## OPTICA

Check for updates

# Wigner function tomography via optical parametric amplification

### MAHMOUD KALASH<sup>1,2,3</sup> <sup>(D)</sup> AND MARIA V. CHEKHOVA<sup>1,2,\*</sup> <sup>(D)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for the Science of Light, Staudtstraße 2, 91058 Erlangen, Germany <sup>2</sup>Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Staudtstraße 7/B2, 91058 Erlangen, Germany <sup>3</sup>mahmoud.kalash@mpl.mpg.de

\*maria.chekhova@mpl.mpg.de

Received 27 February 2023; revised 12 July 2023; accepted 26 July 2023; published 22 August 2023

Wigner function tomography is indispensable for characterizing quantum states, but its commonly used version, balanced homodyne detection, suffers from several weaknesses. First, it requires efficient detection, which is critical for measuring fragile non-Gaussian states, especially bright ones. Second, it needs a local oscillator, tailored to match the spatiotemporal properties of the state under test, and fails for multimode and broadband states. Here we propose Wigner function tomography based on optical parametric amplification followed by direct detection. The method is immune to detection inefficiency and loss, and suitable for broadband, spatially and temporally multimode quantum states. To prove the principle, we experimentally reconstruct the Wigner function of squeezed vacuum occupying a single mode of a strongly multimode state. We obtain a squeezing of  $-7.5 \pm 0.4$  dB and purity of  $0.91^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$  despite more than 97% loss caused mainly by filtering. Theoretically, we also consider the reconstruction of a squeezed single photon—a bright non-Gaussian state. Due to multimode parametric amplification, the method allows for simultaneous tomography of multiple modes. This makes it a powerful tool for optical quantum information processing. © 2023 Optica Publishing Group under the terms of the Optica Open Access Publishing Agreement

https://doi.org/10.1364/OPTICA.488697

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Quantum states of light promise to revolutionize nowadays technologies such as information processing [1,2], metrology [3,4], and sensing [5]. To explore their non-classical features, quantum state tomography is employed [6]. In particular, one can retrieve full information about a quantum state through reconstructing the Wigner quasi-probability distribution [7]. The inevitable challenge for the experimental reconstruction of the Wigner function is the fragility of quantum states to losses, including detection inefficiency. Losses disturb quantum features such as squeezing [8], Wigner function negativity [9], and superpositions in phase space [10,11], leading to wrong state reconstruction. This is the case with the most common method of tomography, based on homodyne detection of optical quadratures [12]. Another drawback of homodyne tomography is the impossibility to address simultaneously different modes of multimode radiation, a property that gets increasingly important for optical quantum information [13].

As an alternative to homodyne detection, several groups reconstructed the Wigner function from the measurement of photon-number parity [14,15]. Indeed, the loss-tolerant tomography of a single photon was achieved using a time-multiplexed detection scheme [16]. This method, however, requires photon-number resolving detectors, which imposes a limitation on the brightness of the examined state [17,18].

Here we propose and experimentally demonstrate another method of Wigner-function tomography using direct detection.

It is based on the fact that after sufficiently strong phase-sensitive parametric amplification, the photon number scales as the squared quadrature at the input of the amplifier [19], the choice of the quadrature being determined by the phase of the pump. Recently, this fact was used to retrieve the quadrature variances of squeezed vacuum (SV) [19-22]. Here we show that parametric amplification enables complete quantum state reconstruction, including the tomography of non-Gaussian quantum states, and different modes of a multimode state. As a proof of principle, we reconstruct the Wigner function of a single-mode SV state filtered from multimode radiation and show that the method is loss and noise tolerant. We reconstruct a nearly pure state despite very low detection efficiency, additionally reduced because of filtering, without any correction for detection loss and noise. The only losses contributing are those before amplification, and they can be minimized. Our method can be applied to the tomography of faint and bright non-Gaussian states, as well as to the simultaneous tomography of states occupying different spatiotemporal modes of a multimode state.

#### 2. IDEA

Figure 1(a) shows the idea of the method. A quantum state  $|\Psi\rangle$  is fed into a phase-sensitive optical parametric amplifier (OPA), with squeezing parameter *G*. The OPA amplifies a certain quadrature  $x_{\theta} = x \cos \theta + p \sin \theta$ , where *x* and *p* are the position and



**Fig. 1.** Idea of the method in (a) single-mode and (b) multimode cases. An optical parametric amplifier (OPA) amplifies a quadrature  $x_{\theta}$  of the input quantum state and suppresses the conjugate quadrature. Under sufficient amplification gain *G*, only  $x_{\theta}$  contributes to the photon number  $N(x_{\theta})$ . Therefore, the statistics of  $x_{\theta}$  can be retrieved via direct detection. The Wigner function W(x, p) is then reconstructed from a set of  $x_{\theta}$  distributions for different  $\theta$ .

momentum quadratures, respectively, and  $\theta$  is the amplification phase determined by the pump. The OPA amplifies  $x_{\theta}$  by a factor  $e^{G}$  and simultaneously de-amplifies the conjugate quadrature by the same amount. If *G* is sufficiently high, the amplified quadrature  $x_{\theta}$  dominates the output signal, and therefore, direct detection allows for extracting information about the quadrature  $x_{\theta}$ . Indeed, the photon-number operator after amplification,  $\hat{N}_{\theta} = \hat{x}_{\theta}^{\prime 2} + \hat{p}_{\theta}^{\prime 2} - \frac{1}{2}$ , with  $\hat{x}_{\theta}^{\prime} = e^{G}\hat{x}_{\theta}$  and  $\hat{p}_{\theta}^{\prime} = e^{-G}\hat{p}_{\theta}$  being the output quadratures, under sufficiently high *G* will have contribution only from the amplified quadrature:

$$\hat{N}_{\theta} \approx e^{2G} \hat{x}_{\theta}^2. \tag{1}$$

At this point, the statistics of  $N_{\theta}$  replicate those of  $x_{\theta}^2$ . We can thus obtain the continuous-variable probability distribution  $P(|x_{\theta}|)$  (see Supplement 1) and then, provided the input state is centrally symmetric,  $P(x_{\theta}) = P(-x_{\theta})$ , also the complete quadrature probability distribution:

$$P(x_{\theta}) = e^{G} \sqrt{N_{\theta}} P(N_{\theta}).$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

With this approach, the losses present after amplification will not affect the obtained quadrature distributions, since the detection inefficiency just scales down the photon numbers, preserving the envelope of their distribution. Therefore, provided the quadratures are sufficiently amplified before being disturbed [23], quadrature distributions can still be retrieved no matter what optical losses or detection inefficiencies are present after amplification.

The detection scheme does not require photon-number resolution; after sufficient amplification, the photon number is measured as intensity, by photocurrent or charge integrating detectors such as p-i-n diodes, charge-coupled devices (CCDs), or spectrometers. Such detection schemes smoothen the photon-number distribution [24], turning the photon number into a continuous variable. Typical values of the squeezing parameter in setups using strongly pumped parametric downconversion (PDC) can reach G = 15 [25]. This value enables amplification by more than 10 orders of magnitude, although in practice, even three to four orders suffice. Such moderate amplification can be provided by nonlinear wave-guides pumped with pJ pulses [22] or even by CW-pumped ring resonators [26]. Under such amplification, the detection noise is not a restriction either. It follows that the brightness of the state under study is not a limitation for this tomography scheme; it can be applied to both bright and faint states down to the single-photon level.

Importantly, the method can work even with broadband and multimode states, both spatially and temporally, since parametric amplification is intrinsically a multimode process [27–29] [Fig. 1(b)]. This can be achieved by tailoring the mode structure of the parametric amplifier to cover the mode content of input states. If the input and amplifier modes match, the amplification can be simultaneous over all modes, and different quadratures  $x_{\theta_n}$  can be amplified depending on the phase between the pump and the modes. In this case, each mode will experience a certain amplification gain  $G_n$ . To retrieve the amplifier eigenmodes and corresponding gain values, one needs to amplify only the vacuum [30,31]. After simultaneous multimode amplification, modes can be filtered out or sorted. Notably, this property is impossible with usual homodyne tomography.

Calculations in Fig. 2 illustrate this procedure for the case of a non-Gaussian initial state: a 4.3 dB squeezed single photon [Fig. 2(a)]. After a sufficiently strong phase-sensitive amplification of quadrature  $x_{\theta}$ , the Wigner function W(x, p) gets stretched along  $x_{\theta}$ . Figure 2(b) shows the Wigner function after amplification with the squeeze factor G = 2.7 and phase  $\theta = \pi/4$ . Despite a moderate, and definitely achievable in experiment, squeeze factor, the Wigner function becomes so stretched that the photon-number distribution is now fully determined by the onedimensional marginal probability distribution of the amplified quadrature [32]:



**Fig. 2.** Calculated scenario of Wigner-function tomography via parametric amplification: the case of a 4.3 dB squeezed single photon. (a) Wigner function of the input quantum state. (b) Wigner function after amplification of quadrature  $x_{\theta}$ ; here  $\theta = \pi/4$ . Strong amplification (G = 2.7) results in almost one-dimensional Wigner function, and the photon number is given by only the amplified quadrature. (c) Continuous-variable photon-number distribution  $P(N_{\theta})$ , to be measured via direct detection. (d) Probability transformation: quadrature distribution  $P(x_{\theta})$  retrieved via Eq. (2).



**Fig. 3.** Simplified experimental scheme: OPA1 generates broadband multimode squeezed vacuum, which is imaged on OPA2. Then, for each mode, OPA2 amplifies the same quadrature  $x_{\theta}$ , whose choice is determined by the pump phase. The amplified state is directly detected after spectral and spatial filtering for a set of  $\theta$  values.

$$P(x'_{\theta}) = \int W(x'_{\theta}, p'_{\theta}) \mathrm{d}p'_{\theta}.$$
(3)

In other words, the squared amplified quadrature can be mapped to the photon number, and the probability distributions of the two variables are related through Eq. (2). Figure 2(c) shows the photonnumber distribution of the amplified state, calculated using the continuous-variable approximation for the expression from Ref. [33] (see Supplement 1). This distribution, measurable via direct detection, carries the information about marginal distribution Eq. (3), and therefore, the initial marginal distribution  $P(x_{\theta})$ . The resulting marginal distribution, calculated using Eq. (2), is shown in Fig. 2(d). Similar to the homodyne tomography of the Wigner function, a set of such marginal distributions for different phases  $\theta$ enables the reconstruction of W(x, p).

#### 3. EXPERIMENT

As a proof of principle, we reconstruct the Wigner function of a SV occupying one mode out of a highly multimode state, which is Gaussian but still very sensitive to losses. We use (Fig. 3) two OPAs based on PDC. OPA1 generates SV, with squeezing parameter  $G_{sq}$ , and OPA2 performs phase-sensitive amplification with squeezing parameter G. Both OPAs are pumped with picosecond pulses at 354.67 nm, and both are highly multimode and broadband, unlike in the case of cavity- or waveguide-based sources: the spectral bandwidth in the collinear direction is 30 nm, and the angular divergence is 25 mrad. The SV emitted by OPA1 has 50 spatial and 370 spectral modes. To overcome the diffraction and make all spatial modes amplified, the SV emitted by OPA1 is imaged on OPA2 [20].

The pump phase before OPA2, determining which quadrature  $x_{\theta}$  is amplified, can be locked at different values (see Supplement 1). To make Eq. (1) valid for all phases  $\theta$ , the squeezed quadrature should be amplified sufficiently to overcome the initially anti-squeezed quadrature. Therefore, the choice of *G* relies on the initial squeezing given by  $G_{sq}$ . In experiment, we set  $G_{sq} = 1.0 \pm 0.1$  and  $G = 4.4 \pm 0.1$ , which makes Eq. (1) valid to an accuracy better than 0.2% (see Supplement 1). In addition, this value of *G* provides an acceptable signal-to-noise ratio at the detection stage when amplifying the squeezed quadrature.

After blocking the pump radiation with a dichroic mirror, we filter the SV spatially and spectrally. For spatial filtering, we couple the fundamental squeezed mode (whose shape is close to Gaussian) into a single-mode optical fiber (see Supplement 1). The spectral filtering, with a monochromator, is to a bandwidth of 0.08 nm at the degenerate wavelength of 709.33 nm. In addition to selecting just a fraction of the squeezing mode, it introduces more than 95% loss (see Supplement 1), which, however, does not

affect the measurement due to the sufficiently strong amplification. Alternatively, multiple spatial and spectral modes can be sorted out and addressed simultaneously by introducing a spatial light modulator and/or other optical elements [34–37].

Finally, the filtered radiation is detected with a triggered sCMOS camera (quantum efficiency 70%). Out of the illuminated region, a single pixel is used, with dark counts of  $2 \pm 1$  photons per pulse. This noise, although quite low, exceeds the mean photon number of the state,  $\langle N \rangle = 1.4$ . But parametric amplification, similar to the local oscillator in homodyne detection, provides enough energy to overcome this noise. Overall optical losses after amplification exceed 97% (see Supplement 1).

#### 4. RESULTS

We measure the number of photons pulse by pulse and acquire statistics over 8000 pulses for different amplification phases ranging from  $\theta = 0$  (anti-squeezed quadrature *x* amplified) to  $\theta = \pi/2$  (squeezed quadrature *p* amplified). To calibrate the measurement, we send to OPA2 the vacuum state by simply blocking the SV radiation from OPA1.

Figure 4(a) shows the results of these photon-number measurements for different experimental settings. In one measurement, OPA2 amplified the anti-squeezed quadrature (blue points). In another case, OPA2 amplified the vacuum because SV after OPA1 was blocked (orange points). In the third case, OPA2 amplified the squeezed quadrature (yellow points). The measured mean photon numbers in these three cases are  $\langle \hat{N}_0 \rangle = 511 \pm 7$ ,  $\langle \hat{N}_{vac} \rangle = 73 \pm 1$ , and  $\langle \hat{N}_{\frac{\pi}{2}} \rangle = 12.8 \pm 0.2$  photons, respectively.

Recalling that the mean photon numbers after amplification scale as the squared quadratures before amplification [see Eq. (1)] and for the SV state,  $\langle \hat{x}_{\theta} \rangle = 0$ , the mean photon number after OPA2 is a measure of the quadrature variance at its input [19].

The quadrature variance, normalized to vacuum level, is shown in Fig. 4(b) (points) as a function of  $\theta$ , fitted by  $Var(\hat{x}_{\theta})/Var(\hat{x}_{vac}) = a \cos^2 \theta + d$  (line). From this dependence, we obtain the degrees of squeezing  $-7.5 \pm 0.2$  dB and anti-squeezing  $8.4 \pm 0.1$  dB. This is in good agreement with the values of  $G_{sq}$ , optical losses (0.6%) before amplification and the



**Fig. 4.** (a) Sets of 8000 photon-number measurements for cases of amplifying the anti-squeezed quadrature (blue), vacuum (orange), and squeezed quadrature (yellow). (b) Measured quadrature variance as a function of  $\theta$  (points) and its sinusoidal fit (line). (c), (d) Photon-number distributions for cases of amplifying anti-squeezed and squeezed quadratures, respectively (points), and their fits (lines).



**Fig. 5.** (a), (b) Measured quadrature distributions for anti-squeezed and squeezed quadratures, respectively (points), and their Gaussian fits (lines). (c) Reconstructed Wigner function of the SV state. White dashed line shows the Wigner function of the vacuum state at  $1/\sqrt{e}$  level.

imperfect alignment/mode matching (characterized by the visibility of phase-sensitive amplification V = 95%), which adds 5.3% to the total amount of loss (see Supplement 1).

We obtained photon-number probability distributions by sampling the photon-number data into 35 bins for each phase value. Figures 4(c) and 4(d) show these distributions for cases of amplified anti-squeezed and squeezed quadratures, respectively (points). Due to imperfect spatial filtering, the detected number of modes was 1.2, which was taken into account in the fit (lines); see Supplement 1.

The quadrature probability distributions were obtained by applying transformation Eq. (2) to the corresponding measured photon-number distributions. Figures 5(a) and 5(b) show examples for anti-squeezed and squeezed quadratures, respectively.

Finally, we reconstructed the Wigner function of the SV state by applying the inverse Radon transform to the obtained fits of all quadrature probability distributions [6]. For more complicated cases, one could use the maximum likelihood method to avoid the artifacts appearing due to the reconstruction. The reconstructed distribution [Fig. 5(c)] fairly resembles a SV state with  $\Delta x = 1.30 \pm 0.06$  and  $\Delta p = 0.21 \pm 0.01$ . The dashed white circle at the center marks the Wigner function of the vacuum state at  $1/\sqrt{e}$  level, corresponding to  $\Delta x_{\rm vac} = 0.5$ . The reconstruction yields the amounts of squeezing and anti-squeezing of  $-7.5 \pm 0.4$  dB and  $8.3 \pm 0.4$  dB, respectively, in perfect agreement with the values obtained by measuring the mean photon number. The purity of the state [38] was found to be  $\Delta^2 x_{\text{vac}}/(\Delta x \Delta p) = 0.91^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ . The fidelity of this state to the SV state calculated theoretically for  $G_{sq} = 1$  is 99.4%. These results, obtained without any correction for losses, prove the feasibility of the method under real-life conditions.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the tomography of quantum states based on optical parametric amplification, which provides its tolerance to detection loss and noise. As a proof of principle, we applied the method to a SV state, achieving a degree of squeezing  $-7.5 \pm 0.4$  dB and purity of  $0.91^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$ , despite more than 97% losses in the detection channel. With such losses, almost no squeezing could be observed with homodyne detection.

The method can also be applied to non-Gaussian states, including bright ones, which are especially susceptible to losses. The only restriction is that the state should have a centrally symmetric Wigner function, but this includes a vast variety of non-Gaussian states, in particular, Fock, squeezed Fock, even/odd Schrödinger cat, and importantly, Gottesman-Kitaev-Preskill (GKP) states [39] which are required for fault-tolerant quantum computing [40].

Parametric amplification is a multimode process; therefore, the method is suitable for the tomography of broadband and multimode quantum states. Multiple input modes can be amplified simultaneously if they match the amplifier eigenmodes. Afterwards, a specific mode can be filtered out. In our experiment, we filtered a single spatial eigenmode out of 50 modes, and a fraction of a frequency eigenmode out of 370 modes. Compared to homodyne-detection measurements over multimode SV [29,41], our method gives far better purity.

More interestingly, being immune to loss, the method also allows for simultaneous tomography of multiple modes, if a mode sorter is placed before detection. By scanning the phase between the pump and the modes, different quadratures of all modes can be measured simultaneously. Notably, this property is impossible with usual homodyne tomography because of inevitable losses accompanying mode sorting.

The possibility to characterize all modes at once and the loss immunity make the method a perfect candidate for highdimensional quantum information applications [13,42]. Moreover, the method can assist chip-based generation, manipulation, and detection of quantum states [22,43-46], which paves the way towards real photonic quantum computers.

**Funding.** Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (CH 1591/16-1); Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung; European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (101017733).

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are part of the Max Planck School of Photonics, supported by BMBF, Max Planck Society, and Fraunhofer Society. We thank Farid Khalili, Radim Filip, Laszlo Ruppert, and Éva Rácz for helpful discussions.

**Disclosures.** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

**Data availability.** Data underlying the results presented in this paper are not publicly available at this time but may be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

**Supplemental document.** See Supplement 1 for supporting content.

#### REFERENCES

- H.-S. Zhong, H. Wang, Y.-H. Deng, et al., "Quantum computational advantage using photons," Science 370, 1460–1463 (2020).
- M. V. Larsen, X. Guo, C. R. Breum, J. S. Neergaard-Nielsen, and U. L. Andersen, "Deterministic generation of a two-dimensional cluster state," Science 366, 369–372 (2019).
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration, B. P. Abbott, T. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, and C. Affeldt, "GW170817: Observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral," Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 161101 (2017).
- G. S. Thekkadath, M. E. Mycroft, B. A. Bell, C. G. Wade, A. Eckstein, D. S. Phillips, R. B. Patel, A. Buraczewski, A. E. Lita, T. Gerrits, S. W. Nam, M. Stobińska, A. I. Lvovsky, and I. A. Walmsley, "Quantum-enhanced interferometry with large heralded photon-number states," npj Quantum Inf. 6, 89 (2020).

- 5. S. Pirandola, B. R. Bardhan, T. Gehring, C. Weedbrook, and S. Lloyd, "Advances in photonic quantum sensing," Nat. Photonics **12**, 724–733 (2018).
- A. I. Lvovsky and M. G. Raymer, "Continuous-variable optical quantumstate tomography," Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 299–332 (2009).
- M. Hillery, R. F. O'Connell, M. O. Scully, and E. P. Wigner, "Distribution functions in physics: fundamentals," Phys. Rep. 106, 121–167 (1984).
- G. Breitenbach, S. Schiller, and J. Mlynek, "Measurement of the quantum states of squeezed light," Nature 387, 471–475 (1997).
- A. Kenfack and K. Życzkowski, "Negativity of the Wigner function as an indicator of non-classicality," J. Opt. B 6, 396–404 (2004).
- C. C. Gerry and P. L. Knight, "Quantum superpositions and Schrödinger cat states in quantum optics," Am. J. Phys. 65, 964 (1998).
- D. V. Sychev, A. E. Ulanov, A. A. Pushkina, M. W. Richards, I. A. Fedorov, and A. I. Lvovsky, "Enlargement of optical Schrödinger's cat states," Nat. Photonics 11, 379–382 (2017).
- D. T. Smithey, M. Beck, M. G. Raymer, and A. Faridani, "Measurement of the Wigner distribution and the density matrix of a light mode using optical homodyne tomography: application to squeezed states and the vacuum," Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1244–1247 (1993).
- Y.-S. Ra, A. Dufour, M. Walschaers, C. Jacquard, T. Michel, C. Fabre, and N. Treps, "Non-Gaussian quantum states of a multimode light field," Nat. Phys. 16, 144–147 (2020).
- S. Wallentowitz and W. Vogel, "Unbalanced homodyning for quantum state measurements," Phys. Rev. A 53, 4528–4533 (1996).
- K. Banaszek and K. Wódkiewicz, "Direct probing of quantum phase space by photon counting," Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4344–4347 (1996).
- S. Deléglise, I. Dotsenko, C. Sayrin, J. Bernu, M. Brune, J. M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, "Reconstruction of non-classical cavity field states with snapshots of their decoherence," Nature 455, 510–514 (2008).
- J.-C. Besse, S. Gasparinetti, M. C. Collodo, T. Walter, A. Remm, J. Krause, C. Eichler, and A. Wallraff, "Parity detection of propagating microwave fields," Phys. Rev. X 10, 011046 (2020).
- K. Laiho, K. N. Cassemiro, D. Gross, and C. Silberhorn, "Probing the negative Wigner function of a pulsed single photon point by point," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 253603 (2010).
- Y. Shaked, Y. Michael, R. Z. Vered, L. Bello, M. Rosenbluh, and A. Pe'er, "Lifting the bandwidth limit of optical homodyne measurement with broadband parametric amplification," Nat. Commun. 9, 609 (2018).
- G. Frascella, E. E. Mikhailov, N. Takanashi, R. V. Zakharov, O. V. Tikhonova, and M. V. Chekhova, "Wide-field SU(1,1) interferometer," Optica 6, 1233–1236 (2019).
- N. Takanashi, A. Inoue, T. Kashiwazaki, T. Kazama, K. Enbutsu, R. Kasahara, T. Umeki, and A. Furusawa, "All-optical phase-sensitive detection for ultra-fast quantum computation," Opt. Express 28, 34916–34926 (2020).
- R. Nehra, R. Sekine, L. Ledezma, Q. Guo, R. M. Gray, A. Roy, and A. Marandi, "Few-cycle vacuum squeezing in nanophotonics," Science 377, 1333–1337 (2022).
- U. Leonhardt and H. Paul, "High-accuracy optical homodyne detection with low-efficiency detectors: "preamplification" from antisqueezing," Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 4086–4089 (1994).
- M. V. Chekhova and F. Y. Khalili, "Nonclassical features of the polarization quasiprobability distribution," Phys. Rev. A 88, 023822 (2013).
- T. Sh. Iskhakov, A. M. Pérez, K. Yu. Spasibko, M. V. Chekhova, and G. Leuchs, "Superbunched bright squeezed vacuum state," Opt. Lett. 37, 1919–1921 (2012).
- Y. Zhao, Y. Zhao, X. Ji, Y. Okawachi, M. Lipson, and A. L. Gaeta, "Large regenerative parametric amplification on chip at ultra-low pump powers," Optica 10, 819–825 (2023).
- W. Wasilewski, A. I. Lvovsky, K. Banaszek, and C. Radzewicz, "Pulsed squeezed light: Simultaneous squeezing of multiple modes," Phys. Rev. A 73, 063819 (2006).

- P. Sharapova, A. M. Pérez, O. V. Tikhonova, and M. V. Chekhova, "Schmidt modes in the angular spectrum of bright squeezed vacuum," Phys. Rev. A 91, 043816 (2015).
- L. La Volpe, S. De, T. Kouadou, D. Horoshko, M. I. Kolobov, C. Fabre, V. Parigi, and N. Treps, "Multimode single-pass spatio-temporal squeezing," Opt. Express 28, 12385–12394 (2020).
- M. A. Finger, N. Y. Joly, P. St. J. Russell, and M. V. Chekhova, "Characterization and shaping of the time-frequency Schmidt mode spectrum of bright twin beams generated in gas-filled hollow-core photonic crystal fibers," Phys. Rev. A 95, 053814 (2017).
- V. A. Averchenko, G. Frascella, M. Kalash, A. Cavanna, and M. V. Chekhova, "Reconstructing two-dimensional spatial modes for classical and quantum light," Phys. Rev. A **102**, 053725 (2020).
- G. Leuchs, R. J. Glauber, and W. P. Schleich, "Dimension of quantum phase space measured by photon correlations\*," Phys. Scr. 90, 074066 (2015).
- M. S. Kim, F. A. M. de Oliveira, and P. L. Knight, "Photon number distributions for squeezed number states and squeezed thermal states," Opt. Commun. 72, 99–103 (1989).
- H. Defienne and D. Faccio, "Arbitrary spatial mode sorting in a multimode fiber," Phys. Rev. A 101, 063830 (2020).
- D. V. Reddy, M. G. Raymer, and C. J. McKinstrie, "Efficient sorting of quantum-optical wave packets by temporal-mode interferometry," Opt. Lett. 39, 2924–2927 (2014).
- Y. Zhou, M. Mirhosseini, D. Fu, J. Zhao, S. M. H. Rafsanjani, A. E. Willner, and R. W. Boyd, "Sorting photons by radial quantum number," Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 263602 (2017).
- X. Gu, M. Krenn, M. Erhard, and A. Zeilinger, "Gouy phase radial mode sorter for light: concepts and experiments," Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 103601 (2018).
- G. Adesso, S. Ragy, and A. R. Lee, "Continuous variable quantum information: Gaussian states and beyond," Open Syst. Inf. Dyn. 21, 1440001 (2014).
- D. Gottesman, A. Kitaev, and J. Preskill, "Encoding a qubit in an oscillator," Phys. Rev. A 64, 012310 (2001).
- B. Q. Baragiola, G. Pantaleoni, R. N. Alexander, A. Karanjai, and N. C. Menicucci, "All-Gaussian universality and fault tolerance with the Gottesman-Kitaev-Preskill code," Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 200502 (2019).
- V. Roman-Rodriguez, D. Fainsin, G. L. Zanin, N. Treps, E. Diamanti, and V. Parigi, "Spectrally multimode squeezed states generation at telecom wavelengths," arXiv, arXiv:2306.07267 (2023).
- 42. M. Erhard, M. Krenn, and A. Zeilinger, "Advances in high-dimensional quantum entanglement," Nat. Rev. Phys. 2, 365–381 (2020).
- Z. Yang, M. Jahanbozorgi, D. Jeong, S. Sun, O. Pfister, H. Lee, and X. Yi, "A squeezed quantum microcomb on a chip," Nat. Commun. 12, 1–8 (2021).
- G. Zhang, J. Y. Haw, H. Cai, F. Xu, S. M. Assad, J. F. Fitzsimons, X. Zhou, Y. Zhang, S. Yu, J. Wu, and W. Ser, "An integrated silicon photonic chip platform for continuous-variable quantum key distribution," Nat. Photonics 13, 839–842 (2019).
- G. Masada, K. Miyata, A. Politi, T. Hashimoto, J. L. O'brien, and A. Furusawa, "Continuous-variable entanglement on a chip," Nat. Photonics 9, 316–319 (2015).
- 46. F. Raffaelli, G. Ferranti, D. H. Mahler, P. Sibson, J. E. Kennard, A. Santamato, G. Sinclair, D. Bonneau, M. G. Thompson, and J. C. F. Matthews, "A homodyne detector integrated onto a photonic chip for measuring quantum states and generating random numbers," Quantum Sci. Technol. 3, 025003 (2018).