HIGHER AIRY STRUCTURES, *W* ALGEBRAS AND TOPOLOGICAL RECURSION

GAËTAN BOROT, VINCENT BOUCHARD, NITIN K. CHIDAMBARAM, THOMAS CREUTZIG, AND DMITRY NOSHCHENKO

ABSTRACT. We define higher quantum Airy structures as generalizations of the Kontsevich–Soibelman quantum Airy structures by allowing differential operators of arbitrary order (instead of only quadratic). We construct many classes of examples of higher quantum Airy structures as modules of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ algebras at self-dual level, with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$, \mathfrak{so}_{2N} or \mathfrak{e}_N . We discuss their enumerative geometric meaning in the context of (open and closed) intersection theory of the moduli space of curves and its variants. Some of these \mathcal{W} constraints have already appeared in the literature, but we find many new ones. For \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1} our result hinges on the description of previously unnoticed Lie subalgebras of the algebra of modes. As a consequence, we obtain a simple characterization of the spectral curves (with arbitrary ramification) for which the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion gives symmetric $\omega_{g,n}$ s and is thus well defined. For all such cases, we show that the topological recursion is equivalent to $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl})$ constraints realized as higher quantum Airy structures, and obtain a Givental-like decomposition for the corresponding partition functions.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 81R10, 14N10, 51P05.

Key words and phrases. W algebras, topological recursion, Airy structures, enumerative geometry.

1. Introduction	3
1.1. Motivation	3
1.2. Main results	4
1.3. Outline	10
2. Higher quantum Airy structures	12
2.1. A conceptual approach	12
2.2. A computational approach	15
2.3. Crosscapped Airy structures	21
3. W algebras and twisted modules	23
3.1. Vertex operator algebras	23
3.2. $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ algebras	24
3.3. The graded Lie subalgebra property	27
3.4. Twisted modules	32
3.5. Introducing \hbar	34
4. Higher quantum Airy structures from \mathcal{W} algebras	35
4.1. The $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ Airy structures	35
4.2. $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ Airy structures for other automorphisms	45
4.3. The $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ Airy structures	48
4.4. The exceptional types	50
5. Higher quantum Airy structures from higher abstract loop equations	53
5.1. Geometry of local spectral curves	53
5.2. Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion and higher abstract loop equations	59
5.3. Local spectral curves with one component	62
5.4. Local spectral curves with several components	70
6. W constraints and enumerative geometry	72
6.1. r-spin intersection numbers	72
6.2. Brézin–Gross–Witten theory	74
6.3. Open intersection theory	75
6.4. Fan–Jarvis–Ruan theories	77
Appendix A. Sums over roots of unity	79
Appendix B. Characterization of admissible (r, s)	84
B.1. The values of s corresponding to the intermediate subalgebras	84
B.2. Computation of $F_{0,3}$ and characterization of symmetry	86
B.3. Computation of $F_{1,1}$	89
Appendix C. Proof of uniqueness of the solution to the higher abstract loop	
equations	89
References	92

2

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation

Virasoro constraints are ubiquitous in enumerative geometry. The general statement goes as follows. Given a particular enumerative geometric context, such as intersection theory on the moduli spaces of curves, or Gromov–Witten theory of a given target space, an interesting object of study is the generating series F for connected descendant invariants and the corresponding generating series $Z = e^F$ for disconnected invariants. The statement of Virasoro constraints is that Z satisfies a collection of differential equations of the form $H_k Z = 0$, where the H_k s are differential operators (in the formal coordinates of the generating series F) that form a representation of a subalgebra of the Virasoro algebra. In this context, the starting point is a given enumerative theory, and the goal is to show that the generating series Z satisfies Virasoro constraints.

An interesting question is whether the sequence of events can be reversed. Can "Virasorolike constraints" be formulated abstractly such that there always exists a unique solution to the collection of differential equations, in the form of the exponential of a generating series? One may understand the recent of work of Kontsevich and Soibelman [56] (see also [5]) as providing an answer to this question, in the form of "quantum Airy structures".

Let V be a vector space of dimension D (which may be countably infinite) over \mathbb{C} . Using the notation $I = \{1, \ldots, D\}$, let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be linear coordinates on V^* , and denote by

$$\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{\hbar} \cong \mathbb{C}[[\hbar, (x_l)_{l \in I}, (\hbar \partial_{x_l})_{l \in I}]]$$

the completed algebra of differential operators on V. We introduce a grading on $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{\hbar}$ by assigning:

$$\deg x_l = \deg \hbar \partial_{x_l} = 1, \qquad \deg \hbar = 2.$$

Then a quantum Airy structure is a collection of differential operators $(H_k)_{k\in I}$ of the form

$$H_k = \hbar \partial_{x_k} - P_k \,, \tag{1.1}$$

where $P_k \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$ is homogeneous of degree 2, such that the H_k generate a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$. That is, there exists scalars $c_{k,l}^m$ such that

$$[H_k, H_l] = \hbar \sum_{m \in I} c_{k,l}^m H_m .$$

$$(1.2)$$

The crucial theorem proved in [56] is that for any quantum Airy structure, there exists a unique solution Z to the collection of differential constraints $H_k Z = 0$, $k \in I$, of the following form:

$$Z = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{g \ge 0, n \ge 1\\ 2g - 2 + n > 0}} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{\alpha \in I^n} F_{g,n}[\alpha] x_{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_n}\right).$$
(1.3)

It does not say what kind of enumerative invariants the coefficients $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$ are; this depends on the choice of quantum Airy structure. But the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the differential constraints is guaranteed.

There are two key features in the definition of quantum Airy structures that are responsible for existence and uniqueness of a solution. The first one is the particular form of the differential operators H_i , which implies that the differential constraints $H_iZ = 0$ translate into a recursive system for the coefficients $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$. The second is the subalgebra property, which, together with the form of the operators, ensures the existence of a solution.

While quantum Airy structures may be understood as an abstract construction of Virasorolike constraints, they were first introduced in [56] as generalizations of the topological recursion of Chekhov, Eynard and Orantin [37, 38]. The Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion appears rather different from quantum Airy structures or Virasoro constraints *a priori*. It starts from the geometry of a spectral curve C, and constructs an infinite sequence of meromorphic symmetric differentials on C^n through a period computation. But it turns out that for any admissible spectral curve with simple ramification, the Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion can be recast into a quantum Airy structure. In other words, its recursive structure is equivalent to the collection of differential constraints of a quantum Airy structure.

Thus, quantum Airy structures provide a clear conceptual framework behind the Chekhov– Eynard–Orantin topological recursion, and a generalization thereof. In particular, it clarifies the relations between topological recursion, symplectic geometry and deformation quantization. It also incorporates earlier observations of Kazarian about the role of symplectic loop spaces and polarizations in the theory of [38] (see also [54]) and provides a simpler approach to the relation with the Givental group action and semi-simple cohomological field theories established in [35].

Natural generalizations of Virasoro constraints that appear in enumerative geometry are W constraints. They are known to be satisfied in some contexts, such as intersection theory on the moduli space of curves with r-spin structures, and certain Fan–Jarvis–Ruan theories. W constraints are similar in nature to Virasoro constraints. They consist of a collection of differential constraints $H_iZ = 0$ for a generating series of disconnected invariants, but where the H_i s form a representation of a subalgebra of a W algebra. Recall that W algebras are non-linear extensions of the Virasoro algebra, which arise in conformal field theory when the theory contains chiral primary fields of conformal weight > 2. W algebras always contain the Virasoro algebra as a subalgebra.

In this paper we provide an answer to the question: Can "*W*-like constraints" be formulated abstractly such that there always exists a unique solution to the collection of differential equations, and that this solution has the form of an exponential of a generating series?

The answer takes the form of "higher quantum Airy structures". We use the same conceptual framework as for quantum Airy structures, but we relax the two conditions on the differential operators. We consider differential operators $(H_k)_{k\in I}$ of the same form as in (1.1), but with $P_k \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$ a sum of terms of degree ≥ 2 . The subalgebra condition is replaced by the requirement that the left $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$ -ideal generated by the H_k is a graded Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$. Concretely, this means that (1.2) is replaced by:

$$[H_k, H_l] = \hbar \sum_{m \in I} g_{k,l}^m H_m, \qquad g_{k,l}^m \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$$

Under these conditions, Kontsevich and Soibelman (in [56]) already proved the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the collection of differential constraints $H_k Z = 0$ of the same form as (1.3). The goal of this paper is to construct many examples of higher quantum Airy structures from W algebras and discuss their enumerative meaning.

1.2. Main results

Let us now describe the main results of the paper briefly. First, we construct various types of \mathcal{W} constraints. Second, we show that the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion of [17, 18, 19] is equivalent to a previously constructed class of \mathcal{W} constraints. We also proved along the way a property about the modes of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ algebra at the self-dual level, which was essential to our construction of \mathcal{W} constraints.

1.2.1. Higher quantum Airy structures from W constraints

Our general recipe to produce higher quantum Airy structures from modules of \mathcal{W} algebras goes as follows. The starting ingredients are a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and an element σ of the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} .

We then consider the principal \mathcal{W} -algebra of \mathfrak{g} at the self-dual level $k = -h^{\vee} + 1$ (h^{\vee} is the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g}). This vertex operator algebra is denoted by $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ and we realize it as a subalgebra of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra associated to the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} . Then:

- (1) We construct a σ -twisted module \mathcal{T} of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra.
- (2) Upon restriction to the W(g) algebra, we obtain an untwisted module. We realize the modes of the generators of the W(g) algebra as differential operators acting on the space of formal series in countably many variables.
- (3) We pick a subset of modes generating a left ideal which is a graded Lie subalgebra of the algebra of modes. These modes fulfill the second (and hardest to check) condition to be a higher quantum Airy structure.
- (4) If possible, we conjugate these modes (dilaton shift) to bring them in the form of a higher quantum Airy structure.

Remarkably, following this simple recipe we can construct a large variety of higher quantum Airy structures, including many that have interesting enumerative interpretations. Our general construction reproduces some of the W constraints that have already appeared in the literature, but most of the higher quantum Airy structures that we obtain are new.

We also note that our construction relies on certain explicit strong generators of the W algebras that are known in the literature. We discuss this in detail in Section 3.2.4.

 $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ higher quantum Airy structures: first class. For clarity let $r \coloneqq N+1$. Our first set of examples starts with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_r$ and $\sigma = (12 \cdots r)$ — the Coxeter element of the Weyl group \mathfrak{S}_r . Theorem 4.9 is the main result of this construction, which can be summarized as follows:

Theorem A. Let $r \ge 2$ and $s \in \{1, ..., r+1\}$ be such that $r = r's - \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ and r' integer. Let

$$\mathfrak{d}^{i} = i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \right\rfloor, \qquad \tilde{S}_{s} = \left\{ (i,k) \mid i \in \{1,\dots,r\} \text{ and } k \ge \mathfrak{d}^{i} + \delta_{i,1} \right\}.$$

There exists an (explicit) quantum r-Airy structure on $V = \bigoplus_{l>0} \mathbb{C}\langle x_l \rangle$ based on a representation of the subset of modes $(W_k^i)_{(i,k)\in \tilde{S}_s}$ of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebra generators with central charge r in $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$. We use $Z_{(r,s)}$ to denote its partition function. Its coefficients for 2g - 2 + n = 1 are

$$F_{0,3}[l_1, l_2, l_3] = \epsilon r' l_1 l_2 l_3 \,\delta_{l_1 + l_2 + l_3, s}, \qquad F_{1,1}[l] = \frac{r^2 - 1}{24} \,\delta_{l,s}.$$

The case s = r + 1 (for all r) was studied by Bakalov and Milanov [9, 10, 61]. $Z_{(r,r+1)}$ is a generating series for intersection numbers on the moduli space of curves with r-spin structure, as explained in Section 6.1. Other choices of s however are new. As explained in the proof of Theorem 4.9, the condition that s be coprime with r arises for the dilaton shift to yield differential operators of the right form for a higher quantum Airy structure. The condition that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$ is necessary and sufficient for the left ideal generated by the subset of modes to be a graded Lie subalgebra (see Theorem I). We will come back to this statement, and state the precise result in Section 1.2.3.

The enumerative meaning of the cases corresponding to these general values of s is particularly intriguing. The partition function $Z_{(2,1)}$ corresponds to the Brézin–Gross–Witten tau function of the KdV hierarchy [21, 52]. Further, Norbury constructed in [63] a cohomology class on the moduli space of curves such that the partition function $Z_{(2,1)}$ generates its descendant invariants. It is then natural to ask whether similar results exist for r > 2, and for the various allowed values of s. It would be interesting to find an enumerative interpretation for all $Z_{(r,s)}$ since they are the building blocks for the Givental-like decomposition proved in Theorem G below for the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion. For instance, we can ask: does $Z_{(r,1)}$ coincide with the *r*-Brézin-Gross-Witten tau function? And if it does, what is the analog of the Norbury class such that $Z_{(r,1)}$ becomes the generating series of its descendant invariants? In Section 6.2, we explore these questions in greater detail.

Propositions 4.13 and 4.14 provide straightforward generalizations of the above construction, by allowing direct sums and conjugations of the quantum Airy structures of Theorem A. This easy observation will be necessary to compare the W constraints with the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion.

 $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ higher quantum Airy structures: second class. For our second important class of examples, we keep $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_r$, with r = N + 1, but replace the Coxeter element of the Weyl group by an arbitrary automorphism σ . Although part of our construction is general, we only complete the program in the case $\sigma = (1 \cdots r - 1)$. Theorem 4.17 realizes these modules as higher quantum Airy structures with half-integer powers of \hbar (which we call "crosscapped") and can be summarized as follows.

Theorem B. Let $r \ge 3$ and $s \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ dividing r. Let

$$\mathfrak{d}^{i} = i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r-1} \right\rfloor, \qquad \hat{S}_{s} = \left\{ (i,k) \mid i \in \{1,\ldots,r\} \text{ and } k \ge \mathfrak{d}^{i} + \delta_{i,1} + \delta_{i,r} \right\}.$$

There exists an (explicit) 1-parameter family of crosscapped quantum r-Airy structures on $V = \bigoplus_{p>0} \mathbb{C}\langle x_p^1 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle x_p^2 \rangle$ based on a representation of the subset of modes $(W_k^i)_{(i,k)\in\hat{S}_s}$ of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebra generators with central charge r into $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{h^{1/2}}$.

In Section 6.3, we speculate that the enumerative geometry interpretation of these quantum Airy structures lies in the open intersection theory developed by Pandharipande, Solomon and Tessler [64, 70]. Indeed, for (r, s) = (3, 3) we can identify them with the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ constraints derived by Alexandrov in [3] for the partition function of the open intersection theory on the moduli space of bordered Riemann surfaces. For higher r, do we recover the tau function of the extended (r-1)-KdV hierarchy constructed by Bertola and Yang [11]? Can it be understood in terms of the open (r-1)-spin intersection theory of [24]?

It would be interesting to classify the automorphisms σ that can lead to higher quantum Airy structures and the corresponding structures themselves, as we did when σ is a r or (r-1)-cycle.

 $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ higher quantum Airy structures. Another class of examples is obtained by choosing the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{2N}$ and the Coxeter element σ of the Weyl group, which has order r = 2(N-1). The resulting higher quantum Airy structures are presented in Theorem 4.21, summarized here:

Theorem C. Let $N \ge 3$, that is $r = 2(N-1) \ge 4$, and s = 1 or r + 1. Let

$$\mathfrak{d}^{i} = \delta_{s,1}(i-1), \qquad \tilde{S}_{s} = \left\{ (i,k) \mid i \in \{2,4,\ldots,2N-2\} \cup \{N\} \text{ and } k \ge \mathfrak{d}^{i} \right\}.$$

There exists an (explicit) quantum r-Airy structure on $V = \bigoplus_{p>0} \mathbb{C}\langle x_{2p+1} \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{x}_{2p+1} \rangle$ based on a representation of the subset of modes $(W_k^i)_{(i,k)\in \tilde{S}_s}$ of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ algebra generators with central charge N in $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$.

Here, for any r we get two higher quantum Airy structures (where s = 1 and s = r + 1), corresponding to the well-known subalgebra of modes of Proposition 3.13 and 3.14. For now, we do not have a construction for more general values of s for \mathfrak{so}_{2N} , as in Theorem A for \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1} (equivalently, the analog of Theorem H for \mathfrak{so}_{2N}). The enumerative meaning of these higher quantum Airy structures is discussed in Section 6.4, in terms of Fan–Jarvis–Ruan theory [40].

Exceptional higher quantum Airy structures. We construct two higher quantum Airy structures starting with the exceptional Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_N$ with $N \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ and using the Coxeter

element (of order denoted by r) as the automorphism σ . Our main result here is Theorem 4.25, which we summarize as follows.

Theorem D. Let $\mathbb{D} = \{d_1, \ldots, d_N\}$ the set of Dynkin exponents of \mathfrak{e}_N (see Section 4.4). Let $s \in \{1, r+1\}$ and denote

$$\tilde{S}_s \coloneqq \{(i,k) \mid i \in \{1,\dots,N\} \text{ and } k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i\} \qquad \mathfrak{d}^i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s = r+1\\ d_i - 1 & \text{if } s = 1 \end{cases}$$

There exists a quantum r-Airy structure on $V = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{D}+r\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C}\langle x_p \rangle$ based on a representation of the subset of modes $(W_k^i)_{(i,k)\in \tilde{S}_s}$ of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{e}_N)$ algebra generators with central charge N into $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{\hbar}$.

This Airy structure is as (un)explicit as the generators of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{e}_N)$ algebra, see Theorem 4.22. For s = r + 1 it is not new: its partition function coincides with the Fan–Jarvis–Ruan invariants of E-type (see Section 6.4) and it was already known that it is uniquely determined by \mathcal{W} constraints, see Section 6.4 for references. We have a new case s = 1 whose enumerative geometry interpretation is currently unknown. For simple but non simply-laced Lie algebras, according to a private communication of Di Yang, \mathcal{W} constraints cannot be brought to the form (1.1) and therefore cannot yield higher quantum Airy structures.

1.2.2. Higher quantum Airy structures from topological recursion

The Chekhov-Eynard-Orantin topological recursion [37, 38] associates, to the data of a spectral curve $S = (C, x, y, \omega_{0,2})$ satisfying certain conditions, a sequence of meromorphic differentials $(\omega_{g,n})_{2g-2+n>0}$ that generate enumerative invariants. It was shown in [56, 5] that for a given Swith simple ramification, the topological recursion is equivalent to a quantum Airy structure that has countable dimension and whose Lie algebra is isomorphic to a direct sum of subalgebras of the Virasoro algebra. The $F_{g,n}$ s for 2g - 2 + n > 0 encode the coefficients of decomposition of the meromorphic *n*-differentials $\omega_{g,n}$ s of [38] on a suitable basis of meromorphic 1-forms. The choice of polarization in the construction of the quantum Airy structure is determined by $\omega_{0,2}$, which is part of the data of the spectral curve. This dictionary was established in detail in [56, 5], but we should also mention the earlier work of Kostov and Orantin where some of these elements of comparison already appeared [57].

The original formulation of the Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion requires the branched cover $x : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ to have simple ramification points only, *i.e.* dx has simple zeroes. This restriction on the order of the ramification points was lifted in [17, 18, 19]. For arbitrary spectral curves, the combinatorial structure of the topological recursion becomes a little more involved; it is now known in the literature as the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion.

In Section 5 we extend the dictionary between topological recursion and Airy structures to arbitrary spectral curves without any restriction on the order of ramifications. Our main results (Theorems 5.30 and Theorem 5.32 in the text) can be summarized as follows.

For each ramification point p_{α} , denote r_{α} the order of ramification at p_{α} , and introduce a local coordinate ζ such that $x(z) - x(p_{\alpha}) = \frac{\zeta^{r_{\alpha}}(z)}{r_{\alpha}}$. Let us consider the series expansion (denoted with \equiv) near the ramification points

$$y(z) \equiv \sum_{l>0} F_{0,1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ -l \end{bmatrix} \zeta(z)^{l-r_{\alpha}} \qquad z \to p_{\alpha},$$

and introduce

$$s_{\alpha} \coloneqq \min \left\{ l > 0 \quad | \quad F_{0,1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ -l \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ and } r_{\alpha} + l \right\}.$$

The statement of Theorem 5.32 can be summarized as follows:

Theorem E. The Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion is well defined (i.e. produces symmetric $\omega_{g,n}$) if and only if $r_{\alpha} = \pm 1 \mod s_{\alpha}$ for all α (the \pm could depend on α). When this condition is not satisfied, the lack of symmetry is apparent in $\omega_{0,3}$.

Definition F. We say that the spectral curve is admissible when $r_{\alpha} = \pm 1 \mod s_{\alpha}$ for all ramification points p_{α} .

Now let us consider the series expansion

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) \equiv \left(\frac{\delta_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}}{(\zeta(z_1) - \zeta(z_2))^2} + \sum_{l_1, l_2 > 0} \phi_{l_1, l_2}^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \zeta(z_1)^{l_1 - 1} \zeta(z_2)^{l_2 - 1}\right) \mathrm{d}\zeta(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\zeta(z_2), \qquad z_j \to p_{\alpha_j},$$

and introduce for l > 0 the meromorphic 1-forms on C

$$\mathrm{d}\xi_l^{\alpha}(z) \coloneqq \operatorname{Res}_{z'=p_{\alpha}} \left(\int_{p_{\alpha}}^{z'} \omega_{0,2}(\cdot,z) \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}\zeta(z')}{\zeta(z')^{l+1}} \,.$$

Theorem 5.30 relates the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion to higher quantum Airy structures, as summarized below:

Theorem G. For any admissible spectral curve, the $\omega_{g,n}$ computed by the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion can be decomposed as finite sums

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n\\l_1,\ldots,l_n>0}} F_{g,n}\begin{bmatrix}\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_n\\l_1\cdots l_n\end{bmatrix} \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \mathrm{d}\xi_{l_j}^{\alpha_j}(z_j)$$

and the generating series

$$Z = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{g \ge 0, \ n \ge 1\\ 2g-2+n>0}} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\\ l_1, \dots, l_n>0}} F_{g,n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n\\ l_1 \cdots l_n \end{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n x_{l_j}^{\alpha_j} \right)$$

is the partition function of a higher quantum Airy structure based on an (explicit) representation of a subset of modes of the $\bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r_{\alpha}})$ algebra generators as differential operators.

More precisely, Z satisfies a Givental-like decomposition:

$$Z = \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha,l} \frac{F_{0,1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ -l \end{bmatrix} + \delta_{l,s_{\alpha}}}{l} \partial_{x_{l}^{\alpha}} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2} \\ l_{1},l_{2} > 0}} \frac{\phi_{l_{1},l_{2}}^{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}}}{l_{1}\,l_{2}} \partial_{x_{l_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}} \partial_{x_{l_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}}\right) \prod_{\alpha} Z_{(r_{\alpha},s_{\alpha})} \left((x_{l}^{\alpha})_{l>0} \right), \tag{1.4}$$

where the $Z_{(r,s)}s$ are the partition functions of the quantum Airy structures described in Theorem A.

The formula (1.4) is a Givental-like decomposition for the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion. If $r_{\alpha} = 2$, Theorem G was obtained in [36] for $s_{\alpha} = 3$ and in [27] when s_{α} can take any of the admissible values 1 or 3.

Let us comment on our approach as we do not construct the higher quantum Airy structures directly from the topological recursion as in [5]. Rather, we start with the notion of "higher abstract loop equations" of Definition 5.21 which generalizes the one of [13, 16] to arbitrary ramifications. We prove in Appendix C that if a solution to the higher abstract loop equations exists, then it is uniquely given by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion. Thus, it is just as good to take the higher abstract loop equations as starting point. But there is a fundamental reason why we start with the loop equations instead of the topological recursion. It is not too difficult to construct differential operators that produce a recursive structure equivalent to the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion; but proving the graded Lie subalgebra condition required for existence of a common solution of these differential operators (*i.e.* the symmetry of the $F_{g,n}$) appears quite difficult. While if we start with loop equations, we observe that the resulting differential operators can be identified directly with those coming from modules over $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebras; therefore we can use Theorems H and I to prove the graded Lie subalgebra condition. In other words, the loop equations make the algebraic structure of the corresponding higher quantum Airy structure explicit, at the expense of obscuring the recursive structure of the original system of equations.

The identification with higher quantum Airy structures constructed from $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebras has a number of interesting consequences. We are not aware of a direct proof that the Bouchard– Eynard topological recursion produces symmetric differentials for arbitrary spectral curves. An indirect argument exists for spectral curves that appear as limits of family of curves with simple ramification [18], but it is not clear which spectral curves precisely satisfy this condition. A consequence of our identification between loop equations and higher quantum Airy structures is that for any admissible spectral curves, a solution to the loop equations exist. It must then be given uniquely by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion. It then follows that for all admissible spectral curves the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion produces symmetric differentials (the announced Theorem E, which is Theorem 5.32 in the text).

What is particularly intriguing though is the cases that fail. The admissibility in Definition F is a constraint on the local behavior of $\omega_{0,1} = ydx$. While the condition that s is coprime with r is easy to understand from the geometry of spectral curves (it says that C is locally irreducible at its ramification points), the condition that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$ is rather unexpected and its geometric meaning is mysterious for us. Nonetheless, when it is not satisfied, we show in Proposition B.2 that the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion *does not* in fact produce symmetric differentials. The simplest such case is (r, s) = (7, 5). Consequently, we can deduce that the left ideal generated by the appropriate set of modes of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebra cannot be a graded Lie subalgebra of the algebra of modes, and that the collection of differential operators is not a higher quantum Airy structure.

For r = 3, Safnuk, in [69], recast the W constraints of [3] for open intersection theory into a period computation, which turns out to be an unusual modification of the topological recursion on the spectral curve $x = y^2/2$. It would be interesting — but beyond the scope of this article — to generalise Safnuk's result and obtain the $F_{g,n}$ of Theorem B by a period computation. The same question could be asked if quantum Airy structures are found for other automorphisms $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$. It amounts to asking what is the appropriate modification of the topological recursion to treat reducible spectral curves, and whether there will be new conditions of admissibility (like the one we found in Theorem E). This level of generality may enlighten the geometric meaning of those admissibility constraints.

1.2.3. Results on W algebras

As a side result of our construction, we prove a certain curious property of the algebra of modes of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebra at the self dual level. Let W^1, \ldots, W^r be the strong generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ with conformal weights $1, \ldots, r, \mathcal{A}$ be the suitably completed algebra of modes of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$, and $\mathcal{F}_p\mathcal{A}$ be the filtration on \mathcal{A} induced by Li's filtration on $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ (see Section 3.3). Propositions 3.13, 3.14 and Theorem 3.16 can be combined into the following result.

Theorem H. Let $r \ge 2$ and $\lambda_1 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_p \ge 1$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i = r$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ denote

$$\lambda(i) \coloneqq \min\left\{m > 0 \mid \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \ge i\right\}, \qquad S_{\lambda} = \left\{(i,k) \mid i \in \{1,\ldots,r\} \text{ and } k \ge i - \lambda(i)\right\}.$$

The left \mathcal{A} -ideal generated by the modes W_k^i indexed by $(i,k) \in S_{\lambda}$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , *i.e.* there exists $g_{(k_1,i_1),(k_2,i_2)}^{(k_3,i_3)} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\forall (i_1, k_1), (i_2, k_2) \in S_\lambda, \qquad [W_{k_1}^{i_1}, W_{k_2}^{i_2}] = \sum_{(i_3, k_3) \in S_\lambda} g_{(i_1, k_1), (i_2, k_2)}^{(i_3, k_3)} W_{k_3}^{i_3} \in \mathcal{F}_{i_1 + i_2 - 2} \mathcal{A}$$

The case $\lambda = (1, ..., 1)$, which corresponds to $k \ge 0$, gives a well-known Lie subalgebra. It is the one generated by the modes annihilating the vacuum vector. The other cases however seem new. Some of these Lie subalgebras are used to prove Theorem A, thanks to an arithmetic correspondence established in Proposition B.1 in Appendix B, which is summarized here:

Proposition I. For any $s \in \{1, ..., r+1\}$ such that r = r's + r'' with $r'' \in \{1, s-1\}$, we have the equality $S_{\lambda} = \tilde{S}_s$ between the set of modes appearing in Theorem A and Theorem H for the choice

 $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{r''} = r' + 1, \qquad \lambda_{r''+1} = \dots = \lambda_s = r'$

If $r \neq \pm 1 \mod s$, there exists a unique sequence $(\lambda_j)_j$ such that $S_{\lambda} = \tilde{S}_s$ but it is not weakly decreasing and the left \mathcal{A} -ideal generated by the modes $(W_k^i)_{(i,k)\in \tilde{S}_s}$ does not form a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

The proof of Theorem H relies on the construction of a highest weight module whose highest weight vector is annihilated by the modes indexed by S_{λ} . The existence of such a highest weight module is perhaps unexpected; it relies heavily on our realization of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebra as a subalgebra of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra and on certain embeddings of $\mathfrak{gl}_{\lambda_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus$ $\mathfrak{gl}_{\lambda_p}$ into \mathfrak{gl}_r . It would be worth investigating this construction further, and see whether it can be generalized to \mathcal{W} algebra of other types. In particular, this would yield generalizations of Theorem C. Note that it is important in the proof for \mathfrak{gl}_r that $(\lambda_j)_j$ be a weakly decreasing sequence and this is confirmed by the counterexamples mentioned in the last claim in Theorem I.

1.3. Outline

We start in Section 2 by defining higher quantum Airy structures. We first propose in Section 2.1 a basis-independent definition, starting from the point of view of quantization of classical higher Airy structures, as in [56]. In Section 2.2 we revisit higher quantum Airy structures using bases. We calculate the explicit recursive system satisfied by the coefficients $F_{g,n}$. We also prove a reduction statement to get rid of linear differential operators in higher quantum Airy structures. We introduce crosscapped Airy structures in Section 2.3, which are related to generating functions in open intersection theory.

In Section 3 we first introduce the background on vertex operators algebras (Section 3.1) and $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ algebras (Section 3.2) that will be needed for the construction of our first type of higher quantum Airy structures. We construct in Section 3.3 a number of left ideals for the algebra of modes that are graded Lie subalgebras (see Propositions 3.13, 3.14 and Theorem 3.16). We then review the concept of twisted modules for vertex operators algebras in Section 3.4, in preparation for the next section.

Our construction of higher quantum Airy structures as modules of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ algebras is proposed in Section 4. The first class of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ higher quantum Airy structures, with the automorphism σ given by the Coxeter element of the Weyl group, is explored in Section 4.1. The second class of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ higher quantum Airy structures for arbitrary automorphisms σ is studied in Section 4.2. We introduce the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2r})$ higher quantum Airy structures in Section 4.3, and the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{e}_r)$ higher quantum Airy structures in Section 4.4.

Section 5 is devoted to the reconstruction of the higher quantum Airy structures associated to the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion on arbitrary admissible spectral curves. We study the geometry of local spectral curves in Section 5.1, and describe the relation with the standard notion of (global) spectral curves. We introduce the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion and higher abstract loop equations in Section 5.2. We then prove that the higher abstract loop equations for local spectral curves with one component are equivalent to $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ higher quantum Airy structures in Section 5.3. The general result for local spectral curves with several components is obtained in Section 5.4.

Finally, Section 6 reviews the known and conjectural enumerative geometric interpretations of the higher quantum Airy structures that we construct; we also attempt to summarize the rich history of existing results in this area. We discuss the (closed) r-spin intersection theory (Section 6.1), higher analogs of the Brézin–Gross–Witten theory (Section 6.2), open r-spin intersection theory (Section 6.3), and Fan–Jarvis–Ruan theories (Section 6.4).

We conclude with three appendices. In Appendix A we prove, by elementary means, various properties of certain sums over roots of unity that play an important role in our construction of the $W(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ quantum Airy structures. In Appendix B, we show that the graded Lie subalgebra property is only satisfied for values of (r, s) such that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$. When $r = \pm 1 \mod s$, we show that we get a subalgebra of the intermediate type described in Theorem I; and when $r \neq \pm 1 \mod s$, we prove that there is no symmetric solution to the system of differential equations, and hence the left ideal generated by the set of modes cannot be a graded Lie subalgebra. The proof consists of an explicit computation and check of (lack of) symmetry for $F_{0,3}$ by elementary — but still lengthy — arithmetics. We also compute explicitly $F_{1,1}$ in Appendix B.3. Lastly, in Appendix C we prove that if a solution to the higher abstract loop equations that respects the polarization exists, then it is uniquely constructed by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion.

Recent work

Since the submission of this manuscript in January 2019, several works based on the present one have appeared in the literature, which we now briefly summarize. There are two direct followups to this article, [15] and [20], which obtain an almost complete classification of admissible twists and dilaton shifts for Airy structures based on $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ (generalizing Section 4.2.2). The computation of $F_{g,n}$ for these Airy structures is recast in [15] as a period computation (generalizing Section 5), thus giving a definition of spectral curve topological recursion for singular (reducible) spectral curves with certain admissibility conditions. This answers the question posed at the end of Section 1.2.2. In a different direction, [12] investigated Whittaker vectors for \mathcal{W} algebras of type ADE in the context of higher Airy structures. In particular, [12] applied this to show that Gaiotto vectors in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ four-dimensional gauge theory (and thus, the Nekrasov instanton partition function) can be reconstructed using topological recursion.

Acknowledgments

We thank Alexander Alexandrov, Todor Milanov, Nicolas Orantin, Ran Tessler and Di Yang for discussions, as well as Nezhla Aghaei, Reinier Kramer, Anton Mellit, Pieter Moree, Aniket Joshi, and Yannik Schüler for comments. We also thank Leonid Chekhov and the other organizers of the workshop "Combinatorics of the moduli spaces, etc." in Moscow, June 2018, where a subset of the authors could meet and work on this project and a preliminary version was presented. The work of G.B. benefited from the support of the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft. The work of D.N. is supported by the TEAM programme of the Foundation for Polish Science co-financed by the European Union under the European Regional Development Fund (POIR.04.04.00-00-5C55/17-00). V.B., N.K.C. and T.C. acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

2. Higher quantum Airy structures

2.1. A conceptual approach

In this section, we provide a conceptual introduction to the concept of higher Airy structures, starting from the point of view of quantization of higher classical Airy structures. We propose a basis-free definition of ∞ -Airy structures, and its finite counterpart *r*-Airy structures. We offer a basis-dependent and computational approach to higher Airy structures in Section 2.2. Readers who are mostly interested in the computational aspects of Airy structures may prefer to skip directly to Section 2.2.

2.1.1. Classical picture

Let **k** be a field of characteristic zero and W be a finite-dimensional symplectic **k**-vector space equipped with the symplectic form Ω . $\mathbf{k}[[W]]$ is the completion of the graded ring of polynomial functions on W. It is a Poisson algebra. The projection from $\mathbf{k}[[W]]$ onto its subspace of degree iis denoted π_i . In fact, we can consider π_1 as a linear map $\mathbf{k}[[W]] \to W$ since the subspace of linear functions on W is naturally isomorphic to W^* and can be identified with W itself via the pairing Ω .

Definition 2.1. A classical ∞ -Airy structure on (W, Ω) is the data of a k-vector space V together with a linear map $\lambda : V \to \mathbf{k}[[W]]$ such that

- (*i*) $\pi_0 \circ \lambda = 0$.
- (*ii*) $\mathcal{I} = \pi_1 \circ \lambda : V \to W$ is a linear embedding of V as a Lagrangian subspace of W.
- (*iii*) The $\mathbf{k}[[W]]$ -ideal generated by Im λ is a Poisson subalgebra of $\mathbf{k}[[W]]$.

If Im λ is a subspace of the space $\mathbf{k}_r[W]$ of polynomial functions of degree at most r for some given integer $r \ge 2$, we will call it a *classical r-Airy structure*.

For r = 2, (*iii*) is equivalent to requiring that Im λ is a Poisson subalgebra and we recover the Airy structures studied in [56, 5]. Definition 2.1 formally corresponds to $r = \infty$.

2.1.2. The quantization problem

The Poisson algebra $\mathbb{C}[[W]]$ can be quantized by forming the Weyl algebra \mathcal{D}_W^h . We define it as the completion of the graded associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ of non-commutative polynomials in elements of W modulo the relations $[w, w'] = \hbar \Omega(w, w')$ for any $w, w' \in W$. The grading is defined by deg W = 1 and deg $\hbar = 2$.

Definition 2.2. A subspace $\hat{\Lambda} \subset \mathcal{D}_W^h$ is a graded Lie subalgebra if $[L, L'] \in h \cdot \hat{\Lambda}$ for any $L, L' \in \hat{\Lambda}$.

We have a linear map cl : $\mathcal{D}_W^h \to \mathbb{C}[[W]]$ which is a reduction to $\hbar = 0$ and is called the *classical limit*. It is such that

$$\operatorname{cl}\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}[L,L']\right) = \left\{\operatorname{cl}(L),\operatorname{cl}(L')\right\}.$$

Obviously, if $\hat{\Lambda}$ is a graded Lie subalgebra, then $\operatorname{cl}(\hat{\Lambda})$ is a Poisson subalgebra in $\mathbb{C}[[W]]$. Conversely, given a Poisson subalgebra $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}[[W]]$, we may ask whether it can be quantized, *i.e.* whether there exists a graded Lie subalgebra $\hat{\Lambda} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_W^h$ such that $\operatorname{cl}(\hat{\Lambda}) = \Lambda$. In this article, we will study the quantization of classical ∞ -Airy structures in the following sense.

Definition 2.3. A quantum ∞ -Airy structure on V is a linear map $\hat{\lambda} : V \to \mathcal{D}_W^h$ such that $cl \circ \hat{\lambda}$ is a classical ∞ -Airy structure and the left ideal $\hat{\Lambda} = \mathcal{D}_W^h \cdot Im \hat{\lambda}$ is a graded Lie subalgebra. As before,

if Im $\hat{\lambda}$ is a subspace of the space of elements of degree at most r in \mathcal{D}_W^h , we will call it a *quantum* r-Airy structure instead.

For r = 2, the condition that $\mathcal{D}_W^h \cdot \operatorname{Im} \hat{\lambda}$ is a graded Lie subalgebra is equivalent to $\operatorname{Im} \hat{\lambda}$ being a graded Lie subalgebra, but this is no longer true for r > 2.

2.1.3. The partition function

The most important fact about quantum Airy structures is that they determine a partition function via a topological recursion once a polarization is chosen.

Definition 2.4. A *polarization* of a symplectic vector space (W, Ω) is a decomposition $W = V \oplus V'$ such that V and V' are Lagrangian.

If we are given a Lagrangian subspace V of W, a polarization is a choice of a transverse Lagrangian subspace V'. In this case, we say that the *polarization is adapted to* V.

If we choose a polarization, the symplectic pairing gives a canonical identification $V^* \cong V'$ and, therefore, an isomorphism $W \cong T^*V$ of symplectic vector spaces. Here $T^*V = V \oplus V^*$ is equipped with the natural symplectic form defined for $v \in V$ and $\phi \in V^*$ by $\Omega(v, \phi) = \phi(v)$. Therefore, the $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ algebra \mathcal{D}^{\hbar}_W acts faithfully on the space of functions on the formal neighborhood of 0 in V

$$\operatorname{Fun}_{V}^{h} = \prod_{d \ge 0} \operatorname{Sym}^{d}(V^{*})[[h]].$$

Elements $v \in V$ act on $f \in \operatorname{Fun}_V^h$ by derivation and $x \in V^*$ by multiplication by linear functions

$$v \cdot f = \hbar \partial_v f, \qquad x \cdot f = x f$$

and the commutation relations in \mathcal{D}_W^h are represented by the Leibniz rule.

The space Fun_V^h is in fact a graded associative algebra, where the grading is specified again by $\operatorname{deg} V^* = 1$ and $\operatorname{deg} h = 2$. Besides, the action of \mathcal{D}_W^h respects the grading

$$(\mathcal{D}_W^{\hbar})_d \cdot (\operatorname{Fun}_V^{\hbar})_{d'} \subseteq (\operatorname{Fun}_V^{\hbar})_{d+d'}.$$

Thanks to this grading, for any elements $L \in \mathcal{D}_W^h$ and $F \in \operatorname{Fun}_V^h$ it is possible to make sense of $e^{-F/h}(L \cdot e^{F/h})$ as an element of Fun_V^h .

Note that any $F \in \operatorname{Fun}_V^{\hbar}$ can be uniquely decomposed as

$$F = \sum_{g,n\geq 0} \frac{\hbar^g}{n!} F_{g,n}, \qquad F_{g,n} \in \operatorname{Sym}^n(V^*).$$

Theorem 2.5 ([56], Theorem 2.4.2). Let $\hat{\lambda} : V \to \mathcal{D}_W^h$ be a quantum ∞ -Airy structure on V and choose a polarization of (W, Ω) adapted to the Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{I}(V)$ of W (as given by the corresponding classical ∞ -Airy structure). There exists a unique $F \in \operatorname{Fun}_V^h$ such that

(i)
$$e^{-F/h}(\hat{\lambda}(v) \cdot e^{F/h}) = 0$$
 for any $v \in V$,
(ii) $F_{g,0} = 0$ for any $g \ge 0$,
(iii) $F_{0,1} = 0$ and $F_{0,2} = 0$.

The $F_{g,n}$ are usually called "amplitudes" or "correlation functions" or "free energies", and $Z = e^{F/\hbar}$ is called the "partition function". Conditions (i) - (ii) - (iii) imply that the amplitudes are uniquely determined by a recursion on 2g - 2 + n > 0. The recursive formula is spelled out in Corollary 2.16. The main feature to remember about this formula is that its terms are in correspondence with equivalence classes of excisions of embedded $S \mapsto \Sigma_{g,n}$ of smooth surfaces S of

genus h with k ordered boundaries into a smooth surface $\Sigma_{g,n}$ of genus g with n ordered boundaries, such that the first boundary component of S coincides with the first boundary component of $\Sigma_{g,n}$. Here, two embeddings $S \mapsto \Sigma_{g,n}$ and $S' \mapsto \Sigma_{g,n}$ are considered equivalent if they are related by a diffeomorphism of $\Sigma_{g,n}$ preserving the ordering of the boundary components of $\Sigma_{g,n}$. Therefore, the number of equivalence classes is finite and they are characterized by the topology of $\Sigma_{g,n} - S$. This justifies the name topological recursion. In the special case of (r = 2)-Airy structures, the only terms appearing correspond to excisions of pairs of pants, *i.e.* (h, k) = (0, 3). The topological recursion modeled on the excision of surfaces other than pairs of pants first appeared in [19].

2.1.4. Finite vs. countable dimension

We will encounter vector spaces V which are countable products of finite-dimensional vector spaces.

$$V = \prod_{p \ge 0} V_p \, .$$

This situation can be handled without difficulty in our discussions, by *defining* tensorial constructions relying on the unambiguous finite-dimensional tensorial constructions. For instance, we agree that the dual is

$$V^* = \bigoplus_{p \ge 0} V_p^* \,$$

where \oplus is the direct sum as opposed to the direct product \prod . Then, the cotangent space $T^*V = V \oplus V^*$ has a well-defined symplectic pairing. We define the tensor product as

$$V \otimes V' = \prod_{p \ge 0} (V \otimes V')_p, \qquad (V \otimes V')_p = \bigoplus_{q=0}^p V_q \otimes V'_{p-q}.$$

2.1.5. Classical versus quantum Airy structures

Due to Theorem 2.5, quantum Airy structures can be considered as initial data for the topological recursion. As many known examples show, the $F_{g,n}$ s often have an interpretation in enumerative geometry or topological field theory, *i.e.* count surfaces of genus g with n punctures/boundaries in various instances. Another trend of applications (for r = 2) concerns the computation of WKB expansions of sections of holomorphic bundles on curves annihilated by a flat \hbar -connection. The beauty of the theory is that all these problems fit in the same universal scheme of the topological recursion. On the other hand, it is not an obvious task to construct quantum Airy structures.

Reversing the usual path from a problem to its solution, we think that it is worth searching for other constructions of quantum Airy structures as they would probably provide solutions to interesting geometric problems. In particular, it is appealing to look for constructions directly from the symplectic or Kähler geometry of manifolds and their Lagrangians. We certainly have the possible applications to the moduli space of flat connections on curves in mind.

We now point out that symplectic geometry easily gives rise to classical ∞ -Airy structures. Consider for instance a real symplectic manifold (X, Ω_X) , which can be assumed to be real-analytic without any loss of generality according to [58]. Let L_0 and L be two real-analytic Lagrangian subvarieties, which intersect and are tangent at a point $p \in M$. Take $W = T_p X$ with the symplectic form induced by $\Omega := \Omega_X|_p$, and $V = T_p L_0$. A suitable choice of Darboux coordinates gives an analytic isomorphism $f : U_X \to U_W$ from a neighborhood $U_X \subseteq X$ of p to a neighborhood $U_W \subseteq W$ of 0, preserving the symplectic structure, such that f(p) = 0 and $f(L_0 \cap U_X) = V \cap U_W$. By the inverse function theorem, upon taking smaller U_S , there exists a linear map λ_{an} from V to the space of real-analytic functions on U_W , realizing L locally as the zero-locus of λ_{an}

$$f(L \cap U_X) = \{ w \in U_W \mid \forall v \in V, \lambda_{an}(v)(w) = 0 \}$$

Im λ_{an} generates the ideal of the ring of real-analytic functions on U_W which vanish on $f(L \cap U_X)$. In fact, since L is Lagrangian, this ideal is a Poisson subalgebra. The condition $T_p\Lambda_0 = T_pL$ implies that the linear map $V \to W$, which associates to $v \in V$ the unique $t_v \in W$ such that $\Omega(t_v, \cdot) = d_0\lambda_{an}(v)$, namely the differential of λ_{an} at $0 \in W$, is a Lagrangian embedding. Consequently, we obtain a classical ∞ -Airy structure by taking $\lambda(v)$ to be the formal Taylor series at 0 of $\lambda_{an}(v)$.

In the less frequent situation where there are Darboux coordinates such that L is cut out (locally around p) by polynomial equations of degree $\leq r$, we obtain a classical r-Airy structure.

It is not easy to exhibit a classical 2-Airy structure λ . In fact, this amounts (see [5]) to finding a collection of functions $(\lambda_i)_{i \in I}$ of the form

$$\lambda_{i} = y_{i} - \sum_{a,b \in I} \left(\frac{1}{2} A^{i}_{a,b} x_{a} x_{b} + B^{i}_{a,b} x_{a} y_{b} + \frac{1}{2} C^{i}_{a,b} y_{a} y_{b} \right), \qquad \{\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\} = \sum_{a \in I} (B^{i}_{j,a} - B^{j}_{i,a})\lambda_{a} , \qquad (2.1)$$

where $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is a basis of linear coordinates on V, $(y_i)_{i\in I}$ the dual coordinates on V^* such that $\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{i,j}$ and $(A^*_{**}, B^*_{**}, C^*_{**})$ are scalars. The Poisson commutation relations impose an overdetermined system of linear and quadratic constraints on these scalars. However, once a classical 2-Airy structure has been found, it is fairly easy to describe its possible quantizations. Indeed, such a quantization λ_i must be of the form

$$\hat{\lambda}_{i} = \hbar \partial_{x_{i}} - \sum_{a,b \in I} \left(\frac{1}{2} A^{i}_{a,b} x_{a} x_{b} + B^{i}_{a,b} x_{a} \hbar \partial_{x_{b}} + \frac{1}{2} C^{i}_{a,b} \hbar^{2} \partial_{x_{a}} \partial_{x_{b}} \right) - \hbar D^{i}, \qquad [\hat{\lambda}_{i}, \hat{\lambda}_{j}] = \sum_{a \in I} \hbar (B^{i}_{j,a} - B^{j}_{i,a}) \hat{\lambda}_{a}$$

for some scalars D^* . The Lie algebra commutation relations are in fact equivalent to affine constraints for D^* . Note that the "quantum correction" D^* arises naturally from the ambiguity in the ordering of x and $\hbar \partial_x$ to quantize the *B*-terms in (2.1).

The previous example suggests that the difficulty in finding classical r-Airy structures decreases with r, and disappears for $r = \infty$. On the contrary, the difficulty of quantizing a given classical r-Airy structure is absent for r = 2, but increases with r. Indeed, one has to introduce an increasing number of quantum corrections which, for r > 2, must satisfy non-linear constraints in order to lift the Poisson subalgebra condition to a graded Lie subalgebra condition.

2.2. A computational approach

The basis-free definitions of quantum higher Airy structures given in Section 2.1 clarify the geometric context of our work. We are going to restart from scratch and give a roughly equivalent presentation of the setup using bases. It can be read independently of Section 2.1, some readers may find these more basic definitions easier to grasp, it facilitates the exposition and is closer to the notations of [56].

2.2.1. Basis-dependent definition

Let V be a \mathbb{C} -vector space¹. We are going to assume that V has finite dimension D, but there is no difficulty in adapting it to the case of countably infinite dimension as in Section 2.1.4. Denoting $I = \{1, \ldots, D\}$, let $(y_l)_{l \in I}$ be a basis of V and $(x_l)_{l \in I}$ be the dual basis. We can think of ys as linear coordinates on V^* and xs as linear coordinates on V^* . Then $W = V \oplus V^*$ is equipped with the Poisson bracket

 $\forall l, m \in I, \qquad \{x_l, y_m\} = \delta_{l,m}, \qquad \{x_l, x_m\} = \{y_l, y_m\} = 0.$

¹The paper would be equally valid over a field of characteristic 0.

We identify $\mathcal{D}_W^{\hbar} \cong \mathbf{k}[[\hbar, (x_l)_{l \in I}, (\hbar \partial_{x_l})_{l \in I}]]$ with the completed algebra of differential operators on V. We define an algebra grading by assigning

$$\deg x_l = \deg \hbar \partial_{x_l} = 1, \qquad \deg \hbar = 2.$$
(2.2)

Definition 2.6. A higher quantum Airy structure on V is a family of differential operators $(H_k)_{k \in I}$ of the form

$$H_k = \hbar \partial_{x_k} - P_k, \tag{2.3}$$

where $P_k \in \mathcal{D}_W^h$ is a sum of terms of degree ≥ 2 . Moreover, we require that the left \mathcal{D}_W^h -ideal generated by the H_k s forms a graded Lie subalgebra, *i.e.* there exists $g_{k_1,k_2}^{k_3} \in \mathcal{D}_W^h$ such that

$$[H_{k_1}, H_{k_2}] = \hbar \sum_{k_3 \in I} g_{k_1, k_2}^{k_3} H_{k_3}.$$
(2.4)

This definition is a basis-dependent definition that should be compared with the basis-free Definition 2.3. As introduced there, we may define a *quantum* r-Airy structure as a higher quantum Airy structure such that all P_k only have terms of degree $\leq r$.

Remark 2.7. In the particular case where all the P_k are homogeneous of degree equal to 2, the $g_{k_1,k_2}^{k_3}$ must be scalars, and the H_k generate a graded Lie subalgebra. We then recover the standard definition of quantum Airy structures in [56].

Remark 2.8. It is easy to see the two distinctive properties of higher quantum Airy structures from the basis-dependent definition

- (1) The operators H_k have a very specific form. There are exactly D operators, and they all start with a linear term of the form $\hbar \partial_{x_k}$. This precise form is what is responsible for the uniqueness of the solution to the constraints $H_k \cdot Z = 0$, as we will see computationally by calculating the resulting topological recursion.
- (2) The operators satisfy the subalgebra property (2.4), which is crucial to ensure that a solution to the constraints $H_k \cdot Z = 0$ exists.

We can write down an explicit decomposition of the differential operators H_i in monomials. To simplify notation, and anticipating further interpretations, we introduce the operators

$$J_l = \hbar \partial_{x_l}, \qquad J_{-l} = l x_l \qquad l \in I.$$

We define a new index set $\mathcal{I} = \{-D, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, D\}.$

Let d_k (possibly ∞) be the maximal degree in H_k . We can decompose

$$H_k = J_k - \sum_{m=2}^{d_k} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\\ell+2j=m}} \frac{\hbar^j}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^\ell} C^{(j)}[k|\alpha] : J_{\alpha_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_\ell} :,$$
(2.6)

where :...: denotes normal ordering, *i.e.* all the J_i with negative *is* are on the left. The coefficients $C^{(j)}[k|\alpha]$ are fully symmetric under permutations of $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_\ell)$. By convention, the product $:J_{\alpha_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_\ell}:$ is replaced by 1 when $\ell = 0$.

Remark 2.9. Note that in the quantization framework introduced in Section 2.1, the terms with j = 0 correspond to the quantization of classical terms with normal ordering, while the terms with j > 0 arise as quantum ordering ambiguities.

Example 2.10. To clarify the notation, let us compare with the notation used in [5] for quantum Airy structures, where $d_k = 2$ for all $k \in I$. In this case we have

$$H_{k} = J_{k} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2} \in \mathcal{I}} C^{(0)}[k|\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}]: J_{\alpha_{1}}J_{\alpha_{2}}: + \hbar C^{(1)}[k|\varnothing]$$

$$= \hbar \partial_{x_{k}} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2} \in I} C^{(0)}[k|-\alpha_{1},-\alpha_{2}]\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}x_{\alpha_{1}}x_{\alpha_{2}} + \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2} \in I} C^{(0)}[k|-\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}]\alpha_{1}x_{\alpha_{1}} \hbar \partial_{x_{\alpha_{2}}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2} \in I} C^{(0)}[k|\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}] \hbar^{2} \partial_{x_{\alpha_{1}}}\partial_{x_{\alpha_{2}}} + \hbar C^{(1)}[k|\varnothing]\right).$$

In the notation of [5], we recognize the tensors

$$C^{(0)}[k| - \alpha_1, -\alpha_2] = \frac{A_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^k}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2},$$

$$C^{(0)}[k| - \alpha_1, \alpha_2] = \frac{B_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^k}{\alpha_1},$$

$$C^{(0)}[k|\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = C_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}^k,$$

$$C^{(1)}[k|\varnothing] = D^k.$$

To a higher quantum Airy structure, we can associate a partition function due to the following key result of Kontsevich and Soibelman (see Theorem 2.5).

Theorem 2.11. [56, Theorem 2.4.2] Given a higher quantum Airy structure $(H_k)_{k \in I}$, the system of equations

$$\forall k \in I, \qquad H_k \cdot Z = 0$$

has a unique solution of the form

$$Z = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{g \ge 0, \ n \ge 1\\ 2g - 2 + n > 0}} \frac{\hbar^{g - 1}}{n!} F_{g, n}\right), \qquad F_{g, n} \in \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V^{*} .$$
(2.7)

The existence of partition functions associated with higher quantum Airy structures and the fact that they often have enumerative geometric interpretations (see Section 6) is essentially the reason why quantum Airy structures are interesting. We can decompose

$$F_{g,n} = \sum_{\alpha \in I^n} F_{g,n}[\alpha] x_{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_n} ,$$

where $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$ is fully symmetric under permutations of $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, and see the $F_{g,n}$ as generating series for the coefficients $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$, which are expected to have an interesting interpretation in enumerative geometry. By applying the differential operators H_k on Z, we can obtain the $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$ by induction on 2g - 2 + n > 0, as we now show explicitly.

2.2.2. Recursive system

The set of constraints $H_k \cdot Z = 0$ can be turned into a recursive system for the $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$. Due to the specific form of the differential operators H_k (see Remark 2.8), this recursive system is always triangular. And because it is known that a solution to the constraints exists (see Theorem 2.11), it follows that the recursive system uniquely determines this solution.

Let us explicitly write down the recursive system satisfied by the $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$. Given a formal series f in \hbar , we introduce the notation $[\hbar^g]f$ to denote the coefficient of f of order g in \hbar .

Definition 2.12. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^i$ and $\beta \in I^{n-1}$, we define

$$\Xi_{g,n}^{(i)}[\alpha|\beta] = [\hbar^g] \partial_{x_{\beta_1}} \cdots \partial_{x_{\beta_{n-1}}} \left(Z^{-1} : J_{\alpha_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_i} : Z \right) \Big|_{x=0}.$$
(2.8)

Notice that $\Xi_{g,n}^{(0)}[\emptyset|\beta] = \delta_{g,0}\delta_{n,1}$.

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 2.13. The system of equations

$$\forall k \in I, \qquad H_k \cdot Z = 0,$$

implies the following system of equations

$$\Xi_{g,n}^{(1)}[k|\beta] = \sum_{m=2}^{d_k} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=m}} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^{\ell}} C^{(j)}[k|\alpha] \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\alpha|\beta],$$
(2.9)

for all $\beta \in I^{n-1}$, $n \ge 0$, all $g \ge 0$, and all $k \in I$.

Proof. Apply the differential operator $\partial_{x_{\beta_2}} \cdots \partial_{x_{\beta_n}}$ to $Z^{-1}H_k \cdot Z = 0$, set all $(x_l)_{l \in I}$ to zero and pick the coefficient of order g in \hbar .

We need some more notation. The coefficients $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$ were defined for 2g-2+n > 0 and $\alpha \in I^n$ in (2.7). We extend this definition to $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^n$, by setting $F_{g,n}[\alpha] = 0$ whenever one of the α_l is negative. For 2g-2+n=0, we introduce

$$F_{0,2}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2] = |\alpha_1| \delta_{\alpha_1, -\alpha_2} \,. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^i$ and $\beta \in I^{n-1}$. The notation $\lambda \vdash \alpha$ means that λ is a set partition of α , *i.e.* a set of $|\lambda|$ non-empty subsets of α which are pairwise disjoint and whose union is α . We denote the elements (sets) of the partition λ generically by λ . A partition of β indexed by λ is a map $\mu : \lambda \to \mathfrak{P}(\beta)$ such that $(\mu_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \lambda}$ are possibly empty, pairwise disjoint subsets of β whose union is β . We summarize this notion with the notation $\mu \vdash_{\lambda} \beta$.

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 2.14. Let $i, n \ge 1$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^i$ and $\beta \in I^{n-1}$, we have

$$\Xi_{g,n}^{(i)}[\alpha|\beta] = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \vdash \alpha} \sum_{\substack{h: \boldsymbol{\lambda} \to \mathbb{N} \\ i + \sum_{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}} h_{\lambda} = g + |\boldsymbol{\lambda}|}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \vdash \boldsymbol{\lambda} \beta}^{\prime \prime} \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}} F_{h_{\lambda}, |\lambda| + |\mu_{\lambda}|}[\lambda, \mu_{\lambda}] \right),$$
(2.11)

where the double prime over the summation symbol means that terms with $h_{\lambda} = 0$, $|\mu_{\lambda}| = 0$ and $|\lambda| \leq 2$ are excluded from the sum. In other words, $F_{0,1}$ does not appear in the sum, and $F_{0,2}$ only appears with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $|\mu_{\lambda}| = 1$.

Proof. For $\alpha \in I^i$, *i.e.* all $\alpha_l > 0$, the identity is straightforward. It involves $F_{0,2}$ only via positive indices, therefore such terms are zero. When some of the α_l are negative, we remember that $J_{\alpha_l} = |\alpha_l| x_{|\alpha_l|}$. Thus one of the β_m must be $\beta_m = |\alpha_m|$, otherwise by definition (see (2.8)) the contribution would be zero. We can include these cases by introducing coefficients $F_{0,2}[\alpha_l,\beta_m]$ that are equal to $|\alpha_l|$ when $\beta_m = -\alpha_l$, and zero otherwise. This is precisely how we defined the $F_{0,2}$ coefficients in (2.10). Thus the formula remains valid with these cases included, as long as the condition enforced by the double primed summation is there.

18

Example 2.15. To clarify the notation, let us write down explicitly what this expression looks like for i = 1, 2, 3.

$$\begin{split} \Xi_{g,n}^{(1)}[\alpha_1|\beta] &= F_{g,n}[\alpha_1,\beta]\,,\\ \Xi_{g,n}^{(2)}[\alpha_1,\alpha_2|\beta] &= F_{g-1,n+1}[\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\beta] + \sum_{\substack{h_1+h_2=g\\\mu_1\sqcup\mu_2=\beta}}^{\prime\prime} F_{h_1,1+|\mu_1|}[\alpha_1,\mu_1]F_{h_2,1+|\mu_2|}[\alpha_2,\mu_2]\,. \end{split}$$

Note that the second line is not valid for (g, n) = (1, 1), in which case $\Xi_{1,1}^{(2)}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2|\varnothing] = 0$ because of the double prime condition in the summation (*i.e.* $F_{0,2}[\alpha_1, \alpha_2]$ cannot appear).

Further,

$$\begin{split} &\Xi_{g,n}^{(3)}[\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3}|\beta] \\ &= F_{g-2,n+2}[\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3},\beta] + \sum_{\substack{h_{1}+h_{2}=g-1\\\mu_{1}\sqcup\mu_{2}=\beta}}^{''} \left(F_{h_{1},1+|\mu_{1}|}[\alpha_{1},\mu_{1}]F_{h_{2},2+|\mu_{2}|}[\alpha_{2},\alpha_{3},\mu_{2}]\right) \\ &+ F_{h_{1},1+|\mu_{1}|}[\alpha_{2},\mu_{1}]F_{h_{2},2+|\mu_{2}|}[\alpha_{1},\alpha_{3},\mu_{2}] + F_{h_{1},1+|\mu_{1}|}[\alpha_{3},\mu_{1}]F_{h_{2},2+|\mu_{2}|}[\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},\mu_{2}]\right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\mu_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}=g\\\mu_{1}\sqcup\mu_{2}\sqcup\mu_{3}=\beta}}^{''} F_{h_{1},1+|\mu_{1}|}[\alpha_{1},\mu_{1}]F_{h_{2},1+|\mu_{2}|}[\alpha_{2},\mu_{2}]F_{h_{3},1+|\mu_{3}|}[\alpha_{3},\mu_{3}]. \end{split}$$

Substituting (2.11) back into (2.9), we get the following formula for the coefficients $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$.

Corollary 2.16. For all $\beta \in I^{n-1}$ we have

$$F_{g,n}[k,\beta] = \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\2 \le \ell + 2j \le d_k}} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^{\ell}} C^{(j)}[k|\alpha] \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} \vdash \alpha} \sum_{\substack{h: \boldsymbol{\lambda} \to \mathbb{N}\\\ell+j+\sum_{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}} h_{\lambda} = g+|\boldsymbol{\lambda}|}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mu} \vdash \boldsymbol{\lambda} \beta} \left(\prod_{\lambda \in \boldsymbol{\lambda}} F_{h_{\lambda},|\lambda|+|\mu_{\lambda}|}[\lambda,\mu_{\lambda}] \right).$$
(2.12)

Let us now argue that Corollary 2.16 is a recursive system for the $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$. For each term in the right-hand side, using the constraints under the sums we get

$$\sum_{\lambda} (2h_{\lambda} - 2 + |\lambda| + |\mu_{\lambda}|) = 2(g + |\lambda| - (\ell + j)) - 2|\lambda| + \ell + n - 1 = (2g - 2 + n) + (1 - \ell - 2j).$$

Since we have $\ell + 2j \ge 2$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{\lambda} \left(2h_{\lambda} - 2 + |\lambda| + |\mu_{\lambda}| \right) < 2g - 2 + n \,. \tag{2.13}$$

Since the $F_{0,1}$ terms are absent, all terms in the left-hand side of the inequality are non-negative, hence $2h_{\lambda}-2+|\lambda|+|\mu_{\lambda}| < 2g-2+n$ for each $\lambda \in \lambda$. In other words, (2.12) is a recursion on $2g-2+n \ge 0$ determining uniquely $F_{g,n}$ starting from the value of $F_{0,2}$ given by (2.10). For instance, the formula gives for 2g-2+n = 1

$$F_{0,3}[k,\beta_1,\beta_2] = \beta_1 \beta_2 C^{(0)}[k| - \beta_1, -\beta_2],$$

$$F_{1,1}[k] = C^{(1)}[k|\varnothing],$$
(2.14)

and for 2g - 2 + n = 2

$$F_{0,4}[k,\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3] = \beta_1\beta_2\beta_3 C^{(0)}[k|-\beta_1,-\beta_2,-\beta_3] + \sum_{\alpha\in I} \left(\beta_1 C^{(0)}[k|-\beta_1,\alpha] F_{0,3}[\alpha,\beta_2,\beta_3] + \beta_2 C^{(0)}[k|-\beta_2,\alpha] F_{0,3}[\alpha,\beta_1,\beta_3] + \beta_3 C^{(0)}[k|-\beta_3,\alpha] F_{0,3}[\alpha,\beta_1,\beta_2]\right),$$
(2.15)

and

$$F_{1,2}[k,\beta] = \sum_{\alpha \in I} \beta C^{(0)}[k|-\beta,\alpha] F_{1,1}[\alpha] + \sum_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2 \in I} \frac{1}{2} C^{(0)}[k|\alpha_1,\alpha_2] F_{0,3}[\beta,\alpha_1,\alpha_2] + \beta C^{(1)}[k|-\beta].$$
(2.16)

Remark 2.17. While (2.12) is recursive, it does not treat k and $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}$ in a symmetric fashion. In other words, it is not clear from (2.12) that the $F_{g,n}[k,\beta]$ thus constructed are fully symmetric. It could happen that no symmetric solution to (2.12) exists. That is, the recursive system does not justify the existence part of Theorem 2.11; it does however imply uniqueness if a solution exists. In fact symmetry cannot hold for general coefficients Cs. The graded subalgebra property of $(H_k)_{k\in I}$ — which implies nonlinear relations between the Cs — is essential in proving the existence of a solution Z to the constraints, which is equivalent to proving the existence of a symmetric solution to (2.12).

2.2.3. Reduction

In general a higher quantum Airy structure $(H_k)_{k \in I}$ may involve linear differential operators. In this section we argue that we can essentially get rid of the linear differential operators. Note that this section is not essential for the rest of the paper.

Let $(H_k)_{k \in I}$ be a higher quantum Airy structure. Assume that $I_{\text{lin}} \subset I$ is such that $H_k = J_k$ for all $k \in I_{\text{lin}}$. For any $k \in I$, we introduce the reduced differential operator $H_k|_{\text{red}}$, which is obtained from H_k by formally setting $J_m = 0$ (in the normal-ordered expression for H_k) whenever $|m| \in I_{\text{lin}}$. Note that $H_i|_{\text{red}} = 0$ for all $i \in I_{\text{lin}}$. We can think of the $H_k|_{\text{red}}$ as differential operators on V or on its subspace

$$V_{\text{red}} = \{ x \in V \mid \forall m \in I_{\text{lin}}, x_m = 0 \}.$$

Lemma 2.18. There exists a unique solution to the differential constraints $H_k|_{\text{red}}Z = 0$. Moreover, the partition function Z, considered as a formal function on V, coincides with the unique solution to the differential constraints $H_kZ = 0$.

In other words, if we are interested in calculating Z, we can forget about the linear differential constraints H_i for $i \in I_{\text{lin}}$, and instead solve the reduced differential constraints $H_k|_{\text{red}}Z = 0$ on V_{red} .

Proof. Let \mathcal{J} be the left ideal generated by the H_k , and let Z be the unique solution to the differential constraints $H_k Z = 0$. It is straightforward to show inductively that for all $k \in I$, $H_k|_{\text{red}} \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus, $H_k|_{\text{red}} Z = 0$, and hence Z is also a solution to the reduced differential constraints.

To show that it is unique, we look at the form of the differential operators. First, we know that $H_k Z = J_k Z = 0$ for all $k \in I_{\text{lin}}$, so Z does not depend on those x_k . It follows that Z depends on the same number of variables as the number of non-zero $H_k|_{\text{red}}$. Moreover, it is clear that the non-zero $H_k|_{\text{red}}$ satisfy the degree 1 condition of quantum higher Airy structures with respect to these variables. Together those imply that the differential constraints $H_k|_{\text{red}}Z = 0$ uniquely reconstruct the coefficients $F_{g,n}[\alpha]$ of the partition function by topological recursion. It follows that the solution is unique.

What we have proven is that there always exists a unique solution to the reduced differential constraints $H_k|_{\text{red}}Z = 0$, and that this solution coincides with the unique partition function of the higher quantum Airy structure $(H_k)_{k\in I}$. It is tempting to conclude that the $H_k|_{\text{red}}$ s thus also form a higher quantum Airy structure. But to claim that we would need to show that the left ideal generated by the reduced $H_k|_{\text{red}}$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of the algebra of differential operators

on V_{red} . While we expect this to be true and we prove it in a special case (Lemma 4.12), we do not have a complete proof of this fact currently.

2.3. Crosscapped Airy structures

A variant of the topological recursion involving $F_{g,n}$ for half-integer g is required in applications to large size expansions in β -matrix integrals [26] and to open intersection theory [69, 3]. We can include this variant in the formalism of Airy structures by allowing half-integer powers of \hbar , *i.e.* a formal variable $\hbar^{1/2}$ of degree 1, as follows.

Definition 2.19. A crosscapped higher quantum Airy structure on a vector space V equipped with a basis of linear coordinates $(x_k)_{k \in I}$ is a family of differential operators indexed by $k \in I$ of the form $H_k = \hbar \partial_{x_k} - P_k$ where the terms in $P_k \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{h^{1/2}}$ have degree ≥ 2 . Moreover, we require that the left $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{h^{1/2}}$ -ideal generated by the Hs forms a graded Lie subalgebra *i.e.* there exists $g_{k_1,k_2}^{k_3} \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{h^{1/2}}$ such that

$$\forall k_1, k_2 \in I, \qquad \left[H_{k_1}, H_{k_2} \right] = \sum_{k_3 \in I} g_{k_1, k_2}^{k_3} H_{k_3} \, .$$

The degree condition means that we have a decomposition

$$H_k = J_k - \sum_{m \ge 2} \sum_{\substack{\ell, j \ge 0\\ \ell+j=m}} \frac{\hbar^{j/2}}{\ell!} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}^\ell} C^{(j/2)}[k|\alpha] : J_{\alpha_1} \cdots J_{\alpha_\ell} :.$$

Proposition 2.20. Given a crosscapped higher quantum Airy structure $(H_k)_{k \in I}$, the system of equations

$$\forall k \in I, \qquad H_k \cdot Z = 0, \tag{2.17}$$

has a unique solution of the form

$$Z = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{g \in \mathbb{N}/2, n \ge 1 \\ 2g - 2 + n > 0}} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} F_{g,n}\right), \qquad F_{g,n} \in \operatorname{Sym}^n(V^*).$$
(2.18)

given by the recursive system (2.12) where one allows half-integer genera.

Proof. The proof of existence is a small adaptation of the proof of [56] and therefore omitted. To prove uniqueness, we repeat the arguments of Section 2.2.2 to show that (2.17) computes the $F_{g,n}$ inductively on 2g - 2 + n > 0. In fact, this recursive system takes the form (2.12) except that j, g and h_{λ} can be nonnegative integers or half-integers (but note that 2g - 2 + n is always an integer). The condition (2.13) is still valid and implies, as there are no F_{g_0,n_0} with $2g_0 - 2 + n_0 < 0$ in (2.18), that this recursive system determines uniquely all $F_{g,n}$ from the value of $F_{0,2}$ specified by the convention (2.10).

It is perhaps instructive to write down the value of $F_{g,n}$ given by the recursion. In fact this also gives for g = 0 a recursion on n, therefore the formula for the $F_{0,n}$ are the same as those of Section 2.2.2. Notice that $F_{1/2,1}$ is absent from (2.18). With 2g - 2 + n = 1, we have a new term $F_{1/2,2}$ while the formulae (2.14) remain unchanged

$$\begin{split} F_{0,3}[k,\beta_1,\beta_2] &= \beta_1 \beta_2 \, C^{(0)}[k|-\beta_1,-\beta_2] \,, \\ F_{1/2,2}[k,\beta] &= \beta \, C^{(1/2)}[k|-\beta] \,, \\ F_{1,1}[k] &= C^{(1)}[k|\varnothing] \,. \end{split}$$

With 2g - 2 + n = 2, $F_{1,2}$ receives a new contribution compared to (2.16) and we have two new terms with half-integer genus

$$\begin{split} F_{0,4}[k,\beta_{1},\beta_{2},\beta_{3}] &= \beta_{1}\beta_{2}\beta_{3} \, C^{(0)}[k| - \beta_{1}, -\beta_{2}, -\beta_{3}] + \sum_{\alpha \in I} \left(\beta_{1} \, C^{(0)}[k| - \beta_{1},\alpha] \, F_{0,3}[\alpha,\beta_{2},\beta_{3}] \right. \\ &+ \beta_{2} \, C^{(0)}[k| - \beta_{2},\alpha] \, F_{0,3}[\alpha,\beta_{1},\beta_{3}] + \beta_{3} \, C^{(0)}[k| - \beta_{3},\alpha] \, F_{0,3}[\alpha,\beta_{1},\beta_{2}] \right), \\ F_{1/2,3}[k,\beta_{1},\beta_{2}] &= \beta_{1}\beta_{2} \, C^{(1/2)}[k| - \beta_{1}, -\beta_{2}] + \sum_{\alpha \in I} C^{(1/2)}[k|\alpha] \, F_{0,3}[\alpha,\beta_{1},\beta_{2}] \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in I} \left(\beta_{1} \, C^{(0)}[k| - \beta_{1},\alpha] \, F_{1/2,2}[\alpha,\beta_{2}] + \beta_{2} \, C^{(0)}[k| - \beta_{2},\alpha] \, F_{1/2,2}[\alpha,\beta_{1}] \right), \\ F_{1,2}[k,\beta] &= \beta \, C^{(1)}[k| - \beta] + \sum_{\alpha \in I} \beta \, C^{(0)}[k| - \beta,\alpha] \, F_{1,1}[\alpha] \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2} \in I} \frac{1}{2} \, C^{(0)}[k|\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}] \, F_{0,3}[\beta,\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}], \\ F_{3/2,1}[k] &= C^{(3/2)}[k|\varnothing] + \sum_{\alpha \in I} \left(C^{(1)}[k|\alpha] \, F_{1/2,1}[\alpha] + C^{(1/2)}[k|\alpha] \, F_{1,1}[\alpha] \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2} \in I} \frac{1}{2} \, C^{(0)}[k|\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}] \, F_{1/2,2}[\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}]. \end{split}$$

3. \mathcal{W} algebras and twisted modules

Our main construction of higher quantum Airy structures will take the form of \mathcal{W} constraints for some particular modules of \mathcal{W} algebras. \mathcal{W} algebras are vertex operator algebras (VOAs), and hence we introduce some terminology and notation about VOAs and modules over them.

We are primarily interested in Heisenberg VOAs and \mathcal{W} algebras in this paper. From a conformal field theory point of view, \mathcal{W} algebras arise as the algebra of modes when the CFT includes chiral primary fields of conformal weight > 2. Algebraically, they are certain "non-linear" extensions of the Virasoro algebra; the first examples were constructed in [73].

To obtain higher quantum Airy structures we need to construct particular modules for these VOAs. Those will always be obtained by restriction of twisted modules of Heisenberg VOAs to \mathcal{W} algebras. In order to construct a twisted module, we essentially construct fields that have fractional power expansions in formal variables. From the point of view of conformal field theories, these correspond to choosing a branch in the orbifold VOA.

In this section we introduce VOAs and twisted modules. Along the way we construct a number of interesting left ideals for the algebra of modes of \mathcal{W} algebras that are graded Lie subalgebras. This will prove crucial in the next section to construct higher quantum Airy structures.

3.1. Vertex operator algebras

There are many references on this topic. We mostly follow the presentation of [10, 33, 48, 46].

Definition 3.1. A vertex operator algebra (VOA) is a quadruple $(V, Y, |0\rangle, |w\rangle$) such that

- V is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space (the space of states) $V = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} V_l$ such that $V_l = 0$ for l sufficiently negative and dim $V_l < \infty$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $|v\rangle \in V_l$, we say that the conformal weight of $|v\rangle$ is l.
- Y is a linear map (the *state-field correspondence*)

$$Y(\cdot, z): \begin{array}{ccc} V & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]] \\ |v\rangle & \longmapsto & Y(|v\rangle, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} v_l z^{-l-1} \end{array}$$

 $Y(|v\rangle, z)$ is called the *vertex operator* (or *field*) associated to the state $|v\rangle$, and v_n its modes.

• $|0\rangle \in V$ is the vacuum state, which satisfies the vacuum property

$$Y(|0\rangle, z) = \mathrm{id}_V$$

and the creation property

$$\forall |v\rangle \in V, \qquad Y(|v\rangle, z) |0\rangle - |v\rangle \in zV[[z]].$$

• $|w\rangle \in V$ is the *conformal state*, which satisfies the truncation condition

 $\forall |v\rangle \in V, \quad v_l |w\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } l \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ sufficiently positive,}$

and the Virasoro algebra condition, which can be stated as follows. Let ω_n be the modes of $Y(|w\rangle, z)$, and define $L_l = \omega_{l+1}$. Then

$$[L_l, L_m] = (l - m)L_{l+m} + \mathfrak{c} \frac{l^3 - l}{12} \delta_{l+m,0} \mathrm{id}_V,$$

where $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the central charge. Further, if $|v\rangle$ is homogeneous of conformal weight n, then $L_0 |v\rangle = n |v\rangle$ and we have the derivation property

$$\forall |u\rangle \in V, \qquad Y(L_{-1}|u\rangle, z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}Y(|u\rangle, z).$$

• Finally, we have the axiom of *locality*. $(Y(|v\rangle, z))_{v \in V}$ is a local family of fields; *i.e.*, for $|u\rangle, |v\rangle \in V$,

 $(z_1 - z_2)^{N_{u,v}} [Y(|u\rangle, z_1), Y(|v\rangle, z_2)] = 0 \quad \text{for some } N_{u,v} \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$

Although innocuous looking, this axiom gives the vertex operator algebra much of its structure. In particular, this is equivalent to the Jacobi identity/Borcherds identity.

We will often drop the $Y, |0\rangle$ and $|w\rangle$ in the definition of a VOA and merely denote it by the underlying space of states V. We note that the mode L_0 keeps track of the conformal weight of the states.

As the vertex algebra is (usually) non-commutative, we define the notion of normal ordering

Definition 3.2. We define the normally ordered product of two fields $Y(|u\rangle, z)$ and $Y(|v\rangle, z)$ as the following

$$:Y(|u\rangle, z)Y(|v\rangle, w):=Y(|u\rangle, z)_{-}Y(|v\rangle, w)+Y(|v\rangle, w)Y(|u\rangle, z)_{+},$$

where we defined $Y(|w\rangle, z)_+ \coloneqq \sum_{l>0} w_l z^{-l-1}$ and $Y(|w\rangle, z)_- \coloneqq \sum_{l\leq 0} w_l z^{-l-1}$.

3.2. $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ algebras

There are various equivalent constructions of W-algebras. They are defined as the semi-infinite cohomology of affine vertex algebras of level $k \in \mathbb{C}$ [43] associated to a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . For generic k, they are isomorphic to certain intersections of kernels of screening operators on free field/Heisenberg algebras [43, 46, 49], and for the principal W algebras of simply-laced type there is also a coset realization [7]. Both the coset and screening realizations admit a certain limit where the W algebra is described as an orbifold by the compact Lie group G of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . This is the situation we are interested in. In this case, the W algebra is a subalgebra of the Heisenberg vertex algebra of rank equal to the rank of \mathfrak{g} . For W algebras of type \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1} , we can also use the quantum Miura transformation, which gives us explicit generators.

We now construct our first example of a VOA, the Heisenberg VOA. Then we explain the construction of W algebras as subalgebras of the Heisenberg VOA.

3.2.1. Heisenberg vertex operator algebras

Let L be a lattice of finite rank equipped with a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form

 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$

Define $\mathfrak{h} \coloneqq L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. The bilinear form on L induces a bilinear form on \mathfrak{h} . We define the *Heisenberg* Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ as the affine Lie algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \left(\bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^l\right) \oplus \mathbb{C}K, \qquad (3.1)$$

with Lie bracket relations

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_l, \eta_m \end{bmatrix} = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle l \delta_{l+m,0} K, \qquad \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad l, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \begin{bmatrix} K, \hat{\mathfrak{h}} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
 (3.2)

where we introduced the notation $\xi_l := \xi \otimes t^l$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$.

We define the Weyl algebra \mathcal{H}_L as the universal enveloping algebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ quotiented by the relation K = 1. We also define a class of modules over \mathcal{H}_L called Fock modules as follows. For any

 $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$, define the Fock module S_{λ} as the \mathcal{H}_L -module generated by the vector $|\lambda\rangle$, such that for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\forall l > 0, \quad \xi_l |\lambda\rangle = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_0 |\lambda\rangle = \langle \xi, \lambda\rangle |\lambda\rangle.$$

If we define \mathcal{H}_L^- as the subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_L generated by the negative elements $\{\xi_l \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{h}, l < 0\}$, we have the isomorphism $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda} \cong \text{Sym}(\mathcal{H}_L^-) \mid \lambda$ as vector spaces.

The Fock module $S_0 \cong \text{Sym}(\mathcal{H}_L^-)|0\rangle$ admits a vertex operator algebra structure, by which we mean that we can find a quadruple $(S_0, Y, |0\rangle, |w\rangle)$, that satisfies the axioms of Definition 3.1. The vacuum state is $|0\rangle$. The state-field correspondence $Y(\cdot, z) : S_0 \to \text{End}(S_0)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is defined as

$$Y(|0\rangle, z) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{S}_{0}},$$

$$\forall \xi \in \mathfrak{h}, \qquad Y(\xi_{-1} |0\rangle, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_{l} z^{-l-1}.$$
(3.3)

States of the form $\xi_{-k_1}^1 \cdots \xi_{-k_n}^n |0\rangle$ where $k_i > 0$ clearly span S_0 , and the state-field correspondence is defined as

$$Y(\xi_{-k_{1}}^{1}\cdots\xi_{-k_{n}}^{n}|0\rangle,z) = :\frac{1}{(k_{1}-1)!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k_{1}-1}}{\mathrm{d}^{z^{k_{1}-1}}}Y(\xi_{-1}^{1}|0\rangle,z)\cdots\frac{1}{(k_{n}-1)!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k_{n}-1}}{\mathrm{d}^{z^{k_{n}-1}}}Y(\xi_{-1}^{n}|0\rangle,z):.$$
(3.4)

Finally, if we pick an orthonormal basis $\bar{\xi}^1, \ldots, \bar{\xi}^d$ for \mathfrak{h} , we define the conformal vector $|\omega\rangle$ as

$$|\omega\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \bar{\xi}_{-1}^{i} \bar{\xi}_{-1}^{i} |0\rangle .$$
(3.5)

Its modes form a Virasoro algebra with central charge $\mathfrak{c} = \dim \mathfrak{h} = \operatorname{rank} L$. It can be checked that those satisfy the axioms of a VOA.

Definition 3.3. We denote the *Heisenberg vertex operator algebra* associated to \mathfrak{h} by \mathcal{S}_0 .

3.2.2. Lattice vertex operator algebras

From the previous section, one can naturally define the lattice vertex operator algebra associated to L, which contains the Heisenberg VOA as a sub-VOA.

The underlying vector space of the lattice VOA is $\mathcal{V}_L := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in L} S_\lambda$ (recall that S_λ are the Fock modules defined in the previous section). In particular $S_0 \subset \mathcal{V}_L$, and we define the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ and the conformal state $|\omega\rangle$ as the ones for the Heisenberg VOA S_0 . The state-field correspondence defined earlier (3.3) also holds. It suffices to define the state-field correspondence for the states $|\lambda\rangle$. (The general prescription is obtained by taking normally ordered products as in (3.4).) We have²

$$\mathbf{V}_{\lambda}(z) \coloneqq Y(|\lambda\rangle, z) = U_{\lambda} z^{\lambda_0} \exp\left(-\sum_{l<0} \frac{\lambda_l}{l} z^{-l}\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{l>0} \frac{\lambda_l}{l} z^{-l}\right),$$

where U_{λ} is a shift operator

$$U_{\lambda} |\nu\rangle = c_{\lambda,\nu} |\nu + \lambda\rangle$$
 and $[U_{\lambda}, \lambda_n] = 0, n \neq 0,$

and $c_{\lambda,\nu} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is a (essentially) unique 2-cocycle. We will also denote the state $|\lambda\rangle$ by e^{λ} .

Definition 3.4. We denote the *lattice vertex operator algebra* associated to the even lattice L by \mathcal{V}_L .

If L = Q is the root lattice of a simple simply-laced Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} then \mathcal{V}_Q is isomorphic to the simple affine vertex algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level one and is also denoted by $L_1(\mathfrak{g})$.

 $^{{}^{2}}V_{\lambda}(z)$ is the standard notation for these operators, here we use bold letters not to confuse them with vector spaces of VOAs also denoted V elsewhere in the text.

3.2.3. The $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ algebras

A standard introduction to \mathcal{W} algebras is [6]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra. Then to each embedding of \mathfrak{sl}_2 in \mathfrak{g} one can associate the \mathcal{W} algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level $k \in \mathbb{C}$ via quantum Hamiltonian reduction from the affine vertex algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level k. The best-known case is the one of the principal embedding of \mathfrak{sl}_2 in \mathfrak{g} , which we will simply denote by $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$. Let now \mathfrak{g} be simply-laced. In this case the principal \mathcal{W} algebra can also be realized as a coset [7, Main Theorem 2], that is, for generic k

$$\mathcal{W}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \left(V_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes L_1(\mathfrak{g})\right)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}, \qquad \ell = -h^{\vee} + \frac{k + h^{\vee}}{k + h^{\vee} + 1},$$

with h^{\vee} the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} , and $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ the universal affine vertex algebra of \mathfrak{g} at level kand $L_1(\mathfrak{g})$ its simple quotient at level one. Let G be the compact Lie group whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{g} . In the limit $k \to \infty$ this coset becomes just the G-orbifold of the lattice vertex algebra [30] and this is the case we are interested in

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g}) \coloneqq \mathcal{W}^{-h^{\vee}+1}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong L_1(\mathfrak{g})^G.$$

 $\mathcal{W}^{\ell}(\mathfrak{g})$ and in particular $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ is strongly generated by elements W^i of conformal weights $d_i + 1$, where the d_i are the Dynkin exponents of \mathfrak{g} , see for example [46, Theorem 15.1.9]. For generic level it is also freely generated by these fields and the orbifold limit is always a generic point of a deformable family of vertex algebras by [30].

Remark 3.5. In summary, the principal \mathcal{W} algebras form a one-parameter family of vertex algebras and we are interested in a very special point, namely the level for which the \mathcal{W} algebra can be realized as a *G*-orbifold inside the lattice vertex algebra (for this \mathfrak{g} needs to be simply-laced). This level is special for a second reason. \mathcal{W} algebras enjoy Feigin-Frenkel duality [44] and our level is the self-dual case, *i.e.* $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ is its own Feigin-Frenkel dual.

For completeness we recall the definition of strong generators for a vertex operator algebra:

Definition 3.6. A vertex operator algebra V is said to be *strongly generated* by elements $(\gamma^i)_{i=1}^n$ in V if the underlying vector space V is spanned by

$$\gamma_{-k_1}^1 \cdots \gamma_{-k_n}^n |0\rangle$$
, where $k_i > 0$.

In addition, V is said to be *freely generated* if the above spanning set is a basis for the underlying vector space V.

Remark 3.7. If we know the state-field correspondence for the set of strong generators of a vertex operator algebra V, say $\gamma^i = \gamma_{-1}^i |0\rangle$, we can use the strong reconstruction theorem [46, Theorem 4.4.1] to determine the state-field correspondence for the states $\gamma_{-k_1}^1 \cdots \gamma_{-k_n}^n |0\rangle$ where $k_i > 0$

$$Y(\gamma_{-k_{1}}^{1}\cdots\gamma_{-k_{n}}^{n}|0\rangle,z) = :\frac{1}{(k_{1}-1)!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k_{1}-1}}{\mathrm{d}z^{k_{1}-1}}Y(\gamma_{-1}^{1}|0\rangle,z)\cdots\frac{1}{(k_{n}-1)!}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k_{n}-1}}{\mathrm{d}z^{k_{n}-1}}Y(\gamma_{-1}^{n}|0\rangle,z):.$$
(3.6)

Hence, we can interpret strong generation as the statement that all fields of the VOA can be obtained as linear combinations of normally ordered products of the fields $Y(\gamma^i, z)$ where $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and their derivatives.

3.2.4. Examples

Let us now study some examples of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ algebras.

Example 3.8. The algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is isomorphic to the Virasoro vertex algebra with central charge $\mathfrak{c} = 1$. It is well known that this VOA is strongly generated by a single vector of conformal weight 2.

Strictly speaking, we only defined \mathcal{W} algebras for simple and simply-laced Lie algebras. It is straightforward to construct \mathcal{W} algebras for direct sums of those. In particular, in the following we will study the algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \coloneqq \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}) \otimes \mathcal{S}_0$, defined as the tensor product of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_{N+1})$ and a rank one Heisenberg vertex algebra \mathcal{S}_0 .

Example 3.9. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1} is the algebra of $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . Its Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} can be described as the subspace of diagonal matrices. We equip it with the basis $(\chi^i)_{i=0}^N$ where χ^i is the matrix element that has a 1 in the (i+1)th place on the diagonal and 0 elsewhere. The algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ with central charge $\mathfrak{c} = N+1$ is strongly freely generated by the following N+1 vectors in the Heisenberg VOA S_0 associated to \mathfrak{h}

$$e_i(\chi_{-1}^0, \dots, \chi_{-1}^N) | 0 \rangle$$
 $i \in \{1, \dots, N+1\},$ (3.7)

where the e_i denotes the *i*-th elementary symmetric polynomial. The proof of this statement follows immediately from the Miura transformation, see [8, Corollary 2.2] where we take the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. The result is originally due to [42].

Example 3.10. The Lie algebra $D_N = \mathfrak{so}_{2N}$ is the Lie algebra of orthogonal $2N \times 2N$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . The roots of \mathfrak{so}_{2N} can be described as $\pm \chi^i \pm \chi^j$ where $(\chi^i)_{i=1}^N$ is an orthonormal basis for the Cartan subalgebra \mathbb{C}^N . The following vectors in \mathcal{S}_0 strongly generate the algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ with central charge $\mathfrak{c} = N$.

$$\nu^{d} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{-d}^{\chi^{i}} e_{-1}^{-\chi^{i}} + e_{-d}^{-\chi^{i}} e_{-1}^{\chi^{i}}\right) |0\rangle \qquad d \in \{2, 4, 6, \dots, 2N - 2\},$$

$$\tilde{\nu}^{N} = \chi_{-1}^{1} \chi_{-1}^{2} \cdots \chi_{-1}^{N} |0\rangle.$$
(3.8)

The conformal weight of these vectors are $2, 4, \ldots, 2N - 2$ and N, which are indeed the Dynkin exponents of \mathfrak{so}_{2N} . This statement follows from the results of [7, 30], *i.e.* from the description of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ as SO_{2N}-orbifold of the lattice vertex algebra of \mathfrak{so}_{2N} .

Remark 3.11. We note the important fact that $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ is invariant under G and hence under the action of the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} . This remark will be fundamental, in our construction of higher Airy structures as $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules in Section 4.

3.3. The graded Lie subalgebra property

In this section we construct a number of left ideals for the algebra of modes of \mathcal{W} algebras that are graded Lie subalgebras. This will be essential for the construction of higher quantum Airy structures from modules of \mathcal{W} algebras in the next section. We refer the reader to Section 3 of [12] for a more thorough treatment of the subalgebra property in the context of VOAs.

3.3.1. Graded Lie subalgebras and left ideals

Let V be a vertex operator algebra with finitely many strong and free generators $\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n$ of conformal weights $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_n$, and let \mathcal{A} be the suitably completed algebra (the current algebra) of modes of V.

Let $\mathcal{F}_p V$ be the subspace of V spanned by elements $\gamma_{-n_1}^{i_1} \cdots \gamma_{-n_s}^{i_s} |0\rangle$ with $\Delta_{i_1} + \cdots + \Delta_{i_s} \leq p$. Then $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_p \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a vertex algebra filtration, called "Li's filtration" (see Section 3.1.2 in [12]). It induces a filtration on \mathcal{A} denoted by $\mathcal{F}_p \mathcal{A}$.

The algebra of modes \mathcal{A} is a Lie algebra with respect to the commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Moreover, as shown in Section 3.1.2 of [12] (see Lemma 3.3), for principal \mathcal{W} -algebras we have:

$$[\mathcal{F}_p\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_q\mathcal{A}] \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{p+q-2}\mathcal{A}.$$
(3.9)

Our goal is to find graded Lie subalgebras of \mathcal{A} , in the following sense. Let S be a given subset of the modes of the strong generators $\gamma^1, \ldots, \gamma^n$ of V, and $\mathcal{A} \cdot S \in \mathcal{A}$ be the left \mathcal{A} -ideal generated by S. We say that $\mathcal{A} \cdot S$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{A} if

$$[\mathcal{A} \cdot S, \mathcal{A} \cdot S] \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cdot S. \tag{3.10}$$

Because of (3.9), in our construction of higher quantum Airy structures this condition will become equivalent to the subalgebra condition in Definition 2.6 after introducing \hbar as in Section 3.5.³

We can make this subalgebra property more explicit by introducing an ordering on the set of all modes (*i.e.*, the underlying set of \mathcal{A}). We define an ordering such that a mode in S is always greater than a mode not in S. We say that elements of the ideal $\mathcal{A} \cdot S$ are good with respect to S. In particular, γ is good if the right-most term of every ordered monomial of γ (expressed in terms of the strong generators) is in S.

The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 3.12. The left \mathcal{A} -ideal generated by the modes in S is a graded Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{A} if and only if for any two modes $\gamma_m^i, \gamma_n^j \in S$, one has that $[\gamma_m^i, \gamma_n^j] \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta_i + \Delta_j - 2}\mathcal{A}$ is good with respect to S.

The following subsections give examples in an increasing order of complexity. However the idea of construction is always the same. We are looking for a suitable module \mathcal{M}_{λ} generated by a highest weight vector $|\lambda\rangle$ and such that this highest weight vector is annihilated by a mode if and only if this mode is in the set S of interest (*i.e.*, it is a good mode). It then remains to show that the commutator of two modes in S is still good and essentially this amounts to showing that a basis of \mathcal{M}_{λ} is given by all the ordered monomials that are not good. We start with the case where \mathcal{M}_{λ} is the vacuum of our vertex algebra.

3.3.2. The vacuum subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$

Our first subalgebra is the left ideal generated by all modes of the strong generators of a \mathcal{W} algebra that annihilate the vacuum state $|0\rangle$.

Proposition 3.13. Consider a vertex operator algebra V freely strongly generated by homogeneous states $\gamma^i \in V$ indexed by $i \in \mathcal{I}$ (where \mathcal{I} is a finite set), with respective conformal weights $\Delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{A} be the suitably completed algebra of modes of V. Let $S = {\gamma_k^i}_{i \in \mathcal{I}, k \geq 0}$, and consider the left ideal $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0} \coloneqq \mathcal{A} \cdot S$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$ is a graded Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Equivalently, when $k, k' \geq 0$,

$$[\gamma_k^i, \gamma_{k'}^{i'}] = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p \ge 0} f_{(i,k),(i',k')}^{(j,l)} \gamma_p^j \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta_i + \Delta_{i'} - 2} \mathcal{A}$$
(3.11)

for some $f_{(i,k),(i',k')}^{(l,j)} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. We have the following commutation relations which follow from the locality axiom/Borcherds identity [46, Section 3.3.6]

$$\left[\gamma_k^i, \gamma_{k'}^{i'}\right] = \sum_{m \ge 0} \binom{k}{m} (\gamma_m^i \gamma^{i'})_{k+k'-m}, \qquad (3.12)$$

where $k, k' \ge 0$.

³Perhaps the easiest way to see this is to introduce \hbar from the start in the vertex operator algebra, as in Section 3.1.2 of [12]. In this case, (3.9) becomes $[\mathcal{A}^{\hbar}, \mathcal{A}^{\hbar}] \subseteq \hbar \mathcal{A}^{\hbar}$ for the \hbar -rescaled modes, and (3.10) becomes $[\mathcal{A}^{\hbar} \cdot S, \mathcal{A}^{\hbar} \cdot S] \subseteq \hbar \mathcal{A}^{\hbar} \cdot S$.

The assumption on strong generation implies that we can express each $(\gamma_m^i \gamma^{i'})_{k+k'-m}$ as a finite linear combination of normal ordered monomials in the generators. Let us look at one of these normally ordered terms

$$\gamma_{p_1}^{b_1} \gamma_{p_2}^{b_2} \cdots \gamma_{p_L}^{b_L}.$$
(3.13)

This monomial could either annihilate the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ or not. Let us first consider the case where it does. The normal ordering prescription implies that the term furthest to the right, i.e. $\gamma_{p_L}^{b_L}$ annihilates the vacuum. In that case, we are done, as $\gamma_{p_L}^{b_L}$ is an element of $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$.

Now, let us assume that the term (3.13) does not annihilate the vacuum $|0\rangle$. Then $p_L < 0$, and due to the normal ordering prescription, this implies that all the modes appearing in (3.13) are negative modes. We know that $\gamma_k^i |0\rangle = 0 = \gamma_{k'}^{i'} |0\rangle$ and hence $[\gamma_k^i, \gamma_{k'}^{i'}] |0\rangle = 0$. This means that $\gamma_{p_1}^{b_1} \gamma_{p_2}^{b_2} \cdots \gamma_{p_L}^{b_L} |0\rangle$ must cancel with some other terms (which are also normally ordered products of negative modes) in the sum on the right-hand side of (3.12) after acting on the vacuum state $|0\rangle$. However, this contradicts the assumption of free generation (which is that vectors of the form $\gamma_{p_1}^{b_1} \cdots \gamma_{p_L}^{b_L} |0\rangle$ where $p_i < 0$ form a basis for V), and hence cannot occur.

3.3.3. The subalgebra \mathcal{A}_{Δ}

We can now construct another interesting left ideal that is a graded Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . In this case, we consider all modes γ_k^i of the generators of a \mathcal{W} algebra for $k \geq \Delta_i - 1$, where Δ_i is the conformal weight of γ^i . The construction is rather straightforward.

Proposition 3.14. Consider a vertex operator algebra V strongly generated by homogeneous states $\gamma^i \in V$ indexed by $i \in \mathcal{I}$ where \mathcal{I} is a finite set, with respective conformal weights $\Delta_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{A} denote the suitably completed algebra of modes. Let $S = {\gamma_k^i}_{i \in \mathcal{I}, k \geq \Delta_i - 1}$, and consider the left ideal $\mathcal{A}_\Delta := \mathcal{A} \cdot S$. Then \mathcal{A}_Δ is a graded Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Equivalently, for $k \geq \Delta_i - 1$ and $k' \geq \Delta_{i'} - 1$, we have

$$[\gamma_k^i, \gamma_{k'}^{i'}] = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{p \ge \Delta_j - 1} f_{(i,k),(i',k')}^{(j,l)} \gamma_p^j \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta_i + \Delta_{i'} - 2} \mathcal{A}.$$
(3.14)

Proof. Using the strong generation assumption, we can express the commutator (3.14) as sums of normally ordered monomials of the form

$$\gamma_{p_1}^{b_1} \gamma_{p_2}^{b_2} \cdots \gamma_{p_L}^{b_L}, \tag{3.15}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{L} (p_i - b_i + 1) = (k - \Delta_i + 1) + (k' - \Delta_{i'} + 1)$ due to the conformal weight condition. As $k \ge \Delta_i - 1$ and $k' \ge \Delta_{i'} - 1$, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{L} p_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{L} (b_i - 1),$$

and hence at least one of the $p_i \ge b_i - 1$. Due to the normal ordering procedure, the last mode on the right $\gamma_{p_L}^{b_L}$ will have this property. This gives the statement of the Lemma.

3.3.4. The intermediate subalgebras

In fact we can construct many more subalgebras as intermediate cases interpolating between $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$ and \mathcal{A}_{Δ} for the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ algebras that we described in Example 3.9. The particular form of the strong generators, namely as elementary symmetric polynomials, is crucial for the construction.

In this subsection, we use a different convention for mode expansion of a field as we find it more convenient. We shift the index of the modes by the conformal weight, *i.e.*, when $|v\rangle$ has conformal weight Δ_v

$$Y(|v\rangle, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathsf{v}_l \, z^{-l - \Delta_v} \,. \tag{3.16}$$

The correspondence between the two ways of indexing is $v_l = v_{l+\Delta_{w-1}}$.

Let us start with the setup. We aim to find one subalgebra in $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ for each partition of r := N + 1. So let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)$ be a fixed partition of r, that is the λ_i are positive integers such that $r = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_p$ and we order them by size, i.e. $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots \ge \lambda_p \ge 1$. Such a partition defines good modes as follows.

Definition 3.15. We say that W^a_{-m} is λ -good if $\lambda(a) - m > 0$ where

$$\lambda(a) \coloneqq \min\{s \mid \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_s \ge a\}.$$

Now fix a λ -order on $\{1, \ldots, r\} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with the following properties

- $\begin{array}{ll} (1) & (a,-m) > (b,-n) \text{ if } \mathbb{W}^a_{-m} \text{ is } \lambda \text{-good but } \mathbb{W}^b_{-n} \text{ is not } \lambda \text{-good.} \\ (2) & (a,-m) > (b,-n) \text{ if } \mathbb{W}^a_{-m} \text{ and } \mathbb{W}^b_{-n} \text{ are } \lambda \text{-good and both } m,n \geq 0 \text{ and } a < b. \\ (3) & (a,-m) > (b,-n) \text{ if } \mathbb{W}^a_{-m} \text{ and } \mathbb{W}^b_{-n} \text{ are } \lambda \text{-good and both } m,n \geq 0 \text{ and } a = b \text{ and } m < n. \end{array}$

Let $I = \{(a_1, -m_1) \ge (a_2, -m_2) \ge \ldots \ge (a_\ell, -m_\ell)\}$ be an ordered set. Then we say that

$$\mathsf{W}_I \coloneqq \mathsf{W}_{-m_\ell}^{a_\ell} \cdots \mathsf{W}_{-m_2}^{a_2} \mathsf{W}_{-m}^{a_1}$$

is an ordered element of the universal enveloping algebra of modes. We define the λ -degree of a mode to be

$$\deg_{\lambda}(\mathsf{W}^{a}_{-m}) = \begin{cases} 2a-1 & \text{if } \lambda(a) \neq m \\ 2a & \text{if } \lambda(a) = m \end{cases}$$

and extend this definition to ordered monomials as the sum of the λ -degrees of the terms. The λ -degree of a ordered polynomial is then the maximal λ -degree of its ordered summands. Note that since W^a_{-m} is a polynomial of degree a in the modes of the Heisenberg vertex algebra it follows immediately that the λ -degree of any commutator $[W^a_{-m}, W^b_{-n}]$ is strictly smaller than $\deg_{\lambda}(\mathsf{W}^{a}_{-m}) + \deg_{\lambda}(\mathsf{W}^{b}_{-n}).$

We will call a λ -order simply an order whenever it is clear which λ we are using.

Theorem 3.16. Let \mathcal{A} be the mode algebra of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ and λ a partition of r, then the algebra of λ -good modes forms a graded Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra of modes. In addition, there exists $a \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r) \text{-module } \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \text{ generated by a highest weight vector } |\lambda\rangle \text{ such that } \mathsf{W}^a_{-m} |\lambda\rangle = 0 \text{ if and only if } |\lambda\rangle = 0$ W^a_{-m} is a λ -good mode.

Proof. We first consider the partition $\lambda = (r)$ of r. The corresponding λ -good modes are all nonnegative modes $(W^a_{-m})_{m\leq 0}$. Let ν be a generic weight of the rank r Heisenberg vertex algebra \mathcal{S}_0 so that via the embedding of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ in \mathcal{S}_0 the Fock module \mathcal{S}_{ν} also becomes a $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ -module. For generic weight ν this is a simple $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ -module and so ordered words in the negative modes acting on the highest weight vector $|v_{\nu}\rangle$ of \mathcal{S}_{ν} form a basis of S_{ν}

$$S_{\nu} = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathsf{W}_{-m_{\ell}}^{a_{\ell}} \cdots \mathsf{W}_{-m_{1}}^{a_{1}} | v_{\nu} \right) \mid (a_{1}, -m_{1}) \ge (a_{2}, -m_{2}) \ge \cdots \ge (a_{\ell}, -m_{\ell}), \ m_{l} > 0 \ \text{for} \ l = 1, \dots, \ell \right).$$

We now consider the vector space \mathcal{M} with above graded PBW-basis but consider the weight as a variable so that \mathcal{M} can be analytically continued to a module of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ over the polynomial ring in r variables ν_1, \ldots, ν_r . Here the ν_i are the eigenvalues of the zero-modes of N strong generators of the Heisenberg vertex algebra. Then specializing to any weight ν defines a new module \mathcal{M}_{ν} . At generic ν this module will be simple while at special non-generic points it will be indecomposable but reducible. We generically have $\mathcal{M}_{\nu} \cong \mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ but for example $\mathcal{M}_0 \notin \mathcal{S}_0$. Denote the highest weight vector of \mathcal{M}_0 by $|0\rangle$. By construction $W^a_{-m}|0\rangle = 0$ if and only if W^a_{-m} is a λ -good mode. In order to prove that these λ -good modes form a graded Lie subalgebra of the algebra of modes we have to show that for any two λ -good modes W^a_{-m} and W^b_{-n} the commutator $[W^a_{-m}, W^b_{-n}]$ is an ordered

polynomial in the modes and the right most term in each summand is λ -good. Consider an ordered set $I = \{(a_1, -m_1) \ge (a_2, -m_2) \ge \cdots \ge (a_\ell, -m_\ell)\}$ so that

$$\mathsf{W}_I \coloneqq \mathsf{W}_{-m_\ell}^{a_\ell} \cdots \mathsf{W}_{-m_2}^{a_2} \mathsf{W}_{-m_1}^{a_1}$$

is an ordered element of the universal enveloping algebra of modes. We call W_I a λ -good monomial if $W^{a_1}_{-m_1}$ is λ -good and say that the index set I is λ -good. The PBW-basis on \mathcal{M}_0 is then given by all $W_I |0\rangle$ such that I is not a λ -good index set. It follows that

$$\left[\mathsf{W}^{a}_{-m},\mathsf{W}^{b}_{-n}\right] = \sum_{I} c_{I}\mathsf{W}_{I} = \sum_{I \ \lambda \text{-good}} c_{I}\mathsf{W}_{I} + \sum_{I \text{ not } \lambda \text{-good}} c_{I}W_{I}.$$

Acting on $|0\rangle$ and since all λ -good modes annihilate $|0\rangle$ we have

V

$$0 = \sum_{I \text{ not } \lambda \text{-good}} c_I \mathsf{W}_I \left| 0 \right\rangle \,.$$

Since the $W_I |0\rangle$ with I not a λ -good index set form a basis of \mathcal{M}_0 it follows that $c_I = 0$ for I not a λ -good index set. We thus have proven the claim for the partition $\lambda = (r)$. We note that this is precisely the result proved in Proposition 3.14.

The general case is not much different and can be reduced to this case. We prove it by induction for r. The base case r = 1 is trivial and just a special case of what we have just proven, since $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ is the rank one Heisenberg vertex algebra and the only partition of 1 is $\lambda = (1)$.

Let r > 1. The induction hypothesis is that the statement of the Theorem is true for all r' < r, i.e. for all partitions μ of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r'})$ and in addition we require the existence of a $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r'})$ -module \mathcal{M}_{μ} generated by a highest weight vector $|\mu\rangle$ that is annihilated by all μ -good modes and the $W_I |\mu\rangle$ with I not μ -good form a basis of \mathcal{M}_{μ} . With this notation the module \mathcal{M}_0 is also denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{(r')}$ and the highest weight vector $|0\rangle$ is denoted by $|(r')\rangle$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_p)$ be a fixed partition of r, that is the λ_i are positive integers such that $N = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_p$ and we order them by size, i.e. $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_p \ge 1$. Further let $r' = r - \lambda_p$ so that $\mu = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell-1})$ is a partition of r'. We consider the embedding $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ in $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r'}) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\lambda_p})$ and the module $\mathcal{M}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{(\lambda_p)}$. We want to prove that via this embedding as $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ -modules $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mu} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{(\lambda_p)}$.

We denote the strong generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_N)$ by $(\mathsf{W}^a)_{a=1}^r$, and the ones of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r'}) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\lambda_p})$ by $(\mathsf{Z}^b)_{b=1}^{r'}$ and $(\mathsf{Y}^c)_{c=1}^{\lambda_p}$. Then due to the realization of the strong generators of the \mathcal{W} algebras in terms of normally ordered elementary symmetric polynomials of Heisenberg vertex algebra fields we immediately have that

$$W^{a}(z) = Z^{a}(z) + \sum_{d=1}^{a-1} : Z^{a-d}(z)Y^{d}(z): + Y^{a}(z)$$

where we note that many of these terms on the right may not appear. For instance $Z^{a}(z) = 0$ for a > r' and $Y^{a}(z) = 0$ for $a > \lambda_{p}$. Hence

$$\mathsf{W}^a_{-m} = \mathsf{Z}^a_{-m} \otimes 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{a-1} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathsf{Z}^{a-d}_{n-m} \otimes \mathsf{Y}^d_{-n} + 1 \otimes \mathsf{Y}^a_{-m} \,,$$

and of course $Z_{-m}^a = 0$ for a > r' and $Y^a = 0_{-m}$ for $a > \lambda_p$. The μ -degree of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r'})$ lifts to a degree map on $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r'}) \otimes \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{\lambda_p})$ by saying that the Y_{-m}^a all have μ -degree zero. Let $|\lambda\rangle \coloneqq |\mu\rangle \otimes |(\lambda_p)\rangle$. Then a straightforward verification tells us that

 $W_{-m}^{a} |\lambda\rangle = 0$ if and only if W_{-m}^{a} is λ -good.

In particular, if W^a_{-m} is not λ -good then its leading degree summand is $Z^a_{-m} \otimes 1$ if $a \leq r'$ and $Z^{r'}_{-\lambda(a)} \otimes Y^{a-r'}_{-m+\lambda(a)}$ if a > r'. In either case the leading degree summand does not annihilate $|\lambda\rangle$

which is equivalent to saying that $Z_{-m}^a \otimes 1$ if $a \leq r'$ is not μ -good and $Z_{-\lambda(a)}^{r'}$ and $Y_{-m+\lambda(a)}^{a-r'}$ are neither μ -good respectively (λ_p) -good if a > r'. Let $I = \{(a_1, -m_1) \geq (a_2, -m_2) \geq \cdots \geq (a_\ell, -m_\ell)\}$ be an ordered set with ordered monomial $W_I := W_{-m_\ell}^{a_\ell} \cdots W_{-m_2}^{a_2} W_{-m_1}^{a_1}$. Let s satisfy $s = \ell$ if $a_\ell \leq r'$, s = 0 if $a_1 > r'$ and otherwise defined such that $a_s \leq r'$ but $a_{s+1} > r'$. It follows that the projection of W_I on leading μ -degree, which we denote by X_I , is

$$\mathsf{X}_{I} = \mathsf{Z}_{-\lambda(a_{\ell})}^{r'} \cdots \mathsf{Z}_{-\lambda(a_{s+1})}^{r'} \mathsf{Z}_{-m_{s}}^{a_{s}} \cdots \mathsf{Z}_{-m_{2}}^{a_{2}} \mathsf{Z}_{-m_{1}}^{a_{1}} \otimes \mathsf{Y}_{-m_{\ell}+\lambda(a_{\ell})}^{a_{\ell}-r'} \cdots \mathsf{Y}_{-m_{s+1}+\lambda(a_{s+1})}^{a_{s+1}-r'}$$

Looking back at our requirements on the order of modes we see that the first factor is μ -ordered and the second one is (λ_p) -ordered. Consider a polynomial of type

$$\sum_{I \text{ not } \lambda \text{-good}} c_I \mathsf{W}_I \,.$$

Assume that it annihilates $|\lambda\rangle$. In particular, the leading μ -degree summands annihilate $|\lambda\rangle$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{I \text{ not } \lambda \text{-good}} c_I \mathsf{X}_I \left| \lambda \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{I \text{ not } \lambda \text{-good}} c_I \; \mathsf{Z}_{-\lambda(a_\ell)}^{r'} \cdots \mathsf{Z}_{-\lambda(a_{s+1})}^{r'} \mathsf{Z}_{-m_s}^{a_s} \cdots \mathsf{Z}_{-m_2}^{a_2} \mathsf{Z}_{-m_1}^{a_1} \left| \mu \right\rangle \otimes \mathsf{Y}_{-m_\ell + \lambda(a_\ell)}^{a_\ell - r'} \cdots \mathsf{Y}_{-m_{s+1} + \lambda(a_{s+1})}^{a_{s+1} - r'} \left| (\lambda_p) \right\rangle \,. \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis, non-good monomials acting on the highest weight vector form a basis of \mathcal{M}_{μ} respectively $\mathcal{M}_{(\lambda_p)}$ and hence all $c_I = 0$. We thus have constructed the claimed module \mathcal{M}_{λ} .

It is now easy to show that for any two λ -good modes W^a_{-m} and W^b_{-n} the commutator $[W^a_{-m}, W^b_{-n}]$ is an ordered polynomial in the modes and the right-most term in each summand is λ -good. We have

$$\left[\mathsf{W}_{-m}^{a},\mathsf{W}_{-n}^{b}\right] = \sum_{I \ \lambda \text{-good}} c_{I}\mathsf{W}_{I} + \sum_{I \text{ not } \lambda \text{-good}} c_{I}\mathsf{W}_{I}.$$

Acting on $|\lambda\rangle$ and since all λ -good modes annihilate $|\lambda\rangle$ we have

$$0 = \sum_{I \text{ not } \lambda \text{-good}} c_I W_I |\lambda\rangle .$$

Since we just proved that all the $W_I | \lambda \rangle$ where I is not a λ -good index set form a basis of \mathcal{M}_{λ} , it follows that $c_I = 0$ for I not a λ -good index set. This finishes the proof of the Theorem.

3.4. Twisted modules

In preparation for the construction of higher quantum Airy structures in the next section, we now introduce twisted modules for the Heisenberg VOAs. Those will restrict to interesting modules for the \mathcal{W} algebras realized as subalgebras of the Heisenberg VOAs.

3.4.1. Definitions

Let us define automorphisms of vertex operator algebras.

Definition 3.17. An automorphism σ , of finite order r, of a vertex operator algebra V is an automorphism $\sigma : V \to V$ on the (vector) space of states, with $\sigma^r = id_V$, which preserves the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ and the conformal state $|w\rangle$, and such that for any $|v\rangle \in V$ it acts as

$$\sigma Y(|v\rangle, z)\sigma^{-1} = Y(\sigma |v\rangle, z).$$

Given such an automorphism, we define the notion of a twisted module.

Definition 3.18. A \mathbb{Z} -graded σ -twisted V-module W is a \mathbb{Z} -graded vector space $W = \bigoplus_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} W_l$ such that $W_l = 0$ for l sufficiently negative and dim $W_l < \infty$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, with a linear map

$$Y_{\sigma}(\cdot, z) : \begin{array}{ccc} V & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{End}(W)[[z^{1/r}, z^{-1/r}]] \\ |v\rangle & \longmapsto & Y_{\sigma}(|v\rangle, z) = \sum_{l \in \underline{1} \mathbb{Z}} v_{l} z^{-l-1} \end{array}$$

We require that the vacuum property, creation property and the Virasoro algebra condition hold for W and $Y_{\sigma}(\cdot, z)$. In addition, we require the following conditions.

• The monodromy around z = 0 is given by the action of σ , namely if $\sigma |v\rangle = e^{2i\pi q/r} |v\rangle$ we have

$$a = 0$$
 unless $a \in q/r + \mathbb{Z}$.

• $(Y_W(|v\rangle, z))_{v \in V}$ is a local family of fields; i.e. for $|u\rangle, |v\rangle \in V$,

$$(z_1 - z_2)^{N_{u,v}} [Y_{\sigma}(|u\rangle, z_1), Y_{\sigma}(|v\rangle, z_2)] = 0 \quad \text{for some } N_{u,v} \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

$$(3.17)$$

• We have a *product formula*

$$\frac{1}{k!} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{\kappa}}{\mathrm{d}z_{1}^{k}} \left\{ \left(z_{1} - z_{2}\right)^{N} Y_{\sigma}(\left|u\right\rangle, z_{1}) Y_{\sigma}(\left|v\right\rangle, z_{2}) \left|w\right\rangle \right\} \Big|_{z_{1} = z_{2} = z} = Y\left(u_{N-1-k} \left|v\right\rangle, z\right) \left|w\right\rangle$$
(3.18)

for all $|u\rangle, |v\rangle \in V, |w\rangle \in W$ and where $N = N_{u,v}$ is chosen from the locality axiom.

In the above definition if we set $\sigma = id$, we get the usual notion of (untwisted) modules. The idea of twisted modules is to introduce fields that have expansions in fractional powers of z. In physics this formalizes the notion of orbifold CFTs. Intuitively, we are working on the branched covering $z = \zeta^r$, by rewriting the fields as expansions in ζ (or fractional powers of z). However, we have to be careful about the normal ordering in this context. In physics terms, the operator product expansion (OPE) of the fields changes, and the product formula (3.18) captures this precisely. This product formula (and easy corollaries) will be very useful in our \mathcal{W} algebra computations.

Remark 3.19. Note that since $\sigma(|\omega\rangle) = |\omega\rangle$, the conformal field has a mode expansion

$$Y_W(|\omega\rangle, z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} L_l \, z^{-l-1}.$$
(3.19)

with only integer powers of z.

3.4.2. Twisted modules of the Heisenberg VOA

Given an automorphism σ of \mathfrak{h} , we define a σ -twisted S_0 -module as follows. We define the σ -twisted Heisenberg Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\sigma}$ and define a $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\sigma}$ -module called the twisted Fock module, denoted \mathcal{T} . The latter carries the structure of a σ -twisted module over the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra S_0 .

Here is the detailed construction. Let σ be an automorphism of the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of finite order r

$$\langle \sigma(\xi), \sigma(\eta) \rangle = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle, \qquad \sigma^r = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$$

Any such automorphism lifts to an automorphism of S_0 which we also denote by σ . We note that \mathfrak{h} admits an orthonormal basis of eigenstates for the action of σ .

We extend the automorphism σ to $\mathfrak{h}[[t^{1/r}, t^{-1/r}]] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ as follows. Given $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$, we use the notation $\xi_n \coloneqq \xi \otimes t^n$ where $n \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$ as before. The action of σ is then

$$\sigma(\xi_l) = \sigma(\xi) \otimes e^{2i\pi l} t^l, \qquad \sigma(K) = K, \qquad l \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}.$$

The σ -twisted Heisenberg algebra is the subspace of σ -invariant elements

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\sigma} \coloneqq \left(\mathfrak{h}[[t^{1/r}, t^{-1/r}]] \oplus \mathbb{C}K\right)^{\sigma}$$

The algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\sigma}$ is generated by the elements ξ_l such that ξ is diagonal under the action of σ , and the central element K, with the following Lie bracket relations

$$[\xi_l, \eta_m] = l\delta_{l+m,0} \langle \xi, \eta \rangle K, \qquad [K, \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\sigma}] = 0.$$
(3.20)

We also introduce its negative part

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\sigma}^{-} = \bigoplus_{l \in \frac{1}{r} \mathbb{Z}_{<0}} \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} \otimes t^{l} \, .$$

Definition 3.20. Let $\mathcal{T} = \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma})|0\rangle$ be the $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\sigma}$ -module such that $K|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $\xi_l|0\rangle = 0$ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$ and l > 0.

We would like to give \mathcal{T} the structure of a σ -twisted module of the Heisenberg VOA \mathcal{S}_0 as follows. Let $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$ be a diagonal element, *i.e.* $\sigma(\xi) = e^{-2i\pi p}\xi$ for some $p \in \{0, \frac{1}{r}, \ldots, \frac{r-1}{r}\}$. Then the state-field correspondence for the module is defined as follows

$$Y_{\sigma}(|0\rangle, z) = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{T}},$$

$$Y_{\sigma}(\xi_{-1}|0\rangle, z) = \sum_{n \in p + \mathbb{Z}} \xi_n \, z^{-n-1}.$$
(3.21)

It is easy to check that this gives \mathcal{T} the structure of a σ -twisted module over \mathcal{S}_0 .

Remark 3.21. The state-field correspondence for general elements in \mathcal{T} can be computed using the state-field correspondence for the states $\xi_{-1} |0\rangle$ (3.21) and the product formula for twisted modules (3.18).

3.5. Introducing \hbar

From now on, it is convenient to rescale the Killing form by some formal parameter $\hbar^{1/2}$, and base change to the field $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}} \coloneqq \mathbb{C}((\hbar^{1/2}))$. In other words, we have a new Heisenberg VOA (still denoted \mathcal{S}_0) in which the commutation relations read

$$[\xi_l, \eta_m] = \hbar \, l \, \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \, \delta_{l+m,0} \,. \tag{3.22}$$

The reason to write $\hbar^{1/2}$ instead of \hbar is to match with the convention (1.3) adopted in [56, 5] for the partition functions of quantum Airy structures. The construction of Section 3.4.2 can still be applied to define a σ -twisted module again denoted \mathcal{T} . The only notable modification compared to the previous sections is that in Propositions 3.13 and 3.14 a factor of \hbar appears in the right-hand side of the commutation relations, and that in the reconstruction of the state-field correspondence, one should include a factor of $\hbar^{1/2}$ per each ∂_z . In particular, the Lie subalgebras constructed in Section 3.3 become graded Lie subalgebras.

In all our examples except Section 4.2, only integer powers of \hbar will remain the end of the day and we could effectively work with $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}$. HIGHER AIRY STRUCTURES, W ALGEBRAS AND TOPOLOGICAL RECURSION

4. Higher quantum Airy structures from \mathcal{W} algebras

This section gives a general prescription to produce higher quantum Airy structures starting with a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and an element σ of the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g} .

- (1) We construct a σ -twisted module \mathcal{T} of the Heisenberg VOA associated to the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} .
- (2) Upon restriction to the W algebra W(g) (which is a sub-VOA of the Heisenberg VOA), the module becomes untwisted. The underlying vector space of T is the space of formal series in countably many variables, and elements of W(g) act as differential operators (of order at most rank(g)) in those variables.
- (3) In Section 3.3, we constructed a number of ideals that are graded Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra of modes. We pick one of these subalgebras from the algebra of modes of the W algebra module T. These modes fulfill the second (and hardest to check) condition to be a higher quantum Airy structure.
- (4) A further conjugation of these modes (a.k.a dilaton shift) allows us to realize the first condition about degree 1 terms, thereby producing quantum rank(g)-Airy structures.

We apply this program in detail for \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1} (type A_N) and \mathfrak{so}_{2N} (type D_N) for different choices of the Weyl group element σ .

4.1. The $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ Airy structures

4.1.1. The twisted module \mathcal{T} for the Heisenberg VOA

Recall Example 3.9. The Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}$ has a basis given by χ^i where $i \in \{0, \ldots, N\}$, with the following bilinear form

$$\langle \chi^i, \chi^j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$$

We shall focus on the automorphism σ of the Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} induced by the Coxeter element of the Weyl group \mathfrak{S}_{N+1} , namely

$$\chi^0 \longrightarrow \chi^1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \chi^N \longrightarrow \chi^0.$$

This automorphism has order

r = N + 1.

We define a primitive r-th root of unity $\theta \coloneqq e^{2i\pi/r}$, which will appear throughout the section. Applying a discrete Fourier transform, we can define a basis $(v^a)_{a=0}^{r-1}$ of \mathfrak{h} that is diagonal under the action of σ

$$v^{a} \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{N} \theta^{-aj} \chi^{j} \qquad a \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}.$$
(4.1)

Then we indeed have $\sigma(v^a) = \theta^a v^a$. Note that

$$\langle v^a, v^b \rangle = r \delta_{r|a+b} \,. \tag{4.2}$$

where the notation $\delta_{r|k}$ means 1 if k is divisible by r, and 0 otherwise. We observe that $v^a \otimes t^{-a/r-k-1}$ is invariant under σ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence we can represent the $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ -twisted module

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \cong \mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}[x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots]$$

with the fields

$$v^{a}(z) \coloneqq Y_{\sigma}(v_{-1}^{a}|0\rangle, z) = \sum_{k \in a/r + \mathbb{Z}} J_{rk} z^{-k-1}.$$

We also recall the differential operators defined in (2.5)

$$\forall l > 0, \qquad J_l = \hbar \partial_{x_l}, \qquad J_{-l} = l x_l \,.$$

 J_0 has not been defined before: we set it equal to a scalar $J_0 = Q$. The differential operators J_l satisfy the expected bracket relations

$$[J_{rk}, J_{rk'}] = \hbar r \delta_{r|a+b} k \delta_{k+k',0} = \hbar \langle v^a, v^b \rangle k \delta_{k+k',0} \quad \text{for } k \in a/r + \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } k' \in a'/r + \mathbb{Z},$$

therefore we do have an equivalent description of the twisted module introduced in Section 3.4.2. We also stress that the normal ordering of the modes carries over to this realization as the standard normal ordering on differential operators, with derivatives on the right and multiplication by variables on the left.

Via restriction, we can now consider \mathcal{T} as a module for the subvertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \subset S_0(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$.

Remark 4.1. Even though \mathcal{T} is not a twisted module for the subvertex algebra $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$, we will slightly abuse notation and still refer to the fields associated to the generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ as "twist fields". We will use the notation

$$\xi(z) \coloneqq Y_{\sigma}(\xi_{-1} | 0 \rangle, z)$$

for the twist fields, where σ is the automorphism of the Heisenberg VOA used to construct the twisted module.

4.1.2. Computing the twist fields of the generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$

From Example 3.9, we know that the elementary symmetric polynomials

$$e_i(\chi_{-1}^0, \dots, \chi_{-1}^N) | 0 \rangle \in \mathcal{S}_0 \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$$

are a set of strong generators for $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$, and we are going to compute the modes of their twist fields.

Let us introduce some notation and prove some essential lemmas now. We first want to express the twist field corresponding to the state $v_{-1}^{a_1}v_{-1}^{a_2}\cdots v_{-1}^{a_i}|0\rangle$ in terms of the twist fields $v^l(z)$. If $A = (a_j)_{j=1}^i$ is a finite sequence, we use $\mathcal{P}(A)$ to denote the set of unordered, pairwise disjoint subsequences of length 2 of A. If $B \in \mathcal{P}(A)$, we use |B| to denote the number of pairs appearing in B, and $A \setminus B$ the subsequence of A where one has removed the elements that appear in the pairs appearing in B. Of course $|B| \leq \lfloor i/2 \rfloor$. For instance, the elements B of $\mathcal{P}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ such that |B| = 2 are

$$\{(a_1, a_2), (a_3, a_4)\}, \{(a_1, a_3), (a_2, a_4)\}, \{(a_1, a_4), (a_2, a_3)\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. Let $A = (a_k)_{k=0}^i$ where $a_k \in \{0, ..., N\}$. The twist field $Y_{\sigma}(v_{-1}^{a_1}v_{-1}^{a_2}\cdots v_{-1}^{a_i}|0\rangle, z)$ can be expressed as the following normally ordered product

$$Y_{\sigma}\left(v_{-1}^{a_{1}}v_{-1}^{a_{2}}\cdots v_{-1}^{a_{i}}|0\rangle,z\right) = \sum_{B\in\mathcal{P}(A)}(\hbar z^{-2})^{|B|}\prod_{\{b_{1},b_{2}\}\in B}\frac{b_{1}b_{2}\,\delta_{b_{1}+b_{2},r}}{2r}:\prod_{l\in A\smallsetminus B}v^{l}(z):.$$

Proof. This is an application of the product formula (3.18). If i = 2 in the above expression, we choose N = 2 in the product formula to get

$$Y_{\sigma}(v_{-1}^{a_1}v_{-1}^{a_2}|0\rangle, z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z_1^2} \Big\{ (z_1 - z_2)^2 v^{a_1}(z_1) v^{a_2}(z_2) \Big\} \Big|_{z_1 = z_2 = z}.$$
(4.3)

We compute the OPE of the twist fields, *i.e.* we express their product in terms of the normally ordered products

$$v^{a_{1}}(z_{1})v^{a_{2}}(z_{2}) = :v^{a_{1}}(z_{1})v^{a_{2}}(z_{2}): + \sum_{\substack{k_{1} \in a_{1}/r + \mathbb{Z} \\ k_{2} \in a_{2}/r + \mathbb{Z}}} [v^{a_{1}}_{k_{1}}, v^{a_{2}}_{k_{2}}] z_{1}^{-k_{1}-1} z_{2}^{-k_{2}-1} \delta_{k_{1}>0} \delta_{k_{2}<0}$$
$$= :v^{a_{1}}(z_{1})v^{a_{2}}(z_{2}): + \sum_{\substack{k_{1} \in a_{1}/r + \mathbb{Z} \\ k_{1}>0}} \hbar k_{1} \langle v^{a_{1}}, v^{a_{2}} \rangle z_{1}^{-k_{1}-1} z_{2}^{k_{1}-1},$$

and the scalar product is given by (4.2). Notice that we can extend the sum to $k_1 = 0$. Let us write $k_1 = a_1/r + k'_1$ for $k'_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. The condition $k_1 \ge 0$ is then equivalent to $k'_1 \ge 0$. We therefore obtain

$$v^{a_{1}}(z_{1})v^{a_{2}}(z_{2}) = :v^{a_{1}}(z_{1})v^{a_{2}}(z_{2}): + \sum_{k_{1}'\geq 0} \hbar(\delta_{a_{1},0}\delta_{a_{2},0} + \delta_{a_{1}+a_{2},r})r(a_{1}/r + k_{1}')z_{1}^{-a_{1}/r - 1 - k_{1}'}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r + k_{1}' - 1}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r + k_{1}' - 1}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r + k_{1}' - 1}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r - 1 - k_{1}'}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r - 1 - k_{1}'}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r + k_{1}' - 1}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r - 1 - k_{1}'}z_{2}^{a_{1}/r -$$

Inserting this result into (4.3), we get

$$Y_{\sigma}(v_{-1}^{a_{1}}v_{-1}^{a_{2}}|0\rangle,z) = :v^{a_{1}}(z)v^{a_{2}}(z): +\hbar(\delta_{a_{1},0}\delta_{a_{2},0}+\delta_{a_{1}+a_{2},r})\frac{a_{1}(r-a_{1})}{2rz^{2}}$$
$$= :v^{a_{1}}(z)v^{a_{2}}(z): +\hbar\delta_{a_{1}+a_{2},r}\frac{a_{1}a_{2}}{2rz^{2}}.$$

The general formula follows from an easy induction argument.

Definition 4.3. We introduce certain sums over *r*-th roots of unity which we encounter throughout our computations

$$\Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\ldots,a_i) \coloneqq \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\substack{m_1,\ldots,m_i=0\\m_l \neq m_{l'}}}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{l'=1}^j \frac{\theta^{m_{2l'-1}+m_{2l'}}}{(\theta^{m_{2l'}}-\theta^{m_{2l'-1}})^2} \prod_{l=2j+1}^i \theta^{-m_l a_l} \right).$$
(4.4)

In the special case where j = 0, we drop the (0) *i.e.* $\Psi(a_1, \ldots, a_i) \coloneqq \Psi^{(0)}(a_1, \ldots, a_i)$.

Note that we prove several properties of these sums over roots of unity in Appendix A.

Definition 4.4. We introduce the twist fields

 $W^{i}(z) \coloneqq r^{i-1}Y_{\sigma}\left(e_{i}(\chi_{-1}^{0}, \dots, \chi_{-1}^{N})|0\rangle, z\right), \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, r\}.$

The scalar prefactor r^{i-1} is just a convenient normalization. Let us express the twist fields in terms of the Heisenberg twist fields $v^l(z)$.

Proposition 4.5. We have for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$

$$W^{i}(z) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\substack{a_{2j+1},\dots,a_{i}=0\\j \le \lfloor i/2 \rfloor}}^{r-1} \frac{i!}{2^{j} j!(i-2j)!} \Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\dots,a_{i})(\hbar z^{-2})^{j} : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} v^{a_{l}}(z):$$

Proof. We express the elementary symmetric polynomials $e_i(\chi^0, \ldots, \chi^N)$ in terms of the basis $(v^i)_{i=0}^{r-1}$ of \mathfrak{h} . Inverting (4.1), we get

$$\chi^i = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \theta^{-ia} v^a,$$

and plugging it into the expression for the elementary symmetric polynomials gives

$$e_i(\chi^0,\ldots,\chi^N) = \frac{1}{r^i} \sum_{a_1,\ldots,a_i=0}^{r-1} \Psi(-a_1,\ldots,-a_i) v^{a_1} v^{a_2} \cdots v^{a_i}.$$

We observe that $\Psi(-a_1, \ldots, -a_i) = \Psi(a_1, \ldots, a_i)$. Now, we use Lemma 4.2 to compute the twist fields associated to $e_i(\chi_{-1}^0, \ldots, \chi_{-1}^N)|0\rangle$.

$$W^{i}(z) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{a_{1},...,a_{i}=0}^{r-1} \Psi(a_{1},...,a_{i}) Y_{\sigma} \left(v_{-1}^{a_{1}} v_{-1}^{a_{2}} \cdots v_{-1}^{a_{i}} |0\rangle, z \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{a_{1},...,a_{i}=0}^{r-1} \Psi(a_{1},...,a_{i}) \sum_{B \in \mathcal{P}(a_{1},...,a_{i})} (\hbar z^{-2})^{|B|} \prod_{\{b_{1},b_{2}\} \in B} \frac{b_{1}b_{2} \,\delta_{b_{1}+b_{2},r}}{2r} :\prod_{l \in A \setminus B} v^{l}(z):.$$

$$(4.5)$$

We would like to separate the sums over the 2j indices appearing in the pairs and the others, for $j \in \{0, \ldots, \lfloor i/2 \rfloor\}$. As A is an ordered set, we first need to identify the subset $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, i\}$ of cardinality |J| = 2j which correspond the indices of elements of A that appear in B. For fixed j, there are $\frac{i!}{(2j)!(i-2j)!}$ such Js and the corresponding terms in the sum (4.5) are all equal. For instance, they are equal to the case $\{1, \ldots, 2j\}$. B now corresponds to a choice of a pairing between elements of J. The sum over the values $a_k \in \{0, \ldots, r-1\}$ for $k \in J$ will not depend on the choice of pairing B. There are (2j-1)!! such pairings. It is enough to consider the single pairing $B = \{(1,2), (3,4), \ldots, (2j-1, 2j)\}$ provided we multiply our sums by

$$\frac{i!}{(2j)!(i-2j)!} \cdot (2j-1)!! = \frac{i!}{2^j j!(i-2j)!}$$

Consequently,

$$W^{i}(z) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{a_{1},...,a_{i}=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \frac{i! (\hbar z^{-2})^{j}}{2^{j} j! (i-2j)!} \Psi(a_{1},...,a_{i}) \prod_{l'=1}^{j} \frac{a_{2l'-1}a_{2l'} \delta_{a_{2l'-1}+a_{2l'},r}}{2r} : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} v^{a_{l}} :.$$

The claim follows by performing the sum over a_1, \ldots, a_{2j} using Lemma A.1 proved in Appendix A.

Definition 4.6. We define the modes W_k^i of the twist field $W^i(z)$ as

$$W^i(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} W^i_k z^{-k-1}$$

We observe that the expression for the modes W_k^i only involve integer powers of \hbar

We extract the expression for the modes from Proposition 4.5.

Corollary 4.7. We have

$$W_{k}^{i} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \frac{i! \hbar^{j}}{2^{j} j! (i-2j)!} \sum_{\substack{p_{2j+1}, \dots, p_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{l} p_{l} = r(k-i+1)}} \Psi^{(j)}(p_{2j+1}, p_{2j+2}, \dots, p_{i}) : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} J_{p_{l}}:,$$
(4.6)

where for cases such that j = i/2 the condition $\sum_l p_l = r(k - i + 1)$ is understood as the Kronecker delta condition $\delta_{k,i-1}$.

Proof. We start with Proposition 4.5 and compute the residue

$$W_{k}^{i} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \sum_{a_{2j+1},\dots,a_{i}=0}^{r-1} \frac{i! \hbar^{j}}{2^{j} j! (i-2j)!} \Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\dots,a_{i}) \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(dz \, z^{k-2j} : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} v^{a_{l}}(z) : \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \sum_{a_{2j+1},\dots,a_{i}=0}^{r-1} \frac{i! \hbar^{j}}{2^{j} j! (i-2j)!} \sum_{\substack{k_{l} \in a_{l}/r + \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{k k \in a_{l}/r + \mathbb{Z}}} \Psi^{(j)}(rk_{2j+1},\dots,rk_{i}) : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} J_{rb_{l}} : .$$

To get to the second line, we used that $\Psi^{(j)}$ is a *r*-periodic function of each of its arguments, because they appear as powers of *r*-th roots of unity. Summing over a_{2j+1}, \ldots, a_i amounts to

summing over $p_l = rk_l \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the only constraint $\sum_l p_\beta = r(k-i+1)$. Note that in the case where j = i/2, the condition $\sum_l p_l = r(k-i+1)$ becomes the delta condition that k = i-1.

It is easy to compute the $\Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\ldots,a_i)$ for low values of *i* (see Lemma A.5). For instance, we have the linear and quadratic operators for $r \ge 2$

$$W_{k}^{2} = J_{kr},$$

$$W_{k}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ p_{1}+p_{2}=r(k-1)}} \left(r\delta_{r|p_{1}}\delta_{r|p_{2}} - 1 \right) : J_{p_{1}}J_{p_{2}} :- \frac{(r^{2}-1)\hbar}{24}\delta_{k,1}.$$
(4.7)

For $r \geq 3$ we have the cubic operator

TT71

$$W_{k}^{3} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ p_{1} + p_{2} + p_{3} = r(k-2)}} \left(r^{2} \delta_{r|p_{1}} \delta_{r|p_{2}} \delta_{r|p_{3}} - r \delta_{r|p_{1}} - r \delta_{r|p_{2}} - r \delta_{r|p_{3}} + 2 \right) : J_{p_{1}} J_{p_{2}} J_{p_{3}} :$$

$$- \frac{(r-2)(r^{2}-1)\hbar}{24} J_{r(k-2)}, \qquad (4.8)$$

and so on.

4.1.3. The higher quantum Airy structures

We are ready to prove one of our main results. As noted in Example 3.9, we know that the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ vertex algebra with central charge $\mathfrak{c} = N + 1$ is strongly freely generated by the states $e_i(\chi_{-1}^0, \ldots, \chi_{-1}^N)|0\rangle$. Thus we can use the construction of Section 3.3 to obtain a number of left ideals for the algebra of modes of the twist fields $W^i(z)$ that are graded Lie subalgebras. This gives us the second condition that is required to obtain a higher quantum Airy structure. For the first condition, we need to modify the modes W_k^i so as to create a term of degree 1 of the form J_p for some p > 0 — which acts as a derivation on $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. This can be achieved via the following operation.

Definition 4.8. We define the *dilaton shift* as a conjugation of the differential operators W_k^i

$$H_k^i \coloneqq \hat{T}_s W_k^i \hat{T}_s^{-1}, \qquad \hat{T}_s \coloneqq \exp\left(-\frac{J_s}{s\hbar}\right).$$

We note here that by the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff formula, conjugating by \hat{T}_s is equivalent to shifting $J_{-s} \to J_{-s} - 1$ in the modes W_k^i .

We then construct the following class of higher quantum Airy structures

Theorem 4.9. Let $r \ge 2$, and $s \in \{1, \ldots, r+1\}$ be such that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$. Let

$$\mathfrak{d}^i \coloneqq i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \right\rfloor$$

Assume $J_0 = Q = 0$. The family of differential operators

$$H_{k}^{i} = \hat{T}_{s} W_{k}^{i} \hat{T}_{s}^{-1} \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \qquad k \ge \mathfrak{d}^{i} + \delta_{i,1}, \qquad (4.9)$$

forms a quantum r-Airy structure on the vector space $V = \bigoplus_{p>0} \mathbb{C}\langle x_p \rangle$ equipped with the basis of linear coordinates $(x_p)_{p>0}$.

Proof. We note that the W_k^i defined in (4.6) is a differential operator on $\mathbb{C}_{h^{1/2}}[[x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots]]$ which is a linear combination of terms of degree i + 2j for $j \in \{0, \ldots, \lfloor i/2 \rfloor\}$ using the notion of degree introduced in (2.2). We need to check the two conditions of Definition 2.6 for the differential operators H_k^i .

First, we note that the algebra of modes of a VOA-module has the same Lie algebraic structure as the modes of the VOA itself. Further, conjugating by \hat{T}_s does not change the algebra of the modes. Then, the graded Lie subalgebra condition for higher quantum Airy structures follows directly from Section 3.3. In the case s = r + 1, the indicated W_k^i form the graded Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$; in the case s = 1, they form the graded Lie subalgebra \mathcal{A}_{Δ} ; and for the remaining values of 1 < s < r such that $r \pm 1 = 0 \mod s$, we prove in Appendix B that we get a partition of r (as in Section 3.3 — see Theorem 3.16), and they form a graded Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{(r,s)}$. The only subtlety here is that in these subalgebras, the mode $W_0^1 = Q$ is always present; since it is a scalar, to be part of a higher quantum Airy structure we must set Q = 0.

To check the second condition about the form of the operators H_k^i , we need to identify the terms of degree at most 1 in H_k^i . To start, let us assume that $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ arbitrary. We first examine the terms of degree 1. Clearly, since $J_0 = Q = 0$, a term : $\prod_{l=2j+1}^i J_{p_l}$: will contribute if and only if j = 0 and there is some l_0 such that for any $l \neq l_0$ we have $p_l = -s$. The constraint on the sum of p_s imposes $p_{l_0} = rk + (s - r)(i - 1)$. We therefore obtain using the r-periodicity of Ψ in each argument

$$H_k^i = \frac{i}{r} (-1)^{i-1} \Psi\left(\underbrace{-s, -s, \dots, -s}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, (i-1)s\right) J_{rk+(s-r)(i-1)} + O(2), \qquad (4.10)$$

where O(2) indicates terms of degree ≥ 2 . Thus, we see that we need to choose (k, i) such that

$$rk + (s - r)(i - 1) > 0. (4.11)$$

In addition, we need to check that the prefactor in equation (4.10) involving Ψ is always non-zero. Before we do that, let us consider the terms of degree 0. A term : $\prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} J_{p_l}$: will contribute in degree 0 if and only if j = 0 and $p_l = -s$ for all l. The constraint on the sum of p imposes rk + i(s-r) + r = 0. We see from condition (4.11) that

$$rk + i(s - r) + r > s.$$

Thus, we choose s > 0 to ensure that no terms of degree 0 appear. When s > 0, the prefactor involving Ψ is evaluated in Lemmas A.3 and A.4 and shown to be never zero. In particular, for s coprime to r, we get

$$H_k^i = J_{rk+(s-r)(i-1)} + O(2)$$

Let us introduce the set $\mathcal{I}_{r,s} = \{(i,k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r \text{ and } k \geq \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}\}$ and the map

$$\Pi_s: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{I} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ (i,k) & \longmapsto & rk + (s-r)(i-1) \end{array}$$

$$(4.12)$$

We obtain a higher quantum Airy structure if Π_s is a bijection onto \mathbb{Z}_+ , *i.e.* if each $J_p = \hbar \partial_{x_p}$ with p > 0 appears exactly in one operator H_k^i for $(i, k) \in \mathcal{I}_{r,s}$. It is easy to see that the non-empty fibers of Π_s have cardinality $d = \gcd(r, s)$. In other words, when r and s are not coprime, the same $\hbar \partial_{x_p}$ will appear as degree one term in two different operators H_i^k , which cannot happen in higher quantum Airy structure. Let us now assume that r and s are coprime, so that Π_s is injective. We can rewrite the condition (4.11) as the condition $k > i - 1 - \frac{s}{r}(i - 1)$. For i = 1, this is $k \ge 1$. For $i \ge 2$, since s is coprime with r and $2 \le i \le r$, it follows that $k > i - 1 - \frac{s}{r}(i - 1)$ if and only if $k \ge i - 1 - \lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \rfloor$. Therefore $\Pi_s(\mathcal{I}_{r,s}) = \mathbb{Z}_+$.

From the last paragraph of the proof, we see that the first condition to be a higher quantum Airy structure restricts the allowed values of s to be positive integers that are coprime to r. The second condition to be a higher Airy structure, or equivalently the subalgebras of modes that we identified in Section 3.3, imposes the stronger constraint that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$.

Remark 4.10. For completeness, we compute $F_{0,3}$ and $F_{1,1}$ for all these higher quantum Airy structures in Appendix B. In fact, we do a little bit more; we calculate $F_{0,3}$ for all choices of s that are coprime with r. The result is that $F_{0,3}$ is indeed well defined and symmetric for $r = \pm 1 \mod s$, as expected; however, it cannot be symmetric when $r \neq \pm 1 \mod s$ (see Proposition B.2). In other words, when $r \neq \pm 1 \mod s$, the H_k^i cannot form a higher quantum Airy structure, since a solution Z to the differential constraints $H_k^i Z = 0$ does not exist. Given that for any s coprime with r the H_i have the right form to be a higher quantum Airy structure, it follows that the left ideal generated by the H_i is a graded Lie subalgebra if and only if $r = \pm 1 \mod s$.

Let $F_{g,n}$ be the coefficients of the partition function of the Airy structure of Proposition 4.9. We can derive from it the following basic properties.

Lemma 4.11. For 2g - 2 + n > 0 and $p_1, \ldots, p_n > 0$, we have

• Homogeneity:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \neq s(2g-2+n) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad F_{g,n}[p_1,\ldots,p_n] = 0.$$

- If $r|p_m$ for some m, then $F_{g,n}[p_1,\ldots,p_n] = 0$.
- Dilaton equation

 $F_{q,n+1}[s+dr, p_1, \ldots, p_n]$

$$F_{g,n+1}[s, p_1, \dots, p_n] = s(2g - 2 + n)F_{g,n}[p_1, \dots, p_n].$$

• More generally, for $d \ge -\delta_{s,r+1}$, we have the Virasoro constraints

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{n} p_m F_{g,n} [k_i + dr, p_1, \dots, \widehat{p_i}, \dots, p_n] + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{dr-1} \left(F_{g-1,n+2} [l, dr - l, p_1, \dots, p_n] + \sum_{\substack{J \sqcup J' = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \\ h+h' = g}} F_{h,1+|J|} [l, J] F_{h',1+|J'|} [dr - l, J'] \right),$$

$$(4.13)$$

with the convention that the insertion of a negative index is zero. The dilaton equation is d = 0, and for s = r + 1 we also have the string equation.

4.1.4. Reduction to \mathfrak{sl}_{N+1}

The quantum r-Airy structures of Theorem 4.9 always contain $H_k^1 = J_{kr}$ for k > 0. Hence their partition function Z is independent of the variables x_{kr} for k > 0. Let us define the reduced operators by the formula

$$W_{k}^{i}|_{\text{red}} = W_{k}^{i}|_{J_{kr}=0 \ k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \frac{i! \ \hbar^{j}}{2^{j} \ j! \ (i-2j)!} \sum_{\substack{p_{2j+1}, \dots, p_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \smallsetminus \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{l} p_{l} = r(k-i+1)}} \Psi^{(j)}(p_{2j+1}, \dots, p_{i}) : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} J_{p_{l}} :.$$

$$(4.14)$$

As the dilaton shift in Theorem 4.9 does not affect the modes indexed by k divisible by r, we also have

$$H_k^i|_{\text{red}} = \hat{T}_s W_k^i|_{\text{red}} \hat{T}_s^{-1} = H_k^i|_{J_{pr}=0} p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Although we do not know a general reason for $H_k^i|_{\text{red}}$ to be a quantum Airy structure itself, for this particular case we can check that it is indeed the case. We also reprove Lemma 2.18 in this particular case. **Lemma 4.12.** Let us consider a quantum r-Airy structure from Theorem 4.9. Its partition function is equivalently characterized by the constraints $J_{kr} \cdot Z = 0$ for any k > 0 and

$$J_{kr} \cdot Z = 0 \ k > 0,$$
 and $H_k^i|_{\text{red}} \cdot Z = 0,$ $i \in \{2, \dots, r\},$ $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}.$ (4.15)

Moreover, the family of operators $H_k^i|_{\text{red}}$ indexed by $i \in \{2, \ldots, r\}$ and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}$ forms a quantum r-Airy structure on the vector space with basis of linear coordinates $(x_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus r\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof. As a preliminary, we are going to show that H_k^i can be expressed solely in terms of the reduced operators. Since the dilaton shift does not affect the modes $(J_{kr})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ it is enough to prove this property for W_k^i instead of H_k^i , and the result will follow by conjugation. We can always decompose

$$W_k^i = \sum_{\substack{\ell,m \ge 0 \\ \ell+m \le i}} \sum_{\substack{a_1,\ldots,a_\ell > 0 \\ b_1,\ldots,b_m > 0}} J_{-ra_1} \cdots J_{-ra_\ell} \Upsilon_{k,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^i J_{rb_1} \cdots J_{rb_m} \, .$$

where the $\Upsilon_{k,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{i}$ do not involve the modes J_{rp} for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using the expressions (4.6) for the operators W_{k}^{i} , and using the *r*-periodicity of $\Psi^{(j)}$ with respect to any of its entries, we get:

$$\Upsilon_{k,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{i} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (i-\ell-m)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{p_{2j+1},\dots,p_{i-\ell-m} \in \mathbb{Z} \smallsetminus r\mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_{l} p_{l} = r(k-i+1+\sum_{l} a_{l}-\sum_{l'} b_{l'})}} \frac{i! \hbar^{j}}{2^{j} j! (i-\ell-m-2j)!} \times \Psi^{(j)} \left(p_{2j+1},\dots,p_{i-\ell-m},\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{\ell+m \text{ times}}\right) : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i-\ell-m} J_{p_{l}} :.$$

We also used that J_{-m_lr} are always on the left (resp. $J_{n_l'r}$ are on the right) of a normal ordered expression, so we can remove them outside the normal ordering. The $\Psi^{(j)}$ with the 0s in them is evaluated using the Lemma A.2 proved in the Appendix to get

$$\Upsilon_{k,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}^{i} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{(r-i+\ell+m)!}{(r-i)!} \frac{(i-\ell-m)!}{i!} \times \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (i-\ell-m)/2 \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{p_{2j+1},\dots,p_{i-\ell-m} \in \mathbb{Z} \smallsetminus \mathbb{T} \\ \sum_{l} p_{l} = r(k-i+1+\sum_{l} m_{l}-\sum_{l'} n_{l'})}} \frac{i! \Psi^{(j)}(p_{2j+1},\dots,p_{i-\ell-m})}{2^{j} j!(i-\ell-m-2j)!} : \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i-\ell-m} J_{p_{l}}:,$$

and therefore

$$H_{k}^{i} = \sum_{\substack{\ell,m \ge 0 \\ \ell+m \le i }} \sum_{\substack{a_{1},\dots,a_{\ell} > 0 \\ \ell+m \le i }} \frac{(r-i+\ell+m)!}{(r-i)!} J_{-ra_{1}} \cdots J_{-ra_{\ell}} H_{k+\sum_{l}(a_{l}-1)-\sum_{l'}(b_{l'}+1)}^{i-\ell-m} |_{red} J_{rb_{1}} \cdots J_{rb_{m}} .$$
(4.16)

Now consider the constraints $H_k^i \cdot Z = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}$. They contain $H_k^1 \cdot Z = J_{kr} \cdot Z = 0$ for all k > 0 so the partition function is independent of x_{rm} for m > 0. As a result, for $i \ge 2$ and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i$, the coefficient of $x_{rb_1} \cdots x_{rb_m}$ in $H_k^i \cdot Z$ is proportional to $\Upsilon_{k,\mathbf{a},\emptyset}^i \cdot Z$ therefore to $H_{k+\sum_l (a_l-1)}^{i-\ell}|_{\mathrm{red}}$. Since $m_l > 0$, we get the family of constraints

$$H_k^i|_{\text{red}} \cdot Z = 0, \qquad i \in \{2, \dots, r\}, \qquad k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i. \tag{4.17}$$

Conversely, the constraints (4.17) together with $J_{rk} \cdot Z = 0$ for k > 0 imply, by reconstructing the linear combinations (4.16), that $H_k^i \cdot Z = 0$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}$.

For the last statement, let

$$V = \bigoplus_{p > 0} \mathbb{C} \langle x_p \rangle \qquad V_{\text{red}} = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{N} \smallsetminus r \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{C} \langle x_p \rangle,$$

and consider the Weyl algebras $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V_{\text{red}}}^h \subset \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$ of differential operators on V_{red} and V. Let \mathcal{J}^+ be the graded subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^h$ generated by the J_{pr} for $\pm p > 0$. We have a canonical decomposition

$$\mathcal{D}^{\hbar}_{T^{*}V}$$
 = $\mathcal{J}^{-}\mathcal{D}^{\hbar}_{T^{*}V_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathcal{J}^{+}$,

and a natural projection $\rho : \mathcal{D}^{\hbar}_{T^*V} \to \mathcal{D}^{\hbar}_{T^*V_{\text{red}}}$. By definition

$$H_k^i|_{\mathrm{red}} = \rho(H_k^i)$$
.

We denote \mathcal{H}_{red} — respectively \mathcal{H} — the subspace spanned by $H_k^i|_{red}$ for $i \in \{2, \ldots, r\}$, respectively $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$) — and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}$ over the field $\mathbb{C}((\hbar))$. The graded Lie subalgebra condition for these H_k^i translates into

$$[\mathcal{H},\mathcal{H}] = \hbar \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{\hbar} \cdot \mathcal{H}$$

Let us apply the projection ρ to this equation. We get on the right-hand side $\hbar \mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{\hbar} \cdot \mathcal{H}_{red}$. On the left-hand side, we have to take into account that if $j_1^{\pm}, j_2^{\pm} \in \mathcal{J}^{\pm}$ and $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{T^*V_{red}}^{\hbar}$

$$\left[j_1^+h_1j_1^-, j_2^+h_2j_2^-\right] - j_1^+ \left[j_1^-, j_2^+\right]h_1h_2j_2^- - j_2^+ \left[j_1^+, j_2^-\right]h_2h_1j_1^- = j_1^+j_2^+ \left[h_1, h_2\right]j_1^-j_2^-$$

After applying ρ we find a result of the form

$$\rho \Big[j_1^+ h_1 j_1^-, j_2^+ h_2 j_2^- \Big] - \hbar c h_1 h_2 + \hbar c' h_1 h_2 = \big[h_1, h_2 \big],$$

for some $c, c' \in \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$. Therefore

$$\left[\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{red}},\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{red}}\right] = \hbar \,\mathcal{D}_{T^*V_{\mathrm{red}}}\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{red}}\,,$$

which proves that the ideal generated by \mathcal{H}_{red} is a graded Lie subalgebra. As it is already clear that for any $p \in \mathbb{N} \setminus r\mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique (k, i) such that $H_k^i|_{red} = \hbar \partial_{x_p} + O(2)$, this proves the claim.

4.1.5. Arbitrary dilaton shifts and changes of polarization

In this subsection, we will construct deformations of the quantum r-Airy structures of Theorem 4.9, by exploring more general conjugations. Although this may seem superfluous, these examples will appear naturally in the next section when we study higher quantum Airy structures coming from general spectral curves.

We first introduce more general dilaton shifts. We would like to conjugate the modes W_k^i in (4.6) by an operator of the form

$$\hat{T} \coloneqq \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{l>0}\frac{\tau_l}{l}J_l\right),\,$$

where τ_l are scalars. This simultaneously shifts $J_{-l} \rightarrow J_{-l} + \tau_l$ for all l > 0.

Proposition 4.13. Let $r \ge 2$. Denote

$$s \coloneqq \min\{l > 0 \mid \tau_l \neq 0 \text{ and } r + l\}, \qquad \mathfrak{d}^i \coloneqq i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \right\rfloor$$

and assume that $1 \le s \le r+1$ and $r = \pm 1 \mod s$. The family of differential operators

$$H_{k}^{i} = (-\tau_{s})^{1-i} \hat{T} W_{k}^{i} \hat{T}^{-1} \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \qquad k \ge \mathfrak{d}^{i} + \delta_{i,1}, \tag{4.18}$$

forms a quantum r-Airy structure up to a change of basis of linear coordinates.

Proof. We need to show that the two conditions in Definition 2.6 are satisfied. Since $\tau_s \neq 0$, we define $\tilde{\tau}_q$ as

$$\tau_q = \tau_s (\delta_{s,q} + \tilde{\tau}_q)$$

so that $\tilde{\tau}_q = 0$ for $q \leq s$. We compute as in the proof of Theorem 4.9 that (up to rescaling by constants)

$$H_{k}^{i} = \frac{i}{r} (-1)^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{Z}, q_{2}, \dots, q_{i} \ge s \\ p = r(k-i+1) + \sum_{l=2}^{i} q_{l}}} \Psi(-q_{2}, \dots, -q_{i}, p) \left[\prod_{l=2}^{i} (\delta_{s,q_{l}} + \tilde{\tau}_{q_{l}}) \right] J_{p} + O(2) \, .$$

Therefore we can write

$$H_k^i = \sum_{p \ge \prod_s(i,k)} L_{\prod_s(i,k),p} J_p + O(2) ,$$

where Π_s was defined in (4.12) and is a bijection between the set of indices (i, k) considered in (4.18) and the set of positive integers. $(L_{a,b})_{a,b>0}$ is an upper triangular matrix with diagonal entries 1 (this value comes from Lemma A.4 for r and s coprime). Let us perform the change of basis on linear coordinates

$$y_b = \sum_{m \ge 0} (-1)^m \sum_{b=a_0 > a_1 > \dots > a_{m-1} > a_m > 0} \left[\prod_{l=0}^{m-1} L_{a_l, a_{l+1}} \right] x_{a_m}$$

For any b > 0 the right-hand side is well defined as it is a finite sum (using the upper-triangularity of L). By construction we have

$$H_k^i = \sum_{p \ge \Pi_s(i,k)} L_{\Pi_s(i,k),p} \hbar \partial_{x_p} + O(2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{\Pi_s(i,k)}} + O(2).$$

Notice that these expressions make sense using the prescriptions for vector spaces of countable dimension described in Section 2.1.4, *i.e.* ∂_{y_p} are elements of V and linear coordinates are elements of the dual. We therefore have checked the first condition of Definition 2.6.

The graded Lie subalgebra condition which holds for the operators of Theorem 4.9 is preserved after conjugation. Hence we obtain a higher quantum Airy structure. \Box

Another conjugation that will appear in the next section is the change of polarization. We would like to conjugate our modes with an operator of the form

$$\hat{\Phi} \coloneqq \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar} \sum_{l,m>0} \frac{\phi_{l,m}}{l\,m} \, J_l J_m\right)$$

where $\phi_{l,m} = \phi_{m,l}$ are scalars. Using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, we see that it shifts the modes as

$$\forall a > 0, \qquad J_{-a} \longrightarrow J_{-a} + \sum_{l>0} \frac{\phi_{a,l}}{l} J_l \,. \tag{4.19}$$

and leaves J_a invariant if a > 0.

Proposition 4.14. Under the same conditions as in Proposition 4.13, the family of differential operators

$$H_k^i = (-\tau_s)^{1-i} \,\bar{\Phi} \,\bar{T} W_k^i \bar{T}^{-1} \,\bar{\Phi}^{-1}, \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \qquad k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1},$$

forms a quantum r-Airy structure up to a change of basis of linear coordinates.

Proof. The graded Lie subalgebra condition is stable under conjugation. We are going to argue that

$$\hat{\Phi}\left(\hat{T}W_{k}^{i}\hat{T}^{-1}\right)\hat{\Phi}^{-1} = \left(\hat{T}W_{k}^{i}\hat{T}^{-1}\right) + O(2).$$
(4.20)

This will automatically imply that the $(-\tau_s)^{1-i} \hat{\Phi} \hat{T} W_k^i \hat{T}^{-1} \hat{\Phi}^{-1}$ satisfy the first condition in Definition 2.6, hence form a quantum *r*-Airy structure.

We observe that the operation (4.19) respects the degree. It replaces J_{-l} s by J_m s. If the result is not normal ordered anymore, normal ordering creates a new term where two Js are replaced by a \hbar (which is still of the same degree). As there is no term of degree 1 of the form J_{-l} with l > 0in H_k^i we get the claimed (4.20).

4.2. $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ Airy structures for other automorphisms

4.2.1. The twisted module

We come back to the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ algebra, but now we construct twisted modules for an arbitrary automorphism σ , consisting of $d \ge 2$ disjoint cycles of order r_1, \ldots, r_d which sum to $r \coloneqq N+1$. We relabel the basis elements of \mathfrak{h}

$$\chi^{\mu,i} \coloneqq \chi^{i-1+\sum_{\nu<\mu}r_{\nu}} \qquad \mu \in \{1,\ldots,d\}, \qquad i \in \{1,\ldots,r_{\mu}\}$$
$$\sigma(\chi^{\mu,i}) = \chi^{\mu,i+1 \bmod r_{\mu}}.$$

We then introduce the basis of eigenvectors indexed by $\mu \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $a \in \{0, \ldots, r_{\mu} - 1\}$

$$v^{\mu,a} = \sum_{j=0}^{r_{\mu}-1} \theta_{r_{\mu}}^{-aj} \, \chi^{\mu,j} \,, \qquad \theta_{r_{\mu}} = e^{2\mathbf{i}\pi/r_{\mu}} \,.$$

which are diagonal under the σ action

$$\sigma(v^{\mu,a}) = \theta^a_{r_\mu} v^{\mu,a}, \qquad \langle v^{\mu,a}, v^{\nu,b} \rangle = \delta_{\mu,\nu} r_\mu \, \delta_{r_\mu|a+b}.$$

Hence we can represent the $S_0(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ -twisted module

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1}) \cong \mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}[x_1^1, x_1^2, \dots, x_1^d, x_2^1, x_2^2, \dots, x_2^d, x_3^1, \dots],$$

with the fields

such that

$$v^{\mu,a}(z) = \sum_{k \in a/r_{\mu} + \mathbb{Z}} J^{\mu}_{kr_{\mu}} \, z^{-k-1} \, ,$$

and

$$J_l^{\mu} = \begin{cases} \hbar \, \partial_{x_l^{\mu}} & l > 0 \\ Q^{\mu} & l = 0 \\ -l \, x_{-l}^{\mu} & l < 0 \end{cases}$$

where Q^{μ} now are arbitrary scalars. Upon restriction, it becomes an untwisted $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ -module.

Remark 4.15. In contrast to Section 4.1.1, we have the freedom to take $J_0^{\mu} = Q^{\mu} \neq 0$ in our construction of Airy structures, provided Q^{μ} is equal to 0 modulo terms of positive degree. Scalars with this property in $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}$ must be O(2). Note that J_l for $l \neq 0$ are elements of $\mathcal{D}_{T^*V}^{\hbar}$ of degree 1. It is therefore natural to replace the base field with $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}$ to allow scalars of degree 1. So we construct crosscapped Airy structures as defined in Section 2.3 rather than usual Airy structures.

We are going to compute the modes W_k^i of the twist fields associated to the strong generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$. The result is expressed in terms of the modes $W_k^{\mu,i}$ of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r_{\mu}})$ -module constructed in Section 4.1 via twisting by the Coxeter element of $\mathfrak{gl}_{r_{\mu}}$, which are according to Corollary 4.6

$$W_k^{\mu,i} = \frac{1}{r_{\mu}} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} \frac{i! \hbar^j}{2^j j! (i-2j)!} \sum_{\substack{p_{2j+1}, \dots, p_i \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \sum_l p_l = r_{\mu} (k-i+1)}} \Psi_{r_{\mu}}^{(j)}(p_{2j+1}, p_{2j+2}, \dots, p_i) : \prod_{l=2j+1}^i J_{p_l}^{\mu} :,$$

where we have use the notation $\Psi_{r_{\mu}}^{(j)}$ for $\Psi^{(j)}$ to insist that we choose the r_{μ} -th roots of unity for its definition.

Lemma 4.16. We have

$$W_{k}^{i} = r^{i-1} \sum_{M \subseteq \{1,...,d\}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_{\mu} \le r_{\mu} \ \mu \in M \\ \sum_{\mu} i_{\mu} = i}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{M} \\ \sum_{\mu} k_{\mu} = k+1-|M|}} \prod_{\mu \in M} \frac{1}{r_{\mu}^{i_{\mu}-1}} W_{k_{\mu}}^{\mu,i_{\mu}}.$$
(4.21)

Proof. We express the strong generators of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{N+1})$ by grouping the basis elements that belong to the same cycle σ together

$$e_i(\chi^0, \dots, \chi^{r-1}) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_d \ge 0 \\ \sum_{\mu} i_{\mu} = i}} \prod_{\mu=1}^d e_{i_{\mu}}(\chi^{\mu, 1}, \dots, \chi^{\mu, r_{\mu}}).$$

Now we compute the fields associated to these generators in our twisted module. We note that the modes corresponding to different μ commute, and for each μ we recognize (up to the factor $r^{i_{\mu}-1}$) the fields associated with the $\mathfrak{gl}_{r_{\mu}}$ generators in Definitions 4.4-4.6. Therefore

$$W^{i}(z) = r^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{i_{1}, \dots, i_{d} \ge 0 \\ \sum_{\mu} i_{\mu} = i}} \prod_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{1}{r_{\mu}^{i_{\mu}-1}} W^{\mu, i_{\mu}}(z) ,$$

where by convention $W^{\mu,0}(z) = 1$. Collecting the coefficient of z^{-k-1} entails the claim.

4.2.2. Higher quantum Airy structures

It seems rather tedious to find all the dilaton shifts of (4.21) that could lead to higher quantum Airy structures. Instead, we focus on the case σ is a cycle of length r-1, that is

$$r_1 = r - 1, \qquad r_2 = 1$$

According to Lemma 4.16, we have

$$W_{k}^{1} = J_{r_{1}k}^{1} + J_{k}^{2},$$

$$(r_{1}/r)^{i-1} W_{k}^{i} = W_{k}^{1,i} + \sum_{\substack{k_{1},k_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_{1}+k_{2}=k-1}} r_{1} W_{k_{1}}^{1,i-1} J_{k_{2}}^{2} \qquad i \in \{2, \dots, r_{1}\},$$

$$(r_{1}/r)^{r-1} W_{k}^{r} = \sum_{\substack{k_{1},k_{2} \in \mathbb{Z} \\ k_{1}+k_{2}=k-1}} r_{1} W_{k_{1}}^{1,r_{1}} J_{k_{2}}^{2}.$$

$$(4.22)$$

Let $s \in \{1, \ldots, r_1 + 1\}$ with s coprime with r_1 . We perform a dilaton shift $J_{-s}^1 \to J_{-s}^1 - 1$, *i.e.* we define

$$H_k^i = (r_1/r)^{i-1} \exp\left(-\frac{J_s}{\hbar s}\right) W_k^i \exp\left(\frac{J_s}{\hbar s}\right).$$
(4.23)

Theorem 4.17. Let $s \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that s|r, and let

$$\mathfrak{d}^i \coloneqq i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r-1} \right\rfloor.$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ and assume that $Q^1 = \hbar^{1/2}q = -Q^2$. The family of operators

$$\begin{aligned} H_k^1 + H_{r-1-s+k}^r &= J_{(r-1)k}^1 + O(2) & k \ge 1 \\ H_k^i &= J_{(r-1)(k-i+1)+s(i-1)}^1 + O(2) & k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i, \quad i \in \{2, \dots, r-1\} \\ -H_k^r &= J_{k+s-r+1}^2 + O(2) & k \ge (r-s) \end{aligned}$$

forms a crosscapped higher quantum Airy structure on $\bigoplus_{p>0} (\mathbb{C}\langle x_p^1 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle x_p^2 \rangle).$

Proof. We first check the subalgebra property. In the case $s = r_1 + 1 = r$, the indicated W_k^i form the graded Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$; in the case s = 1, they form the graded Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$. For the remaining values of $1 < s < r_1$, we need to find for what values of (s, r_1) do we get a partition of r (as in Theorem 3.16). Using Proposition B.1, replacing $r \to r_1$, we know that the modes with $i \in \{1, \ldots, r_1\}$ generate an ideal that is a graded Lie subalgebra if $r_1 = \pm 1 \mod s$. What we need to check is that the left ideal generated by adding the modes $W_k^{r_1+1}$ with $k \ge r_1 + 1 - s$ is still a graded Lie subalgebra. For this to be the case, we need to show that the enlarged set of modes still correspond to a partition.

For $W_k^{r_1}$ and $s < r_1$, the condition is $k \ge r_1 - 1 - s + \left[\frac{s}{r_1}\right] = (r_1 - 1) - (s - 1)$. Moreover, for $W_k^{r_1+1}$ the condition that we want is $k \ge r_1 - (s - 1)$. In the notation of Theorem 3.16, this means that we are adding one to the last part of the partition corresponding to the subalgebra generated by the modes with $1 \le i \le r_1$. This will remain an ordered partition only if all other parts of the original partition are at least one larger than the last part. Looking again at Proposition B.1, we see that this will be the case precisely when $r_1 = r's + r''$ with r'' = s - 1. In other words, $r_1 = -1 \mod s$, or equivalently s|r. Therefore we conclude that the left ideal generated by the modes W_k^i , with $i \in \{1, \ldots, r_1 + 1\}$ and satisfying the condition above, is a subalgebra if and only if s|r.

For all these cases, as the W_k^i satisfy the graded Lie subalgebra condition, so do the H_i^k with the same indices. Now as usual we need to be careful with zero modes. For i = 1 it is clear that

$$H_k^1 = W_k^1 = J_{r_1k}^1 + J_k^2. aga{4.24}$$

The graded Lie subalgebras contain the mode H_0^1 which is equal to the scalar $Q^1 + Q^2$. We must assume $Q^1 + Q^2 = 0$ if we desire to have a higher quantum Airy structure. Due to the condition on the degrees, we fix $Q^1 = h^{1/2}q = -Q^2$ for some $q \in \mathbb{C}$. We can drop the zero differential operator H_0^1 and deduce that H_k^i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1} + \delta_{i,r}$ still satisfy the graded Lie subalgebra condition.

It remains to check that the degree 1 condition holds. We start by computing the result of the shift $J_{-s} \rightarrow J_{-s} - 1$ in $W_k^{1,i}$. Compared to Section 4.1.3, the fact that $Q^1 = \hbar^{1/2}q$ gives an extra term

$$\exp(-\partial_{x_s^1})r^{-(i-1)}W_k^{1,i}\exp(\partial_{x_s^1}) = -\frac{\delta_{i,r_1}\delta_{r_1s+r_1(k-i+1),0}}{r_1} + \frac{\hbar^{1/2}q}{r_1}\delta_{k,0}\delta_{i,1} + J_{r_1(k-i+1)+s(i-1)}^1 + O(2).$$

In particular for $i < r_1$ the degree 0 term (the first term) vanishes. We now consider $i \in \{2, \ldots, r_1\}$ and compute H_k^i modulo O(2). We get from (4.22)

$$H_k^i = -\frac{\delta_{i,r_1} \,\delta_{s+k-i+1,0}}{r_1} + J_{r_1(k-i+1)+s(i-1)}^1 + O(2) \,. \tag{4.25}$$

For $s \in \{1, \ldots, r_1 + 1\}$ coprime with r_1 and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i$ we see that the degree 0 term in (4.25) is absent. Under these conditions, we have

$$H_k^i = J_{r_1k+(s-r_1)(i-1)}^1 + O(2)$$

which involves a J_p^1 with p > 0. Finally, we compute H_k^r modulo O(2) from (4.22) and find

$$H_k^r = -J_{k+s-r_1}^2 + O(2) \, .$$

For $s \in \{1, ..., r_1 + 1\}$ coprime with r_1 and $k \ge r_1 + 1 - s$ we see that $k + s - r_1 \ge 1$, and hence the J appearing there is a derivation. We then see that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H^1_k + H^r_{r_1 - s + k} &=& J^1_{r_1 k} + O(2) & k \ge 1 \\ H^i_k &=& J^1_{r_1 (k - i + 1) + s (i - 1)} + O(2) & k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i, \quad i \in \{2, \dots, r_1\} \\ -H^r_k &=& J^2_{k + s - r_1} + O(2) & k \ge r_1 + 1 - s \end{array}$$

forms a quantum r-Airy structure, which is the claim.

We will discuss the enumerative geometry interpretation (through open intersection theory) of the associated partition function in Section 6.3. Note that we can easily formulate and prove an analog of Proposition 4.14 to describe more general higher quantum Airy structures obtained from the ones of Proposition 4.17 by further dilaton shifts and changes of polarization.

4.3. The $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ Airy structures

4.3.1. The twisted module \mathcal{T}

Recall Example 3.10. The roots of the Lie algebra of D_N type can be described as $(\pm \chi_i \pm \chi_j)$ where χ_i is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{C}^N . Let σ be the Coxeter element of the Weyl group, defined by the following action

$$\chi_1 \to \chi_2 \to \dots \to \chi_{N-1} \to -\chi_1 \to -\chi_2 \to \dots -\chi_{N-1} \to \chi_1$$
 and $\chi_N \to -\chi_N$

This element has order r = 2(N-1). We define a basis $(v^1, v^3, v^5, \dots, v^{r-1}, \tilde{v})$ of \mathfrak{h} that is diagonal under the action of σ as follows

$$v^{a} = \sqrt{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{i}{2}-1} \theta^{-aj} \chi_{j+1} \qquad a \in \{1, 3, 5, \dots, r-1\},$$

$$\tilde{v} = \sqrt{2} \chi_{N}, \qquad (4.26)$$

It has the property $\sigma(v^a) = \theta^a v^a$ and $\sigma(\tilde{v}) = -\tilde{v}$, and the inner product for any $a, b \in \{1, 3, \dots, r-1\}$

$$\langle v^a, v^b \rangle = r \delta_{r|a+b}, \qquad \langle \tilde{v}, v^a \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle \tilde{v}, \tilde{v} \rangle = 2.$$
 (4.27)

We can therefore define

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N}) = \mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}[[x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_3, \dots]]$$

with

$$v^{a}(z) \coloneqq \sum_{k \in a/r + \mathbb{Z}} J_{rk} z^{-k-1}, \qquad \tilde{v}(z) = \sum_{k \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{J}_{2k} z^{-k-1},$$
(4.28)

where we take for any odd l > 0

$$J_l = \hbar \partial_{x_l}, \qquad J_{-l} = l x_l, \qquad \widetilde{J}_l = \hbar \partial_{\widetilde{x}_l}, \qquad \widetilde{J}_{-l} = l \widetilde{x}_l.$$

The evaluation of the pairing (4.27) shows that these assignments reproduce the desired commutation relations of the Heisenberg algebra. Thus $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ is a twisted \mathcal{S}_0 -module, which we restrict to obtain a $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ -module.

4.3.2. Twist fields for the generators

 $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ is freely and strongly generated by ν^d for $d \in \{2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2N - 2\}$ and $\tilde{\nu}^N$. The corresponding fields can be computed using the following lemma.

Lemma 4.18. [10, Lemma 3.7] For all $d \ge 1$, $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ and $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, we have

$$Y_{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{e}_{-d}^{\varepsilon\chi^{i}}\mathbf{e}_{-1}^{-\varepsilon\chi^{i}},z\right) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{d} \frac{\hbar^{\ell/2}}{z^{\ell}} c_{i}^{(\ell)} S_{d-\ell}(\varepsilon\chi^{i},z),$$

where for any $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$

$$S_n(v,z) \coloneqq \left(\frac{1}{n!} \left(\hbar^{1/2} \partial_z + v(z)\right)^n 1\right)$$

are the Faà di Bruno polynomials, and cs are scalars such that $c_i^{(0)} = 1$.

The main ingredient of the proof in [10] is the product formula (3.18). Our version only differs by specialization to the orthonormal basis vectors χ^i , and inserting suitable powers of \hbar . These merely keep track of the conformal weights. For instance, we would like ∂_z to have degree 1 and hence we add a $\hbar^{1/2}$. The $\hbar^{\ell/2}$ comes from the product formula.

Remark 4.19. $Y(\nu^d, z)$ is a field of conformal weight d. The \hbar grading keeps track of this weight. In particular, the half-integer powers of \hbar will vanish in $Y_{\sigma}(\nu^d, z)$. This is easy to see using that ν^d is invariant under $\chi^i \to -\chi^i$.

We define the modes of the twist fields $Y(\nu^d, z)$ and $Y(\tilde{\nu}^N, z)$ by

$$Y_{\sigma}(\nu^{d}, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} W_{k}^{d} z^{-k-1}, \qquad Y_{\sigma}(\tilde{\nu}^{N}, z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{W}_{k}^{N} z^{-k-1}$$

4.3.3. Higher quantum Airy structures

We can then apply Propositions 3.13 and 3.14 to get graded Lie subalgebras by considering the ideals generated by certain subsets of the modes. As in the \mathfrak{gl}_{N+1} case, we need to perform a dilaton shift in order to get a higher quantum Airy structure. In this section we will only consider the subalgebras of Propositions 3.13 and 3.14, since we have not constructed the more general intermediate subalgebras analogous to Theorem 3.16 for $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$.

Definition 4.20. The dilaton shifted modes of the module $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ are defined as follows

$$\begin{split} H_k^d &= \gamma_d \, \hat{T}_s W_k^d \hat{T}_s^{-1} \qquad d \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2(N-1)\}, \\ \widetilde{H}_k^N &= \tilde{\gamma}_{N,s} \, \hat{T}_s \widetilde{W}_k^N \hat{T}_s^{-1}, \end{split}$$

with constants

$$\gamma_d = d^{-1} 2^{d/2} (N-1)^{d-1}, \qquad \tilde{\gamma}_{N,s} = (-1)^{s(N-2)/2} 2^{(N-1)/2} (N-1)^{N-1},$$

and we recall that $\hat{T}_s = \exp\left(-\frac{J_{-s}}{\hbar s}\right)$.

For s = 1 or r + 1, we obtain in this way quantum r-Airy structures.

Theorem 4.21. Let $N \ge 3$, that is $r = 2(N-1) \ge 4$. The family of differential operators

$$H_k^d = \gamma_d \, \hat{T}_{r+1} W_k^d \hat{T}_{r+1}^{-1} \qquad d \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2(N-1)\}, \quad k \ge 0,$$

$$\widetilde{H}_k^N = \widetilde{\gamma}_{N,r+1} \, \hat{T}_{r+1} \widetilde{W}_k^N \, \hat{T}_{r+1}^{-1} \qquad k \ge 0$$

forms a quantum r-Airy structure on the vector space $V = \bigoplus_{p>0} \mathbb{C}\langle x_{2p+1} \rangle \oplus \mathbb{C}\langle \tilde{x}_{2p+1} \rangle$. The same is true for the family of differential operators

$$H_k^d = \gamma_d \hat{T}_1 W_k^d \hat{T}_1^{-1} \qquad d \in \{2, 4, \dots, 2(N-1)\}, \qquad k \ge d-1$$
$$\widetilde{H}_k^N = \tilde{\gamma}_{N,1} \hat{T}_1 \widetilde{W}_k^N \hat{T}_1^{-1} \qquad k \ge N-1.$$

Proof. We first look at the modes of $Y_{\sigma}(\nu^d, z)$ for $d \in \{2, 4, ..., 2N-2\}$ and study the terms that can contribute in degree ≤ 1 after a dilaton shift $J_{-s} \rightarrow J_{-s} + 1$ for some $s \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. From Lemma 4.18, we see that

$$Y_{\sigma}(\nu^d, z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (\chi^i(z))^d + O(\hbar)$$

Now, we implement the change of basis (3.8). The inverse change of basis is

$$\chi^{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(N-1)} \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le r-1 \\ a \text{ odd}}} \theta^{a(i-1)} v^{a}, \qquad \chi^{N} = \frac{\tilde{v}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

We note that the modes W_k^d of ν^d are homogeneous differential operators of degree d. The mode $J_{-s} = x_s/s$ that appears in the dilaton shift is only present in a single $v^s(z)$ as coefficient of $z^{s/r-1}$. So

$$\hat{T}_s^{-1}\chi^i(z)\hat{T}_s = \frac{\theta^{-(i-1)s} z^{s/r-1}}{\sqrt{2}(N-1)} + \chi^i(z) \,.$$

Consequently, the terms of degree 0 and 1 will be

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{dH_k^a}{2^{d/2}(N-1)^{d-1}} + O(2) \\ &= [z^{-(k+1)}] \bigg(\frac{z^{(s/r-1)d} \delta_{r|s}}{2^{d/2}(N-1)^{d-1}} + \frac{d}{2^{(d-1)/2}(N-1)^{d-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \theta^{-(i-1)s(d-1)} z^{(s/r-1)(d-1)} \chi^i(z) \bigg) \\ &= [z^{-(k+1)}] \bigg(\frac{d}{2^{d/2}(N-1)^d} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{1 \le a \le r-1 \\ a \text{ odd}}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta^{(i-1)(a-s(d-1))} J_{a+rm} z^{-a/r-m-1} z^{(s/r-1)(d-1)} \bigg) \\ &= \frac{dJ_{r(k-d+1)+(d-1)s}}{2^{d/2}(N-1)^{d-1}} \,, \end{aligned}$$

In the third line, we dropped the term $\delta_{r|s}$ because s is odd and r is even. So there is no degree 0 term and we obtain $H_k^d = J_{r(k-d+1)+(d-1)s} + O(2)$. This explains the choice of the constant prefactor in the definition of H_k^d .

Now, we consider the modes of the twist field $Y_{\sigma}(\tilde{\nu}^N, z)$. We use a similar argument as above, using the product formula (3.18) instead of Lemma 4.18

$$\frac{(-1)^{-s(N-2)/2} \widetilde{H}_k^i}{2^{(N-1)/2} (N-1)^{N-1}} + O(2) = [z^{-(k+1)}] \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\theta^{-s(i-1)} z^{s/r-1}}{\sqrt{2}(N-1)} \right) \sum_{m \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}} \widetilde{J}_{2m} z^{-m-1} + O(2)$$
$$= \frac{(-1)^{-s(N-2)/2}}{2^{(N-1)/2} (N-1)^{N-1}} \widetilde{J}_{2k-s+r},$$

hence $\widetilde{H}_k^N = \widetilde{J}_{2k-s+r} + O(2)$.

First, we consider the graded Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$ corresponding to the modes $k \geq 0$ (see Proposition 3.13). If we want these modes to contain each J_p and \widetilde{J}_p (for p > 0 odd) exactly once, we must choose s = r + 1, and we do obtain a quantum r-Airy structure.

Second, if we focus on the graded Lie subalgebra \mathcal{A}_{Δ} corresponding to the modes H_k^d with $k \ge d-1$ and \widetilde{H}_k^N with $k \ge N-1$ (see Proposition 3.14), the same condition forces us to choose s = 1 and we obtain a quantum *r*-Airy structure in this case as well.

As usual, we note that we can easily formulate and prove an analog of Proposition 4.14 to describe more general higher quantum Airy structures obtained from the ones of Theorem 4.21 by further dilaton shifts and changes of polarization.

4.4. The exceptional types

4.4.1. The twisted module

We consider the simple complex Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_N with $N \in \{6,7,8\}$, together with a Coxeter element of the Weyl group σ . Its order is denoted by r. σ acts on the Cartan subalgebra with simple eigenvalues $(\theta_r^{d_a-1})_{a=1}^N$. We can always order $2 \le d_1 < \cdots < d_N \le r$, and we have $d_{N+1-a} + d_a = r+2$ for any $a \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Let $\mathbb{D} = \{d_1 - 1, \ldots, d_N - 1\}$

N	r	d_1,\ldots,d_N
6	12	2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 12
7	18	2, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18
8	30	2, 8, 12, 14, 18, 20, 24, 30

We can find a basis of eigenvectors $(v^a)_{a=1}^N$ such that

$$\sigma(v^a) = \theta_r^{d_a - 1} v^a, \qquad \langle v^a, v^b \rangle = r \delta_{a + b, r}.$$

We obtain a σ -twisted module for the Heisenberg algebra

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e}_N) = \mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}[[(x_l)_{l \in \mathbb{D}+r\mathbb{N}}]]$$

by assigning

$$v^{a}(z) = \sum_{p \in (d_{a}-1)/r + \mathbb{Z}} J_{rp} z^{-p-1}$$

where $J_l = \hbar \partial_{x_l}$ for l > 0, $J_0 = 0$ and $J_l = -l x_{-l}$ for l < 0. Via restriction, we get an untwisted $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{e}_N)$ -module over $\mathbb{C}_{\hbar^{1/2}}$.

4.4.2. The generators and their twist fields

The VOA $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{e}_N)$ is strongly and freely generated by elements w_i of conformal weight d_i for $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. Although there is no canonical choice making the generators particularly simple, we will rely on the following structural result.

Theorem 4.22. [45] and [59, Lemma 3.4] One can choose generators of the form⁴

$$w^{i} = (v_{-1}^{N})^{d_{i}-1}v_{-1}^{N+1-i} + \sum_{m=2}^{d_{i}} (v_{-1}^{N})^{d_{i}-m} P_{i,m}(v_{-1}^{1}, \dots, v_{-1}^{N-1}) + \tilde{w}^{i},$$

where $P_{i,d}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d_i - d$ and \tilde{w}_i belongs to the left ideal generated by the χ_{-n} for $\chi \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $n \geq 2$.

The fields associated to w_i are denoted

$$Y_{\sigma}(w^{i},z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} W_{k}^{i} z^{-k-1}$$

Remark 4.23. As \mathfrak{e}_N is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{so}_{2N} , and the generators of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{so}_{2N})$ algebra after *h*-rescaling indicated in Section 3.5 only involve integer powers of *h* (see Remark 4.19), the same must be true for the generators of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{e}_N)$.

Definition 4.24. The dilaton shifted modes of $\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{e}_N)$ are defined as

$$H_k^i \coloneqq \hat{T}_s^{-1} W_k^i \hat{T}_s, \qquad \hat{T}_s = \exp\left(-\frac{J_s}{\hbar s}\right) \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For s = 1 or r + 1 we obtain in this way quantum r-Airy structures. The one with s = r + 1 was anticipated in [59], while the one for s = 1 is new.

Theorem 4.25. Let $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ and denote $s \coloneqq 1 + \epsilon r$. The family of differential constraints

 $H_k^i \qquad i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \qquad k \ge (1 - \epsilon)(d_i - 1)$

forms a quantum Airy structure on the vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \bigoplus_{l>0} \mathbb{C}\langle x_{rl+d_{i-1}} \rangle$.

 $^{^{4}}$ The discrepancy with the notations in [59] comes from the fact that we use a dual basis.

Proof. The formulas (3.6)-(3.18) show that we have for $k_1, \ldots, k_n > 0$ and $\chi^{i_1}, \ldots, \chi^{i_n} \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$Y_{\sigma}\left(\chi_{-k_{1}}^{i_{1}}\cdots\chi_{-k_{n}}^{i_{n}}\left|0\right\rangle,z\right) = \left(\delta_{\sum_{j}(k_{j}-1),0} + \hbar^{1/2}\delta_{\sum_{j}(k_{j}-1),1}\right) :\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{k_{j}-1}}{\mathrm{d}z^{k_{j}-1}}\chi^{i_{1}}(z) :+ O(2),$$

where the O(2) arises from terms involving \hbar^m with $m \ge 1$. Following Theorem 4.22 the mode W_k^i is a sum of three parts, which we study them up to O(2). The first part is

$$\sum_{p_1,\dots,p_{d_i}\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta\left(-(k+2-d_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} (p_j+1)\right) : J_{d_i-1+rp_1} J_{r-1+rp_2} \cdots J_{r-1+rp_{d_i}} J_{d_i-1+rp_{d_i}} : + O(2),$$

where $\delta(n) \coloneqq \delta_{n,0}$ is the Kronecker delta. The second part is a sum, over $m \in \{2, \ldots, d_i\}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \{1, \ldots, N-1\}$ of an expression which up to O(2) is proportional to

$$\sum_{p_1,\dots,p_{d_i}\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta\left(-(k+1+m-d_i) - \frac{d_i}{r} + \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{d_{a_j}}{r} + \sum_{j=1}^{d_i} (p_j+1)\right) : J_{d_{a_1}-1+rp_1} \cdots J_{d_{a_m}-1+rp_m} J_{r-1+rp_{m+1}} \cdots J_{r-1+rp_{d_i}} : .$$

The third part is a sum, over $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, d_i - 1\}$, $b_1, \ldots, b_{\ell-1} > 0$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_q \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ such that if we set $b_q \coloneqq 2$ we have $\sum_{j=1}^q b_j = d_i$, of an expression which up to O(2) is proportional to

$$h^{(d_i-\ell)/2} \sum_{p_1,\dots,p_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta\left(-(k+1) + \sum_{j=1}^\ell \frac{d_{a_j}-1}{r} + p_j + b_j\right) \prod_{j=1}^\ell \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d_{a_j}-1}{r} + p_j + b_j\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d_{a_j}-1}{r} + p_j + 1\right)} : \prod_{j=1}^\ell J_{d_{a_j}-1+rp_j} :+ O(2).$$

Due to the power of \hbar in prefactor, up to O(2), we only have to take into account the terms where $\ell = d_i - 1$ and $b_1 = \cdots = b_{d_i-2} = 1$.

For a fixed $\epsilon \in \{0,1\}$ we set $s = 1 + \epsilon r$ and apply the shift $J_{-s} \to J_{-s} + 1$ to obtain H_k^i . We want to identify the terms of degree 0 and 1. In degree 0, there is no contribution from the first and second parts, because they do not come from a monomial of the form $(v^N(z))^q$ for some q > 0, and since the third part has at least a power of $\hbar^{1/2}$ it does not contribute either. We now turn to degree 1 terms. The first part contributes for $p_2 = \cdots = p_{d_i} = -(1 + \epsilon)$ and yields

$$J_{s(d_i-1)+r(k+1-d_i)} + O(2)$$

The second part remains O(2) since it is at least quadratic in the non-shifted J_l . The third part could contribute when $\ell = d_i - 1$, $b_1 = \cdots = b_{d_i-2} = 1$ and $(a_j, p_j) = (N, -1-\epsilon)$ for all $j \in \{1, \ldots, d_i-1\}$. But the Kronecker delta would impose that $r|(d_i - 1)$ which is never possible. Hence

$$H_k^i = J_{\Pi_s(i,k)} + O(2), \qquad \Pi_s(i,k) \coloneqq s(d_i - 1) + r(k + 1 - d_i).$$

Let us denote

$$\tilde{S}_s = \{(i,k) \mid i \in \{1,\ldots,N\} \text{ and } k \ge (1-\epsilon)(d_i-1)\}$$
 with $s = 1 + r\epsilon$

If s = r + 1, we know from Lemma 3.13 that the subset of modes W_k^i indexed by $(i,k) \in \tilde{S}_{r+1}$ generate a graded Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore, so do the corresponding H_k^i . As we remark that Π_{r+1} is a bijection between \tilde{S}_{r+1} and $\mathbb{D} + r\mathbb{N}$, for each independent linear coordinate $(x_p)_{p\in\mathbb{D}+r\mathbb{N}}$ on V we have a unique operator H_k^i containing the derivation $\hbar\partial_{x_p}$ as its degree 1 term. Therefore we have obtained a quantum Airy structure. For s = 1 we reach a similar conclusion if we use Lemma 3.14 and the graded Lie subalgebra \mathcal{A}_{Δ} instead.

In fact, due to Remark 4.23 we could have ignored the third part of the generators right from the start, as it could only be a O(2).

5. Higher quantum Airy structures from higher abstract loop equations

In this section, we show that the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion of [17, 18, 19] for admissible spectral curves with arbitrary ramification yields higher quantum Airy structures. More precisely, we study higher abstract loop equations (whose unique, polarized solution is constructed by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion) and construct the associated higher quantum Airy structures. We then show that they coincide with the general dilaton-shifted, polarization changed modules for direct sums of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebras. The precise dilaton shift and change of polarization involved here is dictated by the local expansion of the spectral curve data around the ramification points.

5.1. Geometry of local spectral curves

5.1.1. Local spectral curve with one component

Let us first introduce the notion of local spectral curves. We start with the complex vector space

$$V_z = \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{C}((z)) \, \mathrm{d}z \quad \big| \quad \underset{z=0}{\operatorname{Res}} \, \omega(z) = 0 \right\},$$
(5.1)

equipped with the symplectic pairing

$$\Omega_z(df_1, df_2) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f_1(z) df_2(z).$$
(5.2)

Let V_z^+ be the Lagrangian subspace $V_z^+ = \mathbb{C}[[z]] dz \subset V_z$. Let us define a basis $(d\xi_l)_{l>0}$ for V_z^+ with $d\xi_l(z) = z^{l-1} dz$. (5.2)

Definition 5.1. A local spectral curve with one component consists of the data of the symplectic space V_z over \mathbb{C} , with a Lagrangian subspace V_z^+ and

• An integer $r \ge 2$. We use it to consider the group action $G \times V_z \to V_z$ with $G = \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ and $r \geq 2$, such that the generator ρ of G acts as

$$p \cdot \mathrm{d}f(z) \longmapsto \mathrm{d}f(\theta z),$$

where $\theta = e^{2i\pi/r}$ is a primitive *r*-th root of unity.

• A one-form $\omega_{0,1} \in V_z^+$. We write its expansion as

$$\omega_{0,1}(z) = \sum_{l>0}^{\infty} \tau_l \,\mathrm{d}\xi_l(z) \,.$$

• A choice of polarization, *i.e.* a Lagrangian subspace $V_z^- \subset V_z$ complementary to V_z^+ , with basis $(d\xi_l)_{l<0}$ such that

$$\forall l, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0} \setminus \{0\}, \qquad \Omega_z(\mathrm{d}\xi_l, \mathrm{d}\xi_m) = \frac{1}{l} \,\delta_{l+m,0}\,.$$

Definition 5.2. We say that a local spectral curve is $admissible^5$ if

$$s \coloneqq \min \left\{ l > 0 \mid \tau_l \neq 0 \text{ and } r \neq l \right\}$$

satisfies $1 \le s \le r+1$ and $r = \pm 1 \mod s$. Notice that this congruence implies that r and s are coprime. If s = r + 1, we say the spectral curve is *regular*, while it is *irregular* if s < r.

Remark 5.3. In the standard topological recursion formalism of Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin [37], one would need to choose r = 2. This requirement was dropped in the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion [18, 19].

 $^{^{5}}$ This admissibility requirement will become clear when we construct the associated higher quantum Airy structures. Remarkably, it turns out that the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion constructs symmetric differentials only for admissible spectral curves.

Note that the basis $d\xi_l(z)$ for V_z^+ is an eigenvector (with eigenvalue θ^l) for the action of the generator of G, but it may not be the case for the polarization basis $d\xi_{-l}(z)$.

The choice of polarization can be encoded in terms of a formal bidifferential. For l > 0, we can write

$$\mathrm{d}\xi_{-l}(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z^{l+1}} + \sum_{m>0} \frac{\phi_{l,m}}{l} \,\mathrm{d}\xi_m(z)\,,$$

for some coefficients $\phi_{l,m}$. The requirement that V_z^- is Lagrangian, namely

$$\forall l, m > 0, \qquad \Omega_z(\mathrm{d}\xi_{-l}(z), \mathrm{d}\xi_{-m}(z)) = 0$$

imposes that

$$\phi_{l,m} = \phi_{m,l} \, .$$

We then introduce the formal bidifferential

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{l,m>0} \phi_{l,m} \mathrm{d}\xi_l(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_m(z_2) \,. \tag{5.3}$$

which is symmetric under exchange of z_1 and z_2 . This is not an element in $V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}$ but

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) - \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \in V_{z_1}^+ \otimes V_{z_2}^+$$

In any case, for any l > 0 we can write

$$d\xi_{-l}(z') = \Omega_z \left(\omega_{0,2}(z,z'), \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z^{l+1}} \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left(\int_0^z \omega_{0,2}(\cdot,z') \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z^{l+1}}$$

where in the first line the symplectic pairing acts on the variable z. In other words, for $|z_1| < |z_2|$, we can write the expansion

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) \underset{|z_1| < |z_2|}{\approx} \sum_{l>0} l \, \mathrm{d}\xi_l(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_{-l}(z_2).$$

Definition 5.4. We call standard polarization the choice of $V_z^- = z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]dz$, with basis $d\xi_{-l}(z) = z^{-l-1}dz$. In this case, the bidifferential is simply

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$$

5.1.2. Projection map

We will also need to define a few important maps associated to the group action. Pick an element $df \in V_z$, and denote by $G \cdot df$ the orbit of df, that is

$$G \cdot df(z) = \left\{ g \cdot df(z) \mid g \in G \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ df(z), df(\theta z), \dots, df(\theta^{r-1}z) \right\}.$$

There is a natural averaging map

$$\mu_z : V_z \longrightarrow V_z$$

$$\mathrm{d}f(z)\longmapsto \sum_{\mathrm{d}g\in G\cdot\mathrm{d}f}\mathrm{d}g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\mathrm{d}f(\theta^k z)$$

We want to extend this map to tensor products of V_z . Let us consider first the case of $V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}$. There is a natural group action of $G \times G$ on $V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}$, with each factor of G acting individually on V_{z_1} and V_{z_2} respectively, and a diagonal group action of G. Let us denote by $G^{(2)} := (G \times G) \setminus G$ the set $G \times G$ minus the diagonal embedding of G. For $\omega(z_1, z_2) \in V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}$, let

$$G^{(2)} \cdot \omega = \left\{ g \cdot \omega \mid g \in G^2 \right\},$$

and define the averaging map

$$\mu_{z_1, z_2}: \quad V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2} \longrightarrow V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}$$
$$\omega(z_1, z_2) \longmapsto \sum_{\lambda \in G^{(2)} \cdot \omega} \lambda(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2 = 0 \\ m_1 \neq m_2}}^{r-1} \omega(\theta^{m_1} z_1, \theta^{m_2} z_2)$$

We also define a specialization map

$$\sigma_{z_1, z_2|t} : \quad V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t]]$$
$$\omega(z_1, z_2) \longmapsto \frac{\omega(t, t)}{\left(\mathrm{d}\xi_r(t)\right)^2}$$

It is then easy to see that the composition, which we call "projection map",

$$P_{z_1,z_2|t} \coloneqq \sigma_{z_1,z_2|z} \circ \mu_{z_1,z_2} : V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{-r}, t^r]]$$

maps elements of $V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}$ to Laurent series that are invariant under the group action G.

The generalization to more than two variables straightforward.

Definition 5.5. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_l)_{l=1}^i$. For $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ we define the averaging map

$$\mu_{\mathbf{z}}: \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} V_{z_{l}} \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} V_{z_{l}}$$
$$\omega(\mathbf{z}) \longmapsto \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\substack{m_{1}, \dots, m_{i}=0\\m_{i} \neq m_{i'}}}^{r-1} \omega(\theta^{m_{1}} z_{1}, \dots, \theta^{m_{i}} z_{i}),$$

and the specialization map

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{z}|t} : \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} V_{z_{l}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t]]$$
$$\omega(\mathbf{z}) \longmapsto \frac{\omega(t, \dots, t)}{\left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t)\right)^{i}}$$

We define the projection map

$$P_{\mathbf{z}|t} \coloneqq \sigma_{\mathbf{z}|t} \circ \mu_{\mathbf{z}} : \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} V_{z_{l}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{-r}, t^{r}]],$$

which maps elements of $\bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} V_{z_l}$ to Laurent series that are invariant under the group action.

We would like to extend this map to objects that involve the formal bidifferential $\omega_{0,2}$ defined in (5.3). $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2)$ does not live in $V_{z_1} \otimes V_{z_2}$, and in fact the specialization map $\sigma_{z_1, z_2|t}$ is not well defined on $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2)$ due to the pole on the diagonal. However, it is easy to see that the projection map $P_{z_1, z_2|t}$ is well defined on $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2)$. Similarly, the projection map $P_{\mathbf{z}|t}$ is well defined on objects that may involve factors of $\omega_{0,2}(z_l, z_{l'})$ for $l \neq l'$.

Remark 5.6. We notice that the projection map $P_{\mathbf{z}|t}$ is invariant under permutations of z_1, \ldots, z_i .

5.1.3. Local spectral curves with c components

We can generalize the notion of local spectral curves by, roughly speaking, taking c copies of the symplectic space V_z . Let V_z be as in (5.1). For $c \ge 1$, we define the larger symplectic space

$$\mathcal{V}_z = \mathbb{C}^c \otimes V_z \,. \tag{5.4}$$

We equip \mathbb{C}^c with a scalar product \cdot such that the standard basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^c$ is orthonormal, namely $e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{i,j}$. We define the symplectic pairing on \mathcal{V}_z as being given by

$$\Omega_z(u_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}f_1, u_2 \otimes \mathrm{d}f_2) = u_1 \cdot u_2 \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f_1(z) \mathrm{d}f_2(z) \,, \tag{5.5}$$

where $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell}$. For $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$, we write $\mathcal{V}_z^{(\alpha)} = e_{\alpha} \otimes V_z \subseteq \mathcal{V}_z$.

Let us define the Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{V}_z^+ = \mathbb{C}^c \otimes \mathbb{C}[[z]] dz \subset \mathcal{V}_z$, with basis $d\xi_{\alpha,l}(z)$ with l > 0and $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ and given by

$$d\xi_{\alpha,l}(z) \coloneqq e_{\alpha} \otimes d\xi_{l}(z) = e_{\alpha} \otimes z^{l-1} dz.$$
(5.6)

Definition 5.7. A local spectral curve with c components consists of the data of the symplectic space \mathcal{V}_z together with its Lagrangian subspace \mathcal{V}_z^+ , and

• A family of integers $r_{\alpha} \geq 2$ for $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$. We use them to consider the group action $G \times \mathcal{V}_z \to \mathcal{V}_z$, with $G = G_1 \times \ldots \times G_\ell$ and $G_\alpha = \mathbb{Z}/r_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. It is such that the generator ρ_α of G_α acts only on $\mathcal{V}_z^{(\alpha)}$ as

$$\rho_{\alpha} \cdot \mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,l}(z) = \mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,l}(\theta_{\alpha}z), \qquad (5.7)$$

where θ_{α} is a primitive r_{α} -th root of unity.

• A one-form $\omega_{0,1} \in \mathcal{V}_z^+$. We write its expansion as

$$\omega_{0,1}(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \sum_{l>0} \tau_l^{\alpha} \,\mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,l}(z) \,.$$

• A choice of polarization, that is, a choice of Lagrangian subspace $\mathcal{V}_z^- \subset \mathcal{V}_z$ complementary to \mathcal{V}_z^+ , with basis $d\xi_{\alpha,-l}(z)$, with l > 0 and $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ such that

$$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \{1, \dots, c\}, \quad \forall l, m \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \Omega_z(\mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,l}, \mathrm{d}\xi_{\beta,m}) = \frac{1}{l} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{l+m,0} \,.$$

Definition 5.8. We say that a spectral curve is *admissible* if for each $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$,

$$s_{\alpha} \coloneqq \min \left\{ l > 0 \mid \tau_{l}^{\alpha} \neq 0 \text{ and } r_{\alpha} \neq l \right\}$$

satisfies $1 \le s_{\alpha} \le r_{\alpha} + 1$ and $r_{\alpha} = \pm 1 \mod s_{\alpha}$ (the sign could depend on α). We say that the spectral curve is *regular at* α if $s_{\alpha} = r_{\alpha} + 1$, while we say that it is *irregular at* α if $s_{\alpha} < r_{\alpha}$.

As before, the choice of polarization is nicely encoded in terms of a formal bidifferential. For l > 0 and $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$, we can write

$$\mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,-l}(z) = \frac{e_{\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{d}z}{z^{l+1}} + \sum_{\beta=1}^{c} \sum_{m>0} \frac{\phi_{l,m}^{\alpha,\beta}}{l} \,\mathrm{d}\xi_{\beta,m}(z) \,,$$

for some coefficients $\phi_{l,m}^{\alpha,\beta}$. The requirement that \mathcal{V}_z^- is Lagrangian imposes the symmetry $\phi_{l,m}^{\alpha,\beta} = \phi_{m,l}^{\beta,\alpha}$. We define the formal bidifferential

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \frac{(e_{\alpha} \otimes dz_1) \otimes (e_{\alpha} \otimes dz_2)}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{c} \sum_{l,m>0} \phi_{l,m}^{\alpha,\beta} d\xi_{\alpha,l}(z_1) \otimes d\xi_{\beta,m}(z_2).$$
(5.8)

As before, $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2)$ is not in $\mathcal{V}_{z_1} \otimes \mathcal{V}_{z_2}$, but

$$\left(\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \frac{(e_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{d} z_1) \otimes (e_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{d} z_2)}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}\right) \in \mathcal{V}_{z_1}^+ \otimes \mathcal{V}_{z_2}^+$$

Then, for l > 0 and $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$, we can write

$$d\xi_{\alpha,-l}(z') = \Omega_z \left(\omega_{0,2}(z,z'), e_\alpha \otimes \frac{dz}{z^{l+1}} \right).$$
(5.9)

In other words, for $|z_1| < |z_2|$,

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) \underset{|z_1| < |z_2|}{\approx} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \sum_{l>0} l \, \mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,l}(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,-l}(z_2) \, .$$

Definition 5.9. We call standard polarization the choice of $\mathcal{V}_z^- = \mathbb{C}^c \otimes z^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]dz$, with basis $d\xi_{\alpha,-l}(z) = e_\alpha \otimes z^{-l-1}dz$ for l > 0. In this case, the bidifferential is simply

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^c \frac{(e_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{d} z_1) \otimes (e_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{d} z_2)}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \,.$$

5.1.4. Projection map

We can also generalize the construction of the averaging, specialization and projection maps for each G_{α} .

Definition 5.10. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_l)_{l=1}^i$. For $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, r_\alpha\}$, we define the averaging map

$$\mu_{\mathbf{z}}^{(\alpha)}: \qquad \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_{z_{l}} \longrightarrow \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_{z_{l}}^{(\alpha)} \\ \left(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} e_{\alpha_{l}}\right) \otimes \omega(\mathbf{z}) \longmapsto \left(\prod_{l=1}^{i} \delta_{\alpha_{l},\alpha}\right) e_{\alpha}^{\otimes i} \otimes \left(\frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\substack{m_{1},\dots,m_{i}=0\\m_{l}\neq m_{l'}}}^{r-1} \omega(\theta_{\alpha}^{m_{1}} z_{1},\dots,\theta_{\alpha}^{m_{i}} z_{i})\right),$$

and the specialization map

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{z}|t}^{(\alpha)} : \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_{z_{l}}^{(\alpha)} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}, t]]$$
$$e_{\alpha}^{\otimes i} \otimes \omega(\mathbf{z}) \longmapsto \frac{\omega(t, \dots, t)}{\left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha, r_{\alpha}}(t)\right)^{i}}$$

We define the projection map

$$P_{\mathbf{z}|t}^{(\alpha)} \coloneqq \sigma_{\mathbf{z}|t}^{(\alpha)} \circ \mu_{\mathbf{z}}^{(\alpha)} : \bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_{z_{l}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[t^{-r_{\alpha}}, t^{r_{\alpha}}]],$$

which maps elements of $\bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} \mathcal{V}_{z_l}$ to Laurent series that are invariant under the group action G_{α} .

As before, we observe that this projection map is well defined on $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2)$. It is also invariant under permutations of z_1, \ldots, z_i .

5.1.5. Relation with global spectral curves

The topological recursion of Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin [37], and the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion [17, 18, 19], were not presented in terms of local spectral curves. Let us now briefly show that the notion of spectral curves used in these papers —which we here call "global" — is a special case of the local spectral curves defined above.

Definition 5.11. A global spectral curve is a quadruple (\mathcal{C}, x, y, B) , where

- C is a Riemann surface;
- x is a meromorphic function on C. We denote by R ⊂ C the set of ramification points of x that are zeros of dx. For any p_α ∈ R, we let r_α be its order. We assume R is finite;
- y is a meromorphic function on C.;
- B is a meromorphic symmetric bidifferential on $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$, whose only singularity is a double pole on the diagonal with biresidue 1.

Definition 5.12. We say that a global spectral curve is *admissible* if for each $p_{\alpha} \in R$, either y has a pole of order $r_{\alpha} - s_{\alpha}$ with $s_{\alpha} \in \{1, \ldots, r_{\alpha} - 1\}$ and $r_{\alpha} = \pm 1 \mod s_{\alpha}$ (in which case we say that the curve is *irregular* at p_{α})⁶, or $y(p_{\alpha})$ is finite and $dy(p_{\alpha}) \neq 0$ (in which case we say that the curve is *regular* at p_{α}).

To recover the structure of a local spectral curve, we first need to construct the symplectic space \mathcal{V} . Here, we consider the space of meromorphic residueless one-forms on \mathcal{C} with poles only on R, which takes the structure of \mathcal{V} in (5.4) after expanding in local coordinates near the critical points.

More precisely, we replace \mathcal{C} by the union of small disks U_{α} around the $p_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$. On each U_{α} , we define a local coordinate ζ such that

$$x\Big|_{U_{\alpha}}(\zeta) = \frac{\zeta^{r_{\alpha}}}{r_{\alpha}} + x(p_{\alpha})$$

Then we can think of one-forms on \mathcal{C} with poles on R as the sum of their formal Laurent expansions on the U_{α} in terms of the local coordinates ζ , and \mathcal{V} then exhibits the structure in (5.4) with $z \to \zeta$.

The choice of one-form $\omega_{0,1} \in \mathcal{V}$ is naturally given by $\omega_{0,1} = y dx$, after expanding locally on the U_{α} in terms of ζ . The admissibility condition matches with the analogous condition in the definition of local spectral curves.

The group actions $G_{\alpha} \times \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ indexed by $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ with $G_{\alpha} = \mathbb{Z}/r_{\alpha}\mathbb{Z}$, are naturally given by the deck transformations $\zeta \mapsto \theta_{\alpha}\zeta$ in the local coordinates on each U_{α} .

Finally, the choice of polarization is given by the choice of bidifferential *B*. Indeed, if we define, for $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ and l > 0, the one-forms $d\xi_{\alpha, -l}(z)$ on \mathcal{C} by

$$d\xi_{\alpha,-l}(z') = \operatorname{Res}_{z=p_{\alpha}} \left(\int_{p_{\alpha}}^{z} B(\cdot, z') \right) \frac{d\zeta(z)}{\zeta(z)^{l+1}},$$

we see that this has the same form as (5.9) after expanding $B(\cdot, z')$ in local coordinates such that the expansion near $z' \to p_{\beta}$ gives the coefficient of e_{β} .

Example 5.13. Perhaps the simplest regular spectral curve is the so-called r-Airy curve, which corresponds to the choice

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{C}, \qquad x = \frac{z^r}{r}, \qquad y = -z, \qquad B(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$$

We observe that the corresponding local spectral curve has standard polarization.

Example 5.14. There is a natural family of irregular spectral curves, which we will call the (r, s) spectral curves. They are indexed by an integer $s \in \{1, ..., r-1\}$ such that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$,

$$C = \mathbb{C}$$
, $x = \frac{z^r}{r}$, $y = -\frac{1}{z^{r-s}}$, $B(z_1, z_2) = \frac{dz_1 \otimes dz_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$.

⁶Note that it implies that s_{α} is coprime with r_{α} , which is the condition for the plane curve

$$\left\{ (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \exists q \in \mathcal{C} \quad (x(q), y(q)) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \right\}$$

to be irreducible locally at $q = p_{\alpha}$.

59

We call the extreme case s = 1 the *r*-Bessel curve. The corresponding local spectral curves again have standard polarization.

Example 5.15. In fact, the more general spectral curve given by

$$C = \mathbb{C}, \qquad x = \frac{z^r}{r}, \qquad y = \sum_{l>0}^{\infty} \tau_l z^{l-r}, \qquad B(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2},$$

with the condition that the first non-zero term (apart maybe from τ_r) in the expansion of y is τ_s with $s \in \{1, \ldots, r+1\}$ such that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$, corresponds to the general local spectral curve with one component in standard polarization. The most general local spectral curve with one component in arbitrary polarization is associated with the bidifferential of the form

$$B(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{l,m>0} \phi_{l,m} \, z_1^{l-1} z_2^{m-1} \mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2 \,.$$

Global spectral curves are particular examples of local spectral curves. However, local spectral curves are slightly more general. Since modules for \mathcal{W} algebras naturally give rise to the more general structure of local spectral curves, in the following we will reformulate the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion and higher abstract loop equations in the language of local spectral curves.

5.2. Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion and higher abstract loop equations

Let us now review the construction of the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion⁷ of [17, 18, 19] and its relation with the higher analog of the abstract loop equations of [16]. We will reformulate everything now in the slightly more general language of local spectral curves. It should be clear to the reader that the global formulation of [17, 18, 19] is a particular case of the local formulation given below.

5.2.1. Notation and definitions

Consider an admissible local spectral curve with c components, as in Definition 5.7, with symplectic space $\mathcal{V}_z = \mathbb{C}^c \otimes V_z$. The aim of topological recursion is to construct a sequence of "multilinear differentials"

$$\omega_{g,n} \in \bigotimes_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{V}_{z_j}^{-} \qquad g \ge 0 \text{ and } n \ge 1 \text{ such that } 2g - 2 + n > 0,$$

which are invariant under the natural action of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n . In terms of the choice of polarization basis $d\xi_{\alpha,-l}(z)$ indexed by $\alpha \in \{1,\ldots,c\}$ and l > 0 for $\mathcal{V}^-(z)$, the $\omega_{g,n}$ have an expansion of the form

$$\omega_{g,n}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n=1}^c \sum_{l_1,\dots,l_n>0} F_{g,n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ l_1 & l_2 & \dots & l_n \end{bmatrix} \bigotimes_{m=1}^n \mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha_m,-l_m}(z_m) \,, \tag{5.10}$$

with $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$. The $F_{g,n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \cdots & \alpha_n \\ l_1 & l_2 & \cdots & l_n \end{bmatrix}$ are scalar coefficients, which are symmetric under the action of the permutation group \mathfrak{S}_n . In general they encode interesting enumerative invariants, see Section 6.

To define topological recursion we also need to define $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$. We let $\omega_{0,1}$ be the one-form $\omega_{0,1} \in \mathcal{V}_z^+$ given in the data of a local spectral curve, that is,

$$\omega_{0,1}(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \sum_{l>0} \tau_l^{\alpha} d\xi_{\alpha,l}(z) .$$
(5.11)

⁷In these references it was called "generalized topological recursion".

We will also need to define formal Laurent series $y_{\alpha}(z)$ as follows

$$y_{\alpha}(z) = \sum_{l>0} \tau_l^{\alpha} z^{l-r_{\alpha}}$$

so that we have the expansion when $z \rightarrow p_{\alpha}$

$$\omega_{0,1}(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} y_{\alpha}(z) \mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha,r_{\alpha}}(z)$$

As for $\omega_{0,2}$, we take it to be the bidifferential that encapsulates the choice of polarization

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \frac{(e_\alpha \otimes dz_1) \otimes (e_\alpha \otimes dz_2)}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{c} \sum_{l,m>0} \phi_{l,m}^{\alpha,\beta} d\xi_{\alpha,l}(z_1) d\xi_{\beta,m}(z_2).$$
(5.12)

To define the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion, we use the notation in Section 2.2.2, which we recall here. Let A be a set of cardinality i, and B a set of cardinality n-1. The notation $\mathbf{L} \vdash A$ means that \mathbf{L} is a set partition of A, *i.e.* a set of $|\mathbf{L}|$ non-empty subsets of A which are pairwise disjoint and whose union is A. We denote generically by L the elements (sets) of the partition \mathbf{L} . A partition of B indexed by \mathbf{L} is a map $M : \mathbf{L} \to \mathfrak{P}(B)$ such that $(M_L)_{L \in \mathbf{L}}$ are possibly empty, pairwise disjoint subsets of B whose union is B. We summarize this notion with the notation $\mathbf{M} \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} B$.

Just as in Lemma 2.14, we define the objects

Definition 5.16. Let A and B be finite sets of coordinates with cardinality i and n-1 respectively. Then we define

$$\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{\mathbf{L} \vdash A} \sum_{\substack{h: \mathbf{L} \to \mathbb{N} \\ i + \sum_{L \in \mathbf{L}} h_L = g + |\mathbf{L}|}} \sum_{\mu \vdash \mathbf{L} B} \left(\bigotimes_{L \in \mathbf{L}} \omega_{h_L, |L| + |\mu_L|}(L, \mu_L) \right),$$

In the tensor product here and below it is assumed that the corresponding tensor factors are put in the place respecting the natural order in $(\mathbb{C}^c)^i \otimes (\mathbb{C}^c)^{\otimes (n-1)}$ associated with A and B coordinates. We also define

$$\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{\mathbf{L} \vdash A} \sum_{\substack{h: \mathbf{L} \to \mathbb{N} \\ i + \sum_{L \in \mathbf{L}} h_L = g + |\mathbf{L}|}} \sum_{\mu \vdash \mathbf{L}B}' \left(\bigotimes_{L \in \mathbf{L}} \omega_{h_L, |L| + |\mu_L|}(L, \mu_L) \right),$$

where the prime over the summation symbol means that terms that include $\omega_{0,1}$ are excluded from the sum. Finally, for future use, we define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{\mathbf{L} \vdash A} \sum_{\substack{h: \mathbf{L} \to \mathbb{N} \\ i+\sum_{L \in \mathbf{L}} h_L = g + |\mathbf{L}|}} \sum_{\mu \vdash \mathbf{L} B}^{\prime\prime} \left(\bigotimes_{L \in \mathbf{L}} \omega_{h_L, |L| + |\mu_L|}(L, \mu_L) \right),$$

where the double prime over the summation symbol means that terms with $h_L = 0$, $|\mu_L| = 0$ and $|L| \le 2$ are excluded from the sum. In other words, $\omega_{0,1}$ does not appear in the sum, and $\omega_{0,2}$ only appears when one of the entry comes from A and the other one from B.

We first give two useful combinatorial lemmas relating these three objects. First, we want to relate $\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B)$ by extracting the $\omega_{0,1}$ contributions.

Lemma 5.17. [17, Lemma 3.18] For all $g, i \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$

$$\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \subseteq A \\ |\gamma|=j}} \left(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} \omega_{0,1}(\gamma_l) \right) \mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i-j)}(A \smallsetminus \gamma|B) \,.$$

Let us now relate $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B)$ by extracting contributions from $\omega_{0,2}$ with both entries coming from A. We get by straightforward combinatorics

Lemma 5.18.

$$\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0 \\ \ell+2j=i}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in A \\ |\gamma|=2j}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{L} \vdash \gamma \\ \forall L \in \mathbf{L}}} \bigotimes_{\substack{L \in \mathbf{L}}} \omega_{0,2}(L) \right) \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}(A \smallsetminus \gamma|B),$$

5.2.2. Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion

We can now state the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion formula, which recursively constructs the correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ [17, 18, 19].

Definition 5.19. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_2, \ldots, z_n)$. The correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ are recursively defined by the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_1, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\int_0^t (e_{\alpha} \cdot \omega_{0,2})(\cdot, z_1) \right)^{r_{\alpha}-1}_{i=1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i!} \times \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_i=1\\m_l \neq m_{l'}}}^{r_{\alpha}-1} \left(\prod_{l=1}^i \frac{1}{(y_{\alpha}(t) - y_{\alpha}(\theta_{\alpha}^{m_l}t))} \right) \frac{e_{\alpha}^{\otimes(i+1)} \cdot \mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i+1)}(t, \theta_{\alpha}^{m_1}t, \dots, \theta_{\alpha}^{m_i}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{\alpha, r_{\alpha}}(t))^i}, \quad (5.13)$$

where the scalar product with $e_{\alpha}^{\otimes(i+1)}$ only acts on the first (i+1)-tensor factors in $\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i+1)}$.

Remark 5.20. Note that z_1 plays a special role in the higher topological recursion formula. It is a priori not obvious that the correlators $\omega_{g,n}$ constructed by (5.13) are fully symmetric. Symmetry was argued in [17] indirectly, only for spectral curves that arise as limits of families of curves with simple ramification points. It is however not clear to us which spectral curves precisely satisfy this condition. A proof of symmetry directly from the Bouchard–Eynard recursion formula is at the moment not known. As we will see, our identification of this recursive formula with higher quantum Airy structures in fact implies symmetry of the correlators for all admissible spectral curves (Definitions 5.7 and 5.8) as a corollary.

5.2.3. Higher abstract loop equations

Instead of extracting the higher quantum Airy structures corresponding to the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion directly from the recursion formula, in this section we will rather take as starting point the higher abstract loop equations. As we will see, the loop equations give rise directly to the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ quantum Airy structures constructed in Section 4.

Let us consider as usual a local spectral curve with c components.

Definition 5.21. Let $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_i)$. We call higher abstract loop equations the statement that, for all $g \ge 0$, $n \ge 1$, 2g - 2 + n > 0, $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, c\}$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, r_i\}$,

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}^{(\alpha)}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) \in t^{-r_{\alpha}\mathfrak{d}_{\alpha}^{i}} \mathbb{C}[[t^{r_{\alpha}}]] \otimes \mathcal{V}_{z_{2}}^{-} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{V}_{z_{n}}^{-}, \qquad (5.14)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{d}^i_{\alpha} \coloneqq i - 1 - \left\lfloor \frac{s_{\alpha}(i-1)}{r_{\alpha}} \right\rfloor.$$

The key information here is that it is a formal series in $t^{r_{\alpha}}$ with either no negative terms if the spectral curve is regular at α ($\mathfrak{d}^{i}_{\alpha} = 0$), or starting at $t^{-r_{\alpha}\mathfrak{d}^{i}_{\alpha}}$ if the spectral curve is irregular at α . It is also necessarily G_{α} -invariant by construction, which is the reason why it is a series in $t^{r_{\alpha}}$.

While the higher abstract loop equations do not appear to be recursive a priori, one can show that if a solution that respects the polarization (that is, such that $\omega_{g,n} \in \mathcal{V}_{z_2}^- \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{V}_{z_n}^-$ for all 2g-2+n>0) exists, then it is uniquely constructed by the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion of the previous subsection. The proof of this statement follows arguments similar to those presented in [16] for r = 2, and in [14, 18] for general r. For completeness, we provide a proof in Appendix C (Proposition C.1). Existence of a solution is however not obvious, but it will follow for admissible spectral curves as a corollary of the results of this section.

Our goal for the rest of this section is to show that solving higher abstract loop equations is equivalent to calculating the partition function of a higher quantum Airy structure, more precisely of the form of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ Airy structures constructed in the previous section. To do so, we will recast the loop equations in the form of the recursive structure in Section 2.2.2, and construct the corresponding differential operators. We then show that those are the same as the ones obtained from the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ modules of the previous section.

Remark 5.22. We could have started with the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion of Definition 5.19 instead of the higher abstract loop equations, and recast them as being obtained by the action of a sequence of differential operators acting on a partition function Z. This would have been more in line with what was done in [5, 56]. However, to show that the differential system thus obtained is a higher quantum Airy structure, one would then need to show that the left ideal generated by the differential operators is a graded Lie subalgebra. This appears to be very difficult to prove in general. By starting with the higher abstract loop equations, we circumvent this obstacle, since we can identify the differential operators that we obtain with the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ modules constructed in the previous section, and use the ideals constructed in Section 3.3 to prove the subalgebra property.

5.3. Local spectral curves with one component

Let us now focus on local spectral curves with one component for clarity. We will start with the higher abstract loop equations and reconstruct the constraints it gives on the coefficients of $\omega_{g,n}$ in the form of a higher quantum Airy structure. We will then identify them with the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ higher quantum Airy structure of Proposition 4.14.

5.3.1. Reconstructing the higher quantum Airy structure

Proposition 5.23. For any local spectral curve with one component, the higher abstract loop equations for $\omega_{g,n} \in \text{Sym}^n(V_z^-)$ with 2g-2+n > 0 are equivalent to a system of differential equations

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad \forall k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}, \qquad \mathcal{H}^i_k \cdot Z = 0,$$

with $\mathfrak{d}^i = i - 1 - \lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \rfloor$, for the partition function

$$Z = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{g\geq 0, n\geq 1\\ 2g-2+n>0}} \frac{h^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{\mathbf{b}\in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^n} F_{g,n}[\mathbf{b}] x_{b_1} \cdots x_{b_n}\right),$$

constructed from the coefficients of the expansion in (5.10). The differential operators read

$$\mathcal{H}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=m}} \frac{\hbar^{j}}{\ell!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}} D_{i}^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] : J_{a_{1}} \cdots J_{a_{\ell}} :,$$

where

$$D_{i}^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{1}{(i-\ell-2j)!} \sum_{a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left(\prod_{l=\ell+1}^{i-2j} F_{0,1}[a_{l}] \right) C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}, a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}],$$

$$C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{(\ell+2j)!}{j!2^{j}} \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \Big(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l}) \bigotimes_{l'=1}^{j} \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+2l'-1}, w_{\ell+2l'}) \Big) \right).$$
(5.15)

Proof. For local spectral curves with one component, the higher abstract loop equation is the statement that

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) \in t^{-r\mathfrak{d}^{i}}\mathbb{C}[[t^{r}]] \otimes V_{z_{2}}^{-} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{z_{n}}^{-}$$

for $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $\mathfrak{d}^i = i - 1 - \lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \rfloor$. This is equivalent to requiring that

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)\right]_{\mathbf{b}} = 0,$$

for all $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_2, \dots, b_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^n$ and 2g - 2 + n > 0. Here we introduced the notation $[\cdots]_{\mathbf{b}}$ which extracts the coefficient of the basis vector $\otimes_{l=2}^n \mathrm{d}\xi_{-b_l}(z_l)$ in $\otimes_{l=2}^n V_{z_l}^-$.

Let us first evaluate

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) \left(P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) \right) \right) \right]_{\mathbf{b}},$$

with $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})$ defined in Definition 5.16.

Lemma 5.24.

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)\right]_{\mathbf{b}} = \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{i}} C^{(0)}[k|\mathbf{a}] \Xi_{g,n}^{(i)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}],$$

where $\Xi_{g,n}^{(i)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}]$ was defined in (2.11). Here, we introduced the coefficients

$$C^{(0)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = i! \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(d\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \Big(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} d\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l}) \Big) \right),$$

with $a = (a_1, ..., a_i)$.

Proof. Recall from Definition 5.16 that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{\mathbf{L} \vdash A} \sum_{\substack{h: \mathbf{L} \to \mathbb{N} \\ i+\sum_{L \in \mathbf{L}} h_L = g + |\mathbf{L}|}} \sum_{\mu \vdash \mathbf{L}B}^{\prime\prime} \left(\bigotimes_{L \in \mathbf{L}} \omega_{h_L, |L| + |\mu_L|}(L, \mu_L) \right),$$

where the double prime over the summation symbol means that terms with $h_L = 0$, $|\mu_L| = 0$ and $|L| \le 2$ are excluded from the sum. For 2g - 2 + n > 0, we have an expansion

$$\omega_{g,n}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^n} F_{g,n}[\mathbf{a}] \bigotimes_{l=1}^n \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_l}(z_l)$$
$$=: \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^n} F_{g,n}[\mathbf{a}] \bigotimes_{l=1}^n \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_l}(z_l),$$

where in the second line we extended the summation to all non-zero integers by setting the coefficients to be zero whenever one of the a_i s is negative.

For $\omega_{0,2}$, since we are not including contribution where both entries come from A, after projection with $P_{\mathbf{w}|t}$ we know one of the entry of $\omega_{0,2}$ must be found among the w_l s, and thus project to z, while the second must be found in the z_l s. Thus we can use the following expansion for $\omega_{0,2}$,

$$\omega_{0,2}(w_1, z_2) \underset{|w_1| < |z_2|}{\approx} \sum_{a > 0} a \, \mathrm{d}\xi_a(w_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a}(z_2),$$

and a similar one when the role of 1 and 2 is exchanged. In both situations we can do the replacement

$$\omega_{0,2}(w_1,z_2) \longleftarrow \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^2} F_{0,2}[\mathbf{a}] \,\mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_1}(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_2}(z_2) \,,$$

where we introduced the coefficients $F_{0,2}[a_1, a_2] = |a_1|\delta_{a_1+a_2,0}$. With this notation, and recalling (2.11), we can write

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a}\in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^i \\ \mathbf{b}\in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{n-1}}} \Xi_{g,n}^{(i)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] \bigotimes_{l=1}^i \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_l}(w_l) \bigotimes_{m=2}^n \mathrm{d}\xi_{-b_m}(z_m).$$

It thus follows that

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)\right]_{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{i}} \Xi_{g,n}^{(i)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] \operatorname{Res}_{t=0}\left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{i} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l})\right)\right),$$

and the lemma is proven.

Let us now re-introduce contributions from $\omega_{0,2}$ with the two entries coming from **w**.

Lemma 5.25.

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)\right]_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{\substack{\ell,j\geq 0\\\ell+2j=i}} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}} C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}],$$

with the coefficients defined as

$$C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{(\ell+2j)!}{j!2^{j}} \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \Big(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l}) \bigotimes_{m=1}^{j} \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+2m-1}, w_{\ell+2m}) \Big) \right).$$
(5.16)

Proof. Recall from Lemma 5.18 that

$$\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0 \\ \ell+2j=i \ |\gamma|=2j}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \subseteq A \\ \forall L \in \mathbf{L} \ |L|=2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{L} \vdash \gamma \\ \forall L \in \mathbf{L} \ |L|=2}} \omega_{0,2}(L) \right) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}(A \smallsetminus \gamma|B) .$$

1

Thus

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) = \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=i}} P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \le \mathbf{w}\\ |\gamma|=2j}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{L} \vdash \gamma\\ \forall L \in \mathbf{L}}} \bigotimes_{\substack{L \in \mathbf{L}}} \omega_{0,2}(L)\right) \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}(\mathbf{w} \smallsetminus \gamma|\mathbf{z})\right).$$

Since $P_{\mathbf{w}|t}$ is invariant under permutations of the w_l s, the order of the w_l s in this expression does not matter. So all terms that only differ by permutations of the w_l s will give the same result after acting with the projection operator. So we can order the w_l s once and for all. We simply need to count the number of terms for a given j. We first need to pick a subsequence γ of \mathbf{w} of length 2j: there are $\frac{i!}{(2j)!(i-2j)!}$ ways to do so. Then, we need to pick a set partition \mathbf{L} of γ with parts that all have cardinality two. The number of ways of doing so is $(2j-1) \cdot (2j-3) \cdots 1 = \frac{(2j)!}{2^j j!}$. Thus we end up with

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) = \sum_{\substack{\ell,j\geq 0\\\ell+2j=i}} \frac{i!}{\ell! j! 2^j} P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}(w_1,\ldots,w_\ell|\mathbf{z}) \otimes \bigotimes_{l'=1}^j \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+2l'-1},w_{\ell+2l'})\right).$$

As before, we can write

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}(w_1,\ldots,w_{\ell}|\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}\\\mathbf{b}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{n-1}}} \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] \bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_l}(w_l) \bigotimes_{m=2}^{n} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-b_m}(z_m).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) \left(P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) \right) \right) \end{bmatrix}_{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=i}} \frac{i!}{\ell! j! 2^{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{i}} \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(i)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] \\ \times \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l}) \right) \otimes \bigotimes_{l'=1}^{j} \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+2l'-1}, w_{\ell+2l'}) \right),$$

and the lemma is proven.

Finally we need to re-introduce the contributions from $\omega_{0,1}$. Recall that we can write

$$\omega_{0,1}(z) = \sum_{a>0} \tau_a \,\mathrm{d}\xi_a(z) =: \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} F_{0,1}[a] \,\mathrm{d}\xi_{-a}(z) \,, \tag{5.17}$$

where we defined the coefficients to be $F_{0,1}[a] = 0$ and $F_{0,1}[-a] = \tau_a$ for all a > 0. Then

Lemma 5.26.

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) \left(P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) \right) \right) \right]_{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{m=1}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=m}} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}} D_{i}^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}],$$

where we defined the coefficients

$$D_{i}^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] \coloneqq \frac{1}{(i-\ell-2j)!} \sum_{a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left(\prod_{l=\ell+1}^{i-2j} F_{0,1}[a_{l}]\right) C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}, a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}].$$
(5.18)

Proof. Recall from Lemma 5.17 that

$$\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B) = \sum_{m=0}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \subseteq A \\ |\gamma|=m}} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{i} \omega_{0,1}(\gamma_l) \right) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i-m)}(A \smallsetminus \gamma|B) \,.$$

Note that for 2g - 2 + n > 0, the term with m = i does not contribute, so we can terminate the sum over m at i - 1. Thus

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \subseteq \mathbf{w} \\ |\gamma|=m}} \left(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{m} \omega_{0,1}(\gamma_l)\right) \otimes \mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i-m)}(\mathbf{w} \smallsetminus \gamma|\mathbf{z})\right).$$

As before, we use the argument that the projection operator is invariant under permutations of the w_l s to re-order the entries in the argument. Thus all terms contribute the same. The number of terms is the number of ways to choose m elements in \mathbf{w} , which is given by $\frac{i!}{m!(i-m)!}$. So we get

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \frac{i!}{m!(i-m)!} P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i-m)}(w_1,\ldots,w_{i-m}|\mathbf{z}) \otimes \bigotimes_{l=i-m+1}^{i} \omega_{0,1}(w_l)\right).$$

Using Lemma 5.25 we know that

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i-m)}(w_{1},\ldots,w_{i-m}|\mathbf{z})\bigotimes_{\substack{l=i-m+1\\ l=i-m+1}}^{i}\omega_{0,1}(w_{l})\right)$$
$$=\sum_{\substack{\ell,j\geq 0\\ \ell+2j=i-m}}\frac{(i-m)!}{\ell!\,j!\,2^{j}}P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}(w_{1},\ldots,w_{\ell}|\mathbf{z})\bigotimes_{\substack{l'=1\\ l'=1}}^{j}\omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+2l'-1},w_{\ell+2l'})\bigotimes_{\substack{l=i-m+1\\ l=i-m+1}}^{i}\omega_{0,1}(w_{l})\right).$$

We now have as usual

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}(w_1,\ldots,w_{\ell}|\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}\\\mathbf{b}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{n-1}}} \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] \bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_l}(w_l) \bigotimes_{m=2}^{n} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-b_m}(z_m)$$

Therefore,

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)\right]_{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=i-m}} \frac{i!}{m!\,\ell!\,j!\,2^{j}} \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{+0})^{\ell}} \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] \\ \times \operatorname{Res}_{t=0}\left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l}) \bigotimes_{l''=\ell+1}^{\ell+m} \omega_{0,1}(w_{l''}) \bigotimes_{l'=1}^{j} \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+m+2l'-1}, w_{\ell+m+2l'})\right)\right).$$

Expanding $\omega_{0,1}$ as in (5.17) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \Big(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l}) \bigotimes_{l''=\ell+1}^{\ell+m} \omega_{0,1}(w_{l''}) \bigotimes_{l'=1}^{j} \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+m+2l'-1}, w_{\ell+m+2l'}) \Big) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_{\ell+m} \\ \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}}} \left(\prod_{l''=\ell+1}^{\ell+m} F_{0,1}[a_{l''}] \right) \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \Big(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell+m} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_{l}}(w_{l}) \bigotimes_{l'=1}^{j} \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+m+2l'-1}, w_{\ell+m+2l'}) \Big) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_{\ell+m} \\ \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}}} \left(\prod_{l''=\ell+1}^{\ell+m} F_{0,1}[a_{l''}] \right) \frac{j! 2^{j}}{(\ell+m+2j)!} C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}, a_{\ell+1}, \dots, a_{\ell+m}], \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}$ by definition of $C^{(j)}$ in (5.16). After introducing a new index m' = i - m to rewrite the sum over $m \in \{0, \ldots, i-1\}$ as a sum over $m' \in \{1, \ldots, i\}$, we obtain

$$\left[\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)\right]_{\mathbf{b}} = \sum_{m'=1}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j\geq 0\\\ell+2j=m'}} \frac{1}{(i-m')!\,\ell!}$$
$$\times \sum_{\mathbf{a}\in(\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}} \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] \sum_{a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left(\prod_{l''=\ell+1}^{i-2j} F_{0,1}[a_{l''}]\right) C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a},a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}].$$

We recognize the coefficients $D_i^{(j)}$ introduced in (5.18) and the lemma is proven.

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 5.23. From Lemmas 5.24, 5.25 and 5.26, we find that the higher abstract loop equations for local spectral curves with one component hold if and only if

$$\sum_{m=1}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=m}} \frac{1}{\ell!} \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}} D_i^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] \Xi_{g-j,n}^{(\ell)}[\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{b}] = 0,$$

for $i \in \{1, ..., r\}, k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i$ and $\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^n$. Just as in Lemma 2.13 this is equivalent to the claimed system of differential equations.

5.3.2. Identification with the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ quantum Airy structure

We now relate the differential operators appearing in Proposition 5.23 with the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ quantum Airy structure of Proposition 4.14.

Theorem 5.27. Under the conditions of Propositions 5.23, we have for any $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $k \ge \mathfrak{d}^i + \delta_{i,1}$ the identification

$$\mathcal{H}_k^i = -r\,\hat{\Phi}\hat{T}W_k^i\hat{T}^{-1}\,\hat{\Phi}^{-1}\,.$$

Here, W_k^i are defined in (4.6) and we use the dilaton shift and change of polarization defined in terms of the coefficients of expansion (5.11)-(5.12) of $\omega_{0,1}$ and $\omega_{0,2}$

$$\hat{T} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{a>0}\frac{F_{0,1}[-a]}{a}J_a\right), \qquad \hat{\Phi} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar}\sum_{l,m>0}\frac{\phi_{l,m}}{l\,m}J_lJ_m\right).$$

In particular, for admissible spectral curves, where $1 \leq s \leq r+1$ and $r = \pm 1 \mod s$, the \mathcal{H}_k^i form a $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ higher quantum Airy structure as in Proposition 4.14. The coefficients $F_{g,n}$ of the partition function of this $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ higher quantum Airy structure in the basis $(x_l)_{l>0}$ coincide with the coefficients of the expansion (5.10) of the unique $\omega_{g,n} \in (V_z^-)^{\otimes n}$ solution to the higher abstract loop equations (5.14).

Proof. We first concentrate on the case of standard polarization, that is

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$$

We are going to evaluate the coefficients $C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}]$ and $D_i^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}]$ and recognize the coefficients of the higher quantum Airy structure of Proposition 4.13. Recall in particular from Definition 4.3 the sums $\Psi^{(j)}(a_1,\ldots,a_i)$ over r-th roots of unity.

Lemma 5.28. For a local spectral curve with one component in standard polarization,

$$C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{(\ell+2j)!}{j!\,2^j} \Psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{a}) \,\delta_{r(\ell+2j-k-1)+\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} a_l,0},$$

with $\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{\ell}$.

Proof. Recall that

$$C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{(\ell+2j)!}{j!2^j} \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r(k+1)}(t) P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\bigotimes_{l=1}^{\ell} \mathrm{d}\xi_{-a_l}(w_l) \bigotimes_{l'=1}^{j} \omega_{0,2}(w_{\ell+2l'-1}, w_{\ell+2l'}) \right) \right).$$

For a curve in standard polarization, this simplifies to

$$C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{m}] = \frac{(\ell+2j)!}{j!2^{j}} \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(t^{r(k+1)-1} \mathrm{d}t \, P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{\ell} w_{l}^{-a_{l}-1} \prod_{l'=1}^{j} \frac{1}{(w_{\ell+2l'-1} - w_{\ell+2l'})^{2}} \bigotimes_{l''=1}^{i} \mathrm{d}w_{l''} \right) \right).$$

By definition of the projection operator and using Definition 4.3 for $\Psi^{(j)}$, we can write

$$C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{(\ell+2j)!}{j! 2^j} \Psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{a}) \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(t^{rk+r-1-\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} a_l - r(\ell+2j)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{(\ell+2j)!}{j! 2^j} \Psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{a}) \,\delta_{r(\ell+2j-k-1)+\sum_{l=1}^{\ell} a_l, 0}.$$

dt)

We can then calculate the coefficients $D_i^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}]$.

Lemma 5.29. For a local spectral curve with one component in standard polarization,

$$D_{i}^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{i!}{(i-\ell-2j)!j!2^{j}} \times \sum_{a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left(\prod_{l=\ell+1}^{i-2j} F_{0,1}[a_{l}]\right) \Psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{a}, a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}) \,\delta_{r(i-k-1)+\sum_{l=1}^{i-2j} a_{l},0}$$

with $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^\ell$.

Proof. Recall that

$$D_i^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] \coloneqq \frac{1}{(i-\ell-2j)!} \sum_{a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left(\prod_{l=\ell+1}^{i-2j} F_{0,1}[a_l]\right) C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}, a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}].$$

Thus, using the previous Lemma,

$$D_{i}^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}] = \frac{i!}{(i-\ell-2j)!j!2^{j}} \times \sum_{a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}} \left(\prod_{l=\ell+1}^{i-2j} F_{0,1}[a_{l}]\right) \Psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{a}, a_{\ell+1},\dots,a_{i-2j}) \delta_{r(i-k-1)+\sum_{l=1}^{i-2j} a_{l},0}.$$

Combining Proposition 5.23 with the two previous lemmas, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{k}^{i} &= \sum_{m=1}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=m}} \frac{\hbar^{j}}{\ell!} \frac{i!}{(i-\ell-2j)!j!\,2^{j}} \\ &\times \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{i-2j}} \left(\prod_{l=\ell+1}^{i-2j} F_{0,1}[a_{l}] \right) \Psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{a}) \,\delta_{r(i-k-1)+\sum_{l'=1}^{i-2j} m_{l'},0} \colon J_{a_{1}} \cdots J_{a_{\ell}} \colon. \end{aligned}$$

This can be simplified by writing the sum in a more symmetric way. Instead of extracting $(a_{\ell+1}, \ldots, a_{i-2j})$, we can sum over all ways of extracting $i - 2j - \ell$ as out of the i - 2j ones. We then need to multiply by the factor $\frac{(i-2j-\ell)!\ell!}{(i-2j)!}$ to avoid over-counting. We get

$$\mathcal{H}_{k}^{i} = \sum_{m=1}^{i} \sum_{\substack{\ell,j \ge 0\\ \ell+2j=m}} \hbar^{j} \frac{i!}{(i-2j)!j!2^{j}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0})^{i-2j} \\ \sum_{l=1}^{i-2j} m_{l}=r(k-i+1)}} \Psi^{(j)}(\mathbf{a}) \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{c} \subseteq \mathbf{a} \\ |\mathbf{c}|=i-m}} \prod_{l'=1}^{i-m} F_{0,1}[c_{l'}] \right) : J_{a_{1}} \cdots J_{a_{\ell}} :,$$

where in the cases that i = 2j the condition $\sum_{l} a_{l} = r(k - i + 1)$ is understood as the delta condition $\delta_{k,i-1}$.

Let us now compare to the dilaton-shifted W_k^i s. Recall that conjugation by \hat{T} is equivalent to the shift $J_{-a} \longrightarrow J_{-a} + F_{0,1}[-a]$. It results in that the coefficient of $: J_{a_1} \cdots J_{a_\ell} :$ in H_k^i should be the sum of all possible ways of starting with a term of the form $: J_{a_1} \cdots J_{a_{\ell'}} :$ with $\ell' > \ell$ in W_k^i , and replacing the extra J_a s by $F_{0,1}[a]$. This is exactly what the formula for H_k^i does, up to a global prefactor -r.

We now turn to the general polarization, *i.e.* we have a basis for V_z^-

$$\mathrm{d}\xi_{-l}(z) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{z^{l+1}} + \sum_{m>0} \frac{\phi_{l,m}}{l} \,\mathrm{d}\xi_m(z)\,,$$

for some symmetric coefficients $\phi_{l,m}$, and a formal bidifferential

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{l,m>0} \phi_{l,m} \mathrm{d}\xi_l(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_m(z_2) \,.$$

Looking at the definition of $D_i^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}]$, (5.15) and following the same argument as for the case of standard polarization, it is clear that the relation between the $D_i^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}]$ and the $C^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}]$ is given by the dilaton shift $J_{-a} \rightarrow J_{-a} + F_{0,1}[-a]$. Thus all we need to check here is the effect of the change of polarization. Recall the conjugation by $\hat{\Phi}$ amounts to the shift

$$\forall a > 0, \qquad J_{-a} \longrightarrow J_{-a} + \sum_{l>0} \frac{\phi_{a,l}}{l} J_l \,. \tag{5.19}$$

Suppose that we start with the W_k^i and do a polarization conjugation. Let us denote the coefficients of W_k^i by $C_{\rm st}^{(j)}[k|\mathbf{a}]$. Every factor of J_{-a} with a > 0 gets shifted as above. If we turn this around, this means that if we are calculating the coefficient of a term in the conjugated operator $\hat{\Phi}W_k^i\hat{\Phi}^{-1}$ that has a factor of J_m with m > 0, say $C^{(j)}[k|\ldots,m,\ldots]$, then this coefficient will get a contribution of the form

$$C_{\rm st}^{(j)}[k|\dots,m,\dots] + \sum_{l>0} \frac{\phi_{l,m}}{m} C_{\rm st}^{(j)}[k|\dots,-l,\dots].$$

Now, since $C^{(j)}[k|\ldots,m,\ldots]$ comes with a factor of $d\xi_{-m}(w)$ in the residue definition, we see that this change of coefficients is implemented by doing a change of basis

$$\mathrm{d}\xi_{-m}(w)\mapsto\mathrm{d}\xi_{-m}(w)+\sum_{l>0}rac{\phi_{m,l}}{m}\,\mathrm{d}\xi_l(w)$$

which is precisely what a change of polarization does. More precisely, if we start with the standard polarization, for which $d\xi_{-m}(w) = w^{-m-1}dw$, then this shift implements the basis definition for a general polarization

$$\mathrm{d}\xi_{-m}(w) = w^{-m-1}\mathrm{d}w + \sum_{l>0} \frac{\phi_{m,l}}{m} \,\mathrm{d}\xi_l(w)$$

in the definition of the coefficients (5.15). However, one needs to be careful. After shifting as in (5.19), the Js may not be normal ordered anymore. Normal ordering thus will produce extra contributions. This will happen whenever we are shifting the first factor in expressions of the form $J_{-a}J_{-b}$. After the shift, this becomes

$$J_{-a}J_{-b} \longrightarrow J_{-a}J_{-b} + \sum_{l>0} \frac{\phi_{a,l}}{l} J_l J_{-b}$$

which is not normal ordered anymore. Normal ordering produces an extra contribution

$$J_{-a}J_{-b} \longrightarrow J_{-a}J_{-b} + \sum_{l>0} \frac{\phi_{a,l}}{l} : J_l J_{-b} :+ \hbar \phi_{b,a} \, .$$

This means that the coefficient of, say, $C^{(j)}[k|...]$ with $j \ge 1$, will get an extra contribution of the form $\phi_{b,a}C^{(j-1)}[k|...,-b,-a]$. But since $C^{(j-1)}[k|...,-b,-a]$ comes with a factor of $d\xi_b(w_1) \otimes d\xi_a(w_2)$ in the residue definition, these extra contributions are precisely accounted for by replacing the bidifferential $\frac{d_{z_1} \otimes d_{z_2}}{(z_1-z_2)^2}$ in standard polarization by the new bidifferential

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{l,m>0} \phi_{l,m} \mathrm{d}\xi_l(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_m(z_2)$$

in the definition of the coefficients (5.15). We conclude that the definition of the coefficients (5.15) precisely implements a change of polarization from standard polarization to arbitrary polarization,

hence the resulting operators are the result of conjugation by $\hat{\Phi}$. Combining with conjugation by \hat{T} (note that \hat{T} and $\hat{\Phi}$ commute), we obtain the statement of the theorem in full generality. \Box

5.4. Local spectral curves with several components

We now give the general result for local spectral curves with c components. Let $R = \{1, \ldots, c\}$, and define $W^i_{\alpha,k}$ to be copies indexed by $\alpha \in R$ of the differential operators (4.6) representing the modes of the generators of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r_{\alpha}})$ algebra, involving variables $(x_{\alpha,a})_{a>0}$.

Theorem 5.30. For any spectral curve with c components as defined in Definition 5.7, the higher abstract loop equations for $\omega_{g,n} \in \text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{V}_z^-)$ with 2g-2+n > 0 is equivalent to a system of differential equations

$$\forall \alpha \in R, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, r_{\alpha}\}, \quad \forall k \ge \mathfrak{d}^{i}_{\alpha} + \delta_{i,1}, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{i}_{\alpha,k} \cdot Z = 0,$$

where $\mathfrak{d}_{\alpha}^{i} = i - 1 - \lfloor \frac{s_{\alpha}(i-1)}{r_{\alpha}} \rfloor$, for the partition function $Z = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{r_{\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} F_{q,n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} x_{\alpha_{1},b_{1}} \cdots x_{\alpha_{n},b_{n}}\right)$

$$Z = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{g \ge 0, n \ge 1\\ 2g - 2 + n > 0}} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{\mathbf{b} \in (\mathbb{Z}_{>0})^n} F_{g,n} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \mathbf{b} \end{bmatrix} x_{\alpha_1, b_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_n, b_n} \right),$$

constructed from the coefficients of the expansion in (5.10). The differential operators read

$$\mathcal{H}^i_{\alpha,k} = -r_\alpha \,\hat{\Phi} \hat{T} W^i_{\alpha,k} \hat{T}^{-1} \hat{\Phi}^{-1}$$

where the dilaton shift and change of polarization are given by

$$\begin{split} \hat{T} &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{\hbar}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{c}\sum_{a>0}\frac{F_{0,1}\left[\frac{-\alpha}{a}\right]}{a}J_{\alpha,a}\right),\\ \hat{\Phi} &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\hbar}\sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{c}\sum_{l,m>0}\frac{\phi_{l,m}^{\alpha,\beta}}{l\,m}J_{\alpha,l}J_{\beta,m}\right) \end{split}$$

In particular, for admissible spectral curves, where $1 \leq s_{\alpha} \leq r_{\alpha} + 1$ and $r_{\alpha} = \pm 1 \mod s_{\alpha}$, these $\mathcal{H}^{i}_{\alpha,k}$ form a higher quantum Airy structure, isomorphic to those for the $\bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_{r_{\alpha}})$ algebra.

Proof. First, we consider the case of standard polarization, that is

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{c} \frac{(e_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{d}z_1) \otimes (e_\alpha \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2)}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} \,. \tag{5.20}$$

Since the $d\xi_{\alpha,l}(z) \in \mathcal{V}_z^{(\alpha)}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 1}$, we can really think of a local spectral curve with c components in standard polarization as c spectral curves with one component. The result then follows directly from Theorem 5.27.

For general polarization, the proof follows the exact same lines as for spectral curves with one component, except that we have to keep track of multi-indices. We therefore omit it. \Box

Remark 5.31. It is straightforward to reformulate Theorem 5.30 as a Givental-like decomposition formula for the partition function Z. This is presented in the statement of Theorem G in the introduction.

One direct consequence of the identification between higher abstract loop equations and higher quantum Airy structures is the symmetry of the meromorphic differentials constructed by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion. **Theorem 5.32.** For arbitrary admissible local spectral curves, as defined in Definitions 5.7 and 5.8, the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion from Definition 5.19 produces symmetric differentials $\omega_{q,n}$.

Proof. This follows directly from Appendix C. There, we show that if a polarized solution to the higher abstract loop equations exists, then it is uniquely constructed by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion. Thus a polarized solution exists if and only if the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion produces symmetric differentials. But Theorem 5.30 implies that a polarized solution to the higher abstract loop equations does indeed exist for arbitrary admissible local spectral curves, and hence the Bouchard–Eynard must produce symmetric $\omega_{q,n}$.

Remark 5.33. It should be emphasized here that the admissibility condition on s_{α} and r_{α} (see Definition 5.8) is crucial. In fact, unexpectedly, when this condition is not satisfied, the Bouchard– Eynard topological recursion does not produce symmetric differentials. This is proven in Proposition B.2. Indeed, for choices of s_{α} and r_{α} that are coprime but such that $r_{\alpha} \neq \pm 1 \mod s_{\alpha}$, our identification between the structure of the higher abstract loop equations and the differential equations produced by the $\mathcal{H}^i_{\alpha,k}$ is still valid. The question is whether there exists a solution to the differential constraints $\mathcal{H}^i_{\alpha,k} \cdot Z = 0$, or, equivalently, a polarized solution to the higher abstract loop equations. It turns out that the answer is no. It is argued in Proposition B.2 that there cannot be a symmetric solution to the differential constraints. Correspondingly, this means that the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion cannot produce symmetric differentials in these cases⁸, otherwise it would construct a polarized solution to the higher abstract loop equations. In the context of higher quantum Airy structures, this implies that for those choices of s_{α} and r_{α} the left ideal generated by the $\mathcal{H}^i_{\alpha,k}$ is not a graded Lie subalgebra.

⁸This can also be checked symbolically on Mathematica for various examples of spectral curves with gcd(r, s) = 1 that do not meet the admissibility requirement, the simplest ones being

⁽r,s) = (7,5), (8,5), (9,7), (10,7), (11,7), (11,8), etc.

6. W constraints and enumerative geometry

In this section, we review the currently known relations between \mathcal{W} constraints and enumerative geometry. We view their possible extension to new cases as a motivation to study higher quantum Airy structures, and formulate new questions raised by our work. The leitmotiv is that for each instance of generating series appearing in one of the following situations

- (i) intersection numbers of interesting classes on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$,
- (ii) tau functions of integrable hierarchies,
- (*iii*) matrix integrals,
- (iv) higher quantum Airy structures and their partition functions,
- (v) differential constraints and partition function obtained from periods on a spectral curve,

one can ask for an equivalent description in the four other contexts.

6.1. *r*-spin intersection numbers

The Witten r-spin partition function is one of the only examples completely understood from the five points of view. Its construction was sketched by Witten in [72], where he proposed several conjectures which have been resolved since then.

(i) - Enumerative geometry

Let r, g, n be nonnegative integers such that $r \ge 2, n \ge 1$ and 2g - 2 + n > 0. Let $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2g - 2 - \sum_{l=1}^{n} (i_l - 1) \in r\mathbb{Z}$. An *r*-spin structure on a smooth curve \mathcal{C} with punctures p_1, \ldots, p_n is the data of a line bundle L and an isomorphism

$$L^{\otimes r} \simeq K \Big(- \sum_{l=1}^{n} (i_l - 1) p_l \Big),$$

where K is the cotangent line bundle. Jarvis [53] constructed the compactified moduli stack of (isomorphism classes of) r-spin structures $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(r;\mathbf{i})$. Polishchuk and Vaintrob [65, 66], and later Chiodo [28] using different means, constructed a Chow cohomology class $\Omega_{g,n}(r,\mathbf{i})$ of pure dimension in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(r;\mathbf{i})$ which has the basic properties expected by [72] and called it the Witten r-spin class.

One can then introduce the r-spin partition function

$$Z_{rspin} = \exp\left\{\sum_{\substack{2g-2+n>0}} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{\substack{1 \le i_1, \dots, i_n \le r\\k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0}} \left(\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(r;\mathbf{i})} \Omega_{g,n}(r;\mathbf{i}) \prod_{l=1}^n \psi_l^{k_l}\right) \prod_{l=1}^n t_{k_l}^{i_l}\right\}$$
(6.1)

on the formal variables t_k^i indexed by $k \ge 0$ and $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. In fact, $\Omega_{g,n}(r; \mathbf{i})$ is zero when one of the i_l is equal to r, so one could restrict to $i \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$.

In particular for r = 2, we only have to consider the value i = 1 and we obtain the usual intersection numbers of ψ -classes on the moduli space of curves

$$Z_{2\text{spin}} = \exp\left\{\sum_{2g-2+n>0} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0} \left(\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod_{l=1}^n \psi_l^{k_l}\right) \prod_{l=1}^n t_{k_l}\right\}.$$
 (6.2)

(ii) - Integrability

 Z_{rspin} is a tau function of the r-KdV (also called r-Gelfand-Dickey) hierarchy with respect to the times

$$x_{rk+i} = \frac{(-1)^k t_k^i}{\mathbf{i}\sqrt{r} \prod_{m=0}^k \left(m + \frac{i-1}{r}\right)}.$$
(6.3)

For r = 2, this is a famous theorem of Kontsevich [55]. For general r, it was established via a less direct path. Adler and van Moerbeke proved in [1] the existence of a unique tau function Z_{rtau} of the r-KdV hierarchy solving the string equation (it is unique up to a constant prefactor, which can be set equal to 1 and will not be mentioned anymore). Givental showed in [50] that the total descendant potential of the A_{r-1} -singularity has the same property, and therefore coincides with Z_{rtau} . Later, Faber, Shadrin and Zvonkine established in [39] that Z_{rspin} is equal to the total descendant potential of the A_{r-1} -singularity, as application of their proof that the Givental group preserves the notion of cohomological field theories in all genera. Therefore $Z_{rspin} = Z_{rtau}$.

(iii) - Formal matrix integrals

In [1] it is proved that Z_{rtau} admits a matrix model representation as follows. To be more precise, let \mathcal{H}_N be the space of hermitian matrices of size N, and $Y \in \mathcal{H}_N$. We first introduce the formal matrix integral

$$Z_{r \text{tau}}^{(N)} = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{H}_{N}^{\text{formal}}} \mathrm{d}M \, e^{h^{-1/2} \text{Tr}[-V(Y+M)+V(M)+YV'(M)]}}{\int_{\mathcal{H}_{N}}^{\text{formal}} \mathrm{d}M \, e^{-h^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr} V_{2}(Y,M)}}, \tag{6.4}$$

where

$$V(M) = \frac{\mathbf{i}\sqrt{r}}{r+1} M^{r+1}, \qquad V_2(Y,M) = \frac{\mathbf{i}\sqrt{r}}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} Y^m M Y^{r-1-m} M.$$

It is possible to define the $N \to \infty$ limit of (6.4) as a formal series in the variables

$$x_k = \frac{\hbar^{1/2}}{k} \operatorname{Tr} Y^{-k}, \qquad k > 0$$

which takes the form

$$Z_{r \text{tau}} = \exp\left(\sum_{2g-2+n>0} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n \ge 0} F_{g,n}^{r \text{tau}}(k_1, \dots, k_n) \prod_{l=1}^n x_{k_l}\right).$$

(iv) - W constraints

Another side result of [1] says that Z_{rtau} satisfies $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ constraints determining it uniquely. As a matter of fact, these constraints coincide with the differential operators of Theorem 4.9 with s = r + 1, and $Z_{rtau} = Z_{(r,r+1)}$ the partition function of this quantum r-Airy structure.

(v) - Periods

The \mathcal{W} constraints for the total descendant potential of the A_{r-1} singularity were expressed in terms of period computations by Bakalov and Milanov [9]. Milanov [61] later established their equivalence with the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion on a certain higher genus curve (not the *r*-Airy spectral curve y = -z, $x = \frac{z^r}{r}$, but one that locally looks like it near the branch points). By different methods, using the theory of Dubrovin superpotentials, [34] later proved the equivalence with the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion on the *r*-Airy spectral curve. In our context, this theorem of [34] is equivalent to the identification of the higher quantum Airy structure of Theorem 4.9 with s = r + 1 with the Bouchard-Eynard topological recursion as in Theorem 5.27, for the particular case of the r-Airy spectral curve y = -z, $x = \frac{z^r}{r}$.

6.2. Brézin–Gross–Witten theory

Consider the formal matrix integral introduced in [21, 52]

$$Z_{rBGW}^{(N)} = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{H}_N}^{\text{formal}} \mathrm{d}M \,(\det M)^{-N} \,e^{\hbar^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}[YM + \frac{M^{1-r}}{r-1}]}}{\operatorname{Det}^{-1/2} (-Q_Y/2\pi\hbar^{1/2}) \,(\det Y)^{N/r} \,e^{\hbar^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}[\frac{r}{r-1} \,Y^{1-1/r}]}, \tag{6.5}$$

where Q_Y is the Hessian of $M \mapsto \operatorname{Tr} \frac{M^{r-1}}{r-1}$ at the point $Y^{-1/r}$, seen as an endomorphism in \mathcal{H}_N . It is possible to define the large N limit of (6.5) as a formal series Z_{rBGW} in the times $x_k = \frac{\hbar^{1/2}}{k} \operatorname{Tr} Y^{-k/r}$, which takes the form

$$Z_{rBGW} = \exp\left(\sum_{2g-2+n>0} \frac{\hbar^{g-1}}{n!} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0} F_{g,n}^{rBGW}(k_1,\dots,k_n) \prod_{l=1}^n x_{k_l}\right).$$

The work of [62] proves that Z_{rBGW} is a tau function of the KdV hierarchy with respect to the times $x_k = \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{Tr} Y^{-k/r}$.

If we focus on Z_{2BGW} , [62] proves that it satisfies Virasoro constraints (see also [4]). These constraints are equivalent to the statement that

$$\omega_{g,n}^{2BGW}(z_1,\ldots,z_n) = \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n \ge 0} F_{g,n}^{2BGW}(k_1,\ldots,k_n) \prod_{l=1}^n \frac{(2k_l+1)!! \, \mathrm{d}z_l}{z_l^{2k_l+2}}$$

is computed by the Chekhov–Eynard–Orantin topological recursion for the Bessel spectral curve [32]

$$x(z) = \frac{z^2}{2}, \qquad y(z) = -\frac{1}{z}, \qquad \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}$$

This is also equivalent [5] to saying that Z_{2BGW} is the partition function of a quantum Airy structure. Here it corresponds to the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ quantum Airy structure associated with the dilaton shift $x_1 \to x_1 - 1$, *i.e.* after reduction of (4.9) to $x_{2m} = 0$ for m > 0 (see Section 2.2.3). In the notation of Theorem A this means $Z_{2BGW} = Z_{(2,1)}$.

Norbury [63] constructed a cohomology class $\Theta_{g,n} \in H^{4g-4+2n}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$, and proved that

$$F_{g,n}^{2\text{BGW}}(k_1,\ldots,k_n) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Theta_{g,n} \prod_{l=1}^n \psi_l^{k_l} \,. \tag{6.6}$$

The construction of the cohomology class (which is similar to a construction of Chiodo [29]) starts on the moduli space of spin structures $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{(2)} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(2,(0,\ldots,0))$. One constructs a vector bundle $E_{g,n}$ over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{(2)}$ whose fiber at a smooth point is $H^1(L^{\vee})^{\vee}$ where \vee indicates the dual. Concretely, one looks at the bundle of the universal spin structure \mathcal{E} on the universal curve $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{(2)}$, and defines $E_{g,n} = R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{\vee}$. This $E_{g,n}$ is a vector bundle of rank 2g - 2 + n and one can consider its Euler class and push it forward through the forgetful map $p: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}^{(2)} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. This is up to a normalization factor the desired class

$$\Theta_{g,n} = (-2)^n p_* c_{2g-2+n}(E_{g,n}),$$

where c_i is the *i*-th Chern class.

Therefore, we have a description of Z_{2BGW} from all five points of view. It is a natural question to ask for such a complete understanding for the higher quantum Airy structure based on the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ -module obtained by twisting by a Coxeter element and the dilaton shift $x_s \to x_s - \frac{1}{s}$ for $s \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ coprime with r and such that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$. We know that its partition function is computed by the topological recursion for the (r, s)-spectral curve

$$x(z) = \frac{z^r}{r}, \qquad y(z) = -\frac{1}{z^{r-s}}, \qquad \omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2}.$$

Question 6.1. Is there a matrix model description of the partition function for the (r, s)-spectral curve? Can one find a $\Theta_{g,n}^{(r,s)} \in H^{\bullet}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n})$ whose intersection with ψ -classes is encoded by the $F_{g,n}$?

In fact, Z_{rBGW} is a natural candidate for a matrix integral/tau function representation for the partition function of the (r, s)-spectral curve, for either s = 1 or s = r - 1. It is indeed known that Z_{rBGW} satisfies a set of \mathcal{W} constraints. However they are not easy to write down explicitly, and should be compared to (4.9) to complete the identification.

Question 6.2. Is Z_{rBGW} the matrix model description of the partition function for the (r, 1) or (r, r-1) spectral curve?

Preliminary work in this direction, in the case of r = 3, was completed by Robert Maher in his MSc thesis; it seems to indicate that Z_{rBGW} may correspond to the case s = r - 1, but the calculations were not conclusive [60].

6.3. Open intersection theory

Open intersection theory studies the enumerative geometry of bordered Riemann surfaces with marked points on the boundaries and in the interior, possibly carrying r-spin structures. Pandharipande, Solomon and Tessler first proposed in [64] an appropriate construction of this moduli space and the associated numerical invariants in genus 0 for r = 2 (*i.e.* in the absence of spin structures). The extension of this construction to all genera for r = 2 was announced in [70], and some details of this already appeared in [71, Section 2]. The case $r \ge 2$ for genus 0 was settled by [24] together with conjectures about the integrability property of the (yet not constructed) partition function for all genera. We refer to those articles for precise statements about the state of the art, and will henceforth assume that all the necessary constructions exist.

Let us first focus on r = 2. One considers, for 2g - 2 + m + 2n > 0, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g,n,m}$ of bordered Riemann surfaces with m marked points on the boundary, n marked points in the interior, such that the genus of the doubled surface is g. This moduli space is a real orbifold of dimension 3g - 3 + m + 2n, which admits a compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n,m}$. There exist cotangent line bundles \mathbb{L}_l at the interior punctures p_l for which relative orientations and boundary conditions can be constructed. Therefore they admit relative Euler classes and one can define a partition function for open intersection numbers as follows

$$Z_{\text{open}} = Z_{\text{closed}} \cdot \exp\left\{\sum_{2g-2+m+2n>0} \frac{\hbar^{(g-1)/2}}{m!n!} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n \ge 0} \left(\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n,m}} e\left(\bigoplus_{l=1}^n \mathbb{L}_l^{k_l}\right)\right) \prod_{l=1}^n t_{k_l}^{\text{B}} \left(s_0^{\text{B}}\right)^m\right\}, \quad (6.7)$$

where $Z_{\text{closed}} = Z_{2\text{spin}}$ is (6.2). It was conjectured in [64] that Z_{open} is a tau function of the open KdV hierarchy. Buryak and Tessler proved this conjecture [25] based on a combinatorial model for the moduli space of bordered Riemann surface developed in [71], while [22] showed the equivalence between the open KdV equations with suitable initial data and a set of open Virasoro constraints (previously conjectured by [64]). In fact, Z_{open} is the specialization at $s_k^{\text{B}} = 0$ for k > 0 of a partition function $Z_{\text{open}}^{\text{ext}}$ depending on all the variables $(t_k^{\text{B}}, s_k^{\text{B}})_{k>0}$ and which is a tau function of a larger integrable hierarchy [23], later identified [2] with the modified KdV hierarchy. According to [70], the variables s_k^{B} for $k \ge 2$ admit an enumerative interpretation as indexing insertions of boundary descendant classes, paralleling the fact that t_k^{B} are coupled to insertions of ψ^k . Alexandrov studied the formal hermitian matrix integral, called the Kontsevich-Penner matrix model

$$Z_{\text{open},(N)}^{\text{ext}}(q) = \frac{(\det Y)^q \int_{\mathcal{H}_N}^{\text{formal}} dM \, (\det M)^{-q} \, e^{h^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[-\frac{M^2}{6} + \frac{Y^2 M}{2}\right]}}{\int dM \, e^{h^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[-\frac{YM^2}{2} + \operatorname{Tr}\frac{Y^3}{3}\right]}},\tag{6.8}$$

It is possible to define the $N \to \infty$ limit of (6.8) as a formal series in $t_k = \frac{\hbar^{1/2}}{k} \operatorname{Tr} Y^{-k}$ for k > 0 which has the form

$$Z_{\text{open}}^{\text{ext}}(q) = \exp\left(\sum_{\substack{2h-2+n>0\\h\in\mathbb{N}/2}} \frac{\hbar^{h-1}}{n!} \sum_{k_1,\dots,k_n\geq 0} F_{h,n}^{\text{open,ext}}(q;k_1,\dots,k_n) \prod_{l=1}^n t_{k_l}\right).$$

He proves in [2] that for q = 1 it is a tau function of the modified KdV hierarchy [31] which coincides with the open extended partition function of Buryak with identification

$$t_k^{\mathrm{B}} = (2k+1)!! t_{2k+1}, \qquad s_k^{\mathrm{B}} = 2^{k+1}(k+1)! t_{2k+2}.$$

For general q Alexandrov derives in [3] a set of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ constraints annihilating $Z_{\text{open}}^{\text{ext}}(q)$. Safnuk gave in [69] an equivalent description in terms of periods on the spectral curve $x = \frac{z^2}{2}$, y = -z, which is an unusual modification of the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion [17] that involves half-integer genera. Our work in fact reproduces Alexandrov's constraints.

Proposition 6.3. $Z_{\text{open}}^{\text{ext}}(q)$ is the partition function of the higher Airy structure of Proposition 4.17 with r = 3 and s = 3 considered as a function of $t_{2k} = \frac{1}{2}x_{2k}^1 - x_k^2$ and $t_{2k+1} = x_{2k+1}^1$ for k > 0 with the identification $J_0^1 = \hbar^{1/2}q$.

Proof. To make the comparison, we need to perform a reduction from $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_3)$ to $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$. In order to do this, we define a set of independent (commuting) Heisenberg fields:

$$\begin{split} J_{k}^{+} &\coloneqq J_{2k}^{1} + J_{k}^{2}, \\ J_{k}^{-} &\coloneqq \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{2k}^{1} - J_{k}^{2} \right) \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \end{split}$$

The normalization factor $\frac{1}{2}$ ensures that J^+ and J^- commute, while the factor $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$ ensures that $[J_k^-, J_l^-] = k\delta_{l+k,0}$. Here we will also use the notation

$$J_{2k+1} \coloneqq J_{2k+1}^1.$$

Now, we will rewrite the operators of (4.23) in the modes $\{J_k^+, J_k^-, J_{2k+1}^1\}$, and then formally set $W_k^1 = J_k^+$ equal to 0 for $k \ge 0$. Then we get the following operators:

$$H_k^2 = J_{2k+1} - \sum_{a+b=k-1} \left(J_a^- J_b^- + \frac{1}{2} J_{2a-1} J_{2b+1} \right) - \frac{h}{8} \delta_{k,1} ,$$

- $\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} H_k^3 = J_{k+1}^- - \sum_{b+c=k-1} J_{2b+1} J_c^- + \sum_{a+b+c=k-2} \left(J_{2a-1} J_{2b+1} J_c^- - \frac{2}{3} J_a^- J_b^- J_c^- \right) + \frac{h}{4} J_{k-2}^- .$

With the identifications

$$J_{k}^{-} = \begin{cases} h\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\partial_{t_{2k}} & k > 0\\ h^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}q & k = 0\\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}kt_{-2k} & k < 0 \end{cases}, \qquad J_{2k+1} = \begin{cases} h\partial_{t_{2k+1}} & k \ge 0\\ (1-2k)t_{1-2k} & k < 0 \end{cases}$$

and N = q we recognize $H_k^2 = -2\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_k^N$ and $H_k^3 = -4\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k^N$ in the notation of [3]. Our uniqueness result for the partition function (2.17) gives the statement of the Proposition.

It is natural to speculate about higher r.

Question 6.4. Consider the partition function associated with the higher quantum Airy structure based on $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ with automorphism $\sigma = (1 \cdots r - 1)$ and dilaton shift $x_r \to x_r - \frac{1}{r}$ (see Proposition 4.17 with s = r).

- for q = 1, does it coincide with the tau function of the extended open (r − 1)-KdV hierarchy constructed by Bertola and Yang [11]?
- for q = 1, can it be expressed in terms of the generating function of (extended) open (r-1)-spin intersection theory as constructed (in genus 0) by [24]?
- for arbitrary q, does it have a formal matrix integral representation generalizing (6.7)?

The two last questions can also be asked for the dilaton shifts $x_s \to x_s - \frac{1}{s}$ with $s \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$ such that s|r. In particular, for s = 1 this should give an open r-spin generalization of Norbury's class.

6.4. Fan–Jarvis–Ruan theories

Let $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_t]$ be a quasi-homogeneous polynomial, *i.e.* there exist positive integers d, ν_1, \ldots, ν_t for which

$$\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, \qquad \mathbf{W}(\lambda^{\nu_1} x_1, \dots, \lambda^{\nu_t} x_t) = \lambda^d \mathbf{W}(x_1, \dots, x_t).$$

Assume that **W** is non-degenerate, *i.e.* W = 0 has an isolated singularity at 0 and $\tilde{\nu}_i = \nu_i/d$ are uniquely determined by **W**. Then one can always assume that d, ν_1, \ldots, ν_t are minimal. This assumption implies that the group of diagonal symmetries of **W**

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \alpha \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^t \mid \mathbf{W}(\alpha_1 x_1, \dots, \alpha_t x_t) = \mathbf{W}(x_1, \dots, x_t) \right\}$$

is finite. Let us decompose W into monomials

$$\mathbf{W}(x_1,\ldots,x_t)=\sum_{\mu}c_{\mu}\prod_{u=1}^t x_u^{\mu_u}.$$

Fan, Jarvis and Ruan [41] constructed a compactified moduli stack of twisted spin curves, which describe isomorphism classes of orbifold curves C equipped with n punctures p_1, \ldots, p_n and line bundles L_1, \ldots, L_t together with isomorphisms

$$\phi_{\mu} : \bigotimes_{u=1}^{t} L_{u}^{\otimes \mu_{u}} \longrightarrow K_{\mathcal{C}} \Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \Big)$$

They also describe a virtual fundamental class on this moduli stack. After pushing forward to $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$, it yields a cohomological field theory whose Frobenius algebra is given by the Jacobi ring

$$\operatorname{Jac}(W) = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_t]}{\langle \partial_1 \mathbf{W}, \dots, \partial_t \mathbf{W} \rangle}.$$

Polishchuk and Vaintrob gave another, more algebraic construction of this cohomological field theory from the category of matrix factorizations of \mathbf{W} [67, 68]. We denote $Z^{\mathbf{W}}$ the generating series of its intersection numbers with the ψ -classes.

The most fundamental examples of such hypersurfaces $\{\mathbf{W} = 0\}$ are given by the simple singularities, of type ADE. Their total descendant potential are tau functions of an integrable hierarchy [51, 47], and satisfy \mathcal{W} constraints [10]. These constraints coincide with the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ quantum Airy structure associated with the dilaton shift $x_{r+1} \rightarrow x_{r+1} - \frac{1}{r+1}$ described in Section 4. The uniqueness of their solution was proved in [59]. The *r*-spin partition function of Section 6.1 corresponds to the A_{r-1} case. The total descendant potential of the ADE singularity $\{\mathbf{W}_{ADE} = 0\}$ in fact coincides with the Fan–Jarvis–Ruan partition function $Z^{\mathbf{W}_{ADE}}$ [40].

Question 6.5. For any non degenerate quasihomogeneous polynomial in n variables \mathbf{W} , can one write down a higher quantum Airy structure (based on \mathcal{W} algebras) associated with \mathbf{W} such that its partition function encodes the correlators of the cohomological field theory constructed by Fan-Jarvis-Ruan?

Question 6.6. Do the partition functions of Theorem 4.21 for D_N -type and Theorem 4.25 for E_N -type in the case s = 1 admit an interpretation in terms of Fan–Jarvis–Ruan theories?

APPENDIX A. SUMS OVER ROOTS OF UNITY

We encountered in Definition 4.3 the following sums for $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$

$$\Psi(a_1, \dots, a_i) = \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\substack{m_1, \dots, m_i = 0 \\ m_l \neq m_{l'}}}^{r-1} \prod_{l=1}^i \theta^{-m_l a_l} , \qquad (A.1)$$

where $\theta = e^{2i\pi/r}$ is a primitive *r*-th root of unity. This is the j = 0 case of the more general sum for $j \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor i/2 \rfloor\}$

$$\Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\ldots,a_i) = \frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\substack{m_1,\ldots,m_i=0\\m_l \neq m_{l'}}}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{l'=1}^{\ell} \frac{\theta^{m_{2l'-1}+m_{2l'}}}{(\theta^{m_{2l'}}-\theta^{m_{2l'-1}})^2} \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} \theta^{-m_l a_l} \right).$$
(A.2)

This appendix is devoted to the proof of several properties of these functions used in Section 4 and an explicit computation of $\Psi^{(0)}$.

Lemma A.1. For any $j \in \{0, ..., \lfloor i/2 \rfloor\}$, we have

$$\sum_{a_1,\dots,a_{2j}=0}^{r-1} \Psi(a_1,\dots,a_i) \prod_{l'=1}^j \frac{a_{2l'-1}a_{2l'}\delta_{a_{2l'-1}+a_{2l'},r}}{2r} = \Psi^{(j)}(a_{2\ell+1},\dots,a_i).$$
(A.3)

Proof. The left hand side of (A.3) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{i!} \sum_{\substack{m_1,\dots,m_i=0\\m_l\neq m_{l'}}}^{r-1} \sum_{a_1,a_3,\dots,a_{2j-1}=0}^{r-1} \prod_{l'=1}^{j} \theta^{(m_{2l'}-m_{2l'-1})a_{2l'-1}} \prod_{l=2j+1}^{i} \theta^{-m_l a_l} .$$
(A.4)

We compute the sum

$$\sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{a(r-a)x^a}{2r} = \frac{x((r-1)x - (r+1))x^r + (r+1)x - (r-1)}{2r(x-1)^3}$$

Setting $x = \theta^{m_1 - m_2}$ for distinct $m_1, m_2 \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ gives

$$\sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{a(r-a)\theta^{a(m_1-m_2)}}{2r} = \frac{\theta^{m_1-m_2}}{(\theta^{m_1-m_2}-1)^2} = \frac{\theta^{m_1+m_2}}{(\theta^{m_1}-\theta^{m_2})^2}$$

Using this formula to perform the sum over $a_1, a_3, \ldots, a_{2j-1} = 0$ in (A.4) entails the claim.

We can get rid of zero entries in $\Psi^{(j)}$ in a simple way.

Lemma A.2.

$$\Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\ldots,a_{i-\ell},\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\ell \text{ times}}) = \frac{(i-\ell)!}{i!} \frac{(r-i+\ell)!}{(r-i)!} \Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\ldots,a_{i-\ell}).$$

Proof. In the sum (A.2) defining $\Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1},\ldots,a_{i-\ell},0,\ldots,0)$, the terms only depend on

 $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_{i-\ell})$

and the *as*. Therefore, we can perform the sum over the ordered *j*-tuple $(m_l)_{l=i-\ell+1}^i$ of pairwise disjoint integers in $\{0, \ldots, r-1\} \setminus \{m_1, \ldots, m_{i-\ell}\}$ and get a global factor of $\frac{(r-i+\ell)!}{(r-i)!}$. We then recognize $\Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1}, \ldots, a_{i-\ell})$ up to another global factor $\frac{(i-\ell)!}{i!}$.

Lemma A.3. We have $i \Psi(\underbrace{r-1, \dots, r-1}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, i-1) = (-1)^{i-1}r.$

Proof. Let us denote for $m \in \{0, \ldots, r-1\}$

$$\vec{\theta} = (1, \theta, \theta^2, \dots, \theta^{r-1}), \qquad \vec{\theta}[m] = \vec{\theta} \smallsetminus \theta^m.$$

Coming back to the definition of $\Psi = \Psi^{(0)}$, we can write

$$i\Psi\left(\underbrace{r-1,\ldots,r-1}_{i-1 \text{ times}},i-1\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \theta^{-m(i-1)} \left(\sum_{\substack{L \subseteq \{0,\ldots,r-1\} \setminus \{m\} \ l \in L}} \prod_{l \in L} \theta^{-l(r-1)}\right) = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \theta^{-m(i-1)} e_{i-1}\left(\vec{\theta}[m]\right),$$
(A.5)

where e_j is the *j*-th elementary symmetric polynomial. Since $-\theta$ are the simple roots of the polynomial $1 + (-1)^r t^r$ we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} e_k(\vec{\theta}) t^k = \prod_{a=0}^{r-1} (1+t\theta^a) = 1 + (-1)^r t^r ,$$

from which we deduce that $e_k(\vec{\theta}) = \delta_{k,0}$ for $k \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$. On the other hand we have by inclusion-exclusion

$$e_k(\vec{\theta}) = \theta^m e_{k-1}(\vec{\theta}[m]) + e_k(\vec{\theta}[m]).$$

So we deduce by induction that

$$\forall k \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}, \qquad e_k(\vec{\theta}[m]) = (-1)^k \theta^{mk}.$$

Inserting this result in (A.5) gives

$$i \Psi \Big(\underbrace{r-1, \dots, r-1}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, i-1 \Big) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} (-1)^{i-1} \theta^{-m(i-1)} \theta^{m(i-1)} = (-1)^{i-1} r.$$

Lemma A.4. More generally for any s > 0, let us introduce d = gcd(r, s) and r' := r/d. We have

$$i\Psi\left(\underbrace{-s,\ldots,-s}_{i-1 \text{ times}},(i-1)s\right) = r\left(-1\right)^{i-1+\lfloor\frac{i-1}{r'}\rfloor} \binom{d-1}{\lfloor\frac{i-1}{r'}\rfloor}$$

Proof. The strategy is similar. We have

$$\psi_{i,s} \coloneqq i \Psi\left(\underbrace{-s, \dots, -s}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, (i-1)s\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \theta^{-m(i-1)s} \left(\sum_{\substack{L \subseteq \{0, \dots, r-1\} \setminus \{m\} \ l \in L}} \prod_{l \in L} \theta^{ls}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \theta^{-m(i-1)s} e_{i-1}(\vec{\theta}^s[m]),$$

where $\vec{\theta}^s = (1^s, \theta^s, \dots, \theta^{s(r-1)})$ and $\vec{\theta}^s[m]$ is the sequence $\vec{\theta}^s$ with θ^{ms} omitted. We write by inclusion-exclusion

$$e_i(\vec{\theta}^s) = \theta^{ms} e_{i-1}(\vec{\theta}^s[m]) + e_i(\vec{\theta}^s[m]),$$

with the convention $e_{-1} = 0$. We multiply this identity by θ^{-ims} and sum over $m \in \{0, \ldots, r-1\}$ to find

$$r\delta_{r|is} e_i(\theta^s) = \psi_{i,s} + \psi_{i+1,s}, \qquad (A.6)$$

where $\delta_{a|b}$ is equal to 1 is a|b and to 0 otherwise. With the value $\psi_{1,s} = r$ we will obtain by induction on i a formula for $\psi_{i,s}$, provided we can compute $e_i(\vec{\theta}^s)$. For this purpose we observe that $\vec{\theta}^s$ contains each $r' \coloneqq r/d$ -th root of unity, with multiplicity d. Thus

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r} e_i(\vec{\theta}^s) t^i = (1 - (-t)^{r'})^d = \sum_{j=0}^{d} (-1)^{j(r'+1)} \binom{d}{j} t^{jr'},$$

therefore

$$r\delta_{r|is} e_i(\vec{\theta}^s) = r\delta_{is|r}\delta_{r'|i} (-1)^{i(1+1/r')} {d \choose i/r'} = r\delta_{r'|i} (-1)^{i/r'} {d \choose i/r'}.$$
 (A.7)

Solving the recursion (A.6) with (A.7) as left-hand side yields

$$i \Psi(\underbrace{-s, \dots, -s}_{i-1 \text{ times}}, (i-1)s) = (-1)^{i-1} r \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (i-1)/r' \rfloor} (-1)^j \binom{d}{j}.$$

Recall that $d \ge 2$, and let us denote

$$\psi(k,d) \coloneqq \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{j} {d \choose j}, \qquad k \in \{0, \dots, d-1\},$$

so that

$$i\Psi\left(\underbrace{-s,\ldots,-s}_{i-1 \text{ times}},(i-1)s\right) = (-1)^{i-1}r\psi_{(-1)r'+1}\left(\lfloor\frac{i-1}{r'}\rfloor,d\right)$$

We observe that

$$\psi(k,d) - \psi(k-1,d) = (-1)^k \binom{d}{k},$$
(A.8)

which is also valid for k = 0 with the convention $\psi(-1, d) = 0$. But Pascal's identity

$$\binom{d}{k} = \binom{d-1}{k} + \binom{d-1}{k-1},$$

implies that

$$\psi(k,d) = \psi(k,d-1) - \psi(k-1,d-1), \qquad (A.9)$$

with the convention that $\binom{d}{-1} = 0$. Combining (A.9) and (A.8) we find

$$\psi(k,d) = (-1)^k \binom{d-1}{k}.$$

Now we focus on the evaluation of these sums for small values of *i*. Lemma A.5. For $r \ge 1$ we have $\Psi(a_1) = r\delta_{r|a_1}$. For $r \ge 2$ we have

$$\Psi(a_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 \delta_{r|a_1} \delta_{r|a_2} - r \delta_{r|a_1+a_2} \right),$$

$$\Psi^{(1)}(\emptyset) = -\frac{r(r^2 - 1)}{24}.$$

For $r \geq 3$ we have

$$\Psi(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \frac{1}{6} \left(r^3 \delta_{r|a_1} \delta_{r|a_2} \delta_{r|a_3} - r^2 \delta_{r|a_1} \delta_{r|a_2+a_3} - r^2 \delta_{r|a_2} \delta_{r|a_1+a_3} - r^2 \delta_{r|a_3} \delta_{r|a_1+a_2} + 2r \delta_{r|a_1+a_2+a_3} \right)$$
$$\Psi^{(1)}(a_3) = -\frac{r(r-2)(r^2-1)}{72} \delta_{r|a_3}.$$

Proof. We have for i = 1 and j = 0

$$\Psi(a_1) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} \theta^{-ma_1} = r\delta_{r|a_1} .$$
(A.10)

For i = 2, j can take the two values 0 or 1. We have

$$\Psi(a_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{m_1, m_2 = 0 \\ m_1 \neq m_2}}^{r-1} \theta^{-m_1 a_1 - m_2 a_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{m_1, m_2 = 0}^{r-1} - \sum_{m_1 = m_2 = 0}^{r-1} \right) \theta^{-m_1 a_1 - m_2 a_2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 \delta_{r|a_1} \delta_{r|a_2} - r \delta_{r|a_1 + a_2} \right).$$

Using Lemma A.1 and the formula we just proved, we compute

$$\Psi^{(1)}(\emptyset) = \sum_{a_1, a_2=0}^{r-1} \Psi(a_1, a_2) \frac{a_1 a_2}{2r} \delta_{a_1+a_2, r} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a_1=0}^{r-1} a_1(r-a_1) = -\frac{r(r^2-1)}{24}.$$

For i = 3, we apply the same strategy

$$\begin{split} \Psi(a_1, a_2, a_3) &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \theta^{-na_1} \left(\sum_{\substack{m_2, m_3=0\\m_2 \neq m_3\\m_\alpha \neq n}}^{r-1} \theta^{-m_2 a_2 - m_3 a_3} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \left(\theta^{-na_1} 2 \Psi_2(a_2, a_3) - \theta^{-n(a_1 + a_2)} \sum_{\substack{m_3=0\\m_3 \neq n}}^{r-1} \theta^{-m_3 a_3} - \theta^{-n(a_1 + a_3)} \sum_{\substack{m_2 = 0\\m_2 \neq n}}^{r-1} \theta^{-m_2 a_2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \left(r \delta_{r|a_1} 2 \Psi_2(a_2, a_3) - r^2 \delta_{r|a_1 + a_2} \delta_{r|a_3} - r^2 \delta_{r|a_1 + a_3} \delta_{r|a_2} + 2r \delta_{r|a_1 + a_2 + a_3} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \left(r^3 \delta_{r|a_1} \delta_{r|a_2} \delta_{r|a_3} - r^2 \delta_{r|a_1} \delta_{r|a_2 + a_3} - r^2 \delta_{r|a_2} \delta_{r|a_1 + a_3} - r^2 \delta_{r|a_3} \delta_{r|a_1 + a_2} + 2r \delta_{r|a_1 + a_2 + a_3} \right) \end{split}$$
(A.11)

after using the result just found for i = 2. Using Lemma A.1 we further compute

$$\Psi^{(1)}(a_3) = \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{a(r-a)}{2r} \Psi(a, r-a, a_3).$$

The three first terms in (A.11) do not give any contribution as they force the prefactor a(r-a) to vanish. We obtain for the two last terms

$$\Psi^{(1)}(a_3) = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{a(r-a)}{2r} \left(2r - r^2\right) \delta_{r|a_3} = -\frac{r(r-2)(r^2 - 1)}{72} \delta_{r|a_3}.$$

We can give a general formula for $\Psi(a_1, \ldots, a_i)$, which involve the following notations. We denote $\mathbf{L} \vdash (a_1, \ldots, a_i)$ when \mathbf{L} is an unordered set of $||\mathbf{L}||$ non-empty, pairwise disjoint subsequences of (a_1, \ldots, a_n) whose concatenation is equal to (a_1, \ldots, a_n) . The length of a subsequence $L \in \mathbf{L}$ is denoted |L|. These notations agree with the ones used in Section 2.2.2.

Lemma A.6. For general $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $a_1, ..., a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have the formula

$$i! \Psi(a_1, \dots, a_i) = \sum_{\mathbf{L} \vdash (a_1, \dots, a_i)} r^{\|\mathbf{L}\|} (-1)^{i - \|\mathbf{L}\|} \prod_{L \in \mathbf{L}} (|L| - 1)! \, \delta_{r|\sum_{l \in L} a_l}$$

In particular, $\Psi(a_1,\ldots,a_i) \in r\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. We continue with the strategy of the proof of Lemma A.5 and find by successive inclusion-exclusion

$$\Psi(a_1,\ldots,a_i) = \sum_{n=0}^{r-1} \sum_{L \subseteq \{2,\ldots,i\}} \theta^{-n(a_1 + \sum_{l \in L} a_l)} (-1)^{|L|} \frac{(i - |L| - 1)! |L|!}{i!} \Psi((a_{l'})_{\alpha \notin (L \cup \{1\})})$$
$$= r \sum_{L \subseteq \{2,\ldots,i\}} (-1)^{|L|} \frac{(i - |L| - 1)! |L|!}{i!} \Psi((a_{l'})_{\alpha \notin (L \cup \{1\})}) \delta_{r|a_1 + \sum_{l \in L} a_l},$$

where for $L = \{2, ..., n\}$ there appears $\Psi(\emptyset)$ which is by convention equal to 1. This is a recursive formula for $i! \Psi(a_1, ..., a_i)$ on $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$, which is solved by the claimed formula.

Corollary A.7. Let $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ coprime with r. Then, for any $b \in \{0, ..., i-1\}$

$$\Psi(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{b \text{ times}},\underbrace{a,\ldots,a}_{i-b \text{ times}}) = \begin{cases} \delta_{i,r}(-1)^{r-1} & \text{if } b = 0\\ 0 & \text{if } b \in \{1,\ldots,i-1\}\\ 1 & \text{if } b = i \end{cases}$$

Proof. The case b = i is obvious from the definition A.1. For b = 0, since a is coprime with r, we gave $\delta_{r|\sum_{l \in L} a_l} = \delta_{r||L|a} = \delta_{r||L|}$. Therefore, the only non-zero contribution in the formula of Lemma A.6 occurs when i = r and for the unique term which corresponds to **L** being the partition consisting of a single set, *i.e.* $\|\mathbf{L}\| = 1$. With $b \in \{1, \ldots, i-1\}$ we first get rid of the zeroes thanks to Lemma A.2, and use the previous result to find that the expression evaluates to 0.

Corollary A.8. For any $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ and $j \in \{0, ..., \lfloor i/2 \rfloor\}$, $\Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1}, ..., a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}$ vanishes unless there exists $\mathbf{L} \vdash (a_{2j+1}, ..., a_i)$ such that the partial sums $\sum_{l \in L} a_l$ are divisible by r for any $L \in \mathbf{L}$.

Proof. For j > 0, we insert the formula of Lemma A.6 in Lemma A.1

$$i! \Psi^{(j)}(a_{2j+1}, \dots, a_i) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{2j}=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\mathbf{L} \vdash (a_1, \dots, a_i)} c_{\mathbf{L}} \,\delta_{r|\sum_{l \in L} a_l} \prod_{l'=1}^j \frac{a_{2l'-1} a_{2l'} \delta_{a_{2l'-1}+a_{2l'}, r}}{2r} \,,$$

where

$$c_{\mathbf{L}} = r^{\|\mathbf{L}\|} (-1)^{i - \|\mathbf{L}\|} \prod_{L \in \mathbf{L}} (|L| - 1)!.$$

We first focus on the sum over the first ordered pair (a_1, a_2) such that $a_1 + a_2 = r$, and meet two types of terms. If a_1 and a_2 are in the same subsequence L, we will have a contribution of the form

$$\sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{a(r-a)}{2r} \,\delta_{r|b} = \frac{(r^2-1)}{12} \,\delta_{r|b}$$

while if they are in two different subsequences, we rather have a contribution of the form

$$\sum_{a=0}^{r-1} \frac{a(r-a)}{2r} \,\delta_{r|b_1+a} \delta_{r|b_2-a} = \frac{\langle b_1 \rangle \langle b_2 \rangle}{2r} \,\delta_{r|b_1+b_2} \,,$$

where $\langle b_1 \rangle$ is the unique integer in $\{0, \ldots, r-1\}$ such that $b_1 - \langle b_1 \rangle \in r\mathbb{Z}$. Considering successively the sums over the other pairs $(a_{2l'-1}, a_{2l'})$, we observe a similar phenomenon and obtain the claim. \Box

For instance, we obtain for i = 4 and $r \ge 4$ the following formulas

$$24\Psi(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = r \Big(r^3 \delta_{r|a_1} \delta_{r|a_2} \delta_{r|a_3} \delta_{r|a_4} - r^2 (\delta_{r|a_1+a_2} \delta_{r|a_3} \delta_{r|a_4} + \cdots) + r (\delta_{r|a_1+a_2} \delta_{r|a_3+a_4} + \cdots) \\ + 2r (\delta_{r|a_1+a_2+a_3} \delta_{r|a_4} + \cdots) - 6 \delta_{r|a_1+a_2+a_3+a_4} \Big),$$

where the \cdots indicate other terms necessary to enforce symmetry under permutation of a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 . Furthermore, exploiting the method sketched in the proof of Corollary A.8, we find

$$24 \Psi^{(1)}(a_3, a_4) = -\frac{(r+1)r^2(r-1)(r-4)}{12} \delta_{r|a_3} \delta_{r|a_4} + \left(\frac{(r+1)r(r-1)(r-6)}{12} + r\langle a_3 \rangle \langle a_4 \rangle \right) \delta_{r|a_3+a_4}, \quad (A.12)$$
$$24 \Psi^{(2)}(\emptyset) = \frac{(r+1)r(r-1)(r-2)(r-3)(5r+7)}{720}.$$

It would be interesting to find a closed formula generalizing Lemma A.6 to all $j \in \{0, \ldots, |i/2|\}$.

Appendix B. Characterization of admissible (r, s)

B.1. The values of s corresponding to the intermediate subalgebras

In Section 3.3.4, we showed that for any partition $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_p \geq 1$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j = r$, the left ideal generated by the modes W_k^i of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebra indexed by $(i,k) \in S_\lambda$ is a graded Lie subalgebra. The set S_λ consists of the pairs (i,k) with $i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}$ and

$$k \ge i - \lambda(i), \qquad \lambda(i) \coloneqq \min\left\{m > 0 \mid \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \ge i\right\}.$$
 (B.1)

Besides, for any $s \in \{1, \ldots, r+1\}$ coprime with r, we showed in the proof of Theorem 4.9 that the family W_k^i indexed by $(i,k) \in \tilde{S}_s$ after dilaton shift $x_s \to x_s - \frac{1}{s}$ satisfies the degree 1 condition of Definition 2.6. The set \tilde{S}_s consists of the pairs (i,k) with $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ and

$$r(k-i+1) + (i-1)s \ge 0.$$
(B.2)

This section is devoted to a characterization of the values of s for which (B.2) can be equivalently described as (B.1). For us this implies that the W_k^i indexed by such $(i,k) \in \tilde{S}_s$ form a higher quantum Airy structure (Theorem 4.9).

Proposition B.1. Let $s \in \{1, ..., r+1\}$ coprime with $r \ge 2$. There exists a partition λ such that $\tilde{S}_s = S_{\lambda}$ if and only if $r = \pm 1 \mod s$. In this case, we can decompose r = r's + r'' with $r'' \in \{1, s-1\}$ and the partition is given by

$$\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_{r''} = r' + 1, \qquad \lambda_{r''+1} = \dots = \lambda_s = r'$$

if $s \neq r + 1$, and by $\lambda = (1, ..., 1)$ *if* s = r + 1.

Proof. Equation B.2 is equivalent to $k \ge i - 1 - \lfloor \frac{(i-1)s}{r} \rfloor$ so we are asking for the characterization of s appearing as

$$\lambda(i) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{(i-1)s}{r} \right\rfloor,$$

where λ is a partition. In the case s = r + 1, we have

$$\forall i \in \{1,\ldots,r\}, \qquad 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{(i-1)s}{r} \right\rfloor = i,$$

and it is clear that it arises with $\lambda = (1, ..., 1)$. In the case s = 1, we have

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \qquad 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{(i-1)s}{r} \right\rfloor = 1,$$

and it is clear that it arises with $\lambda = (r)$. In the remaining of the proof we treat the cases $s \in \{2, ..., r-1\}$. Let us decompose

$$r = r's + r'', \qquad r'' \in \{1, \dots, s - 1\}, \qquad r' > 0\,.$$

We can assume that $r'' \neq 0$ since gcd(r, s) = 1 and r' > 0 since $s \in \{2, \ldots, r-1\}$.

Assume that we are given a weakly increasing function $\mu : \{1, \ldots, r\} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(1) = 1$ and $\mu(i+1) - \mu(i) \in \{0,1\}$. Let us write down the complete list of integers for which μ jumps, namely

$$1 \le \kappa_1 < \ldots < \kappa_{p-1} < r, \qquad \mu(\kappa_j + 1) = \mu(\kappa_j) + 1,$$
 (B.3)

and adopt the convention $\kappa_0 = 0$ and $\kappa_p = r$. If we set

$$\lambda_j = \kappa_j - \kappa_{j-1}, \qquad j \in \{1, \dots, p\},\$$

we get a *p*-tuple of positive integers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j = r$ and by construction

$$\mu(i) = \min\left\{m \mid \sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \ge i\right\}.$$
(B.4)

We however stress that $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^p$ may not be weakly decreasing.

We apply this construction to

$$\mu(i) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{(i-1)s}{r} \right\rfloor,\tag{B.5}$$

which clearly satisfies $\mu(1) = 1$ and $\mu(i+1) - \mu(i) \in \{0,1\}$. We compute from the definition $\mu(r) = s$ and comparing to (B.4) we conclude that p = s. To make the proof more transparent, we keep the letter p to indicate the length of the sequence $(\lambda_j)_j$. We are going to compute the sequence κ . Since $\mu(r'+1) = 1$ and $\mu(r'+2) = 2$ we deduce that $\kappa_1 = \lambda_1 = r'+1$. For any $j \in \{1, \ldots, p-1\}$ we can decompose

$$\kappa_j s = \beta_j r + \gamma_j, \qquad \gamma_j \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}, \qquad \beta_j \in \mathbb{N}$$

For instance we have $\gamma_1 = s - r''$. Notice that $(\kappa_j + r')s = (\beta_j + 1)r + \gamma_j - r''$. If $\gamma_j < r''$ we deduce $(\kappa_j + r')s < (\beta_j + 1)r \le (\kappa_j + r' + 1)s$,

and thus $\kappa_{j+1} = \kappa_j + r' + 1$ which implies $\lambda_{j+1} = r' + 1$ and $\gamma_{j+1} = \gamma_j + s - r''$. If $\gamma_j \ge r''$ we rather have

$$(\kappa_j + r' - 1)s < (\beta_j + 1)r \le (\kappa_j + r')s,$$

and thus $\kappa_{j+1} = \kappa_j + r'$ which implies $\lambda_{j+1} = r'$ and $\gamma_{j+1} = \gamma_j - r''$. To summarize, we always have $\lambda_j \in \{r', r'+1\}$. We start with $\lambda_1 = r'+1$ and $\gamma_1 = s - r''$. Let $\ell > 0$ be the minimum integer such that $\lambda_{\ell+1} = r'$. It means that $\gamma_\ell \ge r''$. According to the previous rules, we have

$$\gamma_j = j(s-r''), \qquad j \in \{1,\ldots,\ell\},$$

and

$$\ell = \left\lceil \frac{r''}{s - r''} \right\rceil. \tag{B.6}$$

Assume that $(\lambda_j)_j$ is weakly decreasing. It is equivalent to the existence of $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, p\}$ such that

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} r'+1 & \text{if } j \le \ell, \\ r' & \text{if } j > \ell. \end{cases}$$
(B.7)

We can compute

$$r = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_j = (r'+1)\ell + (p-\ell)r' = pr' + \ell.$$

Remembering that p = s it shows that $\ell = r''$. So (B.6) yields

$$r'' = \left\lceil \frac{r''}{s - r''} \right\rceil. \tag{B.8}$$

The latter is equivalent to

$$1 + (r'' - 1)(s - r'') \le r'' \le r''(s - r'').$$

The upper bound always holds, while the lower bound can be rewritten as

$$(r''-1)(s-r''-1) \le 0.$$

So (B.8) is equivalent to r'' = 1 or r'' = s - 1. This shows that $r'' \in \{1, s - 1\}$ is a necessary condition for λ to be of the form (B.7).

Conversely, if we assume that $r'' \in \{1, s-1\}$, the equivalence we just stressed shows that (B.8) holds, so that ℓ defined in (B.6) is equal to r''. Then, for any $j \in \{\ell, \ldots, s-1\}$ we have

$$\gamma_{\ell} - (j - \ell)r'' = r''(s - j) \ge r'',$$

hence $\gamma_j = \gamma_\ell - jr'' \ge r''$ and we must have $\lambda_{j+1} = r'$. This shows that $(\lambda_j)_j$ is of the form (B.7), in particular it is weakly decreasing.

B.2. Computation of $F_{0,3}$ and characterization of symmetry

We consider the modes W_k^i of the $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{gl}_r)$ algebra using the twist by the Coxeter element whose expression is given in (4.6). For $s \in \{1, \ldots, r+1\}$ coprime with r, we are going to evaluate

$$H_{k_1}^{i_1} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q_2, q_3} C^{(0)}[q_1| - q_2, -q_3] J_{-q_2} J_{-q_3} - \hbar C^{(1)}[q_1|\varnothing] + \cdots$$

where \cdots includes monomials which are different from the ones emphasized here, and we remind that the correspondence between positive integers q and indices $(i, k) \in S_s$ for the mode W_k^i equal to $J_q + O(2)$ is

$$q = \Pi_s(i,k) = r(k-i+1) + s(i_j - 1), \qquad (B.9)$$

and the set S_s consists precisely of those (i, k) that yields a positive $q = \prod_s (i, k)$. The notation we use is $q_j = \prod_s (i_j, k_j)$.

If $(H_{k_1}^{i_1})_{(i_1,k_1)\in S_s}$ forms a higher quantum Airy structure, then

$$F_{0,3}[q_1, q_2, q_3] = q_2 q_3 C^{(0)}[q_1| - q_2, -q_3]$$
(B.10)

must be invariant under permutation of (q_1, q_2, q_3) . On the one hand, the representation theoretic arguments in Section 3.3.4 allowed us in Theorem 4.9 the conclusion that if $r = \pm 1 \mod s$, $(H_k^i)_{(i,k)\in S_s}$ is indeed a higher quantum Airy structure, so (B.10) is a priori fully symmetric. Here we compute explicitly $F_{0,3}$ and indeed check that it is fully symmetric. On the other hand, when $r \neq \pm 1 \mod s$, our explicit computation shows that the right-hand side of (B.10) is not fully symmetric. Therefore, the left ideal generated by the $(H_k^i)_{(i,k)\in S_s}$ is not a graded Lie subalgebra and the results of Section 3.3 are in this sense optimal.

Proposition B.2. Let $s \in \{1, \ldots, r+1\}$ be coprime with r.

- If r = r's + s 1 for $r' \ge 0$, we have $F_{0,3}[q_1, q_2, q_3] = (r'+1)q_1q_2q_3 \delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s}$.
- If r = r's + 1 for $r' \ge 0$, we have $F_{0,3}[q_1, q_2, q_3] = -r'q_1q_2q_3\delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s}$.
- In all other cases, there exists $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 0$ such that

$$q_2 q_3 C^{(0)}[q_1| - q_2, -q_3] \neq q_1 q_3 C^{(0)}[q_2| - q_1, -q_3].$$

Proof. Starting from the expression (4.6) for the differential operators W_k^i , due to the dilaton shift we must get (i-2) variables p_i s equal to -s and the two last p_s must be equal to q_1 and q_2 . So

$$H_{k_1}^{i_1} = \sum_{q_2,q_3>0} \frac{(-1)^{i_1-2}i_1(i_1-1)}{2r} \,\delta_{q_2+q_3+(i_1-2)s+r(k_1-i_1+1),0} \,\Psi(q_2,q_3,\underbrace{s,\ldots,s}_{i_1-2 \text{ times}}) \,J_{-q_2}J_{-q_3} + \cdots$$

Remember that $H_{k_1}^{i_1} = J_{q_1} + O(2)$. So the Kronecker delta imposes

$$q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = s \,. \tag{B.11}$$

Since $q_j > 0$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $1 \le s \le r + 1$ this imposes $q_j < r$. Let us evaluate Ψ under this condition using Lemma A.6. Since s and r are coprime, r cannot divide sm for $m \in \{1, \ldots, i_1 - 2\}$

therefore the only partitions L that contribute are those for which each $L \in \mathbf{L}$ contains q_1 or q_2

$$\Psi(q_2, q_3, \underbrace{s, \dots, s}_{i_1 - 2 \text{ times}}) = \frac{(-1)^{i_1 - 1} r}{i_1} \delta_{r|q_2 + q_3 + (i_1 - 2)s} + \sum_{\substack{m_2, m_3 \ge 0 \\ m_2 + m_3 = i_1 - 2}} \frac{(i_1 - 2)!}{m_2! m_3!} \frac{(-1)^{i_1 - 2} r^2 m_2! m_3!}{i_1!} \delta_{r|q_2 + m_2 s} \delta_{r|q_3 + m_3 s}.$$
(B.12)

The extra combinatorial factor $\frac{(i_1-2)!}{m_1!m_2!}$ is the number of ways of splitting the sequence (s, \ldots, s) of length $i_1 - 2$ into two subsequences of length m_1 and m_2 . If there exists two m_2 and m'_2 in $\{0, \ldots, i_1 - 2\}$ such that $r|q_2 + m_2 s$ and $r|q_2 + m'_2 s$, then $r|(m_2 - m'_2)$. Since $i_1 - 2 < r$ we must have $m_2 = m'_2$. Therefore, the sum over m_2 contains at most one term, and if it contains one term it is equal to 1. Under the condition (B.11), we have for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$-(k_1 - i_1 + 1)r = (q_2 + ms) + (q_3 + (i_1 - 2 - m)s).$$

Therefore, we can omit the factor $\delta_{r|q_3+m_3s}$ in (B.12). Finally, notice that we can write $q_2 + s(r - i_2 + 1) = r(k_2 - i_2 + 1 + s)$ with $r - i_2 + 1 \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. So the existence of $b_2 > 0$ and $m_2 \ge 0$ such that $b_2r = q_2 + sm_2$ with $m_2 \le i_1 - 2$ is equivalent to $i_2 - 1 = r - m_2 \ge r - (i_1 - 2)$ that is $i_1 + i_2 \ge r + 3$. As a consequence

$$C^{(0)}[q_1|-q_2,-q_3] = \left((i_1-1) - r \,\epsilon(q_1,q_2,q_3) \right) \delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s} \,, \tag{B.13}$$

where $\epsilon(q_1, q_2, q_3) = 1$ if $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = s$ and $i_1 + i_2 - 3 \ge r$, and $\epsilon(q_1, q_2, q_3) = 0$ otherwise.

• If s = 1 we always have $F_{0,3} = 0$.

• Assume s = r + 1. It is not possible for $q_2 \le s - 2 < r$ to be divisible by r so $\epsilon(q_1, q_2, q_3) = 0$. Likewise $q_1 < r$ so we must have $k_1 = 0$ and $i_1 - 1 = q_1$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{C}^{(0)}[q_1| - q_2, -q_3] = q_1 \,\delta_{q_1 + q_2 + q_3, s}$$

and $F_{0,3}[q_1, q_2, q_3] = q_1 q_2 q_3 \delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s}$, which is manifestly symmetric.

We now turn to the values $s \in \{2, \ldots, r-1\}$.

• Assume r = r's + s - 1 with r' > 0. We multiply by q_1 and get

$$q_1 = q_1((r'+1)s - r) = -rq_1 + (r'+1)q_1s.$$

Thus q_1 corresponds to $i_1 = (r'+1)q_1 + 1$ and $k_1 = r'q_1$. In particular $i_1 - 1 = (r'+1)q_1$. Assume there exists $b_2 > 0$ and $m_2 \in \{0, \ldots, i_1 - 2\}$ such that $rb_2 = q_2 + m_2 s$. Since $q_2 = -rq_2 + (r'+1)q_2 s$, there must exist $l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$b_2 = -q_2 + l_2 s, \qquad m_2 = -(r'+1)q_2 + l_2 r$$

Since $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = s$ with $q_3 > 0$, we have $q_1 + q_2 \le s - 1$, hence $i_1 - 2 \le (r' + 1)(s - 1 - q_2)$. Together with $0 \le m_2 \le i_1 - 2$ it leads to the inequality

$$0 < (r'+1)q_1 \le l_2r \le (r'+1)(s-1-q_2) + (r'+1)q_2 = (r'+1)(s-1) = r - r' < r,$$

which contradicts the existence of l_2 . Hence there are no such m_2 , meaning that $\epsilon(q_1, q_2, q_3) = 0$. Consequently

$$C^{(0)}[q_1|-q_2,-q_3] = (i_1-1)\,\delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s} = (r'+1)q_1\,\delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s}\,,$$

and $F_{0,3}[q_1, q_2, q_3] = (r'+1)q_1q_2q_3 \delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s}$, which is manifestly symmetric.

• Assume r = r's + 1 with $r' \ge 0$. We see that

$$s - q_1 = s + q_1(r's - r) = -rq_1 + (r'q_1 + 1)s$$

so q_1 corresponds to $i_1 - 1 = r'(s - q_1) + 1 = r - r'q_1$ and $k_1 = (s - q_1)(r' - 1) + 1$. We deduce that $i_1 - 2 = r'(q_2 + q_3)$. Since $q_2 + r'q_2s = rq_2$ is divisible by r, the choice $m = r'q_2$ satisfies $0 \le m \le i_1 - 2$ and therefore $\epsilon(q_1, q_2, q_3) = 1$. We deduce that

$$C^{(0)}[q_1| - q_2, -q_3] = (i_1 - 1 - r)\delta_{q_1 + q_2 + q_3, s} = -r'q_1 \,\delta_{q_1 + q_2 + q_3, s}$$

This implies that $F_{0,3}[q_1, q_2, q_3] = -r'q_1q_2q_3 \delta_{q_1+q_2+q_3,s}$, which is manifestly symmetric.

• Now assume that $r'' \in \{2, \ldots, s-2\}$. We are going to show that assuming the symmetry

$$q_2 C^{(0)}[q_1| - q_2, -q_3] = q_1 C^{(0)}[q_2| - q_1, -q_3]$$
(B.14)

for any $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 0$ such that $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = s$ leads to a contradiction. We first remark from the definition that $\epsilon(q_1, q_2, q_3) = \epsilon(q_2, q_1, q_3)$ in (B.13). We set $q_1 = 1$, which we decompose as usual $q_1 = (k_1 - i_1 + 1)r + (i_1 - 1)s$ for some $i_1 \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$. Choosing $q_2 = s - r''$ corresponds to $i_2 = r' + 2$ and we can take $q_3 = s - (q_1 + q_2) = r'' - 1 > 0$. Denoting $\varepsilon = \epsilon(1, s - r'', r'' - 1)$, the condition (B.14) implies

$$(s-r'')(1-i_1+\varepsilon r) = -1-r'+\varepsilon r.$$

Choosing $q_2 = r''$ corresponds to $i_2 = r - r' + 1$ and we can take $q_3 = s - (q_1 + q_2) = s - r'' - 1 > 0$. Denoting $\varepsilon' = \epsilon(1, r'', s - r'' - 1)$ the condition (B.14) implies

$$c''(1-i_1+\varepsilon' r) = -r + r' + \varepsilon' r$$

Summing the two equations gives

$$s(1-i_1+\varepsilon r)+1=r((\varepsilon+\varepsilon'-1)+r''(\varepsilon-\varepsilon')).$$
(B.15)

From the definition we have that

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_1 \ge r - r' + 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \qquad \varepsilon' = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i_1 \ge r' + 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$
(B.16)

Since r - r' + 1 - (r' + 2) = r'(s - 2) + r'' - 1 > 0, we see that the only possible values of $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon')$ are (1,1), (0,0) and (0,1). In the two first cases, reducing (B.15) modulo s gives

$$r'' = \pm 1 \bmod s \,,$$

which is impossible since we assumed $2 \le r'' \le s - 2$ from the beginning. So we must be in the case $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon') = (0, 1)$, which means that

$$r' + 1 \le i_1 - 1 \le r - r' - 1. \tag{B.17}$$

The equation (B.15) then implies

$$1 = (i_1 - 1)s - rr''. (B.18)$$

Now we express the symmetry

$$q_3 C^{(0)}[q_1| - q_3, -q_2] = q_1 C^{(0)}[q_3| - q_1, -q_2], \qquad (B.19)$$

with the choice $(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (1, s - r'', r'' - 1)$. We can write

$$r'' - 1 = r - r's - ((i_1 - 1)s - rr'') = (r - r' - (i_1 - 1))s + r(r'' + 1 - s).$$

Due to the upper bound in (B.17) we have $r - r' - (i_1 - 1) > 0$ therefore $i_3 - 1 = r - r' - (i_1 - 1)$. Notice that $i_1 + i_3 = 2 + r - r' < r + 3$ thus $\epsilon(q_1, q_3, q_2) = \epsilon(q_3, q_1, q_2) = 0$. Using (B.13), the equality (B.19) becomes

$$r' + (i_1 - 1) - r = (r'' - 1)(1 - i_1)$$

that is r''b = r - r'. If we use this result when multiplying (B.18) by r'', we find that $r'' = rs - (r - r'') - r(r'')^2$. This implies

$$(r'')^2 = s - 1,$$
 (B.20)

which combined with (B.18) forces

$$i_1 - 1 = 1 + r'r''. \tag{B.21}$$

We now would like to specialize $(q_1, q_2, q_3) = (1, s - r'' - 1, r'')$ in the symmetry relation (B.14). Recall that there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that s - r'' = (r' + 1)s + pr. Using again (B.18) shows that $s - r'' - 1 = (r + r' + 2 - i_1)s + p'r$ for some $p' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Besides

$$r + r' + 2 - i_1 = r - r'(r'' - 1)$$

If we had r' = 0, we would have $r'' = r \le s - 2$ which is ruled out by our assumption. So r' > 0and we then know that $r + r' + 2 - i_1 \in \{0, \ldots, r - 1\}$ is equal to $(i_2 - 1)$. Besides, since have r'' = (r - r')s + r(1 - s), we know that $r - r' \in \{0, \ldots, r - 1\}$ is equal to $(i_3 - 1)$. As $i_1 + i_2 - 3 = r + r' > r$, we have $\epsilon(q_1, q_2, q_3) = 1$. Hence, the symmetry relation (B.14) implies

$$r + r' + 2 - i_1 - r = (s - r'' - 1)(i_1 - 1 - r)$$

Inserting (B.20) and (B.21), we get r'(1-r'') = r''(r''-1)(1+r'r''-r), hence

$$r' = r''(r - 1 - r'r'') = r''(r - 1) - r'(s - 1)$$

Computing modulo s and remembering $(r'')^2 = s - 1$ this gives $r'' = -1 \mod s$ which contradicts our assumption $r'' \in \{2, \ldots, s - 2\}$. In other words, we have proved that if $r'' \in \{2, \ldots, s - 2\}$, we cannot have the symmetry (B.13) for all $q_1, q_2, q_3 > 0$.

B.3. Computation of $F_{1,1}$

Let us compute $F_{1,1}$ for the quantum Airy structure of Theorem 4.9 — that is, assuming $r = \pm 1 \mod s$.

Lemma B.3. We have $F_{1,1}[q] = \frac{r^2 - 1}{24} \delta_{q,s}$.

Proof. We need to isolate the term

$$H_k^i = -\hbar F_{1,1}[\Pi_s(i,k)] + \cdots$$

where $F_{1,1}[q]$ is a scalar, $\Pi_s(i,k)$ is given in (B.9) and \cdots represent the other monomials which we are not interested in. We recall that H_k^i is obtained from the generators W_k^i given in (4.6) after the shift $J_{-s} \to J_{-s} - 1$. The only contribution to this term comes from j = 1 and $p_{\ell} = -s$ for all $l \in \{3, \ldots, i\}$ such that -s(i-2) = r(k-i+1). Since r and s are coprime, such a contribution can only appear for i = 2 and k = 1, and in that case $\Pi_s(2, 1) = s$. So we have

$$F_{1,1}[q] = -\frac{1}{r} \Psi^{(1)}(\emptyset) \,\delta_{q,s}$$

which is equal to $\frac{r^2-1}{24}\delta_{q,s}$ using Lemma A.5 to evaluate $\Psi^{(1)}$.

Appendix C. Proof of uniqueness of the solution to the higher abstract LOOP equations

In this appendix we show that if a solution to the higher abstract loop equations that respects the polarization exists, then it is uniquely constructed by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion of [17, 19]. The argument follows along similar lines to what was presented in [16, 18]. For clarity we will only present the proof here for local spectral curves with one component, but it is straightforward to generalize it to local spectral curves with ℓ components.

Consider a spectral curve with one component, as defined in Definition 5.1. Let

$$\omega_{0,1}(z) = \sum_{l>0} \tau_l \,\mathrm{d}\xi_l(z) = y(z) \mathrm{d}\xi_r(z) \,,$$

where $y(z) = \sum_{l>0}^{\infty} \tau_l z^{l-r}$. Let us assume that the spectral curve is admissible (Definition 5.2), that is, $1 \le s \le r+1$ and $r = \pm 1 \mod s$ with

$$s \coloneqq \min\left\{l > 0 \mid \tau_l \neq 0 \text{ and } r \neq l\right\}$$

Let $\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2)$ be the formal bidifferential that encodes the choice of polarization

$$\omega_{0,2}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\mathrm{d}z_1 \otimes \mathrm{d}z_2}{(z_1 - z_2)^2} + \sum_{l,m>0} \phi_{l,m} \,\mathrm{d}\xi_l(z_1) \otimes \mathrm{d}\xi_m(z_2) \,.$$

Then the following result holds.

Proposition C.1. Fix an admissible⁹ local spectral curve with one component. Assume there exists a sequence of formal symmetric differentials $\omega_{g,n} \in \bigotimes_{j=1}^n V_{z_j}^-$ satisfying the higher abstract loop equations

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) \in t^{-r\mathfrak{d}^{i}} \mathbb{C}[[t^{r}]] \otimes V_{z_{2}}^{-} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{z_{n}}^{-},$$

for all $g \ge 0$, $n \ge 1$, 2g - 2 + n > 0, and $i \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$, where $\mathbf{z} = (z_2, \ldots, z_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_i)$. Here, $\mathfrak{d}^i = i - 1 - \lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \rfloor$ and $\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(A|B)$ was introduced in Definition 5.16, and the projection map $P_{\mathbf{w}|t}$ in Definition 5.5.

Then, this sequence of formal symmetric differentials is constructed by the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion formula

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_1, \mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\int_0^t \omega_{0,2}(\cdot, z_1) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-1)^{i+1} \frac{1}{i!} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_i = 1 \\ a_m \neq a_i}}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{m=1}^i \frac{1}{(y(t) - y(\theta^{a_m} t))} \right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i+1)}(t, \theta^{a_1} t, \dots, \theta^{a_i} t | \mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_r(t))^k} .$$
(C.1)

Proof. Suppose that there exists a sequence of $\omega_{g,n} \in \bigotimes_{j=1}^n V_{z_j}^-$ such that

$$P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right) \in \frac{1}{t^{r\mathfrak{d}^{i}}}\mathbb{C}[[t^{r}]] \otimes V_{z_{2}}^{-} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{z_{n}}^{-}.$$

Let us now argue that the expression

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_r(t)}{\prod_{m=1}^{r-1}(y(t) - y(\theta^m t))} \sum_{i=1}^r (-1)^i (y(t))^{r-i} P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) \right)$$
(C.2)

lives in $\mathbb{C}[[t]]dt \otimes V_{z_2}^- \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{z_n}^-$. On the one hand, if $y(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$, then this is clear as long as $y'(0) \neq 0$, that is $\tau_{r+1} \neq 0$. This is the regular case. In the irregular case, let us suppose that $\tau_s \neq 0$ with $s \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$. Then $y(t) \sim \tau_s t^{s-r}$ when $t \to 0$. Assume that s is coprime with r. We rewrite $\mathfrak{d}^i = i - 1 - \lfloor \frac{s(i-1)}{r} \rfloor = i - \lceil \frac{1+s(i-1)}{r} \rceil$. We have when $t \to 0$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t)}{\prod_{m=1}^{r-1}(y(t) - y(\theta^{m}t))} \sim \tau_{s}^{1-r} t^{(r-1)(r-s+1)} \mathrm{d}t,$$
$$(y(t))^{r-i} P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) \right) \sim \frac{\tau_{s}^{1-r} t^{(r-i)(s-r)}}{t^{r\left(i - \left\lceil \frac{1+s(i-1)}{r} \right\rceil\right)}} = \frac{\tau_{s}^{1-r}}{t^{\delta}},$$

with

$$\delta = ri - r \left[\frac{1 + s(i - 1)}{r} \right] - (r - i)(s - r) \le (r - 1)(r - s + 1),$$

and the result follows.

⁹To be precise, in the proof here we only need the requirement that s is coprime with r, we do not need the stronger admissibility requirement that $r = \pm 1 \mod s$.

We can rewrite this statement as a residue condition

$$\operatorname{Res}_{t=0}\left(\int_{0}^{t}\omega_{0,2}(\cdot,z_{1})\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t)}{\prod_{m=1}^{r-1}(y(t)-y(\theta^{m}t))}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{i}y(t)^{r-i}P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)\right)=0.$$

Now let us manipulate this expression a little bit, by writing down the projection map explicitly. By definition,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{i} (y(t))^{r-i} P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(-1)^{i}}{i!} y(t)^{r-i} \sum_{\substack{a_{1},\dots,a_{i}=0\\a_{m}\neq a_{l}}}^{r-1} \frac{\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\theta^{a_{1}}t,\dots,\theta^{a_{i}}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t))^{i}}.$$

We can extract the $\omega_{0,1}$ contributions out of the $\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})$ using Lemma 5.17. We get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{i} (y(t))^{r-i} P_{\mathbf{w}|t} \left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{i} \sum_{a_{1},\dots,a_{i}=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{j!(i-j)!} y(t)^{r-i} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{i-j} y(\theta^{a_{j+l}}t) \right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(j)}(\theta^{a_{1}}t,\dots,\theta^{a_{j}}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t))^{j}} \\ &= \sum_{a_{1},\dots,a_{r}=0}^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r} (-1)^{i} \sum_{j=1}^{i} \frac{1}{j!(i-j)!(r-i)!} y(t)^{r-i} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{i-j} y(\theta^{a_{j+l}}t) \right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(j)}(\theta^{a_{1}}t,\dots,\theta^{a_{j}}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t))^{j}} \\ &= \sum_{a_{1},\dots,a_{r}=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \sum_{m=0}^{r} \frac{(-1)^{\ell+m}}{\ell!(r-\ell-m)!m!} y(t)^{r-\ell-m} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{m} y(\theta^{a_{\ell+l}}t) \right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(\ell)}(\theta^{a_{1}}t,\dots,\theta^{a_{\ell}}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t))^{\ell}} \\ &= \sum_{a_{1},\dots,a_{r}=0}^{r-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell!(r-\ell)!} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{r-\ell} (y(t) - y(\theta^{a_{\ell+l}}t)) \right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(\ell)}(\theta^{a_{1}}t,\dots,\theta^{a_{\ell}}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t))^{\ell}} \\ &= \sum_{a_{1},\dots,a_{r}=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{r} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{(\ell-1)!(r-\ell)!} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{r-\ell} (y(t) - y(\theta^{a_{\ell-1+l}}t)) \right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(\ell)}(t,\theta^{a_{1}}t,\dots,\theta^{a_{\ell-1}}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t))^{\ell}} . \end{split}$$

Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t)}{\prod_{m=1}^{r-1}(y(t)-y(\theta^{m}t))}\sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{i}(y(t))^{r-i}P_{\mathbf{w}|t}\left(\mathcal{E}_{g,n}^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{z})\right)$$
$$=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\frac{(-1)^{i}}{(i-1)!}\sum_{a_{1},\dots,a_{i-1}=1}^{r-1}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{i-1}\frac{1}{(y(t)-y(\theta^{a_{l}}t))}\right)\frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(t,\theta^{a_{1}}t,\dots,\theta^{a_{i-1}}t|\mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_{r}(t))^{i-1}}.$$

Thus the higher abstract loop equations imply that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\int_0^t \omega_{0,2}(\cdot, z_1) \right) \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{(-1)^i}{(i-1)!} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_{i-1}=1\\a_l \neq a_m}}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{1}{(y(t) - y(\theta^{a_l}t))} \right) \times \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i)}(t, \theta^{a_1}t, \dots, \theta^{a_{i-1}}t | \mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_r(t))^{i-1}} = 0.$$

Now we can take out the term with i = 1, which is equal to

$$-\operatorname{Res}_{t=0}\left(\int_0^t \omega_{0,2}(\cdot,z_1)\right)\omega_{g,n}(t,\mathbf{z})\,.$$

Assuming that $\omega_{g,n} \in \bigotimes_{l=1}^{n} V_{z_l}^{-}$, the residue simply replaces the terms with $\xi_{-l}(z)$ with the same terms but with $\xi_{-l}(z_1)$. Thus

$$-\operatorname{Res}_{t=0}\left(\int_0^t \omega_{0,2}(\cdot,z_1)\right)\omega_{g,n}(t,\mathbf{z}) = -\omega_{g,n}(z_1,\mathbf{z}),$$

and we get the topological recursion

$$\omega_{g,n}(z_1, \mathbf{z}) = \operatorname{Res}_{t=0} \left(\int_0^t \omega_{0,2}(\cdot, z_1) \right) \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{i!}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_i = 1 \\ a_l \neq a_m}}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{l=1}^i \frac{1}{(y(t) - y(\theta^{a_l}t))} \right) \frac{\mathcal{R}_{g,n}^{(i+1)}(t, \theta^{a_1}t, \dots, \theta^{a_i}t | \mathbf{z})}{(\mathrm{d}\xi_r(t))^i} ,$$

which uniquely determines the $\omega_{g,n}$.

Remark C.2. To prove existence of a (polarized) solution to the higher abstract loop equations, one could follow the proof above step-by-step in reverse. However, for this to work one would need to prove initially that the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion produces symmetric $\omega_{g,n}$. This is known from [17] for spectral curves that appear as a limit of a family of curves with simple ramification points, by an indirect argument. It is however not clear to us which spectral curves satisfy this condition. Since in Section 5 we identify the higher abstract loop equations with higher quantum Airy structures for admissible spectral curves, it implies via Theorem 2.11 that the solution to the higher loop equations exists, in the case when $1 \le s \le r+1$ and $r = \pm 1 \mod s$. Hence we have a new, more direct (and perhaps more general) proof that the Bouchard–Eynard topological recursion produces symmetric $\omega_{g,n}$ for all admissible spectral curves, independently of the arguments of [17], as stated in Theorem 5.32.

References

- M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke. A matrix integral solution of two-dimensional W_p-gravity. Commun. Math. Phys., 147:25–56, 1992.
- [2] A. Alexandrov. Open intersection numbers, matrix models and MKP hierarchy. JHEP, 2015:42, 2015. mathph/1410.1820.
- [3] A. Alexandrov. Open intersection numbers and free fields. Nucl. Phys. B, 922:247-263, 2017. mathph/1606.06712.
- [4] A. Alexandrov. Cut-and-join description of generalized Brézin-Gross-Witten model. Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 22(6), 2018. math-ph/1608.01627.
- [5] J.E. Andersen, G. Borot, L.O. Chekhov, and N. Orantin. The ABCD of topological recursion. 2017. mathph/1703.03307.
- [6] T. Arakawa. Introduction to W-algebras and their representation theory. In F. Callegaro, G. Carnovale, F. Caselli, C. de Concini, and A. de Sole, editors, *Perspectives in Lie theory*, pages 179–250. Springer, 2017.
- T. Arakawa, T. Creutzig, and A.R. Linshaw. W-algebras as coset vertex algebras. Invent. Math., 218(1):145– 195, 2018. math.QA/1801.03822.
- [8] T. Arakawa and A. Molev. Explicit generators in rectangular affine W-algebras of type A. Lett. Math. Phys., 107(1):47–59, 2017.
- [9] B. Bakalov and T. Milanov. W_{N+1} -constraints for singularities of type A_N . 2008. math-ph/0811.1965.
- [10] B. Bakalov and T. Milanov. W-constraints for the total descendant potential of a simple singularity. Compositio Math., 149(5):840–888, 2013. math.QA/1203.3414.
- M. Bertola and D. Yang. The partition function of the extended r-reduced Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 48:195–205, 2015. math-ph/1411.5717.
- [12] G. Borot, V. Bouchard, N. K. Chidambaram, and T. Creutzig. Whittaker vectors for W-algebras from topological recursion. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2104.04516, April 2021.
- [13] G. Borot, B. Eynard, and N. Orantin. Abstract loop equations, topological recursion, and applications. Commun. Number Theory and Physics, 9(1):51–187, 2015. math-ph/1303.5808.
- [14] G. Borot, R. Kramer, D. Lewanski, and S. Shadrin. Blobbed topological recursion: non-simple ramification. 2016. unpublished draft.

- [15] G. Borot, R. Kramer, and Y. Schüler. Higher Airy structures and topological recursion for singular spectral curves. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2010.03512, October 2020.
- [16] G. Borot and S. Shadrin. Blobbed topological recursion : properties and applications. Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc., 162(1):39–87, 2017. math-ph/1502.00981.
- [17] V. Bouchard and B. Eynard. Think globally, compute locally. JHEP, 02(143), 2013. math-ph/1211.2302.
- [18] V. Bouchard and B. Eynard. Reconstructing WKB from topological recursion. Journal de l'École Polytechnique – Mathématiques, 4:845–908, 2017. math-ph/1606.04498.
- [19] V. Bouchard, J. Hutchinson, P. Loliencar, M. Meiers, and M. Rupert. A generalized topological recursion for arbitrary ramification. Annales Henri Poincaré, 15(1):143–169, 2014.
- [20] V. Bouchard and K. Mastel. A New Class of Higher Quantum Airy Structures as Modules of W(gl_r)-Algebras. arXiv e-prints, page arXiv:2009.13047, September 2020.
- [21] É. Brézin and D. Gross. The external field problem in the large N limit of QCD. Phys. Lett. B, 97:120, 1980.
- [22] A. Buryak. Equivalence of the open KdV and the open Virasoro equations for the moduli space of Riemann surfaces with boundary. Lett. Math. Phys., 105(10):1427–1448, 2015. math.AG/1409.3888.
- [23] A. Buryak. Open intersection numbers and the wave function of the KdV hierarchy. Moscow. J. Math., 1:27–44, 2016. math-ph/1409.7957.
- [24] A. Buryak, E. Clader, and R.J. Tessler. Open r-spin theory and the Gelfand-Dickey wave function. 2018. math.SG/1809.02536.
- [25] A. Buryak and R.J. Tessler. Matrix models and a proof of the open analog of Witten's conjecture. Commun. Math. Phys., 353:1299–1328, 2017. math.SG/1501.07888.
- [26] L.O. Chekhov and B. Eynard. Matrix eigenvalue model: Feynman graph technique for all genera. JHEP, (0612:026), 2006. math-ph/0604014.
- [27] L.O. Chekhov and P. Norbury. Topological recursion with hard edges. Int. J. Math., 30(03):1950014, 2019. math.AG/1702.08631.
- [28] A. Chiodo. The Witten top Chern class via K-theory. J. Alg. Geom., 15:681-707, 2006. math.AG/0210398.
- [29] A. Chiodo. Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of twisted curves and rth roots. Compositio Mathematica, 144:1461 – 1496, 2008.
- [30] T. Creutzig and A.R. Linshaw. Cosets of affine vertex algebras inside larger structures. J. Algebra, 517(1):396– 438, 2019. math.RT/1407.8512.
- [31] L.A. Dickey. Modified KP and discrete KP. Lett. Math. Phys., 48(3):277-289, 1999. solv-int/9902008.
- [32] Norman Do and Paul Norbury. Topological recursion on the Bessel curve. Commun. Number Theory Phys., 12(1):53-73, 2018.
- [33] B. Doyon. Twisted modules for vertex operator algebras. In Proc. workshop "Moonshine the First Quarter Century and Beyond, a Workshop on the Moonshine Conjectures and Vertex Algebras" (Edinburgh, 2004). Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, CUP, 2008. eds. J. Lepowsky, J. McKay and M. Tuite, math.QA/0611120.
- [34] P. Dunin-Barkowski, P. Norbury, N. Orantin, A. Popolitov, and S. Shadrin. Dubrovins superpotential as a global spectral curve. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, 18:449 – 497, 2017.
- [35] P. Dunin-Barkowski, N. Orantin, S. Shadrin, and L. Spitz. Identification of the Givental formula with the spectral curve topological recursion procedure. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 328(2):669–700, 2014. math-ph/1211.4021.
- [36] B. Eynard. Invariants of spectral curves and intersection theory of moduli spaces of complex curves. Commun. Number Theory and Physics, 8(3), 2014. math-ph/1110.2949.
- [37] B. Eynard and N. Orantin. Invariants of algebraic curves and topological expansion. Commun. Number Theory Phys., 1(2):347–452, 2007.
- [38] B. Eynard and N. Orantin. Topological recursion in random matrices and enumerative geometry. J. Phys. A: Mathematical and Theoretical, 42(29), 2009. math-ph/0811.3531.
- [39] C. Faber, S. Shadrin, and D. Zvonkine. Tautological relations and the r-spin Witten conjecture. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 43(4):621–658, 2010. math.AG/0612510.
- [40] H. Fan, T. Jarvis, and Y. Ruan. The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory. Ann. Math., 178(1):1–106, 2013. math.AG/0712.4021.
- [41] H. Fan, T.J. Jarvis, and Y. Ruan. Geometry and analysis of spin equations. Commun. Pure Appl. Math., 61(6):745–788, 2008. math.DG/0409434.
- [42] V.A. Fateev and S.L. Lukyanov. Conformally invariant models of two-dimensional quantum field theory with Z_n-symmetry. Zh. Eksper. Teoret. Fiz., 94(3):23–37, 1988.
- [43] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel. Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. Phys. Lett. B, 246(1):75-81, 1990.
- [44] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel. Duality in W-algebras. Int. Math. Res. Not., 1991(6):75–82, 1991.
- [45] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel. Integrals of motion and quantum groups, pages 349–418. Number 1620 in Lect. Notes in Math. Springer Verlag, 1996.
- [46] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi. Vertex algebras and algebraic curves, volume 88 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

- [47] E. Frenkel, A. Givental, and T. Milanov. Soliton equations, vertex operators and simple singularities. Functional analysis and other mathematics, 3(1):47–63, 2010. math.QA/0909.4032.
- [48] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman. Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, volume 134. Academic Press, 1989.
- [49] N. Genra. Screening operators for W-algebras. Selecta Mathematica, 23(3):2157–2202, 2017. math.RT/1606.00966.
- [50] A. Givental. A_{n-1} singularities and nKdV hierarchies. Mosc. Math. J., 3:475–505, 2003. math.AG/0209205.
- [51] A. Givental and T. Milanov. Simple singularities and integrable hierarchies. In *The breadth of symplectic and Poisson geometry*, number 232 in Progr. Math., pages 173–201. Birkhäuser, 2005.
- [52] D. Gross and E. Witten. Possible third-order phase transition in the large-N lattice gauge theory. Phys. Rev. D, 21:446, 1980.
- [53] T.J. Jarvis. Geometry of the moduli of higher spin curves. Int. J. Math., 11(5):637–663, 2000. math.AG/9809138.
- [54] M. Kazarian and P. Zograf. Virasoro constraints and topological recursion for Grothendieck's dessin counting. Lett. Math. Phys., 105(8):1057–1084, 2015. math.CO/1406.5976.
- [55] M. Kontsevich. Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function. Commun. Math. Phys., 147:1–23, 1992.
- [56] M. Kontsevich and Y. Soibelman. Airy structures and symplectic geometry of topological recursion. In Topological recursion and its influence in analysis, geometry, and topology, volume 100 of Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, pages 433–490. AMS, 2018. math.AG/1701.09137.
- [57] Ivan Kostov and Nicolas Orantin. CFT and topological recursion. J. High Energy Phys., (11):056, 30, 2010.
- [58] F. Kutzschebauch and F. Loose. Real analytic structures on a symplectic manifold. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 128(10):3009–3016, 2000.
- [59] S.-Q. Liu, D. Yang, and Y. Zhang. Uniqueness theorem of W-constraints for simple singularities. Lett. Math. Phys., 103:1329–1345, 2013. math.QA/1305.2593.
- [60] R. Maher, Towards a Solution of Higher Airy Structures in Terms of Tau Functions and Matrix Models. MSc Thesis, University of Alberta, 2020.
- [61] T. Milanov. W-algebra constraints and topological recursion for A_N-singularity. Int. J. Math., 27(1650110), 2016. with an Appendix by Danilo Lewanski, math-ph/1603.00073.
- [62] A. Mironov, A. Morozov, and G. Semenoff. Unitary matrix integrals in the framework of generalized Kontsevich model. I. Brézin-Gross-Witten model. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, 10:2015–2050, 1995. hep-th/9404005.
- [63] P. Norbury. A new cohomology class on the moduli space of curves. 2017. math.AG/1712.03662.
- [64] R. Pandharipande, J.P. Solomon, and R.J. Tessler. Intersection theory on the moduli of disks, open KdV and Virasoro. 2014. math.AG/1409.2191.
- [65] A. Polishchuk. Witten top Chern class on the moduli space of higher spin curves. In K. Hertling and M. Marcolli, editors, *Frobenius manifolds*, volume 36 of *Aspects of Mathematics*, pages 253–264. Vieweg+Teubner Verlag, 2004. math.AG/0208112.
- [66] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob. Algebraic construction of Witten's top Chern class. In Advances in algebraic geometry motivated by physics (Lowell, MA, 2000), volume 276, pages 229–249. Amer. Math. Soc., 2001. math.AG/0011032.
- [67] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob. Chern characters and Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula for matrix factorizations. Duke Math J., 161(10):1863–1926, 2012. math.AG/1002.2116.
- [68] A. Polishchuk and A. Vaintrob. Matrix factorizations and cohomological field theories. J. reine ang. Math., 2016(714), 2016. math.AG/1105.2903.
- [69] B. Safnuk. Topological recursion for open intersection numbers. Commun. Numb. Th. Phys., 10(4):833–857, 2016. math-ph/1601.04049.
- [70] J.P. Solomon and R.J. Tessler. Intersection theory on the moduli space of graded Riemann surfaces with boundary. in preparation.
- [71] R.J. Tessler. The combinatorial formula for open gravitational descendents. 2015. math-ph/1507.04951.
- [72] E. Witten. Algebraic geometry associated with matrix models of two dimensional gravity. In Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991), pages 235–269. Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.
- [73] A.B. Zamolodchikov. Infinite extra symmetries in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory. *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.*, 65(3):347–359, 1985.

MAX PLANCK INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY.

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK & INSTITUT FÜR PHYSIK, RUDOWER CHAUSSEE 25, 12489 BERLIN, GERMANY

Email address: gaetan.borot@hu-berlin.de

Department of Mathematical & Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, 632 CAB, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1

 $Email \ address: \ \tt vincent.bouchard@ualberta.ca$

Department of Mathematical & Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, 632 CAB, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1

MAX PLANCK INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY.

Email address: kcnitin@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

Department of Mathematical & Statistical Sciences, University of Alberta, 632 CAB, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G1

Email address: creutzig@ualberta.ca

FACULTY OF PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, UL. PASTEURA 5, 02-093 WARSAW, POLAND

Email address: dsnoshchenko@gmail.com