Supplementary Information: Multi-isotope analysis of bone collagen from Les Cottés, France, reveals niche partitioning and behavioural plasticity in Late Pleistocene ungulates

Kate Britton^{1,2*}, Elodie-Laure Jimenez^{1,3+}, Mael Le Corre¹⁺, Sylvain Renou^{4,5}, William Rendu^{6,7}, Michael P. Richards^{2,8}, Jean-Jacques Hublin^{2,9} and Marie Soressi¹⁰

¹Department of Archaeology, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, AB24 3UF, United Kingdom ²Department of Human Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, 04103, Leipzig, Germany

³Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, 29 Vautier Street, 1000 Brussels, Belgium

⁴Hadès Archéologie, Agence Atlantique, 33100 Bordeaux, France

⁵PACEA, UMR 5199, CNRS, Université de Bordeaux, Ministère de la Culture et de la Communication (MCC), F-33400 Pessac, France

⁶ZooSCAn (IRL 2013) CNRS - IAET SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia,

⁷Institut Français des études sur l'Asie Centrale (IFEAC) - UAR 3140 (CNRS-MEAE), Bichkek Kyrgyzstan
 ⁸Department of Archaeology, Simon Fraser University, 8888 University Drive, Burnaby, BC, Canada
 V5A 1S6

⁹Collège de France, 11, place Marcelin Berthelot, 74005 Paris

¹⁰Faculty of Archaeology, Leiden University, 2333CC Leiden, Netherlands

* k.britton@abdn.ac.uk

⁺these authors contributed equally to this work

Table S1 (see Excel File 'SI_Table S1'): δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and δ^{34} S data of *Bison, Equus* and *Rangifer* bone collagen samples from Les Cottés archaeological site.

This excel file includes all repeat δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and δ^{34} S measurements of bone collagen samples from Les Cottés, measured at both the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, (MPI) and the Scottish Universities Environmental Research Centre (SUERC). Includes %C, %N, %S, C:N, C:S and N:S, along with calculated means from duplicate, triplicate or quadruplicate measurements.

Variables	Ectimate	СГ	t value	95% CI		
variables	Estimate	SE	t-value	Lower	Upper	
Model : $\delta^{13}C \sim$ Species						
(Intercept)	-20.40	0.076	-268.39	-20.55	-20.25	
Equus	-0.25	0.106	-2.35	-0.46	-0.04	
Rangifer	0.83	0.092	9.04	0.65	1.01	
Model : $\delta^{13}C \sim$ Species * Lev	vels					
(Intercept)	-20.19	0.211	-95.79	-20.60	-19.77	
Equus	-0.56	0.327	-1.72	-1.21	0.08	
Rangifer	0.43	0.227	1.88	-0.02	0.87	
US04 (upper)	0.31	0.293	1.07	-0.27	0.89	
US04 (lower)	-0.39	0.243	-1.59	-0.87	0.09	
US06	-0.21	0.267	-0.79	-0.74	0.32	
US08	-0.29	0.242	-1.21	-0.77	0.18	
Equus:US04 (upper)	-0.01	0.405	-0.04	-0.81	0.79	
Rangifer:US04 (upper)	0.08	0.324	0.24	-0.56	0.72	
Equus:US04 (lower)	0.46	0.366	1.25	-0.27	1.18	
Rangifer:US04 (lower)	0.75	0.273	2.74	0.21	1.29	
Equus:US06	0.21	0.426	0.49	-0.63	1.05	
Rangifer:US06	0.61	0.310	1.97	0.00	1.23	
Equus:US08	0.27	0.373	0.72	-0.47	1.01	
Rangifer:US08	0.22	0.277	0.79	-0.33	0.77	

Table S2: Difference in δ^{13} C between species (*Bison, Equus* and *Rangifer*) and between species by levels at Les Cottés, estimated with mixed linear models. *Bison* is the reference category for species and USO2 for the site level. Significant effects (95% CI excluding 0) are indicated in bold.

Variables	E stimata	СГ.	t voluo	95% CI		
variables	Estimate	SE	t-value	Lower	Upper	
Model : $\delta^{15}N \sim Species$						
(Intercept)	6.40	0.225	28.50	5.96	6.85	
Equus	-0.61	0.315	-1.94	-1.23	0.01	
Rangifer	0.97	0.272	3.56	0.43	1.50	
Model : $\delta^{15}N \sim$ Species * Le	vels					
(Intercept)	7.38	0.651	11.34	6.10	8.67	
Equus	-0.44	1.027	-0.43	-2.46	1.59	
Rangifer	0.29	0.703	0.41	-1.10	1.67	
US04 (upper)	-1.34	0.919	-1.46	-3.16	0.47	
US04 (lower)	-1.09	0.752	-1.45	-2.58	0.39	
US06	-0.35	0.824	-0.42	-1.97	1.28	
US08	-1.43	0.751	-1.90	-2.91	0.06	
<i>Equus</i> :US04 (upper)	0.52	1.277	0.41	-2.00	3.04	
Rangifer:US04 (upper)	0.48	1.015	0.48	-1.52	2.49	
Equus:US04 (lower)	-0.72	1.149	-0.63	-2.99	1.55	
Rangifer:US04 (lower)	0.50	0.848	0.59	-1.18	2.17	
Equus:US06	-1.02	1.318	-0.77	-3.62	1.58	
Rangifer:US06	0.23	0.964	0.24	-1.68	2.13	
Equus:US08	0.59	1.172	0.51	-1.72	2.91	
Rangifer:US08	1.48	0.865	1.71	-0.23	3.19	

Table S3: Difference in δ^{15} N between species (*Bison, Equus* and *Rangifer*) and between species by levels at Les Cottés, estimated with mixed linear models. *Bison* is the reference category for species and US02 for the site level. Significant effects (95% CI excluding 0) are indicated in bold.

Veriebles	Fatimenta	C.E.	tualua	95% CI		
variables	Estimate	SE	t-value	Lower	Upper	
Model : $\delta^{34}S \sim Species$						
(Intercept)	11.99	0.451	26.60	11.10	12.88	
Equus	-0.81	0.632	-1.29	-2.06	0.44	
Rangifer	-2.29	0.550	-4.16	-3.38	-1.20	
Model : δ^{34} S ~ Species *	Levels					
(Intercept)	11.24	1.281	8.77	8.70	13.77	
Equus	-3.14	2.025	-1.55	-7.14	0.87	
Rangifer	-2.06	1.403	-1.47	-4.83	0.71	
US04 (upper)	-1.14	1.812	-0.63	-4.72	2.45	
US04 (lower)	0.35	1.502	0.23	-2.62	3.32	
US06	-0.14	1.621	-0.09	-3.34	3.06	
US08	2.48	1.479	1.68	-0.44	5.40	
Equus:US04 (upper)	4.22	2.521	1.68	-0.76	9.21	
Rangifer:US04 (upper)	2.57	2.039	1.26	-1.46	6.60	
Equus:US04 (lower)	2.42	2.282	1.06	-2.09	6.93	
Rangifer:US04 (lower)	0.05	1.707	0.03	-3.32	3.43	
Equus:US06	3.94	2.594	1.52	-1.19	9.07	
Rangifer:US06	0.51	1.912	0.27	-3.27	4.29	
Equus:US08	1.75	2.338	0.75	-2.88	6.37	
Rangifer:US08	-1.75	1.722	-1.02	-5.15	1.66	

Table S4: Difference in δ^{34} S between species (*Bison, Equus* and *Rangifer*) and between species by levels at Les Cottés, estimated with mixed linear models. *Bison* is the reference category for species and US02 for the site level. Significant effects (95% CI excluding 0) are indicated in bold.

Table S5: Pairwise comparison of the estimates from the mixed linear models testing the differences in δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and δ^{34} S between species considering all2levels together (All Levels model : isotope value ~ species) and between species by level (isotope value ~ species * levels). Significant differences are indicated

3 in bold.

				$\delta^{13}C$					$\delta^{{\tt 15}} N$					$\delta^{34}S$		
Units	Contrast	estimate	SE	df	t-ratio	p-value	estimate	SE	df	t-ratio	p-value	estimate	SE	df	t-ratio	p-value
All	Bison - Equus	0.25	0.108	125	2.32	0.06	0.61	0.319	124	1.91	0.14	0.81	0.640	117	1.27	0.42
Levels	Bison - Rangifer	-0.83	0.093	125	-8.92	<0.001	-0.97	0.275	124	-3.51	<0.01	2.29	0.558	117	4.11	<0.001
	Equus - Rangifer	-1.08	0.091	123	-11.80	<0.001	-1.58	0.272	124	-5.79	<0.001	1.48	0.551	117	2.68	0.02
	Bison - Equus	0.56	0.349	139	1.61	0.25	0.44	1.097	138	0.40	0.92	3.14	2.173	131	1.44	0.32
US02	Bison - Rangifer	-0.43	0.242	145	-1.76	0.19	-0.29	0.751	139	-0.38	0.92	2.06	1.506	131	1.37	0.36
	Equus - Rangifer	-0.99	0.282	134	-3.50	<0.001	-0.72	0.894	138	-0.81	0.70	-1.08	1.792	131	-0.60	0.82
US04	Bison - Equus	0.58	0.256	134	2.25	0.07	-0.08	0.812	137	-0.10	0.99	-1.09	1.612	131	-0.67	0.78
(upper)	Bison - Rangifer	-0.51	0.247	135	-2.05	0.11	-0.77	0.782	138	-0.99	0.59	-0.51	1.587	131	-0.32	0.94
())	Equus - Rangifer	-1.08	0.177	137	-6.12	<0.001	-0.69	0.558	138	-1.23	0.44	0.58	1.157	131	0.50	0.87
US04	Bison - Equus	0.11	0.176	140	0.60	0.82	1.16	0.552	138	2.10	0.09	0.72	1.130	131	0.63	0.80
(lower)	Bison - Rangifer	-1.18	0.162	142	-7.24	<0.001	-0.78	0.507	139	-1.55	0.27	2.01	1.043	131	1.92	0.14
, ,	Equus - Rangifer	-1.28	0.155	134	-8.29	<0.001	-1.94	0.490	137	-3.97	<0.001	1.29	0.972	131	1.33	0.38
	Bison - Equus	0.35	0.290	156	1.21	0.45	1.45	0.882	141	1.65	0.23	-0.80	1.740	131	-0.46	0.89
US06	Bison - Rangifer	-1.04	0.226	142	-4.60	<0.001	-0.51	0.704	139	-0.73	0.75	1.55	1.394	131	1.11	0.51
	Equus - Rangifer	-1.39	0.272	153	-5.11	<0.001	-1.97	0.832	140	-2.36	0.05	2.35	1.644	131	1.43	0.33
	Bison - Equus	0.29	0.192	137	1.53	0.28	-0.16	0.605	138	-0.26	0.96	1.39	1.255	131	1.11	0.51
US08	Bison - Rangifer	-0.64	0.170	134	-3.79	<0.001	-1.76	0.539	137	-3.27	<0.001	3.81	1.071	131	3.55	0.00
	Equus - Rangifer	-0.94	0.184	137	-5.09	<0.001	-1.61	0.580	138	-2.77	0.02	2.42	1.209	131	2.00	0.12

6 **Table S6:** Changes over time in δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and δ^{34} S for *Bison*. Linear and quadratic effects of the median

7 age of the level were estimated using mixed linear models. Best models are indicated in bold with their

8 AICc, as well as significant effects.

madal		variable	actimata		t valua	95% CI		
model	AICC	variable	estimate	se	t-value	lower	upper	
δ ¹³ C ~ age	-1.3	intercept	-18.93	1.059	-17.88	-21.07	-16.78	
		age	0.04	0.026	1.39	-0.02	0.09	
$\delta^{13}C \sim age + age^2$	-0.1	intercept	0.03	18.079	0.00	-36.47	36.65	
		age	0.98	0.894	1.09	-0.83	2.79	
		age ²	0.01	0.011	1.05	-0.01	0.03	
$\delta^{15}N \sim age$	87.6	intercept	10.04	3.623	2.77	2.70	17.39	
		age	0.09	0.089	1.01	-0.09	0.27	
$\delta^{15}N \sim age + age^2$	89.9	intercept	17.90	62.002	0.29	-107.81	143.48	
		age	0.48	3.068	0.16	-5.74	6.69	
		age ²	0.00	0.038	0.13	-0.07	0.08	
δ ³⁴ S ~ age	148.4	intercept	-3.03	7.477	-0.41	-18.21	12.14	
		age	-0.37	0.183	-2.01	-0.74	0.00	
$\delta^{34}S \sim age + age^2$	150.2	intercept	125.10	126.335	0.99	-131.26	381.46	
		age	5.98	6.255	0.96	-6.71	18.68	
		age ²	0.08	0.077	1.02	-0.08	0.23	

9

10 **Table S7**: Changes over time in δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and δ^{34} S for *Equus*. Linear and quadratic effects of the median

11 age of the level were estimated using mixed linear models. Best models are indicated in bold with their

12 AICc, as well as significant effects.

		variable	o otivo oto		t value	95% CI		
model	AICC	variable	estimate	se	t-value	lower	upper	
δ ¹³ C ~ age	-27.2	intercept	-19.38	1.060	-18.28	-21.53	-17.24	
		age	0.03	0.026	1.19	-0.02	0.09	
δ^{13} C ~ age + age ²	-24.9	intercept	-22.67	20.281	-1.12	-63.74	18.39	
		age	-0.13	1.001	-0.13	-2.16	1.90	
		age ²	0.00	0.012	-0.16	-0.03	0.02	
δ^{15} N ~ age	-6.3	intercept	6.15	3.242	1.90	-0.42	12.71	
		age	0.01	0.081	0.11	-0.15	0.17	
$\delta^{15}N \sim age + age^2$	-10.3	intercept	153.08	55.895	2.74	39.92	266.23	
		age	7.27	2.759	2.64	1.68	12.85	
		age²	0.09	0.034	2.63	0.02	0.16	
δ ³⁴ S ~ age	113.0	intercept	-1.53	4.344	-0.35	-10.33	7.28	
		age	-0.32	0.109	-2.93	-0.54	-0.10	
$\delta^{34}S \sim age + age^2$	114.4	intercept	-93.77	78.678	-1.19	-253.24	65.71	
		age	-4.88	3.887	-1.26	-12.76	3.00	
		age ²	-0.06	0.048	-1.17	-0.15	0.04	

13 **Table S8:** Changes over time in δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N and δ^{34} S for *Rangifer*. Linear and quadratic effects of the

14 median age of the level were estimated using mixed linear models. Best models are indicated in bold

15 with their AICc, as well as significant effects.

model		variable	actimata		t value	95% CI		
model	AICC	Variable	estimate	se	t-value	lower	upper	
$\delta^{13}C \sim age$	35.6	intercept	-19.35	0.734	-26.36	-20.81	-17.89	
		age	0.01	0.019	0.30	-0.03	0.04	
δ^{13} C ~ age + age ²	22.1	intercept	-64.94	10.780	-6.02	-86.40	-43.47	
		age	-2.29	0.542	-4.22	-3.37	-1.21	
		age²	-0.03	0.007	-4.24	-0.04	-0.02	
$\delta^{15}N \sim age$	158.1	intercept	6.35	2.042	3.11	2.28	10.41	
		age	-0.03	0.052	-0.50	-0.13	0.08	
$\delta^{15}N \sim age + age^2$	156.5	intercept	71.31	33.138	2.15	5.35	137.28	
		age	3.24	1.666	1.95	-0.07	6.56	
		age²	0.04	0.021	1.96	0.00	0.08	
δ ³⁴ S ~ age	283.4	intercept	7.84	4.396	1.78	-0.92	16.60	
		age	-0.05	0.111	-0.42	-0.27	0.17	
$\delta^{34}S \sim age + age^2$	285.6	intercept	-21.08	72.837	-0.29	-166.25	124.14	
		age	-1.50	3.656	-0.41	-8.79	5.79	
		age ²	-0.02	0.046	-0.40	-0.11	0.07	

16

17 **Table S9:** Percentage of overlap between the isotopic niches of *Rangifer, Bison and Equus* defined

18 within the δ^{13} C - δ^{15} N isotopic space. TA (Total Area) corresponds to the convex hull area encompassing

19 all the data of a given species. SEAc (Standard Ellipse Area corrected for small sample size) refers the

20 core niche area. Low overlap (<30%) is indicated in italic, and high overlap (>60%) in bold.

			% of TA	overlap	% of SEA	c overlap
Unit	Species 1	Species 2	sp.1 by sp.2	sp.2 by sp.1	sp.1 by sp.2	sp.2 by sp.1
A 11	Rangifer	Bison	48.3	67.9	0	0
All	Rangifer	Equus	26.5	46.7	0	0
Levels	Bison	Equus	51.2	64.2	48.6	55.9
US02	Rangifer	Bison	1.0	1.6	2.4	0.4
11504	Rangifer	Bison	12.3	23.3	47.6	11.5
(upper)	Rangifer	Equus	12.9	8.8	0	0
(upper)	Bison	Equus	53.8	19.5	37.3	76.1
11504	Rangifer	Bison	0	0	0	0
(lower)	Rangifer	Equus	0	0	0	0
(100001)	Bison	Equus	64.7	33.6	37.3	24.5
	Rangifer	Bison	0	0	0	0
US06	Rangifer	Equus	0	0	0	0
	Bison	Equus	6.0	11.4	44.2	33.2
	Rangifer	Bison	30.1	69.5	9.1	20.3
US08	Rangifer	Equus	5.7	22.9	0	0
	Bison	Equus	41.6	72.8	41.9	50.6

21 22

Figure S1: Differences in a) δ^{13} C, b) δ^{15} N, c) δ^{34} S) between species (*Bison, Equus, Rangifer*) at Les

23Figure 924Cottés.



25 26 Figure S2: Box plots of a) carbon and c) nitrogen data from ungulate bone collagen generated at the
Max Planck Institute, Liepzig (MPI) and b) carbon and d) nitrogen data generated at the Scottish
Universities Research Centre (SUERC) from the same bone samples. Box plots show the median isotope
values, quartiles and outliers for each species by level.

