## $\pi$ -Bonded N<sub>2</sub> on Fe(111): The Precursor for Dissociation

M. Grunze<sup>(a)</sup>

Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, 1000 Berlin 33, Federal Republic of Germany

and

M. Golze and W. Hirschwald Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Freie Universität Berlin, 1000 Berlin 33, Federal Republic of Germany

and

H.-J. Freund<sup>(b)</sup> and H. Pulm

Lehrstuhl für Theoretische Chemie der Universität zu Köln, 5000 Cologne, Federal Republic of Germany

and

## U. Seip, M. C. Tsai, G. Ertl, and J. Küppers

## Institut für Physikalische Chemie der Universität München, 8000 München, Federal Republic of Germany (Received 19 December 1983)

 $N_2$  chemisorbed on Fe(111) is identified by photoelectron spectroscopy and highresolution electron energy-loss spectroscopy as a  $\pi$ -bonded surface complex in which both nitrogen atoms interact with the metal. This species forms the immediate precursor to dissociation.

PACS numbers: 82.65.Jv, 31.20.Tz, 82.80.Di, 82.80.Pv

Molecular nitrogen adsorption on transition metals has been studied in detail on various substrates by photoemission and vibrational spectroscopies,<sup>1</sup> and in all cases it has so far been concluded that the molecule bonds mainly via the  $\Im\sigma_g$  and  $\Im\sigma_g$  molecular orbitals in an "end-on" configuration to the surface, i.e., *M*-N-N. Atomic nitrogen adsorption has also been studied widely,<sup>2</sup> but no experimental data have been reported for the actual surface intermediate in which the breaking of the dinitrogen bond occurs. There is a great practical interest in a better understanding of the dissociation mechanism on a molecular basis, since dissociative nitrogen adsorption has been identified as the rate-determining step in the ammonia synthesis reaction on iron.<sup>3</sup>

The kinetics of dissociative nitrogen adsorption on Fe(111) have been studied in detail previously<sup>4</sup>; it was concluded that this process proceeds through a molecularly adsorbed species with a rather low activation energy. Later it was found<sup>5</sup> that this species ( $\alpha$  state) is preceded at low temperatures by a more weakly held, physisorbed  $\gamma$  state, which desorbs at about 85 K. In the present work, the  $\alpha$ state has been identified as a  $\pi$ -bonded molecule with *both* N atoms interacting with the surface and thus forming the immediate precursor to dissociation. This evidence is based on experimental x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) and ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (not discussed here) supplemented by calculations for the N 1*s* core-hole spectra and high-resolution electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (HREELS) data. N 1s core-level photoelectron experiments using Al  $K\alpha$  radiation were performed in a Leybold Heraeus photoelectron spectometer equipped with a rotable cold finger.<sup>6</sup> The vibrational data were obtained in a different vacuum system with a high-resolution electronenergy-loss spectrometer. The Fe(111) crystals were cleaned and annealed following previously described procedures.<sup>7</sup>

In Fig. 1, a sequence of N 1s spectra for the three different nitrogen bonding states on Fe(111), denoted by  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$  (molecular), and  $\beta$  (atomic) is displayed. The  $\gamma$  state spectrum (curve a) was recorded at 85 K in an ambient of  $P_{N_2} = 5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar and includes emission from some  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2</sub>,<sup>5</sup> giving rise to the shoulder at  $\sim$  399-eV binding energy  $(E_B)$ . The intensities of the two peaks at  $E_B = 405.9 \text{ eV}$  and  $E_B \sim 401 \text{ eV}$  depend on the ambient N<sub>2</sub> pressure at  $T \ge 85$  K, since  $\gamma$ -N<sub>2</sub> is only weakly adsorbed ( $E_{ad} \le 24 \text{ kJ/mol}$ ).<sup>5</sup> A similar N 1s-doublet structure has been observed by Kishi and Roberts<sup>8</sup> for N<sub>2</sub> on polycrystalline iron but was interpreted incorrectly as arising from two different adsorption states of N<sub>2</sub>. After heating the predosed surface in vacuum to 97 K, all  $\gamma$ -N<sub>2</sub> is desorbed and a single species ( $\alpha$ ) ( $E_{ad} \approx 31 \text{ kJ/mol}^4$ ) characterized by a band at 399.0 eV and some intensity at higher  $E_B$  remains on the surface [Fig. 1(b)]. With



FIG. 1. N 1s core-level spectra for the three different nitrogen species on Fe(111): (a)  $\gamma$ -N<sub>2</sub>, (b)  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2</sub>, (c) atomic  $\beta$  nitrogen.

increasing substrate temperature this  $\alpha$ -state band shifts to lower binding energies, indicating a conversion of the  $\alpha$  state into the strongly bound atomic  $\beta$  state.<sup>5</sup> The characteristic N 1s spectrum of atomic  $\beta$  nitrogen is shown in Fig. 1(c). From the integrated XPS band intensities, we estimated the maximum  $\gamma$ -state and  $\alpha$ -state populations to be  $3.4 \times 10^{14}$  and  $7 \times 10^{13}$  molecules/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively.<sup>5</sup> These values are in fair agreement with the coverage for weakly bound nitrogen on polycrystalline iron reported by Wedler<sup>9</sup> ( $\gamma$  state) and the  $\alpha$ -state nitrogen coverage on Fe(111) reported in Ref. 4.

Curve (a) in Fig. 2 displays the HREELS spectrum from the  $\alpha$  state which was obtained after exposing an Fe(111) surface at 110 K to  $150 \times 10^{-6}$ Torr-sec  ${}^{30}N_2$ . The band at 1490 cm<sup>-1</sup> has to be associated with the N-N stretch vibration (whose frequency is significantly lowered with respect to the 2331  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  value of the free molecule), while a weak shoulder at  $\sim 435 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is attributed to the M-N vibration of a small fraction of already dissociated molecules. "Off-specular" measurements show that the  $\nu_{N-N}$  band is dipole active. Subsequent warming to 170 K leads to partial desorption,<sup>4</sup> while simultaneously part of  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2ad</sub> dissociates giving rise to a band 450 cm<sup>-1</sup> [curve (b)]. These results parallel the XPS observations<sup>5</sup> and demonstrate (i) that the formation of atomic nitrogen occurs through dissociation of the molecular  $\alpha$ state, and (ii) that the N 1s levels at 399 and 397 eV indeed have to be associated with molecular ( $\alpha$ ) and atomic  $(\beta)$  nitrogen, respectively.



FIG. 2. HREELS data for (a)  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2</sub> and (b) atomic  $\beta$  nitrogen on Fe(111).

The observed N-N vibration for the  ${}^{30}N_2 \alpha$  state at 1490 cm<sup>-1</sup> (which would be higher by  $\sim 30$  $cm^{-1}$  for  ${}^{28}N_2$ ) is extremely low compared to the  $N_2^{28}$  on Ni(110) system, for which  $\nu_{N-N} = 2194$   $cm^{-1}$  was determined, <sup>10</sup> and bonding to the surface in an "end-on" form could clearly be established.<sup>1</sup> Similar high  $\nu_{N-N}$  values were also reported for all dinitrogen complexes in which the ligand is attached end-on to a metal atom.<sup>11</sup> Low N-N frequencies (reaching down to  $1255 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) were observed for complexes in which the  $N_2$  molecule was concluded to be bridge-bonded (M-N=N-M). Structural analysis of a "side-on" nickel-dinitrogen complex revealed a considerably increased N-N bond length (1.35 Å as compared with 1.10 Å in free  $N_2$ ), while in the same work it was concluded that  $v_{N-N}$  has to be below 1550 cm<sup>-1.12</sup> Recent work with a Ti complex, in which each of the two nitrogen atoms is attached to two Ti atoms, found  $\nu_{\text{N-N}} = 1282 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and an increased N-N bond length of 1.30 Å.<sup>13</sup> These data strongly suggest that in the present system both N atoms in  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2</sub> interact with the metal. In the case of a metal surface the experimental distinction between bridge-bonded and side-on becomes somewhat arbitrary, since certainly both prototypes of coupling will play a role. The Fe(111) surface has a very open structure and side-on bonding does not necessarily imply that the

 $N_2$  molecular axis is parallel to the surface plane. The absence of a  $N_2$ -Ni bending mode, however, rules out a tilted structure in which only one nitrogen atom interacts with the surface. In any case, it can be concluded that the observed low  $\nu_{N-N}$  for  $\alpha$ - $N_2$  on Fe(111) reflects a considerable weakening of the N-N bond by partial occupation of the antibonding  $\pi$  levels (in a manner similar to the analogous olefin or acetylene transition-metal complexes.<sup>11</sup> This is accompanied by an increase of the N-N bond length and consequently a lowering of the activation barrier for dissociation. Additional qualitative support for the electron-acceptor nature of  $\alpha$ - $N_2$  is provided by the observed increase of the work function.<sup>4</sup>

A side-on bonding geometry of  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2</sub> also follows from a comparison of the N 1s photoemission data with calculated core-hole spectra for end-on and side-on bonded  $N_2$ . The hole states were calculated within the equivalent-cores approximation, treating the valence electrons self-consistently and augmenting the self-consistent-field solution by configuration interaction using the 300 lowest energy configurations.<sup>14</sup> On this basis, all singly and doubly excited states within the configuration space and their contribution to the spectral function were determined by direct overlap calculations within the sudden approximation.<sup>14</sup> Calculations were carried out for a linear Ni-N<sub>2</sub> and side-on arrangement, varying the Ni-N<sub>2</sub> distance from 1.5 to 2.3 Å. The intramolecular N<sub>2</sub> separation in the linear clusters was kept constant at the gas-phase value of 1.1 Å; in the side-on clusters, extended N-N distances have also been considered in the calculations. For metal-N<sub>2</sub> bond lengths typically found in linear N<sub>2</sub> transition-metal complexes, i.e., between 1.8 and 20 Å, the satellites carry as much intensity as the line at lowest binding energy, in agreement with experimental data on linear N<sub>2</sub> complexes.<sup>14</sup> As the N-N bond gets weaker, the satellite peaks gain even more intensity. Figure 3(a) shows a plot of the calculated N 1s spectrum, which was obtained by summing the emission from both nitrogen atoms of a linear Ni-N<sub>2</sub> cluster with a metal-N<sub>2</sub> separation of 2.1 Å representing weak adsorption. The intensity ratio, and the electron binding-energy difference of  $\sim$  4 eV between the bands at lower  $E_B$  and higher  $E_B$ , both resemble the experimental  $\gamma$ -state spectrum. However, a side-on N<sub>2</sub> molecule only weakly interacting with the substrate (i.e., a metal-N<sub>2</sub> distance of  $d \ge 2.1$  Å) leads to basically the same computed spectrum as the weakly interacting linear adsorbate complex,<sup>15</sup> preventing an unambigious identification of the  $\gamma$ -state bonding geometry.



FIG. 3. Calculations of N 1s core-level spectra for a linear and triangular bonding configuration of  $N_2$  in a Ni-N<sub>2</sub> cluster.

If a side-on  $N_2$  molecule closer to the surfaces (d = 1.9 Å) and thus with stronger substrate interaction (corresponding to the  $\alpha$  state) is ionized, only a weak satellite structure at the high-binding-energy side of the leading peak [Fig. 3(b)] results, in agreement with our  $\alpha$ -state N 1s data. The spectrum in Fig. 3(b) was calculated for a Ni-N<sub>2</sub> distance of 1.9 Å and a N-N bond length of 1.25 Å. In general, it is found that with increasing N-N bond length, the core-electron spectra become more atomiclike, i.e., they exhibit less satellite intensity.<sup>15</sup> The absolute binding-energy difference between the spectra 3(a) and 3(b) was obtained from the charge densities of the neutral and ionic species using the so-called relaxed-potential model.<sup>16</sup> The calculated binding-energy difference between the primary hole states (lowest  $E_B$ ) of end-on and side-on Ni-N<sub>2</sub> complexes is 3.5 eV compared with the experimental binding-energy difference of 2.2 eV.

The calculations for the N 1s core-level emission presented here and recent calculations<sup>17</sup> by Tománek for the total-energy surface for  $\gamma$ - and  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2</sub> on Fe(111)<sup>18</sup> give a consistent picture of the electronic interaction of the  $\alpha$  state with the substrate. In the side-on geometry electron donation proceeds primarily through the occupied  $1\pi_u$  orbital of N<sub>2</sub> as opposed to dominating  $\sigma$  donation in the linear arrangement. The  $1\pi_g^*$  acceptor ability is larger in the side-on geometry than in the linear arrangement due to directed overlap between metal dfunctions and the in-plane component of the  $\pi$  orbital. Thus, charge transfer into the molecular  $1\pi_g^*$ orbital lowers the activation barrier for dissociation  $(E_A = 28 \text{ kJ/mol}^{5,19})$ . This more intense  $1\pi_g^*$  coupling in the side-on as compared to the linear configuration also causes the N 1*s* binding energy shift in the  $\alpha$  state to lower  $E_B$  values and reduces the satellite intensity in the side-on as compared to the linear bonding arrangement.<sup>15, 19</sup>

In conclusion, we observed the transition of a weakly bonded molecular y-adsorption state into the side-on bonded  $\alpha$  state which is the precursor for dissociation on Fe(111). The adsorbatesubstrate interaction in the  $\alpha$  state is similar to the  $O_2$  on Pt(111) system,<sup>20</sup> where dissociation proceeds via peroxo species.<sup>21</sup> However, a precursor to the peroxo states (i.e., a  $\gamma$  state), was not observed for  $O_2$  on Pt(111). The open {111} plane of iron provides various side-on adsorption sites for  $\alpha$ -N<sub>2</sub>, between which a distinction cannot be made from our present results. Bonding of the  $\alpha$  state to the substrate, however, clearly involves the molecular  $N_2 \pi$  orbitals, and charge transfer into the antibonding  $1\pi_g^*$  levels reduces the activation barrier for dissociation. Recent calculations for a hypothetical bridging dinuclear  $Co_2(CO)_6(\mu - N_2)$  complex also predict this species to be stable<sup>22</sup> and are in full agreement with the  $\pi$  bonding concept proposed here.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Sonderforschungsbereiche 6 und 128. Assistance by K. Christmann in the early stages of the HREELS experiments and helpful discussions with J. H. Block are gratefully acknowledged.

<sup>(a)</sup>Present address: Department of Physics and Astronomy and Laboratory of Surface Science and Technol-

gy, University of Maine, Orono, Me. 04469.

<sup>(b)</sup>Present address: Institut für Physikalische Chemie II, Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, D-8520 Erlangen, Federal Republic of Germany.

 ${}^{1}K$ . Horn, J. DiNardo, W. Eberhard, J.-J. Freund, and E. W. Plummer, Surf. Sci. **118**, 465 (1982), and references therein.

<sup>2</sup>For a review see M. Grunze, in *The Chemical Physics* of Solid Surfaces and Heterogeneous Catalysis, edited by D. A. King and D. P. Woodruff (Elsevier, New York, 1982), Vol. 4, p. 142. The tilde notation for states is described in this reference.

<sup>3</sup>G. Ertl, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. 1, 1247 (1983).

<sup>4</sup>G. Ertl, S. B. Lee, and M. Weiss, Surf. Sci. **114**, 515 (1982).

 ${}^{5}M$ . Grunze, M. Golze, J. Fuhler, M. Neumann, and E. Schwarz, Proceedings of the Eighth Congress of Catalysis, West Berlin, Germany, 1984 (to be published).

<sup>6</sup>R. Unwin, K. Horn, and P. Geng, Vak. Tech. **29**, 149 (1980).

<sup>7</sup>F. Bozso, G. Ertl, M. Grunze, and M. Weiss, J. Catal. **49**, 18 (1977).

<sup>8</sup>K. Kishi and M. W. Roberts, Surf. Sci. **62**, 252 (1977).

<sup>9</sup>G. Wedler, D. Borgmann, and K. P. Geus, Surf. Sci. 47, 592 (1975); G. Wedler, G. Steidl, and D. Borgmann, Surf. Sci. 100, 507 (1980).

<sup>10</sup>M. Grunze, R. K. Driscoll, G. N. Burland, J. C. L. Cornish, and J. Pritchard, Surf. Sci. **89**, 381 (1979).

<sup>11</sup>J. Chatt, J. R. Dilworth, and R. L. Richards, Chem. Rev. **78**, 589 (1978).

<sup>12</sup>C. Krüger and Y. H. Tsay, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **12**, 998 (1973).

<sup>13</sup>G. D. Pez, P. Apgar, and R. K. Crissey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **104**, 482 (1982).

<sup>14</sup>H.-J. Freund, H. Pulm, B. Dick, and R. Lange, Chem. Phys. **81**, 99 (1983).

<sup>15</sup>H.-J. Freund, H. Pulm, and B. Dick, to be published.

<sup>16</sup>D. W. Davis and D. A. Shirley, Chem. Phys. Lett. 15, 185 (1972).

<sup>17</sup>D. Tománek and K. H. Bennemann, Surf. Sci. **127**, L111 (1983).

<sup>18</sup>D. Tománek, to be published.

<sup>19</sup>H.-J. Freund and E. W. Plummer, Phys. Rev. B 23, 4859 (1981).

<sup>20</sup>J. L. Gland, B. A. Sexton, and G. B. Fisher, Surf. Sci. **95**, 587 (1980).

 $^{21}$ H. Steininger, S. Lehwald, and H. Ibach, Surf. Sci. **123**, 1 (1983).

 $^{22}$ K. I. Goldberg, D. M. Hoffman, and R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem. **21**, 3863 (1982).