The modeled seasonal cycles of land biosphere and ocean N2O fluxes and atmospheric N2O

Qing Sun¹, Fortunat Joos², Sebastian Lienert³, Sarah Berthet⁴, Dustin Carroll⁵, Cheng Gong⁶, Akihiko Ito⁷, Atul Jain⁸, Sian Kou-Giesbrecht⁹, Angela Landolfi¹⁰, Manfredi Manizza¹¹, Naiqing Pan¹², Michael J. Prather¹³, Pierre Regnier¹⁴, Laure Resplandy¹⁵, Roland Séférian¹⁶, Hao Shi¹⁷, Parvadha Suntharalingam¹⁸, Rona L. Thompson¹⁹, Hanqin Tian²⁰, Nicolas Vuichard²¹, Sönke Zaehle⁶, and Qing Zhu²²

¹Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute, University of Bern ²University of Bern ³University of Bern Physics Institute Climate and Environmental Physics & Oschger Centre for Climate Change Research ⁴Centre National de Recherches Météorologiques ⁵Moss Landing Marine Laboratories ⁶Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry ⁷National Institute for Environmental Studies ⁸University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign ⁹Dalhousie University ¹⁰Institute of Marine Sciences, National Research Council (ISMAR-CNR), Via Fosso del Cavaliere 100 ¹¹Scripps Institution of Oceanography ¹²Auburn University ¹³University of California, Irvine ¹⁴Department Geoscience, Environment & Society - BGEOSYS, Université Libre de Bruxelles, ¹⁵Princeton University ¹⁶CNRM (Météo-France/CNRS) ¹⁷Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences ¹⁸University of East Anglia ¹⁹Norwegian Institute for Air Research ²⁰Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society. Boston College ²¹LSCE-IPSL ²²Lawrence Berkelev National Laboratory (DOE)

October 27, 2023

Abstract

Nitrous oxide (N2O) is a greenhouse gas and an ozone-depleting agent with large and growing anthropogenic emissions. Previous studies identified the influx of N2O-depleted air from the stratosphere to partly cause the seasonality in tropospheric N2O

(aN2O), but other contributions remain unclear. Here we combine surface fluxes from eight land and four ocean models from phase 2 of the Nitrogen/N2O Model Intercomparison Project with tropospheric transport modeling to simulate aN2O at the air sampling sites: Alert, Barrow, Ragged Point, Samoa, Ascension Island, and Cape Grim for the modern and preindustrial periods. Models show general agreement on the seasonal phasing of zonal-average N2O fluxes for most sites, but, seasonal peak-to-peak amplitudes differ severalfold across models. After transport, the seasonal amplitude of surface aN2O ranges from 0.25 to 0.80 ppb (interquartile ranges 21-52% of median) for land, 0.14 to 0.25 ppb (19-42%) for ocean, and 0.13 to 0.76 ppb (26-52%) for combined flux contributions. The observed range is 0.53 to 1.08 ppb. The stratospheric contributions to aN2O, inferred by the difference between surface-troposphere model and observations, show 36-126% larger amplitudes and minima delayed by ~1 month compared to Northern Hemisphere site observations. Our results demonstrate an increasing importance of land fluxes for aN2O seasonality, with land fluxes and their seasonal amplitude increasing since the preindustrial era and are projected to grow under anthropogenic activities. In situ aN2O observations and atmospheric transport-chemistry models will provide opportunities for constraining terrestrial and oceanic biosphere models, critical for projecting surface N2O sources under ongoing global warming.

Hosted file

977057_0_art_file_11504943_s2s8y4.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/675804/ articles/673633-the-modeled-seasonal-cycles-of-land-biosphere-and-ocean-n2o-fluxesand-atmospheric-n2o

Hosted file

977057_0_supp_11504944_s2s8y4.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/675804/ articles/673633-the-modeled-seasonal-cycles-of-land-biosphere-and-ocean-n2o-fluxesand-atmospheric-n2o

The modeled seasonal cycles of surface N₂O fluxes and atmospheric N₂O

Qing Sun^{1,2}, Fortunat Joos^{1,2}, Sebastian Lienert^{1,2}, Sarah Berthet³, Dustin Carroll⁴, Cheng Gong⁵, Akihiko Ito^{6,7}, Atul K. Jain⁸, Sian Kou-Giesbrecht^{9,10}, Angela Landolfi¹¹, Manfredi Manizza¹², Naiqing Pan^{13,14}, Michael Prather¹⁵, Pierre Regnier¹⁶, Laure Resplandy¹⁷, Roland Séférian³, Hao Shi¹⁸, Parvadha Suntharalingam¹⁹, Rona L. Thompson²⁰, Hanqin Tian^{13,14}, Nicolas Vuichard²¹, Sönke Zaehle⁵, Qing Zhu²² 3

4

5

6

7

- ¹ Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland 8
- ² Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland 9
- ³ CNRM, Université de Toulouse, Météo France, CNRS, Toulouse, France 10
- ⁴ Moss Landing Marine Laboratories, San José State University, Moss Landing, California, USA 11

⁵ Biogeochemical Signals Department, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, 12

- Germany 13
- ⁶ Graduate School of Life and Agricultural Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan 14
- ⁷ Earth System Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan 15
- ⁸ Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, 16
- IL61801, USA 17
- ⁹ Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada 18
- ¹⁰ Canadian Centre for Climate Modelling and Analysis, Environment and Climate Change 19
- Canada, Victoria, BC, Canada 20
- ¹¹ Institute of Marine Sciences, National Research Council (ISMAR-CNR), Via Fosso del 21
- Cavaliere 100, Rome, Italy 22
- ¹² Geosciences Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California-23 San Diego, La Jolla, CA 24
- ¹³ Schiller Institute for Integrated Science and Society, Department of Earth and Environmental 25
- Sciences, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA 26
- ¹⁴ International Center for Climate and Global Change Research, College of Forestry, Wildlife 27 and Environment, Auburn University, Auburn 36830, USA 28
- ¹⁵ Department of Earth System Science, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA 29
- ¹⁶ Department Geoscience, Environment & Society BGEOSYS, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 30
- 1050-Brussls, Belgium 31
- ¹⁷ Princeton University, Geosciences Department, High Meadows Environmental Institute, 32
- Princeton, NJ, USA 33
- ¹⁸ State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-34
- Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China 35

- ¹⁹ Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of
- East Anglia, NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom
- ²⁰ Climate and Environment Research Institute NILU, 2007 Kjeller, Norway
- ²¹ Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, LSCE-IPSL (CEA-CNRS-UVSQ),
- 40 Université Paris-Saclay 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France
- 41 ²² Climate and Ecosystem Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab, 1 Cyclotron
- 42 Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- 43
- 44 Corresponding author: Qing Sun (qing.sun@unibe.ch)

45 Key Points:

- Model land biosphere and ocean surface fluxes are combined with tropospheric transport to simulate N₂O seasonality at six monitoring sites
- Surface N₂O fluxes contribute substantially to the observed seasonality of tropospheric
 N₂O, partly offsetting stratospheric contribution
- Large spread in seasonal land fluxes call for biosphere model improvements, e.g., using
 N₂O observations and transport-chemistry modeling

52 Abstract

- 53 Nitrous oxide (N_2O) is a greenhouse gas and an ozone-depleting agent with large and growing
- anthropogenic emissions. Previous studies identified the influx of N_2O -depleted air from the
- stratosphere to partly cause the seasonality in tropospheric N_2O (a N_2O), but other contributions
- remain unclear. Here we combine surface fluxes from eight land and four ocean models from
- 57 phase 2 of the Nitrogen/N₂O Model Intercomparison Project with tropospheric transport
- modeling to simulate aN_2O at the air sampling sites: Alert, Barrow, Ragged Point, Samoa,
- Ascension Island, and Cape Grim for the modern and preindustrial periods. Models show general
- agreement on the seasonal phasing of zonal-average N_2O fluxes for most sites, but, seasonal
- peak-to-peak amplitudes differ severalfold across models. After transport, the seasonal amplitude of surface aN_2O ranges from 0.25 to 0.80 ppb (interquartile ranges 21-52% of median) for land,
- 0.14 to 0.25 ppb (19-42%) for ocean, and 0.13 to 0.76 ppb (26-52%) for combined flux
- 64 contributions. The observed range is 0.53 to 1.08 ppb. The stratospheric contributions to aN_2O_1 ,
- 65 inferred by the difference between surface-troposphere model and observations, show 36-126%
- larger amplitudes and minima delayed by ~1 month compared to Northern Hemisphere site
- 67 observations. Our results demonstrate an increasing importance of land fluxes for aN_2O
- seasonality, with land fluxes and their seasonal amplitude increasing since the preindustrial era
- and are projected to grow under anthropogenic activities. In situ aN_2O observations and
- atmospheric transport-chemistry models will provide opportunities for constraining terrestrial
- and oceanic biosphere models, critical for projecting surface N_2O sources under ongoing global
- 72 warming.

73 Plain Language Summary

Anthropogenic N_2O emissions, e.g., from fertilizer use on agricultural land, fossil fuel burning, and industrial activities, continue to increase atmospheric N_2O to values unprecedented for at

- ⁷⁶ least the past 800,000 years. This increase causes harmful global warming and stratospheric
- 77 ozone depletion. Understanding how N₂O emissions from land and ocean influence atmospheric
- composition and climate is a research priority. Here, we address specifically how land and ocean
- 80 fluxes simulated by eight land biosphere and four ocean biogeochemical models with a
- representation of lower atmosphere transport. This study complements earlier studies that show a
- strong influence on N_2O seasonality by the influx of N_2O -depleted air from the upper
- atmosphere. We demonstrate that land biosphere and ocean surface fluxes contribute
- substantially to the observed seasonal cycle at the different measurement sites. The surface
- so contributions dampen the seasonal signal from the upper atmosphere and must be considered for
- explaining the observed N₂O seasonality. However, surface fluxes differ widely across models.
 In future work, atmospheric N₂O observations and transport modeling, considering both lower
- and upper atmospheric contributions, may help to better constrain biosphere models.

89 **1 Introduction**

90 Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is one of the main greenhouse gases [*Canadell et al.*, 2021; *Forster*

et al., 2021] and an ozone-depleting agent [Crutzen, 1970; Ravishankara et al., 2009]. Its

- atmospheric mixing ratio (aN_2O) in the troposphere has increased from 271 ppb (parts per
- billion) since pre-industrial time to over 330 ppb in recent years (global average) [*Lan et al.*,
- 2023a; *MacFarling Meure et al.*, 2006]. The ice core records of aN_2O of the past 800,000 years
- [Schilt et al., 2010] and recent reconstructions of N₂O emissions using aN₂O and isotope data of

ice cores show that anthropogenic contributions dominate this increase [Fischer et al., 2019]. 96

- 97 The application of synthetic fertilizers since the green revolution is one of the main reasons for
- the increase in N₂O emissions [H Tian et al., 2019]. N₂O has an atmospheric lifetime of 98
- approximately 115 years [Canadell et al., 2021; Prather et al., 2015] before being removed in 99
- the stratosphere which leads to ozone depletion [Crutzen, 1970; Mueller, 2021]. Therefore, the 100
- unprecedented rise in N2O emissions and aN2O poses multiple threats to natural systems and our 101
- society [IPCC, 2021; 2022]. 102
- As N₂O is predominantly produced by microbes in soils and waters [Bakker et al., 2014; 103 Butterbach-Bahl et al., 2013], N₂O emissions are largely affected by environmental conditions 104 which are under the influence of the changing climate and anthropogenic activities. The long-105 term changes in N₂O emissions and tropospheric aN₂O have been studied and reported for 106 glacial-interglacial variations [Joos et al., 2020; Rubino et al., 2019; Schilt et al., 2010]. For the 107 modern period, the N₂O emissions from terrestrial ecosystems and the oceans have been 108 investigated progressively across scales with site observations [e.g., Kock and Bange, 2015; 109 Pastorello et al., 2020], field experiments [e.g., Breider et al., 2019; Dijkstra et al., 2012], as 110 well as modeling [e.g., Martins et al., 2022; Landolfi et al., 2017; Xu-Ri and Prentice, 2008; 111 Manizza et al., 2012]. However, due to the complex processes of N₂O production in soils, inland 112 waters and oceans [e.g., Battaglia and Joos, 2018; Ma et al., 2022; Hutchins and Capone, 2022] 113
- 114 and loss in the stratosphere [Mueller, 2021], there is still poor understanding of the controls on
- tropospheric aN₂O and its seasonal and inter-annual variations. 115
- 116 Since the seasonality of aN_2O has been detected with high-precision measurements [Jiang et al., 2007], research on the seasonal and interannual net flux of stratosphere-troposphere 117 exchange (STE) of N₂O has demonstrated its large impact on aN₂O seasonality [e.g., Nevison et 118 al., 2011; D. J. Ruiz et al., 2021; Daniel J. Ruiz and Prather, 2022]. aN₂O was also inverted 119 using atmospheric transport models to derive surface N₂O fluxes [e.g., *Bergamaschi et al.*, 2015; 120 Hirsch et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2019] which showed the importance of surface N₂O fluxes 121 122 for aN₂O seasonality and interannual variability. As terrestrial ecosystems have been largely responding to climate and environmental changes, including the increasing atmospheric CO₂ 123 concentration and enhanced N-fertilizer application [Tian et al., 2020; Walker et al., 2021], 124 compared to pre-industrial time, the annual terrestrial emissions of N₂O have also increased in 125 126 recent decades across the globe and with different intensities along the latitudinal zones (e.g., 2007-2016, see Figure 2 by *Tian et al.* [2020]). Due to the lack of global monthly anthropogenic 127 N₂O emissions, some earlier studies could not consider the influence of the rising N₂O emissions 128 from agriculture and natural terrestrial systems on aN₂O seasonality [Nevison et al., 2005]. In 129 contrast, more recent aN₂O inversion studies still typically used a limited set of N₂O surface flux 130 estimates from ocean and land as priors [Liang et al., 2022; Patra et al., 2022; Thompson et al., 131 2014]. Hence, information on how N_2O fluxes from land and ocean emissions, especially in the 132 changing land biosphere, contribute to the seasonal variation in aN₂O is still lacking. 133
- Therefore, to assess the human impacts on aN_2O , there is a need to understand the 134 response of aN₂O to the rapidly rising terrestrial N₂O emissions. In this study, we analyze the 135 seasonal variations in N₂O flux estimates from eight Terrestrial Biosphere Models participating 136 in the global Nitrogen/N₂O Model Inter-comparison Project (NMIP [*Tian et al.*, 2018]) and four 137 ocean biogeochemical models. The flux patterns from these models are prescribed in an 138 atmospheric transport matrix to simulate their contribution to the aN₂O seasonality at a set of air 139
- monitoring stations. 140

141 **2 Materials and Methods**

142 2.1 N₂O emissions

The N_2O fluxes from the land and ocean were simulated separately as part of the 143 Nitrogen/N₂O Model Intercomparison Project (NMIP-2) [*Tian et al.*, 2018]. The soil emissions 144 of N₂O from terrestrial ecosystems, both natural and agricultural lands, were modeled with eight 145 process-based Terrestrial Biosphere Models (TBMs) and air-ocean fluxes with four Earth 146 system/ocean biogeochemical models (Table 1). As the analysis for spatial data was carried out 147 at $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ resolution, model outputs with different resolutions were regridded with a 148 conservative remapping method. Natural fires and related biomass burning N₂O emissions are 149 included in the TBM ensemble. Surface N₂O emissions from industry and fossil fuel (~1 TgN yr 150 ¹), and from anthropogenic biomass burning, waste and wastewater, lightning, and inland and 151 estuaries (~2 TgN yr⁻¹), totaling ca. 18 % of overall emissions (~17 TgN yr⁻¹) for the period 152 2007-2016, are not included (for detailed estimates of source contributions see Tian et al., 2020]. 153 These sectorial emissions (industry, fossil) are likely to have a comparably small influence on 154 aN₂O seasonality at the six remote stations, given their small magnitude. All TBMs were forced 155 with the same, NMIP-2, input datasets (Table 2). The climate data from 1901 to 1920 were 156 recycled for the spin-up period and the 1850 to 1900 period of transient simulation. In addition, 157 there is a control simulation for 171 years with recycled climate data from 1901 to 1920 and 158 other forcing data fixed at the earliest available time (see Table 2). The control simulations show 159 no drift in N_2O emissions, indicating an equilibrium state. Ocean emissions have a relatively 160 161 small influence on modeled aN_2O seasonality (see results), and we refer to *Tian et al.*, 2020 and references in Tab. 1 for information on the 3-dimensional, dynamic ocean circulation-162 biogeochemistry models used to simulate ocean N₂O subsurface production from nitrification 163 and denitrification and resulting net exchange with the atmosphere. The ocean-model emissions 164

are compared to an observation-derived global climatology [Yang et al. 2020].

Model	Reference	Resolution (degree)	Pre-industrial representation	Present-day representation				
Land fluxes								
CLASSIC	Melton et al. [2020]	0.5	N/A	2001-2020				
DLEM	<i>Tian et al.</i> [2015]	0.5	1861-1880	S/A				
ELM	Zhu et al. [2019]	0.5	S/A	S/A				
ISAM	Shu et al. [2020]	0.5	S/A	S/A				
LPX-Bern	Lienert and Joos [2018]	0.5	S/A	S/A				
OCN	Zaehle and Friend [2010]	1	S/A	S/A				
ORCHIDEE	Vuichard et al. [2019]	0.5	S/A	S/A				
VISIT	Ito et al. [2018]	0.5	S/A	S/A				
	Ocean	fluxes						
Bern3D	Battaglia and Joos [2018]	ca. 8	S/A	2001-2020				
UVic2.9	Landolfi et al. [2017]	$1.8^\circ imes 3.6^\circ$	N/A	2001-2019				
CNRM-ESM2-1	Seferian et al. [2019]	0.25	N/A	2001-2018				
ECCO-Darwin	Carroll et al. [2020], Ganesan et al. [2020]	1/3	N/A	2001-2013				

Table 1 Terrestrial Biosphere Models and ocean biogeochemical models for N₂O surface fluxes
 in the NMIP-2 ensemble and descriptions. N/A: not applicable; S/A: same as above.

Two periods were considered in this study, namely pre-industrial (PI) from 1861 to 1880, and present day (PD) from 2001 to 2020 or depending on the available model outputs (preindustrial N_2O fluxes from the ocean are only available for Bern3D, Table 1). The intra-annual variations of the land and ocean N_2O fluxes were calculated by detrending the flux time series, i.e., monthly anomalies from 12-month running averages that are centered around zero by subtracting the overall mean.

Input data	Source/product	Period	Reference
Climate	CRU-JRA55	1901-2020	Harris [2021]
Atmospheric CO ₂	Ice core CO_2 data and NOAA annual observations	1850-2020	Joos and Spahni [2008]; Lan et al. [2023b]
Land cover change	Land-Use Harmonization (LUH2)	1850-2020	Hurtt et al. [2020]
Atmospheric nitrogen deposition	International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC)/Stratospheric Processes and Their Role in Climate (SPARC) Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI)	1850-2020	Hegglin et al. [2016]
Nitrogen fertilization	Harmonized Anthropogenic Nitrogen Input (HaNi)	1911-2020	<i>Tian et al.</i> , 2022
Manure nitrogen	Harmonized Anthropogenic Nitrogen Input (HaNi)	1850-2020	<i>Tian et al.</i> , 2022

174 **Table 2** Input datasets for NMIP-2 Terrestrial Biosphere Models.

175 2.2 Modeled atmospheric N_2O anomaly

The local aN_2O seasonal cycles are simulated at six greenhouse gas monitoring stations, 176 including two high-latitude stations (Alert and Barrow), three tropical stations (Ascension Island, 177 Ragged Point, and Samoa), and one mid-latitude southern hemisphere station (Cape Grim). We 178 use the Jacobian transport matrix determined by Kaminski et al. (1999) from a simulation with 179 TM2, a global 3-dimensional model of the atmospheric transport of passive tracers [Heimann, 180 1995], The Jacobian matrix maps the influence of the surface flux from every grid cell and every 181 month on aN₂O for a particular station and month. The matrix provides a convenient and cost-182 efficient way to quantify the basic impacts of surface fluxes on atmospheric tracer seasonality. 183 *Kaminski et al. (1999)* applied TM2 with a horizontal resolution of $\sim 10^{\circ} \times 8^{\circ}$, 9 layers, a 4-184 185 hourly time step, and repeatedly cycling through the meteorological fields of the year 1987 from analyses of the European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF), adjusted for 186 air mass conservation. Here, we use the transport matrix with surface N₂O fluxes from the 187 previous 11 months and the current month when calculating the monthly aN_2O anomaly. 188 Interannual variability in transport and its influence on aN₂O is not considered, while interannual 189 aN₂O variability from surface flux variability is simulated. Stratospheric loss processes and the 190 191 net flux of stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) on aN₂O seasonality are not represented by the TM2 matrix. Therefore, the simulated aN₂O seasonality from surface fluxes is expected to 192 193 deviate from observations, given the importance of STE for aN₂O seasonality.

In the standard setup, monthly N_2O fluxes from the land by the TBMs and the ocean surface by ocean biogeochemical models (Table 1) in both PI and PD periods are the input for computing aN_2O anomalies. In addition, land and ocean emissions were passed separately to the TM2 matrix to assess their individual influence on aN_2O seasonality. Further, the impacts on 198 monthly aN_2O anomaly by the intra-annual variations in flux versus those from the

- deseasonalized spatial flux pattern were separately quantified. Accordingly, the detrended time
- series of N_2O fluxes from the land and ocean, i.e., monthly anomalies from 12-month running
- averages that are centered around zero by subtracting the overall mean, were used to simulate the aN₂O anomaly caused by the intra-annual variation of N₂O emissions. The 12-month running
- averages of the fluxes, as deseasonalized fluxes, were used to estimate the influence from the
- mean spatial pattern and long-term trend of N_2O emissions on aN_2O at each station. The sum of
- aN_2O simulated with these decomposed fluxes matches aN_2O simulated in the standard setup.
- For the total contribution from land and ocean surface flux to aN_2O seasonality, each individual
- land output was combined with every ocean output resulting 32 members for the total surface
 flux.

209 2.3 Atmospheric N₂O seasonality observations

210 The atmospheric N_2O mixing ratios (aN_2O) for the selected stations were obtained from surface flask measurements by National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) 211 Carbon Cycle Greenhouse Gases (CCGG) (www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/obspack/) available at 212 weekly to biweekly frequency [Dlugokencky et al., 2021] (Table 5). Data for the selected stations 213 were available from 2000-2001 to 2018-2019. Months with missing values were omitted. The 214 observation-based seasonal aN₂O anomaly was calculated from the detrended time series, i.e., 215 the difference from 12-month running averages then deducting the overall mean. The 216 observation-based, period-mean seasonality of aN₂O is computed from the aN₂O anomalies 217 218 weighted by the measurement uncertainties which are included in the datasets. The amplitude of aN₂O seasonality is determined as the min-to-max difference for each year. 219

220 2.4 Data analysis

The data analysis and plotting were carried out in Python [Van Rossum and Drake Jr, 221 1995] with packages xarray [Hoyer and J. Hamman, 2017], pandas [The pandas development 222 *team*, 2020], and matplotlib [*Hunter*, 2007]. The seasonality of modeled N_2O fluxes and aN_2O is 223 the temporal mean intra-annual variation over the investigated periods for each individual model 224 and for the multi-model median. The seasonal amplitude of modeled N₂O fluxes and aN₂O is 225 determined as the min-to-max difference of monthly fluxes or monthly mean mixing ratio on a 226 yearly basis for each model. The multi-model median and quartiles of the seasonal amplitude are 227 228 calculated from the long-term mean seasonal amplitudes of all the models as well as all the combinations of land and ocean models. 229

230 **3 Results**

- 231 3.1 Seasonality in modeled N₂O emissions
- 232 3.1.1 Land emissions

The multi-model medians of N₂O emissions show large intra-annual and spatial heterogeneity on land (red lines) and ocean (blue lines, Figure 1d, e, f). In austral summer (December, January, and February; DJF), high land emissions are simulated for the Southern Hemisphere (SH) in the tropics ($0^{\circ} - 20^{\circ}$ S, 2.7 TgN yr⁻¹, multi-model median) and subtropics (20° S - 40° S, 0.85 TgN yr⁻¹, Figure 1a, d; Table 3) for the present-day period (PD; 2001-2020). Global average emissions for DJF (PI: 6.17 TgN yr⁻¹) grew 36% since the pre-industrial (PI, 239 1861-1880: 4.55 TgN yr⁻¹), with increases in all latitudinal zones (0.01 to 0.43 TgN yr⁻¹, Figure

- 1d; Table 3). In boreal summer (June, July, and August; JJA), high emissions are simulated for the Northern Hemisphere (NH) in the subtropics $(20^{\circ}N - 40^{\circ}N, 3.62 \text{ TgN yr}^{-1})$, tropics $(0^{\circ} -$
- 241 the Northern remisplete (101) in the subtropies (20 N = 40 N, 3.02 rg/V yr⁻¹), tropies (0 = 20°N, 2.78 TgN yr⁻¹), and temperate zone (40°N 60°N, 2.20 Tg N yr⁻¹, Figure 1b, e; Table 3).
- In these regions, average emissions for JJA also noticeably increased from the PI to PD by 2.41
- 244 (200%), 0.92 (49%), and 1.09 (98%) TgN yr⁻¹, respectively (Figure 1e; Table 3). The global
- average emissions for JJA grew by 81% and 4.76 TgN yr⁻¹. The seasonal difference (|DJF-JJA|)
- in terrestrial N₂O emissions is substantial between 20°S and 60°N with maxima in 20°N to 40°N
- 247 (Figure 1c, f; Table 3), though the seasonal difference is, on average, close to zero near the
- equator. Seasonality in emissions also increased from the PI to PD (Figure 1f; Table 3). In
- addition, the N_2O emissions simulated by the eight TBMs range widely in both seasons and
- seasonal differences for PD as well as PI period (Figure 1; Table 3) where the large interquartile
- ranges coincide with high emission regions (Figure S1).
- 252 **Table 3** Multi-model seasonal N_2O net surface-to-atmosphere fluxes from the land and the ocean
- for present day (2001-2020) and pre-industrial (1861-1880) periods from different latitudinal
- 254 zones (TgN yr⁻¹) in DJF (December, January, and February), JJA (June, July, and August), and

the absolute differences between these two seasons. Multi-model median and [25th percentile,

- 256 75th percentile] of long-term model average are given. Pre-industrial N₂O fluxes from the ocean
- are only available for Bern3D. N/A: not applicable.

Latituda	Land			Ocean				
Lantude	DJF	JJA	DJF-JJA	DJF	JJA	DJF-JJA		
	Present day (2001-2020)							
60°N - 90°N	0.02 [0.00, 0.05]	0.35 [0.14, 0.72]	0.32 [0.60, 0.12]	0.01 [-0.03, 0.05]	0.08 [0.05, 0.12]	0.07 [0.15, 0.00]		
$40^{\circ}N$ - $60^{\circ}N$	0.42 [0.22, 0.75]	2.20 [1.24, 3.63]	1.62 [2.99, 0.76]	0.37 [0.18, 0.65]	0.27 [0.21, 0.36]	0.11 [0.12, 0.39]		
$20^{\circ}N$ - $40^{\circ}N$	0.79 [0.46, 1.46]	3.62 [2.43, 5.47]	2.48 [4.20, 1.35]	0.37 [0.10, 0.83]	0.25 [0.19, 0.38]	0.12 [0.16, 0.53]		
0° - $20^{\circ}N$	1.36 [0.79, 2.51]	2.78 [1.77, 4.56]	1.18 [2.55, 0.29]	0.81 [0.47, 1.43]	0.79 [0.51, 1.28]	0.01 [0.42, 0.49]		
0° - $20^{\circ}S$	2.70 [1.60, 4.47]	1.29 [0.77, 2.52]	1.02 [0.16, 2.38]	0.53 [0.37, 0.97]	0.84 [0.48, 1.76]	0.32 [0.87, 0.05]		
$20^\circ S$ - $40^\circ S$	0.85 [0.52, 1.46]	0.36 [0.20, 0.62]	0.43 [0.16, 0.91]	0.33 [0.27, 0.47]	0.32 [0.05, 0.81]	0.01 [0.42, 0.30]		
$40^\circ S$ - $60^\circ S$	0.03 [0.02, 0.05]	0.03 [0.02, 0.04]	0.00 [0.01, 0.01]	0.68 [0.52, 0.83]	0.67 [0.36, 1.04]	0.02 [0.39, 0.32]		
60°S - 90°S	NaN	NaN	NaN	0.22 [0.15, 0.32]	0.03 [0.01, 0.09]	0.16 [0.07, 0.27]		
Global	6.17 [3.62, 10.75]	10.63 [6.56, 17.58]	4.15 [10.03, 0.78]	3.33 [2.02, 5.55]	3.26 [1.85, 5.83]	0.02 [2.46, 2.24]		
		Pre	-industrial (1861-18	380)				
60°N - 90°N	0.01 [0.00, 0.05]	0.28 [0.12, 0.70]	0.26 [0.57, 0.11]	-0.03	0.07	0.10		
$40^{\circ}N$ - $60^{\circ}N$	0.15 [0.06, 0.34]	1.11 [0.59, 1.94]	0.85 [1.59, 0.40]	0.43	0.26	0.17		
$20^{\circ}N$ - $40^{\circ}N$	0.37 [0.18, 0.65]	1.21 [0.74, 2.17]	0.74 [1.62, 0.34]	0.31	0.23	0.08		
0° - $20^{\circ}N$	1.08 [0.57, 2.23]	1.86 [1.08, 3.35]	0.56 [1.60, 0.10]	1.07	0.92	0.15		
0° - $20^{\circ}S$	2.29 [1.22, 3.95]	1.16 [0.59, 2.35]	0.72 [0.03, 2.00]	1.02	1.93	0.90		
$20^\circ S$ - $40^\circ S$	0.63 [0.34, 1.13]	0.23 [0.11, 0.46]	0.26 [0.10, 0.69]	0.29	0.43	0.14		
$40^\circ S$ - $60^\circ S$	0.02 [0.01, 0.03]	0.01 [0.00, 0.02]	0.00 [0.00, 0.01]	0.71	1.07	0.36		
$60^{\circ}S$ - $90^{\circ}S$	NaN	NaN	NaN	0.19	0.07	0.13		
Global	4.55 [2.38, 8.38]	5.86 [3.24, 11.00]	1.41 [5.31, 1.95]	4.00	4.96	0.97		

258

259

The seasonality of land N_2O fluxes was separated by latitudinal regions (Figure 2). The regions with high seasonal differences in N_2O emissions (Table 3), as well as with the highest

- annual N₂O emissions (Table 4) in the NH ($20^{\circ}N 40^{\circ}N$, $0^{\circ} 20^{\circ}N$ and $40^{\circ}N 60^{\circ}N$), show
- emissions peaking in June to August and a long-term mean seasonal amplitude of 3.84, 1.82, and 2.42 TgN yr^{-1} (multi-model median), respectively, (Figure 2b, c, d; Table 4). The seasonal
- 262 2.42 TgN yr⁻¹(multi-model median), respectively, (Figure 2b, c, d; Table 4). The seasonal 263 amplitudes of N_2O emissions from individual land models differ by 2 to 5 folds in these regions.
- In the SH, the land emissions show the opposite phasing compared to the NH. The N_2O
- emissions from $0^{\circ} 20^{\circ}$ S have the strongest seasonality, with a peak in January and an
- ensemble-median amplitude of 2.04 Tg N yr⁻¹. The model spread is large and seasonal
- amplitudes differ by more than 7 folds among the land models (Figure 2e). Land N_2O emissions
- from $60^{\circ}N 90^{\circ}N$ and $20^{\circ}S 40^{\circ}S$ are comparably low and show very weak intra-annual
- variations on absolute terms (Figure 2a, f; Table 4).

Compared to the PI period, the global terrestrial N₂O emissions increased by 36% while the seasonal amplitude by 81% (Table 4). The seasonal amplitude of terrestrial N₂O emissions in the SH increased by 18% for $0^{\circ} - 20^{\circ}$ S and by 107% for 20° S - 40°S. The increase in amplitude is even larger for the NH land, with 35% to 108% for $0^{\circ} - 20^{\circ}$ N, 20° N - 40°N, and 40°N - 60°N (Figure 2; Table 4).

- Table 4 Modeled net land and ocean annual N_2O fluxes to the atmosphere from different
- latitudinal zones (TgN yr⁻¹) and seasonal amplitude (TgN yr⁻¹), quantified by the difference
- between minimum and maximum flux, for present day (2001-2020) and pre-industrial (1861-
- 1880) periods. Multi-model median and [25th percentile, 75th percentile] of long-term model
- average are given. Pre-industrial N₂O fluxes from the ocean are only available for Bern3D. N/A:
- not applicable.

Latituda		Land		Ocean				
Lattude	Annual flux	Annual flux Min-to-max amplitude Annual flux		Min-to-max amplitude				
Present day (2001-2020)								
60°N - 90°N	0.21 [0.05, 0.37]	0.56 [0.22, 0.82]	0.03 [0.01, 0.05]	0.16 [0.12, 0.19]				
40°N - 60°N	1.60 [1.38, 1.92]	2.42 [2.27, 3.32]	0.30 [0.24, 0.44]	0.67 [0.37, 0.73]				
20°N - 40°N	2.61 [2.45, 2.76]	3.84 [2.80, 4.60]	0.25 [0.18, 0.31]	0.49 [0.30, 0.76]				
0° - 20°N	2.77 [2.18, 3.01]	1.82 [1.26, 3.96]	0.94 [0.54, 1.14]	0.77 [0.33, 0.81]				
0° - 20°S	2.37 [2.01, 3.04]	2.04 [1.64, 2.46]	1.26 [0.48, 1.43]	1.12 [0.28, 1.13]				
$20^{\circ}S$ - $40^{\circ}S$	0.78 [0.62, 0.90]	0.75 [0.38, 0.92]	0.20 [0.19, 0.24]	0.56 [0.42, 0.58]				
40° S - 60° S	0.03 [0.02, 0.04]	0.02 [0.02, 0.03]	0.57 [0.47, 0.66]	0.73 [0.48, 1.04]				
60°S - 90°S	N/A	N/A	0.09 [0.07, 0.22]	0.24 [0.18, 0.34]				
Global	10.51 [9.80, 11.21]	6.13 [5.17, 7.56]	3.76 [2.91, 4.19]	2.26 [2.11, 2.40]				
		Pre-industrial (1861-1880)						
60°N - 90°N	0.19 [0.05, 0.42]	0.55 [0.19, 0.79]	0.01	0.13				
40°N - 60°N	0.92 [0.67, 1.02]	1.58 [1.27, 1.77]	0.28	0.75				
20°N - 40°N	1.02 [0.87, 1.21]	1.85 [0.69, 2.07]	0.20	0.54				
0° - 20°N	2.03 [1.89, 2.37]	1.35 [1.05, 2.23]	0.97	0.82				
0° - 20° S	2.23 [2.00, 2.50]	1.73 [1.20, 2.72]	1.47	1.21				
$20^{\circ}S$ - $40^{\circ}S$	0.60 [0.48, 0.64]	0.36 [0.30, 0.84]	0.27	0.60				
40° S - 60° S	0.02 [0.01, 0.02]	0.01 [0.01, 0.02]	0.71	1.16				
$60^{\circ}S$ - $90^{\circ}S$	N/A	N/A	0.08	0.26				
Global	7.73 [6.21, 8.03]	3.39 [2.66, 4.11]	3.99	2.37				





Figure 1 Long-term average N₂O emission density (multi-model median) in recent decades (present day, PD; 2001-2020), from the land and ocean (gN m⁻² yr⁻¹) for DJF (a, December,

- (present day, PD; 2001-2020), from the land and ocean (gN m⁻² yr⁻¹) for DJF (a, December,
 January, and February), JJA (b, June, July, and August), and the absolute differences between
- these two seasons (c), as well as the emissions (TgN yr^{-1} ; dark lines: multi-model median; light
- lines: ensemble members) along the latitudinal gradient resolved by 0.5° for both the land (red
- lines) and the ocean (blue lines) during PD (solid lines) and pre-industrial period (PI; 1861-1880,
- dashed lines) (d, e, f). The selected NOAA/CCGG stations are marked by red points in (c) (ALT:
- Alert, BRW: Barrow, RPB: Ragged Point, ASC: Ascension Island, SMO: Samoa, CGO: Cape
- Grim). Pre-industrial N₂O fluxes from the ocean are only available for Bern3D.





Figure 2 Long-term average seasonality of N_2O emissions for present day (PD, 2001-2020) for different latitudinal zones, and the seasonal minimum and maximum of emissions anomalies for each zone (right panels) during pre-industrial (PI, 1861-1880) and PD periods simulated by NMIP-2 land models respectively. The vertical lines in the right panels indicate \pm 1 standard deviation from temporal variability around the period means. Thick lines indicate multi-model median and thin lines individual models in the left panels.



Figure 3 Same as Figure 2 but for the ocean. Circles are reconstructions of a global climatology
 for monthly ocean N₂O emissions for the period 1988 to 2007 by *Yang et al.* [2020].

304 3.1.2 Ocean emissions

Monthly ocean N₂O emissions are lower with less intra-annual variation compared to 305 land emissions (Figures 1 and 3; Tables 3 and 4). Seasonal mean ocean emissions during PD 306 show similar patterns during DJF and JJA, with relatively higher emissions from $0^{\circ} - 20^{\circ}$ N, $0^{\circ} -$ 307 20° S, and $40^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}$ S in both seasons (median of 0.53 to 0.84 TgN yr⁻¹). The absolute differences 308 between DJF and JJA are the highest for $0^{\circ} - 20^{\circ}$ S (0.32 TgN yr⁻¹) and $60^{\circ} - 90^{\circ}$ S (0.16 TgN yr⁻¹) 309 ¹), while they amount to less than 0.37 TgN yr⁻¹ in other regions and globally only 0.02 TgN yr⁻¹ 310 (Table 3). Pre-industrial (PI) N₂O fluxes from the ocean are only available for Bern3D, therefore 311 they cannot be compared directly with the multi-model median. The modeled changes in ocean 312 emissions since the PI periods are comparably low in all regions (Figure 1f). 313

Similar to land emissions, the ocean N_2O emissions also show distinct seasonal cycles with different phasing between the NH and SH for PD (Figure 3). The long-term seasonal

amplitudes for most latitudinal zones differ by 3 to 8 folds among the ocean models. However,

317 the seasonal amplitudes of ocean N_2O emissions are usually much smaller than that of land N_2O

emissions (ocean: median of up to 1.12 TgN yr^{-1} ; land: median of up to 3.84 TgN yr^{-1} for

different regions, Table 4), and the seasonality of ocean N_2O emissions remains approximately constant from the PI to PD for Bern3D (Figure 3). A reconstructed global climatology for

monthly ocean N_2O emissions from surface N_2O measurements by *Yang et al.* [2020] shows

322 comparable seasonality for all latitudinal zones (blue circles in Figure 3).

Table 5 Selected NOAA/CCGG stations (ALT: Alert, BRW: Barrow, RPB: Ragged Point, ASC:

Ascension Island, SMO: Samoa, CGO: Cape Grim) and their seasonal amplitude of atmospheric

N₂O mixing ratio (ppb; mean \pm 1 standard deviation) observed by flask measurements since

326 2000 (n = 16 - 19).

ID	Location		Altitude (m a.s.l.)		Min-to-rr	ax amplitude
ALT	82.5°N	62.5°W	210	0.96	±	0.30
BRW	71.3°N	156.6°W	475	1.08	±	0.27
RPB	13.2°N	59.4°W	45	0.53	±	0.18
ASC	7.9°S	14.4°W	54	0.55	±	0.18
SMO	14.3°S	170.6°W	42	1.00	±	0.25
CGO	40.7°S	144.7°E	164	0.83	±	0.31

327 3.2 Seasonality in observed and modeled atmospheric N₂O

The local aN₂O modeled from land and ocean N₂O fluxes show seasonal cycles, which 328 vary in phase and amplitude for different stations (solid black lines in Figure 4; Table 6). We 329 recall that the net flux from the troposphere-stratosphere exchange is not included in the model, 330 and thus the mismatch between observation and model results largely represents the stratospheric 331 contribution (yellow lines in Figure 4; Table 5 and 6). At the northern high-latitude stations Alert 332 (ALT) and Barrow (BRW), the modeled aN₂O have seasonal cycles with amplitudes of 0.70 and 333 0.77 ppb (multi-model median) and October maxima during the PD, while the observed seasonal 334 amplitudes are 0.96 ± 0.3 and 1.08 ± 0.27 ppb (long-term mean ± 1 standard deviation), 335



- the northern high-latitude stations, with October maximum and an amplitude of 0.58 ppb, close 338
- to the observed seasonal amplitude of 0.53 ± 0.18 ppb. However, the observed seasonal cycle at 339
- these three NH stations is out-of-phase with the modeled cycle with a ca. 5-month delay in 340
- maxima. The estimated stratospheric contribution is out-of-phase with respect to the modeled 341
- contribution from fluxes and has a larger amplitude at these three NH stations (multi-model 342
- median of 1.48, 1.73, and 0.81 for ALT, BRW, and RPB; Table 6). At the SH stations, 343
- Ascension Island (ASC) and Samoa (SMO) in the tropics and Cape Grim (CGO) in the mid-344
- latitudes, the modeled aN₂O shows less clear seasonal patterns compared to the NH stations. The 345 modeled seasonal amplitude at ASC is 0.47 ppb with a March maximum and the observed 346
- amplitude is 0.55 ± 0.18 ppb with a matching maximum; the modeled amplitude at SMO is 0.39 347
- ppb, much lower than observed (1 ± 0.25 ppb), and the maximum occurs in February in the 348
- model and January in the observations; with individual models largely differing in phasing, the 349
- modeled amplitude for CGO is the lowest of the six stations at 0.28 ppb, with a February 350
- maximum, and also much lower than observed (0.83 ± 0.31), with a December maximum (Figure 351
- 4; Table 5 and 6). The stratospheric contributions at these SH stations are estimated to have 352
- similar seasonal amplitudes to the observed (multi-model median of 0.63, 0.84, and 0.91 for 353
- ASC, SMO, and CGO). The interquartile ranges of the seasonal aN₂O amplitude from 354
- 355 uncertainties in surface model fluxes are between 26 to 52% of the median for the six stations.



Figure 4 Mismatch (yellow lines) between observed (solid grey circles) and modeled aN₂O 357 seasonality (black lines) at different NOAA/CCGG flask stations (ALT: Alert, BRW: Barrow, 358 CGO: Cape Grim, RPB: Ragged Point, SMO: Samoa, ASC: Ascension Island) for present day 359 (2001-2020). Thick lines indicate multi-model median and thin lines individual model. Vertical 360 lines on grey circles indicate standard deviation from temporal variability weighted by 361 measurement uncertainty. The observation-model mismatch provides an estimate of the 362 363 stratospheric contribution to aN₂O seasonality from N₂O loss and resulting stratospheretroposphere net fluxes. A modeled stratospheric tracer of N₂O indicating stratospheric 364

contribution for the same period using the chemistry-transport model, LMDz6 (see Daniel J. 365

Ruiz and Prather, [2022] for methods), is shown in purple lines to for comparison. 366

Table 6 Modeled seasonal min-to-max amplitude of atmospheric N₂O mixing ratio (ppb; multi-

model median [25^{th} percentile, 75^{th} percentile] derived from long-term average of modeled aN₂O seasonal cycle) attributed to total of land and ocean emissions as well as separated and the

seasonal cycle) attributed to total of land and ocean emissions as well as separated and the
 mismatch (estimated stratospheric contribution for present day) at NOAA/CCGG stations (ALT:

Alert, BRW: Barrow, RPB: Ragged Point, ASC: Ascension Island, SMO: Samoa, CGO: Cape

Grim). Pre-industrial N_2O fluxes from the ocean are only available for Bern3D.

Site	Present day (2001-2020)				Pre-industrial (1861-1880)		
	Land + ocean	Land	Ocean	Mismatch	Land + ocean	Land	Ocean
ALT	0.70 [0.65, 0.85]	0.78 [0.71, 0.94]	0.16 [0.15, 0.18]	1.48 [1.39, 1.60]	0.44 [0.38, 0.50]	0.48 [0.39, 0.56]	0.14
BRW	0.77 [0.67, 0.87]	0.80 [0.72, 0.93]	0.19 [0.18, 0.22]	1.73 [1.64, 1.89]	0.43 [0.36, 0.50]	0.49 [0.41, 0.57]	0.17
RPB	0.58 [0.45, 0.69]	0.60 [0.51, 0.70]	0.18 [0.15, 0.20]	0.81 [0.65, 0.98]	0.54 [0.41, 0.56]	0.47 [0.36, 0.48]	0.20
ASC	0.47 [0.42, 0.59]	0.41 [0.37, 0.46]	0.18 [0.14, 0.22]	0.63 [0.60, 0.68]	0.48 [0.40, 0.49]	0.30 [0.28, 0.37]	0.26
SMO	0.39 [0.36, 0.46]	0.32 [0.27, 0.39]	0.14 [0.12, 0.17]	0.84 [0.82, 0.90]	0.29 [0.21, 0.32]	0.22 [0.14, 0.27]	0.14
CGO	0.28 [0.23, 0.38]	0.25 [0.21, 0.34]	0.25 [0.24, 0.29]	0.91 [0.85, 0.96]	0.24 [0.20, 0.26]	0.19 [0.14, 0.26]	0.24

373 3.2.1 Contributions from land versus ocean N₂O emissions

When transporting N_2O emissions from the land (solid red lines in Figure 5) and the 374 ocean (solid blue lines in Figure 5) separately, the corresponding aN₂O seasonal cycles differ by 375 phasing and amplitude at all stations (Figure 5; Table 6). The seasonal aN_2O amplitude resulting 376 from land N₂O emissions (0.32 to 0.80 ppb, multi-model median) is generally larger than from 377 378 ocean N₂O emissions (0.14 to 0.29 ppb), except for CGO (0.25 ppb from both land and ocean; Table 6). Moreover, due to the differences in seasonal aN_2O phasing from land versus ocean 379 fluxes, the modeled aN₂O seasonal amplitudes from only land emissions are slightly larger than 380 those from total emissions at NH stations (by 0.01 to 0.08 ppb), and smaller at SH stations (by 381 0.02 to 0.07 ppb; Table 6). Furthermore, the modeled aN₂O seasonality from total N₂O emissions 382 383 has a similar phasing compared to that from only land emissions at all stations, except for CGO, where ocean emissions strongly influence aN_2O seasonality. (Figure 5; Table 6). The 384 interquartile ranges of the seasonal aN₂O amplitude are between 21 to 52% of the median from 385 uncertainties in land model fluxes and between 17 to 42% from uncertainties in ocean model 386 387 fluxes for the six stations.

For the pre-industrial (PI) period, modeled aN_2O seasonal cycles have a similar phasing to that of PD (dashed lines for PI and solid lines for PD in Figure 5). The PI ocean N_2O emissions are only available by Bern3D, showing small PD-PI differences (Figure 5). The seasonal amplitudes attributed to land N_2O fluxes increase at least by 26 % at all stations (PI: 0.19 to 0.49 ppb; PD: 0.25 to 0.80 ppb, Table 6), with the largest PD-PI differences at the northern high-latitude stations (ALT and BRW), by 0.29 and 0.31 ppb (61 and 64 %, Table 6).



394

Figure 5 Long-term average seasonality of observed aN₂O (solid grey circles) and modeled 395 aN₂O (lines) at different NOAA/CCGG flask stations (ALT: Alert, BRW: Barrow, RPB: Ragged 396 Point, ASC: Ascension Island, SMO: Samoa, CGO: Cape Grim). Modeled aN₂O seasonality are 397 attributed to the N_2O emissions from the land (solid red lines) and the ocean (solid blue lines), 398 399 and total emissions (solid black lines) for present day (2001-2020). Only the multi-model median of aN_2O seasonality for the pre-industrial period (1861-1880) is given (dashed lines). Thick lines 400 indicate multi-model median and thin lines individual models. Vertical lines on grey circles 401 indicate observed temporal variability weighted with measurement uncertainty. 402

403 3.2.2 Influence of intra-annual variation versus spatial pattern of N_2O emissions

Next, we quantify the contributions to aN₂O seasonality resulting from the intra-annual 404 variations in flux versus those from the deseasonalized spatial flux pattern. The deseasonalized 405 spatial pattern can cause seasonal variations in aN₂O due to seasonally varying atmospheric 406 transport. The intra-annual variation is obtained by using the detrended N₂O fluxes as sources for 407 the atmospheric transport model, while the remaining influence is obtained by the running mean 408 of N₂O fluxes (ALT, SMO in Figure 6; BRW, RPB, ASC, CGO in Figure S2). Besides, 409 industrial emissions that do not vary by season could also contribute to the spatial pattern but are 410 411 not considered in this study.





Figure 6 Modeled aN₂O seasonality at different NOAA/CCGG flask stations (ALT: Alert; SMO: 413 Samoa) from spatial pattern (deseasonalized fluxes as 12-month running mean) and seasonal 414 variation (detrended fluxes) of N₂O fluxes for pre-industrial period (1861-1880; dashed lines) 415 and present day (2001-2020; solid lines). Only the multi-model median of aN₂O seasonality for 416 the pre-industrial period (1861-1880) is given (dashed lines). Thick lines indicate multi-model 417 median and thin lines individual models. The aN_2O seasonality modeled from total (including 418 both spatial and seasonal variations) land and ocean N2O emissions of present day are repeated 419 420 for reference in all panels.

At the NH stations (ALT, BRW, and RPB), the (detrended) seasonal cycle of N_2O 421 emissions leads to similar phasing and amplitude for aN₂O seasonality (multi-model median: 422 0.66, 0.71, and 0.46 ppb, respectively) as when using total emissions (Figure 6 and S2; Table S1). 423 The seasonal amplitude of aN_2O from 12-month running mean fluxes is small (0.17 to 0.21 ppb, 424 425 Table S1), suggesting both land and ocean N_2O emissions contribute to the seasonality of aN_2O mainly via their seasonal cycles at the NH stations. At the tropical stations in the SH (ASC and 426 SMO), both phasing and amplitude of aN₂O seasonality are affected slightly more by the spatial 427 pattern (amplitude of 0.35 and 0.28 ppb) than the seasonality of N₂O fluxes (0.28 and 0.23 ppb, 428 Figure 6 and S2; Table S1). For the SH mid-latitude station CGO, land N₂O fluxes have similar 429 impacts on the aN_2O seasonal amplitude via their spatial pattern (0.19 ppb) than their seasonality 430 (0.21 ppb), while ocean fluxes have a stronger impact via their seasonality (0.22 ppb) than their 431 spatial pattern (0.06 ppb). The combined fluxes shows a larger impact via their seasonality (0.28 432 ppb) than their spatial pattern (0.14 ppb). In summary, the impacts of temporal variation and 433 spatial patterns of N₂O fluxes differ largely by site. 434

435 **4 Discussion**

Our results show large spatial and temporal variations and a pronounced seasonality in 436 N_2O surface-to-atmosphere fluxes. The variations and seasonality of N_2O emissions from the 437 land biosphere are stronger than those from the ocean. The largest increase in the seasonal 438 amplitude of emissions over the industrial period (1861-1880 to 2001-2020) is found over land 439 between 20°N and 40°N, with an industrial period increase in the seasonal amplitude of 108% 440 (multi-model median; Table 4). The increasing seasonality of land emissions is attributed, using 441 the factorial simulations of the NMIP project [Tian et al., 2018], to anthropogenic causes. The 442 responsible activities mainly are fertilizer applications in arable lands [see *Cardenas et al.*, 2013; 443 Fuchs et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2019] and land-use change such as converting natural land cover 444 to intensively managed croplands or pasture [Petitjean et al., 2015]. Besides, considerable model 445 spread in seasonal emissions (Figures 1 and S1) and min-to-max amplitude (Figures 2 and 3) of 446 N₂O emissions are noted for all latitudinal zones. 447

Modern land and ocean N₂O fluxes contribute to tropospheric N₂O (aN₂O) seasonality to 448 different extents at different stations (Figure 5; Table 6). The influence of these fluxes on aN₂O 449 always results in combination with seasonally and spatially varying atmospheric transport. For 450 all stations except CGO, land N_2O emissions influence aN_2O seasonality more than ocean N_2O 451 emissions, predominantly via their seasonal cycles at NH stations (ALT, Figure 6; BRW and 452 RBP, Figure S2; Table S1) while mainly via deseasonalized spatial patterns at remote tropical 453 stations in the SH (SMO, Figure 6; ASC, Figure S2; Table S1). At CGO, ocean N₂O fluxes show 454 455 a slightly stronger impact on aN_2O seasonality via their seasonal cycles (Figure S2, Table S1). These results suggest that total fluxes as opposed to detrended fluxes should be used to quantify 456 the overall influence of N₂O emissions on aN₂O seasonality. 457

Generally, the measured aN_2O seasonality is considered to be an outcome of seasonal 458 surface emissions, tropospheric transport, stratospheric loss, and stratosphere-to-troposphere 459 exchange (STE) [e.g., Bouwman and Taylor, 1996; Nevison et al., 2005; D. J. Ruiz et al., 2021]. 460 Our simulated aN₂O seasonality using TM2 represents only the contribution from surface fluxes 461 and predominantly tropospheric transport, whereas stratospheric N₂O loss and resulting net 462 463 fluxes by STE are not included. Thus, the mismatch between our modeled and the observed aN₂O seasonality (Figure 4, vellow lines) can be understood to represent the stratospheric 464 contribution to the aN₂O seasonal cycle, with some uncertainty due to the uncertainty in 465 modeling surface N₂O emissions and atmospheric transport (see also Fig 5 of D. J. Ruiz et al., 466 [2021] and Fig 5 of Daniel J. Ruiz and Prather, [2022]). At the northern hemisphere (NH) sites 467 (ALT, BRW, and RPB), the estimated stratospheric contributions to aN_2O (Figure 4), have a 468 minimum in August-September, nearly 6 months out-of-phase with the contributions from 469 surface fluxes. The stratospheric contributions have large amplitudes and apparently dominate 470 the observed seasonality at these NH sites, particularly at the high-altitude sites ALT and BRW. 471 The phasing of our estimated stratospheric contributions is consistent with a stratospheric tracer 472 of N₂O modeled using the chemistry-transport model, LMDz6 (following the method outlined in 473 [Daniel J. Ruiz and Prather, 2022], purple lines in Figure 4) with a maximum influence of STE 474 in August and September in the northern extratropic. At the tropical sites (ASC and SMO) there 475 476 is less influence from STE, and thus the observed seasonality of aN₂O is likely mostly driven by land emissions and tropospheric transport. At the southern hemisphere (SH) mid-latitude site, 477 CGO, our estimated stratospheric contribution has a minimum in March (Figure 4) and is thus 6 478 months out-of-phase with the NH, as expected. Furthermore, the amplitude of the estimated 479

480 stratospheric contribution is much smaller than in the NH (Table 6), which again is as expected

owing to the smaller seasonal amplitude of the STE net fluxes in the SH [*James et al.*, 2003;

482 *Daniel J. Ruiz and Prather*, 2022] and at the sites (Figure 4, purple lines). Moreover, at CGO, 483 the surface flux influence is only 3 months out-of-phase with that of the estimated stratospheric

the surface flux influence is only 3 months out-of-phase with that of the estimated stratospheric contribution, and the combination of both leads to the observed minimum in May-June. *Nevison*

et al. [2005] by analyzing the source contributions to aN₂O seasonality at Cape Grim show the

- 486 stratospheric influence with similar phasing (April minimum) to our estimated stratospheric
- 487 influence at Cape Grim.

We demonstrate that surface N_2O emissions contribute substantially to a N_2O seasonality, 488 although the phase of the observed seasonal cycle in the NH mid to high latitudes is out-of-phase 489 with the influence of surface fluxes and tropospheric transport. The impact of land N₂O 490 emissions on aN₂O seasonality is modeled to have increased considerably (61, 64, and 26 % at 491 ALT, BRW, and RPB, respectively) over the industrial period (Figure 5; Table 6). Ruiz et al. 492 [2021] suggest that the observed seasonality of aN_2O in the NH is explained by net fluxes from 493 STE rather than surface emissions. However, we show that the influence of surface emissions is 494 an important contribution to the net seasonal cycle, and without it, the seasonal amplitude would 495 be larger by 39 to 126% in the NH mid to high latitudes with a later minimum by ~1 month 496 (Figure 4). With global change and likely increasing N-fertilizer use, terrestrial biosphere N_2O 497 498 emissions are potentially continuing to increase in the future thus leading to a more important contribution of these emissions to the seasonal cycle of aN_2O in the years to come. This future 499 increase in the contribution from land N₂O fluxes is possibly lowering the seasonal amplitude 500 and causing a shift in phasing for aN₂O seasonality, especially in high-latitude regions. 501

Furthermore, the atmospheric transport matrix of TM2 [Kaminski et al., 1999] used in 502 this work represents a single-year meteorological field and hence cannot account for atmospheric 503 cycles and climate patterns with a non-annual frequency, such as Quasi-Biennial Oscillation and 504 El Nino/La Nina Southern Oscillation which have substantial impacts especially for low latitude 505 506 regions, namely ASC and SMO in this study. Moreover, Thompson et al. [2014] compared a set of chemistry-transport models that consider different annual meteorological fields and showed 507 considerable model spread in both phasing and amplitude for modeled aN₂O seasonality. Thus, 508 uncertainties from transport models also impose additional challenges in assessing source 509 510 contributions to aN₂O variations and in comparing results across studies.

There is a large model spread in N_2O emissions (Figure 1d-f, Figure S1) as well as their 511 seasonal amplitudes (the interquartile range is 28 to 148 % of the multi-model median of land 512 and ocean seasonal amplitude for different latitudinal zones, Figure 2; Table 4). For land 513 emissions which is the main source of N₂O, important processes of the coupled nitrogen-carbon-514 water cycles are represented differently among NMIP models (see evaluation of some of the 515 models by Kou-Giesbrecht et al., 2023]), contributing to the large model spread in emissions. 516 Further, there are uncertainties in N fertilizer application schemes, for instance, the application 517 timing for synthetic fertilizers as well as the usage of manure fertilizers. The spread in emissions 518 translates to a considerable range in modeled aN₂O seasonal cycle (interquartile range is 21 to 52% 519 of the multi-model median for the land contribution to the aN₂O seasonal amplitude, and 17 to 520 42% for the ocean contribution across sites, Figure 5; Table 6). 521

522 Our study suggests that drivers for the seasonality of aN_2O vary by site and land N_2O 523 emissions have become increasingly influential. Our understanding of the N cycle would benefit 524 greatly from further efforts to reduce uncertainties lying in processes from surface fluxes to atmospheric transport and chemistry. Future research considering observations and models can provide further evidence for the sources and impacts of N_2O emissions.

527 **5** Conclusions and outlook

Our results demonstrate that surface N_2O fluxes contribute significantly to the seasonality 528 of tropospheric N_2O at different observation sites. The model results from the Nitrogen/ N_2O 529 Model Intercomparison Project suggest a strong increase in the seasonal variation of terrestrial 530 531 biosphere N₂O emissions over the industrial period with an amplifying influence on the seasonality of tropospheric N₂O. The wide range of spatial and temporal variations simulated 532 among NMIP-2 models calls for model improvements and validations on different scales. In situ 533 aN₂O observations, in combination with atmospheric chemistry and transport models, may 534 provide a potential novel top-down constraint for nitrogen-N₂O-enabled land biosphere modeling. 535

536 Acknowledgements

The authors thank Thomas Kaminski for providing the atmospheric transport matrix. This study was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (200020 200511). AI was

study was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (200020 200511).
 supported by the Environmental Research and Technology Development Fund

(JPMEERF21S20830) of the Ministry of the Environment and the Environmental Restoration

and Conservation Agency of Japan. QZ acknowledges the support from the U.S. Department of

542 Energy through the Reducing Uncertainties in Biogeochemical Interactions through Synthesis

and Computation Scientific Focus Area (RUBISCO SFA) project. HT and NP acknowledge the

funding support from the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant number: 1903722). RLT

545acknowledges funding through the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service

546 (https://atmosphere.copernicus. eu/), implemented by ECMWF on behalf of the European

547 Commission and computing resources provided by LSCE.

548 **Open Research**

- 549 The NMIP-2 model outputs are available on request to Hanqin Tian
- (hanqin.tian@bc.edu). The ocean model outputs are available on request to Parvadha
- 551 Suntharalingam (P.Suntharalingam@uea.ac.uk) or Pierre Regnier (<u>pierre.regnier@ulb.be</u>). aN₂O
- observation data are available on the website of NOAA/CCGG
- $(https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends_n2o/). Other datasets used in this study are available upon$
- 554 contacting the correspondence author.
- 555 The scripts for reproducing the figures will be available on Zenodo.
- 556

557 **References**

- Bakker, D. C. E., et al. (2014), Air-sea interactions of natural long-lived greenhouse gases (CO2,
 N2O, CH4) in a changing climate, in *Ocean-Atmosphere Interactions of Gases and Particles*, edited, pp. 113-169.
- Battaglia, G., and F. Joos (2018), Marine N2O Emissions From Nitrification and Denitrification
 Constrained by Modern Observations and Projected in Multimillennial Global Warming
- 563 Simulations, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *32*(1), 92-121.

- Bergamaschi, P., et al. (2015), Top-down estimates of European CH4 and N2O emissions based
 on four different inverse models, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15(2), 715-736.
- Bouwman, A. F., and J. A. Taylor (1996), Testing high-resolution nitrous oxide emission
 estimates against observations using an atmospheric transport model, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 10(2), 307-318.
- Breider, F., C. Yoshikawa, A. Makabe, S. Toyoda, M. Wakita, Y. Matsui, S. Kawagucci, T.
 Fujiki, N. Harada, and N. Yoshida (2019), Response of N2O production rate to ocean
 acidification in the western North Pacific, *Nature Climate Change*, 9(12), 954-+.
- Butterbach-Bahl, K., E. M. Baggs, M. Dannenmann, R. Kiese, and S. Zechmeister-Boltenstern
 (2013), Nitrous oxide emissions from soils: how well do we understand the processes and
 their controls?, *Philos T R Soc B*, 368(1621).
- Canadell, J. G., et al. (2021), Global Carbon and other Biogeochemical Cycles and Feedbacks. In
 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the
 Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*Rep.*, V.
- Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen,
 L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K.
- Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.) (eds.), 673–816 pp, IPCC,
 Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, doi: 10.1017/9781009157896.007.
- Cardenas, L. M., et al. (2013), Towards an improved inventory of N2O from agriculture: Model
 evaluation of N2O emission factors and N fraction leached from different sources in UK
 agriculture, *Atmos Environ*, 79, 340-348.
- 585 Crutzen, P. J. (1970), Influence of Nitrogen Oxides on Atmospheric Ozone Content, *Q J Roy* 586 *Meteor Soc*, 96(408), 320-325.
- Dijkstra, F. A., S. A. Prior, G. B. Runion, H. A. Torbert, H. Tian, C. Lu, and R. T. Venterea
 (2012), Effects of elevated carbon dioxide and increased temperature on methane and
 nitrous oxide fluxes: evidence from field experiments, *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(10), 520-527.
- Dlugokencky, E., et al. (2021), Measurements of CO2, CH4, CO, N2O, H2, SF6 and isotopic
 ratios in flask-air samples at global and regional background sites starting in 1967, edited
 by G. M. L. NOAA Earth System Research Laboratories.
- Fischer, H., et al. (2018), Palaeoclimate constraints on the impact of 2 degrees C anthropogenic
 warming and beyond, *Nature Geoscience*, 11(7), 474-485.
- Fischer, H., et al. (2019), N2O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial Part 1: Quantitative reconstruction of terrestrial and marine emissions using N2O stable
 isotopes in ice cores, *Biogeosciences*, *16*(20), 3997-4021.
- Forster, P., T. Storelvmo, K. Armour, W. Collins, J.-L. Dufresne, D. Frame, D. Lunt, T.
 Mauritsen, M. Palmer, and M. Watanabe (2021), The Earth's energy budget, climate
 feedbacks, and climate sensitivity*Rep.*, V. Masson-Delmotte, et al. (eds.), Cambridge
 University Press, London, UK.
- Fuchs, K., et al. (2020), Evaluating the Potential of Legumes to Mitigate N2O Emissions From
 Permanent Grassland Using Process-Based Models, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*,
 34(12).
- Ganesan, A. L., et al. (2020), Marine Nitrous Oxide Emissions From Three Eastern Boundary
 Upwelling Systems Inferred From Atmospheric Observations, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 47(14), e2020GL087822.

Harris, I. C. (2021), CRU JRA v2.2: A forcings dataset of gridded land surface blend of Climatic 609 Research Unit (CRU) and Japanese reanalysis (JRA) data; Jan. 1901 - Dec. 2020, edited by 610 N. E. C. f. E. D. Analysis, University of East Anglia Climatic Research Unit. 611 Hegglin, M., D. Kinnison, and J.-F. Lamarque (2016), CCMI nitrogen surface fluxes in support 612 of CMIP6 - version 2.0, edited, Earth System Grid Federation. 613 Heimann, M. (1995), The global atmospheric tracer model TM2Rep. (eds.), Germany. 614 Hirsch, A. I., A. M. Michalak, L. M. Bruhwiler, W. Peters, E. J. Dlugokencky, and P. P. Tans 615 (2006), Inverse modeling estimates of the global nitrous oxide surface flux from 1998-616 2001, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 20(1), n/a-n/a. 617 Hoyer, S., and J. Hamman (2017), xarray: labeled arrays and datasets in Python, in Journal of 618 619 Open Research Software, edited, Ubiquity Press. Hunter, J. D. (2007), Matplotlib: A 2D graphics environment, Computing in Science & 620 *Engineering*, *9*(3), 90-95. 621 Hurtt, G. C., et al. (2020), Harmonization of global land use change and management for the 622 period 850-2100 (LUH2) for CMIP6, Geosci Model Dev, 13(11), 5425-5464. 623 Hutchins, D. A., and D. C. Capone (2022), The marine nitrogen cycle: new developments and 624 625 global change, Nat Rev Microbiol, 20(7), 401-414. IPCC (2021), Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working 626 Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate 627 628 ChangeRep., V. Masson-Delmotte, et al. (eds.), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, doi: 10.1017/9781009157896. 629 IPCC (2022), Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation, and VulnerabilityRep., H.-O. Pörtner, 630 et al. (eds.), Cambridge University Press. In Press. 631 Ito, A., K. Nishina, K. Ishijima, S. Hashimoto, and M. Inatomi (2018), Emissions of nitrous 632 oxide (N₂O) from soil surfaces and their historical changes in East Asia: a model-based 633 assessment, Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 5(55), 10.1186/s40645-40018-40215-634 40644. 10.1186/s40645-018-0215-4 635 James, P., A. Stohl, C. Forster, S. Eckhardt, P. Seibert, and A. Frank (2003), A 15-year 636 climatology of stratosphere-troposphere exchange with a Lagrangian particle dispersion 637 model - 2. Mean climate and seasonal variability, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 108(D12). 638 Jiang, X., W. L. Ku, R.-L. Shia, Q. Li, J. W. Elkins, R. G. Prinn, and Y. L. Yung (2007), 639 Seasonal cycle of N₂O: Analysis of data, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21(1). 640 Joos, F., and R. Spahni (2008), Rates of change in natural and anthropogenic radiative forcing 641 over the past 20,000 years, P Natl Acad Sci USA, 105(5), 1425-1430. 642 Joos, F., R. Spahni, B. D. Stocker, S. Lienert, J. Muller, H. Fischer, J. Schmitt, I. C. Prentice, B. 643 Otto-Bliesner, and Z. Y. Liu (2020), N2O changes from the Last Glacial Maximum to the 644 preindustrial - Part 2: terrestrial N2O emissions and carbon-nitrogen cycle interactions, 645 Biogeosciences, 17(13), 3511-3543. 646 647 Kaminski, T., M. Heimann, and R. Giering (1999), A coarse grid three-dimensional global inverse model of the atmospheric transport - 1. Adjoint model and Jacobian matrix, J 648 Geophys Res-Atmos, 104(D15), 18535-18553. 649 Kock, A., and H. W. Bange (2015), Counting the ocean's greenhouse gas emissions, Eos 650 (Washington. DC), 96(3), 10–13. 651 Kou-Giesbrecht, S., et al. (2023), Evaluating nitrogen cycling in terrestrial biosphere models: a 652 653 disconnect between the carbon and nitrogen cycles, Earth Syst. Dynam., 14(4), 767-795.

- Landolfi, A., C. J. Somes, W. Koeve, L. M. Zamora, and A. Oschlies (2017), Oceanic nitrogen
 cycling and N2O flux perturbations in the Anthropocene, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*,
 31(8), 1236-1255.
- Lan, X., K.W. Thoning, and E. J. Dlugokencky (2023a), Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O,
 and SF6 determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements. Version
 2023-03, url: <u>https://doi.org/10.15138/P8XG-AA10</u>, last access date: 08 March 2023.
- Lan, X., P. Tans, and K.W. Thoning (2023b), Trends in globally-averaged CO2 determined from
 NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements. Version 2023-03 NOAA/GML, url:
- gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends/, last access date: 08 March 2023.
- Liang, Q., A. R. Douglass, B. N. Duncan, R. S. Stolarski, and J. C. Witte (2009), The governing
 processes and timescales of stratosphere-to-troposphere transport and its contribution to
 ozone in the Arctic troposphere, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9(9), 3011-3025.
- Liang, Q., C. Nevison, E. Dlugokencky, B. D. Hall, and G. Dutton (2022), 3-D Atmospheric
 Modeling of the Global Budget of N₂O and its Isotopologues for 1980–2019: The Impact
 of Anthropogenic Emissions, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, *36*(7).
- Ma, M. N., C. Q. Song, H. J. Fang, J. B. Zhang, J. Wei, S. R. Liu, X. Z. Chen, K. R. Zhang, W.
 P. Yuan, and H. B. Lu (2022), Development of a Process-Based N2O Emission Model for
 Natural Forest and Grassland Ecosystems, *J Adv Model Earth Sy*, *14*(3).
- MacFarling Meure, C., D. Etheridge, C. Trudinger, P. Steele, R. Langenfelds, T. van Ommen, A.
 Smith, and J. Elkins (2006), Law Dome CO2, CH4 and N2O ice core records extended to
 2000 years BP, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *33*(14).
- Manizza, M., R. F. Keeling, and C. D. Nevison (2012), On the processes controlling the seasonal
 cycles of the air-sea fluxes of O-2 and N2O: A modelling study, *Tellus B*, 64.
- Martins, M. D., et al. (2022), Modeling N2O emissions of complex cropland management in
 Western Europe using DayCent: Performance and scope for improvement, *Eur J Agron*,
 141.
- Mueller, R. (2021), The impact of the rise in atmospheric nitrous oxide on stratospheric ozone
 This article belongs to Ambio's 50th Anniversary Collection. Theme: Ozone Layer, *Ambio*,
 50(1), 35-39.
- Nevison, C. D., R. F. Keeling, R. F. Weiss, B. N. Popp, X. Jin, P. J. Fraser, L. W. Porter, and P.
 G. Hess (2005), Southern Ocean ventilation inferred from seasonal cycles of atmospheric
 N2O and O2/N2 at Cape Grim, Tasmania, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*,
 57(3), 218-229.
- Nevison, C. D., et al. (2011), Exploring causes of interannual variability in the seasonal cycles of
 tropospheric nitrous oxide, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *11*(8), 3713-3730.
- Pastorello, G., et al. (2020), The FLUXNET2015 dataset and the ONEFlux processing pipeline
 for eddy covariance data, *Scientific Data*, 7(1), 225.
- Patra, P. K., et al. (2022), Forward and Inverse Modeling of Atmospheric Nitrous Oxide Using
 MIROC4-Atmospheric Chemistry-Transport Model, *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II, 100*(2), 361-386.
- Petitjean, C., C. Henault, A. S. Perrin, C. Pontet, A. Metay, M. Bernoux, T. Jehanno, A. Viard,
 and J. C. Roggy (2015), Soil N2O emissions in French Guiana after the conversion of
 tropical forest to agriculture with the chop-and-mulch method, *Agr Ecosyst Environ*, 208,
 64-74.
- Prather, M. J., et al. (2015), Measuring and modeling the lifetime of nitrous oxide including its
 variability, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *120*(11), 5693-5705.

- Ravishankara, A. R., J. S. Daniel, and R. W. Portmann (2009), Nitrous Oxide (N₂O): The
 Dominant Ozone-Depleting Substance Emitted in the 21st Century, *Science*, *326*(5949),
 123-125.
- Rubino, M., et al. (2019), Revised records of atmospheric trace gases CO2, CH4, N2O, and delta
 C-13-CO2 over the last 2000 years from Law Dome, Antarctica, *Earth System Science Data*, 11(2), 473-492.
- Ruiz, D. J., and M. J. Prather (2022), From the middle stratosphere to the surface, using nitrous
 oxide to constrain the stratosphere–troposphere exchange of ozone, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 22(3), 2079-2093.
- Ruiz, D. J., M. J. Prather, S. E. Strahan, R. L. Thompson, L. Froidevaux, and S. D. Steenrod
 (2021), How Atmospheric Chemistry and Transport Drive Surface Variability of N2O and
 CFC-11, *J Geophys Res-Atmos*, *126*(8).
- Schilt, A., M. Baumgartner, T. Blunier, J. Schwander, R. Spahni, H. Fischer, and T. F. Stocker
 (2010), Glacial-interglacial and millennial-scale variations in the atmospheric nitrous oxide
 concentration during the last 800,000 years, *Quaternary Sci Rev*, 29(1-2), 182-192.
- The pandas development team (2020), pandas-dev/pandas: Pandas, edited, Zenodo.
- Thompson, R. L., et al. (2019), Acceleration of global N₂O emissions seen from two decades of
 atmospheric inversion, *Nature Climate Change*, 9(12), 993-998.
- Thompson, R. L., et al. (2014), TransCom N₂O model inter-comparison Part 1: Assessing the
 influence of transport and surface fluxes on tropospheric N₂O variability, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(8), 4349-4368.
- Tian, H. Q., et al. (2015), Global methane and nitrous oxide emissions from terrestrial
 ecosystems due to multiple environmental changes, *Ecosystem Health and Sustainability*,
 1(1), 1-20.
- Tian, H., et al. (2019), Global soil nitrous oxide emissions since the preindustrial era estimated
 by an ensemble of terrestrial biosphere models: Magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty,
 Global Change Biol, 25(2), 640-659.
- Tian, H., et al. (2018), The Global N₂O Model Intercomparison Project, *B Am Meteorol Soc*,
 99(6), 1231-1252.
- Tian, H, et al. (2020), A comprehensive quantification of global nitrous oxide sources and sinks,
 Nature, 586(7828), 248-256.
- Tian, H, et al. (2022), History of anthropogenic Nitrogen inputs (HaNi) to the terrestrial
 biosphere: a 5 arcmin resolution annual dataset from 1860 to 2019, *Earth System Science Data*, 14(10), 4551-4568.
- Van Rossum, G., and F. L. Drake Jr (1995), *Python tutorial*, Centrum voor Wiskunde en
 Informatica Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Walker, A. P., et al. (2021), Integrating the evidence for a terrestrial carbon sink caused by
 increasing atmospheric CO2, *New Phytol*, 229(5), 2413-2445.
- Xu-Ri, and I. C. Prentice (2008), Terrestrial nitrogen cycle simulation with a dynamic global
 vegetation model, *Global Change Biol*, *14*(8), 1745-1764.
- Xu, R. T., H. Q. Tian, S. F. Pan, S. R. S. Dangal, J. Chen, J. F. Chang, Y. L. Lu, U. M. Skiba, F.
 N. Tubiello, and B. W. Zhang (2019), Increased nitrogen enrichment and shifted patterns in
 the world's grassland: 1860-2016, *Earth System Science Data*, 11(1), 175-187.

Yang, S., et al. (2020), Global reconstruction reduces the uncertainty of oceanic nitrous oxide emissions and reveals a vigorous seasonal cycle, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(22), 11954-11960.