perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license . Genomics of brain age gap

1

Genome-wide analysis of brain age identifies 25 associated loci and unveils relationships with mental and physical health

Philippe Jawinski,^{1,2} Helena Forstbach,¹ Holger Kirsten,^{2,3} Frauke Beyer,⁴ Arno Villringer,⁴ A. Veronica Witte,⁴ Markus Scholz,^{2,3} Stephan Ripke,^{5,6} Sebastian Markett¹

¹ Department of Psychology, Humboldt-University Berlin, Berlin, Germany

² LIFE – Leipzig Research Center for Civilization Diseases, Leipzig University, Germany

- ³ Institute for Medical Informatics, Statistics and Epidemiology, Leipzig University, Germany
- ⁴ Cognitive Neurology, University of Leipzig Medical Center & Department of Neurology, Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig, Germany
- ⁵ Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Broad Institute of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
- ⁶ Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Charité Universitätsmedizin, Berlin, Germany

Abstract

Neuroimaging and machine learning are opening up new opportunities in studying biological aging mechanisms. In this field, 'brain age gap' has emerged as promising MRI-based biomarker quantifying the deviation between an individual's biological and chronological age of the brain – an indicator of accelerated/decelerated aging. Here, we investigated the genetic architecture of brain age gap and its relationships with over 1,000 health traits. Genome-wide analyses in 32,634 UK Biobank individuals unveiled a 30% SNP-based heritability and highlighted 25 associated loci. Of these, 23 showed sign-consistency and 16 replicated in another 7,259 individuals. The leading locus encompasses MAPT, encoding the tau protein central to Alzheimer's disease. Genetic correlations revealed relationships with various mental health (depression), physical health (diabetes), and socioeconomic variables (education). Mendelian Randomization indicated a causal role of enhanced blood pressure on accelerated brain aging. This work refines our understanding of genetically modulated brain aging and its implications for human health.

Keywords: aging, genetics, machine learning, mental health, MRI

Corresponding author

Philippe Jawinski, Dr. rer. nat. Department of Psychology, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin Unter den Linden 6, 01199 Berlin, Germany Phone: +49 30 2093-9391 Email: philippe.jawinski@hu-berlin.de

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genomics of brain age gap

1 Main

Aging is an intricate biological phenomenon inherent to most living organisms.^{1–3} With extended human lifespans and the rapid pace of global demographic aging, age-related disabilities including neurodegenerative disorders such as dementia are on the rise.⁴ Understanding the biological mechanisms of aging is thus an urgent priority for health and social systems, to sustain longer lives with reduced periods of disability.

The use of neuroimaging methods in conjunction with machine learning has become a promising avenue in biomedical research to capture an individual's biological age, with particular emphasis put on 'brain age'.^{5,6} Brain age is typically assessed by training an age prediction model on in-vivo MRI data from a normative lifespan sample. This model is then applied to MRI data of unseen individuals to predict their age. The discrepancy between an individual's brainpredicted and chronological age is termed 'brain age gap' (BAG), and is used to draw inferences on typical and atypical aging trajectories.^{6,7}

A positive BAG (interpreted as accelerated aging) has been linked to reduced mental and 14physical fitness;⁵ including weaker grip strength, higher blood pressure, diabetes, adverse 15drinking and smoking behavior, poorer cognitive abilities, and depressive symptoms.⁸⁻¹³ 16Enhanced BAG is also evident in neurological and psychiatric disorders such as Alzheimer's 17disease, schizophrenia, and bipolar disorder.^{14,15} While previous genetic studies suggest that BAG 18exhibits a substantial heritable component, only few studies have identified specific genetic 19variants that contribute to BAG.^{15–21} To refine the genetic architecture of BAG and identify 20potential therapeutic targets for healthy aging, further research is imperative. 21

In this report, we present what is to our knowledge the largest genome-wide association 22study (GWAS) of BAG to date. We discover novel loci in a sample of 32,634 individuals of 23 white-British ancestry, and replicate our findings in a cross-ancestry sample of 7,259 individuals. 24This constitutes a 34% increase in sample size (about 10,000 more) compared to the most recent 25 $study^{20}$ First, we prioritize genes using complementary fine-mapping, annotation, and co-2627localization strategies that integrate information from multiple omics resources. Second, we replicate variant effects and calculate polygenic scores to estimate the present yield in variance 28explanation. Third, we compute genetic correlations with over 1,000 health traits. Fourth, we 2930 use Mendelian Randomization to test a potential causal role of several risk factors in BAG. Finally, we examine the degree of polygenicity and project discoveries to forthcoming studies. 31By these efforts, we unravel new biological mechanisms behind BAG, such as binding of small 32GTPases, i.e., evolutionary conserved proteins that act as biological timers of cellular processes. 33

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

34 Results

Our brain age estimation workflow adhered to a well-established approach that utilizes the 35CAT12 voxel-based morphometry pipeline and has been validated extensively over the past 36 years.^{5,22} We used T1-weighed anatomical MRI scans and machine learning in a cross-validation 37 38 manner to estimate brain age in a discovery sample of 32,634 white-British ancestry individuals of the UK Biobank (UKB) cohort (age range: 45-82 years).²³ Since brain aging has been 39demonstrated to encompass biologically distinct modes of change, we performed tissue-specific 40 analyses on grey and white matter segmentations to increase the yield of biologically meaningful 41 markers.¹⁸ Machine learning was carried out using complementary algorithms: the sparse 42Bayesian relevance vector machine.²⁴ and the extreme gradient boosting technique (XGBoost) 43applied with both a tree and linear booster.²⁵ Trained models were stacked within and across 44tissue classes to enhance prediction accuracy. This revealed three brain-predicted age estimates 4546 per subject, representing the age prediction for grey matter, white matter, and combined grey and white matter. 47

Table 1 Prediction accuracies of the stacked age estimation models stratified by tissue class

	UKB discovery $(n = 32,634; 45-82 \text{ years})$			$\mathrm{UK} \ (n=5,$	B replic 427; 44-	ation 83 years)	LIFE replication $(n = 1,833; 45-80 \text{ years})$		
	r	MAE	$\mathrm{ICC}_{\mathrm{BAG}}$	 r	MAE	$\mathrm{ICC}_{\mathrm{BAG}}$	r	MAE	
Grey matter	.827	3.372	.898	.851	3.631	.893	.828	3.990	
White matter	.835	3.307	.919	.859	3.562	.911	.829	3.979	
Grey and white matter	.857	3.089	.914	.879	3.299	.909	.862	3.557	

Note: Imaging data of the UKB discovery sample was released until January 2020 (release v1.7), while data of the UKB replication sample was released until September 2022 (release v1.9). r: product-moment correlation between brain-predicted age (without bias correction) and chronological age; MAE: mean absolute error of brain-predicted vs. chronological age; ICC_{BAG}: intraclass correlation coefficient between test and re-test assessment of brain age gap. Brain age gap was bias-corrected for age, age², sex, scanner site, and total intracranial volume. ICCs are based on a subset of 3,625 subjects in the UKB discovery and 376 subjects in the UKB replication sample.

48

In the discovery sample, we observed excellent prediction accuracies of chronological age, 49with mean absolute errors (MAE) reaching MAE = 3.09 years and correlation coefficients 50attaining r = .86 (Fig. 1a, Table 1; details in suppl. Figure A1 and suppl. Table B1). Model 51performances were similar in two replication samples: a cross-ancestry UKB sample (n = 5,427; 52age range: 45-80 years), and a European ancestry sample drawn from the LIFE-Adult cohort 53(n = 1.833; age range: 45-80 years).^{26,27} Noteworthy, genetic association analyses were performed 54on brain age gap (BAG), i.e., the discrepancy between an individual's brain-predicted and 55chronological age. These estimates - regressed on sex, age, age², scanner site, and total 56intracranial volume – showed excellent test-retest reliabilities, with intra class correlation 57coefficients (ICC C,1)²⁸ ranging from .89 to .92. 58

Genomics of brain age gap

4

To validate our BAG estimates and examine phenotypic relationships with health-related 59traits, we conducted a cross-trait association analysis between BAG and 7,088 non-imaging-60 derived phenotypes using PHESANT.²⁹ A total of 210 associations reached the Bonferroni-61 adjusted level of significance (p < 7.1e-06) for at least one of the three BAG traits (suppl. 62 Fig. A2, suppl. Table B2). Fig. 1b shows the cross-trait association results for combined grey 63 and white matter BAG. 64



65

66 Fig. 1 Prediction accuracies and phenotypic associations for combined grey and white matter BAG. (a) Blue dots in the first three plots (from left to right) show brain-predicted age estimates plotted against chronological age in the UKB 67 discovery sample (n = 32,634), UKB replication sample (n = 5,427), and LIFE-Adult replication sample (n = 1,883). 68 To facilitate comparisons, results of the UKB discovery sample are also shown as grey dots in the background of the 69 70UKB replication and LIFE replication plots. At this stage, brain-predicted age estimates have not yet been bias-corrected 71for regression dilution as indicated by the linear regression line (solid) crossing the identity line (dashed). The fourth 72plot shows the test-retest reliabilities of brain age gap in a subset of the UKB discovery (grey dots, n = 3,625) and UKB 73replication sample (blue dots, n = 376). Brain age gap was bias-corrected for age, age², sex, scanner site, and total 74intracranial volume. (b) Cross-trait association results between brain age gap and 7,088 UK Biobank phenotypes from 75different health domains (sex, age, age2, scanner site, total intracranial volume served as covariates). Horizontal lines 76indicate the Bonferroni-adjusted (solid) and FDR-adjusted (dashed) level of significance. The top associations per 77category have been annotated. (c) Surface plots showing the correlations between brain age gap and 220 FreeSurfer 78brain structure variables. Colors reflect the strength and direction of partial product-moment correlations (sex, age, age², 79scanner site, total intracranial volume served as covariates). MAE: mean absolute error; rho: product-moment correlation 80 coefficient. ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient (C,1).²⁸

The top associations for combined BAG (all $p \leq 1.8e-12$) included 'pack years of smoking' 81 (r = 0.091), 'diastolic blood pressure, automated reading' (r = 0.084), 'number of symbol digit 82 matches made correctly' (r = -0.082), 'diabetes diagnosed by doctor' (r = 0.079), 'amount of 83

Genomics of brain age gap

5

alcohol drunk on a typical drinking day' (r = 0.076), and self-reported 'overall health rating' 84 (r = 0.039; higher ratings indicate poorer health).85

To explore how BAG is reflected in individual brain regions, we calculated BAG 86 associations with FreeSurfer³⁰ cortical surface measures and subcortical volumes (Fig. 1c, suppl. 87 Fig. A3, suppl. Table B3). The strongest associations (all $p \leq 4.9e-146$) were observed between 88 BAG and volumes of the accumbentia (r = -0.31), lateral ventricles (r = 0.29), amygdalae 89 (r = -0.25), and hippocampi (r = -0.22), as well as cortical thickness of the superior frontal 90 (r = -0.16) and superior temporal cortex (r = -0.14). These results suggest that our models 91capture patterns of aging distributed throughout the brain, rather than being confined to specific 9293brain areas. Moreover, results from cross-trait association analyses demonstrate relationships between BAG and multifaceted health traits, supporting the validity of BAG estimates. 94

Discovery of 25 genomic loci 95

To identify genetic loci associated with BAG, we conducted GWAS analyses based on 9,669,404 96 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertion-deletions (INDELs) with MAF > 0.0197and INFO > 0.80. We modelled additive genetic effects, and used sex, age, age², total intra-98 cranial volume, scanner site, type of genotyping array, and the first 20 genetic principal 99 100 components as covariates. GWAS results for the three BAG traits are shown in Fig. 2.

LD score regression (LDSC) intercepts did not indicate a bias of test statistics due to 101 reasons other than polygenicity, suggesting no confounding inflation due to population 102stratification (intercept range: 1.0075-1.0142; suppl. Table B4).³¹ SNP-based heritability 103estimates derived from LDSC ranged between 26.2% (grev matter BAG) and 28.6% (white 104matter BAG). Estimates from GCTA-GREML³² were slightly higher, suggesting SNP-based 105heritabilities of 28.9% for grev matter, 32.7% for white matter, and 32.3% for combined grev 106 and white matter BAG (SE: 1.3%). The bivariate genetic correlation between grey and white 107matter BAG reached $r_G = 0.703$ (SE = 0.018), suggesting both shared and distinct genetic 108contributions. Stratified LDSC (suppl. Fig. A4, suppl. Table B5) revealed an enrichment of 109heritability (all FDR < 0.05) in regions evolutionary conserved across mammals (fold enrichment 110FE: 13.9) and primates (FE: 12.7). We also observed heritability enrichment in super enhancer 111 (FE: 2.7), and epigenetically modified H3K27ac (FE: 2.0), H3K4me1 (FE: 1.9), and H3K9ac 112113regions (FE: 2.9).

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

 $\mathbf{6}$



114



Fig. 2 Manhattan plots (a-c) and quantile-quantile plots (d-f) showing the results of the discovery 115116 genome-wide association analyses for the three brain age gap traits (N = 32,634 UK Biobank individuals). Manhattan plots show the p-values ($-\log_{10}$ scale) of the tested genetic variations on the y-axis and base-117pair positions along the chromosomes on the x-axis. The solid horizontal line indicates the threshold of 118119genome-wide significance (p = 5E-8). Index variations are highlighted by circles and were annotated with 120those genes implicated by our gene prioritization analysis. Results of pseudoautosomal variations have been added to chromosome 'X'. Quantile-quantile plots show the observed p-values from the association analysis 121122vs. the expected p-values under the null hypothesis of no effect ($-\log_{10}$ scale). For illustrative reasons, the y-axis has been truncated at p = 1e-35. a,d grey matter brain age gap; b,e white matter brain age gap; 123c,f combined grey and white matter brain age gap. 124

125To identify independent genome-wide significant associations, we conducted stepwise conditional analyses using GCTA-COJO.^{32,33} This resulted in 12 independent discoveries for grey 126matter BAG, 16 for white matter BAG, and 13 for combined BAG (regional plots shown in 127suppl. Fig. A5-12). Across the three BAG traits, the total count of independent discoveries was 12825 (Table 1; suppl. Table B6), as determined through cross-trait LD clumping of index variations 129130 $(R^2 > 0.1; 10 \text{ Mbp window-size})$. These 25 loci represent distinct genomic regions with a physical distance larger than 2.5 Mbp. Among the 25 loci, 12 have previously been reported genome-wide 131significant for BAG,^{15,17–20} thus, 13 loci are novel findings. 132

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genomics of brain age gap

7

Table 2 Independent loci discovered	through GWAS	analyses of brain ag	ge gap in $N = 32,634$ individuals
-------------------------------------	--------------	----------------------	------------------------------------

Locus	Cytoband	Chr	Position	ID	A1/A2	Freq	Beta (SE)	p	Prioritized Gene	Phenotype(s)	Repl.	Ref.
1	1p34.2	1	43,843,241	rs550778111	G/GT	0.414	0.149(0.03)	1e-08	MED8	GWM	F	\mathbf{S}
2	1q25.3	1	$180,\!956,\!936$	rs35306826	T/A	0.408	$0.223\ (0.03)$	4e-16	STX6	WM,GWM	Т	\mathbf{S}
3	1q41	1	$215,\!137,\!727$	rs796226228	A/AT	0.375	-0.197(0.03)	4e-12	KCNK2	GM	Т	J
4	2p22.3	2	33,759,129	rs7605981	C/A	0.157	-0.226 (0.04)	9e-10	RASGRP3	WM,GWM	F	*
5	2q33.1	2	$198,\!472,\!199$	rs376899426	TAT/T	0.370	-0.157 (0.03)	3e-08	SF3B1	GM	F	*
6	2q33.1	2	$201,\!147,\!317$	rs377158217	\mathbf{CA}/\mathbf{C}	0.482	0.192(0.03)	2e-10	SPATS2L	GM,GWM	F	*
7	2q33.2	2	$203,\!664,\!929$	rs76122535	\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{C}	0.135	-0.236 (0.04)	5e-10	CARF	GWM,WM,GM	Т	*
8	3q13.33	3	$121,\!643,\!447$	rs34567530	AT/A	0.477	-0.150 (0.03)	3e-08	SLC15A2	WM	Т	*
9	4p14	4	$38,\!680,\!015$	rs13132853	\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{A}	0.370	0.272(0.03)	3e-24	KLF3-AS1	GWM,WM,GM	Т	$_{\rm L,S}$
10	5q14.3	5	90,567,689	5:90567689	TTA/T	0.065	$0.331\ (0.06)$	2e-09	LUCAT1	GM	Т	*
11	6p21.1	6	$45,\!428,\!508$	$\mathrm{rs}35405209$	TA/T	0.357	-0.203 (0.03)	2e-13	RUNX2	GWM,GM,WM	Т	$_{\rm L,S,J}$
12	8q23.3	8	$116,\!635,\!942$	rs2721939	C/T	0.402	-0.165 (0.03)	2e-09	TRPS1	WM	Т	*
13	10q24.33	10	$105,\!459,\!116$	rs4630220	A/G	0.285	-0.171 (0.03)	2e-08	NEURL1	GM	F	*
14	10q26.3	10	$134,\!573,\!767$	rs12258248	\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{A}	0.250	$0.241\ (0.03)$	1e-14	NKX6-2	WM,GWM	Т	\mathbf{L}
15	11p11.2	11	47,606,865	rs12287076	\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{C}	0.294	-0.173 (0.03)	5e-09	SLC39A13	WM	F	*
16	12 p 11.21	12	$32,\!526,\!829$	rs6488048	C/T	0.348	-0.183 (0.03)	7e-11	BICD1	WM	F	*
17	12q23.3	12	$106,\!476,\!805$	rs12146713	C/T	0.095	$0.257\ (0.05)$	2e-08	Lnc-NUAK1-1	WM	Т	\mathbf{S}
18	14q31.3	14	88,438,448	rs4904408	${ m G}/{ m C}$	0.493	$0.147\ (0.03)$	5e-08	GALC	WM	Т	\mathbf{L}
19	15q23	15	$71,\!162,\!906$	15:71162906	\mathbf{CA}/\mathbf{C}	0.397	-0.173(0.03)	3e-10	LARP6	WM,GWM	F	\mathbf{S}
20	17q21.31	17	$44,\!276,\!431$	$\mathrm{rs}111854640$	TAG/T	0.227	$0.494\ (0.03)$	2e-53	MAPT	WM,GWM,GM	Т	N,L,S,J
21	17q25.1	17	73,872,969	rs3833085	AG/A	0.152	$0.241\ (0.04)$	1e-10	TRIM47	GM,GWM	Т	*
22	20q11.21	20	$30,\!291,\!296$	20:30291296	G/GT	0.249	-0.224(0.03)	5e-11	FOXS1	GM	F	\mathbf{S}
23	22q13.1	22	$38,\!483,\!155$	rs142739979	TTC/T	0.348	-0.222(0.03)	1e-14	BAIAP2L2	WM,GWM	Т	\mathbf{S}
24	Xp22.2	Х	13,893,318	rs5979992	${f G}/{f C}$	0.326	0.132(0.02)	3e-08	GEMIN8	GM	Т	*
25	Xp22.33	XY	$2,\!120,\!556$	rs377113838	T/C	0.236	$0.234\ (0.03)$	2e-12	DHRSX	WM,GWM	Т	*

Note: For each of the 25 loci, only the strongest variant-phenotype association is shown. Column 'Phenotype(s)' lists all brain age gap phenotypes with significant associations. The phenotype with the strongest association is mentioned first. For insertion/deletions, A1 and A2 alleles were truncated to three nucleotide bases.

Cytoband: cytogenetic band that contains the discovered locus; Chr: chromosome; Position: base pair position of index variation on chromosome; ID: identifier of index variation; A1: effect allele; A2: other allele; Freq: frequency of A1; Beta (SE): beta coefficient and standard error; p: p-value; Prioritized Gene: most relevant gene selected by our gene prioritization procedure; Phenotype(s): Traits for which a genome-wide significant association was found within that locus (grey matter GM, white matter WM, and combined grey and white matter brain age gap GWM), trait with strongest association is mentioned first; Rep.: indicator of locus replication status (one-tailed p < 0.05), either true (T) or false (F); Ref.: previous studies that have reported this locus genome-wide significant, J: Jonsson et al. (2020), L: Leonardsen et al. (2023), N: Ning et al. (2019), S: Smith et al. (2020)

133 We observed the majority of index variations in intronic regions of protein-coding genes.

134 Consistently, ANNOVAR enrichment tests indicated that variants in high linkage disequilibrium

- 135 (LD) with our genome-wide significant variants were underrepresented in intergenic regions, and
- over-represented in UTR3, UTR5, exonic, intronic, exonic non-coding RNA, and intronic non-
- 137 coding RNA regions (suppl. Fig. A13, suppl. Table B7).

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genomics of brain age gap

8

138 Fine-mapping and gene prioritization

139To shed light on the potential causal genes through which identified variants exert their effects on BAG, we used several fine-mapping, annotation, and co-localization strategies that integrate 140information from multiple omics resources. For each genome-wide significant locus, we a) inferred 141 142the number of distinct causal signals and constructed 95% credible sets of variants that likely include the causal variant using FINEMAP,³⁴ b) physically mapped credible variants to genes 143using ANNOVAR.³⁵ c) predicted transcript consequences of non-synonymous exonic credible 144variants and scored their deleteriousness using CADD,³⁶ DANN,³⁷ and REVEL,³⁸ d) mapped 145variants to genes through expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) lookup in 49 GTEx v8 146 tissues.³⁹ e) conducted summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR)^{40,41} with RNA 147sequence data of 2,865 brain cortex samples⁴² to test for mediation of variant effects through 148 gene expression and splicing, and f) calculated polygenic priority scores $(PoPS)^{43}$ that 149incorporate data from single-cell RNA sequencing datasets, curated biological pathways, and 150protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks. Across all genes nominated by abovementioned 151strategies, we computed an integrative gene priority sore and prioritized the most relevant gene 152(see methods). Figure 3 provides an overview of the analysis workflow. A locus-wise summary 153of all results is shown in suppl. Table B6 (details in suppl. Tables B7-B15). 154

For the 25 discovered loci, FINEMAP revealed a model-averaged number of k causal 155signals per locus ranging from 1.04 to 2.06 (median: 1.24), with the most probable k model 156suggesting 1 causal signal for 21 loci, and 2 causal signals for 4 loci (suppl. Table B6). This 157finding is largely consistent with conditional analysis results, suggesting 1 independent signal for 158each locus. The size of the 95% credible set of variants ranged between 4 and 2,514 (median: 15946), indicating a small pool of causal candidates for some loci and a putative complex linkage 160 structure that hinders pinpointing causal variants for other loci. The estimated per-locus 161 contribution to the phenotypic variance, i.e., the regional heritability, ranged between 0.09%162and 0.70%. 163

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genomics of brain age gap

9



164

Fig. 3 Overview of the post-GWAS analysis workflow including the gene prioritization procedure. Green boxes represent data input (discovery GWAS) and output (prioritized genes). Blue boxes represent analyses whose outcomes were used for gene nomination and subsequent prioritization. Apricot-colored boxes reflect gene nomination categories. Grey boxes reflect all other analyses carried out to refine the genetic architecture of brain age gap such as heritability and polygenicity analyses. Genes were prioritized by integrating data from multiple strategies such as functional annotation of credible variants, summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization (SMR), GTEx eQTL lookups, and Polygenic Priority Scores (PoPS).

172

We observed the strongest associations at locus 17q21.31 (rs111854640, p = 2.3E-53), 173which tags a well-known 900kb inversion polymorphism.^{44,45} This region is one out of three with 174alternate haplotype reference sequences included in genome assembly GRCh37 (UCSC haplotype 175sequence: chr17 ctg5 hap1). Consistent with the strong LD cluster in the inverted region,⁴⁴ we 176found this locus with by far the largest credible set of variants (2,514). We carried out an 177 NHGRI-GWAS catalog search to identify pleiotropic effects with other complex traits.⁴⁶ This 178search revealed a large variety of locus-associated traits, including educational attainment,⁴⁷ 179depressed affect,⁴⁸ alcohol consumption,⁴⁹ sleep duration,⁵⁰ lung function,⁵¹ male puberty 180 timing,⁵² age at onset of menarche,⁵¹ and Alzheimer's disease.⁵³ The locus covers multiple genes, 181 including MAPT, STH, KANSL1, and CRHR1. Several genome-wide significant variants in these 182genes are GTEx single-tissue and multi-tissue eQTLs (suppl. Tables B12-B13). SMR analyses 183 implicated alterations in gene expression and splicing of MAPT and KANSL1 as putative 184mechanisms that mediate variant effects on BAG (suppl. Tables B10-11). Additionally, we 185 identified several exonic variants causing amino-acid changes in transcript sequences (suppl. 186Table B9). The highest CADD deleteriousness score was shown for rs176515149 (CADD score: 187 34), located in exon 6 of MAPT, with a GWAS p-value of 4.9e-52. This variant causes an 188 arginine-to-tryptophan substitution at MAPT protein position 370. MAPT encodes the well-189

Genomics of brain age gap

known 'tau protein' implicated in Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases.⁵⁴ 190 Altogether, we prioritized MAPT as most plausible susceptibility gene for brain aging in this 191192locus.

Three other loci were identified with a tractable number (≤ 10) of likely causal variants. 193The first locus with 4 credible variants refers to an intergenic region at 1q41, 41 kb upstream of 194KCNK2, encoding Potassium channel subfamily K member 2. KCNK2 is also prioritized by 195SMR, GTEx, and PoPS analyses. KCNK2 has been implicated in neuroinflammation, blood-196 brain barrier dysfunction, and cerebral ischemia.^{55,56}. GWAS catalog matches suggest 197associations with cortical thickness,⁵⁷ surface area,⁵⁸ and sulcal opening.⁵⁹. 198

The second locus, again with 4 variants in the 95% credible set, refers to an intronic region 199of NUAK1 at 12q23.3. Index variant rs12146713 is a multi-tissue eQTL of Lnc-NUAK1-1, i.e., 200 a long non-coding RNA gene expressed in brain cortex, cerebellum, and other tissues. GWAS 201catalog matches suggest further associations with cortical thickness,⁵⁷ surface area,⁵⁸ and 202 subcortical volume.⁵⁷ 203

The third locus refers to exon 11 of BAIAP2L2 at 22q13.1, with 9 variants in the 95% 204credible set. Index variant rs142739979 is a non-frameshift INDEL predicted to cause an insertion 205of threenine, proline, and methionine between BAIAP2L2 protein sequence positions 411 and 206207 412. Additionally, this variant is a reported eQTL of nine genes, including SLC16A8 linked to age-related macular degeneration,⁶⁰ and *TRIOBP*, whose protein isoforms have been implicated 208 in neurite outgrowth, cell cycle progression, and motility of cancer cells.⁶¹ 209

While above-mentioned loci have also been supported in previous studies, we here discover 210 211several novel loci that offer new insights into the biological path-mechanisms of brain aging. One of them refers to a UTR5-region of TRPS1 at 8q23.3, with TRPS1 also representing the 212prioritized gene. Previous studies have implicated variants in strong LD ($R^2 > 0.8$) with the 213index variant in neuroticism,⁴⁸ type-2 diabetes,⁶² and anthropometric measures.⁶³ TRPS1 is a 214transcription factor that represses the expression of GATA-regulated genes in vertebrate 215development,⁶⁴ and has been implicated in a large variety of physiological processes including 216organ differentiation and tumorigenesis.⁶⁵ 217

218

Another novel discovery refers to an intronic region of *ICA1L* at 2q33.2, led by rs76122535 (p = 4.6e-10), with GTEx and SMR analysis indicating variant effects on expression and splicing 219220of ICA1L, CARF, and NBEAL1 in a variety of tissues. The highest priority score was attained by CARF, which is reportedly upregulated during stress-induced and oncogenic senescence, and 221its overexpression has been observed to cause premature senescence.⁶⁶ 222

Furthermore, we identified an X-chromosomal locus in an intron of GPM6B, led by 223rs597999 (p = 2.7e-08), which is also a GTEx eQTL of *GPM6B*. The GPM6B protein has been 224

a	•	c	1 .		
(; eno	mics	ot.	brain	age	gan
oono	moo	OI.	DIGHT	u _S c.	Sup

suggested to regulate serotonin uptake, is particularly expressed in brain tissue, and belongs to 225the proteolipid protein family involved in cell-to-cell communication.⁶⁷ 226

- A final novel discovery to be mentioned refers to a variant near DHRSX, tagged by 227rs377113838 (p = 1.6e-12), which is a GTEx multi-tissue eQTL of the same gene, and lies in a 228pseudoautosomal region, i.e., a region with homologous sequences on chromosome X and Y. 229230DHRSX has been shown to be play a crucial role in starvation-induced autophagy.⁶⁸
- As strongest known risk factor for Alzheimer's disease, we tested the $\varepsilon 4$ allele of the 231apolipoprotein E (APOE) gene, determined from haplotypes of rs429358 and rs7412, for BAG 232associations. The number of $\varepsilon 4$ alleles was indeed associated with higher BAG (p = 7.8e-06), 233234although not attaining genome-wide significance.

Altogether, by integrating information from several fine-mapping, functional annotation, 235and colocalization strategies we here prioritized several genes potentially involved in brain aging. 236These leads may stimulate novel testable hypotheses on the causes of biological aging. 237

Replication of discovered variants 238

Independent discoveries from the SNP-level analysis were tested for replication in a follow-up 239cross-ancestry meta-analysis of up to 7,259 individuals (suppl. Table B15, suppl. Fig. A14-A17). 240241Replication analyses included index variations from the 25 genome-wide significant loci, and index variations from another 45 suggestive loci (conditional p-values ranging from 1.0e-06 to 2425.0e-08). The degree of consistency between discovery and replication results was highly unlikely 243to occur by chance (suppl. Fig. A18). Of the 25 discoveries, 23 showed consistent effect directions 244(binomial test: p = 9.7e-06) and 16 replicated at p < 0.05 (one-tailed nominal significance; 245binomial test: p = 2.0-15). This finding aligns closely with the outcomes predicted by statistical 246power analyses, with 16.51 out of 25 loci expected to attain one-tailed nominal significance in 247replication analyses. All replicated loci are highlighted in Table 2 (column 'Rep.'). Novel 248replicated loci included 2q33.2 (rs76122535 near CARF), 3q13.33 (rs34567530 in SLC15A2), 2495q14.3 (5:90567689_TTA_T near LUCAT1), 8q23.3 (rs2721939 in TRPS1), 17q25.1 (rs3833085 250in TRIM47), Xp22.2 (rs5979992 in GPM6B), and Xp22.33 (rs377113838 near DHRSX, 251pseudoautosomal). 252

Among the additional 45 suggestive variants, we found 35 with consistent effect directions 253(binomial test: p = 1.2e-04) and 14 attaining one-tailed nominal significance in replication 254analyses (binomial test: p = 2.3e-08). Moreover, we found polygenic scores (PGS) with variance 255explanations of 2.1% for grey matter, 2.8% for white matter, and 2.5% for combined BAG (all 256 $p \leq 5.1e-22$; suppl. Table B17). Proportions of explained variance were lower when considering 257only genome-wide significant discoveries (0.8-1.3%; all $p \leq 1.5e-09$). In sum, replication results 258

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

· · ·		1 •			
l'onomies	Ot.	broin	000	mon	
C TEHOIHIUS	0.01	DIAIL	age	P d D	
O OHOIMOD	~	or course	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5~P	

12

259 provide strong support for true associations among the discovered loci and point towards 260 additional contributions at sub-threshold significance levels.

261 Gene-based analysis

We investigated the potential impact of 18,643 protein-coding genes using GCTA-fastBAT.⁶⁹ 262Gene-based analyses aggregate information from multiple variants within the same genomic 263region, resulting in a reduced multiple-testing burden compared to variant-based analyses. Gene-264based analyses revealed 188, 327, and 295 genes significantly associated (FDR < 0.05) with grey 265matter, white matter, and combined grey and white matter BAG, respectively. To identify 266independent associated loci, we conducted a *p*-value informed clumping procedure of genes 267located in a physical distance of 3,000 kbp. This resulted in 69, 114, and 97 distinct loci, 268respectively, of which 151 were unique across the three phenotypes (suppl. Fig. A19, suppl. Table 269B18). Again, the strongest signal was observed at 17q21.31 covering MAPT. Significant genes 270also included APOE (encoding apolipoprotein E), i.e., the gene with the strongest known impact 271on late-onset Alzheimer's disease.⁷⁰ In total, gene-based analyses provide evidence for an 272extended set of genomic loci involved in human brain aging. 273

274 Pathway analysis

To gain further insights into the biological mechanisms underlying brain aging, we used gene-275276based results to test for an enrichment of Gene Ontology (GO) terms, i.e., sets of genes known to serve a common biological function.⁷¹ Gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) were conducted 277278using GOfuncR.⁷² GSEA revealed nine significant GO terms (suppl. Table B19) after refinement of hierarchical dependencies. Analyses provided indications for a role of the immune system in 279brain aging, with significant results obtained for 'MHC protein complex' (GO:0042611) and 280'peptide antigen binding' (GO:0042605). Results also implicated 'small GTPase binding' 281282(GO:00312671) as potential mechanism in brain aging. Small GTPases are a superfamily of evolutionary conserved proteins that act as biological timers (binary on/off switches) of many 283essential cellular processes.^{73,74} These processes include cell differentiation, proliferation, and 284signal transduction.⁷⁵ Several small GTPase proteins have been implicated in premature 285senescence. $^{76-78}$ 286

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

13

Genomics of brain age gap



287

Fig. 4 Results from the genetic correlation analyses between brain age gap and 1,027 other complex 288phenotypes. (a) Genetic correlation matrix between brain age gap (columns) and 38 selected phenotypes 289from different health domains (rows). * p < 0.05 (nominal significance) ** FDR < 0.05 (level of significance 290291after multiple testing-correction) (b) Volcano plot showing the magnitude (x-axis) and significance (y-axis) of genetic correlations between grey matter brain age gap and 989 traits examined by Neale and colleagues. 292The dashed horizontal line indicates the FDR-adjusted level of significance. (c) Forest plot showing the 293genetic correlation coefficients and standard errors for a subset of 23 exemplary traits that showed 294significant genetic correlations with grey matter brain age gap. 295

296 Genetic correlations with other complex traits

To examine a potential shared genetic basis with other complex traits, we applied bivariate LD 297score regression^{31,79} to GWAS summary statistics and calculated genetic correlations with 38 298frequently employed traits from different mental and physical health domains (suppl. Table 299B20).^{80–82} We also calculated genetic correlations with 989 heritable traits from a large set of 300 GWAS summary statistics (Zenodo: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7186871). In total, we 301observed 22 out of 38 selected traits to significantly correlate (FDR < 0.05) with at least one of 302the three BAG variables (Fig. 4a, suppl. Table B21). Grey matter BAG showed the highest 303 number of significant associations (22), relative to white matter (1) and combined grey and 304white matter BAG (13). A similar pattern was observed for the 989 traits, where we observed 305121, 2, and 36 significant associations for the three BAG traits, respectively (Fig. 4b and 4c, 306 suppl. Table B22). 307

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Genomics of brain age gap

14

Among the 38 selected traits, we found significant associations between grey matter BAG and psychiatric (e.g., Major Depression: $r_{\rm G} = 0.085$), substance use (cigarettes per day: $r_{\rm G} = 0.134$), neurological (stroke: $r_{\rm G} = 0.182$), personality (neuroticism: $r_{\rm G} = 0.100$), sleeprelated (insomnia: $r_{\rm G} = 0.096$), cognition-related (educational attainment: $r_{\rm G} = -.091$), anthropometric (body-mass-index: $r_{\rm G} = 0.075$), as well as cardiovascular and metabolic syndrome traits (type-2 diabetes: $r_{\rm G} = 0.127$).

Among the 989 heritable traits, we found evidence for genetic correlations with 'mother's 314age at death' $(r_{\rm G} = -0.240)$ and 'father's age at death' $(r_{\rm G} = -0.192)$, suggesting that higher 315BAG is associated with shorter familial life expectancy. Other significant associations referred 316 317 to socioeconomic variables (e.g., 'average total household income before tax': $r_{\rm G} = -0.207$), mental health variables ('frequency of tiredness/lethargy in last 2 weeks': $r_{\rm G} = 0.167$), medical 318 conditions ('Vascular/heart problems diagnosed by doctor: High blood pressure': $r_{\rm G} = 0.149$), 319medication intake (e.g. 'medication for cholesterol, blood pressure or diabetes: None': 320 321 $r_{\rm G}$ = -0.191), early life factors ('maternal smoking around birth': $r_{\rm G}$ = 0.122), among others (suppl. Table B22). These results suggest a shared genetic basis between BAG and a broad range 322 of health-related variables. 323

324 Causal associations

We used two-sample generalized summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization $(GSMR)^{83}$ to 325investigate potential causal effects of 12 modifiable risk/resilience factors on BAG. The 326 risk/reliance factors were BMI, waist-hip-ratio adjusted for BMI, low-density lipoprotein 327 cholesterol (LDL-c), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), triglycerides, systolic blood 328 pressure, diastolic blood pressure, pulse pressure, type-2-diabetes, coronary artery disease, 329 schizophrenia, and years of education. Our analyses revealed significant effects of diastolic blood 330pressure on all three BAG traits (combined BAG: $\beta_{xz} = 0.610$, p = 1.4e-08), as well as systolic 331blood pressure on grey matter ($\beta_{xz} = 0.443$, p = 5.8e-05) and combined BAG ($\beta_{xz} = 0.326$, 332p = 0.002).⁸⁴ Results suggest that one standard deviation increase in blood pressure causally 333 contributes to an about half-year increase in BAG (suppl. Table B24, suppl. Fig. A20-22). 334

335 Degree of polygenicity and projection of discoveries to future GWAS

To quantify the degree of polygenicity and predict the number of discoveries in forthcoming GWAS, we used GENESIS⁸⁵ and estimated the number of underlying susceptibility variants and their effect sizes. We considered 'height' and 'neuroticism' as benchmark traits due to their different degrees of polygenicity.^{86–89} For the three BAG traits, the number of susceptibility variants was consistently estimated at 5.7k (SE 1.7k; suppl. Table B25). By comparison, this number was estimated at 12.6k (SE 1.3k) for height and 16.2k (SE 1.2k) for neuroticism. The

It is made available under a

15

Genomics of brain age gap	
---------------------------	--

distributions of variant effect sizes (Fig. 5a) revealed that BAG exhibits a greater proportion of 342

contributing variants with large effect sizes when compared to neuroticism and standing height. 343



345Fig. 5 Results of the genetic effect size distribution analysis for combined grey and white matter brain age gap. Neuroticism and standing height serve as reference traits. (a) Effect-size distributions of underlying 346 susceptibility variants. Wider tails indicate a greater proportion of susceptibility variants with large effect 347348 sizes. (b) Expected number of discoveries as a function of sample size. (c) Expected proportion of genetic 349 variance explained by genome-wide significant discoveries as a function of sample size.

350Moreover, the number of discoveries for BAG was predicted to show rapid increases with rising sample sizes (Fig. 5b). Fig. 5c shows that about 500k subjects are required to explain 80% of 351the SNP-based heritability for BAG from genome-wide significant discoveries. This number 352aggregates to about 1M for height and 6M for neuroticism. Together, these results suggest a 353 354relatively low degree of polygenicity for BAG when compared to other complex traits.

Discussion 355

We here leveraged genomic and neuroimaging methods to demonstrate the significance of brain 356 age gap (BAG) as a putative biomarker of aging and its prospective utility in identifying 357 therapeutic targets. Machine learning and MRI quantified brain age with excellent measurement 358 properties, capturing distributed patterns of aging across the brain. Cross-trait association 359 analyses established robust associations with various health traits, highlighting the potential 360 clinical relevance of BAG. We showed that BAG is under substantial genetic control, with about 361 30% of the phenotypic variance attributable to common genetic variation. We identified 25 362 independent genome-wide significant loci, of which 13 loci are novel. The observed genomic 363 signals unveiled several enriched biological pathways, e.g., immune-system-related processes as 364 well as the binding of small GTPases, prompting further mechanistic exploration. Using genetic 365 366 correlations, we characterized the common genetic basis between BAG and other complex traits, including psychiatric, neurological, cognitive, personality, substance use, sleep-related, as well as 367 cardiovascular and metabolic syndrome traits. Through Mendelian Randomization, we 368 established evidence for a causative role of enhanced blood pressure in accelerated brain aging. 369

Genomics of brain age gap

16

Finally, we find BAG with a relatively low degree of polygenicity, and we anticipate this will 370 facilitate further variant discoveries in the near future. 371

Brain aging is not a uniform process; rather, it encompasses diverse aspects of structural 372 and functional change. Studying different aspects of brain aging has been advocated to increase 373 the vield of biologically meaningful insights.¹⁸ We calculated separate BAG for the brain tissue 374 375 classes grey matter and white matter, in addition to a composite measure. For both tissues, we found remarkably consistent age prediction accuracies, along with comparable test-retest 376reliabilities and heritabilities. The genetic correlation between grey and white matter BAG, 377 however, settled at $r_{\rm G} = 0.70$ (SE 0.018), suggesting both shared and segregated biological 378 379 underpinnings. While grey matter and white matter BAG exhibit comparable SNP-based heritabilities (0.289 vs. 0.327; SE: 0.013), grey matter BAG showed a noticeably higher number 380 of significant phenotypic and genetic associations, which may imply greater relevance for several 381health dimensions. This subject warrants more in-depth exploration in future research. 382

383 We confirmed the previously reported inversion locus at 17q21.31 as strongest known genetic contributor to BAG, $^{17,19-21}$ explaining 0.3% of the variance in grev matter and 0.7% in 384 white matter BAG. The most likely causative gene in this locus, MAPT, encodes the well-known 385'tau' protein associated with Alzheimer's disease. Genomic analyses also unveiled a role of 386 387Alzheimer's risk gene APOE and other apolipoprotein genes. With both tau- and apolipoprotein alterations, our results implicate two hallmarks of Alzheimer's in accelerated brain aging. This 388 aligns with the demonstrated capability of BGA to forecast Alzheimer's disease.⁹⁰ 389

Two prior studies on BAG have attempted to replicate variant discoveries, albeit with 390 limited success.^{17,20} Here we observed a high degree of consistency between discovery and 391replication results, with 23 out of 25 loci showing consistent effect directions, and 16 loci 392attaining nominal significance in replication analyses. Notably, polygenic scores accounted for 393about 2-3% of the phenotypic variance, a remarkable proportion when compared to traits such 394as intelligence and major depressive disorder, which necessitated considerably larger discovery 395samples to attain similar prediction accuracies.^{82,91} 396

The current study has several limitations. First, the employed gene prioritization 397 techniques face challenges in pinpointing causal genes,⁴³ particularly for loci characterized by 398 high gene density and complex linkage structures. Second, BAG has been estimated from cross-399 400sectional data, which is typically interpreted as accelerated or decelerated aging. However, an alternative interpretation posits BAG as stable individual differences that emerge at an 401 ontogenetically early period and are carried into old age.⁹² Third, statistical power analyses 402revealed an expected number of 16 successful replications (out of 25), indicating a need for higher 403 replication sample sizes. Fourth, polygenic overlap between different traits was estimated using 404

0	•	- C	1 ·			
(-'ono	mice	Ot.	brain	900	ron	
Geno	muo	UI.	Dram	age	gap	
					()	

17

genetic correlations. Yet, this technique does not capture fractions of genetic variants shared 405between two traits irrespective of variant effect directions. Other bioinformatic tools such as 406 MiXeR may be used in future studies to quantify genetic overlap by considering mixtures of 407 variant effects.⁹³ Fourth, polygenicity models are known to classify variants with very low effect 408 sizes as null, resulting in a likely underestimation of BAG polygenicity estimates. 409

410 In conclusion, the present study refines the genetic architecture of brain age gap and its relationships to other traits. We added 13 new variants to the catalogue of existing BAG 411 associations and assigned plausible candidates to these loci such as TRPS1 implicated in various 412 pathological processes, GPM6b involved in cell-to-cell communication, and CARF linked to 413414 premature senescence. This will facilitate further work on path-mechanisms of BAG and 415potential therapy targets.

Acknowledgments 416

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 417 423032. This publication was supported by LIFE – Leipzig Research Centre for Civilization 418 Diseases, University of Leipzig. LIFE was funded by means of the European Social Fund and 419the Free State of Saxony. We thank the ICBP consortium for providing summary statistics. 420

Conflict of Interest 421

The authors declare no conflict of interest. 422

Data availability 423

The individual-level data incorporated in this work have been obtained from the UK Biobank 424425resource (https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/). GWAS summary statistics for BAG will be made available at Zenodo (https://zenodo.org/) upon publication of this article. 426

Code availability 427

All scripts this work available GitHub 428 used in are on (https://github.com/pjawinski/ukb_brainage) 429

Supplementary Material 430

Supplementary information is available at bioRxiv online. 431

432 References

1. Kirkwood, T. B. L. Understanding the odd science of aging. Cell vol. 120 437-447 433

Genomics of brain age gap

434		(2005).
435	2.	da Silva, R., Conde, D. A., Baudisch, A. & Colchero, F. Slow and negligible senescence
436		among testudines challenges evolutionary theories of senescence. Science (80). 376,
437		1466–1470 (2022).
438	3.	Finch, C. E. & Austad, S. N. History and prospects: symposium on organisms with
439		slow aging. <i>Exp. Gerontol.</i> 36 , 593–597 (2001).
440	4.	Vos, T. et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and
441		injuries 1990–2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease
442		Study 2010. Lancet 380 , 2163–2196 (2012).
443	5.	Franke, K. & Gaser, C. 10 years of BrainAGE as an neuroimaging biomarker of brain
444		aging: What insights did we gain? Front. Neurol. 10, 789 (2019).
445	6.	Cole, J. H., Marioni, R. E., Harris, S. E. & Deary, I. J. Brain age and other bodily
446		'ages': implications for neuropsychiatry. Mol. Psychiatry 24, 266–281 (2019).
447	7.	Liem, F. et al. Predicting brain-age from multimodal imaging data captures cognitive
448		impairment. Neuroimage 148 , 179–188 (2017).
449	8.	Franke, K., Gaser, C., Manor, B. & Novak, V. Advanced BrainAGE in older adults
450		with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Front. Aging Neurosci. 5, 90 (2013).
451	9.	Franke, K., Ristow, M. & Gaser, C. Gender-specific impact of personal health
452		parameters on individual brain aging in cognitively unimpaired elderly subjects. Front.
453		Aging Neurosci. 6 , (2014).
454	10.	Steffener, J. et al. Differences between chronological and brain age are related to
455		education and self-reported physical activity. <i>Neurobiol. Aging</i> 40 , (2016).
456	11.	Richard, G. et al. Assessing distinct patterns of cognitive aging using tissue-specific
457		brain age prediction based on diffusion tensor imaging and brain morphometry. <i>PeerJ</i>
458		2018, (2018).
459	12.	Cole, J. H. et al. Brain age predicts mortality. Mol. Psychiatry 23, 1385–1392 (2018).
460	13.	Jawinski, P. et al. Linking Brain Age Gap to Mental and Physical Health in the Berlin
461		Aging Study II. Front. Aging Neurosci. 14, (2022).
462	14.	Shahab, S. <i>et al.</i> Brain structure, cognition, and brain age in schizophrenia, bipolar
463		disorder, and healthy controls. <i>Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ. Am. Coll.</i>
464		Neuropsychopnarmacol. 44, 898–906 (2019).
465	15.	Kaufmann, T. et al. Common brain disorders are associated with heritable patterns of
466	10	apparent aging of the brain. Nat. Neurosci. 22, 1617–1623 (2019).
467	16.	Cole, J. H. <i>et al.</i> Predicting brain age with deep learning from raw imaging data results
468	1 -	in a renable and neritable biomarker. <i>Neuroimage</i> 163, 115–124 (2017).
469	17.	Jonsson, B. A. <i>et al.</i> Brain age prediction using deep learning uncovers associated
470	10	sequence variants. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 10, 3409 (2019).
471	18.	Smith, S. M. <i>et al.</i> Brain aging comprises many modes of structural and functional abange with distinct genetic and biophysical aggregiationg. <i>Elife</i> 0 , e52677 (2020)
472	10	change with distinct genetic and biophysical associations. $Eule 3$, escore (2020).
473	19.	Ning, K. <i>et al.</i> Improving brain age estimates with deep learning leads to identification of neural genetic factors associated with brain aging. <i>Neurobiol. Aging</i> 105 , 100, 204
474		(2021)
476	20	Leonardson F. H. <i>et al.</i> Constinue architecture of brain are and its causal relations with
477	20.	brain and mental disorders. <i>Mol. Psychiatry</i> (2023) doi:10.1038/s41380-023-02087-v
178	91	Ning K Zhao L. Matloff W Sun F k Togs Δ W Association of relative brain
479	41.	age with tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption, and genetic variants Sci Ren 10
480		(2020).

Genomics of brain age gap

481 482	22.	Gaser, C. <i>et al.</i> CAT {\textendash} A Computational Anatomy Toolbox for the Analysis of Structural MRI Data. $bioRxiv$ (2023) doi:10.1101/2022.06.11.495736.
483 484	23.	Bycroft, C. <i>et al.</i> The UK Biobank resource with deep phenotyping and genomic data. <i>Nature</i> 562 , 203–209 (2018).
$485 \\ 486$	24.	Tipping, M. E. Sparse Bayesian Learning and the Relevance Vector Machine. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 1, 211–244 (2001).
487	25.	Chen, T. et al. xgboost: Extreme Gradient Boosting. (2019).
488 489	26.	Loeffler, M. <i>et al.</i> The LIFE-Adult-Study: objectives and design of a population-based cohort study with 10,000 deeply phenotyped adults in Germany. <i>BMC Public Health</i> 15 , 601 (2015)
490 491 492	27.	Engel, C. <i>et al.</i> Cohort Profile: The LIFE-Adult-Study. <i>Int. J. Epidemiol.</i> (2022) doi:10.1093/ije/dyac114.
493 494	28.	McGraw, K. O. & Wong, S. P. Forming inferences about some intraclass correlation coefficients. <i>Psychol. Methods</i> 1 , 30–46 (1996).
495 496 497	29.	Millard, L. A. C., Davies, N. M., Gaunt, T. R., Davey Smith, G. & Tilling, K. Software Application Profile: PHESANT: a tool for performing automated phenome scans in UK Biobank. <i>Int. J. Epidemiol.</i> 47 , 29–35 (2018).
498 499	30.	Dale, A. M., Fischl, B. & Sereno, M. I. Cortical Surface-Based Analysis: I. Segmentation and Surface Reconstruction. <i>Neuroimage</i> 9 , 179–194 (1999).
$500 \\ 501$	31.	Bulik-Sullivan, B. <i>et al.</i> LD score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 47 , 291–295 (2015).
$502 \\ 503$	32.	Yang, J., Lee, S. H., Goddard, M. E. & Visscher, P. M. GCTA: A tool for genome-wide complex trait analysis. <i>Am. J. Hum. Genet.</i> 88 , 76–82 (2011).
504 505 506	33.	Yang, J. <i>et al.</i> Conditional and joint multiple-SNP analysis of GWAS summary statistics identifies additional variants influencing complex traits. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 44 , 369–75, S1-3 (2012).
$507 \\ 508$	34.	Benner, C. <i>et al.</i> FINEMAP: efficient variable selection using summary data from genome-wide association studies. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 32 , 1493–1501 (2016).
509 510 511	35.	Wang, K., Li, M. & Hakonarson, H. ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 38 , e164–e164 (2010).
512 513 514	36.	Rentzsch, P., Schubach, M., Shendure, J. & Kircher, M. CADD-Splice—improving genome-wide variant effect prediction using deep learning-derived splice scores. <i>Genome Med.</i> 13 , 31 (2021).
$515 \\ 516$	37.	Quang, D., Chen, Y. & Xie, X. DANN: a deep learning approach for annotating the pathogenicity of genetic variants. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 31 , 761–763 (2015).
517 518	38.	Ioannidis, N. M. <i>et al.</i> REVEL: An Ensemble Method for Predicting the Pathogenicity of Rare Missense Variants. <i>Am. J. Hum. Genet.</i> 99 , 877–885 (2016).
$519 \\ 520$	39.	Ardlie, K. G. <i>et al.</i> The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) pilot analysis: Multitissue gene regulation in humans. <i>Science (80).</i> 348 , 648–660 (2015).
$521 \\ 522$	40.	Zhu, Z. <i>et al.</i> Integration of summary data from GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 48 , 481–487 (2016).
523 524	41.	Wu, Y. <i>et al.</i> Integrative analysis of omics summary data reveals putative mechanisms underlying complex traits. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 9 , 918 (2018).
525 526	42.	Qi, T. <i>et al.</i> Genetic control of RNA splicing and its distinct role in complex trait variation. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> (2022) doi:10.1038/s41588-022-01154-4.
527	43.	Weeks, E. M. et al. Leveraging polygenic enrichments of gene features to predict genes

Genomics of brain age gap

528		underlying complex traits and diseases Nat Genet 55 1267–1276 (2023)
520	4.4	Stefensson H et al A common inversion under selection in Europeans. Nat. Const. 37
529 530	44.	129–137 (2005).
531	45.	Okbay, A. et al. Genetic variants associated with subjective well-being, depressive
532 533		symptoms, and neuroticism identified through genome-wide analyses. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 48 , 624–633 (2016).
534 535	46.	Sollis, E. <i>et al.</i> The NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog: knowledgebase and deposition resource. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 51 , D977–D985 (2023).
536 537 538	47.	Lee, J. J. <i>et al.</i> Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 1112–1121 (2018).
539 540 541	48.	Nagel, M. <i>et al.</i> Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for neuroticism in 449,484 individuals identifies novel genetic loci and pathways. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 920–927 (2018).
542 543 544	49.	Karlsson Linnér, R. <i>et al.</i> Genome-wide association analyses of risk tolerance and risky behaviors in over 1 million individuals identify hundreds of loci and shared genetic influences. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 51 , 245–257 (2019).
$545 \\ 546$	50.	Jansen, P. R. <i>et al.</i> Genome-wide analysis of insomnia in 1,331,010 individuals identifies new risk loci and functional pathways. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 51 , 394–403 (2019).
547 548	51.	Kichaev, G. <i>et al.</i> Leveraging Polygenic Functional Enrichment to Improve GWAS Power. <i>Am. J. Hum. Genet.</i> 104 , 65–75 (2019).
$549 \\ 550$	52.	Hollis, B. <i>et al.</i> Genomic analysis of male puberty timing highlights shared genetic basis with hair colour and lifespan. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 11 , 1536 (2020).
$551 \\ 552$	53.	Jun, G. <i>et al.</i> A novel Alzheimer disease locus located near the gene encoding tau protein. <i>Mol. Psychiatry</i> 21 , 108–117 (2016).
$553 \\ 554$	54.	Rademakers, R., Cruts, M. & van Broeckhoven, C. The role of tau (MAPT) in frontotemporal dementia and related tauopathies. <i>Hum. Mutat.</i> 24 , 277–295 (2004).
$555 \\ 556$	55.	Bittner, S., Ruck, T., Fernández-Orth, J. & Meuth, S. G. TREK-King the Blood– Brain-Barrier. J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol. 9, 293–301 (2014).
557 558	56.	Wang, W. <i>et al.</i> Lig4-4 selectively inhibits TREK-1 and plays potent neuroprotective roles in vitro and in rat MCAO model. <i>Neurosci. Lett.</i> 671 , 93–98 (2018).
$559 \\ 560$	57.	van der Meer, D. <i>et al.</i> Understanding the genetic determinants of the brain with MOSTest. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 11 , 3512 (2020).
561 562 563	58.	Shadrin, A. A. <i>et al.</i> Vertex-wise multivariate genome-wide association study identifies 780 unique genetic loci associated with cortical morphology. <i>Neuroimage</i> 244 , 118603 (2021).
564 565 566	59.	Le Guen, Y. <i>et al.</i> eQTL of KCNK2 regionally influences the brain sulcal widening: evidence from 15,597 UK Biobank participants with neuroimaging data. <i>Brain Struct. Funct.</i> 224 , 847–857 (2019).
567 568 569	60.	Sharma, K., Tyagi, R., Singh, R., Sharma, S. K. & Anand, A. Serum Levels of TIMP-3, LIPC, IER3, and SLC16A8 in CFH-Negative AMD Cases. <i>J. Cell. Biochem.</i> 118 , 2087–2095 (2017).
570 571 572	61.	Zaharija, B., Samardžija, B. & Bradshaw, N. J. The TRIOBP Isoforms and Their Distinct Roles in Actin Stabilization, Deafness, Mental Illness, and Cancer. <i>Molecules</i> 25 , (2020).
573 574 575	62.	Vujkovic, M. <i>et al.</i> Discovery of 318 new risk loci for type 2 diabetes and related vascular outcomes among 1.4 million participants in a multi-ancestry meta-analysis. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 52 , 680–691 (2020).

Genomics of brain age gap

F 70	62	Solve S at all Λ are population at les of constitue acconstructions for 220 human
$576 \\ 577$	05.	phenotypes. Nat. Genet. 53, 1415–1424 (2021).
578 579	64.	Malik, T. H. <i>et al.</i> Transcriptional repression and developmental functions of the atypical vertebrate GATA protein TRPS1. <i>EMBO J.</i> 20 , 1715–1725 (2001).
$580 \\ 581$	65.	Qi, T. <i>et al.</i> Identifying gene targets for brain-related traits using transcriptomic and methylomic data from blood. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 9 , 2282 (2018).
$582 \\ 583$	66.	Cheung, C. T., Kaul, S. C. & Wadhwa, R. Molecular bridging of aging and cancer. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1197 , 129–133 (2010).
$584 \\ 585$	67.	Fjorback, A. W., Müller, H. K. & Wiborg, O. Membrane glycoprotein M6B interacts with the human serotonin transporter. <i>J. Mol. Neurosci.</i> 37 , 191–200 (2009).
586 587	68.	Zhang, G. <i>et al.</i> DHRSX, a novel non-classical secretory protein associated with starvation induced autophagy. <i>Int. J. Med. Sci.</i> 11 , 962–970 (2014).
588 589	69.	Bakshi, A. <i>et al.</i> Fast set-based association analysis using summary data from GWAS identifies novel gene loci for human complex traits. <i>Sci. Rep.</i> 6 , 1–9 (2016).
$590 \\ 591$	70.	Jansen, I. E. <i>et al.</i> Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies new loci and functional pathways influencing Alzheimer's disease risk. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 51 , 404–413 (2019).
$592 \\ 593$	71.	Ashburner, M. <i>et al.</i> Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 25 , 25–29 (2000).
594	72.	Grote, S. GOfuncR: Gene ontology enrichment using FUNC. (2021).
595	73.	Takai, Y., Sasaki, T. & Matozaki, T. Small GTP-binding proteins. <i>Physiol. Rev.</i> 81,
596		153–208 (2001).
597 598	74.	Reiner, D. J. & Lundquist, E. A. Small GTPases. WormBook: the online review of C. elegans biology vol. 2018 1–65 (2018).
599 600	75.	Wennerberg, K., Rossman, K. L. & Der, C. J. The Ras superfamily at a glance. J. Cell Sci. 118, 843–846 (2005).
601 602 603	76.	Ejaz, A., Mattesich, M. & Zwerschke, W. Silencing of the small GTPase DIRAS3 induces cellular senescence in human white adipose stromal/progenitor cells. <i>Aging</i> (Albany. NY). 9, 860–879 (2017).
604 605 606	77.	Wang, L., Yang, L., Debidda, M., Witte, D. & Zheng, Y. Cdc42 GTPase-activating protein deficiency promotes genomic instability and premature aging-like phenotypes. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> 104 , 1248–1253 (2007).
607 608	78.	Debidda, M., Williams, D. A. & Zheng, Y. Rac1 GTPase regulates cell genomic stability and senescence. J. Biol. Chem. 281 , 38519–38528 (2006).
609 610	79.	Bulik-Sullivan, B. <i>et al.</i> An atlas of genetic correlations across human diseases and traits. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 47 , 1236–1241 (2015).
$611 \\ 612$	80.	Abdellaoui, A. & Verweij, K. J. H. Dissecting polygenic signals from genome-wide association studies on human behaviour. <i>Nat. Hum. Behav.</i> 5, 686–694 (2021).
$613 \\ 614$	81.	Abdellaoui, A. <i>et al.</i> Genetic correlates of social stratification in Great Britain. <i>Nat.</i> <i>Hum. Behav.</i> 3 , 1332–1342 (2019).
$615 \\ 616$	82.	Wray, N. R. <i>et al.</i> Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 668–681 (2018).
$617 \\ 618$	83.	Zhu, Z. <i>et al.</i> Causal associations between risk factors and common diseases inferred from GWAS summary data. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 9 , 224 (2018).
$619 \\ 620$	84.	Evangelou, E. <i>et al.</i> Genetic analysis of over 1 million people identifies 535 new loci associated with blood pressure traits. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 1412–1425 (2018).
$621 \\ 622$	85.	Wu, W. et al. An electroencephalographic signature predicts antidepressant response in major depression. Nat. Biotechnol. 1–9 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0397-3.

Genomics of brain age gap

623 624	86.	Wood, A. R. <i>et al.</i> Defining the role of common variation in the genomic and biological architecture of adult human height. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 46 , 1173–1186 (2014).
625 626	87.	Baselmans, B. M. L. <i>et al.</i> Multivariate genome-wide analyses of the well-being spectrum. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 51 , 445–451 (2019).
627 628 629 630	88.	Montag, C., Ebstein, R. P., Jawinski, P. & Markett, S. Molecular genetics in psychology and personality neuroscience: On candidate genes, genome wide scans, and new research strategies. <i>Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews</i> vol. 118 163–174 (2020).
631 632 633	89.	Zhang, Y., Qi, G., Park, J. H. & Chatterjee, N. Estimation of complex effect-size distributions using summary-level statistics from genome-wide association studies across 32 complex traits. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 1318–1326 (2018).
634 635 636	90.	Gaser, C., Franke, K., Klöppel, S., Koutsouleris, N. & Sauer, H. BrainAGE in Mild Cognitive Impaired Patients: Predicting the Conversion to Alzheimer's Disease. <i>PLoS</i> <i>One</i> 8 , e67346 (2013).
637 638 639	91.	Savage, J. E. <i>et al.</i> Genome-wide association meta-analysis in 269,867 individuals identifies new genetic and functional links to intelligence. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 912–919 (2018).
640 641 642	92.	Vidal-Piñeiro, D. <i>et al.</i> Individual variations in "Brain age" relate to early life factors more than to longitudinal brain change. <i>bioRxiv</i> 2021.02.08.428915 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.02.08.428915.
643 644	93.	Frei, O. <i>et al.</i> Bivariate causal mixture model quantifies polygenic overlap between complex traits beyond genetic correlation. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 10 , 2417 (2019).
645 646 647 648	94.	Larstone, R. M., Jang, K. L., Livesley, W. J., Vernon, P. A. & Wolf, H. The relationship between Eysenck's P-E-N model of personality, the five-factor model of personality, and traits delineating personality dysfunction. <i>Pers. Individ. Dif.</i> 33 , 25–37 (2002).
649 650 651	95.	Franke, K., Ziegler, G., Klöppel, S. & Gaser, C. Estimating the age of healthy subjects from T1-weighted MRI scans using kernel methods: Exploring the influence of various parameters. <i>Neuroimage</i> 50 , 883–892 (2010).
652 653 654	96.	Franke, K., Luders, E., May, A., Wilke, M. & Gaser, C. Brain maturation: Predicting individual BrainAGE in children and adolescents using structural MRI. <i>Neuroimage</i> 63 , 1305–1312 (2012).
655 656 657	97.	Franke, K. & Gaser, C. Longitudinal changes in individual BrainAGE in healthy aging, mild cognitive impairment, and Alzheimer's Disease. <i>GeroPsych J. Gerontopsychology Geriatr. Psychiatry</i> 25 , 235–245 (2012).
658	98.	Qiu, K. Relevance Vector Machine (RVM). (2019).
659 660 661 662	99.	Chen, T. & Guestrin, C. XGBoost: A Scalable Tree Boosting System. in <i>Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining</i> 785–794 (Association for Computing Machinery, 2016). doi:10.1145/2939672.2939785.
663 664	100.	Larivière, S. <i>et al.</i> The ENIGMA Toolbox: multiscale neural contextualization of multisite neuroimaging datasets. <i>Nat. Methods</i> 18 , 698–700 (2021).
665 666 667	101.	Welsh, S., Peakman, T., Sheard, S. & Almond, R. Comparison of DNA quantification methodology used in the DNA extraction protocol for the UK Biobank cohort. <i>BMC Genomics</i> 18 , 26 (2017).
668 669	102.	Manichaikul, A. <i>et al.</i> Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 26 , 2867–2873 (2010).
670	103.	Galinsky, K. J. et al. Fast principal-component analysis reveals convergent evolution of

Genomics of brain age gap

671		ADH1B in Europe and East Asia. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 98, 456–472 (2016).
672 673	104.	Jawinski, P. <i>et al.</i> Human brain arousal in the resting state: a genome-wide association study. <i>Mol. Psychiatry</i> 24 , 1599–1609 (2019).
$674 \\ 675$	105.	Chang, C. C. <i>et al.</i> Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. <i>Gigascience</i> 4 , s13742015 (2015).
676 677	106.	Yang, J. <i>et al.</i> Common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 42 , 565–569 (2010).
678 679	107.	Finucane, H. K. <i>et al.</i> Partitioning heritability by functional annotation using genome- wide association summary statistics. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 47 , 1228–1235 (2015).
680 681 682	108.	Gazal, S. <i>et al.</i> Functional architecture of low-frequency variants highlights strength of negative selection across coding and non-coding annotations. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 1600–1607 (2018).
683 684	109.	Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J. R. Stat. Soc. 57, 289–300 (1995).
685 686	110.	Willer, C. J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G. R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 26 , 2190–2191 (2010).
687 688	111.	Visscher, P. M. <i>et al.</i> 10 Years of GWAS Discovery: Biology, Function, and Translation. <i>Am. J. Hum. Genet.</i> 101 , 5–22 (2017).
689 690	112.	Adhikari, K. R Functions to calculate power of GWAS studies. https://github.com/kaustubhad/gwas-power (2018).
691 692 693	113.	Palmer, C. & Pe'er, I. Statistical correction of the Winner's Curse explains replication variability in quantitative trait genome-wide association studies. <i>PLOS Genet.</i> 13 , e1006916 (2017).
694 695	114.	Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 8 , 1826 (2017).
696 697 698	115.	Benner, C. <i>et al.</i> Prospects of Fine-Mapping Trait-Associated Genomic Regions by Using Summary Statistics from Genome-wide Association Studies. <i>Am. J. Hum.</i> <i>Genet.</i> 101 , 539–551 (2017).
699 700	116.	Benner, C., Havulinna, A. S., Salomaa, V., Ripatti, S. & Pirinen, M. Refining fine- mapping: effect sizes and regional heritability. <i>bioRxiv</i> (2018) doi:10.1101/318618.
701 702 703	117.	O'Leary, N. A. <i>et al.</i> Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 44, D733-45 (2016).
704 705	118.	Nassar, L. R. <i>et al.</i> The UCSC Genome Browser database: 2023 update. <i>Nucleic Acids Res.</i> 51 , D1188–D1195 (2023).
706 707 708	119.	Liu, X., Wu, C., Li, C. & Boerwinkle, E. dbNSFP v3.0: A One-Stop Database of Functional Predictions and Annotations for Human Nonsynonymous and Splice-Site SNVs. <i>Hum. Mutat.</i> 37 , 235–241 (2016).
709 710	120.	Aguet, F. <i>et al.</i> Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. <i>Nature</i> 550 , 204–213 (2017).
711 712 713	121.	Durinck, S., Spellman, P. T., Birney, E. & Huber, W. Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. <i>Nat. Protoc.</i> 4 , 1184–1191 (2009).
714	122.	Team, B. C. Homo.sapiens: Annotation package for the Homo.sapiens object. (2015).
715 716	123.	Carlson, M. GO.db: A set of annotation maps describing the entire Gene Ontology. (2021).
717	124.	Alexa, A., Rahnenführer, J. & Lengauer, T. Improved scoring of functional groups from

Genomics of brain age gap

718 710		gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 22 , 1600–1607 (2006)
720 721	125.	Choi, S. W. & O'Reilly, P. F. PRSice-2: Polygenic Risk Score software for biobank-
721 722 723	126.	Consortium, S. W. G. of the P. G. <i>et al.</i> Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia- associated genetic loci, <i>Nature</i> 511 , 421 (2014).
724 725 726	127.	Zhang, Y., Qi, G., Park, J. H. & Chatterjee, N. Estimation of complex effect-size distributions using summary-level statistics from genome-wide association studies across 32 complex traits. <i>Nat. Genet.</i> 50 , 1318–1326 (2018).
727 728	128.	Allen, H. L. <i>et al.</i> Hundreds of variants clustered in genomic loci and biological pathways affect human height. <i>Nature</i> 467 , 832–838 (2010).
729		

Genomics of brain age gap

25

730 Methods

731 Sample characteristics

Participants were drawn from the UK Biobank cohort study (www.ukbiobank.ac.uk) under 732application number 423032. A detailed description of the UK Biobank study design, participants 733and quality control (QC) methods has been published previously.²³ The UKB received ethical 734approval from the National Research Ethics Service Committee North West-Haydock (reference 73511/NW/0382). All participants provided written informed consent. In the current study, the 736majority of participants were drawn from the January 2020 UKB brain imaging release (v1.7). 737 These data contained 40,681 participants with structural T1-weighted MRI data (UKB data-738field 20252). We did not include T1-weighted MRI scans in folders labelled as 'unusable' (leaving 73939,679 participants). In total, MRI scans of 39,677 participants completed the voxel-based 740morphometry preprocessing (see section 'MRI acquisition and preprocessing'). Analyses were 741restricted to participants whose self-reported sex matched the genetic sex (data-field 31 and 7422200), who were without indications of sex an euploidy (data-field 22019), and who were no 743outliers in heterozygosity and missingness (data-field 22027). We only included unrelated 744participants as suggested by pairwise kinship coefficients below 0.0442 (pre-calculated 745coefficients retrieved using the command line tool 'ukbgene' with the 'rel' parameter). In our 746discovery GWAS, we only included participants of white-British ancestry (data-field 22006), 747 which resulted in a final discovery sample of 32,634 participants (17,084 female, age range: 45.2-74881.9 years, mean age: 64.3 years). 749

For replication analyses, we selected all remaining non-white-British ancestry individuals 750of the January 2020 release. Applying the same inclusion criteria, we then added participants 751whose imaging data were released until September 2022 (release v1.9), yielding a total of 7,785 752additional individuals. None of these individuals were related to individuals in the discovery 753sample. We only included individuals with a valid ancestry assignment from the Pan-ancestry 754UKB project (UKB return 2442; https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org/). This resulted in 217 755756African, 60 Admixed American, 409 Central/South Asian, 192 East Asian, 4,486 European, and 62 Middle Eastern ancestry participants. In total, we included 5,427 UKB participants for 757 replication analyses (2,847 female, age range: 44.6-82.8 years, mean age: 65.9 years). From the 758LIFE-Adult cohort study,^{26,27} we included another 1,833 European ancestry participants 759(888 female, age range: 45.2-80.3 years, mean age: 65.3 years).^{26,27} Altogether, the final 760replication sample included 7,259 participants (3,735 female, age range: 44.6-82.8 years, mean 761age: 65.7 years) from 7 subsamples (see section 'Genome-wide association analysis and replication 762meta-analysis meta-analysis' for analysis details). 763

Genomics of brain age gap available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

764 MRI data acquisition

765The UKB imaging acquisition protocol and processing pipeline have been detailed previously (http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk/crystal/refer.cgi?id=1977). In brief, brain MRI data were 766 acquired in one of four UKB imaging centers (Cheadle, Newcastle, Reading, and Bristol) on 767 Siemens Skyra 3T MRI scanners (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany), running software 768769VD13A SP4 with a standard Siemens 32-channel RF receive head coil. UKB's neuroimaging strategy includes the acquisition of several imaging modalities. In this study, we used T1-770weighted structural MRI scans acquired using a 3D MPRAGE sequence in the sagittal plane, 771 with 1x1x1 mm voxel-size, 208x256x256 acquisition matrix, 2,000 ms repetition time (TR), 7722.01 ms echo time (TE), 880 ms inversion time (TI), 6.1 ms echo spacing, 8° flip angle, 773240 Hz/pixel bandwidth, in-plane acceleration factor of R = 2, and 4:54 min duration 774(https://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/ukbiobank/protocol/). T1-weighted scans were defaced for subject 775anonymity and made available in NIFTI format (data-field 20252). 776

In LIFE-Adult, brain imaging was performed using a 3T Siemens Verio MRI scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with a standard 32 channel head coil. High resolution T1-weighted structural images were obtained using a 3D MPRAGE sequence with 1x1x1 mm voxel-size, 256x240x176 acquisition matrix, TR = 2,300 ms, TE = 2.98 ms, TI = 900ms, and 9° flip angle.

782 MRI preprocessing

T1-weighted MRI scans in NIFTI-format were preprocessed using the voxel-based morphometry 783pipeline of CAT12 (r1364, http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de) for SPM12 (r7487) in MATLAB 784 785R2021a (The MathWorks Inc, Natick, MA, USA). CAT12 preprocessing involved affine and DARTEL registration of brain images to a reference brain, segmentation into grey matter, white 786 matter, and cerebro-spinal fluid, bias correction for intensity inhomogeneity, and modulation of 787 segmentations to account for the amount of volume changes due to spatial registration. Processed 788images were smoothed by applying an 8x8x8mm full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) gaussian 789kernel with subsequent resampling to 8mm^3 voxel size. We only considered MRI scans with a 790CAT12 overall image quality rating < 3.0 for further downstream analyses. 791

792 Feature set for machine learning

The feature set for machine learning was derived from CAT12-preprocessed grey and white matter segmentations, with the smoothed and resampled brain images comprising 16128 voxels each. We excluded voxels that did not show any variation across individuals, resulting in 5416 voxels for grey matter images and 5123 voxels for white matter images. Typically, voxel-based images are characterized by substantial spatial correlations. We conducted principal component analysis (PCA) in MATLAB to remove redundant information and reduce dimensionality. We

Genomics of brain age gap

27

selected the first 500 principal components as features, which explained about 90% of the total
variance in brain images and enabled model training in a reasonable period of time with advanced
computational resources.

802 Machine learning algorithms

Age estimation models were built using the sparse Bayesian relevance vector machine (RVM) in 803 MATLAB (The MathWorks Inc, Natick, MA, USA),²⁴ and the extreme gradient boosting 804 package 'xgboost' v.0.82.1 in R.^{25,94} The RVM was developed as a probabilistic Bayesian 805 equivalent to the popular support vector regression (SVR), and has widely been used in brain 806 age research.^{95–97} We used the RVM implemented in MATLAB toolbox SparseBayes v.2 with 807 the wrapper and kernel function by Qiu.⁹⁸ Furthermore, we made use of the XGBoost algorithms, 808 which have become popular methods after winning several machine learning challenges hosted 809 by the data science competition platform Kaggle.^{25,99} XGBoost has previously been employed in 810 brain age research.¹⁵ We used XGBoost with both the decision tree ('gbtree') and linear gradient 811 booster ('gblinear'). The learning rate was set to $\eta = 0.02$ with 5000 training iterations and an 812 early stopping after 50 iterations in the case of no further model improvement. The maximum 813 tree depth was set to 3. Default settings were used for all other training parameters. 814

815 Model training and age prediction

Age estimation models were trained with the brain image PCA scores serving as features and 816 chronological age serving as outcome variable. Model training and application was carried out 817 in a 10-fold cross-validation manner with 100 repeats. Therefore, we randomly split the discovery 818 sample into ten equal-sized subsets, of which nine subsets served for model training, and the 819 remaining subset, the test sample, served for applying the model. Brain images of the training 820 sample underwent PCA, and transformation parameters were subsequently applied to calculate 821 PCA scores in the test sample. After the first model was trained and tested, the next subset 822 served as test sample, while the other nine subsets were selected as training sample. This strategy 823 was carried on until each subset served exactly once as test sample. The tenfold cross-validation 824 procedure was repeated 100 times, so that 100 predictions were made for each subject. This 825 procedure was performed for each tissue (grey and white matter) and model type (relevance 826 vector machine, xgboost tree, and xgboost linear), resulting in a total number of 600 brain-827 828 predicted age estimates per subject. In a nested 10-fold cross-validation approach, we stacked the estimates from the three different model types in an ensemble estimate, resulting in 100 829 brain-predicted age estimates for grey matter, white matter, and combined grey and white 830 matter, respectively. Finally, these estimates were averaged, leaving one brain-predicted age 831 estimate for grey matter, white matter, and combined grey and white matter for each subject. 832

Genomics of brain age gap

28

In the replication samples, we employed all discovery models from the 10-fold crossvalidation procedure and compared the resulting age predictions against those derived from additional models where the complete discovery sample was used for model training. Results were highly concordant for all three tissue classes (r > .997). Due to the improved practicability, we performed subsequent replication analyses based on models trained on the complete discovery sample, which revealed virtually identical age predictions.

839 Cross-trait association analysis

were carried out using PHESANT,²⁹ an automated Cross-trait association analyses 840 preprocessing and analysis pipeline for phenome-wide association analyses in UK Biobank 841 842 datasets. Cross-trait associations were conducted for each BAG trait and 7,088 non-imaging derived UK Biobank variables. Sex, age, age², scanner site, and total intracranial volume served 843 as covariates. Based on variable type (continuous, integer, categorical single choice, or 844 categorical multiple choice) and number of distinct values observed, different types of regression 845 analyses (linear, logistic, ordinal logistic, or multinomial logistic) were performed. Variables 846 suitable for linear regression underwent inverse-rank normal transformation. To obtain 847 standardized effect size estimates, we converted the resulting beta coefficients from the different 848 types of regression models to a corresponding correlation coefficient r based on p-value, number 849 of observations, and number of covariates. Phenome-wide association analysis plots were created 850 by assigning each variable to a custom UK Biobank category based on the respective variable's 851 852 UK Biobank data dictionary path.

853 FreeSurfer associations

In addition to PheWAS analyses of non-imaging-derived phenotypes, we associated BAG with 854 brain measures derived from the FreeSurfer aparc and aseg output files.³⁰ FreeSurfer is an open-855source software package to process, analyze and visualize human brain MR images. We retrieved 856 FreeSurfer output files from the UKB resource (data-field 20263) and extracted surface area, 857 cortical thickness, and cortical volume estimates of 34 bilateral cortical segmentations, as well 858 as volume estimates of 8 bilateral subcortical segmentations, resulting in 220 brain measures in 859 total. We calculated partial product-moment correlations between BAG and the 220 brain 860 measures using sex, age, age², scanner site, and total intracranial volume as covariates. 861 Visualizations were performed using the ENIGMA toolbox.¹⁰⁰ 862

863 UKB genotyping and imputation

We retrieved called and imputed genotypes (version 3) in BED and BGEN format, respectively, from the UK Biobank resource. Genotype collection, processing, and quality control have previously been described in detail.^{23,101} In brief, DNA was extracted from EDTA-treated whole-

29

blood samples, aliquoted across three tubes (primary storage, backup storage, and genotyping 867 tube), and shipped on 96-well plates of 50 μ L aliquot per sample for genotyping to the Affymetrix 868 Research Services Lab, Santa Clara, CA, USA. Genotyping was carried out using two arrays 869 with a 95% marker overlap: the Applied Biosystems UK BiLEVE Axiom Array (807,411 870 markers; used in 49,950 participants) and the Applied Biosystems UK Biobank Axiom Array 871 (825,927 markers; used in 438,427 participants). Both genotyping arrays were designed for 872 genome-wide coverage of genetic content including biallelic single nucleotide polymorphisms 873(SNPs) and short insertions and deletions (indels). Marker-based quality control included testing 874 for batch, plate, array, and sex effects, departures from Hardy-Weinberg-Equilibrium, as well as 875 discordance across two control DNA replicates from the 1000 Genomes project, with two wells 876 877 on each plate assigned to these control subjects. Sample-based quality control included missing rates (> 0.05), unusually high fractions of heterozygous variant calls, and sex chromosome 878 aneuploidy. Relatedness between individuals was inferred from kinship coefficients estimated 879 using KING.¹⁰² Population stratification was measured by applying fastPCA¹⁰³ Principal 880 Component Analysis on a set of 147,604 pruned high-quality markers. White-British ancestry 881 (data-field 22006) was derived from a combination of self-report and genetic principal 882 components. Genotype calls were phased using SHAPEIT3 and imputation was done using 883 IMPUTE4 (https://jmarchini.org/software/) with the Haplotype Reference Consortium, 884 UK10K, and 1000 Genomes phase 3 datasets serving as reference panels. Imputation resulted in 885 ~97M markers available for downstream analyses. 886

887 LIFE-Adult genotyping and imputation

Genotype collection, processing, and quality control in LIFE-Adult have previously been 888 described in detail.¹⁰⁴ DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes. Genotyping was 889 carried out using the Applied Biosystems Axiom Genome-Wide CEU 1 Array Plate with 587,352 890 markers. Marker-based quality control included call rate < 0.97, Hard-Weinberg equilibrium 891 p < 1.0e-06, and plate association p < 1.0e-07. Sample quality control included dish-QC < 0.82, 892 missing rates > 0.03, reported vs. genetic sex mismatch, and cryptic relatedness. Genotypes were 893 pre-phased using SHAPEIT. Imputation was carried out using IMPUTE2 with the 1000 genome 894 phase 3 dataset serving as reference. This resulted in 85,063,807 markers derived from 7,776 895 individuals. Post-imputation quality control included MAF ≥ 0.01 and INFO ≥ 0.8 . As LIFE-896 897 Adult replication results were aggregated meta-analytically with the UKB replication results, we only included variants identified as biallelic SNPs or indels with INFO ≥ 0.8 in the UKB dataset 898

30

899 (see 'UKB genotyping and imputation'). This resulted in 9,472,504 markers passing qualitycontrol in LIFE-Adult. 900

901 Control for population structure

In the discovery sample, we calculated 20 genetic principal components by applying the 902 randomized PCA algorithm (--pca 20 approx) implemented in PLINK v2.00a2LM 64-bit Intel 903 $(31 \text{ Jul } 2019)^{105}$ on the same variants used by the UKB group (resource 1955; 146,988 markers) 904 passing our own quality-checks). For the UKB replication samples, we used genetic principal 905 components provided by the pan-ancestry UKB project (UKB return 2442). The number of 906 principal components serving as covariates was adjusted to the respective replication sample 907 908 size. We used 10 principal components as covariates in the larger European-ancestry UKB replication sample and 3 principal components in each of the other UKB replication samples. In 909 LIFE-Adult, 4 genetic principal components were used to account for subpopulation structure. 910

Heritability and partitioned heritability 911

Estimates of SNP-based heritability $(h^2_{\rm SNP})$ were derived by applying the GCTA genomic-912restricted maximum likelihood (GREML) algorithm to genetic relatedness matrices 913 (GRMs).^{32,106} GRMs were calculated based on biallelic autosomal variants with MAF ≥ 0.01 914 915and INFO ≥ 0.80 . GREML analyses were run in the discovery sample, with sex, age, age², genotyping array, scanner site, total intracranial volume, and the first 20 genetic principal 916 component serving as covariates. We conducted LD score regression^{31,79} on the GWAS summary 917 statistics to corroborate GREML heritability estimates. We used precalculated LD scores and 918 from 10000 Genomes phase 3 European ancestry 919 regression weights samples (eur w ld chr.tar.bz2). We retained variants with MAF > 0.01 included in the HapMap3 920 panel after removal of the MHC region (w hm3.noMHC.snplist.zip). In order to partition 921heritability by functional annotation, we conducted stratified LD score regression¹⁰⁷ using the 922 baseline-LD model v.2.2 with the 1000 genomes phase 3 regression weights and allele frequencies 923 excluding the MHC region. We considered functional annotations reported among the 33 'main 924annotations' by Gazal and colleagues.¹⁰⁸ Annotations with FDR < 0.05 were regarded as 925 significant after multiple testing correction.¹⁰⁹ 926

Genome-wide association analysis and replication meta-analysis 927

GWAS analysis were run in PLINK v2.00a2LM (31 Jul 2019) based on allelic dosage data. We 928 included autosomal (chr1-22), gonosomal (chrX and chrY), pseudoautosomal (chrXY), and 929 mitochondrial variations (chrMT). Analyses were run with male and female dosage data on a 0-930 2 scale on diploid chromosomes (chr1-22, chrXY), 0-1 scale on regular haploid chromosomes 931 (chrY and chrMT), and 0-2 scale on chrX. We selected biallelic SNPs and INDELs with 932

31

933MAF > 0.01 and INFO > 0.80. Biallelic variations were defined as variations without duplicate chromosomal coordinates and duplicate identifiers in the imputed variant files. We modelled 934additive genetic effects and used sex, age, age², total intra-cranial volume, scanner site, type of 935genotyping array, and the first 20 genetic principal components as covariates (3-10 genetic 936 principal components were used in the replication GWASs; see section 'control of population 937 structure'). In total, there were 9,669,404 markers available for the discovery GWAS in 938 n = 32,634 white-British ancestry individuals. The number of markers passing quality-control 939in the replication GWASs ranged between 8,364,077 (East-Asian ancestry) and 15,302,441 940(African ancestry). Results of the ancestry-stratified replication GWASs were aggregated by 941 performing a fixed-effects inverse-variance-weighted meta-analysis in METAL.¹¹⁰ Variants with 942an aggregated n lower than 67% of the 90th quantile of all observed n (adapted from LDSC)³¹ 943and heterogeneity p < 1.0e-06 were discarded. This yielded replication meta-analysis results from 9449,496,239 (grey matter), 9,496,243 (white matter), and 9,496,192 (grey and white matter) 945variants in up to n = 7,259 individuals. 946

947 Identification of independent discoveries

In order to identify independently associated variations, we performed stepwise conditional 948 analyses employing the COJO module in GCTA.^{32,33} We used a 10,000 kb window-size 949 (--cojo-wind 10000), a collinearity cutoff of 0.9 (-cojo-collinear 0.9) and included variants 950reaching at least suggestive evidence in the discovery GWAS (--cojo-p 1e-6). We only considered 951multiple signals within one locus to be independent if the *p*-value of the subsidiary association 952signal did not increase by more than two orders of magnitudes. Variants were regarded as 953independent genome-wide significant discoveries if they reached p < 5.0e-08 in conditional 954analysis. All other variants with conditional p < 1.0e-06 were regarded as suggestive signals, 955which were not considered for fine mapping but tested for result consistency in replication 956 analyses. We denote variants discovered through conditional analyses as 'index variants' (i.e., 957 the top variant of an association signal). To identify independent discoveries across the three 958BAG GWAS, we selected all index variants identified through trait-wise conditional analyses 959and clumped these variants according to chromosomal position and linkage disequilibrium using 960 PLINK v1.90b6.8 (--clump-r2 0.1 --clump-kb 10000). 961

Definition of variant replication and power calculations 962

963 For replication analyses, we selected index variations from genome-wide significant loci (p < 5.0e-08), and index variations from another 45 suggestive loci (conditional *p*-values ranging 964 from 1.0e-06 to 5.0e-08). Consistency between discovery and replication results were tested via 965 sign tests, i.e., binomial tests based on the number of observations where replication effect 966 directions agree with the corresponding discovery effect directions. Variants with replication 967

Genomics of brain age gap

32

p < 0.05 (one-tailed nominal significance) were regarded as replicated variants. To estimate the expected number of successful replications, we carried out power calculations based on standardized beta coefficients (discovery), MAF (discovery), and N (replication).^{111,112} Beta coefficients were corrected for winner's curse.¹¹³ The expected number of successful replications was calculated as sum of all power values obtained for each individual variant.

973 Novelty of the discovered loci

Novelty of the discovered loci was examined by comparing our results against four previous genetic studies on BAG reporting discoveries at genome-wide significance levels.^{17,18,20,21} We used the clumping algorithm in PLINK v1.90b6.8 and regarded our own discoveries as novel if they did not clump together with previously reported variants, using a linkage disequilibrium threshold of $R^2 = 0.1$ and a clumping window of 10 Mbp (--clump-r2 0.1 --clump-kb 10000).

979 ANNOVAR enrichment test

We used the ANNOVAR (2017-07-17)³⁵ enrichment test implemented in FUMA v.1.3.7, a web-980 based platform to functionally map and annotate GWAS results (https://fuma.ctglab.nl/),¹¹⁴ 981 to test if genome-wide significant regions include relatively high or low proportions of variants 982 with certain functional annotations. All candidate variants in linkage disequilibrium with an 983 984 independent significant variant were considered for the ANNOVAR enrichment test. Independent significant variants were defined as autosomal variants reaching p < 5.E-08 and 985clumped with an r^2 threshold of 0.60. Candidate variants were defined as all variants reaching 986 p < 0.05 and showing $r^2 \ge 0.60$ with an independent significant variant. UK Biobank release2 987 served as reference panel. If variants were annotated with multiple functional categories, each 988 category was counted as distinct annotation. Enrichment was computed as the proportion of 989 variants with a certain annotation divided by the proportion of variants with that annotation 990 relative to all available SNPs in the reference panel. A two-tailed Fisher's exact test was 991 conducted to test significance. 992

993 Credible sets of variants

For each locus identified for the three BAG traits, we used $FINEMAP^{34}$ v.1.4.1 to construct 994 credible sets of variants that cumulatively capture 95% of the regional posterior probability to 995 include the causal variant. FINEMAP uses a Bayesian framework and a computationally efficient 996 shotgun stochastic search algorithm to model the LD structure and the strength of the variants' 997 associations (Z scores) to infer likely causal variants. For each locus, we used a 5 Mb window 998 around the index variant to identify the furthest variants in linkage disequilibrium $(R^2 \ge 0.1)$ 999 with the index variation. Base pair positions of the identified variants were used as lower and 1000 upper bound of the respective genomic region. LD matrices covering all variants within that 1001

Genomics of brain age gap

33

genomic region were calculated by applying $LDstore^{115}$ v2.0 to the same allelic dosage data as 1002 employed for the genome-wide association analysis. We then applied FINEMAP allowing for up 1003to k=10 causal variants within each region ('--sss --n-causal-snps 10 --prob-cred-set 0.95'). 1004Expected numbers of causal variants were derived by multiplying each evaluated number of k1005causal variants by the FINEMAP model-based probability. We report 95% credible sets for the 1006 1007 most probable k model and report all variants that have been included in one of the credible sets for the k causal signals. We also report the FINEMAP model-averaged regional heritability, 1008i.e., the estimated phenotypic variance explained by causal variants within each genomic 1009region.¹¹⁶ 1010

1011 Functional annotation of variants

Annotation of variants was carried out using ANNOVAR,³⁵ which allows to assign functional 1012 categories to variants through their physical position relative to defined genes. We used RefSeq 1013gene annotations in human genome build 19 $(hg19)^{117}$ retrieved from the UCSC Genome Browser 1014 Annotation Database.¹¹⁸ We identified the nearest gene based on the priority of the variant 1015 function (default ANNOVAR precedencies used) and the physical distance between the 1016 respective variant and the gene. Moreover, we used ANNOVAR to predict transcript 1017 consequences of non-synonymous exonic variants and added deleteriousness scores from CADD 1018 (Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion),³⁶ DANN (Deep Neural Network for Annotating 1019 pathogenicity),³⁷ and REVEL (Rare Exome Variant Ensemble Learner)³⁸ provided in dataset 1020 dbnsfp35a (hg19).¹¹⁹ We also added information on the cytogenetic band of each variant. 1021

1022 Gene nomination through functional annotation of credible variants

1023 Credible variants were annotated using ANNOVAR (see section above),³⁵ and variant posterior 1024 probabilities (see section 'credible sets of variants') were aggregated for each implicated gene. 1025 Genes were ranked according to their aggregated posterior probabilities and nominated for gene 1026 prioritization. Genes implicated by non-synonymous exonic variations were separately 1027 nominated for gene prioritization. Implicated genes were ranked based on the CADD phred-1028 scaled scores of the non-synonymous exonic variant. If a gene was implicated by multiple non-1029 synonymous variants, the top CADD phred-scaled score was used.

1030 Gene nomination through Summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization

We used summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization implemented in SMR v.1.03^{40,41} to test if the effect of an identified variant is potentially mediated by expression or splicing of a certain gene. The SMR software provides an integrative approach that combines GWAS summary statistics of complex phenotypes with information of omics resources to help prioritize gene targets and regulatory elements. It adopts the Mendelian Randomization (MR) strategy by using

Genomics of brain age gap

34

1036a genetic instrument (z) to test for pleiotropic association between gene regulation (exposure; x) and a trait of interest (outcome; y). The MR effect of gene regulation on a trait (β_{xy}) is calculated 1037as two-step least squares estimate and defined as the ratio of the instrument effect on the 1038outcome (β_{zy}) and that on the exposure (β_{zx}) , i.e., $\beta_{xy} = \beta_{zy}/\beta_{yz}$. The term 'pleiotropy' is preferred 1039 over 'causality' in this context, since SMR is based on a single genetic instrument and is unable 1040 1041 to distinguish between (horizontal) pleiotropy and causality (vertical pleiotropy). The SMR software features the heterogeneity in dependent instruments (HEIDI) method, which uses 1042multiple instruments in the regulatory region to distinguish pleiotropy from linkage (i.e., 1043transcript and phenotype are not associated because of a shared causal variant but due to two 1044 or more distinct causal variants in linkage). We employed SMR with the BrainMeta v2 cis-eQTL 10451046 (gene expression) and cis-sQTL (gene splicing) summary statistics derived from RNA-sequencing data of 2,865 brain cortex samples from 2,443 unrelated individuals of European ancestry.⁴² Our 1047GWAS variants were succesfully mapped to 16,375 eQTL and 58,941 sQTL probes. We only 1048 considered results with FDR < 0.05, $p_{\text{HEIDI}} > 0.01$, and those associations that could be assigned 1049to genome-wide significant loci from our discovery GWAS. We used the clumping procedure 1050implemented in PLINK v1.90b6.8 to assign significant SMR associations to discovered index 1051variations with a window-size of 3,000 kbp and R^2 of 0.80. Genes implicated by eQTL and sQTL 1052SMR were separately nominated for gene prioritization and ranked according to SMR p-value. 1053

1054 Gene nomination through GTEx eQTL lookup

Index variations and their genome-wide significant neighbors in strong linkage disequilibrium 1055 $(R^2 > 0.8)$ were mapped to single-tissue and multi-tissue cis-QTLs cataloged in the Genotype-1056Tissue Expression (GTEx) V8 database.¹²⁰ Significant variant-gene pairs for 49 tissues were 1057 obtained using the prefiltered file provided by GTEx (GTEx Analysis v8 eQTL.tar). 1058 Multi-tissue QTLs were obtained using the METASOFT results for all 49 tissues 1059 (GTEx Analysis v8.metasoft.txt.gz). Significant multi-tissue cis-QTLs were defined as 1060 variant-gene associations with data available for at least 10 tissues and with an m-value ≥ 0.9 1061 (i.e., the posterior probability that the effect exists) in at least 50% of the available tissues. Of 1062the remaining multi-tissue QTLs, a small fraction did not show convincing meta-analytic 1063 *p*-values (across tissues) derived from the Han and Eskin's Random Effects Model (RE2). We 1064thus set an inclusion criterion of RE2 p < 5E-8 (met by 99.9% of remaining entries), which 1065 1066 resulted in a final number of 4,420,841 multi-tissue QTLs. Mapping our genome-wide significant variants to single- and multi-tissue QTLs was carried out using the GTEx hg19 liftover variant 1067IDs. If multiple variants per discovered locus were associated with the expression of the same 1068 gene in the same tissue (single-tissue QTL mapping) or associated with the expression of the 1069 1070 same gene across tissues (multi-tissue QTL mapping), respectively, we decided to only report QTL results of the variant that is in strongest LD to the index variation of the discovered locus. 1071

Genomics of brain lt is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

35

We used the Bioconductor package biomaRt¹²¹ to convert Ensemble gene IDs to HGNC gene IDs and symbols. Genes implicated by GTEx single-tissue and multi-tissue eQTLs were separately nominated for gene prioritization and ranked according to the number of significant associations across tissues.

1076 Gene nomination through Polygenic Priority Scores

We calculated polygenic priority scores using PoPS,⁴³ a similarity-based gene prioritization 1077 method designed to pinpoint causal genes in significant GWAS loci. PoPS is applied on gene-1078 based results derived from MAGMA, and uses the full polygenic signal (including signals beneath 1079genome-wide significance) to identify the most likely causal genes. PoPS incorporates data from 1080 1081 a variety of sources, including 73 publicly available single-cell RNA sequencing datasets, curated biological pathways, and protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks. In total, more than 57,000 1082features are used to prioritize genes. We used the same PoPS feature map and same MAGMA 1083annotation file as employed in the original work (downloaded 1084gene from https://www.finucanelab.org/data).⁴³ We applied MAGMA v.1.10 on our GWAS summary 1085 statistics using the SNP-wise mean gene analysis with linkage information derived from the 1086 discovery dataset (32,634 white-British ancestry individuals). For each index variant identified 1087 through conditional analyses, we nominated up to three genes with the highest PoPS scores for 1088gene prioritization. Only genes located within 500 kb of the index variant and showing positive 1089 1090 scores were considered.

1091 Gene prioritization

Genes considered for gene prioritization were nominated based on seven categories: 1) genes 1092 implicated by functional annotation of credible variants (ranked by cumulative variant posterior 1093probabilities), 2) genes implicated by transcript consequences of non-synonymous exonic credible 1094variants (ranked by highest CADD deleteriousness score), 3) genes implicated by SMR eQTLs 1095(ranked by p-value), 4) genes implicated by SMR sQTLs (ranked by p-value), 5) genes 1096 implicated by GTEx single-tissue eQTLs (ranked by number of significant associations across 1097 tissues), 6) genes implicated by GTEx multi-tissue eQTLs (ranked by number of significant 1098 associations across tissues), 7) genes implicated by PoPS (ranked by score). For each nominated 1099 1100 gene, we calculated a priority score as described below.

1101 Let i represent a specific gene, and j denote the index of the gene nomination analysis conducted.

1102 The priority score (P_i) for gene *i* is computed by considering both the cumulative posterior

- 1103 probability (C_i) obtained from the first gene nomination category and the ranks of the gene (R_{ij})
- across the six additional nomination categories $(j \in [1,6])$. The formula is expressed as:

Genomics of brain age gap

36

1105
$$P_i = C_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\circ} \frac{(n_j + 1 - R_{ij})}{\frac{n_j(n_j + 1)}{2}} = C_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\circ} \frac{2(n_j + 1 - R_{ij})}{n_j(n_j + 1)}$$

1106 where...

1107	P_i	denotes the priority score for gene i
1108	C _i	represents the cumulative posterior probability for gene \boldsymbol{i}
1109	n _j	denotes the number of genes ranked in nomination category \boldsymbol{j}
1110	$n_j + 1 - R_{ij}$	expresses the relative position of gene i in the ranking. For example, if gene i
1111		holds the top position $(R_{ij} = 1)$, this term evaluates to n_j , reflecting the
1112		maximum possible contribution.
1113	$\frac{n_j(n_j+1)}{2}$	calculates the total number of fractions (1 point per category in total) that can
1114		be assigned to all genes within a nomination category

1115 We designate the gene with the highest priority score per locus as the prioritized gene, indicating 1116 that it holds the highest probability of being causal.

1117 GWAS catalog search

All index variations from the SNP-level analyses and their genome-wide significant neighbors in 1118 strong linkage disequilibrium ($\mathbb{R}^2 > 0.8$) were selected for an NHGRI (National Human Genome 1119 Research Institute) GWAS catalog search. Index variations were identified through conditional 1120 analysis (see section 'Conditional analysis'). Genome-wide significant neighbors in strong linkage 1121 1122 disequilibrium were identified by carrying out a p-value informed clumping procedure with 1123 $R_2 > 0.8$ and 3,000 kb window-size implemented in PLINK v1.90b6.8. We used the GWAS catalog released on December 21, 2021 (gwas catalog v1.0-associations e105 r2021-12-21.tsv 1124 received from https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/api/search/downloads/full). We only considered 11251126 GWAS catalog entries that met genome-wide significance.

1127 Gene-based analysis

Gene-based analysis were carried out using fastBAT as implemented in GCTA 1.93.1f.⁶⁹ Gene 1128 coordinates were obtained from the RefSeq gene annotation file in GFF3 format (genome-build 1129GRCh37.p13; annotation release 105.20201022).¹¹⁷ NCBI chromosome names were converted to 1130UCSC format. We selected genes of biotype 'protein coding' located on chromosomes 1-22, X, 1131 Y, and MT. In the case of duplicate gene names, only the first entry was kept after sorting by 1132chromosome, gene symbol, start coordinate, and end coordinate. This resulted in 19,319 11331134protein-coding genes, of which 18,583 were successfully mapped to SNPs and INDELs included in GWAS analyses. We ran fastBAT with linkage information derived from the discovery dataset 1135(32,634 white-British ancestry individuals). The window around gene boundaries was set to 0 kb 1136to reduce dependencies between gene associations. Genes with FDR < 0.05 (Benjamini & 1137

37

Hochberg) were regarded as significant after multiple testing correction. To identify independent 1138 discoveries, we carried out a *p*-value informed clumping procedure with a conservative window-1139size of 3,000 kbp. To identify distinct discoveries across the three BAG traits, we performed a 1140

- 2nd-level clumping procedure based on the top p-value of each gene across traits, again with 1141
- 3,000 kbp window-size. 1142

1143 Pathway analyses

Gene Ontology (GO) pathway analyses were conducted using R package GOfuncR 1.14.0 with 1144 Bioconductor database Homo.sapiens v1.3.1 build upon GO.db v3.14.0.71,72,122,123 The GO 1145annotation knowledgebase is a curated collection of biological terms and their relationships in 1146 order to categorize genes and their products based on their involvement in 'molecular processes', 1147 the 'cellular components' where they perform actions, and the higher-order 'biological processes' 1148 1149 they contribute to. We used a set of selected genes (genes prioritized through positional and transcription-based colocalization strategies and those with gene-based FDR < 0.05) to perform 1150overrepresentation analyses of GO terms using the hypergeometric test implemented in 1151GOfuncR. The total count of genes included in overrepresentation tests was 201, 339, and 303 11521153for grey matter, white matter, and combined grey and white matter brain age, respectively. We also conducted gene set enrichment analyses (GSEA) based on the complete gene-based result 1154table to test for lower-than-expected ranks (p-values) of gene sets using the Wilcoxon rank-sum 1155test implemented in GOfuncR. By default, GOfuncR calculates family-wise error rates for terms 11561157in each of the three GO aspects based on random permutations. To reduce the chance of false discoveries, we integrated these permutation-based results to calculate family-wise error rates 1158across the three GO aspects. In addition, we refined results with FWER < 0.05 by decorrelating 1159 GO terms and restricting results to the most specific terms using the implemented elim 1160 algorithm.¹²⁴ For result evaluation and interpretation, we determined the number of distinct loci 1161of genes that contribute to a GO term (using a 3,000 kbp clumping window) in order to account 1162 for spatial clustering of genes and potential gene result dependencies. 1163

1164 Polygenic score analysis in replication sample

We used PRSice-2 to calculate polygenic scores (PGS) for BAG in the well-powered UKB 1165 European ancestry replication sample.¹²⁵ Polygenic scores were computed based on variants 1166 reaching ten pre-defined discovery p thresholds: 1.00, 0.50, 0.20, 0.10, 0.05, 0.01, 1.0e-03, 1.0e-04, 1167 1.0e-06, 5.0e-08. 82,126 Variants with replication MAF < 0.01 were discarded. Variants were 1168 clumped with $R^2 < 0.1$ and 500 kbp window-size. Associations between the ten resulting PGS 1169 and BAG were calculated as partial correlations using sex, age, age², scanner site, total 1170 intracranial volume, genotyping array, and the first 10 genetic principal components serving as 1171 1172covariates.

Genomics of brain age gap

38

1173 Genetic correlations

We carried out bivariate LD score regression⁷⁹ to compute pair-wise genetic correlations both 1174among BAG traits and between BAG traits and other complex traits. Bivariate LD score 1175regression was run with 38 selected traits, which have frequently been used in similar 1176 investigations and cover a broad range of mental and physical health domains.^{80–82} In addition, 1177 we calculated genetic correlations with a set of 989 heritable UK biobank traits, whose GWAS 1178 summary statistics have been made publicly available by Neale and colleagues (Zenodo: 1179 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7186871). LD score regression analyses included HapMap3 1180 variants after exclusion of variants in the MHC region (variant list downloaded from 1181 http://ldsc.broadinstitute.org/static/media/w hm3.noMHC.snplist.zip). Genetic correlations 1182 1183with FDR < 0.05 were regarded as significant after multiple testing correction.

1184 Mendelian randomization

Evidence for potential causal associations between BAG and other complex traits was derived 1185 1186 using generalized summary-data-based Mendelian Randomization (GSMR) as implemented in GCTA 1.93.1f.^{32,83} The GSMR method uses multiple genetic variants as instruments (z) to test 1187 for causality between an exposure (x) and outcome variable (y). Instruments are near-1188 independent genetic variants (clumped with $R^2 < 0.05$) associated with the exposure variable at 1189 1190 a genome-wide significance level. GSMR is designed for two-sample scenarios, that is, GWAS summary-statistics of large independent studies are used to estimate the effects of the exposure 1191 on the outcome (β_{xy}) based on the effects of the instruments on the exposure (β_{zx}) and the effects 1192 of the instruments on the outcome (β_{zy}). The ratio between β_{xy} and β_{zx} reveals the estimated 1193 mediation effect, i.e., $\beta_{xy} = \beta_{zy}/\beta_{zx}$, which is expected to be identical at each instrument under a 1194 causal model. Estimates from multiple instruments are integrated in a generalized least-squares 1195 approach. The use of multiple instruments enables to distinguish between causality, where the 1196 effect of an instrument on the outcome is mediated by the exposure, and horizontal pleiotropy, 1197 where the effect of an instrument on the outcome is exhibited through pathways other than the 1198 exposure. GSMR tests for heterogeneity in dependent instruments (HEIDI) to remove outliers 1199 based on the deviation of each instrument from the causal model. We used the default setting 1200 of removing HEIDI-outliers with deviation p < 0.01. To facilitate effect size comparisons, we 1201 standardized instrument effects on continuous exposure variables (β_{xx}) based on z-statistic, allele 1202 1203 frequency and sample size. GSMR has been demonstrated in simulations to be more powerful than inverse-variance-weighted MR (MR-IVW) and Egger regression (MR-Egger).⁸³ Other 1204empirical investigations have revealed qualitatively similar results between GSMR, MR-IVW, 1205and MR-Egger.⁸² For GSMR analyses, we selected 11 risk factors based on the availability of 1206 1207 summary statistics from large-scale GWAS that did not include the UK Biobank cohort and provided at least m = 30 independent genome-wide significant variants as instruments (clumped 1208

Genomics of brain lt is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

39

1209 with $R^2 < 0.05$). Due to the limited number of genome-wide significant variants for BAG, we

1210 only conducted unidirectional GSMR analyses with BAG serving as outcome variable.

1211 Polygenicity

We conducted genetic effect-size distribution inferences implemented in R package 1212 GENESIS $v1.0^{127}$ to estimate the number of underlying susceptibility variants for BAG under a 1213 normal-mixture model of variant effects. Analyses of the benchmark traits neuroticism and 1214 height were based on the publicly available GWAS summary statistics by Baselmans et al.⁸⁷ and 1215Allen et al.¹²⁸ Variants were filtered to 1.07 million common variants with MAF ≥ 0.05 included 1216 in the HapMap3 panel after exclusion of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) region. 1217 1218 SNPs with less than 0.67 times the 90th percentile of sample sizes and those with extremely large effect sizes $(z^2 > 80)$ were removed. We fitted the GENESIS three-component model, which 1219 assumes that 99% of the variant effects are null and the remaining 1% follow a mixture of two 1220 normal distributions, allowing a fraction of the susceptibility SNPs to belong to a cluster with 1221 1222 larger effect sizes. We have chosen the three-component model (M3) over the simpler twocomponent model (M2), because a) M3 has been shown to provide distinctly better fits for a 1223variety of complex traits, b) M3 has been shown to perform well even if the true data does not 1224 conform the model assumptions, and c) M2 appears to exhibit a more pronounced bias towards 1225underestimating the number of susceptibility SNPs, particularly if model assumptions are not 1226 met.⁸⁹ We used default settings for defining tagging SNPs and LD scores by using an LD cutoff 1227 of 0.1 and LD window of 1 Mbp. 1228