negatively correlated with the concentration of ITCs on PDA plates (n = 3). B Amino acid contents of *B. bassiana* incubated with GSL hydrolysis products. Data show the log₂ fold-change of amino acid concentrations after hydrolysis product treatments, normalized to the control (n = 4). C The inhibition of *B. bassiana* growth on basic medium with increasing concentrations of 4MSOB-ITC (1a) is reduced by 2 mM and 4 mM added GSH (n = 3). Statistically significant differences between means (±SE) were determined by Tukey HSD tests in conjunction with two-way ANOVA in A and C, and with one-way ANOVA in B, and are denoted by asterisks and lowercase letters.

10

polarity of ITCs, reducing their reactivity with other biological nucleophiles such as protein thiols and amines and enabling a rapid efflux from cells [52]. This pathway is characteristic of ITC metabolism in many generalist insect herbivores [53] and even mammals [54]. Various predators and parasitoids of insect herbivores also have mechanisms to circumvent GSL-derived toxins [7]. For example, a specialist parasitoid, *Diadegma semiclausum*, circumvents toxic 4MSOB-ITC (**1a**) in its caterpillar host *P. xylostella* by inducing GSL excretion [6]. In contrast, a generalist predatory lacewing, *C. carnea*, efficiently degrades ITCs ingested from caterpillar prey via conjugation to GSH in the mercapturic acid pathway followed by anal excretion [55].

Glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) are major detoxification enzymes in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes that play a crucial role in the deactivation of several chemically reactive xenobiotics by conjugating the tripeptide GSH to electrophilic centers [56]. Three main GST subfamilies are generally recognized according to their distribution within the cell: cytosolic, microsomal (MAPEG), and mitochondrial (also known as the Kappa class) GSTs [57]. Moreover, GSTs have been further classified based on amino acid or nucleotide sequences, and immunological, kinetic, or structural properties, and it has been suggested that as many as forty-four distinct GST classes may exist [58]. However, most fungal GSTs do not fit easily into these major classes, and attempts at a uniform classification of fungal GSTs have not yet reached a consensus [59]. In this study, we classified seventeen detected BbGSTs based on sequence similarities into eleven previously described classes [59-62] namely Ure2p_like, GTT1, Sigma_like, EF1By, N3, N2, N1, GHR, Zeta, etherase_LigE, Kappa, and one unclassified group, by searching for conserved domains within the amino acid sequence (Fig. 5A and Fig. S5). There is comparatively little knowledge of GST function in fungi in comparison with other organisms. In insects, the Epsilon and Delta class GSTs are often implicated in the detoxification of insecticides and plant defensive chemicals, including ITCs [37, 63-65]; however, such information is not available for fungal GSTs. This study represents the first investigation of GSTs related to plant-derived toxin detoxification in an entomopathogenic fungus. Curiously, a broad range of classes of BbGSTs, eight out of twelve, were induced by ITCs (Fig. 5B). Heavy metal treatment of a septate endophytic fungus (Exophiala pisciphila) also induced genes from diverse classes of GSTs [62].

Among the GSTs of B. bassiana, there were differences in substrate preference for ITCs. For instance, BbGSTs from the Ure2p, N3, and N2 classes have higher activity toward the aliphatic methylsulfinyl-containing 4MSOB-ITC (1a) than other ITCs (Fig. 5C); BbGSTU, which is phylogenetically distant from BbGSTUre2p and BbGSTN21 (Fig. S5), has an apparent preference towards A-ITC (2a), an aliphatic ITC with an unsaturated C-C bond (Fig. 5C); BbGSTe1, which is relatively phylogenetically isolated, had significantly higher activity with 2PE-ITC (3a), a benzenic ITC, than 4MSOB-ITC (1a) and A-ITC (2a) (Fig. 5C). In previous studies of GST activities towards various plant ITCs, enzyme preferences appeared to correlate to different side-chain structures [37]. Other GSL detoxification enzymes, such as the GSL sulfatases (GSSs) from P. xylostella and the whitefly Bemisia tabaci, are also specialized for GSLs with different side-chains [66, 67]. Here, we observed that BbGSTs of different classes were sometimes active on the same ITC substrate, suggesting that GSTs of different types might cooperate to help B. bassiana cope with these dietary toxins, although more ITCs should be tested to substantiate this pattern. Given the large variety of GSL structures that may be sequestered by cabbage aphids from crucifer plants [30], and the large variety of plant toxins present in other potential hosts of B. bassiana, a flexible detoxification system might be advantageous to this non-specialized entomopathogenic fungus.

The detoxification of plant defense compounds by insect herbivores is often associated with energetic or metabolic costs that lead to reductions in herbivore performance. For example,

growth and development of the crucifer-specialist herbivore P. xylostella were negatively correlated with the expression of its sulfatase gene and enzyme, which are responsible for GSL detoxification [55]. Thus, in the present study, the inducibility of BbGST genes might serve to minimize the physiological costs of detoxification in B. bassiana (Fig. 5B). For instance, BbGSTU expression was only up-regulated (Fig. S6) at high 4MSOB-ITC (1a) concentrations (400 µM) that strongly reduce fungal growth (Fig. 6A). Detoxification via GSTs is also costly in terms of reductions in the supply of GSH, a tripeptide that participates in detoxification, maintenance of redox homeostasis and many other cellular functions. Here, depletion of GSH after ITC treatment was demonstrated by the manner in which GSH complementation restored B. bassiana growth (Fig. 6C). A similar situation was observed during ITC detoxification in Spodoptera littoralis, where the diversion of GSH to 4MSOB-ITC (1a) detoxification delayed larval development [34, 68]. In spite of these costs, the crucial role of GSTs in allowing *B. bassiana* to infect the cabbage aphid is supported by several lines of evidence. First, the only ITC metabolites detected in B. bassiana were intermediates and products of the mercapturic acid pathway (Fig. 3) suggesting that this is the major route of GSL processing in this species, a route for which GSTs catalyze the first step. Second, several GST-encoding genes were induced by exposure of *B. bassiana* to specific ITCs (Fig. 5B), and nearly all the induced genes encoded a protein that had high activity with at least one ITC (Fig. 5C). Third, the ability of added GSH, a co-substrate for all GSTs, to increase B. bassiana growth in the presence of ITCs (Fig. 6C) is consistent with the importance of GSTs in facilitating fungal growth in GSL-defended cabbage aphids.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate how plant GSL defense compounds sequestered by a specialized aphid herbivore can negatively affect *B. bassiana*, an entomopathogenic fungus frequently used in pest control. The fungus possesses a general detoxification mechanism for the major GSL hydrolysis products involving GST enzymes that may facilitate aphid infection. However, these enzymes are likely not effective at high ITC concentrations due to limitations in the supply of the GSH cosubstrate.

METHODS

Detailed materials and methods with references are described in the supplementary methods (Supplementary file 3).

DATA AVAILABILITY

All the data needed to understand and assess the conclusions of this research are available in the manuscript and supplementary files; DNA sequences of BbGSTs have been submitted to the NCBI database, and the accession number for BbGSTs (from OP856926 to OP856942) and homologs analyzed in this manuscript are listed in the supplementary materials (detailed in Tables S6 and S7).

REFERENCES

- 1. Petschenka G, Agrawal AA. How herbivores coopt plant defenses: natural selection, specialization, and sequestration. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2016;14:17–24.
- Hartmann T. Plant-derived secondary metabolites as defensive chemicals in herbivorous insects: a case study in chemical ecology. Planta. 2004;219:1–4.
- 3. Beran F, Petschenka G. Sequestration of plant defense compounds by insects: from mechanisms to insect-plant coevolution. Annu Rev Entomol. 2022;67:163–80.
- Rask L, Andréasson E, Ekbom B, Eriksson S, Pontoppidan B, Meijer J. Myrosinase: gene family evolution and herbivore defense in Brassicaceae. Plant Mol Biol. 2000;42:93–114.
- Wittstock U, Kliebenstein DJ, Lambrix V, Reichelt M, Gershenzon J. Glucosinolate hydrolysis and its impact on generalist and specialist insect herbivores. Recent Adv Phytochem. 2003;37:101–25.
- Sun R, Gols R, Harvey JA, Reichelt M, Gershenzon J, Pandit SS, et al. Detoxification of plant defensive glucosinolates by an herbivorous caterpillar is beneficial to its endoparasitic wasp. Mol Ecol. 2020;29:4014–31.

- Louis J, Singh V, Shah J. Arabidopsis thaliana-aphid interaction. The Arabidopsis book. 2012;10:e0159.
- 9. Kazana E, Pope TW, Tibbles L, Bridges M, Pickett JA, Bones AM, et al. The cabbage aphid: a walking mustard oil bomb. Proc Royal Soc B. 2007;274:2271–7.
- Kos M, Kabouw P, Noordam R, Hendriks K, Vet LEM, Van Loon JJA, et al. Preymediated effects of glucosinolates on aphid predators. Ecol Entomol. 2011;36:377–88.
- 11. Sporer T, Körnig J, Beran F. Ontogenetic differences in the chemical defence of flea beetles influence their predation risk. Funct Ecol. 2020;34:1370–9.
- 12. Kawakishi S, Namiki M. Oxidative cleavage of the disulfide bond of cystine by allyl isothiocyanate. J Agric Food Chem. 1982;30:618–20.
- Sotelo T, Lema M, Soengas P, Cartea ME, Velasco P. In vitro activity of glucosinolates and their degradation products against brassica-pathogenic bacteria and fungi. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2015;81:432–40.
- Aires A, Mota VR, Saavedra MJ, Monteiro AA, Simões M, Rosa EA, et al. Initial in vitro evaluations of the antibacterial activities of glucosinolate enzymatic hydrolysis products against plant pathogenic bacteria. J Appl Microbiol. 2009;106:2096–105.
- Manici LM, Lazzeri L, Palmieri S. In vitro fungitoxic activity of some glucosinolates and their enzyme-derived products toward plant pathogenic fungi. J Agric Food Chem. 1997;45:2768–73.
- Pratt C, Pope TW, Powell G, Rossiter JT. Accumulation of glucosinolates by the cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae* as a defense against two Coccinellid species. J Chem Ecol. 2008;34:323–9.
- Zhang WQ, Hassan SA. Use of the parasitoid *Diaeretiella rapae* (McIntoch) to control the cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.). J Appl Entomol. 2003;127:522–6.
- Asi MR, Bashir MH, Afzal M, Imran S. Effect of conidial concentration of entomopathogenic fungi on mortality of cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae L*. Pak J Life Soc Sci. 2009;2:175–80.
- Wraight SP, Carruthers RI, Jaronski ST, Bradley CA, Garza CJ, Galaini-Wraight S. Evaluation of the entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* and *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* for microbial control of the silverleaf whitefly, *Bemisia argentifolii*. Biol Control. 2000;17:203–17.
- Islam MT, Castle SJ, Ren S. Compatibility of the insect pathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* with neem against sweetpotato whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci*, on eggplant. Entomol Exp Appl. 2010;134:28–34.
- Akbari S, Ali Safavi S, Ghosta Y. Efficacy of *Beauveria bassiana* (Blas.) Vuill. against cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae* L. (Hem.: Aphididae) in laboratory condition. Arch Phytopathol Pflanzenschutz. 2014;47:1454–8.
- Milner RJ. Prospects for biopesticides for aphid control. Entomophaga. 1997;42:227–39.
- Mann AJ, Davis TS. Plant secondary metabolites and low temperature are the major limiting factors for *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.-Criv.) Vuill. (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) growth and virulence in a bark beetle system. Biol Control. 2020;141:104130.
- Posada F, Vega FE, Rehner SA, Blackwell M, Weber D, Suh S-O, et al. Syspastospora parasitica, a mycoparasite of the fungus Beauveria bassiana attacking the Colorado potato beetle Leptinotarsa decemlineata: a tritrophic association. J Insect Sci. 2004;4:24.
- Mascarin GM, Jaronski S. The production and uses of *Beauveria bassiana* as a microbial insecticide. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2016;32:177.
- Inyang EN, Butt TM, Doughty KJ, Todd AD, Archer S. The effects of isothiocyanates on the growth of the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* and its infection of the mustard beetle. Mycol Res. 1999;103:974–80.
- Atsumi A, Saito T. Volatiles from wasabi inhibit entomopathogenic fungi: implications for tritrophic interactions and biological control. J Plant Interact. 2015;10:152–7.
- Ramanujam B, Japur K, Poornesha B. Field evaluation of entomopathogenic fungi against cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae* (L.) and their effect on coccinellid predator, *Coccinella septempunctata* (Linnaeus). J Biol Control. 2018;31:168–71.
- Pacheco JC, Poltronieri AS, Porsani MV, Zawadneak MAC, Pimentel IC. Entomopathogenic potential of fungi isolated from intertidal environments against the cabbage aphid *Brevicoryne brassicae* (Hemiptera: aphididae). Biocontrol Sci Technol. 2017;27:496–509.
- Blažević I, Montaut S, Burčul F, Olsen CE, Burow M, Rollin P, et al. Glucosinolate structural diversity, identification, chemical synthesis and metabolism in plants. Phytochem. 2020;169:112100.
- Brown PD, Tokuhisa JG, Reichelt M, Gershenzon J. Variation of glucosinolate accumulation among different organs and developmental stages of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Phytochem. 2003;62:471–81.
- Ratzka A, Vogel H, Kliebenstein DJ, Mitchell-Olds T, Kroymann J. Disarming the mustard oil bomb. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2002;99:11223–8.

- Wittstock U, Agerbirk N, Stauber EJ, Olsen CE, Hippler M, Mitchell-Olds T, et al. Successful herbivore attack due to metabolic diversion of a plant chemical defense. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2004;101:4859–64.
- Jeschke V, Kearney EE, Schramm K, Kunert G, Shekhov A, Gershenzon J, et al. How glucosinolates affect generalist lepidopteran larvae: growth, development and glucosinolate metabolism. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8:1995.
- Chen J, Ullah C, Reichelt M, Beran F, Yang Z-L, Gershenzon J, et al. The phytopathogenic fungus *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* detoxifies plant glucosinolate hydrolysis products via an isothiocyanate hydrolase. Nat Commun. 2020;11:3090.
- Fan J, Crooks C, Creissen G, Hill L, Fairhurst S, Doerner P, et al. *Pseudomonas sax* genes overcome aliphatic isothiocyanate-mediated non-host resistance in *Arabidopsis*. Science. 2011;331:1185–8.
- Gloss AD, Vassão DG, Hailey AL, Dittrich ACN, Schramm K, Reichelt M, et al. Evolution in an ancient detoxification pathway Is coupled with a transition to herbivory in the Drosophilidae. Mol Biol Evol. 2014;31:2441–56.
- Lekberg Y, Arnillas CA, Borer ET, Bullington LS, Fierer N, Kennedy PG, et al. Nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization consistently favor pathogenic over mutualistic fungi in grassland soils. Nat Commun. 2021;12:3484.
- 39. Zagrobelny M, Bak S, Ekstrøm CT, Olsen CE, Møller BL. The cyanogenic glucoside composition of Zygaena filipendulae (Lepidoptera: Zygaenidae) as effected by feeding on wild-type and transgenic lotus populations with variable cyanogenic glucoside profiles. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2007;37:10–18.
- Beran F, Pauchet Y, Kunert G, Reichelt M, Wielsch N, Vogel H, et al. *Phyllotreta* striolata flea beetles use host plant defense compounds to create their own glucosinolate-myrosinase system. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111:7349–54.
- Bramer C, Friedrich F, Dobler S. Defence by plant toxins in milkweed bugs (Heteroptera: Lygaeinae) through the evolution of a sophisticated storage compartment. Syst Entomol. 2017;42:15–30.
- Thorpe KW, Barbosa P. Effects of comsumption of high and low nicotine tobacco by *Manduca sexta* (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) on survival of gregarious endoparasitoid *Cotesia congregata* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). J Chem Ecol. 1986;12:1329–37.
- Bramer C, Dobler S, Deckert J, Stemmer M, Petschenka G. Na+/K+-ATPase resistance and cardenolide sequestration: basal adaptations to host plant toxins in the milkweed bugs (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae: Lygaeinae). Proc Royal Soc B. 2015;282:20142346.
- Zagrobelny M, Bak S, Møller BL. Cyanogenesis in plants and arthropods. Phytochem. 2008;69:1457–68.
- Yang ZL, Nour-Eldin HH, Hänniger S, Reichelt M, Crocoll C, Seitz F, et al. Sugar transporters enable a leaf beetle to accumulate plant defense compounds. Nat Commun. 2021;12:2658.
- Friedrichs J, Schweiger R, Müller C. Unique metabolism of different glucosinolates in larvae and adults of a leaf beetle specialised on Brassicaceae. Sci Rep. 2022;12:10905.
- 47. Thungrabeab M, Blaeser P, Sengonca C. Effect of temperature and host plant on the efficacy of different entomopathogenic fungi from Thailand against *Frankliniella occidentalis* (Pergande) and *Thrips tabaci* Lindeman (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in the laboratory. J Plant Dis Prot. 2006;113:181–7.
- Santiago-Álvarez C, Maranhão EA, Maranhão E, Quesada-Moraga E. Host plant influences pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* to *Bemisia tabaci* and its sporulation on cadavers. Biocontrol. 2006;51:519–32.
- Ugine TA, Wraight SP, Sanderson JP. A tritrophic effect of host plant on susceptibility of western flower thrips to the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana*. J Invertebr Pathol. 2007;96:162–72.
- Poprawski TJ, Jones WJ. Host plant effects on activity of the mitosporic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Paecilomyces fumosoroseus against two populations of Bemisia whiteflies (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae). Mycopathologia. 2001;151:11–20.
- Soth S, Glare TR, Hampton JG, Card SD, Brookes JJ, Narciso JO. You are what you eat: fungal metabolites and host plant affect the susceptibility of diamondback moth to entomopathogenic fungi. PeerJ. 2022;10:e14491.
- 52. Brown KK, Hampton MB. Biological targets of isothiocyanates. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1810:888–94.
- Schramm K, Vassão DG, Reichelt M, Gershenzon J, Wittstock U. Metabolism of glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates to glutathione conjugates in generalist lepidopteran herbivores. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2012;42:174–82.
- Zhang YS, Kolm RH, Mannervik B, Talalay P. Reversible conjugation of isothiocyanates with glutathione catalyzed by human glutathione transferases. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 1995;206:748–55.
- Sun R, Jiang XC, Reichelt M, Gershenzon J, Pandit SS, Vassão DG. Tritrophic metabolism of plant chemical defenses and its effects on herbivore and predator performance. eLife. 2019;8:e51029.
- 56. Li X. Molecular mechanisms of metabolic resistance to synthetic and natural xenobiotics. Annu Rev Entomol. 2007;52:231–53.
- Frova C. Glutathione transferases in the genomics era: new insights and perspectives. Biomol Eng. 2006;23:149–69.

- Sheehan D, Meade G, Foley VM, Dowd CA. Structure, function and evolution of glutathione transferases: implications for classification of non-mammalian members of an ancient enzyme superfamily. Biochem. 2001;360:1–16.
 - 59. McGoldrick S, O'Sullivan SM, Sheehan D. Glutathione transferase-like proteins encoded in genomes of yeasts and fungi: insights into evolution of a multi-functional protein superfamily. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2005;242:1–12.
 - Morel M, Ngadin AA, Droux M, Jacquot J-P, Gelhaye E. The fungal glutathione S-transferase system. Evidence of new classes in the wood-degrading basidiomycete *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2009;66:3711–25.
 - Morel M, Meux E, Mathieu Y, Thuillier A, Chibani K, Harvengt L, et al. Xenomic networks variability and adaptation traits in wood decaying fungi. Microb Biotechnol. 2013;6:248–63.
 - 62. Shen M, Zhao D-K, Qiao Q, Liu L, Wang J-L, Cao G-H, et al. Identification of glutathione S-transferase (GST) genes from a dark septate endophytic fungus (*Exophiala pisciphila*) and their expression patterns under varied metals stress. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0123418.
 - 63. Zou X, Xu Z, Zou H, Liu J, Chen S, Feng Q, et al. Glutathione S-transferase SIGSTE1 in *Spodoptera litura* may be associated with feeding adaptation of host plants. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2016;70:32–43.
 - Mazari AMA, Dahlberg O, Mannervik B, Mannervik M. Overexpression of glutathione transferase E7 in Drosophila differentially impacts toxicity of organic isothiocyanates in males and females. PLoS One. 2014;9:e110103.
 - 65. Gonzalez D, Fraichard S, Grassein P, Delarue P, Senet P, Nicolaï A, et al. Characterization of a Drosophila glutathione transferase involved in isothiocyanate detoxification. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2018;95:33–43.
 - Heidel-Fischer HM, Kirsch R, Reichelt M, Ahn S-J, Wielsch N, Baxter SW, et al. An insect counteradaptation against host plant defenses evolved through concerted neofunctionalization. Mol Biol Evol. 2019;36:930–41.
 - 67. Manivannan A, Israni B, Luck K, Götz M, Seibel E, Easson MLAE, et al. Identification of a sulfatase that detoxifies glucosinolates in the phloem-feeding insect *Bemisia tabaci* and prefers indolic glucosinolates. Front Plant Sci. 2021;12:671286.
 - Jeschke V, Gershenzon J, Vassão DG. A mode of action of glucosinolate-derived isothiocyanates: detoxification depletes glutathione and cysteine levels with ramifications on protein metabolism in *Spodoptera littoralis*. Insect Biochem Mol Biol. 2016;71:37–48.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Dr. Rieta Gols (Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, Netherlands) for generously providing *B. brassicae* colonies. We thank Bettina Raguschke and Dr. Maria Paulmann (MPI-CE) for technical assistance, as well as the greenhouse group of the MPI-CE for plant cultivation and care.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RS, JG, and DGV designed research; RS performed experiments and analyzed biological data; RS, BH, and MR contributed to chemical analyses; RS and KL

contributed to protein expression; RS and DTM contributed to gene sequencing analyses; XJ contributed to photography; RS, JG, and DGV wrote the manuscript with contributions and final approval from all authors.

FUNDING

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors were funded by the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft. The authors declare no competing financial interests, and the MPG had no participation in the decision to publish this work or in the preparation of this manuscript.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01480-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Daniel Giddings Vassão.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023

12