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#### Abstract

We consider numbers of the form $S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{u}):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_{n}}{\beta n}$ for $\boldsymbol{u}=\left\langle u_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ a Sturmian sequence over a binary alphabet and $\beta$ an algebraic number with $|\beta|>1$. We show that every such number is transcendental. More generally, for a given base $\beta$ and given irrational number $\theta$ we characterise the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linear independence of sets of the form $\left\{1, S_{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(1)}\right), \ldots, S_{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(k)}\right)\right\}$, where $\boldsymbol{u}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}^{(k)}$ are Sturmian sequences having slope $\theta$.

We give an application of our main result to the theory of dynamical systems, showing that for a contracted rotation on the unit circle with algebraic slope, its limit set is either finite or consists exclusively of transcendental elements other than its endpoints 0 and 1. This confirms a conjecture of Bugeaud, Kim, Laurent, and Nogueira 3.


## 1 Introduction

A famous conjecture of Hartmanis and Stearns asserts that a real number $\alpha$ whose sequence of digits can be produced by a linear-time Turing machine (in the sense that for all $n$, given input $n$ in unary the machine outputs the first $n$ digits of $\alpha$ in time $O(n)$ ) is either rational or transcendental. This conjecture remains open and is considered to be very difficult. A weaker version-proposed by Cobham and eventually proved by Adamczewski, Bugeaud, and Luca [2]-asserts the transcendence of an irrational automatic real number. The underlying intuition is that the sequence of digits of an irrational algebraic number cannot be too simple. Indeed, the main technical result of [2] is that over an integer base every number whose sequence of digits has linear subword complexity is either rational or transcendental. Cobham's conjecture is an immediate corollary, given that automatic sequences have linear subword complexity.

In this paper we prove a transcendence result for numbers whose digit sequences are Sturmian words (sometimes called mechanical words). Such words have minimal subword complexity among non-ultimately periodic words and have a natural characterisation in terms of dynamical systems as codings of rotations on the unit circle. The novelty of this work is that we handle expansions over an arbitrary algebraic base rather than just an integer base. Here we are motivated by applications to control theory and dynamical systems.

An infinite sequence $\boldsymbol{u}=u_{0} u_{1} u_{2} \ldots$ over a binary alphabet is said to be Sturmian if the number $p(n)$ of different length- $n$ factors in $\boldsymbol{u}$ satisfies $p(n)=n+1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see [11. Coven and

Hedlund (4) show that an infinite word such that $p(n) \leq n$ for some $n$ is necessarily ultimately periodic. Thus Sturmian words have minimal subword complexity among non-ultimately periodic words over a binary alphabet $\{0,1\}$. The letters in a Sturmian word have a limiting frequency-the limit frequency of the letter 1 is called the slope of the word. Related to this, Sturmian words have a natural characterisation in terms of dynamical systems, namely as codings of the orbits of irrational rotations on $\mathbb{R} / \mathbb{Z}$. Perhaps the best known example of a Sturmian word is the Fibonacci word. This is defined as the limit $\boldsymbol{f}_{\infty}$ of the sequence $\left(\boldsymbol{f}_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of finite strings over the binary alphabet $\{0,1\}$, defined by the recurrence $\boldsymbol{f}_{0}:=0, \boldsymbol{f}_{1}:=01$, and $\boldsymbol{f}_{n}=\boldsymbol{f}_{n-1} \boldsymbol{f}_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$. The limit is well defined since $\boldsymbol{f}_{n}$ is a prefix of $\boldsymbol{f}_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The Fibonacci word has slope $1 / \phi$, where $\phi=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio. It so happens that the Fibonacci word is morphic, although it is not automatic.

Let $\boldsymbol{u}$ be a Sturmian word over a finite alphabet $\Sigma \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and let $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be such that $|\beta|>1$. Then we call $S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{u}):=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_{n}}{\beta^{n}}$ a Sturmian number with sequence of digits $\boldsymbol{u}$ and base $\beta$ Ferenczi and Mauduit [5] proved the transcendence of every number $S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{u})$ over an integer base $\beta>1$. Their proof combined combinatorial properties of Sturmian sequences with a $p$-adic version of the Thue-Siegel-Roth Theorem, due to Ridout. This result was strengthened by Bugeaud et al. [3] to show $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linear independence of sets of the form $\left\{1, S_{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(1)}\right), S_{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(2)}\right)\right\}$ where $\boldsymbol{u}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{u}^{(2)}$ are Sturmian words having the same slope and $\beta>1$ is an integer. In the case of an algebraic base $\beta$, Laurent and Nogueria 12 observe that if $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a characteristic Sturmian word (cf. Section 3), then the transcendence of $S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{u})$ follows from a result of Loxton and Van der Poorten [8, Theorem 7] concerning transcendence of Hecke-Mahler series.

In this paper we give a common generalisation of the above three results. For every algebraic base $\beta$ and irrational slope $\theta$ we give sufficient and necessary conditions for $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linear independence of a set of Sturmian numbers $\left\{1, S_{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(1)}\right), \ldots, S_{\beta}\left(\boldsymbol{u}^{(k)}\right)\right\}$, where $\boldsymbol{u}^{(1)}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}^{(k)}$, where are Sturmian sequences of slope $\theta$. Our characterisation relies on a new combinatorial criterion on a sequence $\boldsymbol{u}$ that ensures transcendence of $S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{u})$ for $\beta$ an algebraic base. Similar to [3], the Subspace Theorem plays a major role in our argument. In [7] we give a more elaborate and powerful transcendence criterion that allows proving $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linear independence results about Sturmian numbers (again with a common slope) over different algebraic bases.

For a sequence $\boldsymbol{u}$ with linear subword complexity (i.e., such that $\lim _{\inf _{n}} \frac{p(n)}{n}<\infty$ ), it is shown in [1] that $S_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{u})$ is transcendental under the condition that $\beta$ is a Pisot number (i.e., a real algebraic integer greater than one all of whose Galois conjugates have absolute value less than one). Compared to the main result of this paper, the class of sequences considered by [1] is more general (requiring merely linear subword complexity rather than the stronger condition of being Sturmian), but the condition on the base is more restrictive (being a Pisot number rather than merely an algebraic number of absolute value strictly greater than one).

In Section 5 we give an application of our main result to the theory of dynamical systems. We consider the set $C$ of limit points of a contracted rotation $f$ on the unit interval, where $f$ is assumed to have an algebraic contraction factor. The set $C$ is finite if $f$ has a periodic orbit and is otherwise a Cantor set, that is, it is homeomorphic to the Cantor ternary set (equivalently, it is compact, nowhere dense, and has no isolated points). In the latter case we show that all elements of $C$ except its endpoints 0 and 1 are transcendental. Our result confirms a conjecture of Bugeaud, Kim, Laurent, and Nogueira, who proved a special case of this result in [3]. We remark that it is a longstanding open question whether the actual Cantor ternary set contains any algebraic elements other than 0 or 1 .

## 2 Preliminaries

Let $K$ be a number field of degree $d$ and let $M(K)$ be the set of places of $K$. We divide $M(K)$ into the collection of infinite places, which are determined either by an embedding of $K$ in $\mathbb{R}$ or a complex-conjugate pair of embeddings of $K$ in $\mathbb{C}$, and the set of finite places, which are determined by prime ideals in the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ of integers of $K$.

[^0]For $x \in K$ and $v \in M(K)$, define the absolute value $|x|_{v}$ as follows: $|x|_{v}:=|\sigma(x)|^{1 / d}$ in case $v$ corresponds to a real embedding $\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} ;|x|_{v}:=|\sigma(x)|^{2 / d}$ in case $v$ corresponds to a complexconjugate pair of embeddings $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$; finally, $|x|_{v}:=N(\mathfrak{p})^{-\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x) / d}$ if $v$ corresponds to a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathcal{O}$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(x)$ is the order of $\mathfrak{p}$ as a divisor of the ideal $x \mathcal{O}$. With the above definitions we have the product formula: $\prod_{v \in M(K)}|x|_{v}=1$ for all $x \in K^{*}$. Given a set of places $S \subseteq M(K)$, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{S}$ of $S$-integers is the subring comprising all $x \in K$ such $|x|_{v} \leq 1$ for all finite places $v \in S$.

For $m \geq 2$ the absolute Weil height of $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in K^{m}$ is defined to be

$$
H(\boldsymbol{x}):=\prod_{v \in M(K)} \max \left(\left|x_{1}\right|_{v}, \ldots,\left|x_{m}\right|_{v}\right)
$$

This definition is independent of the choice of field $K$ containing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$. Note the restriction $m \geq 2$ in the above definition. For $x \in K$ we define its height $H(x)$ to be $H(1, x)$. For a non-zero polynomial $f=\sum_{i=0}^{s} a_{i} X^{i} \in K[X]$, where $s \geq 1$, we define its height $H(f)$ to be the height of its coefficient vector $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$.

The following classical result of Schlickewei will be instrumental in our approach.
Theorem 1 (Subspace Theorem). Let $S \subseteq M(K)$ be a finite set of places, containing all infinite places and let $m \geq 2$. For every $v \in S$ let $L_{1, v}, \ldots, L_{m, v}$ be linearly independent linear forms in $m$ variables with algebraic coefficients. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$ the solutions $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{S}^{m}$ of the inequality

$$
\prod_{v \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left|L_{i, v}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|_{v} \leq H(\boldsymbol{x})^{-\varepsilon}
$$

are contained in finitely many proper subspaces of $K^{m}$.
We will also need the following more elementary proposition.
Proposition 2. [6, Proposition 2.3] Let $f \in K[X]$ be a polynomial with at most $k+1$ terms. Assume that $f$ can be written as the sum of two polynomials $g$ and $h$, where every monomial of $g$ has degree at most $d_{0}$ and every monomial of $h$ has degree at least $d_{1}$. Let $\beta$ be a root of $f$ that is not a root of unity. If $d_{1}-d_{0}>\frac{\log (k H(f))}{\log H(\beta)}$ then $\beta$ is a common root of $g$ and $h$.

## 3 Stuttering Sequences

Let $A \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a finite alphabet. An infinite sequence $\boldsymbol{u}=u_{0} u_{1} u_{2} \ldots \in A^{\omega}$ is said to be stuttering if for all $w>0$ there exist sequences $\left\langle r_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left\langle s_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of positive integers and $d \geq 2$ such that:

S1 $\left\langle r_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is unbounded and $s_{n} \geq w r_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
S2 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist integers $0 \leq i_{1}(n)<\ldots<i_{d}(n) \leq s_{n}$ such that the strings $u_{0} \ldots u_{s_{n}}$ and $u_{r_{n}} \ldots u_{r_{n}+s_{n}}$ differ at the set of indices $\bigcup_{j=1}^{d}\left\{i_{j}(n), i_{j}(n)+1\right\}$;
S3 we have $i_{d}(n)-i_{1}(n)=\omega\left(\log r_{n}\right)$ and, writing $i_{0}(n):=0$ and $i_{d+1}(n):=s_{n}$ for all $n$, we have $i_{j+1}(n)-i_{j}(n)=\omega(1)$ for all $j \in\{0,1, \ldots, d\} ;$

S4 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in\{1,2 \ldots, d\}$ we have $u_{i_{j}(n)}+u_{i_{j}(n)+1}=u_{i_{j}(n)+r_{n}}+u_{i_{j}(n)+r_{n}+1}$.
The notion of a stuttering sequence is reminiscent of the transcendence conditions of [1, 3, 5, in that it concerns periodicity in an infinite word. Roughly speaking, a sequence $\boldsymbol{u}$ is stuttering if for all $w>0$ there are arbitrarily long prefixes of $\boldsymbol{u}$ that, modulo a fixed number of mismatches, comprise $w$ repetitions of some finite word. The fact that the number $w$ of repetitions is arbitrary is key to our being able to prove transcendence results over an arbitrary algebraic base $\beta$. In compensation, our condition allows repetitions with a certain number of discrepancies. This should be contrasted with the notion of stammering sequence in [1, Section 4], where there is no allowance for such discrepancies and in which the quantity corresponding to $w$ is fixed.

Example 3. To illustrate the notion of stuttering sequence, we recall the example of the Fibonacci word. That this sequence is stuttering is a consequence of Theorem 4. Here in fact the sequence of shifts $\left\langle r_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ witnessing that the Fibonacci word is stuttering is the Fibonacci sequence $\langle 1,1,2,3,5, \ldots\rangle$. Below we align the Fibonacci word $\boldsymbol{f}_{\infty}$ with its shift $\boldsymbol{f}_{\infty}^{(5)}$ by $r_{5}=5$, underlining the mismatches which arise in consecutive pairs that satisfy Condition S4.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{\infty} & :=010010 \underline{10} 01001010010 \underline{10010010} \underline{100100101001 \ldots} \\
\boldsymbol{f}_{\infty}^{(5)} & :=010010 \underline{0101001010010 \underline{01}} \mathbf{1 0 1 0 0 1 0 \underline { 1 }} 0100101001 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows, we use the following representation of Sturmian words. Write $I:=[0,1)$ for the unit interval and given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the integer part of $x$ by $\lfloor x\rfloor$ and the fractional part of $x$ by $\{x\}:=x-\lfloor x\rfloor \in I$. Let $0<\theta<1$ be an irrational number and define the rotation map $T=T_{\theta}: I \rightarrow I$ by $T(y)=\{y+\theta\}$. Given $x \in I$, the $\theta$-coding of $x$ is the infinite sequence $\boldsymbol{u}=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} \ldots$ defined by $u_{n}:=1$ if $T^{n}(x) \in[0, \theta)$ and $u_{n}:=0$ otherwise. As shown by Morse and Hedlund, $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a Sturmian word and, up to changing at most two letters, all Sturmian words over a binary alphabet arise as codings of the above type for some choice of $\theta$ and $x$. In particular, for the purposes of establishing our transcendence results we may work exclusively with codings as defined above. The number $\theta$ is equal to the slope of the Sturmian word, as defined in Section 1 . The $\theta$-coding of 0 is in particular called the characteristic Sturmian word of slope $\theta$.

The main result of this section is as follows:
Theorem 4. Let $\theta \in(0,1)$ be irrational. Given a positive integer $k$, let $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in I$. Suppose that $x_{i}-x_{j} \notin \mathbb{Z} \theta+\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \neq j$. Writing $\left\langle u_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for the $\theta$-coding of $x_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k$, define $u_{n}:=c_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} u_{n}^{(i)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\boldsymbol{u}=\left\langle u_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is stuttering.
Proof. We start by recalling some basic facts about the continued-fractions. Write $\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots\right]$ for the simple continued-fraction expansion of $\theta$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write $\frac{p_{n}}{q_{n}}:=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ for the $n$-th convergent. Then $\left\langle q_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that $\left\|q_{n} \theta\right\|=\left|q_{n} \theta-p_{n}\right|$, where $\|\alpha\|$ denotes the distance of a given number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ to the nearest integer. We moreover have that $q_{n} \theta-p_{n}$ and $q_{n+1} \theta-p_{n+1}$ have opposite signs for all $n$. Finally we have the law of best approximation: $q \in \mathbb{N}$ occurs as one of the $q_{n}$ just in case $\|q \theta\|<\left\|q^{\prime} \theta\right\|$ for all $q^{\prime}$ with $0<q^{\prime}<q$.

To establish that $\boldsymbol{u}$ is stuttering, given $w>0$ we define $\left\langle r_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ to be the subsequence of $\left\langle q_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ comprising all terms $q_{n}$ such that $\left\|q_{n} \theta\right\|=q_{n} \theta-p_{n}>0$. Note that we either have $r_{n}=q_{2 n}$ for all $n$ or $r_{n}=q_{2 n+1}$ for all $n$, so $\left\langle r_{n}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an infinite sequence that diverges to infinity. Next, write $d=(k+1) w$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $s_{n}$ be the greatest number such that the words $u_{0} \ldots u_{s_{n}}$ and $u_{r_{n}} \cdots u_{r_{n}+s_{n}}$ have Hamming distance at most $2 d$. Since $\boldsymbol{u}$ is not ultimately periodic, $s_{n}$ is thereby well-defined.

Condition S2. Denote the set of positions at which $u_{0} \ldots u_{s_{n}}$ and $u_{r_{n}} \ldots u_{s_{n}+r_{n}}$ differ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}:=\left\{m \in\left\{0, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}: u_{m} \neq u_{m+r_{n}}\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that for $n$ sufficiently large, $m \in \Delta_{n}$ if and only if there exists $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that one of the following two conditions holds:
(i) $T^{m}\left(x_{\ell}\right) \in\left[1-\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|, 1\right)$,
(ii) $T^{m}\left(x_{\ell}\right) \in\left[\theta-\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|, \theta\right)$.

We claim furthermore that for all $m$ there is most $\ell$ such that one of above conditions holds.
Assuming the claim, since $T^{m}\left(x_{\ell}\right) \in\left[1-\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|, 1\right)$ if and only if $T^{m+1}\left(x_{\ell}\right) \in\left[\theta-\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|, \theta\right)$, it follows that the elements of $\Delta_{n}$ come in consecutive pairs, i.e., we can write

$$
\Delta_{n}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{d}\left\{i_{j}(n), i_{j}(n)+1\right\}
$$

where $i_{1}(n)<\ldots<i_{d}(n)$ are the elements $m \in \Delta_{n}$ that satisfy Condition (i) above for some $\ell$.

It remains to prove the claim. To this end note that for a fixed $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ we have $u_{m}^{(\ell)} \neq$ $u_{m+r_{n}}^{(\ell)}$ iff exactly one of $T^{m}\left(x_{\ell}\right)$ and $T^{m+r_{n}}\left(x_{\ell}\right)$ lies in the interval $[0, \theta)$ iff either Condition (i) or Condition (ii) holds. Moreover, since $x_{\ell}-x_{\ell^{\prime}} \neq \theta(\bmod 1)$ for $\ell \neq \ell^{\prime}$, we see that for $n$ sufficiently large there is at most one $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that one of these two conditions holds. Equivalently, for all $m$ there is at most one $\ell$ such that $u_{m}^{(\ell)} \neq u_{m+r_{n}}^{(\ell)}$. We deduce that $u_{m} \neq u_{m+r_{n}}$ if and only if $u_{m}^{(\ell)} \neq u_{m+r_{n}}^{(\ell)}$ for some $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. This concludes the proof of the claim.

Condition S1. Our objective is to show that $s_{n} \geq w r_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have already established that there are $d=(k+1) w$ distinct $m \in \Delta_{n}$ that satisfy Condition (i), above, for some $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Thus there exists $\ell_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $\Delta_{n}^{\prime} \subseteq \Delta_{n}$ such that $\left|\Delta_{n}^{\prime}\right| \geq w$ and all $m \in \Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ satisfy Condition (i) for $\ell=\ell_{0}$. In this case we have $\left\|\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right) \theta\right\|<\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|$ for all $m_{1}, m_{2} \in \Delta_{n}^{\prime}$. By the law of best approximation it follows that every two distinct elements of $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}$ have difference strictly greater than $r_{n}$. But this contradicts $\left|\Delta_{n}^{\prime}\right|=w$ given that $\Delta_{n}^{\prime} \subseteq\left\{0,1, \ldots, w r_{n}\right\}$.

Condition S3. By definition of $i_{1}(n), \ldots, i_{d}(n)$, for all $j \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ there exists $\ell_{j}(n) \in$ $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $T^{i_{j}(n)}\left(x_{\ell_{j}(n)}\right) \in\left[1-\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|, 1\right)$. Now, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq j_{1}<j_{2} \leq d$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(i_{j_{2}}(n)-i_{j_{1}}(n)\right) \theta+x_{\ell_{j_{2}}(n)}-x_{\ell_{j_{1}}(n)}\right\| \leq\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that the left-hand side of (2) is non-zero. Indeed, the claim holds if $\ell_{j_{2}}(n)=\ell_{j_{1}}(n)$ because $\theta$ is irrational, while the claim also holds in case $\ell_{j_{2}}(n) \neq \ell_{j_{1}}(n)$ since in this case we have $x_{\ell_{j_{2}}(n)}-x_{\ell_{j_{1}}(n)} \notin \mathbb{Z} \theta+\mathbb{Z}$ by assumption. Since moreover the right-hand side of (2) tends to zero as $n$ tends to infinity, we have that $i_{j_{2}}(n)-i_{j_{1}}(n)=\omega(1)$. On the other hand, if $\ell_{j_{2}}(n)=\ell_{j_{1}}(n)$ then we even have $i_{j_{2}}(n)-i_{j_{1}}(n) \geq r_{n}=\omega\left(\log r_{n}\right)$ by the law of best approximation. Hence we certainly have $i_{d}(n)-i_{1}(n)=\omega\left(\log r_{n}\right)$.

Finally, defining $i_{0}(n):=0$ we have $i_{1}(n)-i_{0}(n)=\omega(1)$ by the requirement that $T^{i_{1}(n)}\left(x_{\ell_{1}(n)}\right) \in$ [ $1-\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|, 1$ ) and the fact that $\left\|r_{n} \theta\right\|$ converges to 0 . Setting $i_{d+1}(n):=s_{n}$ for all $n$, we also have $i_{d+1}(n)-i_{d}(n)=\omega(1)$ by the maximality condition in the definition of $s_{n}$.

Condition S4. Consider $m \in \Delta_{n}$ satisfying Condition (i) above, i.e., such that $T^{m}\left(x_{\ell}\right) \in$ [1-\| $r_{n} \theta \|, 1$ ) for some $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. Then we have

$$
u_{m}^{(\ell)}=0, u_{m+1}^{(\ell)}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad u_{m+r_{n}}^{(\ell)}=1, u_{m+r_{n}+1}^{(\ell)}=0
$$

Moreover for all $\ell^{\prime} \neq \ell$ and $n$ sufficiently large we have

$$
u_{m}^{\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)}=u_{m+r_{n}}^{\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{m+1}^{\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)}=u_{m+r_{n}+1}^{\left(\ell^{\prime}\right)}
$$

We conclude that $u_{m}+u_{m+1}=u_{m+r_{m}}+u_{m+r_{n}+1}$, establishing Condition S4.

## 4 A Transcendence Result

Theorem 5. Let $A$ be a finite set of algebraic numbers and suppose that $\boldsymbol{u} \in A^{\omega}$ is a stuttering sequence. Then for any algebraic number $\beta$ with $|\beta|>1$, the sum $\alpha:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_{n}}{\beta^{n}}$ is transcendental.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that $\alpha$ is algebraic. By scaling we can assume without loss of generality that $A$ consists solely of algebraic integers. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ be the field generated over $\mathbb{Q}$ by $\beta$ and write $S \subseteq M(K)$ for the set comprising all infinite places of $K$ and all finite places of $K$ corresponding to prime-ideal divisors of the ideal $\beta \mathcal{O}_{K}$.

Applying the stuttering condition (for a value of $w$ to be determined later), we obtain $d \geq 2$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are positive integers $r_{n}, s_{n}, i_{1}(n), \ldots, i_{d}(n)$ satisfying conditions S1-S4. By condition S2, for all $n$ if we define

$$
c_{j}(n):=\left(u_{i_{j}(n)+r_{n}}-u_{i_{j}(n)}\right)+\left(u_{i_{j}(n)+r_{n}+1}-u_{i_{j}(n)+1}\right) \beta^{-1}, \quad j \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}
$$

and $\alpha_{n}:=\sum_{j=0}^{r_{n}} u_{j} \beta^{r_{n}-j}$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta^{r_{n}} \alpha-\alpha-\alpha_{n}-c_{1}(n) \beta^{-i_{1}(n)}-\cdots-c_{d}(n) \beta^{-i_{d}(n)}\right|<|\beta|^{-s_{n}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $c_{1}(n), \ldots, c_{d}(n)$ are non-zero by Condition S 4 . By passing to a subsequence we can furthermore assume without loss of generality that $c_{1}=c_{1}(n), \ldots, c_{d}=c_{d}(n)$ are constant, independent of $n$.

To set up the application of the Subspace Theorem, define a family of linear forms $L_{i, v}$, for $1 \leq i \leq 3+d$ and $v \in S$, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{i, v}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{3+d}\right) & :=x_{i} \text { for all }(i, v) \neq\left(3, v_{0}\right), \text { and } \\
L_{3, v_{0}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{3+d}\right) & :=\alpha x_{1}-\alpha x_{2}-x_{3}-\sum_{j=1}^{d} c_{j} x_{3+j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Write $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}:=\left(\beta^{r_{n}}, 1, \alpha_{n}, \beta^{-i_{1}(n)}, \ldots, \beta^{-i_{d}(n)}\right)$ and let $M \geq 2$ be an upper bound of the set of real numbers

$$
\left\{|\gamma|_{v}: \gamma \in\{\beta\} \cup A, v \in S\right\}
$$

Then for all $v \neq v_{0}$ we have

$$
\left|L_{3, v}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right|_{v}=\left|\alpha_{n}\right|_{v} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r_{n}} M^{j+1} \leq M^{r_{n}+2}
$$

while $\left|L_{3, v_{0}}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right|_{v_{0}} \leq|\beta|^{-s_{n}} / \operatorname{deg}(\beta)$ by (3). Furthermore, for $i \neq 3$, by the product formula we have $\prod_{v \in S}\left|L_{i, v}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right|_{v}=1$. Altogether we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{v \in S} \prod_{i=1}^{d+3}\left|L_{i, v}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right|_{v} \leq M^{\left(r_{n}+2\right)|S|} \cdot|\beta|^{-s_{n} / \operatorname{deg}(\beta)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $s_{n} \geq w r_{n}$ we have that for $w$ sufficiently large the right-hand side of (4) is less than $|\beta|^{-s_{n} / 2 \operatorname{deg}(\beta)}$. On the other hand there exists a constant $c$ such that the height of $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ satisfies the bound $H\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right) \leq|\beta|^{c s_{n}}$ for all $n$. Thus there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that the right-hand side of (4) is at most $H\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)^{-\varepsilon}$ for all $n$. Since $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ is a vector of $S$-units we can apply the Subspace Theorem to obtain a non-zero linear form $L\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{3+d}\right)$ with coefficients in $K$ such that $L\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)=0$ for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Denote by $\operatorname{vars}(L) \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{3+d}\right\}$ the set of variables that appear in $L$ with non-zero coefficient. We claim that $x_{3} \in \operatorname{vars}(L)$. Indeed, suppose for a contradiction that $x_{3} \notin \operatorname{vars}(L)$. Then for all $n, L\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)$ is a fixed linear combination of the numbers $\beta^{r_{n}}, 1, \beta^{-i_{1}(n)}, \ldots, \beta^{-i_{d}(n)}$. By Item S3 the gaps beween successive exponents in these powers of $\beta$ tend to infinity with $n$ and hence a fixed linear combination of such powers cannot vanish for arbitrarily large $n$.

We have that $L\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)$ is a linear combination of a most $r_{n}+d+1$ powers of $\beta$, whose respective exponents lie in the set $\left\{0,1, \ldots, r_{n}\right\} \cup\left\{-i_{1}(n), \ldots,-i_{d}(n)\right\}$. From Item S 3 there exists $j_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, d-1\}$ such that $i_{j_{0}+1}(n)-i_{j_{0}}(n)=\omega\left(\log r_{n}\right)$. By Proposition 2 the condition $L\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)=0$ entails, for $n$ sufficiently large, that vars $(L)$ is contained either in $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j_{0}+3}\right\}$ or in $\left\{x_{j_{0}+4}, \ldots, x_{d}\right\}$. Since we know that $x_{3} \in \operatorname{vars}(L)$ the former inclusion applies.

We have established that $x_{3} \in \operatorname{vars}(L) \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j_{0}+3}\right\}$. Thus by a suitable linear combination of the forms $L_{3, v_{0}}$ and $L$, so as to eliminate the variable $x_{3}$, we obtain a non-zero linear form $L^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{3+d}\right)$ with algebraic coefficients that does not mention $x_{3}$ and such that $\left|L^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right|<$ $|\beta|^{-s_{n}}$ for infinitely many $n$. Note that $L^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)$ is a fixed linear combination of at most $d+2$ powers of $\beta$, with respective exponents in the set $\left\{r_{n}, 0,-i_{1}(n), \ldots,-i_{d}(n)\right\}$. Moreover by Item S3 the gaps between consecutive elements of this set tend to infinity with $n$. It follows that $\left|L^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right| \gg|\beta|^{-i_{d}(n)}$. But since $s_{n}-i_{d}(n)=\omega(1)$, this contradicts $\left|L^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right|<|\beta|^{-s_{n}}$.

We have the following immediate corollary of Theorem 4 and Theorem 5
Theorem 6. Let $\beta$ be an algebraic number with $|\beta|>1$. Let $0<\theta<1$ be irrational and let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in I$ be such that $x_{i}-x_{j} \notin \mathbb{Z} \theta+\mathbb{Z}$ for $i \neq j$. For $i=1, \ldots, k$, define $\alpha_{i}:=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{u_{n}^{(i)}}{\beta^{n}}$, where $\left\langle u_{n}^{(i)}\right\rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the $\theta$-coding of $x_{i}$. Then the set $\left\{1, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\}$ is linearly independent over the field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of algebraic numbers.


Figure 1: A plot of $f_{\lambda, \delta}: I \rightarrow I$

## 5 Application to Limit Sets of Contracted Rotations

Let $0<\lambda, \delta<1$ be real numbers such that $\lambda+\delta>1$. We call the map $f=f_{\lambda, \delta}: I \rightarrow I$ given by $f(x):=\{\lambda x+\delta\}$ a contracted rotation with slope $\lambda$ and offset $\delta$. Associated with $f$ we have the map $F=F_{\lambda, \delta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $F(x)=\lambda\{x\}+\delta+\lfloor x\rfloor$. We call $F$ a lifting of $f$ : it is characterised by the properties that $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$ and $\{F(x)\}=f(\{x\})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The rotation number $\theta=\theta_{\lambda, \delta}$ of $f$ is defined by

$$
\theta:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)}{n}
$$

where the limit exists and is independent of the initial point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$.
If the rotation number $\theta$ is irrational then the restriction of $f$ to the limit set $\bigcap_{n>0} f^{n}(I)$ is topologically conjugated to the rotation map $T=T_{\theta}: I \rightarrow I$ with $T(y)=\{y+\theta\}$. The closure of the limit set is a Cantor set $C=C_{\lambda, \delta}$, that is, $C$ is compact, nowhere dense, and has no isolated points. On the other hand, if $\theta$ is rational then the limit set $C$ is the unique periodic orbit of $f$. For each choice of slope $0<\lambda<1$ and irrational rotation number $0<\theta<1$, there exists a unique offset $\delta$ such that $\delta+\lambda>1$ and the map $f$ has rotation number $\theta$. It is known that such $\delta$ must be transcendental if $\lambda$ is algebraic [12].

The main result of this section is as follows:
Theorem 7. Let $0<\lambda, \theta<1$ be such that $\lambda$ is algebraic and $\theta$ is irrational. Let $\delta$ be the unique offset such that the contracted rotation $f_{\lambda, \delta}$ has rotation number $\theta$. Then every element of the limit set $C_{\lambda, \delta}$ other than 0 and 1 is transcendental.

A special case of Theorem 7 , in which $\lambda$ is assumed to be the reciprocal of an integer, was proven in [3, Theorem 1.2]. In their discussion of the latter result the authors conjecture the truth of Theorem 7] i.e., the more general case in which $\lambda$ may be algebraic. As noted in [3], while $C_{\lambda, \delta}$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor ternary set, it is a longstanding open problem, formulated by Mahler [9], whether the Cantor ternary set contains irrational algebraic elements.

Proof of Theorem 7. For a real number $0<x<1$ define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{x} & :=\sum_{n \geq 1}(\lceil x+(n+1) \theta\rceil-\lceil x+n \theta\rceil) \lambda^{n} \\
\xi_{x}^{\prime} & :=\sum_{n \geq 1}(\lfloor x+(n+1) \theta\rfloor-\lfloor x+n \theta\rfloor) \lambda^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for all $x$ the binary sequence $\langle\lceil x+(n+1) \theta\rceil-\lceil x+n \theta\rceil: n \in \mathbb{N}\rangle$ is the coding of $-x-\theta$ by $1-\theta$ (as defined in Section [3) and hence is Sturmian of slope $1-\theta$. Similarly, the binary
sequence $\langle\lfloor x+(n+1) \theta\rfloor-\lfloor x+n \theta\rfloor: n \in \mathbb{N}\rangle$ is the coding of $x+\theta$ by $\theta$ and hence is Sturmian of slope $\theta$. Thus for all $x$, both $\xi_{x}$ and $\xi_{x}^{\prime}$ are Sturmian numbers.

It is shown in [3, Lemma 4.2$]^{2}$ that for every element of $y \in C_{\lambda, \delta} \backslash\{0,1\}$, either there exists $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0<x<1$ with $x \notin \mathbb{Z} \theta+\mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$
y=z+\xi_{0}-\xi_{-x}
$$

or else there exists a strictly positive integer $m$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ such that

$$
y=\gamma+\left(1-\beta^{-m}\right) \xi_{0}^{\prime}
$$

In either case, transcendence of $y$ follows from Theorem 6
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[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our notion of Sturmian number is more permissive than that of Morse and Hedland 10 who restricted to the case of an integer base $b>1$ and digit sequence $\boldsymbol{u}$ over alphabet $\{0, \ldots, b-1\}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The proof of the lemma is stated for $\beta$ an integer but carries over without change for $\beta$ algebraic.

