# 1 <u>Multiple levels of transcriptional regulation control glycolate metabolism in</u>

# 2 Paracoccus denitrificans

- 3 Lennart Schada von Borzyskowski<sup>1,2</sup>\*, Lucas Hermann<sup>3</sup>, Katharina Kremer<sup>1</sup>, Sebastian Barthel<sup>1</sup>, Bianca
- 4 Pommerenke<sup>1</sup>, Timo Glatter<sup>4</sup>, Nicole Paczia<sup>5</sup>, Erhard Bremer<sup>3,6</sup>, Tobias J. Erb<sup>1,6</sup>\*

- 6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry & Synthetic Metabolism, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Karl-von-7 Frisch-Str. 10, D-35043 Marburg, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Institute of Biology Leiden, Leiden University, Sylviusweg 72, 2333 8 BE Leiden, The Netherlands; <sup>3</sup>Laboratory for Microbiology, Department of Biology, Philipps-University Marburg, 9 Karl-von-Frisch-Str. 8, D-35043 Marburg, Germany;<sup>4</sup>Facility for Mass Spectrometry and Proteomics, Max Planck 10 Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Karl-von-Frisch-Str. 10, D-35043 Marburg, Germany; <sup>5</sup>Facility for 11 Metabolomics and Small Molecule Mass Spectrometry, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Karl-12 von-Frisch-Str. 10, 35043 Marburg, Germany; <sup>6</sup>LOEWE-Center for Synthetic Microbiology, Philipps-University 13 Marburg, Karl-von-Frisch-Str. 8, D-35043 Marburg, Germany.
- 14 \* corresponding authors: L.S.v.B. (L.Schada.von.Borzyskowski@biology.leidenuniv.nl), T.J.E. (toerb@mpi-
- 15 <u>marburg.mpg.de</u>)

## 16 Abstract

17 The hydroxyacid glycolate is a highly abundant carbon source in the environment. Glycolate is 18 produced by unicellular photosynthetic organisms and excreted at petagram scales to the 19 environment, where it serves as growth substrate for heterotrophic bacteria. In microbial metabolism, 20 glycolate is first oxidized to glyoxylate by the enzyme glycolate oxidase. The recently described β-21 hydroxyaspartate cycle (BHAC) subsequently mediates the carbon-neutral assimilation of glyoxylate 22 into central metabolism in ubiquitous Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria. While the reaction sequence 23 of the BHAC was elucidated in Paracoccus denitrificans, little is known about the regulation of glycolate 24 and glyoxylate assimilation in this relevant alphaproteobacterial model organism. Here, we show that 25 regulation of glycolate metabolism in *P. denitrificans* is surprisingly complex, involving two regulators, 26 the IcIR-type transcription factor BhcR that acts as an activator for the BHAC gene cluster, as well as 27 the GntR-type transcriptional regulator GlcR, a previously unidentified repressor that controls the 28 production of glycolate oxidase. Furthermore, an additional layer of regulation is exerted at the global 29 level, which involves the transcriptional regulator CceR that controls the switch between glycolysis and 30 gluconeogenesis in P. denitrificans. Together, these regulators control glycolate metabolism in P. 31 denitrificans, allowing the organism to assimilate glycolate together with other carbon substrates in a 32 simultaneous fashion, rather than sequentially. Our results show that the metabolic network of Alphaproteobacteria shows a high degree of flexibility to react to the availability of multiple substrates 33 34 in the environment.

35

## 36 Introduction

37 The two-carbon compound glycolate is the simplest α-hydroxyacid. Photosynthetic organisms that rely 38 on carbon dioxide fixation via the Calvin-Benson-Bassham (CBB) cycle produce this molecule as part of 39 their photorespiration process (1). Subsequently, glycolate can either be recycled into cellular 40 metabolism using an inefficient and energetically costly metabolic pathway (2) or excreted (3). The latter route predominates in unicellular photosynthetic organisms, such as eukaryotic microalgae and
Cyanobacteria. Due to the abundance of these ubiquitous phototrophs in marine and freshwater
habitats, an annual flux of one petagram (10<sup>9</sup> tonnes) of glycolate has been estimated (4). Hence,
glycolate is a readily available source of carbon for heterotrophic environmental microorganisms.

45 In microbial metabolism, glycolate is first oxidized to glyoxylate via the enzyme glycolate oxidase (5-46 7). In addition, glyoxylate can be generated as breakdown product of ubiquitous purine bases and 47 allantoin (8), as well as ethylenediaminetetraacetate (EDTA) and nitrilotriacetate (NTA) (9, 10). There 48 are two known metabolic routes for subsequent net assimilation of glyoxylate. The well-studied 49 glycerate pathway is used by Escherichia coli and other bacteria to convert two molecules of glyoxylate 50 into one molecule of 2-phosphoglycerate, releasing one molecule of carbon dioxide in the process (7, 11). The  $\beta$ -hydroxyaspartate cycle (BHAC) (12, 13), on the other hand, was recently shown to convert 51 52 two molecules of glyoxylate into oxaloacetate via four enzymatic steps without the release of  $CO_2$  (14). 53 In contrast to the glycerate pathway, the BHAC has a much higher energy and carbon efficiency, and has already been successfully applied in metabolic engineering efforts in bacteria (15) and plants (16). 54

55 Notably, the BHAC is the dominant glycolate assimilation route in the environmentally relevant groups of Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria, and was recently shown to play an important role in global 56 57 glycolate conversions, in particular in marine environments (14). In a field study, enzymes of the BHAC 58 were shown to be upregulated during a bloom of marine algae, following increased glycolate 59 concentrations. Metagenomic data further supported the global prevalence of the BHAC. However, despite the ecological relevance of the BHAC, the question how glycolate and glyoxylate metabolism 60 61 are regulated at the molecular and cellular level in Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria remained 62 unanswered.

The BHAC was previously elucidated in the Alphaproteobacterium *Paracoccus denitrificans* (14), an environmental model organism with a versatile metabolism (17, 18). *P. denitrificans* can grow both aerobically and anaerobically, using either oxygen or nitrate as terminal electron acceptor (19, 20). In

addition, *P. denitrificans* is capable of utilizing many different carbon substrates for heterotrophic growth and can even fix carbon dioxide for autotrophic growth (21, 22). While the regulation of denitrification (23-26) and respiration (27, 28) were elucidated in detail in *P. denitrificans*, the mechanisms that regulate central carbon metabolism in this bacterium have been studied only recently (29-32).

In respect to glycolate metabolism, it is known that production of the four enzymes of the BHAC is strongly induced in *P. denitrificans* during growth on glycolate, compared to growth on succinate. Furthermore, it was reported that *bhcR*, a gene coding for a putative transcriptional regulator, is positioned adjacent to the enzyme-encoding genes of the BHAC in the genome of *P. denitrificans*. BhcR was found to bind to the promoter region of the *bhc* gene cluster, while, in turn, this interaction was negatively affected by glyoxylate (14).

77 In this work, we show that BhcR functions as an activator of the *bhc* gene cluster and is required for 78 both growth on glyoxylate and glycolate in *P. denitrificans*. In addition, we identify and characterize 79 GlcR, a previously unknown transcriptional repressor of the GntR family that regulates glycolate oxidase in P. denitrificans. By extending our investigation to the global level, we found that the 80 81 transcription factor CceR controls the metabolic switch between glycolysis and gluconeogenesis. 82 Furthermore, we show that P. denitrificans co-assimilates glycolate and other carbon substrates 83 simultaneously, not sequentially. Collectively, our work demonstrates multiple levels of transcriptional 84 regulation in glycolate metabolism and highlights the surprising flexibility of the central metabolic 85 network of Alphaproteobacteria in response to carbon substrate availability.

#### 86 Materials & Methods

#### 87 Chemicals & Reagents

88 Unless otherwise stated, all chemicals and reagents were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA),

and were of the highest purity available. Sodium glycolate was acquired from Alfa Aesar (Haverhill,

90 USA).

91

## 92 Strains, media and cultivation conditions

All strains used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Table 4**. *Escherichia coli* DH5 $\alpha$  (for genetic

94 work), ST18 (33) (for plasmid conjugation to *P. denitrificans*) and BL21 AI (for protein production) were

95 grown at 37 °C in lysogeny broth (34), unless stated otherwise.

96 Paracoccus denitrificans DSM 413 (35) and its derivatives were grown at 30 °C in lysogeny broth or in 97 mineral salt medium with TE3-Zn trace elements (36) supplemented with various carbon sources. To 98 monitor growth, the OD<sub>600</sub> of culture samples was determined on a photospectrometer (Merck 99 Chemicals GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) or in Infinite<sup>®</sup> 200 Pro plate reader systems (Tecan, 100 Männedorf, Switzerland).

101

#### 102 Vector construction

103 All plasmids used in this study are listed in **Supplementary Table 5**.

104 To create a plasmid for heterologous overexpression of glcR in E. coli, this gene (Pden\_4400) was 105 cloned into the expression vector pET16b (Merck Chemicals). To this end, the respective gene was 106 amplified from genomic DNA of *P. denitrificans* DSM 413 using the primers provided in **Supplementary** 107 Table 6. The resulting PCR product was digested with suitable restriction endonucleases (Thermo Fisher Scientific) as given in **Supplementary Table 6** and ligated into the expression vector pET16b that 108 109 had been digested with the same enzymes to create a vector for heterologous production of GlcR. The 110 gene encoding for BhcR (Pden 3922) had been cloned into pET16b previously (14). To heterologously 111 produce MBP-GlcR, the glcR gene was codon-optimized using Geneious Prime (Biomatters, Inc.,

Boston, USA) and ordered from Twist Bioscience (South San Francisco, USA), including terminal *Bsm*BI endonuclease sites. This fragment was inserted into an expression vector (pMBP-sfgfp\_dropout) encoding for an N-terminal maltose-binding protein gene (*malE*) by Golden Gate assembly with the *Bsm*BI isoschizomer *Esp*3I.

116 To create constructs for gene deletion in *P. denitrificans*, the upstream and downstream flanking 117 regions of the bhcR/glcR/cceR/glcDEF genes from P. denitrificans DSM 413 were cloned into the gene 118 deletion vector pREDSIX (37). To this end, the flanking regions were amplified from genomic DNA of P. 119 denitrificans DSM 413 with the primers given in **Supplementary Table 6**. The resulting PCR products 120 were used to perform Gibson assembly with the vector pREDSIX, which had been digested with Mfel. 121 Subsequently, the resulting vector was digested with *NdeI*, and a kanamycin resistance cassette, which 122 had been cut out of the vector pRGD-Kan (37) with Ndel, was ligated into the cut site to generate the 123 final vectors for gene deletion. In each case, vectors with forward orientation and reverse orientation 124 of the kanamycin resistance cassette were generated.

To create the promoter probe vector pTE714, the mCherry gene was amplified with the primers mCherry\_fw and mCherry\_rv using the vector pTE100-mCherry (38) as template. The PCR product was digested with *Nde*I and *Eco*RI and subsequently ligated into the backbone of pTE100 (38) (digested with *Ase*I and *Mfe*I), yielding the pTE714 plasmid.

To create reporter plasmids for *P. denitrificans*, the intergenic regions between *bhcR/bhcA* (Pden\_3922/Pden\_3921) and *glcR/glcD* (Pden\_4400/4399), respectively, were cloned into the promoter probe vector pTE714. The respective regions were amplified from genomic DNA of *P. denitrificans* DSM413 with the primers provided in **Supplementary Table 6**. The resulting PCR products were digested with suitable restriction endonucleases (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) as given in **Supplementary Table 6** and ligated into likewise digested pTE714.

Successful cloning of all desired constructs was verified by Sanger sequencing (Microsynth, Göttingen,Germany).

137

## 138 **Production and purification of recombinant proteins**

For heterologous overproduction of BhcR and GlcR, the corresponding plasmid encoding the 139 140 respective protein was first transformed into chemically competent E. coli BL21 AI cells. The cells were 141 then grown on LB agar plates containing 100 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> ampicillin at 37 °C overnight. A starter culture in 142 selective LB medium was inoculated from a single colony on the next day and left to grow overnight at 143 37 °C in a shaking incubator. The starter culture was used on the next day to inoculate an expression 144 culture in selective TB medium in a 1:100 dilution. The expression culture was grown at 37 °C in a 145 shaking incubator to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 to 0.7, induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and 0.2% L-arabinose and 146 subsequently grown overnight at 18 °C in a shaking incubator. Cells were harvested at 6,000 x g for 15 147 min at 4 °C and cell pellets were stored at -20 °C until purification. Cell pellets were resuspended in 148 twice their volume of buffer A (BhcR: 100 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 4 mM  $\beta$ mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol and one tablet of SIGMAFAST™ protease inhibitor cocktail, EDTA-free 149 150 per L; GlcR: 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 15 mM imidazole, 1 mM  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol 151 and one tablet of SIGMAFAST<sup>™</sup> protease inhibitor cocktail, EDTA-free per L). The cell suspension was 152 treated with a Sonopuls GM200 sonicator (BANDELIN electronic GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, Germany) at 153 an amplitude of 50% to lyse the cells and subsequently centrifuged at 50,000 x g and 4 °C for 1 h. The filtered supernatant (0.45 µm filter; Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) was loaded onto Protino® Ni-NTA 154 155 Agarose (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) in a gravity column, which had previously been 156 equilibrated with 5 column volumes of buffer A. The column was washed with 20 column volumes of 157 buffer A and 5 column volumes of 85% buffer A and 15% buffer B and the His-tagged protein was 158 eluted with buffer B (buffer A with 500 mM imidazole). The eluate was desalted using PD-10 desalting 159 columns (GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA) and buffer C (BhcR: 100 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 160 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 5% glycerol and 1 mM DTT; GlcR: 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, 5% glycerol). This was followed by purification on a size exclusion column (Superdex<sup>™</sup> 200 pg, HiLoad<sup>™</sup> 161 16/600; GE Healthcare, Chicago, USA) connected to an ÄKTA Pure system (GE Healthcare, Chicago, 162 163 USA) using buffer C. 2 mL concentrated protein solution was injected, and flow was kept constant at 1

164 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Elution fractions containing pure protein were determined via SDS-PAGE analysis (39) on

165 12.5 % gels. Purified proteins in buffer C were subsequently used for downstream experiments.

166 For heterologous overproduction of MBP-GlcR, the corresponding plasmid encoding for the respective 167 protein was first transformed into chemically competent E. coli BL21 AI cells. The cells were then grown on LB agar plates containing 34 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> chloramphenicol at 37 °C overnight. A starter culture in 168 169 selective LB medium was inoculated from a single colony on the next day and left to grow overnight at 170 37°C in a shaking incubator. The starter culture was used on the next day to inoculate an expression 171 culture in selective TB medium with a starting  $OD_{600}$  of 0.05. The expression culture was grown at 37 172 °C in a shaking incubator to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 1.0, induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and 0.025% L-arabinose and subsequently grown overnight at 20 °C in a shaking incubator. Cells were harvested at 4,000 x g for 173 174 20 min at 4 °C and cell pellets were stored at -70 °C until purification. Cell pellets were resuspended in 175 twice their volume of buffer A (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 500 mM KCl) with 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> and DNase I 176 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The cell suspension was treated with a Sonopuls GM200 sonicator 177 (BANDELIN electronic GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, Germany) at an amplitude of 50% in order to lyse the 178 cells and subsequently centrifuged at 100,000 x g and 4 °C for 45 min. The filtered supernatant (0.45 179 μm filter; Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) was loaded onto a Ni-NTA column (HisTrap HP 1 mL, Cytiva, Marlborough, USA) using the fast protein liquid chromatography (FPLC) system (Äkta Start, Cytiva). 180 181 The system had previously been equilibrated with buffer A + 25 mM imidazole. The column was 182 washed with buffer A and 75 mM imidazole, and MBP-GlcR was eluted with buffer A + 500 mM 183 imidazole. The eluate was desalted using a HiTrap desalting column (Sephadex G-25 resin, Cytiva) and 184 protein elution buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 100 mM NaCl).

185

# 186 Genetic modification of *P. denitrificans*

187 Transfer of replicative plasmids into *P. denitrificans* was performed via conjugation using *E. coli* ST18
188 as donor strain according to previously described methods (14). Selection of conjugants was performed

at 30 °C on LB plates containing 0.5 μg ml<sup>-1</sup> tetracycline. Successful transfer of plasmids into
 *P. denitrificans* was verified by colony PCR.

191 Transfer of gene deletion plasmids into *P. denitrificans* was performed in the same way. Selection of 192 conjugants was performed at 30 °C on LB agar plates containing 25 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin. The respective 193 gene deletion was verified by colony PCR and DNA sequencing (Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, 194 Germany), and the deletion strain was propagated in selective LB medium. In each case, the gene to 195 be deleted was replaced by a kanamycin resistance cassette either in the same direction or the 196 opposite direction to exclude polar effects.

197

## 198 High-throughput growth and fluorescence assays with *P. denitrificans* strains

199 Cultures of P. denitrificans DSM 413 WT and its derivatives were pre-grown at 30 °C in LB medium containing 25 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin or 0.5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> tetracycline, when necessary. Cells were harvested, 200 201 washed once with minimal medium containing no carbon source and used to inoculate growth cultures 202 of 180 µL minimal medium containing an appropriate carbon source as well as 25 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> kanamycin 203 or 0.5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> tetracycline, when necessary. Growth and fluorescence in 96-well plates (Thermo Fisher 204 Scientific, Waltham, USA) were monitored at 30 °C at 600 nm in a Tecan Infinite M200Pro plate reader 205 (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). Fluorescence of mCherry was measured at an emission wavelength 206 of 610 nm after excitation at 575 nm. The resulting data was evaluated using GraphPad Prism 8.1.1. To 207 determine whether differences in growth rate or substrate uptake rate are significant, unpaired t tests 208 with Welch's correction were used.

209

# 210 Whole-cell shotgun proteomics

To acquire the proteome of *P. denitrificans* WT and  $\triangle cceR$  (OD<sub>600</sub> ~0.4) in minimal medium supplemented with 60 mM glyoxylate, four replicate cultures were grown for each strain. Main cultures were inoculated from precultures grown in the same medium in a 1:1,000 dilution. Cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 × g and 4 °C for 15 min. Supernatant was discarded and pellets were washed in 40 mL phosphate buffered saline (PBS; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM
Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 1.8 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.4). After washing, cell pellets were resuspended in 1 mL PBS,
transferred into Eppendorf tubes, and repeatedly centrifuged. Cell pellets in Eppendorf tubes were
snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until they were used for the preparation of samples
for LC-MS analysis and label-free quantification.

220 For protein extraction bacterial cell pellets were resuspended in 4% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and 221 lysed by heating (95 °C, 15 min) and sonication (Hielscher Ultrasonics GmbH, Teltow, Germany). 222 Reduction was performed for 15 min at 90 °C in the presence of 5 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine 223 followed by alkylation using 10 mM iodoacetamide at 25 °C for 30 min. The protein concentration in 224 each sample was determined using the BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) 225 following the manufacturer's instructions. Protein cleanup and tryptic digest were performed using 226 the SP3 protocol as described previously (40) with minor modifications regarding protein digestion 227 temperature and solid phase extraction of peptides. SP3 beads were obtained from GE Healthcare 228 (Chicago, USA). 1 µg trypsin (Promega, Fitchburg, USA) was used to digest 50 µg of total solubilized 229 protein from each sample. Tryptic digest was performed overnight at 30 °C. Subsequently, all protein 230 digests were desalted using C18 microspin columns (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, USA) according to 231 the manufacturer's instructions.

232 LC-MS/MS analysis of protein digests was performed on a Q-Exactive Plus mass spectrometer 233 connected to an electrospray ion source (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Peptide separation 234 was carried out using an Ultimate 3000 nanoLC-system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA), 235 equipped with an in-house packed C18 resin column (Magic C18 AQ 2.4 µm; Dr. Maisch, Ammerbuch-236 Entringen, Germany). The peptides were first loaded onto a C18 precolumn (preconcentration set-up) 237 and then eluted in backflush mode with a gradient from 94% solvent A (0.15% formic acid) and 6% 238 solvent B (99.85% acetonitrile, 0.15% formic acid) to 25% solvent B over 87 min, continued with 25% 239 to 35% of solvent B for an additional 33 min. The flow rate was set to 300 nL/min. The data acquisition 240 mode for the initial LFQ study was set to obtain one high-resolution MS scan at a resolution of 60,000 241 (m/z 200) with scanning range from 375 to 1500 m/z followed by MS/MS scans of the 10 most intense ions. To increase the efficiency of MS/MS shots, the charged state screening modus was adjusted to 242 243 exclude unassigned and singly charged ions. The dynamic exclusion duration was set to 30 sec. The ion 244 accumulation time was set to 50 ms (both MS and MS/MS). The automatic gain control (AGC) was set 245 to  $3 \times 10^6$  for MS survey scans and  $1 \times 10^5$  for MS/MS scans. Label-free quantification was performed 246 using Progenesis QI (version 2.0). MS raw files were imported into Progenesis and the output data 247 (MS/MS spectra) were exported in mgf format. MS/MS spectra were then searched using MASCOT 248 (version 2.5) against a database of the predicted proteome from *P. denitrificans* downloaded from the UniProt database (www.uniprot.org; download date 01/26/2017), containing 386 common 249 250 contaminant/background proteins that were manually added. The following search parameters were 251 used: full tryptic specificity required (cleavage after lysine or arginine residues); two missed cleavages 252 allowed; carbamidomethylation (C) set as a fixed modification; and oxidation (M) set as a variable 253 modification. The mass tolerance was set to 10 ppm for precursor ions and 0.02 Da for fragment ions 254 for high energy-collision dissociation (HCD). Results from the database search were imported back to 255 Progenesis, mapping peptide identifications to MS1 features. The peak heights of all MS1 features 256 annotated with the same peptide sequence were summed, and protein abundance was calculated per 257 LC–MS run. Next, the data obtained from Progenesis were evaluated using the SafeQuant R-package 258 version 2.2.2 (41).

259

### 260 Electrophoretic mobility shift assays

Fluorescently labeled DNA fragments for electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) were generated by PCR from genomic DNA of *P. denitrificans* DSM 413. For the *Pbhc* regulatory region, primers Pbhc\_fw and Pbhc\_rev-dye were used to generate a 238-bp fragment containing the putative *Pbhc* promoter. The primers bhcA\_fw and bhcA\_rev-dye were used to generate a 255-bp fragment containing a part of the *bhcA* gene as negative control. For the *Pglc* regulatory region, primers Pglc\_fw and Pglc\_rev-dye were used to generate a 156-bp fragment containing the putative *Pglc* promoter.

267 The primers glcD fw and glcD rev-dye were used to generate a 156-bp fragment containing a part of the *glcD* gene as negative control. All respective reverse primers were 5'-labelled with the Dyomics 268 269 781 fluorescent dye (Microsynth AG, Balgach, Switzerland). Binding reactions were performed in 270 buffer A (20 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.0, 1 mM DTT, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 50 mM KCl, 15 μg mL<sup>-1</sup> bovine serum albumin, 50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> herring sperm DNA, 5% v/v glycerol, 0.1% Tween20) in a total volume of 271 272 20 µL. The respective DNA fragments (0.025 pM) were incubated with various amounts of the purified 273 protein BhcR (0x/400x/2,000x/4,000x/10,000x/20,000x/30,000x/40,000x molar excess) or GlcR (0x/ 274 20x/100x/200x/500x/1,000x/1,500x/2,000x molar excess) and protein:DNA complexes were 275 incubated with various concentrations of effector molecules as indicated in the respective figure 276 legends. After incubation of the reaction mixtures at 37 °C for 20 min, the samples were loaded onto 277 a native 5% polyacrylamide gel and electrophoretically separated at 110 V for 60 min. BhcR/GlcR:DNA-278 interactions were detected using an Odyssey FC Imaging System (LI-COR Biosciences, Lincoln, USA).

279

## 280 Fluorescence polarization assays

281 Fluorescently labeled DNA fragments for fluorescence polarization assays were generated as follows: 1) For the [6FAM]-P<sub>alc</sub> fragment, DNA was amplified from pTE714\_4400/4399\_ig using primers [6FAM]-282 283 Pglc fw & Pglc rev. 2) For [6FAM]-tetO, 10 μM [6FAM]-tetO fw and 10 μM tetO rev primers were 284 mixed in 1x annealing buffer (15 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.3, 0.5 mM EDTA, 7 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.01% Triton X-100). Primers were annealed by incubation at 95 °C for 2 min and subsequent cool-down to 285 room temperature in the heating block. All fluorescence polarization experiments were prepared in 286 287 1x binding buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.01% Triton X-100) using 10 nM DNA at 20 µL 288 scale. All reagents were prepared in 1x binding buffer. For the GlcR binding curve, the MGlcR dilution 289 series and 20 nM DNA dilutions ([6FAM]- $P_{alc}$  and [6FAM]-tetO) were mixed at equal volumes of 10  $\mu$ L. 290 MGlcR was assayed in a 1:2 dilution series from 6 µM to 5.86 nM. For the effector binding assay, 10 291  $\mu$ L MGlcR at 1.5  $\mu$ M, 5  $\mu$ L [6FAM]- $P_{alc}$  DNA at 40 nM and 5  $\mu$ L respective effector dilution series were 292 mixed. Effectors were assayed at 100, 10, 1 and 0.1 mM. Reactions were transferred into black, nonbinding 384-well plates (Greiner BioOne, Kremsmünster, Austria), briefly centrifuged in a benchtop
centrifuge, and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. Fluorescence polarization was measured
in a Tecan Spark (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) with 485 nm excitation and 535 nm emission
wavelength, using optimal gain and optimal Z-position as determined by the plate reader. Blanks were
prepared with 1x binding buffer.

298

# 299 Substrate uptake experiments

Quantitative determination of glycolate in spent medium was performed using a LC-MS/MS. The chromatographic separation was performed on an Agilent Infinity II 1290 HPLC system using a Kinetex EVO C18 column (150 × 1.7 mm, 3 µm particle size, 100 Å pore size, Phenomenex) connected to a guard column of similar specificity (20 × 2.1 mm, 5 µm particle size, Phenomenex) at a constant flow rate of 0.1 ml/min with mobile phase A being 0.1% formic acid in water and phase B being 0.1% formic acid in methanol (Honeywell, Morristown, New Jersey, USA) at 25 °C.

306 The injection volume was 1 µl. The mobile phase profile consisted of the following steps and linear 307 gradients: 0 - 4 min constant at 0 % B; 4 - 6 min from 0 to 100 % B; 6 - 7 min constant at 100 % B; 7 - 6308 7.1 min from 100 to 0 % B; 7.1 to 12 min constant at 0 % B. An Agilent 6495 ion funnel mass 309 spectrometer was used in negative mode with an electrospray ionization source and the following 310 conditions: ESI spray voltage 2000 V, nozzle voltage 500 V, sheath gas 400 °C at 11 l/min, nebulizer 311 pressure 50 psig and drying gas 80 °C at 16 I/min. The target compound was identified based on its 312 mass transitions and retention time compared to standards. Chromatograms were integrated using 313 MassHunter software (Agilent, Santa Clara, California, USA). Absolute concentrations were calculated 314 based on an external calibration curve prepared in fresh medium. Mass transitions, collision energies, 315 cell accelerator voltages and dwell times were optimized using chemically pure standards. Parameter 316 settings for glycolate were as follows: quantifier  $75 \rightarrow 75$ ; collision energy 0; qualifier  $75 \rightarrow 47$ ; collision 317 energy 6; dwell 20; cell accelerator voltage 5.

318 Glucose concentrations in spent medium were quantified using the Glucose-Glo<sup>™</sup> Assay kit (Promega,

319 Walldorf, Germany). Luminescence measurements of diluted medium samples were performed in

320 white 384-well plates (Greiner BioOne, Kremsmünster, Austria) in a Tecan Infinite M200Pro plate

- 321 reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) according to the instructions of the kit.
- 322

#### 323 Phylogenetic analyses

- 324 Sequences of BhcR homologs and other transcriptional regulators of the IcIR family were downloaded
- from the NCBI Protein database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/) and aligned using MUSCLE
- 326 (42). A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of the aligned sequences was calculated with MEGA X
- 327 (43) using the Le-Gascuel model (44) with 100 bootstraps. The resulting tree was visualized using iTOL
- 328 (45). The phylogenetic tree for GlcR homologs and other transcriptional regulators of the FadR
- 329 subfamily was generated in the same way.
- The alignment of IcIR, AlIR, and BhcR amino acid sequences was generated using MUSCLE and coloredwith Jalview (46).
- 332

## 333 Visualization and statistical analysis

Data were evaluated and visualized using GraphPad Prism 8.1.1., and results were compared using an

unpaired t-test with Welch's correction in GraphPad Prism 8.1.1.

336

# 337 Results

### 338 Glyoxylate assimilation via the BHAC is regulated by BhcR

We first focused on understanding the regulation of the BHAC, which mediates the second step of glycolate metabolism in *P. denitrificans*. To investigate the role of the transcription factor BhcR in regulating this pathway, we characterized the protein bioinformatically and experimentally. Amino acid sequence analysis showed that BhcR contains an IcIR-type helix-turn-helix domain and an IcIR- type effector-binding domain (see Uniprot: <u>https://www.uniprot.org/uniprotkb/A1B8Z4</u>), indicating
that the protein belongs to the IcIR-type family of transcriptional regulators. In a phylogenetic tree of
1083 sequences from 29 subfamilies within the IcIR-type family, BhcR formed a close sister group to a
clade of IcIR and AlIR homologs (**Supplementary Figure 1**).

347 IclR, the namesake representative of the family, regulates expression of the glyoxylate shunt operon 348 (*aceBAK*) in *E. coli* and other bacteria. The protein forms a tetramer that acts as transcriptional 349 repressor. IclR is allosterically regulated by glyoxylate and pyruvate, which control the oligomerization 350 state of IclR. Pyruvate stabilizes tetramer formation, while glyoxylate favors dimer formation and 351 releases IclR from the DNA (47). AllR acts as transcriptional repressor of the allantoin and glyoxylate 352 utilization operons in *E. coli*. It binds to the *gcl* promoter and the *allS-allA* intergenic region. Similarly 353 to IclR, DNA-binding of AllR is decreased by increasing concentrations of glyoxylate (48).

In IcIR, glyoxylate and pyruvate occupy the same binding site. With the exception of one residue, this ligand-binding site is conserved in AlIR (**Table 1, Supplementary Figure 2**), while in BhcR the putative binding site shows some marked differences. Amino acids that bind to the oxygen atoms of glyoxylate or pyruvate are conserved between IcIR and BhcR (except for the presence of isoleucine in place of alanine at position 161). In contrast, a hydrophobic patch of residues that interacts with the methyl group of pyruvate in IcIR is apparently lacking in BhcR (**Table 1**).

- Table 1: Ligand-binding residues of IcIR family transcriptional regulators. Ligand-binding residues of
   *E. coli* IcIR that were previously described (47) are compared to their counterparts in *E. coli* AlIR and
- 363 *P. denitrificans* BhcR. Numbering is based on the sequence of *E. coli* IcIR.

	IclR	AllR	BhcR		
residues in hydrophobic patch that interact with methyl group of pyruvate					
143	Leu	Met	Thr		
146	Met	Met	Ala		
154	Leu	Leu	Ser		

220	Leu	Leu	Met		
residues that bind to oxygen atoms of glyoxylate or pyruvate					
160	Gly	Gly	Gly		
161	Ala	Ala	lle		
212	Asp	Asp	Asp		
239	Ser	Ser	Ser		
241	Ser	Ser	Ser		

364

365 To study BhcR in more detail, we purified the regulator from *P. denitrificans* and conducted additional 366 DNA binding experiments with the putative promoter region of the *bhc* gene cluster ( $P_{bhc}$ ) (Figure 1a). This region contains a palindromic sequence close to the potential -35 region of the bhcABCD gene 367 368 cluster, which could serve as potential binding site for BhcR (Figure 1b). In electrophoretic mobility 369 shift assays (EMSAs), the interaction of BhcR with P<sub>bhc</sub> was negatively affected by increasing 370 concentrations of glyoxylate, as previously described (14). In contrast, DNA-binding interaction was 371 positively affected by the presence of pyruvate or oxalate (Figure 1a), suggesting that these two 372 molecules stabilize the tetrameric DNA-binding form of BhcR, analogous to the reported interaction of 373 pyruvate with IclR (47). Interestingly, P. denitrificans was not capable of growth on oxalate as sole 374 source of carbon and energy (Supplementary Figure 3), indicating that the observed in vitro interaction 375 of BhcR with this compound might not be relevant in vivo.



377 Figure 1: DNA-binding properties of BhcR. a, Top, a fluorescently labelled 238 bp DNA fragment carrying the putative promoter region of the *bhc* gene cluster (*P*<sub>bhc</sub>) was incubated with increasing 378 379 amounts of purified BhcR protein (0x/400x/2,000x/4,000x/10,000 x/20,000 x/30,000x/40,000x molar 380 excess) and subsequently separated by electrophoresis to visualize DNA bound to BhcR and free DNA; 381 a 255 bp DNA fragment derived from the coding region of *bhcA* was used as a negative control. BhcR 382 specifically forms a complex with the  $P_{bhc}$  DNA fragment. Bottom, the  $P_{bhc}$ -BhcR complex (40,000x 383 molar excess BhcR) was incubated with increasing concentrations (0.1 mM; 0.5 mM; 5 mM) of 384 glyoxylate, pyruvate, or oxalate, and subsequently separated by electrophoresis to assess the effect of 385 these metabolites on complex formation. Increasing concentrations of glyoxylate decrease the binding 386 of BhcR to the P<sub>bhc</sub> DNA fragment, while the opposite effect is observed for increasing concentrations 387 of pyruvate or oxalate. **b**, DNA binding of BhcR in the P<sub>bhc</sub> promoter region. Potential -35 and -10 388 regions upstream of the bhcR and bhcA genes were identified using BPROM (49). A potential palindromic binding site for BhcR was identified upstream of the -35 region of bhcA. 389

390

391 Next, we generated a *P. denitrificans*  $\Delta bhcR$  deletion strain and tested its growth on different carbon 392 sources. In this strain, bhcR was replaced by a kanamycin resistance cassette in the same 393 transcriptional direction. We also created a control strain, in which we inserted the kanamycin cassette 394 in the opposite transcriptional direction to exclude polar effects. Both deletion strains were unable to 395 grow on glycolate or glyoxylate (Figure 2a+b), while growth on acetate, succinate, pyruvate or glucose 396 was not affected (Figure 2c). A similar phenotype was recently observed for a *bhcABCD* deletion strain 397 (14), which suggests that BhcR acts as an activator that is required for transcription of the *bhc* gene 398 cluster. We sought to further investigate this hypothesis by generating  $P_{bhc}$  promoter-based reporter 399 strains with mCherry as reporter. We tested mCherry production in the  $\Delta bhcR$ ,  $\Delta bhcABCD$ , and wild-400 type strain (Figure 2d). When grown on succinate, only low fluorescence levels were observed in all 401 three strains, indicating a basal expression of the bhc gene cluster. Supplementation of succinate

402 medium with increasing concentrations of glyoxylate caused a gradual increase in fluorescence in the 403 WT and  $\triangle bhcABCD$  backgrounds, suggesting an increase in P<sub>bhc</sub> promoter activity. Notably, in these 404 experiments, promoter activity was positively correlated with the intracellular concentration of 405 glyoxylate. The  $\Delta bhcABCD$  strain that cannot further convert glyoxylate (resulting in higher intracellular glyoxylate levels) exhibited significantly higher expression from the P<sub>bhc</sub> promoter compared to the WT 406 407 strain, in which glyoxylate is continuously converted via the BHAC. In contrast to these two strains, 408 expression from the  $P_{bhc}$  promoter remained basal in the  $\Delta bhcR$  background even in the presence of 409 glyoxylate, supporting the role of BhcR as activator of the *bhc* gene cluster *in vivo*.

How can the *in vivo* function of BhcR as activator of P<sub>bhc</sub> be reconciled with the *in vitro* data that showed decreased DNA binding in the presence of glyoxylate? The most likely possibility is that BhcR also represses its own expression in the absence of glyoxylate, but activates the expression of the *bhc* gene cluster in the presence of glyoxylate. This dual function would explain the decreased *in vitro* DNA binding of BhcR in the presence of glyoxylate. Notably, such a dual role as activator and repressor was previously described for other IcIR family regulators (50, 51) and for the transcriptional activator/repressor RamB, a member of the ScfR family in *P. denitrificans* (32).



418 Figure 2: Characterization of *P. denitrificans AbhcR.* a, b, Growth curves of wild-type *P. denitrificans* 419 DSM 413 (grey) and bhcR deletion strains (orange + red) grown in the presence of 60 mM glycolate (a) 420 or 60 mM glyoxylate (**b**). Deletion of *bhcR* is sufficient to abolish growth in the presence of these carbon 421 sources. These experiments were repeated three times independently with similar results. c, Growth 422 rates ( $\mu$ ) of wild-type *P. denitrificans* DSM 413 (grey) and *bhcR* deletion strains (orange + red) grown in 423 the presence of 60 mM acetate, 30 mM succinate, 40 mM pyruvate, or 20 mM glucose. The growth 424 rates of the bhcR deletion strains were either not significantly changed or only slightly decreased on 425 these substrates when compared to the wild-type. The results of n = 6 independent experiments are 426 shown, and the black line represents the mean. d, Growth and fluorescence of promoter reporter 427 strains  $\Delta bhcR$  (orange),  $\Delta bhcABCD$  (brown), and WT (grey) with pTE714-P<sub>bhc</sub> on different carbon 428 sources. These experiments were repeated three times independently with similar results. Growth and 429 fluorescence of negative control strains are shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

430

# 431 Pden\_4400 encodes for GlcR, a novel repressor of the glycolate oxidase gene cluster

432 Next, we studied the regulation of glycolate oxidation in *P. denitrificans*. We hypothesized that 433 glycolate is converted into glyoxylate by the three-subunit enzyme glycolate oxidase (GlcDEF), encoded 434 by the genes Pden 4397-99, and verified the role of this gene cluster by generating a Pden 4397-99 435 deletion strain, which was unable to grow on glycolate as sole carbon source (Supplementary Figure 436 5). The gene Pden 4400, adjacent to this gene cluster, is annotated as a transcriptional regulator of 437 the GntR family. This resembles the situation in *E. coli*, where the GntR-family regulator GlcC serves as 438 transcriptional activator of *qlcDEF* (5, 52). GlcC is part of the FadR subfamily of the GntR transcription 439 factor family (53). In a phylogenetic tree containing sequences of GlcC homologs, Pden 4400 440 homologs, and sequences from other clades within the FadR subfamily (283 sequences in total; Supplementary Figure 6), Pden 4400 and its close homologs form a well-defined clade that clusters 441 442 together with the GlcC clade, as well as the PdhR (regulator of pyruvate dehydrogenase (54)), and LldR

(regulator of lactate dehydrogenase (55, 56)) clades. This suggests that Pden\_4400 might fulfill a
similar role as GlcC, but is not simply an alphaproteobacterial homolog of this transcriptional activator.
We therefore designate Pden\_4400 as *glcR*.

Homologs of *glcR* can be found adjacent to *glcDEF* in many *Paracoccus* strains, but also in other *Rhodobacterales* (e.g., *Methylarcula*, *Puniceibacterium*, *Rhodobacter*) as well as in some *Rhizobiales*(e.g., *Afipia*, *Chenggangzhangella*) (Supplementary Table 1), suggesting that control of glycolate
oxidase production via GlcR is conserved across different alphaproteobacterial clades.

450 To study GlcR in more detail, we purified the transcriptional regulator and investigated its DNA-binding 451 capabilities. In EMSAs, we could demonstrate specific binding of the protein to a DNA fragment 452 containing the putative promoter region of the *glc* gene cluster ( $P_{alc}$ ). DNA binding was decreased in 453 the presence of glycolate, while glyoxylate did not alter DNA binding of GlcR (Figure 3a+b). We 454 subsequently purified GlcR fused to an N-terminal maltose-binding protein (MGlcR) to increase its 455 solubility for fluorescence polarization experiments. These experiments confirmed previous results 456 with the non-tagged protein, and allowed us to determine a  $K_p$  for MGlcR of 225 ± 5 nM at 10 nM DNA. 457 Titration of the Palc-MGIcR complex with increasing concentrations of glycolate demonstrated a 458 notable decrease in binding, while the same effect was not observed for glyoxylate (Figure 3c+d).





474 1, 10, 100 mM) and 750 nM MGlcR and 10 nM  $P_{glc}$ . These results confirm that glycolate causes 475 decreased binding of GlcR to  $P_{glc}$ .

476

477 Subsequently, we generated two *P. denitrificans* deletion strains of *qlcR* and tested their growth on 478 different carbon sources. As for bhcR, the glcR gene was replaced with a kanamycin resistance cassette 479 in either the same or the opposite direction of transcription to exclude any polar effects. Interestingly, 480 the growth rate of the deletion strains on glycolate was not significantly different from the WT (Figure 481 4a+c). However, the growth rates on glyoxylate, but also on succinate and acetate, were slightly 482 decreased compared to the WT (Figure 4b+c). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that GlcR 483 does not act as activator, but as repressor. In the glcR deletion strain, GlcDEF is constitutively produced, which explains the WT-like behavior of the deletion strain on glycolate, and the slightly 484 485 decreased growth rate of the deletion strain on glyoxylate, succinate, and acetate due to increased 486 protein production burden.

We independently confirmed the role of GlcR in *P. denitrificans* using Palc promoter-based reporter 487 488 strains. We tested under which conditions mCherry was produced from a  $P_{alc}$ -fusion in the  $\Delta q l c R$ , 489  $\Delta$ glcDEF, and WT background (Figure 4d). When growing on succinate, fluorescence only increased in 490 the  $\Delta q l c R$  background, but not in the other two strains. This increase is consistent with the finding that 491 GlcR acts as repressor in vitro. When growing on succinate and different concentrations of glycolate, 492 fluorescence also increased in the  $\Delta qlcDEF$  background, but only slightly in the WT. This can be 493 explained by the fact that in the WT intracellular glycolate levels stay relatively low, as glycolate is 494 further metabolized. In contrast, glycolate accumulates in the  $\Delta glcDEF$  strain, which is incapable of 495 converting glycolate further to glyoxylate due to the lack of glycolate oxidase, resulting in increased 496 expression from  $P_{alc}$ . Finally, with glycolate as sole carbon source, fluorescence also increased in the 497 WT background (while the  $\Delta glcDEF$  strain was unable to grow under these conditions).



499 Figure 4: Characterization of *P. denitrificans AglcR.* a, b, Growth curves of wild-type *P. denitrificans* 500 DSM 413 (grey) and *qlcR* deletion strains (light + dark blue) grown in the presence of 60 mM glycolate 501 (a) or 60 mM glyoxylate (b). These experiments were repeated three times independently with similar 502 results. c, Growth rates ( $\mu$ ) of wild-type *P. denitrificans* DSM 413 (grey) and *qlcR* deletion strains (light 503 + dark blue) grown in the presence of 60 mM glycolate, 60 mM glyoxylate, 30 mM succinate, or 60 mM 504 acetate. When compared to the wild-type, the growth rates of the *qlcR* deletion strains were slightly 505 decreased in the presence of glyoxylate, succinate, and acetate. The results of n = 6 independent 506 experiments are shown, and the black line represents the mean. d, Growth and fluorescence of 507 promoter reporter strains  $\Delta qlcR$  (light blue),  $\Delta qlcDEF$  (purple), and WT (grey) with pTE714-P<sub>alc</sub> on 508 different carbon sources. These experiments were repeated three times independently with similar 509 results. Growth and fluorescence of negative control strains are shown in Supplementary Figure 4.

510

#### 511 Growth of *P. denitrificans* on two carbon substrates does not result in diauxie

Having characterized the regulatory circuits of glycolate oxidase and the BHAC at the molecular level, we aimed at studying glycolate and glyoxylate metabolism under more complex growth conditions at the cellular level. To that end, we grew *P. denitrificans* on glycolate (or glyoxylate) together with either glucose, a glycolytic carbon substrate, or pyruvate, a gluconeogenic carbon substrate, to determine the effect of substrate co-feeding on growth.

We first grew *P. denitrificans* either on a single carbon substrate or on two carbon substrates, mixed in three different ratios. Growth on glycolate ( $\mu = 0.51 h^{-1}$ ) was faster than growth on glyoxylate (0.28  $h^{-1}$ ), while the growth rates on pyruvate ( $\mu = 0.45 h^{-1}$ ) and glucose ( $\mu = 0.38 h^{-1}$ ) were between these two values. When growing on a mix of glycolate and glucose (**Figure 5a**), the growth rate of *P. denitrificans* was not different from the growth rate on glycolate alone, while the growth rate of the bacterium was very similar to the growth rate on glucose alone when growing on a mix of glyoxylate and glucose (**Figure 5b**). The same pattern was also observed when glucose was replaced with pyruvate

(Figure 5c+d). Notably, we did not observe any diauxic growth behavior (i.e., a first growth phase, an intermediate lag phase, and a second growth phase) on any of the tested carbon substrate mixtures.
Collectively, these data suggested that *P. denitrificans* does not assimilate the two carbon substrates sequentially, but rather in a co-utilizing manner. We therefore set out to investigate the regulation of central carbon metabolism and the uptake hierarchy of carbon substrates in *P. denitrificans* in more detail, with a special focus on glycolate and glyoxylate.



Figure 5: Growth of *P. denitrificans* on two carbon substrates. a, Growth on different concentrations
of glycolate and glucose (from left to right: 60 mM/0 mM, 45 mM/5 mM, 30 mM/10 mM, 15 mM/15
mM, 0 mM/20 mM). b, Growth on different concentrations of glyoxylate and glucose (from left to
right: 60 mM/0 mM, 45 mM/5 mM, 30 mM/10 mM, 15 mM/15 mM, 0 mM/20 mM). c, Growth on

different concentrations of glycolate and pyruvate (from left to right: 60 mM/0 mM, 45 mM/10 mM, 30 mM/20 mM, 15 mM/30 mM, 0 mM/40 mM). **d**, Growth on different concentrations of glyoxylate and pyruvate (from left to right: 60 mM/0 mM, 45 mM/10 mM, 30 mM/20 mM, 15 mM/30 mM, 0 mM/40 mM). On the right of each panel, average growth rates from n = 6 independent growth experiments are shown.

540

#### 541 CceR regulates glycolysis and gluconeogenesis in *P. denitrificans*

542 To this end, we investigated the role of the transcription factor CceR (central carbon and energy 543 metabolism regulator) in glycolate and glyoxylate metabolism of *P. denitrificans*. This protein was 544 previously described as key regulator of carbon and energy metabolism in the Alphaproteobacterium 545 Rhodobacter sphaeroides. CceR was also identified in P. denitrificans, where it was predicted to share 546 largely the same regulon as in *R. sphaeroides* (57). Specifically, we aimed to determine whether CceR 547 controls glycolate/glyoxylate assimilation pathways, uptake of these substrates into the cell, or both. 548 We therefore generated two *P. denitrificans*  $\Delta cceR$  strains, in which the gene was replaced with a 549 kanamycin resistance cassette in either the same or the opposite direction of transcription.

Subsequently, we determined the growth rates of the  $\triangle cceR$  and WT strains on 21 different carbon sources, including glycolate and glyoxylate (**Figure 6**). Notably,  $\triangle cceR$  strains had reduced growth rates on all gluconeogenic carbon sources, but not on the five glycolytic carbon sources. This partially contrasts the situation in *R. sphaeroides*, where the growth rates of  $\triangle cceR$  were not significantly decreased on the gluconeogenic carbon sources acetate, tartrate, aspartate, and isoleucine (57), indicating few, but distinct differences in the regulation of central carbon metabolism between both bacteria.

557 We then analyzed the proteome of *P. denitrificans* WT and  $\triangle cceR$  during growth on glyoxylate to 558 identify the CceR regulon and its potential effects on C2 metabolism (**Figure 7**). Notably, several key

559 enzymes of gluconeogenesis were downregulated in the  $\triangle cceR$  strain, including malic enzyme (MaeB) 560 and PEP carboxykinase (PckA), as well as fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (Fba). In contrast, several 561 glycolytic enzymes were upregulated in the  $\triangle cceR$  strain, despite growing on a gluconeogenic carbon 562 substrate. These included a gluconate transporter (GInT) as well as gluconate kinase (GInK) and 563 glucokinase (Glk), glucose 6-phosphate isomerase (Pgi), phosphofructokinase (Pfk), and pyruvate 564 kinase (Pyk), as well as three enzymes of the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway and four enzymes of 565 the Entner-Doudoroff pathway (Zwf, Pgl, Edd, Eda), the main glycolytic route in P. versutus (58), a close 566 relative of P. denitrificans.

Based on these results, we concluded that the decreased growth rate of the  $\Delta cceR$  strain on gluconeogenic carbon substrates is due to futile cycling, where glucose is first produced, but then catabolized again by glycolytic enzymes that are constitutively produced in this mutant. In contrast, growth of the  $\Delta cceR$  strain on glycolytic carbon sources is not negatively affected, since high activity of the glycolytic pathways is required for efficient catabolism under these conditions.

572 Furthermore, our proteomics data supported the conclusion that CceR acts as a repressor of glycolytic 573 pathways and as an activator of gluconeogenic enzymes in *P. denitrificans*, analogous to the role of 574 this regulator in R. sphaeroides (57). While the CceR regulon of P. denitrificans (determined via 575 proteomics of glyoxylate-grown cultures) is not fully identical to its counterpart in R. sphaeroides, there 576 are still large overlaps (Supplementary Table 2). Notably, key enzymes in energy metabolism (ATP 577 synthase and NADH dehydrogenase) and the TCA cycle (succinate dehydrogenase, 2-oxoglutarate 578 dehydrogenase, fumarase) are part of the CceR regulon in R. sphaeroides, but not in P. denitrificans, 579 suggesting that energy conservation and oxidation of acetyl-CoA to CO<sub>2</sub> are under the control of 580 different regulatory mechanisms in the latter.







- 586 carbon sources D-glucose, D-gluconate, D-sorbitol, glycerol, and D-glycerate (highlighted in red in the
- last row). The results of  $n \ge 3$  independent experiments are shown, and the black line represents the







Figure 7: Proteome analysis of *P. denitrificans* DSM 413  $\triangle$ *cceR.* a, Analysis of the proteome of glyoxylate-grown  $\triangle$ *cceR* compared to WT. All proteins that were quantified by at least three unique peptides are shown. The proteins in carbon metabolism that showed the strongest decrease or increase in abundance are marked in red or blue in the volcano plot, respectively. X-axis represents

log<sub>2</sub>-fold change of the groups means, Y-axis indicates the -log<sub>10</sub> q value. **b**, The log<sub>2</sub> fold change of these proteins, sorted by locus name (in brackets). **c**, The role of these up- and downregulated proteins in the carbon metabolism of *P. denitrificans* DSM 413. Altered enzyme production levels in key metabolic routes, such as the Entner-Doudoroff pathway, the C3-C4 node, and the 2-methylcitrate cycle demonstrate marked changes upon deletion of *cceR*.

600

Finally, we investigated the substrate uptake hierarchy and substrate consumption rates of the WT and  $\triangle cceR$  strains during growth on glycolytic and gluconeogenic carbon substrates. To this end, these strains were grown on glycolate, glucose, or mixtures thereof, and substrate uptake rates were quantified via LC-MS measurements and luminescence-based assays, respectively.

605 On glycolate, the  $\Delta cceR$  strain showed a slightly decreased growth rate, as observed before. When 606 growing on glycolate and glucose, uptake of glycolate started and finished earlier than uptake of 607 glucose (Figure 8a+b). However, uptake of the two different carbon substrates largely overlapped. 608 Once glycolate was fully consumed, we observed a slightly slower growth phase during which the 609 remaining glucose was used up. This simultaneous uptake of glycolate and glucose was observed for 610 both the WT and  $\triangle cceR$  strains and was independent of initial substrate concentrations. On glycolate 611 and glucose as simultaneous growth substrates, the  $\triangle cceR$  strain was growing similar to the WT strain, 612 which could be explained by the fact that the constitutive activity of glycolytic enzymes was not futile 613 anymore under these conditions. Yet, in all cases, the substrate consumption rates of both glycolate 614 and/or glucose during exponential phase were not significantly changed compared to the WT (Figure 615 8c+d). Overall, this data suggested that CceR controls the glycolysis-gluconeogenesis switch only at the 616 level of the respective assimilation pathways, but not via changes in substrate uptake rate or hierarchy.



Figure 8: Substrate uptake during growth of *P. denitrificans* DSM 413 WT and  $\Delta cceR$ . The WT (a) and  $\Delta cceR$  (b) strains were grown on glycolate, glucose, or mixtures of the two carbon sources. At seven time points during growth, glycolate concentrations (light blue) were determined via LC-MS and glucose concentrations (orange) were determined via a luminescence-based assay. The results of *n* = 6 independent experiments are shown; the dot represents the mean, and the error bars represent the standard deviation. Biomass-specific substrate uptake rates were calculated for glycolate (c) and glucose (d). Empty bars denote the WT strain, striped bars denote the  $\Delta cceR$  strain.

625

# 626 Discussion

Glycolate and its metabolite glyoxylate are abundant in the environment and are thus readily available carbon sources for heterotrophic microorganisms. Our work aimed at deciphering the regulation of glycolate and glyoxylate assimilation in *P. denitrificans*, an Alphaproteobacterium that relies on glycolate oxidase and the BHAC to funnel these C2 compounds into central carbon metabolism. We determined that BhcR, an IcIR-type regulatory protein, controls the BHAC. BhcR is closely related to other glyoxylate-binding regulators and acts as an activator of the *bhc* gene cluster. Furthermore, we discovered that GlcR, a previously unknown member of the GntR family of transcriptional regulators, acts as a repressor to control production of glycolate oxidase. We subsequently extended our investigation towards the regulation of central carbon metabolism in *P. denitrificans* and determined that different carbon substrates are assimilated largely simultaneously, and that the global regulator CceR controls the switch between glycolysis and gluconeogenesis. Taken together, our work elucidates the multi-layered regulatory mechanisms that control assimilation of glycolate and glyoxylate by *P. denitrificans*.

640 The assimilation of multiple carbon substrates by bacteria has been studied since the seminal work of 641 Monod in the 1940s (59, 60). Bacteria can either consume two nutrients simultaneously or 642 sequentially. Sequential consumption results in a growth curve with two consecutive exponential 643 phases, referred to as diauxie. Both diauxie and simultaneous utilization of two carbon sources are 644 common in microorganisms. The regulatory mechanism responsible for diauxie, known as catabolite 645 repression, allows bacteria to selectively express enzymes for the preferred carbon source even when 646 another one is present (61). The observed simultaneous assimilation of a glycolytic and a 647 gluconeogenic carbon source by P. denitrificans can be rationalized based on the conserved topology 648 of central carbon metabolism. When both types of carbon source are present, some precursor 649 molecules for biomass (e.g., glucose 6-phosphate and ribose 5-phosphate) can be synthesized more 650 efficiently from the glycolytic substrate, while other biomass precursors (e.g., oxaloacetate and 2-651 oxoglutarate) can be synthesized more efficiently from the gluconeogenic substrate. Therefore, it is 652 advantageous for the bacterium to make use of both carbon sources simultaneously (62). Notably, a 653 general growth-rate composition formula that was validated for the growth of E. coli on co-utilized 654 glycolytic and gluconeogenic carbon substrates (63) does not seem to be valid for P. denitrificans 655 (Supplementary Table 3). This might be due to the fact that this formula only takes the regulatory 656 effect of the cAMP-Crp system (64) on catabolic pathways into account. However, the cAMP-Crp 657 system that controls the hierarchical use of different carbon sources is not present in *P. denitrificans*. 658 Therefore, a specific growth-rate composition formula would have to be developed and validated

separately for *P. denitrificans* and presumably other Alphaproteobacteria, taking into account the differences in the global regulatory systems that result from the different lifestyles and ecological niches of these versatile microorganisms.

Future work should focus on translating the newly gained knowledge about the transcription factors BhcR and GlcR into the development of robust biosensors for glyoxylate and glycolate, respectively. Established methods for the engineering of sensor modules with a reliable output and applicability for high-throughput screening methods are available (65). A biosensor for the rapid quantification of glycolate would not only be relevant to screen the flux from the CBB cycle into photorespiratory pathways under different conditions, but also to monitor the glycolate output of the CETCH cycle, a promising synthetic pathway for CO<sub>2</sub> fixation (66, 67).

In summary, our results provide new insights into the regulation of carbon metabolism in *P. denitrificans* and pave the way towards a systems-level understanding of the organism in the future,
especially in concert with genome-scale metabolic models that are now available for this bacterium
(68, 69).

673	Acknowledgements We gratefully acknowledge the expert support of Peter Claus in performing small
674	molecule mass spectrometry measurements and Jörg Kahnt in performing mass spectrometry
675	measurements for proteomics.
676	This study was funded by the Max-Planck-Society (Tobias J. Erb) and the German Research Foundation
677	(SFB987 'Microbial diversity in environmental signal response').
678	
679	Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.
680	
681	Author contributions L.S.v.B., E.B., and T.J.E. conceptualized the project and designed and supervised
682	experiments. L.S.v.B. performed genetic and biochemical experiments, growth assays, substrate
683	uptake experiments, phylogenetic analysis, and analyzed data. L.H. performed electrophoretic mobility
684	shift assays. K.K. generated and characterized promoter reporter strains. S.B. generated MBP-GlcR and
685	performed fluorescence polarization assays. B.P. generated <i>P. denitrificans</i> gene deletion strains. N.P.
686	performed small molecule mass spectrometry. T.G. performed mass spectrometry for proteomics.
687	L.S.v.B. wrote the manuscript, with contributions from all other authors.
688	

689 **Data availability** All relevant data are available in this article and its Supplementary Information files.

# 690 References

Dellero Y, Jossier M, Schmitz J, Maurino VG, Hodges M. Photorespiratory glycolate-glyoxylate
 metabolism. J Exp Bot. 2016;67(10):3041-52.

Walker BJ, VanLoocke A, Bernacchi CJ, Ort DR. The Costs of Photorespiration to Food
Production Now and in the Future. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2016;67:107-29.

6953.Fogg GE. The Ecological Significance of Extracellular Products of Phytoplankton Photosynthesis.

696 Bot Mar. 1983;26(1):3-14.

4. Wright RT, Shah NM. Trophic Role of Glycolic Acid in Coastal Seawater .2. Seasonal-Changes in

698 Concentration and Heterotrophic Use in Ipswich Bay, Massachusetts, USA. Mar Biol. 1977;43(3):257-

699 63.

7005.Pellicer MT, Badia J, Aguilar J, Baldoma L. *glc* locus of *Escherichia coli*: characterization of genes

encoding the subunits of glycolate oxidase and the glc regulator protein. J Bacteriol. 1996;178(7):2051-

702 9.

Boronat A, Caballero E, Aguilar J. Experimental evolution of a metabolic pathway for ethylene
glycol utilization by *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol. 1983;153(1):134-9.

705 7. Hansen RW, Hayashi JA. Glycolate Metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Bacteriology.
706 1962;83(3):679-&.

707 8. Vogels GD, Van der Drift C. Degradation of purines and pyrimidines by microorganisms.
708 Bacteriological Reviews. 1976;40(2):403-68.

Liu Y, Louie TM, Payne J, Bohuslavek J, Bolton H, Xun L. Identification, Purification, and
 Characterization of Iminodiacetate Oxidase from the EDTA-Degrading Bacterium BNC1. Applied and
 Environmental Microbiology. 2001;67(2):696-701.

Bohuslavek J, Payne JW, Liu Y, Bolton H, Xun L. Cloning, Sequencing, and Characterization of a
Gene Cluster Involved in EDTA Degradation from the Bacterium BNC1. Applied and Environmental
Microbiology. 2001;67(2):688-95.

715 11. Krakow G, Barkulis SS. Conversion of Glyoxylate to Hydroxypyruvate by Extracts of *Escherichia* 716 *coli*. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1956;21(3):593-4.

717 12. Kornberg HL, Morris JG. The Utilization of Glycollate by *Micrococcus Denitrificans*: The Beta718 Hydroxyaspartate Pathway. Biochem J. 1965;95(3):577-86.

719 13. Kornberg HL, Morris JG. Beta-Hydroxyaspartate pathway: a new route for biosyntheses from
720 glyoxylate. Nature. 1963;197:456-7.

14. Schada von Borzyskowski L, Severi F, Kruger K, Hermann L, Gilardet A, Sippel F, et al. Marine
Proteobacteria metabolize glycolate via the beta-hydroxyaspartate cycle. Nature.
2019;575(7783):500-4.

15. Schada von Borzyskowski L, Schulz-Mirbach H, Troncoso Castellanos M, Severi F, Gomez-Coronado PA, Paczia N, et al. Implementation of the beta-hydroxyaspartate cycle increases growth performance of *Pseudomonas putida* on the PET monomer ethylene glycol. Metab Eng. 2023;76:97-109.

Röll MS, Schada von Borzykowski L, Westhoff P, Plett A, Paczia N, Claus P, et al. A synthetic C4
shuttle via the beta-hydroxyaspartate cycle in C3 plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2021;118(21).

17. Baker SC, Ferguson SJ, Ludwig B, Page MD, Richter OMH, van Spanning RJM. Molecular
Genetics of the Genus *Paracoccus*: Metabolically Versatile Bacteria with Bioenergetic Flexibility.
Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews. 1998;62(4):1046-78.

18. Kremer K, van Teeseling MCF, Schada von Borzyskowski L, Bernhardsgrütter I, van Spanning
RJM, Gates AJ, et al. Dynamic Metabolic Rewiring Enables Efficient Acetyl Coenzyme A Assimilation in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. mBio. 2019;10(4).

Gaimster H, Alston M, Richardson DJ, Gates AJ, Rowley G. Transcriptional and environmental
 control of bacterial denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 2017;365(5).

738 20. Ferguson SJ. Denitrification and its control. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek. 1994;66(1):89-110.

739 21. Cox RB, Quayle JR. The autotrophic growth of *Micrococcus denitrificans* on Methanol.

740 Biochemical Journal. 1975;150(3):569-71.

Shively JM, Saluja A, McFadden BA. Ribulose bisphosphate carboxylase from methanol-grown
 *Paracoccus denitrificans*. Journal of Bacteriology. 1978;134(3):1123-32.

Paracoccus denitrificans is regulated by oxygen and nitric oxide through FnrP and NNR.
Microbiology. 2012;158(3):826-34.

746 24. Ray A, Spiro S. DksA, ppGpp, and RegAB Regulate Nitrate Respiration in *Paracoccus* 747 *denitrificans*. Journal of Bacteriology. 2023;205(4):e00027-23.

748 25. Saunders NFW, Houben ENG, Koefoed S, De Weert S, Reijnders WNM, Westerhoff HV, et al.

749 Transcription regulation of the *nir* gene cluster encoding nitrite reductase of *Paracoccus denitrificans* 

involves NNR and Nirl, a novel type of membrane protein. Molecular Microbiology. 1999;34(1):24-36.

751 26. Hinsley AP, Duchars MG, Spiro S. Transcriptional regulation of denitrification genes in
 752 *Paracoccus denitrificans*. Biochemical Society Transactions. 1995;23(1):126S-S.

27. Erecińska M, Davis JS, Wilson DF. Regulation of respiration in *Paracoccus denitrificans*: The
dependence on redox state of cytochrome c and [ATP]/[ADP][Pi]. Archives of Biochemistry and
Biophysics. 1979;197(2):463-9.

Otten MF, Stork DM, Reijnders WNM, Westerhoff HV, Van Spanning RJM. Regulation of
expression of terminal oxidases in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. European Journal of Biochemistry.
2001;268(8):2486-97.

Delorme C, Huisman TT, Reijnders WNM, Chan Y-L, Harms N, Stouthamer AH, van Spanning
RJM. Expression of the *mau* gene cluster of *Paracoccus denitrificans* is controlled by MauR and a
second transcription regulator. Microbiology. 1997;143(3):793-801.

30. Harms N, Reijnders WNM, Koning S, Spanning RJMv. Two-Component System That Regulates
Methanol and Formaldehyde Oxidation in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. Journal of Bacteriology.
2001;183(2):664-70.

Harms N, Reijnders WNM, Anazawa H, van der Palen CJNM, van Spanning RJM, Oltmann LF,
Stouthamer AH. Identification of a two-component regulatory system controlling methanol
dehydrogenase synthesis in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. Molecular Microbiology. 1993;8(3):457-70.
Kremer K, Meier D, Theis L, Miller S, Rost-Nasshan A, Naing YT, et al. Functional Degeneracy in

*Paracoccus denitrificans* Pd1222 Is Coordinated via RamB, Which Links Expression of the Glyoxylate
 Cycle to Activity of the Ethylmalonyl-CoA Pathway. Applied and Environmental Microbiology.
 2023;89(7):e00238-23.

Thoma S, Schobert M. An improved *Escherichia coli* donor strain for diparental mating. FEMS
Microbiol Lett. 2009;294(2):127-32.

34. Bertani G. Studies on lysogenesis. I. The mode of phage liberation by lysogenic *Escherichia coli*.
J Bacteriol. 1951;62(3):293-300.

35. Beijerinck MW, Minkman DCJ. Bildung und Verbrauch von Stickoxydul durch Bakterien.
Zentralbl Bakteriol Naturwiss. 1910;25:30-63.

36. Hahnke SM, Moosmann P, Erb TJ, Strous M. An improved medium for the anaerobic growth of *Paracoccus denitrificans* Pd1222. Front Microbiol. 2014;5:18.

37. Ledermann R, Strebel S, Kampik C, Fischer HM. Versatile Vectors for Efficient Mutagenesis of
 *Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens* and Other Alphaproteobacteria. Appl Environ Microbiol.
 2016;82(9):2791-9.

38. Schada von Borzyskowski L, Remus-Emsermann M, Weishaupt R, Vorholt JA, Erb TJ. A set of
versatile brick vectors and promoters for the assembly, expression, and integration of synthetic
operons in *Methylobacterium extorquens* AM1 and other Alphaproteobacteria. ACS Synth Biol.
2015;4(4):430-43.

787 39. Laemmli UK. Cleavage of Structural Proteins during the Assembly of the Head of Bacteriophage
788 T4. Nature. 1970;227(5259):680-5.

40. Moggridge S, Sorensen PH, Morin GB, Hughes CS. Extending the Compatibility of the SP3
Paramagnetic Bead Processing Approach for Proteomics. Journal of Proteome Research.
2018;17(4):1730-40.

Glatter T, Ludwig C, Ahrné E, Aebersold R, Heck AJR, Schmidt A. Large-Scale Quantitative
Assessment of Different In-Solution Protein Digestion Protocols Reveals Superior Cleavage Efficiency
of Tandem Lys-C/Trypsin Proteolysis over Trypsin Digestion. Journal of Proteome Research.
2012;11(11):5145-56.

42. Edgar RC. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput.
797 Nucleic Acids Res. 2004;32(5):1792-7.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
Analysis across Computing Platforms. Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35(6):1547-9.

44. Le SQ, Gascuel O. An improved general amino acid replacement matrix. Mol Biol Evol.
2008;25(7):1307-20.

45. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v5: an online tool for phylogenetic tree display
and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021;49(W1):W293-W6.

804 46. Waterhouse AM, Procter JB, Martin DM, Clamp M, Barton GJ. Jalview Version 2--a multiple
805 sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(9):1189-91.

47. Lorca GL, Ezersky A, Lunin VV, Walker JR, Altamentova S, Evdokimova E, et al. Glyoxylate and

pyruvate are antagonistic effectors of the *Escherichia coli* IclR transcriptional regulator. J Biol Chem.
2007;282(22):16476-91.

48. Walker JR, Altamentova S, Ezersky A, Lorca G, Skarina T, Kudritska M, et al. Structural and biochemical study of effector molecule recognition by the *E. coli* glyoxylate and allantoin utilization regulatory protein AllR. J Mol Biol. 2006;358(3):810-28.

49. Solovyev V, Salamov A. Automatic Annotation of Microbial Genomes and Metagenomic
Sequences. In: Li RW, editor. Metagenomics and its Applications in Agriculture, Biomedicine and
Environmental Studies: Nova Science Publishers; 2011. p. 61-78.

Molina-Henares AJ, Krell T, Eugenia Guazzaroni M, Segura A, Ramos JL. Members of the IclR
family of bacterial transcriptional regulators function as activators and/or repressors. FEMS Microbiol
Rev. 2006;30(2):157-86.

51. Zhou Y, Huang H, Zhou P, Xie J. Molecular mechanisms underlying the function diversity of
transcriptional factor IcIR family. Cellular Signalling. 2012;24(6):1270-5.

52. Pellicer MT, Fernandez C, Badia J, Aguilar J, Lin EC, Baldom L. Cross-induction of *glc* and *ace* 

821 operons of *Escherichia coli* attributable to pathway intersection. Characterization of the *glc* promoter.

822 J Biol Chem. 1999;274(3):1745-52.

53. Rigali S, Derouaux A, Giannotta F, Dusart J. Subdivision of the helix-turn-helix GntR family of

bacterial regulators in the FadR, HutC, MocR, and YtrA subfamilies. J Biol Chem. 2002;277(15):12507-

825 15.

54. Ogasawara H, Ishida Y, Yamada K, Yamamoto K, Ishihama A. PdhR (pyruvate dehydrogenase
complex regulator) controls the respiratory electron transport system in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol.
2007;189(15):5534-41.

55. Gao YG, Suzuki H, Itou H, Zhou Y, Tanaka Y, Wachi M, et al. Structural and functional characterization of the LldR from *Corynebacterium glutamicum*: a transcriptional repressor involved in L-lactate and sugar utilization. Nucleic Acids Res. 2008;36(22):7110-23.

S6. Georgi T, Engels V, Wendisch VF. Regulation of L-lactate utilization by the FadR-type regulator
LldR of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. J Bacteriol. 2008;190(3):963-71.

834 57. Imam S, Noguera DR, Donohue TJ. CceR and AkgR regulate central carbon and energy
835 metabolism in Alphaproteobacteria. mBio. 2015;6(1).

836 58. Fuhrer T, Fischer E, Sauer U. Experimental identification and quantification of glucose
837 metabolism in seven bacterial species. J Bacteriol. 2005;187(5):1581-90.

838 59. Monod J. The phenomenon of enzymatic adaptation and its bearings on problems of genetics
839 and cellular differentiation. Growth. 1947;11:223–89.

840 60. Monod J. Recherches sur la croissance des cultures bactériennes. Thesis, Hermann et Cie, Paris

841 1942.

- 61. Görke B, Stülke J. Carbon catabolite repression in bacteria: many ways to make the most out
  of nutrients. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2008;6(8):613-24.
- 844 62. Wang X, Xia K, Yang X, Tang C. Growth strategy of microbes on mixed carbon sources. Nat
  845 Commun. 2019;10(1):1279.
- 846 63. Hermsen R, Okano H, You C, Werner N, Hwa T. A growth-rate composition formula for the 847 growth of *E. coli* on co-utilized carbon substrates. Mol Syst Biol. 2015;11(4):801.
- 848 64. Kolb A, Busby S, Buc H, Garges S, Adhya S. Transcriptional regulation by cAMP and its receptor
- 849 protein. Annu Rev Biochem. 1993;62:749-95.
- 850 65. Schada von Borzyskowski L, Da Costa M, Moritz C, Pandi A. Chapter 22 Microbial biosensors
- 851 for discovery and engineering of enzymes and metabolism. In: Singh V, editor. Microbial Cell Factories

852 Engineering for Production of Biomolecules: Academic Press; 2021. p. 421-36.

853 66. Miller TE, Beneyton T, Schwander T, Diehl C, Girault M, McLean R, et al. Light-powered CO<sub>2</sub>

fixation in a chloroplast mimic with natural and synthetic parts. Science. 2020;368(6491):649-54.

855 67. Schwander T, Schada von Borzyskowski L, Burgener S, Cortina NS, Erb TJ. A synthetic pathway

- for the fixation of carbon dioxide in vitro. Science. 2016;354(6314):900-4.
- 857 68. Bordel S, van Spanning RJM, Santos-Beneit F. Imaging and modelling of poly(3858 hydroxybutyrate) synthesis in *Paracoccus denitrificans*. AMB Express. 2021;11(1):113.
- 859 69. Bordel S, Martin-Gonzalez D, Borner T, Munoz R, Santos-Beneit F. Genome-scale metabolic
- 860 model of the versatile bacterium *Paracoccus denitrificans* Pd1222. mSystems. 2024:e0107723.





bhcB

bhcA

bhcR

bhcC

bhcD

bhcA











L-alanine L-isoleucine D-glucose D-gluconate D-sorbitol glycerol D-glycerate





bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.03.11.584432; this version posted March 11, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is D ade available under aCC-BY-NC 4.0 I 2-methylisocitrate lyase (PrpB; Pden\_1346) 2-methylcitrate synthase (PrpC; Pden\_1347) 2-methylcitrate dehydratase (PrpD; Pden\_1348) 5-deoxyglucuronate isomerase (IoIB; Pden\_1672) 2-keto-myo-inositol dehydratase (IoIE; Pden\_1674) Trihydroxycyclohexane-1,2-dione hydrolase (IoID; Pden\_1675) 5-dehydro-2-deoxygluconokinase (IoIC; Pden\_1676) Xylose isomerase domain protein (Pden\_1677) Inositol 2-dehydrogenase (IoIG; Pden\_1678) Xylose isomerase domain protein (Pden\_1679) Oxidoreductase domain protein (Pden\_1680) Monosaccharide ABC transporter, SBP SU (Pden\_1681) Monosaccharide ABC transporter, MP SU (Pden\_1682) Monosaccharide ABC transporter, ABP SU (Pden\_1683) Monosaccharide ABC transporter, SBP SU (Pden\_1684) Fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (Fba; Pden\_1920) NADP-dependent malic enzyme (MaeB; Pden\_2224) Propionyl-CoA synthetase (PrpE; Pden\_2225) PEP carboxykinase (PckA; Pden\_2852) TRAP dicarboxylate transporter, DctP SU (DctP; Pden\_4122)

glycerate



KDPG aldolase (Eda; Pden\_1245)

- Glucose 6-phosphate isomerase (Pgi; Pden\_1950)
- 6-phosphogluconolactonase (Pgl; Pden\_1951)
- Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase (Zwf; Pden\_1952)
- Phosphogluconate dehydratase (Edd; Pden\_1955)
- Pyruvate kinase (Pyk; Pden\_2276)
- 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase (Gnd; Pden\_2393)
- Glucokinase (Glk; Pden\_2680)
- Gluconate transporter (GInT; Pden\_2931)
- Gluconokinase (GlnK; Pden\_2932)
- PfkB domain protein (Pfk; Pden\_2946)
- Phosphogluco/mannomutase (Pgm; Pden\_4423)
- 4-alpha-glucanotransferase (MalQ; Pden\_4424)
- Glycogen debranching enzyme (GlgX; Pden\_4425)
- Glycogen synthase (GlgA; Pden\_4426)
- Glucose 1-phosphate adenylyltransferase (GlgC; Pden\_4427)
- 1,4-alpha-glucan branching enzyme (GlgB; Pden\_4428)
- Alpha-1,4-glucan phosphorylase (GlgP; Pden\_4429)

