

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/kgmi20

Longitudinal analysis of the gut microbiome in adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa: microbiome-related factors associated with clinical outcome

Nadia Andrea Andreani, Arunabh Sharma, Brigitte Dahmen, Hannah E. Specht, Nina Mannig, Vanessa Ruan, Lara Keller, John F. Baines, Beate Herpertz-Dahlmann, Astrid Dempfle & Jochen Seitz

To cite this article: Nadia Andrea Andreani, Arunabh Sharma, Brigitte Dahmen, Hannah E. Specht, Nina Mannig, Vanessa Ruan, Lara Keller, John F. Baines, Beate Herpertz-Dahlmann, Astrid Dempfle & Jochen Seitz (2024) Longitudinal analysis of the gut microbiome in adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa: microbiome-related factors associated with clinical outcome, Gut Microbes, 16:1, 2304158, DOI: <u>10.1080/19490976.2024.2304158</u>

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2024.2304158



RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Tavlor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

Longitudinal analysis of the gut microbiome in adolescent patients with anorexia nervosa: microbiome-related factors associated with clinical outcome

Nadia Andrea Andreani (D^{a,b*}, Arunabh Sharma (D^{c*}, Brigitte Dahmen^d, Hannah E. Specht^d, Nina Mannig^d, Vanessa Ruan^d, Lara Keller^d, John F. Baines (D^{a,b}, Beate Herpertz-Dahlmann (D^d, Astrid Dempfle (D^c, and Jochen Seitz (D^d)

^aSection of Evolutionary Medicine, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany; ^bSection of Evolutionary Medicine, Institute for Experimental Medicine, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany; ^cInstitute of Medical Informatics and Statistics, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany; ^dDepartment of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

ABSTRACT

There is mounting evidence regarding the role of gut microbiota in anorexia nervosa (AN). Previous studies have reported that patients with AN show dysbiosis compared to healthy controls (HCs); however, the underlying mechanisms are unclear, and data on influencing factors and longitudinal course of microbiome changes are scarce. Here, we present longitudinal data of 57 adolescent inpatients diagnosed with AN at up to nine time points (including a 1-year follow-up examination) and compare these to up to six time points in 34 HCs. 16S rRNA gene sequencing was used to investigate the microbiome composition of fecal samples, and data on food intake, weight change, hormonal recovery (leptin levels), and clinical outcomes were recorded. Differences in microbiome composition compared to HCs were greatest during acute starvation and in the low-weight group, while diminishing with weight gain and especially weight recovery at the 1-year follow-up. Illness duration and prior weight loss were strongly associated with microbiome composition at hospital admission, whereas microbial changes during treatment were associated with kilocalories consumed, weight gain, and hormonal recovery. The microbiome at admission was prognostic for hospital readmission, and a higher abundance of Sutterella was associated with a higher body weight at the 1-year follow-up. Identifying these clinically important factors further underlines the potential relevance of gut microbial changes and may help elucidate the underlying pathophysiology of gut-brain interactions in AN. The characterization of prognostically relevant taxa could be useful to stratify patients at admission and to potentially identify candidate taxa for future supplementation studies aimed at improving AN treatment.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 5 July 2023 Revised 19 December 2023 Accepted 8 January 2024

KEYWORDS

Microbiota; anorexia nervosa; eating disorder; gut-brain axis; adolescents; longitudinal study; weightrecovered patients; lowweight patients; illness duration; long-term followup

Introduction

Anorexia nervosa (AN) is the third most common chronic disease in adolescence and the deadliest of all psychiatric diseases, with a standardized mortality rate 5–10 times higher than that in healthy controls.^{1–3} AN is characterized by insufficient energy intake, low body weight, body image distortion, and fear of gaining weight. However, the underlying pathophysiology is poorly understood. Treatment includes weight restoration and psychotherapy but often remains insufficient, and there is a high rate of relapse.⁴ The gut microbiome is increasingly recognized as an influencing factor for energy extraction from food and weight regulation, as well as having an influence on the brain and behavior via the gut-brain axis. Interest in the role of the microbiome in psychiatric diseases is on a steep rise.⁵⁻⁷

Animal models of AN show intestinal dysbiosis^{8,9} and point to the potentially important role of gut microbes in the pathogenesis and course of AN. Offspring of gnotobiotic mice transplanted with AN patients' stool showed reduced weight gain, as well as increased anxiety and obsessiveness,

*These authors contributed equally to present study.

© 2024 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

CONTACT Nadia Andrea Andreani andreani@evolbio.mpg.de D Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, August-Thienemann-Str. 2, Plön 24306, Germany

Current affiliation for Jochen Seitz is Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, LVR University Hospital Essen, Essen, Germany.

B supplemental data for this article can be accessed online at https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2024.2304158

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent.

which are common comorbidities in AN.¹⁰ Moreover, directly transplanted "humanized" mice (i.e. germ-free mice engrafted with human stool samples) with AN patients' stool showed lower weight gain than control-transplanted animals when fed a calorie-reduced diet.¹¹

Patient studies during acute starvation have confirmed intestinal dysbiosis, albeit with heterogeneous results.¹²⁻¹⁴ The very few longitudinal studies so far have reported residual changes after short-term weight restoration.^{13,15–17} However, to our knowledge, no study has followed patients after hospital discharge or tracked them for longer than 6 months. Thus, it remains unclear whether the microbiome recovers from a dysbiotic state over a longer period after weight gain. Moreover, diet was shown to have a major influence on the gut microbiome, which make energy restriction and selective food consumption important explanatory factors for acutely ill, but also partially recovered patients with AN. Furthermore, hospital food can act as a potential confounder factor when comparing the microbiome to healthy controls who eat at home.^{14,18–20}

Furthermore, while studies have identified clinical factors to be associated with the microbiome in AN cross-sectionally,^{11–13,16,21,22} there is only one report of longitudinal associations (with hospitalization-length)¹⁶ and only two studies have attempted to predict the short-term clinical course from microbiota alterations found at admission in AN.^{16,17}

The aim of the present study is to investigate the shift in microbiome composition during inpatient treatment, in low-weight, as well as in weightrecovered individuals one year later on a homebased diet (thus making hospital food-related effects less likely). We also aimed to determine which clinical factors influence the microbiome in patients with AN, including illness duration, weight loss, and body weight at admission, and the potentially differential influence of nutritional, weight-related, and hormonal restitution during the treatment process. Finally, we aimed to identify whether the microbiome could help predict weight development and relapse.

Here, we present the first longitudinal investigation, including data collected at the 1-year follow-up after admission, of the gut microbiome of adolescent patients with AN and age-matched controls. Up to nine time points were sampled during inpatient treatment and at the 1-year follow-up appointment and investigated by combining 16S rRNA gene sequencing and multivariate and univariate statistical approaches.

Results

Fifty-six patients aged between 12 and 20 years and diagnosed with AN or atypical AN (one patient) according to DSM-5 were admitted to the specialized inpatients eating disorder unit at the Department for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry of the Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische



Figure 1. Overview of the longitudinal study.

Created with BioRender.com

Hochschule (RWTH) Aachen University Hospital. Stool samples and clinical data were collected at up to eight timepoints (T0-T7) during inpatient stay with one additional sampling at follow-up appointment (T8) 1 year after admission (Figure 1). After discharge, eight patients were re-admitted to the department within one year due to weight loss. At follow-up assessments, patients were classified as having low weight or still weight-recovered based on the age- and sex-specific percentile of the Body Mass Index (BMI) based on the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for Children and Adolescents (KiGGS).²³ Specifically, individuals with a BMI lower than the 15th percentile (p < 15) were classified as low weight, while individuals with a BMI greater than or equal to the 15th percentile $(p \ge 15)$ were classified as weight-recovered (chosen with a safety margin of 5 percentile points toward the official definition of "underweight" at the 10th BMI percentile). The 15th percentile corresponds to a BMI of 16.1 kg/m² for females at an age of 12 years, to a BMI of 18.2 kg/m² at 15 years and to a BMI of 19.4 kg/m² at 18 years.²³ Inpatient treatment included weight rehabilitation with incremental increases in kilocalories (Figure 2a) and weight gain until achieving individually determined target weight, based on weight-percentiles before the onset of the illness,



Figure 2. Clinical information of the patients and age-matched healthy controls.

			AN 1 year	AN 1 year		
	AN admission	AN discharge	(p < 15)	(<i>p</i> ≥ 15)	HC baseline	HC 1 year
Ν	57	50	19	25	34	34
Age (years)	16.25 (3.10)	16.52 (3.04)	17.47 (1.96)	16.48 (2.76)	16.56 (1.51)	17.58 (1.50)
	[12; 20.35]	[12.23; 19.01]	[14.21; 19.34]	[13.10; 19.84]	[14.11; 18.46]	[15.05; 19.42]
BMI (Kg/m ²)	15.99 (2.28)	18.88 (1.27)	18.04 (1.17)	19.97 (1.27)	20.94 (2.19)	21.27 (2.79)
	[12.50; 18.77]	[16.85; 20.96]	[15.85; 19.28]	[17.09; 21.93]	[17.65; 26.07]	[17.82; 25.94]
%EBW	75.58 (11.52)	89.56 (5.26)	83.71 (4.82)	92.84 (5.35)	96.85 (11.41)	97.15 (13.34)
	[56.95; 87.74]	[77.59; 97.25]	[73.57; 87.84]	[86.93; 104.04]	[84.48; 122.00]	[84.22; 124.04]
BMI-SDS (z-score)	-2.47 (1.96)	-0.92 (0.52)	-1.21 (0.37)	-0.66 (0.28)	-0.24 (0.92)	-0.22 (1.00)
	[-8.08; -0.93]	[-2.44; -0.20]	[-2.95; -1.07]	[-1.06; 0.25]	[-1.30; 1.15]	[-1.37; 1.20]
Premorbid BMI (kg/m ²)	19.47 (3.47)					
	[13.01; 26.60]					
Premorbid BMI-SDS (z-score)	-0.40 (1.20)					
	[-4.24; 1.21]					
Illness duration (month)	15.25 (14.86)					
	[1.63; 71.97]					
EDI 2 total score	297 (79.50)	290 (93.5)	285 (72)	256 (67)	182 (67)	182 (46.75)
	[158; 428]	[127; 415]	[156; 351]	[166; 392]	[128; 236]	[133; 253]
BDI-II score	23 (16.5)	19 (20)	18 (18.5)	14 (16)	4 (7)	5 (5.75)
	[0; 47]	[0; 54]	[0; 44]	[0; 53]	[0; 17]	[0; 11]
SCAS total score	28 (18.5)	28 (24)	18 (18.5)	21 (26)	16 (10)	13 (9.25)
	[2; 77]	[0; 75]	[1; 51]	[2; 67]	[4; 34]	[2; 31]
EDE mean	3.42 (2.40)	3.30 (2.19)	4.37 (1.68)	4.05 (2.89)		
	[0.42; 5.4]	[0.62; 5.32]	[1.45; 5.33]	[0.19; 5.24]		

Table 1. Clinical sample characteristics.

Values are reported as the median and interquartile ranges in brackets, and minimum and maximum values in square brackets. Abbreviations: AN, Anorexia Nervosa; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; BMI-SDS, Body mass index-standard deviation score; EDE, Eating Disorder Examination; EDI, Eating Disorder Inventory; HC, Healthy Controls; SCAS, Spence Children's Anxiety Scale; %EBW: Percent expected body weight.

hormonal recovery, and menstruation state (Figure 2b). Additionally, serum leptin concentration was measured at admission, discharge, and follow-up appointments to test for hormonal recovery (Figure 2c). Thirty-four age-matched healthy controls (HCs; aged between 14 and 19 years) were sampled at six time-matched time points (Figure 1). A summary of the clinical characteristics of the patients included in this study is presented in Table 1.

The efficacy of inpatient treatment is evidenced by the increase in BMI, percentage of Expected Body Weight (%EBW), and BMI-SDS, despite these measures being still different from the HC group (Table 1). After 1 year, patients with a BMI at or above the 15th percentile (classified as weight-recovered) had clinical characteristics that were more similar to the age-matched HC group (Table 1 and Figure 2).

Differences in gut microbiome between AN patients and healthy controls and longitudinal changes in the gut microbiome

A total of 22,848,660 16S rRNA sequence reads $(63,645.29 \pm 25,857.34 \text{ per sample, mean} \pm \text{SD})$ were generated from the DNA extracted from 359 stool samples at nine time points, as specified in the Patients and Methods section and summarized in Tab S1_Sheet1.

After clustering with > 97% similarity and rarefying 10,400 reads, 1,011 bacterial amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were identified, which spanned 12 different phyla, 79 different families, and 212 different genera. Tab S1_Sheet2 shows the total ASV counts and taxonomic classification.

Inpatient treatment affects microbiome of AN patients, but weight gain and recovery does not result in full dysbiosis recovery

The composition of gut microbiome of adolescent patients with AN was significantly different from that of HCs during acute starvation at admission, however, with no detected differences when considering global alpha-diversity (Figure 3a, b, Tab S1_Sheet3). The microbiome changed over the course of treatment but remained at least partly different from HCs at all time points, even in those patients who were classified as weight-recovered at 1-year followup [T8 1y($p \ge 15$)]. Figure 3a shows the average relative abundances of 23 genera that were significantly different in pairwise comparisons of patients at admission, discharge, and follow-up assessment, as well as in comparison to HCs at admission and 1-year follow-up (Wilcoxon signed-rank, fdr corrected p < 0.05; see the following paragraphs and Tab S1 for details). Similarly, Figure 3b shows the shift in the microbiome composition in patients at all time



Figure 3. The microbiome of patients changes during inpatient treatment and differs from HCs.

points available between admission and followup, as seen in longitudinal multilevel partial least squares-discriminant analysis (mPLS-DA).

Longitudinal changes in alpha-diversity using Shannon and Chao1 indices are displayed in Figure 3c. Both values were lowest in the two follow-up groups. Alpha-diversity indices of fecal samples of low-weight patients at the 1-year followup were significantly different compared to their values at admission and discharge time points and to HCs (Figure 3c, left, and Tab S1_Sheet3). Additionally, the Chao1 index showed a reduction during inpatient treatment, with significant differences when comparing patients at admission, discharge, and 1-year follow-up. Importantly, both values showed significant or trend-level reductions in weight-recovered patients after 1 year in comparison to HCs, hinting at a lack of complete microbiome recovery even in the weightrecovered subgroup (Figure 3c, right, and Tab S1 Sheet3).

Patients with AN at admission show a marked dysbiosis when compared to HCs

To test the hypothesis that fecal microbiomes of patients with AN have a distinctive composition both cross-sectionally and longitudinally, we used a combination of univariate and multivariate approaches by comparing the microbiome of patients at different taxonomic levels (from phylum- to ASV-level) with the microbiome of the HC groups (Figure 4a, and Tab S1 Sheet4). PERMANOVA based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities showed significant differences in overall microbiome composition between patients with AN at admission and HCs at baseline from family- to ASV-level (PERMANOVA p = .0007 to .005, R2 = 0.009 to 0.04, Figure 4a). Comparable results were obtained when performing the PERMANOVA based on Jaccard dissimilarities (Tab S1_Sheet5). PLS-DA showed that the genera Legionella, Ruminococcaceae UCG-003 Dialister. and Limnobacter contributed most strongly to this



Figure 4. Microbiome differences of patients at different timepoints and HC group.

differentiation, all of which were less abundant in AN (Figure 4b and Fig S1A). Univariate comparisons between the two groups in individual taxa at the family- to ASV-level identified differences in the genera Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003, Dialister, Family XIII group, Anaerostipes, Ruminococcaceae UCG-003, Anaerotruncus and (Mann-Whitney-U-test *Erysipelatoclostridium* multiple comparisons fdr corrected p=.0032 to .048, Tab S1_Sheet 6). We also measured the variability of the microbiome between the two groups at different taxonomic levels, as a proxy of dysbiosis, and observed significantly higher variability at higher taxonomic levels (from phylum- to orderlevel, Wilcoxon rank sum test p = .014 to .00005) and an inversion of this trend at lower taxonomic levels (from order- to ASV-level, Wilcoxon rank sum test *p* =.0005 to < 2.2E–16; Tab S1_Sheet7).

Inpatient treatment reduces the differences in the microbiome of AN patients and HCs

At T7 discharge, PLS-DA and PERMANOVA based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities showed a significant difference between AN and HC fecal microbiome only at the ASV-level (PERMANOVA *p* =.007, R2 = 0.02; Figure 4a, c, Tab S1_Sheet4, and Fig S1B), suggesting that inpatient treatment reduces the differences between these two groups. Similar results were achieved when conducting the PERMANOVA analysis using Jaccard dissimilarities, as shown in Table S1_Sheet5. The Wilcoxon rank sum test identified five ASVs as being differentially abundant in patients at discharge compared with HCs. These were the ASV322 (uncl. Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-003), ASV524 (uncl. Lachnospiraceae), ASV578 and ASV798 (both uncl. Ruminococcaceae UCG-005) and ASV363 (uncl. *Dialister*) with a fdr corrected p = .03 to .04 (Mann-Whitney-U-test, Tab S1_Sheet 8).

Low-weight patients' microbiome at follow-up significantly differ from the microbiome of HCs

At T8_1-year follow-up, the microbiome of subgroups of patients with AN and HCs were again significantly different at all taxonomic levels

(PERMANOVA p = .005 to .03, R2 = 0.04 to 0.08, Figure 4a, Tab S1_Sheet4), as also visible in the PLS-DA graph in Figure 4d and Fig S1C, which depict the main differences among lowweight, weight-recovered patients, and the HC group. However, separately comparing lowweight patients [T8 1y(p < 15)] with the HCs at 1 year showed comparable differences to admission at lower taxonomic levels (PERMANOVA p = .001 to < .001, R2 = 0.05 to 0.11), with differences being recorded at the phylum-, class- and order-levels (Figure 4a, Fig S1D, and Tab S1_Sheet4). When Jaccard dissimilarities were used for the PERMANOVA analysis, the results complete comparability showed (Table S1 Sheet5). On the other hand, differences between weight-recovered AN patients [T8 1y and HCs were much smaller $(p \ge 15)$] (PERMANOVA p = .063, R2 = 0.03) at the ASVlevel when performing a PERMANOVA on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity distances (Figure 4a, Fig S1E and Tab S1 Sheet4). The results from the PERMANOVA analysis based on Jaccard dissimilarities, as presented in Table S1_Sheet5, were entirely comparable. Univariate comparisons of low-weight patients with HCs revealed some major differences at all taxonomic levels (from phylum- to genus- level), with corrected p-values between 0.008 and 0.04 (Mann-Whitney-U-test, Tab S1_Sheet 9). The genera [Eubacterium] hallii group and Agathobacter were significantly more abundant in HCs than in low-weight patients. Interestingly, these differences were not observed when comparing weight-recovered individuals with the HC group, with only one unique and rare taxon (family Desulfovibrionaceae) significantly more abundant in weight-recovered patients (Mann-Whitney-U-test fdr corrected p = .02; Tab S1_Sheet 10). When testing the microbiome variability comparing low-weights patients and controls at 1 year and weight-recovered patients and controls at different taxonomic levels, we observed significantly higher variability at higher taxonomic levels and an inversion of this trend at lower taxonomic levels as also observed at admission, but with higher variation observed in low-weight individuals (Table S1_Sheet7).

Longitudinal data reveals the changes of the microbiome of patients during inpatient treatment and the effect of long-term weight gain

To understand the extent to which these changes are due to inpatient treatment, weight gain, and remission and to study the potentially confounding role of different foods in the hospital and at home, we performed pairwise investigations by applying multivariate and univariate approaches, comparing patients at admission, at discharge, and at the 1-year follow-up appointment. Inpatient treatment was associated with changes at all taxonomic levels (change between admission and discharge; PERMANOVA *p* = .003 to .01, R2 = 0.005 to 0.03). However, univariate analysis identified the genus Fusicatenibacter as a unique taxon that was significantly more abundant at discharge (Wilcoxon signed-rank test fdr corrected p = .027; Tab S1_Sheet 11). Interestingly, among low-weight patients, the overall microbiome composition was significantly different between admission and 1-year follow-up, with PERMANOVA on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity showing significant *p*-values at all levels, potentially due at least in part to hospital food being consumed at admission vs. home food at follow-up (PERMANOVA p = .0005 to .001, R2 = 0.03-0.08; Figure 4a, and Tab S1_Sheet4). Specifically, lowweight patients showed a significant reduction in the relative abundance of the genera Anaerostipes, Clostridium sensu stricto 1 and Romboutsia compared to the microbiome composition of these patients at admission (Wilcoxon signed-rank test corrected *p*-value = .02, Tab S1_Sheet 12). On the other hand, individuals who recovered at followup showed a surprisingly higher similarity between admission and follow-up, with significant *p*-values only at the genus- and ASV-level (Figure 4a and Tab S1_Sheet4).

Similarly, low-weight patients had a distinct microbiome at follow-up when compared to the assessment at discharge (PERMANOVA p = .006 to .001, R2 = 0.03 to 0.11, Figure 4a and Tab S1_Sheet4), while these differences were less marked when comparing weight-recovered patients between follow-up and discharge, with a significant *p*-value of the PERMANOVA only at the ASV-level (PERMANOVA p = .02, R2 = 0.02). In low-weight patients, we observed four

times higher abundance of the genus *Escherichia-Shigella* (Wilcoxon signed-rank test fdr corrected p = .04) and a two times higher abundance of *Alistipes* (Wilcoxon signed-rank test fdr corrected p = .03) between follow-up and discharge.

Clinical variables associated with microbiome composition

To investigate which clinical variables were associated with the overall microbiome composition, we applied PERMANOVA analysis to the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. Analysis at admission revealed that illness duration (phylum-family level, PERMANOVA p = .011 to 0.022, R2 = 0.048 to 0.083) and the amount of weight loss (class-genera level, PERMANOVA p = .030 to .047, R2 = 0.035 to 0.056, Figure 5 and Tab S1_Sheet 15) were significantly associated with microbiome composition. In contrast, BMI-SDS (PERMANOVA p = .14 to .24, R2 = 0.02to 0.03),Kcal at admission (PERMANOVA p = .42 to .92, R2 = 0.002 to 0.02), and leptin concentration (PERMANOVA p = .26 to .84, R2 = 0.004 to 0.02), as well as the results of the questionnaires and interviews (EDI2 PERMANOVA R2 = 0.004 to 0.02, p = .84to .64; BDI-II PERMANOVA p = .89 to .75, R2 = 0.003 to 0.02; SCAS PERMANOVA p = .33 to .43, R2 = 0.02 to 0.02) displayed little variability in the acute starvation phase and did not show a significant association with microbiome composition at this time point.

	Taxonomic level								
	Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus	ASV			
weight loss	•	*	*	*	*	ns			
illness duration	*	*	*	*		ns			
BMI-SDS	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns			
Leptin	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	ns			
Kcal	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	n.s	ns			

Figure 5. Clinical variables that are associated with microbiome composition.



Figure 6. Taxa predicting BMI-SDS at 1-year follow-up.

Next, we performed a longitudinal PERMANOVA analysis, including genus data from all nine time points. After correcting for laxative use, we found a significant association between the gut microbiome and the amount of ingested Kcals (PERMANOVA p = .003, R^2 = 0.009) and BMI-SDS (PERMANOVA p = .006, $R^2 = 0.008$). Even though these two variables are highly correlated, they both showed independent contributions when combined in one model (PERMANOVA p = .03, $R^2 = 0.008$, for BMI-SDS; p= .02, $R^2 = 0.009$ for Kcals, respectively). Leptin concentration was measured at admission, discharge, and 1-year follow-up, and showed a significant association with the microbiome when analyzed alone (PERMANOVA p = .02, $R^2 = 0.02$). When analyzed together, these three potentially influencing factors (Kcal, BMI-SDS, and leptin) were strongly intercorrelated, so that only BMI-SDS and leptin at the trend-level showed independent contributions (PERMANOVA p = .023 and 0.054).

Prediction of hospital-readmission and BMI-SDS at 1-year follow-up based on baseline microbiome data

PERMANOVA analysis of the whole microbiome community (based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarities, taking all taxonomic levels into account) at admission revealed a significant association with hospital readmission until the 1-year follow-up when considering the ASV-level (p =.04, $R^2 = 0.03$). The genera significantly associated with hospital readmission were Ruminiclostridium 5 and Intestinibacter (p = .006 and .03, respectively); ASVs showing significant association with hospital readmission until the 1-year follow-up were ASV600 and ASV95 (p = .0004)and *p* =.02, both uncl. Subdoligranulum), ASV666, and ASV83 (p = .003 and p = .008, both uncl. Lachnospiraceae), ASV 238 (p = .01, uncl. Clostridium sensu stricto), ASV19 (p =.03, uncl. *Ruminiclostridium*) and ASV873 (p = .03, uncl. Intestinibacter). There was no significant association between BMI-SDS at T8_1 year follow-up and overall microbiome composition at baseline (all p > .2).

Linear model analysis related to the abundance of specific taxa at baseline to BMI-SDS at 1-year follow-up, while correcting for laxative use, illness duration, weight loss, and BMI-SDS at admission, identified four genera (*Sutterella, Parasutterella, Lachnospiraceae* FCS020 group, and Clostridium sensu stricto, p = .008 to .040; Figure 6, Tab S1_Sheet16) and four ASVs (uncl. Clostridium sensu stricto, uncl. Bacteroides, uncl. Alistipes, uncl. Parasutterella, p = .002 to .01, Figure 6, Tab S1_Sheet16) that were associated with the BMI-SDS at 1-year follow-up.

Discussion

Our study presents the first longitudinal investigation of fecal microbial changes in patients with AN compared with age-matched healthy controls, including a 1-year follow-up. Our results show that dysbiosis in acutely ill patients with AN is improved (but does not completely recover from a dysbiotic state) during inpatient treatment and long-term weight recovery. The remaining microbiome alterations in the weight-recovered subgroup after 1 year compared to HCs were small but remained significant. The differences found in the low-weight group suggest that the disease itself and its consequences are the main drivers of these microbiome differences and not the consequence of the consumption of hospital vs. home food. The up to nine assessment points sampled in this study allowed us to further elucidate the clinical factors associated with these longitudinal microbial changes. We found that illness duration and the amount of weight loss prior to admission were important for microbiome composition at admission, in line with an extensive body of research showing their clinical relevance as markers of disease severity. We also found that the kilocalories consumed, ensuing weight gain, and hormonal restitution were all clearly related to longitudinal microbiome composition changes during the treatment process. These results indicate that starvation is a major driving force for changes in the microbiome. These results further support previous clinical findings regarding the importance of nutritional restitution and reaching a sufficiently high target weight. Finally, our longitudinal followup showed that the composition of microbiota at

admission can help predict relapse and that individual taxa were associated with increased or decreased BMI-SDS at the 1-year follow-up. Importantly, a higher abundance of *Sutterella* is indicative of a positive clinical outcome and thus qualifies as a potential probiotic target or supplement for future animal and human studies. These findings are in line with a potentially important or contributing role of the gut microbiome on clinical outcomes, at least as a factor in maintaining the disease, as suggested by animal studies.^{10,11}

Altered microbiome characteristics in patients with AN

Our data report a reduction in Shannon and Chao1 indices at 1-year follow-up, which were slightly more marked in low-weight patients. We did not observe any significant change in alpha-diversity measures during inpatient treatment, as found by Kleiman et al.,²⁴ however, the literature is heterogeneous regarding this point.^{12,16,25,26} The reduction in alpha-diversity after returning to a home environment could be associated with a change in diet, although this does not explain the newly appearing differences in the comparison with healthy controls. Most likely, different underlying mechanisms overlap in influencing alpha-diversity at this point in time, including remaining differences in food choice, body weight, hormonal status, and exercise, even in weight-recovered patients with AN.^{27,28}

Although the gut microbiome in patients with AN remained different from that in HCs at all time-points, the differences diminished with weight recovery and over time. At admission, there were significant multivariate and univariate differences at different taxonomic levels, whereas after short-term weight recovery at discharge, only ASVs showed significant differences. This is in line with previous studies suggesting that the composition of the gut microbiome shifts during inpatient weight gain treatment.^{13,15,17,24} The fact that patients with AN have a distinct microbiome when compared to healthy individuals is in line with several studies that have focused on the fecal microbiome in adults,^{11,21,29} or both adolescents and adults combined.^{13,22}

One added value of our study was the presence of a long-term follow-up investigation 1 year after admission. Interestingly, the microbiome of weight-recovered individuals (i.e., those who had a BMI at least greater than or equal to the 15th percentile) showed only small differences from that of the HCs (univariate differences in Desulfovibrionaceae family, a trend in the multivariate analysis and significant alpha-diversity differences). Importantly, as the target weight was determined at an individual level, many patients had a BMI higher than the 15th percentile (mean 29th percentile, range 15th-60th percentile) considered necessary for their personal recovery. These results support the hypothesis of continuous recovery of the gut microbiome with adequate weight increase and/or time. However, a complete recovery cannot yet be concluded at the 1-year follow-up in weight-recovered patients. Further research is necessary to address this phenomenon, especially as the remaining differences in nutritional uptake and the remaining lower body weight compared with HCs are common in this subgroup, as noted above. Interestingly, the low-weight subgroup showed dramatic differences when compared to controls again at the 1-year follow-up, ruling out a hospital vs. home food artifact in previous comparisons of acutely ill (hospitalized) patients with AN and HCs at home. This further underlines the importance of sustaining a healthy weight, also for gut microbial stabilization.

At admission, Dialister was significantly less abundant in patients than in age-matched HCs (as also reported in Garcia-Gil et al.).^{22,30} Interestingly, this genus increased in abundance during inpatient treatment and was not significantly differentially abundant in any other pairwise comparisons. A large cohort study found a reduced abundance of this genus in people diagnosed with depression and major depressive disorder, suggesting a role for this taxon in contributing to the psychological signs associated with AN.^{31,32} Another notable increase in Escherichia-Shigella abundance was observed between discharge and follow-up appointments in patients with insufficient weight recovery. E. coli is a well-known producer of caseinolytic protease B (ClpB), a protein involved in appetite regulation,^{8,33} and associated with anxiety severity³⁴ and AN.²² Remarkably, this genus appears to be differentially abundant only in low-weight patients, suggesting its involvement in the chronicity of the disease. Similarly, the genus Alistipes was singnificantly more abundant in these patients (on average twice as abundant as that as discharge). A member of Alistipes (Alistipes ihumii) was isolated for the first time in 2014 from a patient with AN.³⁵ Members of this genus are involved in anxiety and depression, as they have the potential to degrade tryptophan, the precursor of serotonin ^{30,36,37} and have been reported to be increased in patients with AN when compared to HCs.^{12,16,17} Overrepresented taxa in low-weight patients also include the genus Anaerostipes, which was found to be increased in anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and depression.³⁸ This is in line with previous research showing an increase in mucin-degrading taxa, such as Escherichia-Shigella, Alistipes, Anaerostipes^{12,39} and a reduction in butyrate producers, and an increase in carbohydrate (fiber)-degrading taxa.¹⁵ In our longitudinal study, we failed to detect any major perturbation in a butyrate-producing taxon.⁴⁰ It is worth noting that two well-known butyrate producing genera (Faecalibacterium and Roseburia) were identified among the top 20 genera driving the separation of the microbiome of patients and controls at admission. At the univariate level, the differences in abundance of Faecalibacterium and *Roseburia* between HCs and patients were nominally significant but did not remain significant after correcting for multiple testing.

Low-weight patients show a reduction in Ruminococcus, a well-known beneficial carbohydrate-fermenter commensal, whose abundance has increased in different studies as a consequence of increased fiber intake.^{13,24,41} Underrepresented genera in the AN microbiome of low-weight patients include Agathobacter (also as reported by)^{16,22} and Romboustia as in.²² Interestingly, some of the taxa that were overrepresented in patients at admission and in low-weight patients were the same and were reported to be higher in stunted children: Family XIII AD3011 group, uncl. Erysipelotrichaceae, and uncl. Ruminococcaceae.⁴²

After a 1-year follow-up, the family Desulfovibrionaceae remained altered even in the weight-recovered subgroup, with a relative abundance five times higher in weight-recovered individuals than in HCs. These bacteria were shown to be associated with binge eating disorders in obese individuals,⁴³ although they represent a rare taxon (less than 0.20% of the total microbiome) in our study, and it is thus difficult to disentangle their role in the gut-brain axis.

Importantly, the diminishing difference between HCs and recovered AN patients was immediately offset in the case of a repeated weight loss. Lowweight patients showed renewed dysbiosis at all taxonomic levels of similar magnitude as at admission. This emphasizes the importance of maintaining a healthy target weight for regaining a healthy gut microbiome.

Clinical factors associated with gut microbiome

Interestingly, our analysis at admission showed that illness duration and weight loss were associated with alterations in the overall composition and structure of bacterial communities within the group of adolescent patients with AN. To our knowledge, this is the first time that these two variables have been linked to the microbiome at admission, with other studies failing to detect such an association (as for example in).¹⁶ This is potentially explained by the difference in age compared with adult patients, which is typically accompanied by a difference in illness duration. Studying adolescents might have the advantage of having a higher percentage of first-time ill patients with fewer compensatory or treatment-related factors, allowing for a less confounded study of the original underlying pathophysiology. Interestingly, the absolute low BMI-SDS did not reach significance cross-sectionally, which agrees with previous reports.^{11,13} However, Di Lodovico¹² identified a correlation between Roseburia abundance and BMI. Borgo et al.²¹ highlighted a negative correlation of Bacteroides in adult samples, while Yuan et al.²² found Subdoligranulum was positively and Bacteroides was negatively associated with BMI in a mixed sample of adolescents and adults. This might be explained by differences in the statistical approach (PERMANOVA vs. univariate single taxa analyses) or by differences in age and illness duration.

Our longitudinal PERMANOVA analysis including genus-level data from all nine time points (adjusted for laxative use) helped address the question of which clinical parameters and physiological changes during treatment were related to the changing gut microbiome. Indeed, we found that all three of our hypothesized factors (kilocalories consumed, achieved weight gain, and hormonal recovery) were strongly related to changes in microbiome composition. This is consistent with the previous clinical literature, which primarily underscores the influence of nutrition.¹⁸ It is interesting and important to demonstrate that it is not purely nutritional rehabilitation that drives changes in the microbiome. Also, body weight recovery was an important factor, potentially because of its link to an increase in fat mass, normalization of metabolism and leaving behind the "emergency-state" of semi-starvation with all its other metabolic counter-regulations to conserve energy. Finally, hormonal restitution, studied using leptin concentration in the serum, showed an individually and independently significant contribution. Leptin is an anorexigenic hormone secreted by fat cells and is known to be severely reduced in acutely ill patients with AN and to recover with weight gain. It has numerous effects on metabolism, and its accommodation to starvation and leptin receptors is found virtually throughout the human body.⁴⁴ Interestingly, it is known to be both affected by 45 and to affect gut bacteria,⁴⁶ and has recently been shown to be very promising as an experimental treatment in chronic patients with AN.47,48

Taken together, these findings are important for understanding the underlying microbiome-guthost interactions during AN. By identifying clinically relevant factors associated with microbiome changes, they added further validity to the relevance of the microbiome being linked to the severity and course of the disease.

Prognostic relevance of baseline microbiome for clinical outcome

After showing how clinical factors are associated with the microbiome in patients with AN, we also investigated the potential influence of the microbiome on the course of illness. This is the first

study to show that microbiome composition at admission is prognostically relevant for hospital readmission within the first year. This shows the potential of microbiome analyses to help clinicians in the prognosis of the clinical course and potentially divert more intense resources to those most at risk. Interestingly, for most of the genera and taxa identified, a higher abundance was associated with a negative course (Parasutterella, Clostridium sensu stricto, Lachnospiraceae FCS020 genera and uncultured Alistipes). Thus, the high abundance of these taxa should be regarded as a risk factor. Interestingly, a recent cross-sectional study on obesity and type 1 diabetes reported the role of the genus Parasutterella in the stimulation of the biosynthetic pathways of fatty acids, suggesting a role in body weight gain. Moreover, Parasutterella was sigreduced during nificantly weight loss interventions.⁴⁹ Clostridium sensu stricto 1 (also known as Clostridium cluster 1) levels were 22 times higher at admission than at follow-up in lowweight patients. Clostridium sensu stricto 1 is a wellknown mucin degrader that has been associated with AN, as this genus can induce a leaky gut.^{12,13,24} Alistipes is known to be involved in depression, which highlights the multifactorial nature of AN.³⁷ Members of the family Lachnospiraceae are known to degrade carbohydrates to produce butyric acid and other SCFAs, which could help reduce inflammation.²² Decreased Lachnospiraceae is a well-accepted marker of inflammation in several inflammatory disorders.^{50,51} Patients with AN are thought to have chronic low-grade inflammation of unknown origin (meta-analysis by⁵²); however, recent findings are more diverse and show variable dysregulation of the immunologic state.^{53,54} AN is also associated with an increased rate of autoimmune diseases, especially Crohn's disease and celiac disease.^{55,56} Therefore, the immunomodulatory effects of these taxa might be important for their mechanistic role in AN outcomes.

In contrast, a higher *Sutterella* abundance at admission was associated with a positive outcome of increased body weight after one year. *Sutterella* are commensals associated with a positive pro-inflammatory status.⁵⁷ They are known to modulate inflammatory processes; they decrease in multiple sclerosis and increase again after interferon therapy.⁵⁸ In a mouse obesity study, *Sutterella* was

not found in high-fat diet-fed animals and only appeared after the introduction of prebiotic treatment, associated with improved health.⁵⁹ Due to the association of *Sutterella* genus with a positive clinical course in our study, its independent confirmation, and a more precise identification of species/strainlevel taxa from this genus might justify its use for the development of probiotic supplementation in the future.

These results complement our findings regarding clinical measures, such as illness duration or weight loss, being intertwined with the gut microbiome at admission. One possible interpretation is that the microbiome is initially influenced by food reduction and ensuing semi-starvation, fat mass reduction, and hormonal changes. Gut microbial changes could then exert a causal, upholding influence favoring the maintenance of the disease, as transplantation studies of stool of patients with ongoing AN into germ-free mice have shown reduced weight gain as well as brain and behavioral changes in animals similar to those in AN.^{10,11} Whether the gut microbiome plays a role in the initial occurrence of AN remains unclear and should be the target of future investigations.

Strengths and limitations

Although this is one of the largest studies analyzing the microbiome of patients with AN, larger sample size is still required regarding the sheer number of taxa involved and their potential interactions with each other and with the host. Furthermore, grouping patients at one year of admission together only on the basis of BMI is always somewhat artificial and demonstrates the dire need for a more stringent definition of recovery from an eating disorder including, for example, disordered eating behavior. Moreover, it is important to acknowledge that the use of shotgun metagenomics would offer greater insight (e.g. functions, strain-level information). Lastly, while longitudinal studies like ours present a major advancement compared to purely cross-sectional studies, they still only allow limited insight into causality and need to be supported by well-controlled interventional studies.

Conclusions

Taken together, we showed reduced, yet ongoing alterations in the gut microbiome

after a 1-year follow-up, even in weightrecovered patients, and identified an important relationship between illness duration and weight loss with microbiome composition at admission and provided evidence that kilocalories, body weight, and hormonal recovery are all associated with the changing microbiome during treatment and weight gain. The microbiome at admission has prognostic value for the course and outcome of the disease. Our results are consistent with the hypothesis that changes in the body environment following semi-starvation influence the composition of the gut microbiome. Together with transplantation data, our study further supports the potential causal role of certain microbes, at least as maintaining factors prolonging the disease. The role of the microbiome in the etiology of the initial phase of further disease warrants investigation. Identifying taxa whose abundances are prognostic for the clinical course could help stratify patients at admission and increase therapy intensity where most needed, whereas Sutterella could potentially yield promising microbiometargeted therapies as future additions to existing AN treatment.

Patients and methods

Recruitment

Sixty-four female adolescents (aged between 12 and 20, mean 16 years) diagnosed with typical or atypical AN according to the DSM-5 were recruited at the Department for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry of the RWTH Aachen University Hospital and enrolled between December 2016 and January 2020. Seven patients dropped out of the study, leaving 57 patients for the analysis. The inclusion criteria were the same as those previously published before¹⁷ with minor modifications: diagnosis of AN according to DSM 5, female sex, and age between 12 and 20 years. The exclusion criteria were as follows: use of antibiotics or probiotics within four weeks before enrollment, IQ < 85, severe other mental disorders, and severe gastrointestinal or metabolic illnesses such as celiac disease or diabetes mellitus. Additionally, due to the possibility of erroneous answers to the questionnaires and interviews that serve as a basis for clinical assessment, study participants with poor German language skills were not included, as the questionnaires and interviews were designed for native speakers. Stool samples collected within four weeks of oral or intravenous antibiotic treatment were excluded from the analysis. Admission and discharge data for a subset of the current study (20 patients with AN and 20 HCs) have been published previously.¹⁷

Additionally, 34 age-matched female HCs with normal body weight (>20th and < 80th age adjusted percentile of body mass index [BMI-SDS]) were enrolled using newspaper advertisements. The same exclusion criteria as above were applied to HCs, in addition to any current psychiatric illness or any lifetime eating disorder. All participants and their legal guardians provided written informed consent prior to enrollment. Consent was obtained from the ethics committee of the RWTH Aachen University Hospital for this study, and the study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Clinical data included height and body weight after an overnight fast at admission and discharge, as well as weight and height prior to disease onset, weight loss prior to admission, and illness duration. For all time points, BMI as well as age- and sex-specific BMI percentiles and BMI-SDS were calculated based on German reference data from the KiGGS study.²³ Any medication used at admission was noted and sorted into the following groups for use as a binary covariate: laxatives, antibiotics, antidepressants, gastrointestinal medications, and others. Multimodal inpatient treatment aimed at psycho-education, body weight rehabilitation, improving eating behavior and comorbid symptoms. It included individual as well as group-psychotherapy, close information and cooperation with the parents as cotherapists, supervised mealtimes and support by a multi-disciplinary team consisting of doctors, psychologists, nurses, nutritionists, teachers and occupational, music and physiotherapists on a 12bed specialized ward. Target weight was determined individually taking into account pre-onset BMI-percentiles (usually around the 20th BMI percentile) as well as normalization of free thyroxine (fT3), gonadotropins and onset of menses during treatment.

Assessment timepoints

Up to nine time points were chosen for sampling (Figure 1): T0 (admission), T1 (corresponding to a diet of 25 Kcal/kg/day), T2 (corresponding to a diet of 50 Kcal/kg/day), T3 (corresponding to a diet of 62.5 Kcal/kg/day), T4 (corresponding to a weight gain up to the 5th age-adjusted BMI percentile), T5 (corresponding to a weight gain up to the 10th age-adjusted BMI percentile), T6 (corresponding to a weight gain up to the 15th ageadjusted BMI percentile), T7 (discharge), and T8 (1-year follow-up appointment, one year after admission). Based on the clinical course, some patients reached more than one timepoint at a time and had fewer sampling time points. Thirtyfour HCs' samples were collected at six time points (T0, T2, T4, T5, T7, and T8; Figure 1).

Questionnaires and interviews

Each participant in the study completed three questionnaires at admission, T2, T4, T5, discharge, and 1-year follow-up appointment: the Eating Disorder Inventory 2 (EDI-2),⁶⁰ Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II)⁶¹ and Spence Children's Anxiety Scale (SCAS).⁶² Patients also underwent semi-structured EDE (Eating Disorder Examination, first German edition 2016)^{63,64} at admission, discharge, and 1-year follow-up.

Fecal sample collection and DNA extraction

Fecal samples were collected as previously described.¹⁷ Briefly, fecal samples were collected by the patients using a single-use paper stool catcher (The Feces Catcher, Tag Hemi VOF, Netherlands) by transferring a pea-sized sample from two different sites of the stool into a sterile plastic container. The stool samples were stored within 2 hours after collection at – 80°C until further use. Healthy volunteers collected their stool at home using the same procedure and brought or sent the samples to the clinic to be frozen at –80°C until further use. Only samples that were received and frozen within 48 hours were used for analysis. DNA extraction from stool samples was performed using the DNeasy Power

Soil Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer's instructions.

16S rRNA gene sequencing and processing

The V1-V2 region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with primers 27F and 338 R using dual barcoding. During demultiplexing, no mismatches were allowed in the barcode (Casava, Illumina). QIIME2 (v2019.10) was used to process and analyze the sequence data.⁶⁵ Paired end sequences were denoised with 'dada2'66 using default parameters, unless stated: reads were truncated at the first base where the quality score dropped below Q = 3, the maximum number of mismatches in the overlap region was 2, and the minimum length of reads after truncation was 250 bp. Merged sequences were clustered into amplicon sequences variants (ASVs) using 'vsearch' with an identity of 0.97.67 Bacterial ASVs were annotated using the q2-feature-classifier plugin.⁶⁸ The sequences were rarefied at 10,400 reads per sample.

Blood collection and leptin measurement

Fasting blood of the patients and HCs was collected between seven and ten in the morning at T0, T2, T3, T5, T7 and T8 for patients and at T0 and T8 for HCs. Blood samples were centrifugated and the supernatant was immediately frozen at -80° C. Leptin was measured using the high-sensitive ELISA E077 by Mediagnost.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the R software (v. 4.1.1). Alpha-diversity within samples (represented by the Shannon and Chao1 indices) was determined by applying the estimate and diversity functions in "vegan" package,⁶⁹ while microbial dissimilarities between samples (beta-diversity defined by Bray-Curtis dissimilarity) were estimated at all taxonomic levels (phylumto ASV- levels). Different time points of the HCs were used in our analyses, and the first available time point (baseline T0) was used to compare the microbiome compositions of HCs with those of patients with AN at admission (T0) and discharge (T7). The last available time point for each HCs

(usually after one year, T8) was used for follow-up (T8) comparisons. We defined a 'core' microbiome group and co

Analysis of gut microbiome in AN patients and healthy controls and longitudinal changes in the gut microbiome

as taxa that were present in at least 50% of

individuals.

We used multivariate and univariate methods to compare the microbiomes of patients at various taxonomic levels (from phylum- to ASV-level) with a) the microbiomes of the HC group and b) those between different visits. To examine the differences in the overall microbiome composition between AN patients and the HC group at admission (T0), discharge (T7), and follow-up (T8), we used permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA)⁷⁰ implemented in the R package "vegan"⁶⁹ at all taxonomic levels. In all the PERMANOVA models, the number of permutations was set to 10,000. In order to determine which microbial taxa are primarily responsible for the differences between groups of samples, we performed partial least squares-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA), a supervised multivariate dimensionality reduction and classification technique using the R package "mixOmics".^{71,72} Throughout our longitudinal analyses, the dependence on multiple samples per individual at different visits was considered. Therefore, to account for the clustering of samples by patients, we used the strata term in the *adonis* function to restrict the permutations within the samples from each patient for the PERMANOVA models. Additionally, we used multilevel partial least squares-discriminant analysis (mPLS-DA), which accounts for clustered samples (from the same patient) and correlations among microbial taxa.

After the PERMANOVA tests, non-parametric univariate tests were conducted at the taxonomic levels, where significant differences were observed to identify the taxa that contributed to those differences. We used Mann-Whitney-U-tests and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests for unpaired (patients vs. HCs) and paired (patients at different visits) respectively. The false discovery rate (fdr) approach was used to correct for multiple comparisons. Variability of the microbiome at different taxonomic levels was performed by calculating pairwise BrayCurtis dissimilarity between individuals in the same group and comparing the pairwise distances between patients and HCs using a Mann-Whitney-U-test.

Analysis clinical variables associated with microbiome composition

PERMANOVA was also performed to examine the factors associated with microbiome composition at the different visits. For each model, the effect of laxative use was corrected by including it as the first independent variable. At T0, the association between microbiome composition and weight loss, illness duration, BMI-SDS, Kcal, and leptin was tested. We also examined longitudinally the combined and individual effects of BMI-SDS and Kcal on the microbiome composition (genus-level) considering all visits together. Additionally, the combined effects of BMI-SDS, leptin, and Kcal together and the effect of leptin alone (unadjusted) on the microbiome composition were investigated long-itudinally considering visits T0, T7, and T8.

Analysis of prognostic relevance of baseline microbiome for clinical outcome

To investigate the association of the gut microbiota (genus- and ASV-levels) at admission (T0) or discharge (T7) with the different variables of clinical outcome (duration of treatment (only with admission microbiome), hospital readmission, and BMI-SDS at 1-year follow-up), first, a linear model (or logistic model for readmission) was constructed, where the effect of weight loss (calculated as the difference in BMI-SDS between disease onset (premorbid BMI-SDS) and admission to the clinic), duration of illness, and BMI-SDS at admission were regressed out to control for factors known to influence the duration of inpatient treatment. Then, the residuals of this model were used as the dependent variable in a second linear model, with microbial relative abundances as an independent variable while controlling for laxative use. For the prediction analyses, we used the square root of the transformed relative abundances of the core microbial taxa to account for non-normal distributions.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the ERA-NET Neuron program (01EW1906A-01EW1906B) funded by the European Union; the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) under the Grant SE 2787/3-1 ("The role of the intestinal microbiome regarding prognosis and therapy in adolescent Anorexia nervosa – clinical and translational analyses"); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Research Unit FOR5042 under Grant DE1614/4-1 ("The microbiome as a therapeutic target in inflammatory bowel diseases"); the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) Excellence Cluster under Grant $E \times C2167$ ("Precision Medicine in Chronic 688 Inflammation PMI").

The authors are grateful to Mrs. Katja Cloppenborg-Schmidt and Mrs. Yasmin Claußen for their excellent technical support, and to the Clinical Trial Center Aachen (CTC-A) for their support in conducting the study.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

The work was supported by the ERA-NET Neuron program (01EW1906A-01EW1906B) funded by the EU; Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung [01EW1906A]; Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [Grant SE 2787/3-1]; Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [Grant DE1614/4-1]; Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [Grant EXC2167].

ORCID

Nadia Andrea Andreani (1) http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0330-9874

Arunabh Sharma D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7808-0942 John F. Baines D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8132-4909 Beate Herpertz-Dahlmann D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8450-3323

Astrid Dempfle D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2618-3920 Jochen Seitz D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0110-7980

Author contributions

JS, JB, and BHD designed the study; BD, HS, NM, VR, and JS recruited the participants and helped gather clinical data and stool and blood samples; HS and LK oversaw sample logistics. NA analyzed the stool samples; NA, AS, and AD performed statistical analyses. NA, AS, and JS wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All coauthors revised the manuscript and agreed to its publication.

Data availability statement

Data available on request due to privacy/ethical restrictions.

References

- 1. Arcelus J, Mitchell AJ, Wales J, Nielsen S. Mortality rates in patients with anorexia nervosa and other eating disorders: a meta-analysis of 36 studies. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011;68(7):724. doi:10.1001/archgenpsychia try.2011.74.
- Bulik CM, Flatt R, Abbaspour A, Carroll I. Reconceptualizing anorexia nervosa. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2019;73(9):518–525. doi:10.1111/pcn.12857.
- Carr J, Kleiman SC, Bulik CM, Bulik-Sullivan EC, Carroll IM. Can attention to the intestinal microbiota improve understanding and treatment of anorexia nervosa? Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;10 (5):565–569. doi:10.1586/17474124.2016.1166953.
- 4. Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Bonin E, Dahmen B. Can you find the right support for children, adolescents and young adults with anorexia nervosa: access to age-appropriate care systems in various healthcare systems. Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2021;29(3):316–328. doi:10.1002/erv.2825.
- Hills RD, Pontefract BA, Mishcon HR, Black CA, Sutton SC, Theberge CR. Gut microbiome: profound implications for diet and disease. Nutrients. 2019;11 (7):1613. doi:10.3390/nu11071613.
- Santacroce L, Man A, Charitos IA, Haxhirexha K, Topi S. Current knowledge about the connection between health status and gut microbiota from birth to elderly. A narrative review. Front Biosci-Land. 2021;26(6):135. doi:10.52586/4930.
- Wu Y, Wang C-Z, Wan J-Y, Yao H, Yuan C-S. Dissecting the interplay mechanism between Epigenetics and gut microbiota: health maintenance and disease prevention. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22 (13):6933. doi:10.3390/ijms22136933.
- Breton J, Tirelle P, Hasanat S, Pernot A, L'Huillier C, Do Rego J-C, Déchelotte P, Coëffier M, Bindels LB, Ribet D. Gut microbiota alteration in a mouse model of Anorexia Nervosa. Clin Nutr. 2021;40(1):181–189. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2020.05.002.
- Trinh S, Kogel V, Voelz C, Schlösser A, Schwenzer C, Kabbert J, Heussen N, Clavel T, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Beyer C. et al. Gut microbiota and brain alterations in a translational anorexia nervosa rat model. J Psychiatr Res. 2021;133:156–165. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2020. 12.030.
- 10. Hata T, Miyata N, Takakura S, Yoshihara K, Asano Y, Kimura-Todani T, Yamashita M, Zhang X-T, Watanabe N, Mikami K. et al. The gut microbiome derived from anorexia nervosa patients impairs weight gain and behavioral performance in female mice.

Endocrinology. 2019;160(10):2441–2452. Article 10. doi:10.1210/en.2019-00408.

- 11. Fan Y, Støving RK, Berreira Ibraim S, Hyötyläinen T, Thirion F, Arora T, Lyu L, Stankevic E, Hansen TH, Déchelotte P. et al. The gut microbiota contributes to the pathogenesis of anorexia nervosa in humans and mice. Nat Microbiol. 2023;8(5):787–802. doi:10.1038/ s41564-023-01355-5.
- 12. Di Lodovico L, Mondot S, Doré J, Mack I, Hanachi M, Gorwood P. Anorexia nervosa and gut microbiota: a systematic review and quantitative synthesis of pooled microbiological data. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2021;106:110114. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp. 2020.110114.
- Mack I, Cuntz U, Grämer C, Niedermaier S, Pohl C, Schwiertz A, Zimmermann K, Zipfel S, Enck P, Penders J. Weight gain in anorexia nervosa does not ameliorate the faecal microbiota, branched chain fatty acid profiles and gastrointestinal complaints. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):26752. doi:10.1038/srep26752.
- Seitz J, Dahmen B, Keller L, Herpertz-Dahlmann B. Gut feelings: how microbiota might impact the development and course of Anorexia Nervosa. Nutrients. 2020;12(11):3295. doi:10.3390/nu12113295.
- 15. Fouladi F, Bulik-Sullivan EC, Glenny EM, Thornton LM, Reed KK, Thomas S, Kleiman S, Watters A, Oakes J, Huh E-Y. et al. Reproducible changes in the anorexia nervosa gut microbiota following inpatient therapy remain distinct from non-eating disorder controls. Gut Microbes. 2022;14(1):2143217. doi:10.1080/19490976.2022.2143217.
- 16. Prochazkova P, Roubalova R, Dvorak J, Kreisinger J, Hill M, Tlaskalova-Hogenova H, Tomasova P, Pelantova H, Cermakova M, Kuzma M. et al. The intestinal microbiota and metabolites in patients with anorexia nervosa. Gut Microbes. 2021;13(1):1902771. doi:10.1080/19490976.2021.1902771.
- 17. Schulz N, Belheouane M, Dahmen B, Ruan VA, Specht HE, Dempfle A, Herpertz-Dahlmann B, Baines JF, Seitz J. Gut microbiota alteration in adolescent anorexia nervosa does not normalize with short-term weight restoration. Int J Eat Disord. 2021;54(6):969–980. doi:10.1002/eat.23435.
- David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, Ling AV, Devlin AS, Varma Y, Fischbach MA. et al. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. Nature. 2014;505 (7484):559–563. Article 7484. doi:10.1038/nature12820.
- Seitz J, Belheouane M, Schulz N, Dempfle A, Baines JF, Herpertz-Dahlmann B. The impact of starvation on the microbiome and gut-brain interaction in anorexia nervosa. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2019;10:41. doi:10.3389/fendo.2019.00041.
- Singh RK, Chang H-W, Yan D, Lee KM, Ucmak D, Wong K, Abrouk M, Farahnik B, Nakamura M, Zhu TH. et al. Influence of diet on the gut microbiome

and implications for human health. J Transl Med. 2017;15(1):73. doi:10.1186/s12967-017-1175-y.

- 21. Borgo F, Riva A, Benetti A, Casiraghi MC, Bertelli S, Garbossa S, Anselmetti S, Scarone S, Pontiroli AE, Morace G. et al. Microbiota in anorexia nervosa: the triangle between bacterial species, metabolites and psy-chological tests. PloS ONE. 2017;12(6):e0179739. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0179739.
- 22. Yuan R, Yang L, Yao G, Geng S, Ge Q, Bo S, Li X. Features of gut microbiota in patients with anorexia nervosa. Chin Med J. 2022;135(16):1993–2002. doi:10. 1097/CM9.00000000002362.
- 23. Neuhauser H, Schienkiewitz A, Schaffrath Rosario A, Dortschy R, Kurth B-M. Referenzperzentile für anthropometrische Maßzahlen und Blutdruck aus der Studie zur Gesundheit von Kindern und Jugendlichenin Deutschland (KiGGS). Berlin: RKI-Hausdruckerei; 2013.
- 24. Kleiman SC, Watson HJ, Bulik-Sullivan EC, Huh EY, Tarantino LM, Bulik CM, Carroll IM. The intestinal microbiota in acute anorexia nervosa and during renourishment: relationship to depression, anxiety, and eating disorder psychopathology. Psychosom Med. 2015;77(9):969–981. doi:10.1097/ PSY.000000000000247.
- 25. Dhopatkar N, Keeler JL, Mutwalli H, Whelan K, Treasure J, Himmerich H. Gastrointestinal symptoms, gut microbiome, probiotics and prebiotics in anorexia nervosa: A review of mechanistic rationale and clinical evidence. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2023;147:105959. doi:10.1016/j.psyneuen.2022.105959.
- 26. Garcia N, Gutierrez E. Anorexia nervosa and microbiota: Systematic review and critical appraisal. Eating Weight Disord - Stud Anorexia, Bulimia Obesity. 2023;28(1):1. doi:10.1007/s40519-023-01529-4.
- Castro TF, Miller K, Araújo MX, Brandão I, Torres S. Emotional processing in recovered anorexia nervosa patients: a 15 year longitudinal study. Euro Eating Disorders Rev. 2021;29(6):955–968. doi:10.1002/erv. 2858.
- Hübel C, Yilmaz Z, Schaumberg KE, Breithaupt L, Hunjan A, Horne E, García-González J, O'Reilly PF, Bulik CM, Breen G. Body composition in anorexia nervosa: Meta-analysis and meta-regression of crosssectional and longitudinal studies. Intl J Eating Disorders. 2019;52(11):1205–1223. doi:10.1002/eat. 23158.
- 29. Monteleone AM, Troisi J, Serena G, Fasano A, Dalle Grave R, Cascino G, Marciello F, Calugi S, Scala G, Corrivetti G. et al. The gut microbiome and metabolomics profiles of restricting and binge-purging type anorexia nervosa. Nutrients. 2021;13(2):507. doi:10. 3390/nu13020507.
- Garcia-Gil M, Ceccarini MR, Stoppini F, Cataldi S, Mazzeschi C, Delvecchio E, Albi E, Gizzi G. Brain and gut microbiota disorders in the psychopathology of

anorexia nervosa. Transl Neurosci. 2022;13(1):516–526. doi:10.1515/tnsci-2022-0267.

- Cheung SG, Goldenthal AR, Uhlemann A, Mann JJ, Miller JM, Sublette ME. Systematic review of gut microbiota and Major depression. Front Psychiatry. 2019;10. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00034.
- 32. Valles-Colomer M, Falony G, Darzi Y, Tigchelaar EF, Wang J, Tito RY, Schiweck C, Kurilshikov A, Joossens M, Wijmenga C, et al. The neuroactive potential of the human gut microbiota in quality of life and depression. Nat Microbiol. 2019;4(4):623–632.
- 33. Tennoune N, Chan P, Breton J, Legrand R, Chabane YN, Akkermann K, Jarv A, Ouelaa W, Takagi K, Ghouzali I. et al. Bacterial ClpB heat-shock protein, an antigen-mimetic of the anorexigenic peptide α-MSH, at the origin of eating disorders. Transl Psychiatry. 2014;4(10):e458. doi:10.1038/tp.2014.98.
- 34. Mason BL, Li Q, Minhajuddin A, Czysz AH, Coughlin LA, Hussain SK, Koh AY, Trivedi MH. Reduced anti-inflammatory gut microbiota are associated with depression and anhedonia. J Affect Disord. 2020;266:394–401. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.01.137.
- 35. Pfleiderer A, Mishra AK, Lagier J, Robert C, Caputo A, Raoult D, Fournier P. Non-contiguous finished genome sequence and description of alistipes ihumii sp. nov. Stand Genomic Sci. 2014;9(3):1221–1235. doi:10.4056/ sigs.4698398.
- Kaur H, Bose C, Mande SS. Tryptophan metabolism by gut microbiome and gut-brain-axis: an in silico analysis. Front Neurosci. 2019;13:493713. doi:10.3389/fnins. 2019.01365.
- Parker BJ, Wearsch PA, Veloo ACM, Rodriguez-Palacios A. The Genus Alistipes: Gut Bacteria With Emerging Implications to Inflammation, Cancer, and Mental Health. Front Immunol. 2020;11:906. doi:10. 3389/fimmu.2020.00906.
- 38. Leyrolle Q, Cserjesi R, Mulders MDGH, Zamariola G, Hiel S, Gianfrancesco MA, Rodriguez J, Portheault D, Amadieu C, Leclercq S. et al. Specific gut microbial, biological, and psychiatric profiling related to binge eating disorders: a cross-sectional study in obese patients. Clin Nutr. 2021;40(4):2035–2044. doi:10. 1016/j.clnu.2020.09.025.
- Glover JS, Ticer TD, Engevik MA. Characterizing the mucin-degrading capacity of the human gut microbiota. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):8456. doi:10.1038/ s41598-022-11819-z.
- 40. Singh V, Lee G, Son H, Koh H, Kim ES, Unno T, Shin J-H. Butyrate producers, "the sentinel of gut": their intestinal significance with and beyond butyrate, and prospective use as microbial therapeutics. Front Microbiol. 2023;13:1103836. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022. 1103836.

- Ruusunen A, Rocks T, Jacka F, Loughman A. The gut microbiome in anorexia nervosa: Relevance for nutritional rehabilitation. Psychopharmacology. 2019;236 (5):1545–1558. doi:10.1007/s00213-018-5159-2.
- 42. Golloso-Gubat MJ, Ducarmon QR, Tan RCA, Zwittink RD, Kuijper EJ, Nacis JS, Santos NLC. Gut microbiota and dietary intake of normal-weight and overweight Filipino children. Microorganisms. 2020;8 (7):1015. doi:10.3390/microorganisms8071015.
- 43. Navarro-Tapia E, Almeida-Toledano L, Sebastiani G, Serra-Delgado M, García-Algar Ó, Andreu-Fernández V. Effects of microbiota imbalance in anxiety and eating disorders: probiotics as novel therapeutic approaches. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(5):2351. doi:10. 3390/ijms22052351.
- 44. Hebebrand J, Hildebrandt T, Schlögl H, Seitz J, Denecke S, Vieira D, Gradl-Dietsch G, Peters T, Antel J, Lau D. et al. The role of hypoleptinemia in the psychological and behavioral adaptation to starvation: implications for anorexia nervosa. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2022;141:104807. doi:10.1016/j.neu biorev.2022.104807.
- 45. Yao H, Fan C, Fan X, Lu Y, Wang Y, Wang R, Tang T, Qi K. Effects of gut microbiota on leptin expression and body weight are lessened by high-fat diet in mice. Br J Nutr. 2020;124(4):396–406. doi:10.1017/ S0007114520001117.
- 46. Neuman H, Debelius JW, Knight R, Koren O, Banin E. Microbial endocrinology: the interplay between the microbiota and the endocrine system. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2015;39(4):509–521. Article 4. doi:10. 1093/femsre/fuu010.
- 47. Gradl-Dietsch G, Milos G, Wabitsch M, Bell R, Tschöpe F, Antel J, Hebebrand J. Rapid emergence of appetite and hunger resulting in weight gain and improvement of eating disorder symptomatology during and after short-term off-label metreleptin treatment of a patient with anorexia nervosa. Obes Facts. 2023;16 (1):99–107. doi:10.1159/000527386.
- 48. Milos G, Antel J, Kaufmann L-K, Barth N, Koller A, Tan S, Wiesing U, Hinney A, Libuda L, Wabitsch M. et al. Short-term metreleptin treatment of patients with anorexia nervosa: rapid on-set of beneficial cognitive, emotional, and behavioral effects. Transl Psychiatry. 2020;10(1):303. doi:10.1038/s41398-020-00977-1.
- 49. Henneke L, Schlicht K, Andreani NA, Hollstein T, Demetrowitsch T, Knappe C, Hartmann K, Jensen-Kroll J, Rohmann N, Pohlschneider D. et al. A dietary carbohydrate – gut Parasutterella – human fatty acid biosynthesis metabolic axis in obesity and type 2 diabetes. Gut Microbes. 2022;14(1):2057778. doi:10.1080/ 19490976.2022.2057778.

- 50. Geirnaert A, Calatayud M, Grootaert C, Laukens D, Devriese S, Smagghe G, De Vos M, Boon N, Van de Wiele T. Butyrate-producing bacteria supplemented in vitro to Crohn's disease patient microbiota increased butyrate production and enhanced intestinal epithelial barrier integrity. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):Article 1. doi:10. 1038/s41598-017-11734-8.
- Maukonen J, Ouwehand AC. Changes in the microbiota composition and function in relation to aging. InComprehensive gut microbiota. Elsevier; 2022pp. 85–96. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-819265-8.00060-7.
- 52. Dalton B, Bartholdy S, Robinson L, Solmi M, Ibrahim MA, Breen G, Schmidt U, Himmerich H. A meta-analysis of cytokine concentrations in eating disorders. J Psychiatr Res. 2018;103:252–264. doi:10. 1016/j.jpsychires.2018.06.002.
- 53. Keeler JL, Treasure J, Juruena MF, Kan C, Himmerich H. Reply to Skokou, M. Comment on "Keeler et al. Ketamine as a Treatment for Anorexia Nervosa: A Narrative Review. Nutrients 2021, 13, 4158. Nutrients. 2022;14(10):2119. doi:10.3390/ nu14102119.
- 54. Specht HE, Mannig N, Belheouane M, Andreani NA, Tenbrock K, Biemann R, Borucki K, Dahmen B, Dempfle A, Baines JF. et al. Lower serum levels of IL- 1β and IL-6 cytokines in adolescents with anorexia nervosa and their association with gut microbiota in a longitudinal study. Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:920665. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.920665.
- 55. Hedman A, Breithaupt L, Hübel C, Thornton LM, Tillander A, Norring C, Birgegård A, Larsson H, Ludvigsson JF, Sävendahl L. et al. Bidirectional relationship between eating disorders and autoimmune diseases. J Child Psychol Psychiatry. 2019;60 (7):803–812. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12958.
- 56. Raevuori A, Haukka J, Vaarala O, Suvisaari JM, Gissler M, Grainger M, Linna MS, Suokas JT, Horwitz MS. The increased risk for autoimmune diseases in patients with eating disorders. PloS ONE. 2014;9(8):e104845. Article 8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0104845.
- Hiippala K, Kainulainen V, Kalliomäki M, Arkkila P, Satokari R. Mucosal prevalence and interactions with the epithelium indicate commensalism of sutterella spp. Front Microbiol. 2016;7:7. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2016.01706.
- 58. Giri S, Mangalam A. The gut microbiome and metabolome in multiple sclerosis. In Microbiome and Metabolome in Diagnosis, therapy, and other strategic applications. Elsevier; 2019pp. 333–340. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-815249-2.00034-8.
- 59. Everard A, Lazarevic V, Gaïa N, Johansson M, Ståhlman M, Backhed F, Delzenne NM, Schrenzel J, François P, Cani PD. Microbiome of prebiotic-treated mice reveals novel targets involved in host response during obesity. ISME J. 2014;8(10):2116–2130. doi:10. 1038/ismej.2014.45.
- 60. Garner DM. Eating disorder inventory-2. 1991.

- Kühner C, Bürger C, Keller F, Hautzinger M. Reliabilität und Validität des revidierten Beck-Depressionsinventars (BDI-II)
 Der Nervenarzt. 2007;78(6):651–656. Article 6. doi:10.1007/ s00115-006-2098-7.
- Spence SH. A measure of anxiety symptoms among children. Behav Res Ther. 1998;36(5):545-566. Article 5. doi:10.1016/S0005-7967(98)00034-5.
- Cooper Z, Fairburn C. The eating disorder examination: a semi-structured interview for the assessment of the specific psychopathology of eating disorders. Int J Eat Disord. 1987;6(1):1–8. Article 1. doi:10. 1002/1098-108X(198701)6:1<1:AID-EAT2260060102>3.0.CO;2-9.
- Luce KH, Crowther JH. The reliability of the eating disorder examination?Self-report questionnaire version (EDE-Q). Int J Eat Disord. 1999;25(3):349–351. doi:10. 1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199904)25:3<349:AID-EAT15>3.0.CO;2-M.
- 65. Bolyen E, Rideout JR, Dillon M, Bokulich N, Abnet C, Al-Ghalith G, Alexander H, Alm E, Arumugam M, Asnicar F. et al. QIIME 2: Reproducible, interactive, scalable, and extensible microbiome data science. PeerJ. 2018. doi:10.7287/peerj.preprints.27295.
- Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016;13(7):581–583. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3869.
- Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. PeerJ. 2016;4(10):e2584. doi:10.7717/ peerj.2584.
- 68. Bokulich NA, Kaehler BD, Rideout JR, Dillon M, Bolyen E, Knight R, Huttley GA, Gregory Caporaso J. Optimizing taxonomic classification of marker-gene amplicon sequences with QIIME 2's q2-featureclassifier plugin. Microbiome. 2018;6(1):1-7. doi:10. 1186/s40168-018-0470-z.
- 69. Oksanen J. et al. (2022). Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R Package Version 2.6-4. https://github.com/ vegandevs/vegan
- Anderson MJ. Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA). In: Balakrishnan N, Colton T, Everitt B, Piegorsch W, Ruggeri F Teugels JL. editors. Wiley StatsRef: statistics reference online. 1st. Wiley; 2017. pp. 1–15. doi:10.1002/ 9781118445112.stat07841.
- Liquet B, Cao K-AL, Hocini H, Thiébaut R. A novel approach for biomarker selection and the integration of repeated measures experiments from two assays. BMC Bioinform. 2012;13(1):325. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-13-325.
- 72. Rohart F, Gautier B, Singh A, Cao K-AL, Schneidman D. mixOmics: an R package for 'omics feature selection and multiple data integration. PLoS Comput Biol. 2017;13(11):e1005752. doi:10.1371/jour nal.pcbi.1005752.