Appendix

Head angle

Despite prior correlations with gait, no significant variance could be explained by SF-36 physical component ($\Delta R^2 = .071$, $\Delta F = 0.758$, p = .390) nor the SF-36 subscale item role limitations due to physical health ($\Delta R^2 = .029$, $\Delta F = 1.240$, p = .273). The same applied to the parameters previously shown to differ between groups, such as EQ5D, ODI and SF-36 outcomes, i.e., EQ Index ($\Delta R^2 = .057$, $\Delta F = 0.190$, p = .666), EQ VAS ($\Delta R^2 = .000$, $\Delta F = 0.010$, p = .921), ODI score ($\Delta R^2 = .000$, $\Delta F = 0.004$, p = .947), self-reported moderate exercise in the preceding week ($\Delta R^2 = .034$, $\Delta F = 1.434$, p = .239), and the SF-36 subscales bodily pain ($\Delta R^2 = .007$, $\Delta F = 0.303$, p = .586), physical function ($\Delta R^2 = .058$, $\Delta F = 0.245$, p = .623) and vitality ($\Delta R^2 = .017$, $\Delta F = 0.734$, p = .397). However, back pain rating explained a significant amount of variance ($\Delta R^2 = .129$, $\Delta F = 5.984$, p = .019), beyond group.

Trunk angle:

In line with the results for head angle, the outcome measures with significant differences between cases and controls did not explain a significant amount of variance. Thus, no significant change could be found for the following: $EQ\ VAS\ (\Delta R^2 = .011,\ \Delta F = 0.515,\ p = .477),\ ODI\ score\ (\Delta R^2 = .033,\ \Delta F = 1.539,\ p = .223),\ back\ pain\ rating\ (\Delta R^2 = .010,\ \Delta F = 0.444,\ p = .509),\ SF-36\ physical\ component\ score\ (\Delta R^2 = .047,\ \Delta F = 2.231,\ p = .144),\ SF-36\ subscale items\ physical\ function\ (\Delta R^2 = .040,\ \Delta F = 1.853,\ p = .182),\ vitality\ (\Delta R^2 = .010,\ \Delta F = 0.435,\ p = .514),\ bodily\ pain\ (\Delta R^2 = .015,\ \Delta F = 0.659,\ p = .422)\ and\ role\ limitations\ due\ to\ physical\ health\ (\Delta R^2 = .135,\ \Delta F = 0.435,\ p = .514).\ However,\ the\ self-reported\ moderate\ exercise\ in\ the\ preceding\ week\ (\Delta R^2 = .083,\ \Delta F = 4.128,\ p = .049)\ as\ well\ as\ the\ EQ-5D\ index\ (\Delta R^2 = .102,\ \Delta F = 5.185,\ p = .029)\ were\ found\ to\ explain\ a\ significant\ amount\ of\ variance.\ The\ final\ models\ can be\ found\ in\ Table\ 2.$