1	Reliability of task-based fMRI in the dorsal horn of the human spinal cord
2	
3 4	Alice Dabbagh ¹ , Ulrike Horn ¹ , Merve Kaptan ^{1,2} , Toralf Mildner ³ , Roland Müller ³ , Jöran Lepsien ³ , Nikolaus Weiskopf ^{4,5,6} , Jonathan C.W. Brooks ⁷ , Jürgen Finsterbusch ⁸ , Falk Eippert ¹
5	
6 7	1 Max Planck Research Group Pain Perception, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig, Germany
8	2 Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Stanford University, CA, USA
9 10	3 Methods & Development Group Nuclear Magnetic Resonance, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig, Germany
11 12	4 Department of Neurophysics, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig, Germany
13 14	5 Felix Bloch Institute for Solid State Physics, Faculty of Physics and Earth Sciences, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany
15 16	6 Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, Institute of Neurology, University College London, London, UK
17 18	7 School of Psychology, University of East Anglia Wellcome Wolfson Brain Imaging Centre (UWWBIC), Norwich, United Kingdom
19 20	8 Department of Systems Neuroscience, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany
21	
22 23 24 25	Address for correspondence: Alice Dabbagh & Falk Eippert; Max Planck Research Group Pain Perception, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Stephanstraße 1a, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.
26	Number of pages: 48
27	Number of figures / tables: 7 / 1
28	Number of supplementary pages: 7

- 29 Number of supplementary figures / tables: 3 / 4
- 30

31 Abstract: The application of functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to the human spinal 32 cord is still a relatively small field of research and faces many challenges. Here we aimed to probe 33 the limitations of task-based spinal fMRI at 3T by investigating the reliability of spinal cord blood 34 oxygen level dependent (BOLD) responses to repeated nociceptive stimulation across two 35 consecutive days in 40 healthy volunteers. We assessed the test-retest reliability of subjective 36 ratings, autonomic responses, and spinal cord BOLD responses to short heat pain stimuli (1s 37 duration) using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC). At the group level, we observed robust 38 autonomic responses as well as spatially specific spinal cord BOLD responses at the expected 39 location, but no spatial overlap in BOLD response patterns across days. While autonomic 40 indicators of pain processing showed good-to-excellent reliability, both β -estimates and z-scores 41 of task-related BOLD responses showed poor reliability across days in the target region (gray 42 matter of the ipsilateral dorsal horn). When taking into account the sensitivity of gradient-echo 43 echo planar imaging (GE-EPI) to draining vein signals by including the venous plexus in the 44 analysis, we observed BOLD responses with fair reliability across days. Taken together, these 45 results demonstrate that heat pain stimuli as short as one second are able to evoke a robust and spatially specific BOLD response, which is however strongly variable within participants across 46 47 time, resulting in low reliability in the dorsal horn gray matter. Further improvements in data 48 acquisition and analysis techniques are thus necessary before event-related spinal cord fMRI as 49 used here can be reliably employed in longitudinal designs or clinical settings.

50

51 **Keywords:** spinal cord; fMRI; heat pain; reliability; spatial specificity; human

52

54

1. Introduction

55

56 Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) is a non-invasive method routinely used for brain 57 imaging, with its first application in the human spinal cord about 30 years ago (Yoshizawa et al., 58 1996). Compared to the brain, the spinal cord is a more challenging environment for fMRI (Bosma 59 & Stroman, 2014; Cohen-Adad, 2017; Eippert, Kong, Jenkinson, et al., 2017; Giove et al., 2004; 60 Kinany, Pirondini, Micera, et al., 2022) and the number of studies using this technique has 61 increased only slowly at first. However, the continued development and improvement of scanner 62 hardware (Cohen-Adad et al., 2011; Lopez-Rios et al., 2023; Topfer et al., 2016), image 63 acquisition protocols for spinal cord fMRI (Barry et al., 2021; Finsterbusch et al., 2013; Kinany, et 64 al., 2022), shimming procedures (Finsterbusch et al., 2012; Islam et al., 2019; Tsivaka et al., 65 2023), denoising strategies (Brooks et al., 2008; Kong et al., 2012; Vannesjo et al., 2019) and 66 software tools tailored to preprocessing and analyzing spinal cord data (De Leener et al., 2017, 67 2018; Rangaprakash & Barry, 2022) have made spinal fMRI more robust, sensitive and 68 accessible, and accordingly has met with growing numbers of spinal fMRI studies more recently 69 (Kinany et al., 2022; Landelle et al., 2021; Powers et al., 2018; Tinnermann et al., 2021).

70 Apart from a few notable exceptions (Conrad et al., 2018; Martucci et al., 2019, 2021; Rowald et 71 al., 2022; Stroman, 2002; Stroman et al., 2004), most spinal cord fMRI studies have focused on 72 cross-sectional designs in healthy volunteers, i.e. have not employed longitudinal designs or 73 looked at the diagnostic or prognostic potential of spinal fMRI in clinical settings. This is different 74 to brain imaging, the use of which in longitudinal settings and for biomarker development in the 75 clinical context has been extensively discussed (Cole & Franke, 2017; Elliott et al., 2020; Khalili-76 Mahani et al., 2017; Kragel et al., 2021; Woo & Wager, 2016). Considering that the spinal cord is 77 affected in a large range of neurological conditions – such as multiple sclerosis (Filippi & Rocca, 78 2013), neuropathic pain (Colloca et al., 2017) or spinal cord injury (Ahuja et al., 2017) – spinal cord fMRI could also be a valuable tool in clinical contexts, e.g., for tracking or predicting disease 79 80 progression and treatment. However, the successful application of spinal cord fMRI in longitudinal 81 settings and for diagnostic or prognostic purposes requires - at a minimum - achieving a high 82 reliability of the method, with reliability being the extent to which measurement outcomes are 83 consistent across different contexts. Test-retest reliability, for instance, describes the stability of a 84 measure over time, i.e. it quantifies the precision of a method, or in other words, the expected 85 variation over time, given that the underlying process of interest remains the same (Brandmaier 86 et al., 2018; Lavrakas, 2008; Noble et al., 2021).

87 Studies assessing the test-retest reliability of spinal cord fMRI have mostly focused on resting-88 state signals (Barry et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2018; Kaptan et al., 2023; Kong et al., 2014; Kowalczyk 89 et al., 2023; Liu et al., 2016; San Emeterio Nateras et al., 2016). Only three studies have examined 90 task-related signal changes, with two of those using motor tasks (Bouwman et al., 2008; Weber 91 et al., 2016b) and one using a pain task (Weber et al., 2016a). While these task-based studies 92 provided important initial insights into the reliability of spinal cord fMRI, they had modest sample 93 sizes (with at most N = 12) and mostly assessed reliability within a single scan session, thus 94 circumventing some of the challenges inherent to longitudinal studies, such as repositioning of 95 participants, and day-to-day variations in physiological state and mood (note that Bouwman and 96 colleagues looked at a time-interval of 10 weeks, but only acquired data from three participants).

97 Here, we set out to provide a comprehensive assessment of the reliability of task-based spinal 98 fMRI by investigating heat-pain evoked spinal cord BOLD responses. We chose the domain of 99 pain for this endeavor for two reasons: on the one hand, changes in spinal cord processing are 100 assumed to contribute to chronic pain (D'Mello & Dickenson, 2008; Kuner & Flor, 2017; Prescott 101 et al., 2014) and on the other hand the development of pain biomarkers is currently a topic of 102 intense interest (Davis et al., 2020; Leone et al., 2022; Mouraux & lannetti, 2018; Sluka et al., 103 2023; Tracey, 2021), making spinal fMRI a prime candidate for inclusion in such clinical 104 developments. In contrast to previous studies, we acquired data on two consecutive days using 105 an identical experimental set up and a relatively large sample of 40 participants, as specified in 106 an accompanying preregistration. We first analyzed the spatial distribution of the response across 107 multiple spinal segments as well as its spread into the venous plexus surrounding the spinal cord. 108 We then quantified the spatial consistency of the response patterns (using the Dice coefficient) 109 and assessed test-retest reliability of BOLD responses in multiple ways (using the intraclass-110 correlation coefficient). Importantly, we simultaneously collected peripheral physiological data and 111 compared their reliability to that of the BOLD data as only this allows for disambiguating between 112 different causes for possibly low reliability in spinal cord BOLD responses, i.e. either poor data 113 quality of spinal cord fMRI or variability in the underlying process (nociceptive processing).

114

1	1	F
	- 1	J.

116

2. Methods

117 **2.1 Participants**

40 healthy participants (20 female, mean age: 27.3 years, range: 18 – 35 years) participated in this study. This sample size was based on a preregistered power calculation (G*Power, Faul et al., 2007, version 3.1.9.7.), where we estimated that a sample of 36 participants would be necessary to detect a medium-sized effect (d = 0.5) with 90% power at an alpha-level of 0.05 when using a one-sample t-test against the baseline. All participants had normal or corrected-tonormal vision and a BMI < 24, were right-handed and gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Leipzig.

125

126 **2.2 Thermal stimulation**

We employed phasic painful heat stimuli (duration: 1s, including 0.23s of ramp-up and ramp-down each, temperature: 48°C, baseline: 32°C), which were applied to the inner left forearm of the participants via an MRI compatible thermode with a ramp-speed of 70°C/s (surface of 9cm², PATHWAY CHEPS; Medoc, Ramat Yishai, Israel). The stimuli were applied on five different areas of the inner left forearm (Figure 1), in order to minimize possible sensitization and habituation over runs.

133

134 **2.3 Experimental procedure**

This study was part of a larger methodological project aimed at investigating the relationship between spinal cord BOLD responses and employed echo times. While we describe the entire data acquisition and experiment for the sake of transparency, here we solely focus on the data relevant for the issue of reliability – the echo-time dependence is the focus of an upcoming report.

At the beginning of the experiment, the participants were informed about the study and any remaining questions were discussed. We then outlined the five stimulation areas on the arm (most likely corresponding to dermatome C6 see Lee et al., 2008), using ink that remained visible over both days of the experiment. Before the main experiment started, the participants were familiarized with the heat stimulus by administering it twice to the right forearm, and then twice to each of the 5 possible stimulation areas on the left forearm. This served to minimize orienting / novelty responses, which could lead to detrimental movement at the beginning of a run.

After this familiarization, we prepared the participants for the placement in the scanner. We attached a breathing belt to measure respiration, as well as three electrodes to record the

148 electrocardiogram (ECG; one electrode was placed on the left parasternal line at the level of the 1st / 2nd rib, another electrode on the left medioclavicular line at the level of the 9th or 10th rib, and 149 150 the ground electrode on the left side of the chest, one hand-width below the armpit). Two 151 electrodes were placed on the right hand to record skin conductance responses (SCR, one 152 electrode on the thenar eminence, one electrode on the hypothenar eminence). The thermode 153 was placed on the left arm. A custom-built MR-compatible extension mechanism (Mueller et al., 154 2024) was attached to the thermode that allowed for an easy repositioning of the thermode 155 between scans from outside the scanner bore, without moving the participants and without 156 changing the thermode pressure on the skin. After lying down on the scanner table, the 157 participants were asked to tilt their head slightly towards their chest in order to minimize cervical 158 lordosis (Cohen-Adad et al., 2021) and the isocenter was set approximately to the participants' 159 larynx. Before the experiment started, the eye tracker was calibrated to measure the pupil 160 diameter throughout the experiment (eye tracker settings were validated or, if necessary, re-161 calibrated before the beginning of each run). The participants were instructed to avoid moving, 162 avoid excessive swallowing, and to breathe normally (see Cohen-Adad et al., 2021) as well as to 163 look at a fixation cross on a screen for the entire duration of each run while avoiding excessive 164 blinking.

165 We split the experiment up across two consecutive days and measured five runs in each MRI 166 session (Day 1 and Day 2). One run consisted of 20 trials, with one trial lasting between 11 and 167 13 seconds (1s stimulations and jittered inter-trial interval of 10-12s) and the duration of one run 168 being four minutes and 48 seconds. We measured each run with a different echo time (TE; 7 runs: 169 TE = 25ms, 30ms, 35ms, 40ms, 45ms, 50ms, 55ms) and a repetition time (TR) of 1800ms; two 170 runs were additionally measured with the shortest possible TR (TE = 40ms & TR = 1560ms; TE = 171 25ms & TR = 1320ms). Splitting up the experiment across two days gave us the opportunity to 172 assess the reliability of task-based spinal fMRI data. For this reason, we measured one run with 173 a TE of 40ms (approximating T_2^* in the spinal cord, Barry & Smith, 2019) and a TR of 1800ms on 174 each of the two measurement days (Fig. 1), which is the main focus of this study (from now 175 referred to as the Reliability Run). In total, we therefore measured ten runs per participant, (nine 176 TE&TR combinations and one additional Reliability Run), with five runs per day. Run order and 177 targeted skin patch were pseudo-randomized and counter-balanced across participants, but kept 178 identical across both days for the Reliability Run (see Fig. 1).

179

180





181 Figure 1. Experimental design. Top: 40 participants were measured on two consecutive days (sessions). On each 182 day, we acquired five runs (each rectangle represents one run, also indicating the employed echo time [TE]). The runs 183 were randomized across the two measurements days, with the exception that the Reliability Run (TE of 40ms, yellow 184 rectangle) was measured on both days and is the focus of this report. Runs with a TR of 1800ms (medium grey 185 rectangles) were combined with the Reliability Run for the Combined Runs average. Runs with a short TR (dark grey 186 rectangles) were not included in this study. Bottom: Left: Before the measurements, we divided the area of the forearm 187 into 5 equally sized patches, adapted to the individual proportions of the participant's forearm. We drew the stimulation 188 areas onto the left arm with a pen, to be able to target them easily when changing the thermode position in the scanner 189 and to stimulate the same areas on the second day of the experiment. During each run, the participants received 20 190 heat stimuli with a duration of 1s and a temperature of 48°C. Across both days, we targeted the same skin patch for the 191 Reliability Run. Middle: We measured heart rate, respiration, skin conductance and pupil dilation for each run and at 192 the end of each run, participants were asked to rate the overall stimulus intensity using a numerical rating scale from 0-193 100 (0: no percept, 50: pain threshold, 100: unbearable pain). Right: Sagittal view of an example participant on the left, 194 with the yellow rectangle indicating the rostro-caudal extent of the EPI slice stack (covering spinal cord segments C5 to 195 C8, which translates to vertebrae C4 to C7). An axial example EPI slice (top right) demonstrates the data quality 196 obtained with an in-plane resolution of 1x1mm (slice thickness: 5mm) and the masks employed are shown in the lower 197 right, with the region of interest being the left dorsal horn in spinal cord segment C6 (depicted in green).

199 **2.4 Data acquisition**

200 The MRI data were acquired on a Siemens PRISMA FIT 3 Tesla scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, 201 Germany), equipped with a whole-body radio-frequency (RF) transmit coil. We used a 64-channel 202 RF head-and-neck coil, of which head coil element 7 and neck coil element groups 1 and 2 were 203 utilized (all receive-only). We started the data acquisition with a localizer scan, followed by 204 positioning the EPI slice stack and adjust volume (60 x 60 x 100 mm). A single EPI volume was 205 then acquired, initializing the scanner's "Advanced shim mode", with the resulting shim applied to 206 all following EPI acquisitions. The angle as well as centering of the adjust volume was identical to 207 that of the EPI acquisitions, but it was slightly larger in superior-inferior-direction. We then acquired 208 a z-shim reference scan that allowed for the automatic determination of the optimal z-shim 209 moment for each slice of the EPI slice stack (Finsterbusch et al., 2012; Kaptan et al., 2022). A 210 sagittal field map was obtained to estimate the static B0 field distribution. After this we measured 211 a high-resolution T2-weighted structural scan for registration purposes, followed by two T2*-212 weighted ME-GRE scans to map T2* with two different resolutions. Finally, we measured the five 213 functional runs. Prior to each functional run we acquired ten functional volumes with posterior-to-214 anterior phase encoding. In the following paragraph, we provide details on all protocols, except 215 for the acquired field map, T2*-weighted ME-GRE and functional volumes measured with 216 posterior-to-anterior phase encoding, since these were not utilized in the course of this study and 217 will be described elsewhere (as will the EPI runs with shortened TR).

218 The EPI z-shim reference scan (TE: 40ms, total acquisition time: 55 seconds) consisted of 21 219 volumes with equidistant z-shim moments compensating for field inhomogeneities between +0.21 220 and -0.21 mT/m (in steps of 0.021 mT/m). The fMRI runs were measured via a single-shot 2D 221 gradient-echo EPI sequence with 16 slices, covering the spinal cord from the 4th cervical vertebra 222 to the 1^{st} thoracic vertebra, with a resolution of $1 \times 1 \times 5$ mm (slice orientation: obligue axial; TR: 223 1.8s, TE different between runs: 25ms | 30m | 35ms | 40ms | 45ms | 50ms | 55ms, readout flip 224 angle (FA): 75°, field of view (FOV): 128 x 128mm², FOV position: centered rostro-caudally at level 225 of 4th spinal disc, GRAPPA acceleration factor: 2, partial Fourier factor: 6/8, phase-encoding 226 direction: AP, echo-spacing: 0.47ms, bandwidth per pixel: 1220 Hz/Pixel, slice 227 angulation: perpendicular to each participant's spinal cord, fat saturation and anterior and 228 posterior saturation bands). All EPI acquisitions were performed with automatic slice-wise z-229 shimming (Finsterbusch et al., 2012; Kaptan et al., 2022). Three initial dummy shots were 230 performed before the first functional image was acquired to achieve steady-state conditions. With 231 the employed repetition time and flip angle, this approach brought all MR images to within 0.12% 232 of the steady-state signal for gray matter, allowing us to include all images in the analysis. We

also acquired a high-resolution T2-weighted structural scan via a SPACE sequence with a
resolution of 0.8 x 0.8 x 0.8mm (slice orientation: sagittal, repetition time (TR): 1.5s, TE: 0.12s,
FA: 120°, number of slices: 64, field-of-view (FOV): 256 x 256 mm², GRAPPA acceleration factor:

236 3, bandwidth per pixel: 625 Hz/pixel; Cohen-Adad et al., 2021).

237 In addition to the MRI data, we acquired peripheral physiological data (respiration, heart rate, skin 238 conductance and pupil diameter) throughout the entire experiment on both measurement days. 239 Respiration, heartbeat, and skin conductance responses were recorded using a BrainAmp ExG 240 system (Brain Products, Gilching, Germany) and pupil diameter was assessed via the Evelink 241 1000 Plus system (SR research, Ottawa, Canada). Furthermore, after every run, the participants 242 were asked to verbally rate the average intensity of the stimuli on a numerical rating scale (NRS) 243 ranging from 0 to 100, where 0 translated to "no percept", 50 marked the pain threshold and 100 244 translated to "unbearable pain".

245

246 2.5 Peripheral physiological data analysis

247 2.5.1 Heart period responses (HPR)

248 The ECG data were preprocessed using EEGLAB (Delorme & Makeig, 2004) and the FMRIB plug-249 in for EEGLAB, provided by the University of Oxford Centre for Functional MRI of the Brain 250 (FMRIB) to remove MR-artifacts from the data traces recorded during functional runs (Niazy et al., 251 2005). Using in-house Matlab scripts, R-peaks were automatically detected, and manually 252 corrected, if necessary. To obtain heart period time series, each inter-beat interval (IBI) was 253 assigned to its following R-peak and the resulting IBI time series was linearly interpolated to 254 achieve a sampling rate of 10Hz. Additionally, we filtered the IBI time series using a second-order 255 Butterworth band-pass filter with cut-off frequencies at 0.01 Hz and 0.5 Hz (Paulus et al., 2016). 256 The IBI traces were subdivided into event-epochs of -1 to 10s relative to stimulus onset and 257 baseline-corrected to the average IBI within 5s before until stimulus onset. We then extracted the 258 minimum of the IBI trace in an interval of 0 - 8s after stimulus onset of each trial and averaged the 259 resulting 20 HPR values of the Reliability Run per day and participant. To test for differences in 260 the HPRs between both days, we entered the average HPR of each participant and day into a 261 pair-wise two-sided t-test.

262 2.5.2 Skin conductance responses (SCR)

In two participants, SCR could not be recorded due to technical issues, leading to a sample size
 of 38 participants for SCR analyses. SCR data were down-sampled to 100Hz and low-pass filtered
 with a cut-off frequency of 1 Hz. The SCR traces were subdivided into event-epochs of -1 to 10s

relative to stimulus onset and baseline-corrected to stimulus onset. We then extracted the peak of the skin conductance trace in an interval of 0 - 8s after stimulus onset of each trial and averaged the resulting 20 SCR values of the Reliability Run to acquire one average peak value per day and participant. To test for differences in the SCRs between both days, we entered the average SCR of each participant and day into a pair-wise two-sided t-test.

271 2.5.3 Pupil dilation responses (PDR)

272 In six participants, eye tracking data of sufficient quality could not be recorded, leading to a sample 273 size of 34 participants for pupil dilation analyses. Eveblinks that were automatically detected by 274 the EyeLink software were removed within a period of ± 100ms surrounding each blink. After the 275 automatic blink detection, we manually corrected for any additional blinks or artifacts in the data 276 trace by interpolating across the affected data segments. Blinking periods or otherwise missing 277 data were interpolated linearly and the data were down-sampled to 100Hz and low-pass filtered 278 with a cut-off frequency of 4 Hz. The pupil data traces were subdivided into event-epochs of -1 to 279 10s relative to stimulus onset and baseline-corrected to stimulus onset. We then extracted the 280 peak of the pupil dilation trace in an interval of 0 - 4s after stimulus onset of each epoch and 281 averaged the resulting 20 PDR values of the Reliability Run to acquire one average peak value 282 per day and participant. To test for differences in the PDRs between both days, we entered the 283 average PDR of each participant and day into a pair-wise two-sided t-test.

284

285 2.6 fMRI data analysis

Preprocessing and statistical analyses were carried out using FSL (version 6.0.3), SCT (Version 5.5) as well as custom MATLAB (version 2021a) and Python (version 3.9.13) scripts. The following procedures were carried out separately for the Reliability Run of each measurement day and participant.

290 2.6.1 Preprocessing

291 Correction for thermal noise. As a first step, we applied non-local Marchenko-Pastur principal 292 component analysis (MP-PCA, https://github.com/NYU-DiffusionMRI/mppca_denoise, Veraart et 293 al., 2016) on the unprocessed EPI data of the Reliability Run to reduce thermal noise (Ades-Aron 294 et al., 2021; Diao et al., 2021; Kaptan et al., 2023). The application of MP-PCA resulted in a 295 substantial spinal cord tSNR increase (63.6%; from 11.69 before MP-PCA to 19.12 after MP-PCA), 296 but only a marginal increase in spatial smoothness in the spinal cord (5.7%; from 1.23 before MP-297 PCA to 1.30 after MP-PCA; estimated via AFNI's 3dFWHMx tool: 298 https://afni.nimh.nih.gov/pub/dist/doc/program help/3dFWHMx.html)

299 Motion correction. Motion correction was carried out in two steps. We first created a mean image 300 of the 160 EPI volumes (after thermal noise correction). This mean image was used as a target 301 image for the motion correction as well as to automatically segment the spinal cord. Based on the 302 segmentation, we created a cylindrical mask, which was used to prevent adverse effects of non-303 spinal movement on the motion parameter estimation. Motion correction was then carried out 304 slice-wise (allowing for x- and y-translations), using spline interpolation and a 2nd degree 305 polynomial function for regularization along the z-direction (De Leener et al., 2017). As a second 306 step, we repeated the motion correction of the original time series of the Reliability Run, now using 307 the mean image of the initially motion-corrected time-series as the target image.

308 Segmentation. In order to obtain a high-resolution segmentation of the spinal cord, we used the 309 T2-weighted acquisition with 0.8mm isotropic voxels. For this purpose, we first applied the 310 ANTs N4 bias field correction algorithm on the raw structural data to correct for intensity 311 inhomogeneities due to RF coil imperfections (Tustison & Gee, 2010). As a next step, we denoised 312 the structural data via Adaptive Optimized Nonlocal Means (AONLM) filtering (Manjón et al., 2010) 313 to account for spatially varying noise levels and increase the SNR. To improve the robustness and 314 quality of the final segmentation, we used an iterative procedure: the data were initially segmented 315 using the SCT DeepSeg algorithm (Gros et al., 2019), smoothed along the z-direction using an 316 anisotropic Gaussian kernel with 6mm sigma (in straightened space), and again segmented via 317 the DeepSeg algorithm. To obtain a spinal cord segmentation of the EPI data, we used the mean 318 image of the motion-corrected time series as input for SCT's DeepSeg algorithm.

319 Registration to template space. While the statistical analyses on the individual level took place in 320 each participant's native space, group-level analyses were carried out in a common anatomical 321 space defined by the PAM50 template of the spinal cord (De Leener et al., 2018). For the individual 322 transformations from native to template space, we utilized the denoised and segmented structural 323 T2-weighted image. In line with SCT's recommended registration procedure (De Leener et al., 324 2017), the vertebral levels were identified and labeled, and the spinal cord was straightened. Using 325 an iterative, slice-wise non-linear registration procedure based on segmentations, the structural 326 image was then registered to the template. The resulting inverse warping field served to initialize 327 the registration of the PAM50 template to the motion-corrected mean functional image via SCT's 328 multi-step non-rigid registration (De Leener et al., 2017). Based on this registration, we obtained 329 a warping field to move the native-space mean functional image of each participant to template 330 space using spline interpolation.

331 *Correction for physiological noise*. We employed several steps to reduce physiological noise. First,
 332 we identified volumes with excessive motion whose effects we aimed to remove during general

333 linear model estimation. For this purpose, we calculated the root mean square difference between 334 successive volumes (dVARS) as well as the root mean square intensity difference of each volume 335 to a reference volume (refRMS) using FSL's fsl motion outliers algorithm. Volumes presenting 336 with dVARS or refRMS values three standard deviations above the time series mean were defined 337 as outliers and individually modelled as regressors of no interest in subsequent analyses (on 338 average 2% [range: 0.6% - 5.6%] of the 160 volumes per run were regarded as outliers). Second, 339 the respiratory and preprocessed cardiac signals (see section 2.4.1 electrocardiogram) were used 340 to create a physiological noise model (PNM), which approximates to what extent fMRI signal 341 changes can be explained by respiratory and cardiac activity (Brooks et al., 2008). The 342 approximation is based on the estimated cardiac and respiratory cycle phase during which the 343 slices were obtained. The approach is derived from the retrospective image correction procedure 344 (RETROICOR; Glover et al., 2000) and has been adapted for spinal cord fMRI to obtain slice-wise 345 physiological regressors for subsequent analyses (Brooks et al., 2008; Kong et al., 2012). We 346 extracted 32 noise regressors to estimate cardiac, respiratory and interaction effects as well as 347 an additional regressor to model the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) signal, which was derived from 348 voxels in the CSF and spinal cord space that exhibited high levels of signal variance.

349 2.6.2 Statistical analysis

350 General linear model. The statistical analysis of the fMRI data was based on the general linear 351 model (GLM) approach implemented in FSL's FEAT (FMRI Expert Analysis Tool; 352 http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FEAT; Woolrich et al., 2001) and included spatial smoothing via 353 FSL's Susan tool with an isotropic 2mm (full width half maximum) Gaussian kernel as well as high-354 pass filtering at 100s. The first-level design matrix included a regressor for the heat stimulus 355 onsets convolved with a double-gamma hemodynamic response function (HRF) as well as a 356 temporal derivative. The following regressors of no interest were added to the design matrix for 357 robust denoising: 33 slice-wise PNM regressors (describing cardiac, respiratory and CSF effects), 358 two slice-wise motion regressors (describing movement along x and y; calculated during motion 359 correction), and one regressor for each volume with excessive motion. From the first-level analysis 360 we obtained a β -estimate map for the main effect of heat for each participant and day, which we 361 registered to the template using the previously estimated warping fields.

Masks. For the subsequent analyses, we used multiple masks. In template space, we first derived z-coordinates to divide the spinal cord according to the spinal segmental levels C5 to C8 (which are the levels covered by our EPI slice-stack). The coordinates for each segment were obtained from SCT (version 6.1, De Leener et al., 2018) and are based on findings from Frostell et al., (2016). Segmental masks for the four gray matter horns of the spinal cord were derived by

367 cropping the unthresholded probabilistic gray matter masks of each gray matter horn according to 368 the same segmental coordinates. Additionally, we utilized segmental masks for the four quadrants 369 of the cord, encompassing both gray matter and white matter, using the same segmental 370 coordinates. The mask of the left dorsal horn in segment C6 (number of isotropic 0.5mm voxels: 371 502) was used to investigate the main effect of heat, as well as the reliability between the days, 372 along with the mask of the right ventral horn of segment C6 (number of isotropic 0.5mm voxels: 373 719), which was defined as a control region. To assess BOLD activity patterns beyond the target 374 region, we used a cord mask of spinal segment C6 dilated by 6 voxels (i.e., including an area 375 occupied by draining veins), which we then subdivided into 4 guadrants (left dorsal, number of 376 isotropic 0.5mm voxels: 5888; left ventral, number of isotropic 0.5mm voxels: 6671; right dorsal, 377 number of isotropic 0.5mm voxels: 5888, right ventral, number of isotropic 0.5mm voxels: 6671).

378 Average and day-wise BOLD responses. To first investigate whether phasic heat stimulation as 379 employed here evokes a significant BOLD response at all, we averaged the normalized β -maps 380 over both days within each participant and then submitted the resulting 40 β -maps to a one-sample 381 t-test. Correction for multiple comparison was carried out via voxel-wise non-parametric 382 permutation testing as implemented in FSL's randomise algorithm (Winkler et al., 2014) in an 383 anatomically informed target region (left dorsal horn, segment C6) at a threshold of $p_{FWE} < 0.05$ 384 (family-wise error corrected). Second, we also aimed to test for significant responses on each of 385 the two days using exactly the same statistical procedure, but now using the 40 β -maps from each 386 day as input. Finally, we aimed to test for an overlap of significant responses across both days 387 (i.e., a conjunction) and therefore created a binary mask of the significant voxels of each day, 388 which we subsequently multiplied with each other to determine significant voxels overlapping 389 across both days.

390 Spatial specificity. We also aimed to describe the spatial organization of the BOLD responses in 391 the part of the spinal cord covered by our slice-stack. For this purpose, we performed a one-392 sample t-test (again within a permutation-testing framework) using the averaged β -estimates over 393 both days within a cord mask including spinal segments C5 to C8. From the resulting uncorrected 394 group-level p-maps, we assessed the number of voxels surviving liberal thresholding at p < 0.001395 uncorrected in each spinal segment. We then calculated what percentage of the total number of 396 active voxels in the respective cord segment were located in the dorsal left, dorsal right, ventral 397 left and ventral right cord guadrant (including both gray and white matter parts of the cord). In 398 order to supplement this analysis with more fine-grained information regarding gray matter 399 responses, we additionally assessed the number of supra-threshold voxels within the four gray 400 matter horns.

401 Finally, we aimed to assess to what degree BOLD responses also occur 'outside' the spinal cord 402 proper. While our target tissue of interest is the gray matter of the dorsal horn, this is drained 403 through a hierarchy of veins: small veins coalesce into radially-oriented intramedullary veins, 404 which further drain into the circumferential spinal veins of the superficial pial venous plexus, a 405 structure itself permeated by longitudinal veins. From here, the blood drains into the internal 406 vertebral plexus before progressing to the external vertebral plexus, ultimately joining the systemic 407 circulation (Thron, 2016). Taking into account this network of draining veins outside the gray 408 matter is crucial for spinal cord fMRI, as these venous pathways can influence the spatial 409 specificity of the BOLD response, potentially diluting the signal across a larger area than the region 410 of neuronal activity. To better understand the resulting signal spread, we performed an additional 411 group analysis within an extended region encompassing spinal segment C6, dilated by 4 voxels 412 (i.e., 2mm, covering parts of the venous drainage system, such as the pial venous plexus) on a 413 slice-by-slice basis.

414

415 2.7 Reliability

416 2.7.1 Contextual differences

417 Before quantifying the reliability of the response measures, we tested for differences between the 418 scanning days that could explain changes in the BOLD fMRI results, such as differences in the 419 general physiological state of the participants and in the fMRI data quality. To assess differences 420 in the physiological state of participants, we calculated three metrics: heart rate, heart rate 421 variability and spontaneous fluctuations of electrodermal activity. All three metrics served to 422 describe underlying differences in tonic autonomous nervous system activity, e.g. due to stress or 423 emotional arousal (Bach et al., 2010; Berntson et al., 2017; Dawson et al., 2017). Heart rate was 424 guantified as beats per minute (bpm) and heart rate variability (HRV) was calculated as the root 425 mean square of successive peak-to-peak interval differences between normal heartbeats 426 (RMSSD) in milliseconds. Spontaneous fluctuations in electrodermal activity were calculated by i) 427 setting up a GLM where stimulus onsets were convolved with a canonical skin conductance 428 response function (implemented in PsPM: https://bachlab.github.io/PsPM/; Bach et al., 2009, 429 2013) and ii) then using the residual activity (i.e. after removal of modelled stimulus-evoked 430 responses) to calculate the area under the curve of the remaining skin conductance traces (Bach 431 et al., 2010). To describe the overall quality of the fMRI data, we i) estimated motion by calculating 432 the root mean square intensity differences of each volume to a reference volume and ii) calculated 433 the temporal signal-to-noise ratio (tSNR) of the motion-corrected EPI data.

434 2.7.2 Intra-class correlation coefficient

To assess the reliability of responses to painful heat stimulation across two days, we calculated the intra-class correlation coefficient according to Shrout & Fleiss (1979), a widely used statistical measure to assess the reliability of repeated measurements. Specifically, ICC(3,1) serves to assess the consistency of measurements across different occasions or days, and is defined as the ratio of the between-participant variance and the total variance (Caceres et al., 2009). ICC(3,1) was calculated using the following formula:

441
$$ICC(3,1) = \frac{BMS - EMS}{BMS + (k-1)EMS}$$

442 The formula includes three components: BMS (Between Participants Mean Squares), which 443 represents the variance between different participants; EMS (Error Mean Squares), representing 444 the residual variability, which includes inconsistencies in repeated measurements for the same 445 participant; and k, indicating the number of measurements (Caceres et al., 2009). The numerator 446 (BMS - EMS) reflects the variability between participants after accounting for measurement errors, 447 while the denominator (BMS + (k - 1) * EMS) combines the total variability between participants with measurement errors adjusted by the number of measurements. This ratio quantifies the 448 449 consistency of the measurements and indicates the degree to which participants maintain their 450 relative ranking across days (Caceres et al., 2009; Liljequist et al., 2019). The calculation of the 451 reliability coefficients for all of the measures described below was implemented via the Python 452 package Pingouin (version 0.5.3, Vallat, 2018). The interpretation of the resulting reliability 453 estimates followed the conventions by Cicchetti (1994), where ICC values smaller than 0.4 454 indicate poor reliability, values from 0.4 - 0.59 imply fair reliability, values from 0.6 - 0.74 represent 455 good reliability and values from 0.75 - 1.0 are defined as excellent reliability.

456 2.7.3 Subjective and peripheral physiological responses

We calculated the test-retest reliability for the verbal ratings of pain intensity (data from two participants were missing due to technical issues, resulting in N = 38) as well as for SCR, PDR, and HPR. For verbal ratings, we employed the single rating obtained after all trials and for the peripheral physiological measures, we employed the peak response value (averaged across trials) of each participant obtained on each of the two days (see sections 2.5.1., 2.5.2, 2.5.3 for closer description of peak value extraction).

463 2.7.4 BOLD responses

464 To assess the test-retest reliability of spinal cord heat-evoked BOLD responses across two 465 consecutive days, we extracted β -estimates for the main effect of heat for each participant and 466 session. We assessed the reliability through the application of different anatomical masks (see 467 section 2.6.2, under *Masks*). Two masks covered the area of interest, one of them limited to the

468 gray matter horn, while the other mask incorporated draining vein territory, and two further masks 469 captured the gray matter in a control region as well the draining vein territory adjacent to the control 470 region. The first mask was the left dorsal horn mask on spinal segment C6, the second mask was 471 the left dorsal quadrant of the dilated cord mask on the level of C6, the third mask was the right 472 ventral horn on C6 and the fourth mask was the right ventral guadrant of the dilated cord mask of 473 C6. The calculation of reliability in the left dorsal horn, was based on three metrics, namely the 474 mean β over the entire region of interest, the peak β estimate in the ROI regardless of its location 475 within the ROI, and finally the average of the top 10% β values in the ROI. We also calculated the 476 reliability for the ROI mean, peak value and average of the top10% using the z-scores from the 477 z-maps, since the z-scores scale the parameter estimate (β maps) by the standard error of each 478 voxel, thereby considering the underlying variation within runs.

479 The reliability assessment described so far aimed to quantify the similarity of the response 480 amplitudes, guantified via the β estimates or z-scores, over both days. However, in the context of 481 fMRI, not only the response amplitude holds importance but also the spatial patterns of the 482 response – specifically, we wanted to know whether the BOLD response on Day 1 occurred in the 483 same location as the BOLD response on Day 2. To compare the spatial patterns of the BOLD 484 responses between days, we calculated Dice coefficients, which quantified the amount of overlap 485 of the active voxels in the left dorsal horn in spinal segment C6 (Rombouts et al., 1999; Wilson et 486 al., 2017).

$$Dice \ coefficient = \frac{2 \ x \ V_{overlap}}{(V_1 + V_2)}$$

 V_1 and V_2 define the number of active, i.e., above-threshold voxels on each day, and $V_{overlap}$ is the number of voxels that overlap. We calculated Dice coefficients on the group and individual level using binarized statistical maps. On the group level we binarized the uncorrected p-maps at the thresholds 0.001, 0.01 and 0.5, and on the individual level we binarized the z statistic image for the main effect of heat, thresholded at +/- 1.96 (i.e., p < 0.05 uncorrected).

493

494 **2.8 Post-hoc analyses**

As reported in the Results section, test-retest reliability was low for the peak activation in the left
dorsal horn on C6. Additionally, in the same ROI there was no spatial overlap between the grouplevel results of both days, accompanied by a low Dice coefficient for even rather liberal thresholds.
To investigate possible reasons for this surprising lack of response consistency, we carried out
three further sets of analyses, which we had not specified in the preregistration.

500 2.8.1 Increasing the number of runs

501 The Reliability Run was optimized for assessing reliability in terms of keeping the measurement 502 parameters and stimulation position on the arm identical across days. However, since one run 503 consisted only of 20 trials and our stimulus duration of 1s was relatively short, this data is likely 504 noisier than data of spinal fMRI paradigms with more trials or more prolonged stimuli, which might 505 explain the low reliability. For this reason, we also investigated the fMRI activation maps and 506 reliability metrics using the average over multiple runs per day, resulting in a four-fold increase of 507 trials, since we included all runs with a TR of 1800ms (including the Reliability Run), i.e. four runs 508 per day (the two additional runs with shorter TRs were excluded from this analysis due to the 509 different TR and flip angle employed in those acquisitions).

510 The preprocessing followed the steps described above and was done separately for each day. To 511 bring all individual runs into a common space, motion correction was carried out exactly as 512 described in section 2.6.1, however, instead of correcting a single run we concatenated all suitable 513 runs of the respective day and motion-corrected the entire concatenated time series. Registration 514 to template space followed the identical procedures as above, only now the mean image of the 515 concatenated and motion-corrected time series served as the destination image. For all 516 subsequent analyses we used the same procedures as described above (section 2.6.2), with the 517 difference that the β maps of the individual runs were averaged across runs and only then entered 518 the group-level analysis. For the rating and all peripheral physiological data, we also combined 519 the data of the four runs per day and calculated the reliability coefficients accordingly. The results 520 of this analysis are referred to as "Combined Runs".

521 2.8.2 Accounting for spontaneous activations

522 Another cause of the low reliability of task-evoked BOLD responses could be spontaneous 523 fluctuations in the BOLD signal, which were not accounted for in the GLM, and which might 524 increase trial-to-trial variability. A study by Fox and colleagues (2006) showed that trial-to-trial 525 BOLD response variability in the left somatomotor cortex could be reduced by regressing out the 526 BOLD signal of the right somatomotor cortex. The authors argue that the spontaneous fluctuations 527 of both regions correlated due to the interhemispheric connectivity between the regions. 528 Regressing out the signal of the opposite hemisphere mainly decreased noise, whereas the 529 accompanied reduction of the task-relevant signal was non-significant. Since previous spinal fMRI 530 studies have found evidence for resting-state functional connectivity between the left and right 531 dorsal horn (Barry et al., 2014, 2016; Eippert, Kong, Winkler, et al., 2017; Harita & Stroman, 2017; 532 Kaptan et al., 2023; Kinany et al., 2020; Kong et al., 2014; Vahdat et al., 2020), we aimed to test 533 if a similar analysis strategy could decrease noise due to spontaneous fluctuations, and improve

reliability (though we are aware that this could also be negatively affected e.g. due to pain-induced responses in contralateral dorsal horns (Fitzgerald, 1982). For this purpose, we extracted the time series of the contralateral (right) dorsal horn of each slice, and used it as an additional slice-wise regressor in the GLM to regress out spontaneous fluctuations in the ipsilateral (left) dorsal horn. Otherwise, the analysis followed the procedure outlined above.

539 2.8.3 Within-run reliability

540 Given the low reliability across days, we wanted to assess if reliability would be equally low within 541 runs, as such comparisons would not involve the potentially detrimental impact of repositioning 542 the participants in the scanner as well as possibly imperfect matches of the normalized parameter 543 estimate maps in template space. For this purpose, we adopted a split-half approach and divided 544 the Reliability Run into two subsets: odd and even trials for the odd-even reliability analysis, or the 545 first and second half for the early-late reliability analysis, with the corresponding trial regressor 546 entered in the general linear model (GLM). Subsequently, we obtained two β maps from both the 547 odd-even and early-late GLMs, representing the respective trial selections. These β maps were 548 then subjected to the spatial normalization procedure described in section 2.6.1. Reliability 549 coefficients (ROI mean, ROI peak, average of top 10% β estimates extracted for each participant) 550 were calculated between the respective trial selections of both approaches, separately for each 551 day and the resulting ICC scores were averaged across days. We also calculated both within-run 552 reliability measures for SCR, PDR and HPR, calculating the respective response peaks for each 553 set of trials and averaging ICC values across days.

554 2.8.4 Correlations between BOLD and non-BOLD response measures

555 In an additional exploratory analysis inspired by a reviewer's comment, we assessed the across-556 participant correlations between peripheral physiological as well as subjective responses and 557 BOLD responses (results are reported in Supplementary Table 3). For this purpose, for every 558 participant we averaged the top 10% β estimates and z-scores in the left dorsal horn of C6, along 559 with SCR, PDR, HPR and subjective ratings across days. We then calculated Pearson's r for each 560 of the eight correlations (only including participants with responses in both variables): β estimates 561 with SCR, HPR, PDR, and rating as well as z-scores with SCR, HPR, PDR, and rating. Since we 562 assumed that higher BOLD responses would go along with stronger peripheral physiological and 563 subjective responses (positive associations expected for all responses but HPR, as here a 564 negative-going response indicates cardiac acceleration as is typical in response to nociceptive 565 input), we base our results on one-tailed p-values as indicators of statistical significance of the 566 correlation strength.

567 2.8.5 Correlations between BOLD parameter estimates and indicators of data quality

568 Inspired by a reviewer's comments, we calculated correlations between changes in data quality 569 metrics and BOLD parameter estimates across days, as this should allow for insights into possible 570 data quality contributions to across-day reliability of BOLD responses. For every participant we 571 calculated the difference from Day 1 to Day 2 for i) motion estimates, ii) normalization quality 572 estimates and iii) indicators of participant positioning. Motion estimates were obtained via root 573 mean square intensity differences of each motion-corrected volume to reference volume (see 574 refRMS, section 2.6.1). Normalization quality was estimated via computing Dice coefficients 575 between the segmentation of the normalized EPI and the PAM50 cord mask (see section 2.7.4 for 576 Dice coefficient). Participant positioning estimates were obtained by calculating the angulation of 577 the slice stack relative to the direction of the B0 field, since the slice stack was always positioned 578 to be orthogonal to the longitudinal axis of the spinal cord (see Fig. 1 for example). The angle 579 between the normal vector of the slice package extracted from the DICOM header and the 580 scanner's z-axis (0,0,1) therefore serves as a proxy for the positioning of the neck – and thus the 581 orientation of the draining veins – relative to B0. For each of these measures, we correlated the 582 absolute difference across days with the absolute difference of BOLD parameter estimates across 583 days, quantified as the top 10% β estimates and z-scores of the left dorsal horn in spinal cord 584 segment C6. Since we expected a positive correlation (i.e., greater differences across days would 585 be associated with greater variation of BOLD responses), we report one-tailed p-values alongside 586 the correlations in Supplementary Table 4.

587

588 2.9 Open Science

589 This study was preregistered before the start of data acquisition and the preregistration is openly 590 available on the Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/a58h9); differences between the 591 analyses suggested in the preregistration and the analyses carried out here (as well as the 592 reasons behind these changes) are listed in the Supplementary Material. The underlying data and 593 code are currently only accessible to reviewers, but will be made openly available upon publication 594 via OpenNeuro and GitHub, respectively. The intended data-sharing via OpenNeuro was 595 mentioned in the Informed Consent Form signed by the participants and approved by the Ethics 596 Committee at the Medical Faculty of the University of Leipzig.

3. Results

597

598

599 **3.1 Behavioral and physiological responses**

Across both days, the participants reported an average stimulus intensity of 71.7 (Fig. 2, left, n = 38, SD = 12.1), indicating that the employed heat stimuli were perceived as clearly painful (responses greater than 50 indicated pain). This subjective percept was accompanied by robust physiological changes (Fig. 2), as evidenced in skin conductance responses (SCR), pupil dilation responses (PDR) and heart period responses (HPR). All measures showed rather similar responses when compared across days ($t_{rating}(37) = 0.15$, $p_{rating} = 0.88$; $t_{SCR}(37) = 0.86$, $p_{SCR} = 0.39$; $t_{PDR}(33) = 0.67$, $p_{PDR} = 0.51$; $t_{HPR}(39) = 0.48$, $p_{HPR} = 0.63$; Fig. 2).





Figure 2. Subjective and peripheral physiological responses. Left: verbal ratings of stimulus intensity on a numerical rating scale with 50 indicating the pain threshold; half-violins and boxplots depict the distribution over participants and grey dots show the raw values (jittered slightly for visualization purposes). Right: Averaged traces of the skin conductance response (SCR), pupil dilation response (PDR) and heart period response (HPR) in response to the stimulus, with error bands reflecting the standard error of the mean across the group and the gray rectangle representing the stimulus duration.

614

615 3.2 BOLD responses: amplitudes

616 Averaged across days, we observed a significant response in the ipsilateral dorsal horn in spinal 617 segment C6 (t(39) = 4.51, $p_{corr} = 0.002$, 61 supra-threshold voxels; Fig. 3). Using the same

analysis parameters, we also observed significant responses for each day separately ($t_{day1}(39) = 3.58$, $p_{corr} = 0.035$, 2 supra-threshold voxels; $t_{day2}(39) = 4.50$, $p_{corr} = 0.0018$, 48 suprathreshold voxels). When comparing the spatial pattern of active voxels (at a threshold of p < 0.05 corrected) for Day 1 and Day 2, there was no overlap, with the active voxels of Day 1 being located consistently more caudal in segment C6 compared to the active voxels of Day 2 (Fig. 3, sagittal view), despite the heat stimulation occurring at the identical location on the forearm.



Figure 3. BOLD responses. Axial view of group-level results in the left dorsal horn in spinal segment C6 thresholded at $p_{FWE} < 0.05$, with the average across both days depicted on the very left, followed by Day 1 and Day 2. The rightmost plot shows a sagittal view of the activation maps of both days, with purple voxels belong to Day 1 while red voxels belong to Day 2; the green outline marks spinal cord segment C6 for visualization purposes. Data are overlaid on the T2*-weighted PAM50 template in axial views, and on the T2-weighted PAM50 template in the sagittal view.

629 3.3 BOLD responses: spatial specificity

630 3.3.1 Entire spinal cord

631 To assess the spatial specificity of BOLD responses, we used the group-level results of the across-632 day average within the cord mask from spinal segment C5 to C8. We counted the number of active 633 voxels in each segment using a liberal threshold (p < 0.001 uncorrected) and assessed what 634 percentage of those voxels was located in each of the 4 cord quadrants: left dorsal, right dorsal, 635 left ventral and right ventral (Fig. 4; for exact percentages and day-wise results see Supplementary 636 Table 1). The highest number of voxels that survived thresholding was located in spinal cord 637 segment C5, followed by C6 and C7, with C8 holding the lowest number of supra-threshold voxels. 638 As can be seen in the percentages, segments C6 demonstrated the highest level of spatial 639 (i.e., neuroanatomical) specificity, followed closely by C5. In both segments, the majority of active 640 voxels were concentrated in the left dorsal guadrant, and a smaller number of active voxels were 641 found in the right dorsal guadrant, with a relatively small percentage of active voxels observed in 642 the ventral region. Conversely, the above-threshold voxels in C7 were mostly located in the right 643 ventral guadrant, and in spinal segment C8 in the right dorsal part.



Figure 4. Spatial specificity of BOLD responses across cord quadrants. Number of supra-threshold voxels across the four cord quadrants of all spinal segments from C5 to C8. The total on top of each bar shows the overall number of active voxels in the entire cord mask of each level. Colors indicate the number of active voxels across the dorsal left (DL) and right (DR) as well as ventral left (VL) and right (VR) quadrants. All results shown here are based on the group-level across-day average (uncorrected p < 0.001).



657 3.3.2 Gray matter

658 In order to obtain a more detailed understanding of spatial specificity in the spinal cord gray matter 659 (instead of the cord quadrants, as reported above), we also projected all active voxels in the four 660 grav matter horns onto an exemplary spinal cord slice, either from all segments (grev dots in Fig. 661 5A,) or only from target segment C6 (red dots in Fig. 5A) and furthermore visualized their 662 distribution along the left-right and dorsal ventral axis. Across all segments, the highest number of 663 active voxels was located in the left dorsal horn, but a substantial number of active voxels was 664 also present in the other horns. Conversely, for our target segment C6, the clear majority of voxels is located in the ipsilateral dorsal horn, with a lesser number of voxels in the contralateral dorsal 665 666 horn and no active voxels in the ventral horns.

667 3.3.3 Surrounding tissue

668 To investigate the impact of draining veins on the location of BOLD responses, we also assessed 669 the spatial pattern of active voxels (p < 0.001 uncorrected, across-day group-level average) in a 670 mask of spinal segment C6 that also included the venous plexus (Fig. 5B). Several aspects are 671 worth noting here. First, in line with the previously presented data, there is almost no ventral horn 672 activation and thus also no BOLD responses in the venous plexus on the anterior surface of the 673 cord. Second, gray matter responses are consistently present throughout segment C6 in the 674 ipsilateral dorsal horn and with lesser prominence also in the contralateral dorsal horn. Most 675 importantly though, the strongest BOLD responses are actually observed at the dorsal surface of 676 the cord in the region of the veins draining the dorsal cord: these responses are evident both 677 ipsi- and contralaterally, at times spanning both the left and right dorsal surface.

678





680 Figure 5. Spatial specificity of BOLD responses. A: Positions of supra-threshold voxels in the gray matter horns of 681 all spinal segments from C5 to C8, collapsed over z (grey), vs. only in spinal segment C6 (red), with jitter added for 682 visualization purposes. The lines on outside of plot show the distribution of the voxels across hemicords (lines on the 683 left average over the left and right horns, lines on the bottom average over the dorsal and ventral horns); colors indicate 684 the employed mask (red: only spinal segment C6, grey: collapsed over z). The mask used for visualization here was 685 obtained by combining a slice of the cord and all four GM horn masks in C6. B: Six example slices across segment C6 686 showing supra-threshold voxels within a dilated cord mask to allow for observing draining vein responses. All results 687 shown here are based on the group-level across-day average (uncorrected p < 0.001).

688 3.4 Reliability

- 689 3.4.1 Physiological state and data quality across days
- 690 To test for differences in the participants' general physiological state across days, we calculated 691 run-wise heart rate, heart rate variability, and spontaneous fluctuations of the electrodermal 692 activity (Fig. 6A-C). While heart-rate showed a slight increase from Day 1 to Day 2 (t(39) = -2.15, 693 p = 0.04), neither heart rate variability (t(39) = 1.23, p = 0.22) nor spontaneous fluctuations in 694 electrodermal activity (t(37)=0.04, p=0.97) showed significant differences across days. To assess 695 fMRI data quality across days, we investigated motion effects (quantified as root mean square 696 intensity difference to a reference volume for each run per participant and day; Fig. 6D) and 697 temporal signal-to-noise ratio (tSNR: Fig. 5E) after motion correction. Neither motion effects 698 (t(39) = 1.1, p = 0.28) nor tSNR (F(1,38) = 0.3, p = 0.59) showed significant differences across 699 days; for tSNR this pattern held across all slices.
- 700
- 701
- 702



Figure 6. Physiological state and data quality across days. A – D show the average physiological state or fMRI quality indicators of each participant and day, visualized via box-plots, half-violin plots and grey lines that indicate participant-wise changes across days. A) Heart-rate quantified as beats per minute. B) Heart-rate variability quantified as root mean square of successive differences between normal heartbeats in ms. C) Spontaneous fluctuations in skin conductance (SCF) quantified as area under the curve. D) fMRI motion quantified as root mean square intensity differences of each volume to reference volume. E) fMRI signal quality quantified as temporal signal-to-noise ratio (tSNR) within a cord mask of each slice.

711 3.4.2 Behavioral and peripheral physiological test-retest reliability

As a positive control analysis, we first assessed the reliability of the behavioral and peripheral physiological measures in order to ascertain that responses to noxious thermal stimulation can in principle be reliably assessed (Fig. 7, Table 1; Supplementary Figure 1). Subjective ratings (ICC = 0.72), skin conductance (ICC_{SCR} = 0.77) and heart period (ICC_{HPR} = 0.77) exhibited good-toexcellent test-retest reliability, whereas pupil dilation (ICC_{PDR} = 0.34) showed poor test-retest reliability.

718 3.4.3 fMRI test-retest reliability

We calculated the reliability of the BOLD response amplitudes using four different masks: i) the left dorsal horn of C6 (ROI; grey matter area of interest), ii) an enlarged mask of the dorsal left 721 cord guadrant of C6 (dilated ROI; including the venous plexus containing veins draining the dorsal 722 horn), iii) the right ventral horn of C6 (control; grey matter area of no interest), and iv) an enlarged 723 mask of the right ventral quadrant of C6 (dilated control; including the venous plexus with veins 724 draining the ventral horn). To our surprise, all investigated metrics (β estimates of i) peak voxel, 725 ii) top 10% of voxels, and iii) average across all voxels) showed poor reliability (all ICC < 0.4) in 726 our target region, i.e., the left dorsal horn. This pattern did not change when we also took into 727 account noise at the individual level, by repeating these analyses on z-values instead of β -728 estimates (with the latter only reflecting response amplitudes without taking into account residual 729 noise; see Table 1). When assessing a larger region however (including draining vein territory). 730 reliability was in the poor to fair range (ICC between 0.23 and 0.59). For the control areas, reliability 731 in the gray matter region was consistently in the poor range (all ICC < 0.4), and in the poor to fair 732 range (ICC between 0.41 and 0.53) in the dilated control region (as shown in Fig. 7).



733 Figure 7. Test-retest reliability across both days for subjective ratings, peripheral physiological data and BOLD 734 response amplitudes. Reliability is indicated via ICCs (plotted as dots with 95% CI represented as a line). ICCs are 735 reported for (from left to right) verbal ratings, skin conductance response amplitude (SCR), pupil dilation response 736 amplitude (PDR), heart period response amplitude (HPR), top 10% β -estimate in the left dorsal horn of C6 (ROI), in the 737 dilated left dorsal guadrant of C6 (dilated ROI), in the right ventral horn of C6 (control), and in the dilated right ventral 738 quadrant of C6 (dilated control). Colors indicate ICC interpretation according to Cicchetti (1994): dark red: ICC < 739 0.4, poor; medium red: ICC 0.4 - 0.59, fair; orange: ICC 0.6 - 0.74, good; yellow: ICC 0.75 - 1.0, excellent. Individual 740 values underlying the ICC calculation are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

- 741
- 742
- 743
- 744
- 745

Table 1

Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and 95% confidence interval for subjective ratings, peripheral physiological data and BOLD response amplitudes.

	Measu	res	ICC (95% CI)		
	Rating SCF PDF	gs R		0.72 (0.53–0.85) 0.77 (0.61–0.88) 0.34 (0–0.60)	
	HPF	R		0.77 (0.55–0.85)	
	Dorsal horn left RI	β	peak top 10% avg	0.20 (-0.12–0.48) 0.20 (-0.11–0.48) 0.03 (-0.28–0.33)	
fMRI ROI		z-score	peak top 10% avg	0.24 (-0.08–0.51) 0.17 (-0.14–0.46) 0.10 (-0.22–0.39)	
	Dilated left dorsal quadrant	β	peak top 10% avg	0.53 (0.26–0.72) 0.59 (0.35–0.76) 0.23 (-0.08–0.51)	
fMRI	Ventral horn right	β	peak top 10% avg	0.18 (-0.13–0.46) 0.17 (-0.14–0.46) 0.17 (-0.15–0.45)	
Control	Dilated right ventral quadrant	β	peak top 10% avg	0.53 (0.02–0.58) 0.45 (0.14–0.46) 0.41 (0.12–0.64)	

746

747 3.4.4 fMRI spatial consistency

To also compare the spatial patterns of the BOLD responses between days, we calculated Dice coefficients (DC). On the group level, the p-maps in the left dorsal horn of C6 (at p < 0.05 corrected) did not show any overlap across days (DC = 0). Using a more liberal thresholding increased the DC slightly (uncorrected p < 0.01: DC = 0.009, uncorrected p < 0.05: DC = 0.26). On the individual level (using an uncorrected p < 0.05), z-maps of 35 participants held supra-threshold voxels in the left dorsal horn (C6), but in only 5 participants these overlapped

- across days (mean DC across all 35 participants with suprathreshold voxels in ROI on both days:
 0.05, mean DC of 5 participants with overlap: 0.33, range 0.02 0.65).
- 756

757 **3.5 Post-hoc analyses**

- 758 3.5.1 Increased number of runs
- 759 In order to assess whether an increase in stimulus numbers would lead to a higher reliability, we 760 used all runs with a TR of 1800ms (four runs per day instead of just one). When first assessing 761 response amplitude, we noticed that this led to a clear enhancement in the strength of the group-762 level BOLD response, not only in the average across days (t(39) = 6.64, $p_{corr} < 0.001$, 331 supra-763 threshold voxels), but also for Day 1 (t(39) = 4.77, p_{corr} = 0.002, 94 supra-threshold voxels) and 764 for Day 2 separately (t(39) = 5.55, p_{corr} < 0.001, 285 supra-threshold voxels; Supplementary 765 Figure 2). Most importantly, with the increased stimulus numbers we now observed an overlap of 766 activation across both days (94 supra-threshold voxels at p < 0.05 corrected), leading to an 767 improved dice coefficient (DC = 0.80 for group-level p-maps at $p_{corr} < 0.05$), meaning that spatial 768 consistency improved substantially. Contrary to our expectations, increasing the number of trials 769 did not lead to improvements in the reliability of the non-BOLD data or the BOLD response 770 amplitude in the target region (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 2).
- 771 3.5.2 Accounting for spontaneous activity

When accounting for spontaneous fluctuations of BOLD activity in the left dorsal horn by adding the time-course of the right dorsal horn as a slice-wise regressor to the GLM, we did not observe an increase in test-retest reliability (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 2).

775 3.5.3 Within-run reliability

Interestingly, the within-run reliability depended on the selection of trials. Between odd and even trials, reliability in the target region (left dorsal horn, C6) reached the level "good" (ICC = 0.69, Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 2) for the top 10% β estimates, and still "fair" for the ROI average (ICC = 0.52), whereas comparing the first half of trials to the second resulted in poor reliability (ICC = 0.36, top 10% β). Odd-even reliability was excellent for all three peripheral measures (Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Table 2), and slightly lower, but still fair to excellent, for the first vs. second half of trials.

4. Discussion

784

785

786 In this study we aimed to probe the limitations of task-based spinal fMRI – a young field facing 787 many challenges – by investigating the robustness of spinal cord BOLD responses to repeated 788 nociceptive stimulation across two consecutive days. For this purpose, we first examined if BOLD 789 activation patterns occurred in the expected region of the spinal cord and assessed the spatial 790 specificity of the response across a larger area. In our main investigation, we focused on the test-791 retest reliability of the BOLD response amplitude as well as the consistency of its spatial pattern. 792 To disambiguate between effects on reliability of either data quality or variability in the underlying 793 process of nociception, we also assessed the reliability of several simultaneously-recorded 794 peripheral physiological measures.

795

796 **4.1 Heat-pain evoked responses**

797 In order to ascertain that the chosen stimulation parameters (contact heat stimuli at 48°C for 1s) 798 would elicit a robust response, we recorded subjective ratings as well as peripheral physiological 799 responses. Our results are in line with the general observation that painful stimulation activates 800 the autonomic nervous system (Boucsein, 2012; Cowen et al., 2015; Kyle & McNeil, 2014), 801 exemplified here by increased skin conductance, pupil dilation and heart rate in response to the 802 stimulus. Although these responses are not specific for pain per se, as they can generally indicate 803 increased arousal or salience (Lee et al., 2020), along with perceptual ratings being clearly above 804 pain threshold, they suggest that a robust pain response was evoked by our brief nociceptive 805 stimulation.

806 Our data furthermore showed that a brief contact heat stimulus of 1s can already evoke a 807 measurable group-level BOLD response in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. This response was 808 observed in the expected segmental level and survived strict permutation-based correction for 809 multiple comparisons. In humans, there is ample evidence that heat pain stimulation leads to 810 activation in the ipsilateral DH of the spinal gray matter at the expected rostrocaudal location 811 (Bosma & Stroman, 2015; Brooks et al., 2012; Eippert et al., 2009; Geuter & Buchel, 2013; Nash 812 et al., 2013; Oliva et al., 2022; Seifert et al., 2023; Sprenger, Eichler, et al., 2018; Summers et al., 813 2010; Weber et al., 2016b, see Kolesar et al., 2015 for review), though these studies have 814 consistently used longer stimulus durations. While results from one spinal fMRI study in the motor 815 domain suggested that short stimuli may elicit weaker BOLD responses than expected (Giulietti 816 et al., 2008), the application of short stimuli in event-related designs allows for a larger number of

trials and may therefore boost power, as well as enable greater variability in the timing of the
stimulus presentation (D'Esposito et al., 1999). Such features could be helpful for investigating
the cognitive modulation of pain (Atlas & Wager, 2012; Villemure & Bushnell, 2002; Wiech, 2016)
at the spinal level with more sophisticated paradigms than currently employed.

821

822 4.2 Spatial specificity

823 After confirming that BOLD responses indeed occurred in the ipsilateral dorsal horn of the 824 expected segment, we next investigated the response pattern beyond this target area, to allow 825 insights into the spatial specificity of BOLD responses. In the target segment of the spinal cord 826 (C6), the ipsilateral dorsal horn indeed showed the highest number of active voxels across all four 827 gray matter horns, though in all horns smaller numbers of active voxels were observed. Activation 828 beyond the ipsilateral dorsal horn has been reported in previous spinal cord fMRI studies (Cahill 829 & Stroman, 2011; Geuter & Buchel, 2013; Summers et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2015; see Kolesar 830 et al., 2015 for review) and one factor contributing to this could be spatial inaccuracies, e.g. due 831 to distortions during image acquisition, suboptimal registration to template space and spatial 832 smoothing (Bosma & Stroman, 2015; Eippert, Kong, Jenkinson, et al., 2017; Hoggarth et al., 833 2022). On the other hand, activations in the ventral horns as well as the contralateral dorsal horn 834 could also be indicative of neural processing in these areas: not only is there evidence for 835 functional connectivity between the dorsal horns in the human spinal cord (for review, Harrison et 836 al., 2021), but also evidence from animal models for dorsal commissural interneurons (Bannatyne, 837 2006; Petkó & Antal, 2000) and primary afferents that project contralaterally (Culberson et al., 838 1979; Light & Perl, 1979). Furthermore, autoradiographic rat data also show widespread 839 responses to noxious heat stimuli (Coghill et al., 1991, 1993) and a painful heat stimulus might 840 trigger motor responses as well as the active inhibition of thereof (Pierrot-Deseilligny & Burke, 841 2012; Purves et al., 2019). Taking these aspects into account, we would argue that activations 842 outside of the ipsilateral dorsal horn likely reflect more than noise.

843 Apart from activation in spinal cord segment C6, we also observed active voxels in segments C5, 844 C7 and C8 (when using a liberal uncorrected threshold), a pattern that has been observed 845 previously in human data (Geuter & Buchel, 2013; Rempe et al., 2015; Seifert et al., 2023; Weber 846 et al., 2016a). In addition, Shekhtmeyster et al. (2023) provide evidence for cross-segmental spinal 847 cord activation of glial cells of mice in response to nociceptive stimulation, hinting at similarly 848 widespread spinal processing mechanisms. On the one hand, a part of this large rostrocaudal 849 extent could be due to dermatomal variability between participants and the fact that adjacent spinal 850 roots can innervate overlapping areas of skin (Lee et al., 2008). However, this aspect seems to

851 be more relevant for tactile as opposed to pain and temperature dermatomes (Lorenz et al., 1996; 852 Sherrington, 1898, as cited in Lee et al., 2008) and may therefore not explain the activity patterns 853 we observed. Interestingly however, it has also been suggested that the size of dermatomes 854 depends on central communication via spinal levels (Denny-Brown et al., 1973; Denny-Brown & 855 Kirk, 1968; Kirk & Denny-Brown, 1970), emphasizing a dynamic view of cutaneous innervation. 856 Building on this, the large rostrocaudal patterns may also be explained by inter-segmental 857 nociceptive processing via e.g. propriospinal neurons (Flynn et al., 2011; Pierrot-Deseilligny & 858 Burke, 2012) or inter-segmental projection patterns of primary afferents (Kato et al., 2004; Pinto 859 et al., 2010).

860 Beyond the gray matter of the dorsal horn, the activation seemed to bleed into the subarachnoid 861 space, with strong peaks just outside of the spinal cord, where the large veins that drain the spinal 862 cord are located (Thron, 2016). Typically, the evaluation of BOLD effects in the spinal cord is 863 restricted to either a cord mask or a gray matter mask, meaning that the extent to which such 864 activation patterns are prevalent in the literature is uncertain. However, spinal fMRI studies 865 employing a hypercapnia challenge reported stronger signal changes at the edge of the cord and 866 in the CSF compared to inside the spinal cord (Barry et al., 2021; Cohen-Adad et al., 2010) and 867 several spinal fMRI studies employing painful heat stimuli also reported activations on the outer 868 edge of the cord or even overlapping into the CSF (Geuter & Buchel, 2013; Nash et al., 2013; 869 Oliva et al., 2022; Rempe et al., 2015; Sprenger et al., 2015; Sprenger, Stenmans, et al., 2018). 870 The bias towards draining veins is a well-known drawback of gradient-echo EPI and – considering 871 our results - it might be advisable in the future to try disentangling micro- and macrovascular 872 contributions to the spinal cord BOLD response, for instance by modeling the respective time 873 courses (Kay et al., 2020), leveraging differences in TE- (Markuerkiaga et al., 2021; Uludağ et al., 874 2009) and phase dependencies (Stanley et al., 2021) to remove signal contributions from large 875 veins, or suppressing draining vein signal during data acquisition (Li et al., 2022). A first proof-of-876 principle step might however be to obtain individual vasculature maps and investigate the 877 relationship between vascular anatomy and BOLD activation patterns in the spinal cord, a concept 878 that aligns with the initial approach of Cohen-Adad et al. (2010), whose findings highlight the 879 importance of vascular dynamics.

880

881 **4.3 Test-retest reliability across consecutive days**

The main objective of this study was to investigate the test-retest reliability of task-based spinal cord BOLD responses across two consecutive days. Test-retest reliability describes to what extent repeated measurements yield similar results, given that the underlying true value has not changed

885 (Lavrakas, 2008) and this also applies to inter-individual variation, with consistent differences 886 between participants indicating good reliability. Considering that many factors contribute to the 887 processing of pain (Bushnell et al., 2013; Heinricher & Fields, 2013), we also collected verbal 888 ratings as well as peripheral physiological responses in response to painful stimulation - apart 889 from offering a different window on the reliability of pain processing, these measures also served 890 as controls against which we could compare the reliability of the BOLD responses. We observed 891 that verbal ratings exhibited high reliability, a finding that has also been reported in previous 892 studies (Letzen, 2014; Quiton & Greenspan, 2008; Upadhyay, 2015), though it is unclear to what 893 extent this reflects the stability of actual perceptual differences or might be driven by biases in 894 reporting pain or differences in interpreting the rating scale. Peripheral physiological measures 895 also mostly showed high reliability, providing complementary evidence that participants can 896 indeed be distinguished reliably based on their peripheral physiological response to pain (the low 897 reliability of pupil dilation is likely due to noisy data on account of the non-ideal setup for eye-898 tracking with the 64-channel coil employed here). Together these data provide a solid foundation 899 for investigating the reliability of spinal cord BOLD responses, as they indicate a generally high 900 reliability of supra-spinal measures of pain processing.

901 When looking at the test-retest reliability of spinal cord BOLD responses across days, we observed 902 that the reliability of the response amplitudes in the region of interest (left dorsal horn of segment 903 C6) was consistently in the poor range, similar to results obtained by Weber and colleagues when 904 investigating within-day test-retest reliability of spinal cord BOLD responses to heat-pain 905 stimulation (Weber et al., 2016a). One could argue that two of our chosen metrics (ROI mean and 906 peak) are suboptimal for assessing reliability, as the former included many non-responsive voxels 907 and the latter may merely constitute an outlier (given the tSNR of the data). However, the more 908 constrained approach of using the top 10% resulted in very similar reliability and higher reliability 909 values have been reported when investigating BOLD responses to painful stimulation using similar 910 approaches in the brain (Bi, 2021; Gay et al., 2015; Letzen, 2014; Quiton et al., 2014; Upadhyay, 911 2015). While the majority of these studies used stimuli longer than 10s (Gay et al., 2015; Quiton 912 et al., 2014; Upadhyay, 2015), Letzen et al. (2014) reported good test-retest reliability for 4s 913 contact heat stimuli and lower trial as well as participant numbers, similarly to Bi et al. (2021), who 914 observed fair to moderate test-retest reliability when using 4ms radiant heat-laser stimuli on 915 roughly half the number of participants compared to our study, albeit with slightly higher trial 916 numbers. Partly, these differences may be explained by the larger tSNR typically achieved in brain 917 compared to spinal cord fMRI as well as the lower spatial resolution employed in these studies, 918 which further increases tSNR.

919 Interestingly, an extended mask that covered the venous plexus surrounding the spinal cord 920 vielded good reliability for the top 10% of the parameter estimates. One possible interpretation of 921 this finding is that spinal cord BOLD response amplitudes could indeed be a reliable measure, but 922 with the employed gradient-echo EPI acquisition's sensitivity to macrovascular responses 923 (Bandettini et al., 1994; Duong et al., 2003; Gati et al., 1997; Uludağ et al., 2009), the actual 924 response peak might be shifted from the gray matter towards the draining veins - in brain fMRI 925 such differences would not be immediately noticeable, considering the typically lower spatial 926 resolution, potentially causing signals from veins and gray matter to blend within individual voxels. 927 Furthermore, the brain's anatomical structure, where large draining vessels often lie directly on 928 top of the cortical gray matter, contrasts with the spinal cord's architecture, in which these larger 929 draining vessels are located outside the white matter, surrounding the cord (Duvernoy, 1999; 930 Gray, 2021).

931 In addition to response amplitudes, we also investigated the spatial consistency of the response 932 pattern, i.e., if active voxels overlapped across days. The group level results showed a significant 933 response in the target region for each session separately, but, the activation patterns did not 934 overlap between days - while the location on the dorsal-ventral dimension remained similar, the 935 patterns differed rostro-caudally within the same segment. This was paralleled on the individual 936 level, where only few participants had overlapping responses in the area of interest, leading to 937 very low dice coefficients at either level. It is noteworthy that a higher-than-expected amount of 938 spatial variability in the z-direction within participants upon thermal stimulation has also been 939 reported in a recent within-day design by Seifert and colleagues (2023). It is currently unclear if 940 this is an indicator of large variability in spinal nociceptive processing, or the result of low tSNR 941 (due to residual noise), an insufficient number of trials or a low stimulus intensity (Upadhyay, 942 2015). A partial answer was given by a post-hoc analysis where we increased the amount of data 943 by averaging over multiple runs per session: here, the spatial overlap on the group level improved 944 substantially, yet the reliability of the response amplitude did not improve.

945 There are several factors specific to across-day set-ups that could have negatively impacted the 946 reliability of both response amplitudes and spatial patterns. One such factor is the quality of the 947 spatial normalization, since differences thereof between days could have adverse effects on 948 reliability (especially for a small structure such as the DH), yet this did not receive support by our 949 analyses based on EPI-template Dice coefficients. Further inconsistencies between sessions may 950 have been caused for example by differences in the positioning of the participants in the scanner. 951 resulting in a different tilt of the head and neck, which is supported by the moderate correlation 952 between differences in BOLD parameter estimates and the angulation of the slice stack relative

953 to the static magnetic field (as a proxy for neck positioning). Due to the anatomical organization of 954 the draining veins, the resulting different curvature / orientation of the neck and thus spinal cord 955 across days may have impacted the relative contribution of the longitudinal and radial veins to the 956 overall signal (Giove et al., 2004; Viessmann et al., 2019). It is also possible that the general 957 physiological state of the participants produced some variation the fMRI responses (Dubois & 958 Adolphs, 2016), but except for a slight increase in heart rate from day one to day two, all other 959 markers of physiological arousal remained the same. In one post-hoc analysis, we tried to partially 960 address these limitations by computing the within-run reliability using odd/even trials as well as 961 the first vs. second half of trials, where no re-positioning in the scanner occurred and spatial 962 normalization was equal. Interestingly, odd-even reliability of the BOLD fMRI results was in the 963 good range, while early-late reliability was still poor. The main difference between these two 964 assessments is the temporal distance between the trials, which was greater for early-late 965 reliability. This indicates that the heat-pain evoked activations display considerable variability 966 within a single run, potentially due to mechanisms physiological mechanisms such as adaptation, 967 habituation and sensitization (Greffrath et al., 2007; Hollins et al., 2011; Latremoliere & Woolf, 968 2009) as well as potential technical issues such as scanner drift. While it is unlikely that the same 969 mechanisms account for across-day variability, it indicates that factors beyond positioning and 970 spatial normalization can contribute to low reliability.

971 It is important to point out that a systematic comparison of our results with reliability estimates 972 obtained by resting-state spinal cord fMRI studies is unfortunately not possible, as these studies 973 not only varied vastly in their sample sizes (N = 1 to N = 45) but consistently used a within-day 974 design (Barry et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2018; Kaptan et al., 2023; Kong et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2016; 975 San Emeterio Nateras et al., 2016), thus not encountering the issues of across-day measurements 976 that might bring about low reliability. A notable exception is a recent study by Kowalczyk and 977 colleagues (2024), where a between-day design also resulted in mostly 'poor' voxelwise ICCs, 978 though the spatial patterns of connectivity showed near-perfect agreement.

979

980 **4.4 General considerations on reliability**

To put our observation of mostly low reliability of spinal cord BOLD responses into a larger context, it is important to mention that a recent meta-analysis investigating univariate BOLD responses in the brain to several common tasks from various domains also observed generally low reliability (Elliott et al., 2020). Several ways to improve the reliability of fMRI have been discussed (Elliott et al., 2021; Kragel et al., 2021), such as multivariate analysis (Gianaros et al., 2020; Han et al., 2022), modeling stable variability, and the aggregation of more data (Elliott et al., 2021), all ofwhich might be applicable in the context of spinal cord fMRI as well.

988 A further aspect deserving discussion is our quantification of reliability, which was carried out using 989 the intra-class correlation coefficient ICC(3,1) (Shrout & Fleiss, 1979), a common measure for 990 test-retest reliability of fMRI data (Caceres et al., 2009; Elliott et al., 2020; Noble et al., 2021). The 991 ICC is a useful metric to investigate inter-individual differences, since it quantifies to what extent 992 participants can be "re-identified" across repeated measurements by means of the stability of their 993 rating in relation to that of other participants (Brandmaier et al., 2018; Hedge et al., 2018; Liliequist 994 et al., 2019). In order to obtain a high ICC, the variation between participants should be large, and 995 the variation within participants as well as the general measurement error should be small. 996 However, traditional univariate analyses of BOLD responses via the GLM – as also employed here 997 - are designed to minimize between-participant variability in order to gain a robust group-level 998 response (Fröhner et al., 2019; Hedge et al., 2018). Given the possible sources of noise discussed 999 in this study and elsewhere (Eippert, Kong, Jenkinson, et al., 2017; Kinany, Pirondini, Micera, et 1000 al., 2022; Summers et al., 2014), minimizing the measurement noise holds the potential to both 1001 improve reliability and optimize main effects on the group level.

1002

1003 **4.5 Limitations**

1004 There are several limitations of this work that need to be considered. First, the BOLD responses 1005 elicited by 1s contact heat stimuli may exhibit lower reliability compared to those from longer 1006 stimulus durations in a block design, which are typically more effective in detecting effects and 1007 could yield more robust activation patterns (Bennett & Miller, 2013). The limited number of trials 1008 further constrains our assessment of test-retest reliability, potentially making it more restrictive 1009 than studies using more powerful experimental designs; here it is also important to mention the 1010 low degrees of freedom of our time-series (considering that only 160 volumes were acquired per 1011 run and that extensive denoising was carried out). Second, an assessment of the test-retest 1012 reliability of tSNR values – as possible for example via a short resting-state acquisition – in the left 1013 dorsal horn across different days would have provided valuable insights into the consistency of 1014 the fMRI signal quality and should be considered in future studies. Third, one might argue that 1015 instead of delivering stimuli with the same temperature on both days, we could have instead 1016 matched stimulus intensity across days based on subjectively perceived intensity (to account for 1017 confounds that might differ across days). Fourth, while the use of MP-PCA resulted in a substantial 1018 tSNR increase (without a strong spatial smoothness penalty), future studies might look in more 1019 detail at potential violations of underlying assumptions as well as artificial activation spreading,

which has recently been observed under certain conditions (Fernandes et al., 2023). Finally, we might have achieved an increased across-day reliability by minimizing variability in participant position (and thus also spatial inaccuracies), for example by using personalized casts (Power et al., 2019).

1024

1025 **4.6 Conclusion**

1026 We observed that heat pain stimuli as short as 1s can evoke a robust BOLD response in the 1027 ipsilateral dorsal horn of the relevant spinal cord segment, making such stimuli suitable for use in 1028 cognitive neuroscience experiments that require variable trial designs and large numbers of trials. 1029 Although autonomic and subjective indicators of pain processing showed mostly good-to-excellent 1030 reliability, BOLD response patterns varied strongly within participants, resulting in poor test-retest 1031 reliability in the target region. Interestingly, using an extended analysis region including the 1032 draining veins improved reliability across days, suggesting that future studies should aim to 1033 disentangle macro- and microvascular contributions to the spatial response profile. Our results 1034 indicate that further improvements in data acquisition and analysis techniques are necessary 1035 before event-related spinal cord fMRI can be reliably employed in longitudinal designs or clinical 1036 settings. To facilitate such endeavours, all data and code of this study are publicly available, thus 1037 allowing others to develop and improve pre-processing and analysis strategies to overcome 1038 current limitations.

1039

1040

1041 **Data and code availability**: The underlying data and code are currently only accessible to 1042 reviewers, but will be made openly available upon publication via OpenNeuro and GitHub, 1043 respectively.

1044

1045 Ethics: All participants gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics1046 Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Leipzig.

1047

Author contributions: Author contributions are listed alphabetically according to CRediT
 taxonomy (https://credit.niso.org).

1050 Conceptualization: AD, FE

- 1051 Data curation: AD
- 1052 Formal analysis: AD, FE, UH
- 1053 Funding acquisition: FE
- 1054 Investigation: AD
- 1055 Methodology: AD, FE, JL, RM
- 1056 Project administration: AD, FE
- 1057 Resources: JF, JL, RM
- 1058 Software: AD, FE, UH, MK
- 1059 Supervision: FE, TM, NW
- 1060 Visualization: AD
- 1061 Writing original draft: AD, FE
- 1062 Writing review & editing: JB, AD, FE, JF, UH, MK, JL, RM, TM, NW
- 1063

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank all volunteers who participated in this study.
Additionally, we want to thank everyone who assisted in data acquisition as well as Lisa-Marie
Pohle for her help in the randomization of trials and conditions. This manuscript will be part of a
doctoral thesis.

1068

1069 Funding information: FE received funding from the Max Planck Society and the European 1070 Research Council (under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and Innovation Program; 1071 grant agreement No 758974). MK was supported by a grant from the National Institute of Health 1072 (Grant Number R01NS109450). JB received funding from the UK Medical Research Council 1073 (MR/N026969/1). NW received funding from the European Research Council under the European 1074 Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007- 2013, ERC grant agreement No 616905), 1075 the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (under the grant agreement 1076 No 681094) and the BMBF (01EW1711A & B) in the framework of ERA-NET NEURON.

1077

1078 Competing interest: The Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences has an
 1079 institutional research agreement with Siemens Healthcare. Nikolaus Weiskopf holds a patent on

acquisition of MRI data during spoiler gradients (US 10,401,453 B2). Nikolaus Weiskopf was a
speaker at an event organized by Siemens Healthcare and was reimbursed for the travel
expenses.

1084 References

- Ades-Aron, B., Lemberskiy, G., Veraart, J., Golfinos, J., Fieremans, E., Novikov, D. S., & Shepherd, T.
 (2021). Improved Task-based Functional MRI Language Mapping in Patients with Brain Tumors through
 Marchenko-Pastur Principal Component Analysis Denoising. *Radiology*, 298(2), 365–373.
 https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200822
- Ahuja, C. S., Wilson, J. R., Nori, S., Kotter, M. R. N., Druschel, C., Curt, A., & Fehlings, M. G. (2017).
 Traumatic spinal cord injury. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 3(1), 17018.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.18
- Atlas, L. Y., & Wager, T. D. (2012). How expectations shape pain. *Neuroscience Letters*, 520(2), 140–148.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2012.03.039
- Bach, D. R., Flandin, G., Friston, K. J., & Dolan, R. J. (2009). Time-series analysis for rapid event-related
 skin conductance responses. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *184*(2), 224–234.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2009.08.005
- Bach, D. R., Friston, K. J., & Dolan, R. J. (2010). Analytic measures for quantification of arousal from
 spontaneous skin conductance fluctuations. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 76(1), 52–55.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2010.01.011
- Bach, D. R., Friston, K. J., & Dolan, R. J. (2013). An improved algorithm for model-based analysis of evoked
 skin conductance responses. *Biological Psychology*, *94*(3), 490–497.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2013.09.010
- Bandettini, P. A., Wong, E. C., Jesmanowicz, A., Hinks, R. S., & Hyde, J. S. (1994). Spin-echo and gradientecho epi of human brain activation using bold contrast: A comparative study at 1.5 T. *NMR in Biomedicine*,
 7(1–2), 12–20. https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.1940070104
- Bannatyne, B. A. (2006). Differential Projections of Excitatory and Inhibitory Dorsal Horn Interneurons
 Relaying Information from Group II Muscle Afferents in the Cat Spinal Cord. *Journal of Neuroscience*,
 26(11), 2871–2880. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5172-05.2006
- Barry, R. L., Conrad, B. N., Maki, S., Watchmaker, J. M., McKeithan, L. J., Box, B. A., Weinberg, Q. R.,
 Smith, S. A., & Gore, J. C. (2021a). Multi-shot acquisitions for stimulus-evoked spinal cord BOLD fMRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 85(4), 2016–2026. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28570
- Barry, R. L., Conrad, B. N., Maki, S., Watchmaker, J. M., McKeithan, L. J., Box, B. A., Weinberg, Q. R.,
 Smith, S. A., & Gore, J. C. (2021b). Multi-shot acquisitions for stimulus-evoked spinal cord BOLD fMRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 85(4), 2016–2026. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.28570
- Barry, R. L., Rogers, B. P., Conrad, B. N., Smith, S. A., & Gore, J. C. (2016). Reproducibility of resting state
 spinal cord networks in healthy volunteers at 7 Tesla. *NeuroImage*, *133*, 31–40.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.02.058
- 1118 Barry, R. L., & Smith, S. A. (2019). Measurement of T₂ * in the human spinal cord at 3T. *Magnetic* 1119 *Resonance in Medicine*, 82(2), 743–748. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.27755
- Barry, R. L., Smith, S. A., Dula, A. N., & Gore, J. C. (2014). Resting state functional connectivity in the
 human spinal cord. *ELife*, 3. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.02812
- Bennett, C. M., & Miller, M. B. (2013). fMRI reliability: Influences of task and experimental design. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 13*(4), 690–702. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13415-013-0195-1
- 1124 Bi, Y. (2021). Test–retest reliability of laser evoked pain perception and fMRI BOLD responses. *Scientific* 1125 *Reports*, 9.
- Bi, Y., Hou, X., Zhong, J., & Hu, L. (2021). Test–retest reliability of laser evoked pain perception and fMRI
 BOLD responses. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 1322. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79196-z
- 1128 Bosma, R. L., & Stroman, P. W. (2014). Assessment of data acquisition parameters, and analysis
- techniques for noise reduction in spinal cord fMRI data. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 32(5), 473–481. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2014.01.007
 - 38

- 1131 Bosma, R. L., & Stroman, P. W. (2015). Spinal cord response to stepwise and block presentation of thermal
- 1132 stimuli: A functional MRI study: Assessment of Spinal fMRI Paradigms. Journal of Magnetic Resonance
- 1133 Imaging, 41(5), 1318–1325. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24656
- 1134 Boucsein, W. (2012). Electrodermal activity (2nd ed). Springer.
- 1135 Bouwman, C. J. C., Wilmink, J. T., Mess, W. H., & Backes, W. H. (2008). Spinal cord functional MRI at 3 T: 1136 Gradient echo echo-planar imaging versus turbo spin echo. NeuroImage, 43(2), 288-296. 1137 https://doi.org/10.1016/i.neuroimage.2008.07.024
- 1138 Brandmaier, A. M., Wenger, E., Bodammer, N. C., Kühn, S., Raz, N., & Lindenberger, U. (2018). Assessing 1139 reliability in neuroimaging research through intra-class effect decomposition (ICED). ELife, 7, e35718. 1140 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.35718
- 1141 Brooks, J. C. W., Beckmann, C. F., Miller, K. L., Wise, R. G., Porro, C. A., Tracey, I., & Jenkinson, M. (2008).
- 1142 Physiological noise modelling for spinal functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. NeuroImage, 39(2), 1143 680-692. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.09.018
- 1144 Brooks, J. C. W., Kong, Y., Lee, M. C., Warnaby, C. E., Wanigasekera, V., Jenkinson, M., & Tracey, I. 1145 (2012). Stimulus Site and Modality Dependence of Functional Activity within the Human Spinal Cord. Journal 1146 of Neuroscience, 32(18), 6231–6239. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2543-11.2012
- 1147 Bushnell, M. C., Čeko, M., & Low, L. A. (2013). Cognitive and emotional control of pain and its disruption in 1148 chronic pain. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 14(7), 502-511. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3516
- 1149 Caceres, A., Hall, D. L., Zelaya, F. O., Williams, S. C. R., & Mehta, M. A. (2009a). Measuring fMRI reliability 1150 intra-class correlation coefficient. Neurolmage, 758-768. with the 45(3), 1151 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.12.035
- 1152 Caceres, A., Hall, D. L., Zelaya, F. O., Williams, S. C. R., & Mehta, M. A. (2009b). Measuring fMRI reliability 1153 coefficient. with the intra-class correlation Neurolmage, 45(3). 758-768. 1154 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.12.035
- 1155 Cadotte, D. W., Cadotte, A., Cohen-Adad, J., Fleet, D., Livne, M., Wilson, J. R., Mikulis, D., Nugaeva, N., & 1156 Fehlings, M. G. (2015), Characterizing the Location of Spinal and Vertebral Levels in the Human Cervical 1157 Spinal Cord. American Journal of Neuroradiology, 36(4), 803-810. https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A4192
- Cahill, C. M., & Stroman, P. W. (2011). Mapping of neural activity produced by thermal pain in the healthy 1158 1159 human spinal cord and brain stem: A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. Magnetic Resonance 1160 Imaging, 29(3), 342-352. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2010.10.007
- 1161 Cicchetti, D. V. (1994). Guidelines, criteria, and rules of thumb for evaluating normed and standardized assessment 1162 instruments in psychology. Psychological Assessment, 6(4), 284-290. 1163 https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.6.4.284
- 1164 Coghill, R. C., Mayer, D. J., & Price, D. D. (1993). The roles of spatial recruitment and discharge frequency 1165 in spinal cord coding of pain: A combined electrophysiological and imaging investigation. Pain, 53(3), 295-1166 309. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3959(93)90226-F
- 1167 Coghill, R. C., Price, D. D., Hayes, R. L., & Mayer, D. J. (1991). Spatial distribution of nociceptive processing 1168 in the rat spinal cord. Journal of Neurophysiology, 65(1), 133–140. https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.1991.65.1.133
- 1169 Cohen-Adad, J. (2017). Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Spinal Cord: Current Status and 1170 Future Developments. Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI, 38(2), 176-186. 1171 https://doi.org/10.1053/j.sult.2016.07.007
- 1172 Cohen-Adad, J., Alonso-Ortiz, E., Abramovic, M., Arneitz, C., Atcheson, N., Barlow, L., Barry, R. L., Barth,
- 1173 M., Battiston, M., Büchel, C., Budde, M., Callot, V., Combes, A. J. E., De Leener, B., Descoteaux, M., de
- 1174 Sousa, P. L., Dostál, M., Doyon, J., Dvorak, A., ... Xu, J. (2021). Generic acquisition protocol for guantitative
- 1175 MRI of the spinal cord. Nature Protocols, 16(10), 4611–4632. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-021-00588-0
- 1176 Cohen-Adad, J., Gauthier, C. J., Brooks, J. C. W., Slessarev, M., Han, J., Fisher, J. A., Rossignol, S., &
- 1177 Hoge, R. D. (2010). BOLD signal responses to controlled hypercapnia in human spinal cord. *NeuroImage*,
- 1178 50(3), 1074–1084. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.122

- 1179 Cohen-Adad, J., Mareyam, A., Keil, B., Polimeni, J. R., & Wald, L. L. (2011). 32-Channel RF coil optimized
- for brain and cervical spinal cord at 3 T: 32ch Head/c-Spine Coil at 3 T. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*,
- 1181 66(4), 1198–1208. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.22906
- Cole, J. H., & Franke, K. (2017). Predicting Age Using Neuroimaging: Innovative Brain Ageing Biomarkers.
 Trends in Neurosciences, 40(12), 681–690. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2017.10.001
- 1184 Colloca, L., Ludman, T., Bouhassira, D., Baron, R., Dickenson, A. H., Yarnitsky, D., Freeman, R., Truini, A., 1185 Attal, N., Finnerup, N. B., Eccleston, C., Kalso, E., Bennett, D. L., Dworkin, R. H., & Raja, S. N. (2017).
- Attal, N., Finnerup, N. B., Eccleston, C., Kalso, E., Bennett, D. L., Dworkin, R. H., & Raja, S. N. (2017).
 Neuropathic pain. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, *3*(1), 17002. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.2
- Conrad, B. N., Barry, R. L., Rogers, B. P., Maki, S., Mishra, A., Thukral, S., Sriram, S., Bhatia, A., Pawate,
 S., Gore, J. C., & Smith, S. A. (2018). Multiple sclerosis lesions affect intrinsic functional connectivity of the
 spinal cord. *Brain*, *141*(6), 1650–1664. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy083
- 1190 Cowen, R., Stasiowska, M. K., Laycock, H., & Bantel, C. (2015). Assessing pain objectively: The use of 1191 physiological markers. *Anaesthesia*, *70*(7), 828–847. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.13018
- Culberson, J. L., Haines, D. E., Kimmel, D. L., & Brown, P. B. (1979). Contralateral projection of primary
- 1193 afferent fibers to mammalian spinal cord. *Experimental Neurology*, 64(1), 83–97. 1194 https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-4886(79)90007-4
- 1195 Davis, K. D., Aghaeepour, N., Ahn, A. H., Angst, M. S., Borsook, D., Brenton, A., Burczynski, M. E., Crean,
- 1196 C., Edwards, R., Gaudilliere, B., Hergenroeder, G. W., Iadarola, M. J., Iyengar, S., Jiang, Y., Kong, J.-T.,
- 1197 Mackey, S., Saab, C. Y., Sang, C. N., Scholz, J., ... Pelleymounter, M. A. (2020). Discovery and validation
- of biomarkers to aid the development of safe and effective pain therapeutics: Challenges and opportunities.
 Nature Reviews Neurology, *16*(7), 381–400. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-020-0362-2
- De Leener, B., Fonov, V. S., Collins, D. L., Callot, V., Stikov, N., & Cohen-Adad, J. (2018). PAM50: Unbiased
 multimodal template of the brainstem and spinal cord aligned with the ICBM152 space. *NeuroImage*, *165*,
 170–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.10.041
- De Leener, B., Lévy, S., Dupont, S. M., Fonov, V. S., Stikov, N., Louis Collins, D., Callot, V., & Cohen-Adad,
 J. (2017). SCT: Spinal Cord Toolbox, an open-source software for processing spinal cord MRI data. *NeuroImage*, *145*, 24–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.10.009
- Delorme, A., & Makeig, S. (2004). EEGLAB: An open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial EEG
 dynamics including independent component analysis. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, *134*(1), 9–21.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2003.10.009
- 1209 Denny-Brown, D., & Kirk, E. (1968). Hyperesthesia from spinal and root lesions. *Transactions of the* 1210 *American Neurological Association*, 93, 116–120.
- 1211 Denny-Brown, D., Kirk, E. J., & Yanagisawa, N. (1973). The tract of Lissauer in relation to sensory 1212 transmission in the dorsal horn of spinal cord in the macaque monkey. *The Journal of Comparative* 1213 *Neurology*, *151*(2), 175–199. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901510206
- 1214 D'Esposito, M., Zarahn, E., & Aguirre, G. K. (1999). Event-related functional MRI: Implications for cognitive 1215 psychology. *Psychological Bulletin*, *125*(1), 155–164. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.1.155
- 1216 Diao, Y., Yin, T., Gruetter, R., & Jelescu, I. O. (2021). PIRACY: An Optimized Pipeline for Functional 1217 Connectivity Analysis in the Rat Brain. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 15, 602170. 1218 https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2021.602170
- D'Mello, R., & Dickenson, A. H. (2008). Spinal cord mechanisms of pain. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, 101(1), 8–16. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aen088
- 1221 Dubois, J., & Adolphs, R. (2016). Building a Science of Individual Differences from fMRI. *Trends in Cognitive* 1222 *Sciences*, *20*(6), 425–443. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.03.014
- 1223 Duong, T. Q., Yacoub, E., Adriany, G., Hu, X., U??urbil, K., & Kim, S.-G. (2003). Microvascular BOLD 1224 contribution at 4 and 7 T in the human brain: Gradient-echo and spin-echo fMRI with suppression of blood 1225 effects. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *49*(6), 1019–1027. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.10472
- 1226 Duvernoy, H. M. (1999). *The Human Brain: Surface, Three-Dimensional Sectional Anatomy with MRI, and* 1227 *Blood Supply* (2nd ed.). Springer Vienna.

- Eippert, F., Finsterbusch, J., Bingel, U., & Buchel, C. (2009). Direct Evidence for Spinal Cord Involvement in Placebo Analgesia. *Science*, *326*(5951), 404–404. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180142
- Eippert, F., Kong, Y., Jenkinson, M., Tracey, I., & Brooks, J. C. W. (2017). Denoising spinal cord fMRI data:
 Approaches to acquisition and analysis. *NeuroImage*, *154*, 255–266.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.09.065
- Eippert, F., Kong, Y., Winkler, A. M., Andersson, J. L., Finsterbusch, J., Büchel, C., Brooks, J. C. W., &
 Tracey, I. (2017). Investigating resting-state functional connectivity in the cervical spinal cord at 3 T. *NeuroImage*, *147*, 589–601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.12.072
- 1236 Elliott, M. L., Knodt, A. R., & Hariri, A. R. (2021). Striving toward translation: Strategies for reliable fMRI 1237 measurement. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *25*(9), 776–787. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.05.008
- 1238 Elliott, M. L., Knodt, A. R., Ireland, D., Morris, M. L., Poulton, R., Ramrakha, S., Sison, M. L., Moffitt, T. E., 1239 Caspi, A., & Hariri, A. R. (2020). What Is the Test-Retest Reliability of Common Task-Functional MRI
- Measures? New Empirical Evidence and a Meta-Analysis. *Psychological Science*, *31*(7), 792–806. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797620916786
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis
 program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, *39*(2), 175–191.
 https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03193146
- Fernandes, F. F., Olesen, J. L., Jespersen, S. N., & Shemesh, N. (2023). MP-PCA denoising of fMRI timeseries data can lead to artificial activation "spreading". NeuroImage, 273, 120118.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2023.120118
- Filippi, M., & Rocca, M. A. (2013). Present and future of fMRI in multiple sclerosis. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*, *13*(sup2), 27–31. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737175.2013.865871
- Finsterbusch, J., Eippert, F., & Büchel, C. (2012). Single, slice-specific z-shim gradient pulses improve T2*weighted imaging of the spinal cord. *NeuroImage*, 59(3), 2307–2315.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.038
- Finsterbusch, J., Sprenger, C., & Büchel, C. (2013). Combined T2*-weighted measurements of the human
 brain and cervical spinal cord with a dynamic shim update. *NeuroImage*, 79, 153–161.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.021
- Fitzgerald, M. (1982). The contralateral input to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord in the decerebrate spinal rat. *Brain Research*, *236*(2), 275–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(82)90714-4
- Flynn, J. R., Graham, B. A., Galea, M. P., & Callister, R. J. (2011). The role of propriospinal interneurons in
 recovery from spinal cord injury. *Neuropharmacology*, 60(5), 809–822.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.01.016
- Fox, M. D., Snyder, A. Z., Zacks, J. M., & Raichle, M. E. (2006). Coherent spontaneous activity accounts
 for trial-to-trial variability in human evoked brain responses. *Nature Neuroscience*, 9(1), 23–25.
 https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1616
- Fröhner, J. H., Teckentrup, V., Smolka, M. N., & Kroemer, N. B. (2019). Addressing the reliability fallacy in
 fMRI: Similar group effects may arise from unreliable individual effects. *NeuroImage*, *195*, 174–189.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.03.053
- 1267 Frostell, A., Hakim, R., Thelin, E. P., Mattsson, P., & Svensson, M. (2016). A review of the segmental 1268 diameter of the healthy human spinal cord. *Frontiers in neurology*, *7*, 230582.
- Gati, J. S., Menon, R. S., U??urbil, K., & Rutt, B. K. (1997). Experimental determination of the BOLD field
 strength dependence in vessels and tissue. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *38*(2), 296–302.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.1910380220
- Gay, C. W., Papuga, M. O., Bishop, M. D., & Dougherty, P. (2015). The frequency and reliability of cortical activity using a novel strategy to present pressure pain stimulus over the lumbar spine. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 239, 108–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2014.10.010
- 1275 Geuter, S., & Buchel, C. (2013). Facilitation of Pain in the Human Spinal Cord by Nocebo Treatment. *Journal* 1276 of *Neuroscience*, *33*(34), 13784–13790. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2191-13.2013

1277 Gianaros, P. J., Kraynak, T. E., Kuan, D. C.-H., Gross, J. J., McRae, K., Hariri, A. R., Manuck, S. B., Rasero, 1278 J., & Verstynen, T. D. (2020). Affective brain patterns as multivariate neural correlates of cardiovascular 1279 disease risk. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 15(10), 1034-1045. 1280 https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsaa050

1281 Giove, F., Garreffa, G., Giulietti, G., Mangia, S., Colonnese, C., & Maraviglia, B. (2004). Issues about the 1282 fMRI of the human spinal cord. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, 22(10), 1505–1516. 1283 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2004.10.015

- Giulietti, G., Giove, F., Garreffa, G., Colonnese, C., Mangia, S., & Maraviglia, B. (2008). Characterization of
 the functional response in the human spinal cord: Impulse-response function and linearity. *NeuroImage*,
 42(2), 626–634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.05.006
- Gray, H. (2021). *Gray's anatomy: The anatomical basis of clinical practice* (S. Standring, N. Anand, & R.
 Tunstall, Eds.; Forty-second edition). Elsevier.
- 1289 Greffrath, W., Baumgärtner, U., & Treede, R.-D. (2007). Peripheral and central components of habituation 1290 of heat pain perception and evoked potentials in humans. *Pain*, *132*(3), 301–311. 1291 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.04.026
- Gros, C., De Leener, B., Badji, A., Maranzano, J., Eden, D., Dupont, S. M., Talbott, J., Zhuoquiong, R., Liu,
 Y., Granberg, T., Ouellette, R., Tachibana, Y., Hori, M., Kamiya, K., Chougar, L., Stawiarz, L., Hillert, J.,
 Bannier, E., Kerbrat, A., ... Cohen-Adad, J. (2019). Automatic segmentation of the spinal cord and
 intramedullary multiple sclerosis lesions with convolutional neural networks. *NeuroImage*, *184*, 901–915.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.09.081
- Han, X., Ashar, Y. K., Kragel, P., Petre, B., Schelkun, V., Atlas, L. Y., Chang, L. J., Jepma, M., Koban, L.,
 Losin, E. A. R., Roy, M., Woo, C.-W., & Wager, T. D. (2022). Effect sizes and test-retest reliability of the
 fMRI-based neurologic pain signature. *NeuroImage*, 247, 118844.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118844
- Harita, S., & Stroman, P. W. (2017). Confirmation of resting-state BOLD fluctuations in the human brainstem
 and spinal cord after identification and removal of physiological noise: Resting-State BOLD fMRI in the
 Human Brainstem and Spinal Cord. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 78(6), 2149–2156.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26606
- Harrison, O. K., Guell, X., Klein-Flügge, M. C., & Barry, R. L. (2021). Structural and resting state functional
 connectivity beyond the cortex. *NeuroImage*, 240, 118379.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118379
- Hedge, C., Powell, G., & Sumner, P. (2018). The reliability paradox: Why robust cognitive tasks do not
 produce reliable individual differences. *Behavior Research Methods*, *50*(3), 1166–1186.
 https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0935-1
- Heinricher, M. M., & Fields, H. L. (2013). Central nervous system mechanisms of pain modulation. In *Wall* and *Melzack's textbook of pain* (6th ed.).
- Hoggarth, M. A., Wang, M. C., Hemmerling, K. J., Vigotsky, A. D., Smith, Z. A., Parrish, T. B., Weber, K. A., 8 Bright, M. G. (2022). Effects of variability in manually contoured spinal cord masks on fMRI co-registration
- and interpretation. *Frontiers in Neurology*, *13*, 907581. https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.907581
- Hollins, M., Harper, D., & Maixner, W. (2011). Changes in pain from a repetitive thermal stimulus: The roles
 of adaptation and sensitization: *Pain*, *152*(7), 1583–1590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2011.02.049
- Hu, Y., Jin, R., Li, G., Luk, K. D., & Wu, Ed. X. (2018). Robust spinal cord resting-state fMRI using
 independent component analysis-based nuisance regression noise reduction. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, *48*(5), 1421–1431. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.26048
- Islam, H., Law, C. S. W., Weber, K. A., Mackey, S. C., & Glover, G. H. (2019). Dynamic per slice shimming
 for simultaneous brain and spinal cord fMRI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *81*(2), 825–838.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.27388
- Kaptan, M., Horn, U., Vannesjo, S. J., Mildner, T., Weiskopf, N., Finsterbusch, J., Brooks, J. C. W., & Eippert,
 F. (2023). Reliability of resting-state functional connectivity in the human spinal cord: Assessing the impact
 of distinct noise sources. *NeuroImage*, 275, 120152. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2023.120152

Kaptan, M., Vannesjo, S. J., Mildner, T., Horn, U., Hartley-Davies, R., Oliva, V., Brooks, J. C. W., Weiskopf,
N., Finsterbusch, J., & Eippert, F. (2022). Automated slice-specific z-shimming for functional magnetic
resonance imaging of the human spinal cord. *Human Brain Mapping*, hbm.26018.
https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26018

Kato, G., Furue, H., Katafuchi, T., Yasaka, T., Iwamoto, Y., & Yoshimura, M. (2004). Electrophysiological
mapping of the nociceptive inputs to the substantia gelatinosa in rat horizontal spinal cord slices: Mapping
of nociceptive inputs in rat substantia gelatinosa. *The Journal of Physiology*, *560*(1), 303–315.
https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2004.068700

- Kay, K., Jamison, K. W., Zhang, R.-Y., & Uğurbil, K. (2020). A temporal decomposition method for identifying
 venous effects in task-based fMRI. *Nature Methods*, *17*(10), 1033–1039. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592020-0941-6
- Khalili-Mahani, N., Rombouts, S. A. R. B., van Osch, M. J. P., Duff, E. P., Carbonell, F., Nickerson, L. D.,
 Becerra, L., Dahan, A., Evans, A. C., Soucy, J.-P., Wise, R., Zijdenbos, A. P., & van Gerven, J. M. (2017).
 Biomarkers, designs, and interpretations of resting-state fMRI in translational pharmacological research: A
 review of state-of-the-Art, challenges, and opportunities for studying brain chemistry. *Human Brain Mapping*,
 38(4), 2276–2325. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23516
- Kinany, N., Pirondini, E., Mattera, L., Martuzzi, R., Micera, S., & Van De Ville, D. (2022). Towards reliable
 spinal cord fMRI: Assessment of common imaging protocols. *NeuroImage*, 250, 118964.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.118964
- Kinany, N., Pirondini, E., Micera, S., & Van De Ville, D. (2020). Dynamic Functional Connectivity of RestingState Spinal Cord fMRI Reveals Fine-Grained Intrinsic Architecture. *Neuron*, *108*(3), 424-435.e4.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2020.07.024
- Kinany, N., Pirondini, E., Micera, S., & Van De Ville, D. (2022). Spinal Cord fMRI: A New Window into the
 Central Nervous System. *The Neuroscientist*, 107385842211018.
 https://doi.org/10.1177/10738584221101827
- Kirk, E. J., & Denny-Brown, D. (1970). Functional variation in dermatomes in the macaque monkey following
 dorsal root lesions. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *139*(3), 307–320.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901390304
- Kolesar, T. A., Fiest, K. M., Smith, S. D., & Kornelsen, J. (2015). Assessing Nociception by Fmri of the
 Human Spinal Cord: A Systematic Review. *Magnetic Resonance Insights*, *8s1*, MRI.S23556.
 https://doi.org/10.4137/MRI.S23556
- Kong, Y., Eippert, F., Beckmann, C. F., Andersson, J., Finsterbusch, J., Büchel, C., Tracey, I., & Brooks, J.
 C. W. (2014). Intrinsically organized resting state networks in the human spinal cord. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *111*(50), 18067–18072. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1414293111
- Kong, Y., Jenkinson, M., Andersson, J., Tracey, I., & Brooks, J. C. W. (2012). Assessment of physiological
 noise modelling methods for functional imaging of the spinal cord. *NeuroImage*, *60*(2), 1538–1549.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.11.077
- Kowalczyk, O. S., Medina, S., Tsivaka, D., McMahon, S. B., Williams, S. C. R., Brooks, J. C. W., Lythgoe,
 D. J., & Howard, M. A. (2024). Spinal fMRI demonstrates segmental organisation of functionally connected
 networks in the cervical spinal cord: A test–retest reliability study. Human Brain Mapping, 45(2), e26600.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.26600
- Kragel, P. A., Han, X., Kraynak, T. E., Gianaros, P. J., & Wager, T. D. (2021). Functional MRI Can Be Highly
 Reliable, but It Depends on What You Measure: A Commentary on Elliott et al. (2020). *Psychological Science*, 32(4), 622–626. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797621989730
- 1371 Kuner, R., & Flor, H. (2017). Structural plasticity and reorganisation in chronic pain. *Nature Reviews* 1372 *Neuroscience*, *18*(1), 20–30. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.162
- 1373 Kyle, B. N., & McNeil, D. W. (2014). Autonomic Arousal And Experimentally Induced Pain: A Critical Review
- 1374 of the Literature. Pain Research and Management, 19(3), 159–167. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/536859

- Landelle, C., Lungu, O., Vahdat, S., Kavounoudias, A., Marchand-Pauvert, V., De Leener, B., & Doyon, J.
 (2021). Investigating the human spinal sensorimotor pathways through functional magnetic resonance *NeuroImage*, 245, 118684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118684
- Latremoliere, A., & Woolf, C. J. (2009). Central Sensitization: A Generator of Pain Hypersensitivity by Central Neural Plasticity. *The Journal of Pain*, *10*(9), 895–926. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2009.06.012
- 1380 Lavrakas, P. J. (2008). Encyclopedia of Survey Research Methods. SAGE Publications.
- Lee, I.-S., Necka, E. A., & Atlas, L. Y. (2020). Distinguishing pain from nociception, salience, and arousal: How autonomic nervous system activity can improve neuroimaging tests of specificity. *NeuroImage*, 204,
- 1383 116254. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.116254
- Lee, M. W. L., McPhee, R. W., & Stringer, M. D. (2008). An evidence-based approach to human dermatomes. *Clinical Anatomy*, *21*(5), 363–373. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.20636
- 1386 Leone, C., Di Stefano, G., Di Pietro, G., Bloms-Funke, P., Boesl, I., Caspani, O., Chapman, S. C., Finnerup,
- N. B., Garcia-Larrea, L., Li, T., Goetz, M., Mouraux, A., Pelz, B., Pogatzki-Zahn, E., Schilder, A., Schnetter,
 E., Schubart, K., Tracey, I., Troconiz, I. F., ... Treede, R.-D. (2022). IMI2-PainCare-BioPain-RCT2 protocol:
 A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, crossover, multicenter trial in healthy subjects to
 investigate the effects of lacosamide, pregabalin, and tapentadol on biomarkers of pain processing observed
 by non-invasive neurophysiological measurements of human spinal cord and brainstem activity. *Trials*,
 23(1), 739. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-022-06431-5
- 1393 Letzen, J. E. (2014). *Test-Retest Reliability of Pain-Related Brain Activity in Healthy Controls Undergoing* 1394 *Experimental Thermal Pain.* 7.
- Letzen, J. E., Sevel, L. S., Gay, C. W., O'Shea, A. M., Craggs, J. G., Price, D. D., & Robinson, M. E. (2014).
 Test-Retest Reliability of Pain-Related Brain Activity in Healthy Controls Undergoing Experimental Thermal
 Pain. *The Journal of Pain*, *15*(10), 1008–1014. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.06.011
- Li, L., Law, C., Marrett, S., Chai, Y., Huber, L., Jezzard, P., & Bandettini, P. (2022). Quantification of cerebral
 blood volume changes caused by visual stimulation at 3 T using DANTE-prepared dual-echo EPI. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *87*(4), 1846–1862. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.29099
- Light, A. R., & Perl, E. R. (1979). Reexamination of the dorsal root projection to the spinal dorsal horn including observations on the differential termination of coarse and fine fibers. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *186*(2), 117–131. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.901860202
- Liljequist, D., Elfving, B., & Skavberg Roaldsen, K. (2019). Intraclass correlation A discussion and
 demonstration of basic features. *PLOS ONE*, *14*(7), e0219854.
 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0219854
- Liu, X., Zhou, F., Li, X., Qian, W., Cui, J., Zhou, I. Y., Luk, K. D. K., Wu, Ed. X., & Hu, Y. (2016). Organization
 of the intrinsic functional network in the cervical spinal cord: A resting state functional MRI study. *Neuroscience*, 336, 30–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016.08.042
- Lopez-Rios, N., Gilbert, K. M., Papp, D., Cereza, G., Foias, A., Rangaprakash, D., May, M. W., Guerin, B.,
 Wald, L. L., Keil, B., Stockmann, J. P., Barry, R. L., & Cohen-Adad, J. (2023). An 8-channel Tx dipole and
 20-channel Rx loop coil array for MRI of the cervical spinal cord at 7 Tesla. *NMR in Biomedicine*, *36*(11),
 e5002. https://doi.org/10.1002/nbm.5002
- Lorenz, J., Hansen, H. C., Kunze, K., & Bromm, B. (1996). Sensory deficits of a nerve root lesion can be
 objectively documented by somatosensory evoked potentials elicited by painful infrared laser stimulations:
 A case study. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*, *61*(1), 107–110.
 https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.61.1.107
- 1418 Manjón, J. V., Coupé, P., Martí-Bonmatí, L., Collins, D. L., & Robles, M. (2010). Adaptive non-local means
- denoising of MR images with spatially varying noise levels: Spatially Adaptive Nonlocal Denoising. *Journal* of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 31(1), 192–203. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.22003
- Markuerkiaga, I., Marques, J. P., Gallagher, T. E., & Norris, D. G. (2021). Estimation of laminar BOLD
 activation profiles using deconvolution with a physiological point spread function. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 353, 109095. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2021.109095

- 1424 Martucci, K. T., Weber, K. A., & Mackey, S. C. (2019). Altered Cervical Spinal Cord Resting-State Activity 1425 in Fibromyalgia. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*, *71*(3), 441–450. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.40746
- Martucci, K. T., Weber, K. A., & Mackey, S. C. (2021). Spinal Cord Resting State Activity in Individuals With
 Fibromyalgia Who Take Opioids. *Frontiers in Neurology*, 12.
 https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2021.694271
- Mouraux, A., & Iannetti, G. D. (2018). The search for pain biomarkers in the human brain. *Brain*, 141(12), 3290–3307. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awy281
- Mueller, R., Dabbagh, A., & Eippert, F. (2024). ThermoSlide: an MR-compatible thermode positioning device
 (v1.0). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10475479
- Nash, P., Wiley, K., Brown, J., Shinaman, R., Ludlow, D., Sawyer, A.-M., Glover, G., & Mackey, S. (2013).
 Functional magnetic resonance imaging identifies somatotopic organization of nociception in the human spinal cord: *Pain*, *154*(6), 776–781. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2012.11.008
- Niazy, R. K., Beckmann, C. F., Iannetti, G. D., Brady, J. M., & Smith, S. M. (2005). Removal of FMRI
 environment artifacts from EEG data using optimal basis sets. *NeuroImage*, *28*(3), 720–737.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.06.067
- Noble, S., Scheinost, D., & Constable, R. T. (2021). A guide to the measurement and interpretation of fMRI
 test-retest reliability. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 27–32.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2020.12.012
- Oliva, V., Hartley-Davies, R., Moran, R., Pickering, A. E., & Brooks, J. C. (2022). Simultaneous brain,
 brainstem and spinal cord pharmacological-fMRI reveals involvement of an endogenous opioid network in
 attentional analgesia. *ELife*, *11*, e71877. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.71877
- Paulus, P. C., Castegnetti, G., & Bach, D. R. (2016). Modeling event-related heart period responses. *Psychophysiology*, 53(6), 837–846. https://doi.org/10.1111/psyp.12622
- Petkó, M., & Antal, M. (2000). Propriospinal afferent and efferent connections of the lateral and medial areas
 of the dorsal horn (laminae I-IV) in the rat lumbar spinal cord. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *422*(2),
 312–325. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(20000626)422:2<312::AID-CNE11>3.0.CO:2-A
- Pierrot-Deseilligny, E., & Burke, D. (2012). *The Circuitry of the Human Spinal Cord: Spinal and Corticospinal* Mechanisms of Movement. Cambridge University Press.
- Pinto, V., Szucs, P., Lima, D., & Safronov, B. V. (2010). Multisegmental Aδ- and C-Fiber Input to Neurons
 in Lamina I and the Lateral Spinal Nucleus. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *30*(6), 2384–2395.
 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3445-09.2010
- Power, J. D., Silver, B. M., Silverman, M. R., Ajodan, E. L., Bos, D. J., & Jones, R. M. (2019). Customized
 head molds reduce motion during resting state fMRI scans. *NeuroImage*, *189*, 141–149.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.01.016
- Powers, J., Ioachim, G., & Stroman, P. (2018). Ten Key Insights into the Use of Spinal Cord fMRI. *Brain Sciences*, *8*(9), 173. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8090173
- Prescott, S. A., Ma, Q., & De Koninck, Y. (2014). Normal and abnormal coding of somatosensory stimuli causing pain. *Nature Neuroscience*, *17*(2), 183–191. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3629
- Purves, D., Augustine, G. J., Fitzpatrick, D., Hall, W., LaMantia, A.-S., & White, L. (2019). *Neurosciences*.
 De Boeck Superieur.
- Quiton, R. L., & Greenspan, J. D. (2008). Across- and within-session variability of ratings of painful contact
 heat stimuli: *Pain*, *137*(2), 245–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.08.034
- Quiton, R. L., Keaser, M. L., Zhuo, J., Gullapalli, R. P., & Greenspan, J. D. (2014). Intersession reliability of
 fMRI activation for heat pain and motor tasks. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, 5, 309–321.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2014.07.005
- Rangaprakash, D., & Barry, R. L. (2022). Neptune: A toolbox for spinal cord functional MRI data processing
 and quality assurance. *Proceedings 30th Scientific Meeting*. International Society for Magnetic Resonance
- 1471 in Medicine. https://archive.ismrm.org/2022/0396.html

Rempe, T., Wolff, S., Riedel, C., Baron, R., Stroman, P. W., Jansen, O., & Gierthmühlen, J. (2015). Spinal
and supraspinal processing of thermal stimuli: An fMRI study: Processing of Thermal Stimuli. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, *41*(4), 1046–1055. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmri.24627

Reyes del Paso, G. A., Montoro, C., Muñóz Ladrón de Guevara, C., Duschek, S., & Jennings, J. R. (2014).
The effect of baroreceptor stimulation on pain perception depends on the elicitation of the reflex cardiovascular response: Evidence of the interplay between the two branches of the baroreceptor system. *Biological Psychology*, *101*, 82–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsycho.2014.07.004

Rombouts, S. A., Barkhof, F., Hoogenraad, F. G., Sprenger, M., Valk, J., & Scheltens, P. (1999). TestRetest Analysis With Functional MR of the Activated Area in the Human Visual Cortex: *Journal of Neuro- Ophthalmology*, *19*(2), 112. https://doi.org/10.1097/00041327-199906000-00012

- Rowald, A., Komi, S., Demesmaeker, R., Baaklini, E., Hernandez-Charpak, S. D., Paoles, E., Montanaro,
 H., Cassara, A., Becce, F., Lloyd, B., Newton, T., Ravier, J., Kinany, N., D'Ercole, M., Paley, A., Hankov,
 N., Varescon, C., McCracken, L., Vat, M., ... Courtine, G. (2022). Activity-dependent spinal cord
 neuromodulation rapidly restores trunk and leg motor functions after complete paralysis. *Nature Medicine*,
 28(2), 260–271. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01663-5
- San Emeterio Nateras, O., Yu, F., Muir, E. R., Bazan, C., Franklin, C. G., Li, W., Li, J., Lancaster, J. L., &
 Duong, T. Q. (2016). Intrinsic Resting-State Functional Connectivity in the Human Spinal Cord at 3.0 T. *Radiology*, 279(1), 262–268. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2015150768
- Seifert, A. C., Xu, J., Kong, Y., Eippert, F. C., Miller, K. L., Tracey, I., & Vannesjo, S. J. (2023). *Thermal Stimulus Task fMRI in the Cervical Spinal Cord at 7 Tesla* [Preprint]. Bioengineering.
 https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.01.31.526451
- Shekhtmeyster, P., Duarte, D., Carey, E. M., Ngo, A., Gao, G., Olmstead, J. A., Nelson, N. A., &
 Nimmerjahn, A. (2023). Trans-segmental imaging in the spinal cord of behaving mice. *Nature Biotechnology*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01700-3
- Sherrington, C. S. (1898). Experiments in Examination of the Peripheral Distribution of the Fibres of the
 Posterior Roots of Some Spinal Nerves. Part II. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London.*Series B, Containing Papers of a Biological Character, 190, 45–186.
- 1499 Shrout, P. E., & Fleiss, J. L. (1979). Intraclass Correlations: Uses in Assessing Rater Reliability. 1500 *Psychological Bulletin*, *86*(2), 420.
- 1501 Sluka, K. A., Wager, T. D., Sutherland, S. P., Labosky, P. A., Balach, T., Bayman, E. O., Berardi, G., 1502 Brummett, C. M., Burns, J., Buvanendran, A., Caffo, B., Calhoun, V. D., Clauw, D., Chang, A., Coffey, C.
- S., Dailey, D. L., Ecklund, D., Fiehn, O., Fisch, K. M., ... the A2CPS Consortium. (2023). Predicting chronic
 postsurgical pain: Current evidence and a novel program to develop predictive biomarker signatures. *Pain*,
 164(9), 1912–1926. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.00000000002938
- Sprenger, C., Eichler, I.-C., Eichler, L., Zöllner, C., & Büchel, C. (2018). Altered Signaling in the Descending
 Pain-modulatory System after Short-Term Infusion of the μ-Opioid Agonist Remifertanil. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *38*(10), 2454–2470. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2496-17.2018
- 1509 Sprenger, C., Finsterbusch, J., & Buchel, C. (2015). *Spinal Cord–Midbrain Functional Connectivity Is* 1510 *Related to Perceived Pain Intensity: A Combined Spino-Cortical fMRI Study.* 10.
- Sprenger, C., Stenmans, P., Tinnermann, A., & Büchel, C. (2018). Evidence for a spinal involvement in
 temporal pain contrast enhancement. *NeuroImage*, *183*, 788–799.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.09.003
- 1514 Stanley, O. W., Kuurstra, A. B., Klassen, L. M., Menon, R. S., & Gati, J. S. (2021). Effects of phase 1515 regression on high-resolution functional MRI of the primary visual cortex. *NeuroImage*, 227, 117631.
- 1516 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117631
- 1517 Stroman, P. (2002). Mapping of Neuronal Function in the Healthy and Injured Human Spinal Cord with 1518 Spinal fMRI. *NeuroImage*, *17*(4), 1854–1860. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2002.1305
- 1519 Stroman, P. W., Kornelsen, J., Bergman, A., Krause, V., Ethans, K., Malisza, K. L., & Tomanek, B. (2004).
- 1520 Noninvasive assessment of the injured human spinal cord by means of functional magnetic resonance
- 1521 imaging. *Spinal Cord*, *42*(2), 59–66. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.sc.3101559

- Summers, P. E., Brooks, J. C. W., & Cohen-Adad, J. (2014). Spinal Cord fMRI. In *Quantitative MRI of the Spinal Cord* (pp. 221–239). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-396973-6.00015-0
- Summers, P. E., Ferraro, D., Duzzi, D., Lui, F., Iannetti, G. D., & Porro, C. A. (2010). A quantitative comparison of BOLD fMRI responses to noxious and innocuous stimuli in the human spinal cord. *NeuroImage*, *50*(4), 1408–1415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.01.043
- 1527 Thron, A. K. (2016). Vascular Anatomy of the Spinal Cord: Radioanatomy as the Key to Diagnosis and 1528 Treatment. Springer.
- 1529 Tinnermann, A., Büchel, C., & Cohen-Adad, J. (2021). Cortico-spinal imaging to study pain. *NeuroImage*, 224, 117439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117439
- Topfer, R., Starewicz, P., Lo, K.-M., Metzemaekers, K., Jette, D., Hetherington, H. P., Stikov, N., & CohenAdad, J. (2016). A 24-channel shim array for the human spinal cord: Design, evaluation, and application. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 76(5), 1604–1611. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.26354
- 1534 Tracey, I. (2021). Neuroimaging enters the pain biomarker arena. *Science Translational Medicine*, *13*(619), eabj7358. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abj7358
- Tsivaka, D., Williams, S. C. R., Medina, S., Kowalczyk, O. S., Brooks, J. C. W., Howard, M. A., Lythgoe, D.
 J., & Tsougos, I. (2023). A second-order and slice-specific linear shimming technique to improve spinal cord
 fMRI. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, *102*, 151–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2023.06.012
- 1539 Tustison, N. J., & Gee, J. (2010). N4ITK: Nick's N3 ITK Implementation For MRI Bias Field Correction. *The* 1540 *Insight Journal*. https://doi.org/10.54294/jculxw
- 1541 Uludağ, K., Müller-Bierl, B., & Uğurbil, K. (2009). An integrative model for neuronal activity-induced signal 1542 changes for gradient and spin echo functional imaging. *NeuroImage*, *48*(1), 150–165. 1543 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.05.051
- 1544 Upadhyay, J. (2015). Test–retest reliability of evoked heat stimulation BOLD fMRI. *Journal of Neuroscience* 1545 *Methods*, 9.
- Vahdat, S., Khatibi, A., Lungu, O., Finsterbusch, J., Büchel, C., Cohen-Adad, J., Marchand-Pauvert, V., &
 Doyon, J. (2020). Resting-state brain and spinal cord networks in humans are functionally integrated. *PLOS Biology*, *18*(7), e3000789. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3000789
- 1549 Vallat, R. (2018). Pingouin: Statistics in Python. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *3*(31), 1026. 1550 https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01026
- Vannesjo, S. J., Clare, S., Kasper, L., Tracey, I., & Miller, K. L. (2019). A method for correcting breathing induced field fluctuations in T2*-weighted spinal cord imaging using a respiratory trace. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, *81*(6), 3745–3753. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrm.27664
- Veraart, J., Novikov, D. S., Christiaens, D., Ades-aron, B., Sijbers, J., & Fieremans, E. (2016). Denoising of
 diffusion MRI using random matrix theory. *NeuroImage*, 142, 394–406.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.08.016
- 1557 Villemure, C., & Bushnell, M. C. (2002). Cognitive modulation of pain: How do attention and emotion 1558 influence pain processing? *Pain*, *95*(3), 195–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3959(02)00007-6
- Weber, K. A., Chen, Y., Wang, X., Kahnt, T., & Parrish, T. B. (2016a). Functional magnetic resonance
 imaging of the cervical spinal cord during thermal stimulation across consecutive runs. *NeuroImage*, *143*,
 267–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.09.015
- Weber, K. A., Chen, Y., Wang, X., Kahnt, T., & Parrish, T. B. (2016b). Lateralization of cervical spinal cord
 activity during an isometric upper extremity motor task with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *NeuroImage*, *125*, 233–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.014
- 1565 Wiech, K. (2016). Deconstructing the sensation of pain: The influence of cognitive processes on pain 1566 perception. *Science*, *354*(6312), 584–587. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf8934

Wilson, S. M., Bautista, A., Yen, M., Lauderdale, S., & Eriksson, D. K. (2017). Validity and reliability of four
language mapping paradigms. *NeuroImage: Clinical*, *16*, 399–408.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2016.03.015

- 1570 Winkler, A. M., Ridgway, G. R., Webster, M. A., Smith, S. M., & Nichols, T. E. (2014). Permutation inference 1571 for the general linear model. *NeuroImage*, *92*, 381–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.01.060
- Woo, C.-W., & Wager, T. D. (2016). What reliability can and cannot tell us about pain report and pain neuroimaging: *PAIN*, *157*(3), 511–513. https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.00000000000442

1574 Woolrich, M. W., Ripley, B. D., Brady, M., & Smith, S. M. (2001). Temporal Autocorrelation in Univariate 1575 Linear Modeling of FMRI Data. *NeuroImage*, *14*(6), 1370–1386. https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.2001.0931

- 1576 Viessmann, O., Scheffler, K., Bianciardi, M., Wald, L. L., & Polimeni, J. R. (2019). Dependence of resting-1577 state fMRI fluctuation amplitudes on cerebral cortical orientation relative to the direction of B0 and 1578 anatomical axes. *Neuroimage*, *196*, 337-350.
- Yang, P.-F., Wang, F., & Chen, L. M. (2015). Differential fMRI Activation Patterns to Noxious Heat and
 Tactile Stimuli in the Primate Spinal Cord. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *35*(29), 10493–10502.
 https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0583-15.2015
- 1582 Yoshizawa, T., Nose, T., Moore, G. J., & Sillerud, L. O. (1996). Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of
- 1583 Motor Activation in the Human Cervical Spinal Cord. *NeuroImage*, *4*(3), 174–182. 1584 https://doi.org/10.1006/nimg.1996.0068

1585	
1586	
1587	
1588	
1589	
1590	
1591	Supplementary Material
1592	
1593	
1594	Reliability of task-based fMRI in the dorsal horn of the human spinal cord
1595	
1596	Alice Dabbagh, Ulrike Horn, Merve Kaptan, Toralf Mildner, Roland Müller, Jöran Lepsien,
1597	Nikolaus Weiskopf, Jonathan C.W. Brooks, Jürgen Finsterbusch, Falk Eippert
1598	



Supplementary Figure 1. Individual values underlying ICC calculation. Participant-wise data of Day 1 and Day 2 peak values for skin conductance responses (SCR), pupil dilation responses (PDR) and heart period responses (HPR), as well as average top 10% β values of the left dorsal horn (ROI) and the dilated left dorsal quadrant (Dilated ROI) in spinal cord segment C6.



Supplementary Figure 2. Group-level fMRI results. Results are shown for the Reliability Run (i.e., one run per day; top row; same image as in main manuscript used for comparison purposes here) and Combined Runs (i.e. average of four runs per day; bottom row). Only voxels surviving a threshold of p < 0.05 (corrected for multiple comparisons via a permutation test in a mask of the left dorsal horn in spinal cord segment C6) are displayed on top of a T2*-weighted spinal cord template (PAM50) in axial view, and on top of a T2-weighted spinal cord template (PAM50) in the sagittal view. In contrast to the Reliability Run, the Combined Runs demonstrated substantial overlap across both days. Spinal cord segment C6 is outlined in green in the sagittal images.



1612 Supplementary Figure 3. Test-retest reliability across both days for subjective ratings, peripheral physiological 1613 data and BOLD response amplitudes. Reliability is indicated via ICCs (plotted as dots with 95% CI represented as a 1614 line). Combined Runs: ICCs are reported for (from left to right) verbal ratings, SCR, PDR, HPR and top 10% β -estimate 1615 in the left dorsal horn of C6 (ROI). Denoising DHR: ICC of the top 10% β -estimate in the left dorsal horn of C6 (ROI) of 1616 only the Reliability Run, obtained from a GLM with an additional regressor of right dorsal horn activity. Within-run 1617 reliability: ICCs obtained from comparing either odd and even trials numbers (odd-even), or the first and second half of 1618 a run (early-late). Colors indicate ICC interpretation according to Cicchetti (1994); dark red: ICC < 0.4, poor; medium 1619 red: ICC 0.4 - 0.59, fair; orange: ICC 0.6 - 0.74, good; yellow: ICC 0.75 - 1.0, excellent.

Supplementary Table 1

Percent suprathreshold voxels in the four cord quadrants of each spinal segment.

Spinal cord segment	Total number of active voxels (p < 0.001)	DL	DR	VL	VR
Average					
C5	1140	50.1%	34.5%	5.6%	9.8%
C6	674	61.3%	38.4%	0.3%	0%
C7	604	14.6%	26.7%	13.4%	45.4%
C8	62	22.6%	56.5%	8.1%	12.9%
Day 1					
C5	438	79.0%	14.4%	4.6%	2.1%
C6	65	83.1%	10.8%	6.2%	0%
C7	59	37.3%	25.4%	5.1%	32.2%
C8	3	0%	100%	0%	0%
Day 2					
C5	302	28.1%	49.7%	4.0%	18.2%
C6	332	66.3%	27.7%	5.1%	0.9%
C7	235	9.8%	11.9%	48.9%	29.4%
C8	17	0%	82.4%	0%	17.6%

Notes. Results are based on the group-level results of each day's Reliability Run. ROI names refer to spinal cord quadrants in the respective segment. *Abbreviations:* dorsal left (DL), dorsal right (DR), ventral left (VL), ventral right (VR). This analysis was carried out using the masks of the four cord quadrants separately for each spinal segment.

1620

Supplementary Table 2

Intraclass correlation coefficient and 95% confidence interval for subjective ratings, peripheral physiological data and BOLD response amplitudes of post-hoc analyses.

Change in analysis pipeline	Measures			ICC (95% CI)		
		Ratings		0.71 (0.51–0.83)		
		SCR		0.61 (0.36–0.78)		
		PDR		0.19 (-0.16-0.81)		
		HPR		0.73 (0.54–0.85)		
Combined runs			peak	0.20 (-0.12–0.48)		
average		β	Top 10%	0.09 (-0.22–0.39)		
	DH left		avg	-0.08 (-0.38–0.23)		
	Dirion		peak	0.07 (-0.24–0.37)		
		z-score	Top 10%	-0.01 (-0.31–0.30)		
			avg	0.03 (-0.28–0.34)		
			peak	0.11 (-0.21–0.40)		
		β	Top 10%	0.08 (-0.25–0.37)		
DHP rogrossor			avg	-0.07 (-0.37–0.24)		
Drift Tegressor	Difficit		peak	0.11 (-0.2–0.41)		
		z-score	Top 10%	0.06 (-0.25–0.36)		
			avg	0.025 (-0.29–0.33)		
Within-run reliability						
Odd-even		SCR		0.81 (0.67–0.90)		
		PDR		0.82 (0.66–0.90)		
		HPR		0.86 (0.76–0.93)		
			peak	0.63 (0.41–0.79)		
	DH left	β	Top 10%	0.69 (0.49–0.83)		
			avg	0.52 (0.27–0.71)		
Early-late		SCR		0.56 (0.31–0.74)		
		PDR		0.61 (0.35–0.78)		
		HPR		0.79 (0.64–0.89)		
			peak	0.31 (0.02–0.56)		
	DH left	β	Top 10%	0.36 (0.07–0.59)		
			avg	-0.003 (-0.31–0.31)		

Abbreviations: skin conductance response (SCR), pupil dilation response (PDR), heart period response (HPR), dorsal horn (DH), right dorsal horn (DHR) in spinal cord segment C6.

Supplementary Table 3

Correlations between response measures.

Peripheral /	BOLD parameter estimate		p-value
measure	(top 10%)	Pearson's r	One-tailed
Detinge	β	-0.18	0.855
Raungs	z-score	-0.30	0.965
SCD	β	0.34	0.017 *
SCK	z-score	0.36	0.014 *
	β	-0.28	0.038 *
HPK	z-score	-0.28	0.039 *
	β	-0.11	0.722
PDR	z-score	-0.05	0.611

Notes. Results are based on individual BOLD responses and peripheral physiological responses and subjective ratings of the reliability run. We correlated responses averaged across days for each measure. BOLD responses were quantified as the top 10 % of β estimates and z-scores extracted from the left dorsal horn in spinal cord segment C6. *Abbreviations*: skin conductance response (SCR), pupil dilation response (PDR), heart period response (HPR). For further information see section 2.8.4. * p < 0.05

1622

Supplementary Table 4

Correlations between BOLD parameter estimates and indicators of data quality.

Data quality	BOLD parameter estimate	Poorson's r	p-value	
estimate	(top 10%)	realsons i	One-tailed	
Motion	β	0.16	0.159	
MOUOT	z-score	0.19	0.118	
Normalization	β	-0.25	0.939	
quality	z-score	-0.14	0.8	
Angulation	β	0.41	0.004 **	
relative to B0	z-score	0.40	0.005 **	

Notes. Results are based on individual BOLD responses and data quality indicators of the reliability run. We correlated absolute differences across days for each measure. BOLD responses were quantified as the top 10 % of β estimates and z-scores extracted from the left dorsal horn in spinal cord segment C6. Motion was quantified as root mean square intensity differences of each volume to reference volume. Normalization quality was quantified as the Dice coefficient between the segmentation of the normalized mean functional image and the PAM50 cord mask across the same z-range. The angulation relative to B0 describes the angle between the scanner's z-axis (aligned with B0) and the z direction in the slice-stack. For further information see section 2.8.5. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01

1624 **Deviations from preregistration**

1625 In the preregistration, we stated that in addition to ICC(3,1) we would report the Pearson 1626 correlation coefficient and ICC(2,1) as indicators of reliability. However, for the sake of brevity we 1627 ultimately decided to report only ICC(3,1), as all indicators were found to be highly similar.

1628 In the preregistration, we stated that we aimed to calculate voxel-wise ICC maps. However, given

1629 that we observed almost no overlap of activation across days in the analysis reported here (thus

- 1630 making a voxel-wise assessment pointless), we decided to focus solely on the ROI assessments.
- 1631 In the preregistration, we stated that we would investigate spatial aspects of reliability via x-, y-,

1632 and z-coordinates. However, for the sake of brevity we decided to instead employ Dice coefficients

1633 (i.e. a measure of spatial overlap), as we deemed them a more succinct and comprehensive

1634 representation of our data, also considering the complexity of the manuscript.

1635 In the preregistration, we stated that we would assess reliability only in the ipsilateral dorsal horn

1636 of spinal cord segment C6. However, after observing robust activation extending to areas outside

1637 the gray matter, we chose to also investigate a larger region encompassing the draining vein 1638 territory.

1639 Any other analyses carried out here, but not included in the preregistration, are clearly indicated 1640 as post-hoc analyses in the manuscript (see section 2.8).