1 Fungal endophytes from saline-adapted shrubs induce salinity stress tolerance in tomato

2 seedlings

- 3 Priscillar Mumo Mutungi^{1&2*}, Wekesa Vitalis Wafula³, Justus Onguso¹, Erustus Kanga⁴, Steve
- 4 B. S. Baleba⁵ and Hamadi Iddi Boga¹
- ⁵ ¹Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P.o Box 62000–00200, Nairobi,
- 6 Kenya;
- ⁷ ²Wildlife Research and Training Institute, P.o Box 842–20117, Naivasha, Kenya;
- ³Bioline Agrosciences Africa Limited, P.o Box 1927–20117, Naivasha, Kenya;
- 9 ⁴Kenya Wildlife Service, P.o Box 40241–00100, Nairobi, Kenya;
- ⁵ Department of Evolutionary Neuroethology, Max Planck Institute for Chemical Ecology, Hans-
- 11 Knöll-Straße 8, D-07745 Jena, Germany
- 12
- 13 *Corresponding Author. Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, P.o Box
- 14 62000–00200, Nairobi, Kenya. Tel: +254 722 923008; Email: priscillahm89@gmail.com
- 15
- 16

[©] The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

17 Abstract

18 To meet the food and feed demands of the growing population, global food production needs to 19 double by 2050. Climate change-induced challenges to food crops, especially soil salinization, 20 remain a major threat to food production. We hypothesize that endophytic fungi isolated from 21 salt-adapted host plants can confer salinity stress tolerance to salt-sensitive crops. Therefore, we 22 isolated fungal endophytes from shrubs along the shores of saline alkaline Lake Magadi and 23 evaluated their ability to induce salinity stress tolerance in tomato seeds and seedlings. Of 60 24 endophytic fungal isolates, 95% and 5% were from Ascomycetes and Basidiomycetes phyla, 25 respectively. The highest number of isolates (48.3%) were from the roots. Amylase, protease, 26 and cellulase were produced by 25, 30, and 27 isolates, respectively; and 32 isolates solubilized 27 phosphate. Only 8 isolates grew at 1.5M NaCl. Four fungal endophytes (Cephalotrichum 28 cylindricum, Fusarium equiseti, Fusarium falciforme, and Aspergilus puniceus) were tested 29 under greenhouse conditions for their ability to induce salinity tolerance in tomato seedlings. All four endophytes successfully colonized tomato seedlings and grew in 1.5 M NaCl. The 30 31 germination of endophyte-inoculated seeds was enhanced by 23% percent, whereas seedlings 32 showed increased chlorophyll and biomass content and decreased hydrogen peroxide content under salinity stress, as compared to control. The results suggest that the four isolates can 33 potentially be used to mitigate salinity stress in tomato plants in salt-affected soils. 34

35 Keywords: Biotechnology, Endophyte, Fungi, Lake Magadi, Salinity stress, Tomato

21CIT

36

38 Introduction

39 Soil salinity is a major abiotic stress that affects individual plant growth and development and 40 influences the diversity of plant species in affected soils, except those in salt-tolerant plant 41 communities (Bandel et al., 2022). Soil salinity is caused either by natural processes, such as 42 rock weathering and high evapotranspiration, or man-made processes such as irrigation using 43 brackish water in farmlands (Jones et al., 2012) and continuous growth of shallow-rooted crops 44 that raise the water table. The effects of salinity on plants are exacerbated by climate change that 45 can seriously change water cycles through changing patterns of rainfall and prolonged droughts 46 (FAO, 2021).

Lands available for agriculture has declined by 22% over the last decade, while land under irrigation has almost doubled within the same period (FAO, 2021). However, the expanded irrigated lands face challenges as more than one-third of the global irrigated land is already degraded by induced salinity, while most staple crops consumed by humans are sensitive to moderately tolerant to salt (Cheeseman, 2015; Tnay, 2019). The Food and Agriculture Organization has estimated the need to increase agricultural productivity by 50% by 2050 to meet the demands of the growing population (FAO, 2021).

Irrigated agriculture continues to play an important role in meeting the food needs of the world's population. Soil salinization, particularly resulting from irrigation and extreme weather conditions, is expected to increase and thereby continue to threaten food security in the future, especially in lands with arid and semiarid climates, where there is a rising demand for irrigation water to support agricultural production (Tnay, 2019).

59 Efforts have been put in place in the last three decades to understand the mechanisms of salt 60 stress tolerance in plants, especially in halophytes (Zhao et al., 2020). Several physiological, 61 metabolic, and molecular mechanisms are used by plants to mitigate salinity stress, and these can 62 be used to engineer crops with enhanced salinity tolerance. However, crop engineering for 63 salinity tolerance has been slow, expensive, and challenging due to the many knowledge gaps 64 regarding plant responses to salinity stress, especially at the organelle, transcriptional and 65 expression levels (Zhao et al., 2020).

66 In addition to efforts to understand the mechanisms of plant salinity stress tolerance, dedicated and rigorous efforts have been made to mine the plant microbiome communities and study their 67 interactions. Various studies on plant-microbe interactions have revealed the functions of 68 69 endophytes in different plants growing in different environments, including saline, neutral, geothermal, desert, and marine ecosystems (Rho et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2015; Berg et al., 2014; 70 71 Kaul et al., 2016; Verma et al., 2021; Berg et al., 2016; Andreote et al., 2014). These 72 microorganisms, especially fungi, form symbiotic relationships that confer fitness benefits to plants, such as biotic and abiotic stress tolerance and improved nutrient acquisition (Rodriguez & 73 Redman, 2008). However, the ecological roles of endophytic fungi are not fully understood 74 75 (Reis et al., 2021).

Some benefits conferred by microorganisms are hypothesized to be related to habitat adaptation (Rodriguez & Redman, 2008). For example, inoculation of *Ampelomyces sp.* isolated from a plant growing under drought and poor nutrient conditions into tomato seedlings and grown for 8 days without water resulted in plant survival in the absence of water. Similarly, inoculation of *Penicillium chrysogenum* isolated from a plant growing in a salt-stressed environment into tomato seedlings and exposed to 300 mM NaCl resulted in plants that were healthier than uninoculated plants throughout the salinity exposure period (Morsy et al., 2020). 83 Therefore, collecting novel fungal endophytes from plants growing in extreme environments is 84 of great biotechnological value for economically important crop plants because of the changing 85 climatic conditions, especially in arid and semiarid regions.

86 Endophytes from extreme environments can confer tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses on 87 crop plants (Morsy et al., 2020; Redman et al., 2011; Moghaddam et al., 2022; Mutungi et al., 88 2022). Kenya is home to the East African Rift Valley System, which harbors several saline 89 alkaline lakes (soda lakes) that are characterized by saline and alkaline conditions (Schagerl & 90 Renaut, 2016). Studies on fungal populations in these unique ecosystems in Kenya are scanty, 91 and the few that have been conducted have mainly focused on the diversity of fungi in soil 92 sediments and water (Orwa et al., 2020). Therefore, the current study focused on the isolation of 93 fungal endophytes from five shrubs collected along the shores of the soda Lake Magadi in 94 Kenya. The isolated fungal endophytes were assessed for their potential to enhance tomato seed germination and improve tomato growth under salinity stress in a greenhouse. 95

- 96
- 97
- 98 Materials and Methods

99 Study area and sample collection

Plant samples used in this study were collected from Lake Magadi, an internally drained saline
alkaline lake (Deocampo et al., 2022) in the southern part of the Kenyan rift valley (2°S and 36°
E), with an elevation of approximately 600 m. The lake is the most hypersaline of the East
African Rift Valley lakes that were formed through tectonic and volcanic activities. It is situated
in a hydrologically closed basin and is characterized by a thick trona deposit (Schagerl &Renault

2016). The region is semiarid with temperatures ranging between 18°C and 35°C. Shrubs growing along the shores of Lake Magadi were collected in March 2016 and GPS coordinates recorded. One set of plant samples were kept in plastic resealable bags in a cool box. Fungal endophytes were isolated from these plants within 48 hours of sample collection. A second set of plants were wrapped in newspapers, labeled, and pressed in pieces of carton. These plants were submitted for identification by a botanist at the National Museums of Kenya.

111 Isolation of endophytic fungi

Isolation of endophytic fungi followed the procedure described by Fouda et al. (2015) with some 112 modifications. Briefly, the plant samples were separated into roots, stems, and leaves and washed 113 114 in running tap water to remove adhering soil and dust particles. Plants were then surface sterilized using 3% sodium hypochlorite for 3 minutes followed by 70% ethanol for 1 minute, 115 116 followed by several rinses of sterile distilled water. The last rinse water was plated out to 117 confirm the sterilization process. Sterilized sections were asceptically cut into small pieces of about 1cm long with a sterile surgical blade and placed on a sterile filter paper. The sections 118 were air dried under a clean bench for about 5min and then they were placed onto freshly 119 120 prepared Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium (HiMedia, India) containing 50 µg/ml 121 streptomycin sulfate and 0.25 M NaCl. The plates with plant pieces were then incubated at 28°C \pm 2°C for 7–20 days with regular monitoring. Emerging fungal colonies were isolated onto fresh 122 PDA media and incubated under the same conditions. 123

124 Preservation of fungal cultures

Fungal cultures were preserved via agar slants and fungal spores for short- and long-term preservation, respectively. Short-term preservation followed the procedure described by Paul et

127 al.(2015) with slight modifications. Slant cultures of pure isolates grown in PDA and incubated 128 at 28°C for 4 days were overlaid with 15% v/v sterile glycerol and stored at 4°C. Fungal spores 129 for long-term preservation were collected from cultures grown in PDA for 2 weeks and then 130 harvested in sterile 15% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). One milliliter of the spore-DMSO mixture 131 was transferred to a -80°C freezer, where the temperature was decreased slowly and at a 132 controlled rate from room temperature to -80°C (Dahmen et al., 1983). The percentage of spore 133 germination was calculated for each fungal culture before preservation, and only those with more 134 than 90% spore germination were preserved.

135 Characterization of fungal endophytes

Sixty fungal endophytes were grouped into 18 groups based on morphological characteristics of the growing cultures as displayed on PDA. These characteristics included growth rate, colony morphology, and pigmentation. Representative isolates from each morphological group were further characterized.

140 DNA extraction, amplification, and sequence analysis

Fungal DNA was extracted using the manual Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) 141 extraction method as described by Umesha et al. (2016). Pure fungal cultures were inoculated in 142 PDA and incubated for 3 to 5 days. Growing mycelia were harvested using a sterile surgical 143 144 blade and transferred into a sterile 1.5-ml Eppendorf microcentrifuge tube. The mycelia were 145 ground with liquid nitrogen using a micropestle. Lysis buffer (800 µl of 0.1 M Tris-HCL, 50 mM EDTA, 2.5 M NaCl, 3% SDS and 3.5% CTAB) was added to the ground mycelia and the 146 147 mixture was vortexed and incubated in a water bath at 65°C for 1 hour with occasional shaking. The contents were centrifuged for 10 minutes at room temperature (25-27^oC). An equal volume 148 149 of phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added to 500 µl of the supernatant and

mixed well. The mixture was centrifuged $10,000 \times g$ for 10 minutes at room temperature, and the 150 151 supernatant was carefully collected in a fresh tube, mixed with an equal volume of chloroform-152 isoamyl alcohol (24:1) and 30 µl of sodium acetate. The mixture was then centrifuged. An equal 153 volume of ice-cold isopropanol was added, and the sample was kept at -20° C for 2 hours. The 154 DNA was pelleted by centrifugation for 15 minutes at $13,000 \times g$ at room temperature. Pelleted 155 DNA was washed with 800 µl of 70% ethanol and air dried before dissolving in TE buffer (10 156 mM Tris-HCl PH 8, 1 mM EDTA). The purity of the DNA was checked by 0.8% agarose gel 157 electrophoresis. The internal transcribed region (ITS1, 5.8S ITS2) of the ribosomal DNA was 158 amplified by PCR using the primer set ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3') and ITS4 159 (5'- TCCTCCGCTTATTGATGATGC-3') (White et al., 1990). PCR was performed in a 50-µl reaction volume under the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min for the initial denaturation and 160 enzyme activation followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds s, annealing at 161 55°C for 1 minute, elongation at 72°C for 1 minute, and a final elongation at 72°C for 10 162 minutes. The PCR product was visualized under UV light on a 1.5% agarose gel stained with 163 ethidium bromide. Thirty microliters of amplicons were submitted to macrogen-Europe for 164 165 bidirectional sequencing.

166 Sequence assembly and phylogenetic analysis

167 The resulting sequences were trimmed and edited using Chromas version 2.6.6 168 (www.technelysium.com.au/wp/chromas). Chromatogram viewing and editing, sequence 169 assembly, ambiguity correction, and double-pick mutation detection were performed using 170 DNABaser version 4 (www.DNABaser.com). The resulting consensus sequences were matched 171 to highly similar sequences in the National Institute for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) 172 database using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTn) to infer evolutionary 173 relationships. The MEGA11 (Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis) program was used for 174 phylogenetic analysis (Tamura et al., 2021). Sequences were aligned according to inferred 175 evolutionary history using the maximum likelihood method with a bootstrap consensus of 1000 176 replicates. Evolutionary distance was inferred using the Tamura–Nei method (Tamura & Nei, 177 1993), which considers the number of base substitutions per site and eliminates all positions with 178 gaps and missing data.

179 Determination of the enzyme activities

180 Amylase activity

181 Amylase production of the isolates was screened using the plate culture technique as described 182 by Sunitha et al. (2012) with slight modifications. Glucose yeast extract peptone agar (1 g/l glucose, 0.1 g/l yeast extract, 0.5 g/l peptone, 16 g/l agar) supplemented with 2% soluble starch 183 184 and 50 µg/ml streptomycin was used to screen the isolates. First, an agar plug from a sporulating fungal plate was placed at the center of a glucose yeast extract peptone agar plate, and then the 185 plate was incubated at 28°C for 3 days. Amylase production was detected by flooding the plates 186 with Lugol's iodine solution (1 g iodine crystals and 2 g potassium iodide dissolved in 100 ml 187 188 distilled water). A clear zone around a fungal colony indicates amylase production.

189 *Cellulase activity*

190 Celluase production was tested by growing the isolates on yeast extract peptone agar 191 supplemented with 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose, as described by Carrasco et al. (2016). An 192 agar plug from a sporulating fungal plate was placed at the center of a freshly prepared plate 193 containing yeast extract peptone agar. The plate was incubated for 3 days at 28°C, and then it 194 was flooded with 0.2% Congo red and destained with 1 M NaCl. The development of a yellow 195 ring around a fungal colony indicated the production of cellulases.

196 *Protease activity*

197 Protease production was tested on fungal cultures inoculated on yeast extract peptone agar

198 supplemented with 0.4% gelatin at pH 6 (Sharma et al., 2015). The plate was incubated at 28°C

199 for 3 days and then flooded with saturated aqueous ammonium sulfate, which was prepared by

200 dissolving 541.8 g in one liter of distilled water (4.1M) at 25°C. Clear zones around the colonies

201 indicated protease activity.

202 *Phosphate solubilization*

The ability of pure cultures of fungi to solubilize phosphate was tested in Pikovskaya agar (PVK) (Hi Media, India) supplemented with 0.3% tricalcium phosphate. The sterilized medium was poured into 9-mm plastic petri dishes and left to cool. Fungal mycelial plugs from actively growing cultures were placed onto the agar medium and incubated for 5–8 days. Clear zones around the fungal colonies indicate phosphate solubilization (Bilal et al., 2018).

208 Fungal growth at increasing sodium chloride concentrations

The ability of fungal isolates to grow at increasing concentrations of sodium chloride was tested by growing them in plates of fresh PDA medium supplemented with 0 mM, 0.5 mM, 1 M, and 1.5 M sodium chloride. An agar plug from a sporulating plate was placed at the center of plates containing the different sodium chloride concentrations. Three replicate plates per NaCl concentration were incubated for 14 days, and radial growth of each culture was measured.

214 Seed inoculation and assessment of endophytic competence of the isolates

215 Fungal cultures

Four fungal isolates were selected for further in vitro experiments. Seeds were inoculated following the procedure described by Jaber (2018). Fungal cultures were grown on PDA supplemented with 50 μg/ml streptomycin sulfate and incubated until sporulation (18–20 days). 219 Each sporulating fungal culture was flooded with approximately 3 ml of sterile distilled water 220 containing 1% Tween 80, and the conidia were harvested by gently scraping the surface using a 221 sterile glass rod. The conidial suspension was then gently vortexed, and the conidial 222 concentration was determined using a Neubauer hemocytometer (Electron Microscopy 223 Sciences). Conidial viability was tested by plating 100 µl of spore suspension on a fresh PDA 224 plate. A sterile coverslip was placed on top of the media and the plate was incubated for 24 h. Conidia with germ tubes longer than the length of the conidia were considered germinated. Only 225 226 suspensions with >90% spore germination were considered for the experiment (Jaber, 2018). Two concentrations of 10^6 and 10^8 conidia/ml were used to inoculate seeds to determine the 227 228 endophytic competence of the isolates in tomato seedlings.

229 Determination of the endophytic competence of fungal isolates

230 Seed inoculation

Solanum lycopersicum variety Cal J seeds were surface sterilized by washing them first in tap 231 water followed by a 2-minute wash in 3% sodium hypochlorite, followed by 2 minutes in 70% 232 ethanol, and three rinses in sterile distilled water. The final rinse water was plated on PDA to 233 234 confirm the effectiveness of surface sterilization (Muvea et al., 2014). Sterilized seeds were then air dried for 30 minutes on sterile filter paper and soaked in either 10^6 or 10^8 conidia/ml of each 235 isolate overnight. Control seeds were soaked in sterile distilled water containing 1% Tween 80. 236 237 Inoculated seeds were air dried for 30 minutes before being transferred to plastic pots containing 238 sterile vermiculite moistened with half-strength Hoagland's solution. Three seeds were sown in 239 each pot, and the pots were transferred to a growth chamber set at $27^{\circ}C \pm 2$ at a 12 h: 12 h lightdark cycle. The pots were arranged in a completely randomized block design with four replicates 240 per treatment. Sterilized half-strength Hoagland's solution was added as necessary. 241

242 Assessment of endophyte colonization

243 Twenty-one days after germination, the seedlings were gently uprooted from the pots. Seedlings 244 were washed in running tap water to remove any vermiculite adhering to the roots, and then each 245 seedling was divided into roots, stems, and leaves. Each of these plant parts was surface 246 sterilized as described above. Six pieces of each plant part per conidial concentration per 247 seedling were cut into approximately 1-cm-long pieces using a sterile surgical blade under a laminar flow hood. The pieces were plated onto PDA plates supplemented with 50 µg/ml 248 249 streptomycin sulfate and incubated in the dark at $25^{\circ}C \pm 2$ for 14 days. The growing fungal 250 cultures were stained with lactophenol cotton blue stain. The morphological characteristics of the 251 seedling-derived cultures were compared to those of the original isolates (Muvea et al., 2014).

252 Effect of endophytic fungi on germination of tomato seeds under salinity stress

The conidial concentration of 10⁸ conidia/ml gave a higher endophyte recovery rate than the 10⁶ conia/ml and was therefore chosen for use in greenhouse experiments. Procedures for seed sterilization and colonization were performed as described above. Colonized seeds were transferred to 9-mm diameter petri dishes containing sterile water agar supplemented with 0, 50, 75, 100, and 125 mM sodium chloride. The seeds were incubated in the dark for up to 10 days while checking daily for germination. Two plates were set per isolate per salinity concentration and non inoculated seeds served as controls.

260

261 Effect of endophytic fungi on tomato seedlings under salinity stress in a greenhouse

262 Solanum lycopersieum variety Cal J seeds were surface sterilized as described above and soaked 263 overnight in in a 10⁸ conidia /ml of each fungal isolate. Inoculated seeds were air dried on sterile 264 filter paper under sterile conditions for two hours before transferring them to 1% sterile water

265 agar plates to avoid additional handling of seedlings in the course of the experiment. The seeds 266 were germinated by incubating them in the dark at $25^{\circ}C \pm 2$ for four days, and then the 267 germinated seeds were transferred to plastic pots (15×17 cm) containing a 5:1 mixture of 268 sterilized forest soil and cattle manure, respectively. Before potting, the soil and cattle manure 269 mixture was sterilized by autoclaving for 40 min at 121°C, left to cool overnight, and then 270 autoclaved again. Approximately 1 kg of sterile soil was distributed in each pot, and two 271 germinated seedlings per isolate were transplanted approximately 2-cm-deep into the soil. 272 Uninoculated seedlings grown under salinity stress and no salinity stress served as controls. The 273 seedlings were grown in a greenhouse and maintained under ambient conditions at 25° C - 28° C, 274 arranged in a completely randomized design. The seedlings were watered with sterile tap water as required for 30 days with no additional fertilization, followed by watering with sterile tap 275 276 water supplemented with 125 mM NaCl for 28 days. The following 6 treatments at 20 seedlings per treatment were compared: (1) F04 + 125 mM NaCl; (2) F05 + 125 mM NaCl; (3) F18 + 125 277 278 mM NaCl; (4) F21 + 125 mM NaCl; (5) Non-inoculated seedlings (C + 125 mM NaCl); and (6) Non-inoculated (C with no NaCl). After the treatments, the seedlings were flooded with tap 279 280 water overnight, uprooted, and washed under running tap water to remove any adhering soil particles. The seedlings were then wrapped in a paper towel to remove excess water. Ten 281 282 seedlings (one from each replicate) were selected per treatment for measurements of root and 283 shoot wet and dry weights. Dry weight was measured by drying the seedlings in an oven at 68°C for 48 hrs (Balliu et al., 2015). 284

285 Chlorophyll and carotenoid contents were measured using the procedure described by 286 Lichtenthaler & Buschmann 2001). Briefly, 1.5 g of fresh leaves were ground in the dark in 287 100% acetone and centrifuged at 10000 \times g for 10 min. The supernatant was collected for absorbance measurements using a microplate spectrophotometer (Versamax). The quantities ofthe pigments were calculated as follows:

- 290 Chlorophyll A: 12.25A₆₆₂–2.79A₆₄₇
- 291 Chlorophyll B: 21.50A₆₄₇–5.10A₆₆₂
- 292 Total chlorophyll: 20.2A₆₄₇–8.02A₆₆₂

where A_{662} is the absorbance of the solution at 662 nm and A_{647} is the absorbance of the solution at 647 nm.

295 Hydrogen peroxide levels in the leaves were measured using the method of Junglee et al.(2014) 296 with slight modifications. Leaves were harvested from tomato seedlings and 500 mg were 297 ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. Five milliliters of 1% TCA (w/v) was added 298 to the ground powder and mixed well. The homogenate was then centrifuged at $12000 \times g$ for 15 299 min at 4°C. The supernatant was mixed with 0.5 ml of 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7) and 1 ml of 1 M potassium iodide. The absorbance of the mixture was measured at 390 nm. 300 301 The mixture without the supernatant served as the control. A standard curve of Hydrogen peroxide was developed by diluting 57 µl of 30% Hydrogen peroxide to 100 µl with distilled 302 water. Additional 10 x dilutions were prepared and the absorbances of the various dilutions and 303 304 measured at 390 nm.

305 Statistical analysis

The salinity tolerance of the isolates and seed germination rates were analyzed using one-way ANOVA (p < 0.05) and means compared using the Student's Newman–Keuls test. The effects of the endophytes on seedling biomass, chlorophyll content, and hydrogen peroxide production were determined using the Kruskal–Wallis chi-square test. Post hoc analysis was performed using Dunn's test. Data on endophyte colonization and recovery rates were fitted to a generalized 311 linear model with a Poisson distribution. The analysis was performed using R statistical software312 version 2.15.4.

313 Results

314 Isolation and characterization of fungal endophytes

315 Five different shrubs were collected from the shores of Lake Magadi and used for the isolation of 316 endophytic fungi. All sampled plants harbored fungal endophytes. Sixty fungal isolates were 317 purified from the leaves, stems, and roots of collected shrubs (Table 1). Grouping of the isolates 318 based on the morphological characteristics of their growth on PDA resulted in 18 different 319 groups. Indigofera spinosa Forssk generated the highest number of isolates, whereas 320 Commicarpus grandifloras and Lactuca inermis Forssk generated the least number of isolates. 321 Most of the fungal isolates were isolated from roots (48.3%), whereas stems and leaves produced 322 30% and 21.7%, respectively. Of the 60 isolates, 25, 30, and 27 were positive for amylase, protease, and cellulase production, respectively. Thirty-two isolates solubilized phosphate (Table 323 324 1). Four isolates were selected for further experiments on the basis of the rate of growth, 325 sporulation, and production of exoenzymes (data not provided). Two of these isolates, 326 Cephalotrichum cylindricum (F04) and Fusarium equiseti (F05), were from the stem of Commicarpus grandifloras; and the other two, Fusarium falciforme (F18) and Aspergilus 327 328 puniceus (F21), were from the roots of Indigofera spinosa Forssk (Fig. 1). All four isolates were 329 able to grow on all tested NaCl concentrations; they were all positive for the production of 330 amylase, cellulase and protease enzymes; and they all solubilized phosphate (Table 1).

331 Molecular identification

332 DNA was extracted from a representative of each of the 18 morphological groups, and the ITS
 333 rRNA gene of each was sequenced for species identification. Analysis of the resulting concensus

334 sequences and comparison with homologous sequences in the National Centre for Biotechnology 335 Information (NCBI) genebank database revealed that the genus Fusarium was isolated at the 336 highest frequency and was represented by 8 morphogroups; and these isolates represent 7 337 different Fusarium species (F. equiseti, F. pseudoathophilum, F. longifundum, F. falciforme, F. 338 clamidosporum, F. solani, and F. ipomea). These morphogroups represented 28 of the 60 339 isolates. Species within the genus Aspergillus were the second most frequently isolated (A_{A}) 340 puniceus and A. terreus), and these were represented by 4 morphogroups, to which 17 of the 60 341 isolates belonged. One species within the genus Cephalotricum (C. cylindricum) was in two 342 morphogroups representing 7 of the 60 isolates. The other identified genera (Schizophyllum, 343 Saracladium, Daratomyces, and Fungal species) were each represented by one morphogroup (Fig. 1). Ninety-five percent of the isolates belonged to phylum Ascomycota and the remaining 344 345 belonged to phylum Basidiomycota, both of which are in the subkingdom Dikarya. Isolates (3 of the 60) classified under the Phylum Basidiomycota all belonged to one morphogroup and to the 346 347 genus Schizophyllum. The rest of the isolates belonged to the phylum Ascomycota. BLAST search results generated similarity matches ranging from 97% to 100% identity with known 348 349 species. The distribution of the genus in isolation did not show any tissue or plant specificity. 350

351 Endophytic competence of the fungal isolates

Two different concentrations $(10^6 \text{ and } 10^8 \text{ conidia/ml})$ of the isolates were tested for their endophytic competence in tomato seedlings grown on sterile vermiculite. The two conidial concentrations were recovered at significantly different rates (P = 0.0018). However, both concentrations of the four isolates were able to colonize all the tomato seedling parts (leaves, stems, and roots) within three weeks (Fig.2). We detected a significant difference in endophytic performance (p < 0.001). Specifically, isolate F21 was re-isolated at the highest rate at both concentrations. Although the four isolates were derived from the stem and root, we found no significant difference in fungal colonization for the different plant parts (P = 0.2492). No isolates were recovered from the control seedlings that were mock inoculated.

361

362 Effect of salinity on fungal endophytes and seed germination

Salinity significantly affected the radial growth of the isolates ($F_{15-32} = 169.2$, P < 0.001). The 363 364 isolates significantly differed in their levels of salinity tolerance across the various sodium 365 chloride concentrations tested (p < 0.0001) (Fig. 3A), with isolate F21 showing the largest radial growth across all concentrations. For example, at 1.5 M NaCl, the mean radial growth values of 366 isolates F18 and F21 were 1.8 ± 0.1 and 2.7 ± 0.2 cm, respectively. Increasing concentrations of 367 sodium chloride significantly reduced the germination of seeds ($F_{24-25} = 80.53$, P < 0.0001) 368 369 (Fig. 3B). In the controls, NaCl concentrations of 75 mM and above resulted in no germination. Inoculation of seeds with fungal endophytes significantly affected germination under salinity 370 stress (P < 0.0001). In the presence of endophytes, seeds germinated at 100 mM NaCl, with 371 isolate F21 showing the highest number of germinated seeds at all NaCl concentrations (Fig. 372 373 3B).

374

375 Effect of fungal endophytes on tomato seedlings under NaCl stress

The effect of fungal endophytes on tomato was tested in seedlings grown in a greenhouse with sterile soil and a fungal spore concentration of 10^8 conidia/ml. Inoculation of tomato seedlings with the fungal isolates significantly affected both wet and dry weights ($\chi^2 = 21.193$, df = 5, *P* = 0,00074) of the seedlings compared to those of the control with salt stress. Seed inoculation with isolate F04 resulted in the highest increases in both wet and dry weights compared to those of the control with salt stress (Fig. 4). Growth of the seedlings symbiotically with fungal endophytes significantly ($P \le 0.01$) increased the wet and dry weights of both roots and shoots compared to those of the control plants deprived of the endophytes and exposed to salinity stress (Fig. 4). Isolates F05 and F18 had similar impacts on both root and shoot fresh weights. On average, the fresh weights of the roots and shoots of inoculated seedlings were 34% and 56% higher, respectively, than those of the control plants.

Except for isolate F18, the symbiotic association of the isolates with tomato seedlings positively affected the biosynthesis of photosynthetic pigments (Fig. 5). Specifically, inoculation of the seedlings with fungal endophytes enhanced the contents of chlorophyll a (p < 0.0001), chlorophyll b (p < 0.001) and total chlorophyll (p < 0.0001) as compared to the control. Plants inoculated with isolates F05 and F21 showed a higher percentage increase of chlorophyll b than chlorophyll a under salinity stress.

The endophytes significantly ($\chi^2 = 35.364$, df = 5, P = 0.0001) reduced the quantity of hydrogen peroxide produced by the seedlings under salinity stress compared to those of the control (Fig. 6). Seedlings inoculated with isolate F18 exhibited the lowest tolerance to salinity stress in terms of hydrogen peroxide production, whereas those inoculated with isolate F04 showed the best performance of the four isolates in reducing hydrogen peroxide. Isolates F05 and F21 did not differ significantly ($P \le 0.05$) in their performance. Salinity increased the amount of hydrogen peroxide produced in the uninoculated control plants.

402 **Discussion**

403 Lake Magadi is an alkaline saline lake situated in a semiarid region in the southern part of the 404 Kenyan rift valley. It is fed by ephemeral streams and has no outlet. Human activities, climate, 405 and soil type shape and control the vegetation, soil macro and geology, altitude, 406 microcommunities, and habitats along the Magadi Natron basin (Muiruri et al., 2021). The 407 region's climate is changing from dry to even greater aridity, which, coupled with high 408 evapotranspiration rates, creates high pH and alkalinity (Owen et al., 2019). These changes in 409 environmental conditions have shaped the plant and microbial communities along the lake ecosystem to those more adapted to saline and alkaline conditions. This phenomenon has been 410 411 noted by Maciá-vicente & Ferraro (2012), who reported a variable shift in endophytic and rhizosphere fungal communities along a spatially short salinity gradient in which halophytes 412 harbor an endophytic assemblage of saline-adapted fungi. 413

Habitat-adapted microorganisms have been used to enable plants to adapt to biotic and abiotic 414 stresses, enhance growth, and increase reproductive success; some plants are unable to survive in 415 416 their habitats without fungal symbiosis (Redman et al., 2002; Bouzouina et al., 2021; 417 Moghaddam et al., 2022; Etesami & Beattie, 2018). Our results are consistent with these findings. Specifically, we showed that selected endophytic isolates can tolerate and grow in 418 salinity concentrations of up to 1.5 M NaCl. Moreover, our results complement the growing 419 body of knowledge on the importance of microorganisms symbiotic to plants in stress 420 421 environments and their applications in crop plants. Isolation and utilization of these habitat-422 adapted microorganisms in agricultural systems offer an important, cheaper, and more reliable 423 solution than plant breeding, especially in saline soils.

We were able to isolate representatives of only two fungal phyla, Ascomycetes and 424 425 Basidiomycetes, with a bias toward the former. Fungal endophyte communities are shaped by 426 various factors, including host genotype, nutrient status around the plant, and other 427 environmental factors, although the plant is largely responsible for shaping the association 428 (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Wehner et al., 2014; Cheng et al., 2019). Certain fungal phyla have been 429 more frequently found as endophytes and in the soil rhizosphere than others, especially in 430 abiotically stressed environments (Khalil et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2018; Maciá-vicente & 431 Ferraro, 2012; Hamzah et al., 2018; Sahoo et al., 2021). The ubiquity of Ascomycetes in soil can 432 probably explain their abundance as endophytes.

In the current study, 46% (28 isolates) of the isolates were classified as Fusarium, based on the 433 434 DNA internal transcribed spacer gene region. Fusarium species include both pathogenic and 435 beneficial plant endophytes, and they are ubiquitous and economically important fungi that can cause diseases in plants. They can also produce mycotoxins that are passed on to animals when 436 437 they feed on contaminated plants; and they can act as pathogens to humans (Ji et al., 2019; Srinivas et al., 2019). However, Fusarium endophytes in plants have been shown to lose their 438 439 pathogenicity under stress conditions, and thus they become beneficial to the plant by inducing 440 resistance to stress and enhancing growth (Pappas et al., 2018; Ogbe et al., 2023). This 441 characteristic can be a key reason why plants symbiotically associate with Fusarium species.

442 Aspergillus was the second most frequently isolated genus of fungal endophytes in our study (17 443 isolates classified as either *A. terreus* or *A. puniceus*). The genus Aspergillus is a frequently 444 isolated endophyte, as it is capable of growing in vital nutrient-depleted environments, including 445 within plants growing in extreme environments (Kim et al., 2014; Sahoo et al., 2021). They 446 have also been found to produce highly diverse secondary metabolites with various potential industrial applications (El-Hawary et al., 2020). They have been implicated in the production of
endogenous plant hormones, amino acids, and other soluble organic acids that help the plant
mitigate stress and enhance growth (Waqas et al., 2015).

450 Establishing endophytism in nonhost plants is especially important for beneficial endophytes, 451 because they offer the possibility of conferring similar benefits to crop plants. In this study, we 452 tested the ability of four selected endophytic fungi to competently colonize tomato plants growing in sterile vermiculite by seed inoculation using two different fungal spore 453 concentrations. All isolates colonized tomato at both concentrations but differed in individual 454 fungal performance and plant part. Similar results were obtained by Akutse et al., 2017; Jaber & 455 456 Enkerli (2016) who reported differences in colonization rates for different plant parts. Other 457 studies have also inoculated seeds with a conidial concentration of 10⁸ conidia/ml, resulting in 458 successful post-inoculation recovery of the endophytes from all plant parts and effective performance on the test variable (Jaber, 2018; Mutune et al., 2016). Several factors contribute to 459 460 successful endophyte establishment in nonhost plants, including the concentration of inoculum used, medium used (sterile or nonsterile), and method of inoculation (Bamisile et al., 2018). 461 462 Using seed soaking and sterile vermiculite in our study, the concentration of conidia used correlated with the recovery rates, which is consistent with the results of other studies (Ownlev 463 464 et al., 2008).

Salinity stress in plants can be a lethal factor that limits the normal functioning of plants and eventually affects growth and productivity. At elevated salinity levels, all growth stages (seed germination, seedling, vegetative growth, and maturity) as well as the quality of the seeds/fruits are negatively affected (Jafarzadeh & Aliasgharzad 2007; Yao et al., 2022). Germination and seedling establishment are the most crucial stages in the plant life cycle. High salinity stress 470 negatively affects the germination of seeds as it creates low water potential that disrupts cellular
471 homeostasis and increases the production of reactive oxygen species, resulting in oxidative stress
472 that tends to prolong the seed germination period and lower the germination rate (Zhang & Mu,
473 2009; Dehnavi et al., 2020).

474 Under salinity stress conditions, fungal endophytes produce osmolytes and other stress response 475 mechanisms that ameliorate the effects of salinity (Niu et al., 2022). We speculate that such 476 mechanisms were responsible for the enhanced germination of inoculated seeds growing at sodium chloride concentrations of 50, 75, and 100 mM. Although few studies have focused on 477 478 the use of endophytes to improve seed germination under salinity stress, several studies have 479 indicated that endophytes can be beneficial to seedling growth under salinity stress (Kumar & 480 Verma 2018; Verma et al., 2021; Jogawat et al., 2016; Molina-Montenegro et al., 2020). More 481 studies on these positive effects of endophytes on seed germination, at the molecular and physiological levels, are essential to aid in the development of strategies to mitigate the impacts 482 483 of climate change on food crops.

484 Salinity stress inhibits plant growth and development by decreasing chlorophyll production and accumulating reactive oxygen species. The development of osmotic stress resulting from the 485 accumulation of Na⁺ in the cytosol under saline conditions leads to stomatal closure and the 486 suppression of enzymes involved in chlorophyll synthesis, which reduces photosynthesis and 487 nutrient absorption (Zhao et al., 2020). Tomato is moderately sensitive to salinity; thus, under 488 489 highly saline conditions, the amount of chlorophyll in leaves decreases, eventually leading to 490 decreases in fruit yield, weight, and quality (Ebrahim & Saleem 2017). In our experiment, we used a commercial cultivar, Cal J variety, locally known as Kamongo, which is popular in 491 492 Kenya due to its high market value and long shelf life (Geoffrey et al., 2014). This variety was

493 negatively affected at 125 mM NaCl, which markedly reduced leaf chlorophyll content and 494 increased levels of hydrogen peroxide compared to those of control seedlings without NaCl. This 495 reduction in chlorophyll could be associated with the plants' inability to manage ion toxicity caused by increased Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in the plant tissues.(Zhang & Mu, 2009). Hydrogen 496 497 peroxide is a reactive oxygen species (ROS) and a signaling molecule generated by plants in 498 response to stress conditions (Zhu et al., 2016). Elevated levels of hydrogen peroxide damage 499 cellular metabolites oxidatively, which affects plant growth (Hossain et al., 2015). Symbiotic 500 association with endophytic fungi significantly (p < 0.001) reduced the amount of hydrogen 501 peroxide produced by the plants, increased their chlorophyll content, and increased their dry 502 weight as compared to uninoculated control plants exposed to sodium chloride. These results indicate the endophytes enhanced sodium chloride tolerance of the seedlings. We speculate the 503 endophytic fungi in the tomato seeds and seedlings helped maintain the ionic balance in the plant 504 cytosol, thereby preventing accumulation of toxic Na⁺ ions while enhancing photosynthesis in 505 the seedlings under sodium chloride stress. Ionic homeostasis in plants, reduced ROS production, 506 and concomitant increases in shoot and root weight have been reported in several studies as 507 mechanisms by which fungal endophytes alleviate salt stress (Ali et al., 2022; Bouzouina et al., 508 509 2021).

Fungal endophytes are prolific producers of extracellular enzymes and secondary metabolites (Debbab et al., 2013), which are important in the selection of beneficial microorganisms for use in agricultural production. Our experiment used four fungal endophytes that were selected based on their abilities to produce the exoenzymes amylase, protease, and cellulases and to solubilize inorganic phosphorus. Of the 60 fungal isolates obtained, 62% solubilized inorganic phosphate, a finding that agrees with those of Ogbe et al. (2023). Phosphorus is the second most important

plant nutrient after nitrogen (Radhakrishnan et al., 2015). Although it is present at high 516 517 concentrations in soil, plants are often starved for phosphorus because it occurs in a form that 518 they cannot absorb (Castrillo et al., 2017). In soils with high salinity and pH, phosphorus forms 519 stable complexes with other ions and becomes unavailable to plants (Penn & Camberato 2019; 520 Xie et al., 2022). It has been suggested that to increase plant productivity, plants growing in such 521 soils can select and symbiotically associate with microorganisms that help them alleviate 522 environmental challenges such as nutrient deficiency (Bulgarelli et al., 2013). Therefore, the 523 ability of a large number of our isolates to solubilize phosphate may be the result of the plant's 524 natural selection during plant-microbiome evolution.

525 All endophytic isolates were able to colonize tomato seedlings that germinated from seeds 526 inoculated via the seed soaking method. Seed germination of inoculated seedlings was positively 527 affected. Moreover, seedling shoot and root weight, and chlorophyll content increased, while 528 hydrogen peroxide production decreased under salinity stress in the presence of the endophytes. 529 Of the four isolates tested, isolate F21 (A. puniceus) exerted the greatest effect, and therefore, this isolate has the most potential for use in the developmentt of a less expensive approach to 530 climate resilient agriculture, especially in arid and semiarid regions where crops are exposed to 531 several biotic and abiotic stresses. Further studies are however necessary to understand the 532 533 interactions between these endophytes and crop plants in the presence of other naturally existing 534 soil microbiota under salinity stress. The applicability of seed inoculation under field conditions 535 should also be studied. We conclude that endophytic fungi from shrubs along the shores of saline 536 alkaline lakes are potentially beneficial microorganisms that can be harnessed for sustainable agricultural production. 537

540 Acknowledgement

- 541 The authors would like to acknowledge the National Research Fund in Kenya for the financial
- 542 support to undertake the research activities.

543 *Author Contributions*

- 544 Mutungi PM, Wekesa VW, Onguso J, and Boga HI conceived and designed the experiments.
- 545 Mutungi PM performed the experiments with guidance from Wekesa VW, Onguso J, Boga HI,
- and Kanga E. Mutungi PM and Baleba SBS analyzed the data. Mutungi PM wrote the
- 547 manuscript with guidance and input from Wekesa VW, Onguso J, Kanga E, Baleba SBS, and
- 548 Boga HI. All authors have read, edited, and approved the final manuscript.

549 Data Availability

- 550 All relevant data are within the manuscript, and supporting information for sequences used in
- 551 green house trials is available for download from
- 552 <u>https://submit.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/subs/?search=SUB13605466</u>

553 Funding

- 554 This study was financially supported through funding from the National Research Fund to
- undertake the research activities. The funders played no role in the experimental design, data
- analysis authorship, ownership, or publication of the results.

557

559 Conflict of Interest

- 560 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or
- 561 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest. The research

the MAN

- 562 activities were approved by the Kenya Wildlife Service under research Authorization ref.
- 563 KWS/BRM/5001 and NACOSTI research permit number NACOSTI/P/17/22929/14802.

With the second se

565 References

- Akutse KS, Maniania NK, Fiaboe KKM et al. Endophytic colonization of *Vicia faba* and
 Phaseolus vulgaris (Fabaceae) by fungal pathogens and their effects on the life-history
- 568 parameters of *Liriomyza huidobrensis* (Diptera : Agromyzidae). *Fungal Ecol* 2013;6:293–
- 569 301.
- Ali R, Gul H, Rauf M et al. Growth-promoting endophytic fungus (*Stemphylium lycopersici*)
 ameliorates salt stress tolerance in maize by balancing ionic and metabolic status. *Front Plant Sci* 2022;13:890565.
- Andreote FD, Gumiere T, Durrer A. Exploring interactions of plant microbiomes. *Sci Agric* 2014;71:528–39.
- Balliu A, Sallaku G, Rewald B. AMF inoculation enhances growth and improves the nutrient
 uptake Rates of Transplanted, Salt-Stressed Tomato Seedlings. *Sustainability* 2015;7:15967–
 81.
- 578 Bamisile BS, Dash CK, Akutse KS et al. Fungal endophytes: beyond herbivore management.
 579 *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:544.
- Bandel K, Salameh EM, Al-Gharaibeh M et al. Plants of saline coastal and inland sabkha areas
 as indicators of environmental conditions in Southern Jordan. *JJEES* 2022;13:190–8.
- Berg G, Grube M, Schloter M et al. Unraveling the plant microbiome: looking back and future
 perspectives. *Front Microbiol* 2014;**5**:148.
- Berg G, Rybakova D, Grube M et al. The plant microbiome explored: implications for
 experimental botany. *J Exp Bot* 2016;67:995–1002.
- Bernhart Owen RB, Renaut RW, Muiruri VM et al. Quaternary history of the Lake Magadi
 Basin, southern Kenya Rift: tectonic and climatic controls. *Palaeo* 2019;**518**:97–118.
- Bilal L, Asaf S, Hamayun M et al. Plant growth promoting endophytic fungi Asprgillus
 fumigatus TS1 and Fusarium proliferatum BRL1 produce gibberellins and regulates plant
 endogenous hormones. *Symbiosis* 2018;**76:**117–27.
- Bouzouina M, Kouadria R, Lotmani B. Fungal endophytes alleviate salt stress in wheat in terms
 of growth, ion homeostasis and osmoregulation. *J Appl Microbiol* 2021;130:913–25.
- Bulgarelli D, Rott M, Schlaeppi K et al. Revealing structure and assembly cues for Arabidopsis
 root-inhabiting bacterial microbiota. *Nature* 2012;488:91–5.
- Bulgarelli D, Schlaeppi K, Spaepen S et al. Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of
 plants. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2013;64:807–38.
- Carrasco M, Villarreal P, Barahona S et al. Screening and characterization of amylase
 and cellulase activities in psychrotolerant yeasts. *BMC Microbiol* 2016;16:21

- Castrillo G, Teixeira PJPL, Paredes SH et al. Root microbiota drive direct integration of
 phosphate stress and immunity. *Nature* 2017;543:513–8.
- 601 Cheeseman JM. The evolution of halophytes, glycophytes and crops, and its implications for food
 602 security under saline conditions. *New Phytol* 2015;**206**:557–70.
- 603 Cheng YT, Zhang L, He SY. Plant-microbe interactions facing environmental challenge. *Cell* 604 *Host Microbe* 2019;26:183–92.
- Dahmen H, Staub T, Schwinn FJ. Technique for long-term preservation of phytopathogenic fungi
 in liquid nitrogen. *Phytopathology* 1983;**73**:241–6.
- 607 Debbab A, Aly AH, Proksch P. Mangrove derived fungal endophytes A chemical and biological
 608 perception. *Fungal Divers* 2013;61:1–27.
- 609 Deocampo DM, Owen RB, Lowenstein TK et al. Orbital control of Pleistocene euxinia in Lake
 610 Magadi, Kenya. *Geology* 2022;**50**:42–7.
- Ebrahim MKH, Saleem AR. Alleviating salt stress in tomato inoculated with mycorrhizae:
 photosynthetic performance and enzymatic antioxidants. *Journal of Taibah University for Science* 2017;11:850–60.
- 614 El-Hawary SS, Moawad AS, Bahr HS et al. Natural product diversity from the endophytic fungi
 615 of the genus Aspergillus. *RSC Adv* 2020;10:22058–79.
- Etesami H, Beattie GA. Mining halophytes for plant growth-promoting halotolerant bacteria to
 enhance the salinity tolerance of non-halophytic crops. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:148.
- Food and Agriculture Organization. The State of the World's Land and water Resources for
 Food and Agriculture systems at breaking point (SOLAW 2021). In: *The State of the World's Land and Water Resources for Food and Agriculture Systems at Breaking Point*(SOLAW 2021). Rome: FAO. doi: 10.4060/cb7654en.
- Fouda AH, Hassan SED, Eid AM et al. Biotechnological applications of fungal endophytes
 associated with medicinal plant *Asclepias sinaica* (Bioss.). *Ann Agric Sci* 2015;60:95–104.
- Geoffrey SK, Hillary NK, Antony KM et al. Challenges and strategies to improve tomato
 competitiveness along the tomato value chain in Kenya. *IJBM* 2014;9:205–12.
- 626 Gonçalves DR, Pena R, Zotz G et al. Effects of fungal inoculation on the growth of Salicornia
 627 (Amaranthaceae) under different salinity conditions. *Symbiosis* 2021;84:195–208.
- Hamzah TNT, Lee SY, Hidayat A et al. Diversity and characterization of endophytic fungi
 isolated from the tropical mangrove species, *Rhizophora mucronata*, and identification of
 potential antagonists against the soil-borne fungus, *Fusarium solani*. *Front Microbiol*2018;9:1707.
- Hossain MA, Bhattacharjee S, Armin SM *et al.* Hydrogen peroxide priming modulates abiotic
 oxidative stress tolerance: insights from ROS detoxification and scavenging. *Front Plant Sci* 2015;6:420.

635 Hosseyni Moghaddam MSH, Safaie N, Rahimlou S et al. Inducing tolerance to abiotic stress in

- Hordeum vulgare L. by halotolerant endophytic fungi associated with salt lake plants. *Front Microbiol* 2022;13:906365.
- Jaber LR. Seed inoculation with endophytic fungal entomopathogens promotes plant growth and
 reduces crown and root rot (CRR) caused by Fusarium culmorum in wheat. *Planta* 2018;248:1525–35.
- Jaber LR, Enkerli J. Effect of seed treatment duration on growth and colonization of *Vicia faba*by endophytic *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium brunneum*. *Biol Control* 2016;103:187–
 95.
- Jaber LR, Salem NM. Endophytic colonisation of squash by the fungal entomopathogen
 Beauveria bassiana (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) for managing Zucchini yellow mosaic virus
 in cucurbits. *Biocontrol Sci Technol* 2014;24:1096–109.
- Jafarzadeh AA, Aliasgharzad N. Salinity and salt composition effects on seed germination and
 root length of four sugar beet cultivars. *Biologia* 2007;62:562–4.
- Ji F, He D, Olaniran AO et al. Occurrence, toxicity, production and detection of Fusarium
 mycotoxin: a review. *Food Proc Process and Nutr* 2019;1:6.
- Jogawat A, Vadassery J, Verma N et al. PiHOG1, a stress regulator MAP kinase from the root
 endophyte fungus Piriformospora indica, confers salinity stress tolerance in rice plants. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:36765.
- Jones A, Panagos P, Barcelo S et al. The state of soil in Europe: a contribution of the JRC to the
 European Environment Agency's environment state and outlook report -- SOER 2010.
 European Environment Agency 2012. <u>http://www.eea.europa.eu/soer/2010</u> (7 October
 2022, date last accessed).
- Junglee S, Urban L, Sallanon H et al. Optimized assay for hydrogen peroxide determination in
 plant tissue using potassium iodide. *Am J Anal Chem* 2014;05:730–6.
- Kaul S, Sharma T, K Dhar MK. "Omics" tools for better understanding the plant–endophyte
 interactions. *Front Plant Sci* 2016;7:955.
- Khalil AMA, Hassan SED, Alsharif SM et al. Isolation and characterization of fungal endophytes
 isolated from medicinal plant *Ephedra pachyclada* as plant growth-promoting. *Biomolecules*2021;11:140.
- Kim H, You YH, Yoon H et al. Culturable fungal endophytes isolated from the roots of coastal
 plants inhabiting Korean east coast. *Mycobiology* 2014;42:100–8.
- Kumar A, Verma JP. Does plant-Microbe interaction confer stress tolerance in plants: A review?
 Microbiol Res 2018;207:41–52.



- characterization by UV-vis spectroscopy. *Curr Protoc Food Anal Chem* 2001;1: F4.3.1F4.3.8.
- Maciá-Vicente JG, Ferraro V, Burruano S *et al.*. Fungal assemblages associated with roots of
 halophytic and non-halophytic plant species vary differentially along a salinity gradient.
 Microb Ecol 2012;64:668–79.
- Moles TM, Francisco RB, Mariotti L, et al. Salinity in Autumn-Winter Season and Fruit Quality
 of Tomato Landraces. *Front Plant Sci 2019;* 10:1078
- Molina-Montenegro MA, Acuña-Rodríguez IS, Torres-Díaz C et al. Antarctic root endophytes
 improve physiological performance and yield in crops under salt stress by enhanced energy
 production and Na+ sequestration. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:5819.
- Morsy M, Cleckler B, Armuelles-Millican H. Fungal endophytes promote tomato growth and
 enhance drought and salt tolerance. *Plants (Basel)* 2020;9:1–18.
- Muiruri VM, Owen RB, Lowenstein TK et al. A million year vegetation history and
 palaeoenvironmental record from the Lake Magadi Basin, Kenya Rift Valley. *Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol* 2021;567:110247.
- Mutune B, Ekesi S, Niassy S et al. Fungal endophytes as promising tools for the management of
 bean stem maggot *Ophiomyia phaseoli* on beans *Phaseolus vulgaris*. *J Pest Sci*2016;**89**:993–1001.

Mutungi PM, Wekesa VW, Onguso J et al. Culturable bacterial endophytes associated with
 shrubs growing along the draw-down zone of Lake Bogoria, Kenya: assessment of
 antifungal potential against *Fusarium solani* and induction of bean root rot protection. *Front Plant Sci* 2021;12:796847.

- Muvea AM, Meyhöfer R, Subramanian S et al. Colonization of onions by endophytic fungi and
 their impacts on the biology of *thrips tabaci*. *PLOS ONE* 2014;9:e108242.
- Niu S, Gao Y, Zi H *et al.* The osmolyte-producing endophyte Streptomyces albidoflavus OsiLf–2
 induces drought and salt tolerance in rice via a multi-level mechanism. *Crop J* 2022;10:375 86.
- 697 Ogbe AA, Gupta S, Stirk WA et al.. Growth-promoting characteristics of fungal and bacterial
 698 endophytes isolated from a drought-tolerant mint species *Endostemon obtusifolius* (E. Mey.
- 699 ex Benth.) N.E.Br. *Plants 2023*; 12;**3**:12030638.
- Orwa P, Mugambi G, Wekesa V et al. Isolation of haloalkaliphilic fungi from Lake Magadi in
 Kenya. *Heliyon* 2020;6:e02823.
- Ownley BH, Griffin MR, Klingeman WE et al. *Beauveria bassiana*: endophytic colonization and
 plant disease control. *J Invertebr Pathol* 2008;**98**:267–70.

- Pappas ML, Liapoura M, Papantoniou D et al. The beneficial endophytic fungus *fusarium solani* strain K alters tomato responses against spider mites to the benefit of the plant. *Front Plant Sci* 2018;**9**:1603.
- Paul JS, Tiwari KL, Jadhav SK. Long term preservation of commercial important fungi in
 glycerol at 4°C. *Int J Biol Chem* 2015;9:79–85.
- Penn CJ, Camberato JJ. A critical review on soil chemical processes that control how soil PH
 affects phosphorus availability to plants. *Agriculture* 2019;9:9060120.
- Radhakrishnan R, Khan AL, Kang SM et al. A comparative study of phosphate solubilization and
 the host plant growth promotion ability of *Fusarium verticillioides* RK01 and Humicola sp.
 KNU01 under salt stress. *Ann Microbiol* 2015;65:585–93.
- 714 Rajabi Dehnavi AR, Zahedi M, Ludwiczak A et al. Effect of salinity on seed germination and
- seedling development of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) genotypes. Agronomy
 2020;10:859.
- Redman RS, Kim YO, Woodward CJDA et al. Increased fitness of rice plants to abiotic stress via
 habitat adapted symbiosis: A strategy for mitigating impacts of climate change. *PLOS ONE* 2011;6:e14823.
- Redman RS, Sheehan KB, Stout RG *et al.* Thermotolerance generated by plant/fungal symbiosis.
 Science 2002;**298**:1581.
- Rho H, Hsieh M, Kandel SL et al. Do endophytes promote growth of host plants under stress? A
 meta-analysis on plant stress mitigation by endophytes. *Microb Ecol* 2018;75:407-18.
- Rodriguez R, Redman R. More than 400 million years of evolution and some plants still can't
 make it on their own: plant stress tolerance via fungal symbiosis. *J Exp Bot* 2008;**59**:1109–
 14.
- Sahoo S, Subban K, Chelliah J. Diversity of marine macro-Algicolous endophytic fungi and
 cytotoxic potential of *Biscogniauxia petrensis* metabolites against cancer cell lines. *Front Microbiol* 2021;12:650177.
- Schagerl M, Renaut RW. Dipping into the soda lakes of East Africa. In: Schagerl M, (ed.). Soda
 Lakes of East Africa. Berlin: Springer International Publishing, 2016, 3–24.
- Sharma AK, Sharma V, Saxena J et al. Isolation and screening of extracellular protease enzyme
 from bacterial and fungal isolates of soil. *IJSRES* 2015;3:–334–40.
- Srinivas C, Nirmala Devi D, Narasimha Murthy K *et al. Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici*causal agent of vascular wilt disease of tomato: biology to diversity– A review. *Saudi J Biol Sci* 2019;26:1315–24.
- 737 Sunitha VH, Ramesha A, Savitha J et al. Amylase production by endophytic fungi
- 738 Cylindrocephalumsp. isolated from medicinal plant Alpinia calcarata (Haw.) Roscoe. *Braz J* 739 *Microbiol* 2012;**43**:1213–21.

- Tamura K, Nei M. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of
 mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Mol Biol Evol* 1993;10:512–26.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, MEGA KS. *Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis*, Version 11. *Mol Biol Evol*, 2021, 38, 3022–7.
- Too Much Salt TG. *Date Last Accessed*. Available from: <u>https://apo.org.au/node/244651</u>. The
 growing threat that salinity poses to global food production. Future directions international
 2019, 29 November 2021.
- 747 Umesha S, Manukumar HM, Raghava S. A rapid method for isolation of genomic DNA from
 748 food-borne fungal pathogens. *3 Biotech. Biotech* 2016;**6**:123.
- Verma H, Kumar D, Kumar V et al. The potential application of endophytes in management of
 stress from drought and salinity in crop plants. *Microorganisms* 2021;9:9081729.
- Waqas M, Khan AL, Hamayun M et al. Endophytic infection alleviates biotic stress in sunflower
 through regulation of defence hormones, antioxidants and functional amino acids. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 2015;141:803–24.
- Wehner J, Powell JR, Muller LAH et al. Determinants of root-associated fungal communities
 within A steraceae in a semi-arid grassland. *J Ecol* 2014;102:425–36.
- Xie W, Yang J, Gao S et al. The effect and influence mechanism of soil salinity on phosphorus
 availability in coastal salt-affected soils. *Water* 2022;14:2804.
- Yao D, Wu J, Luo Q et al. Effects of salinity stress at reproductive growth stage on rice (Oryza sativa L.) composition, starch structure, and physicochemical properties. *Front Nutr* 2022;9:926217.
- Zhang JT, Mu CS. Effects of saline and alkaline stresses on the germination, growth,
 photosynthesis, ionic balance and anti-oxidant system in an alkali-tolerant leguminous
 forage *Lathyrus quinquenervius*. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 2009;55:685–97.
- Zhao C, Zhang H, Song C et al. Mechanisms of plant responses and adaptation to soil salinity.
 Innovation (Camb) 2020;1:100017.
- Zhou J, Diao X, Wang T et al. Phylogenetic diversity and antioxidant activities of culturable
 fungal endophytes associated with the mangrove species *Rhizophora stylosa* and *R. mucronata* in the South China Sea. *PLOS ONE* 2018;13:e019735.
- 769 Zhou W, White JF, Soares MA et al. Diversity of fungi associated with plants growing in
- geothermal ecosystems and evaluation of their capacities to enhance thermotolerance of host
 plants. *J Plant Interact* 2015;10:305–14.
- Zhu T, Deng X, Zhou X et al. Ethylene and hydrogen peroxide are involved in brassinosteroid–
 induced salt tolerance in tomato. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:35392.
- 774



Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/femsmicrobes/advance-article/doi/10.1093/femsmc/xtae012/7659821 by Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie user on 02 May 2024

776

Figure1. Unrooted phylogenetic tree of fungal endophytes depicting the evolutionary history of the isolates using the maximum likelihood method with 1000 bootstrap replicates and complete elimination of gaps and missing data. Phylogenetic analysis was performed in MEGA 11. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown below the branches.

781







Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/femsmicrobes/advance-article/doi/10.1093/femsmc/xtae012/7659821 by Max-Planck-Institut für Biogeochemie user on 02 May 2024



Figure 3. (A) Mean (\pm SE) radial growth of endophytes on PDA plates (n = 3) supplemented with

792 different concentrations of sodium chloride. (B) Effect of fungal endophytes on seed germination

- following seed soaking with 108 conidia/ml and incubation on PDA plates supplemented with 0
- 794 to 125mM NaCl concentrations (n = 30).
- 795



797

Figure 4. Violin plot representing the effect of different fungal endophytes on seedling growth in terms of root fresh weight (A),root dry weight (B), shoot fresh weight (c),and shoot dry weight (D) after exposure of seedlings to salinity stress for 21 days. CS, uninoculated control seedlings exposed to salinity stress. C, uninoculated seedlings without exposure to salinity stress. Treatments with different letters are significantly different from each other (ANOVA test followed by Student Newman–Keuls Test, p = 0.05)





Figure 5. Effect of endophytic fungi on chlorophyll a (A), chlorophyll b (B), and total chlorophyll (C) contents of the leaves of tomato seedlings exposed to 125 mM NaCl for 28 days. The same letter indicates no significant difference in chlorophyll concentration. S+F-, uninoculated control seedlings exposed to salinity stress. Control refers to uninoculated seedlings with no exposure to salinity stress.





Figure 6. Effect of fungal endophytes on hydrogen peroxide production by tomato seedlings exposed to 125 mM NaCl for 28 days. Isolates followed by the same letter indicate no significant difference in hydrogen peroxide production. S + F-, uninoculated control seedlings exposed to salinity stress. C, uninoculated seedlings without exposure to salinity stress.

GI

Isolat	Source plant	Plant	GPS coordinatess	Gr	owth o	n NaC	l ^a	Enzymatic activities ^b			Phosphat
e No.	name	part		0M	0.5 M	1. 0 M	1.5 M	Am ylas e	Prote ase	Cell ulas e	e solubiliza tion ^b
F01	Commicarpus grandiflorus	Stem	1°59′00′′S 36°14′25′′E 651M	++	-	-	-	+	+	-	+
F02	Commicarpus grandifloras	Root	1°59′00′′S 36°14′25′′E 651M	+++	+	-	-	+	-	+	+
F03	Commicarpus grandifloras	Stem	1°59′00′′S 36°14′25′′E 651M	++++	+	-	-	+	-	-	
F04	Commicarpus grandifloras	Stem	1°59′00′′S 36°14′25′′E 651M	++	+++	++	+	+	+	+	
F05	Commicarpus grandifloras	Stem	1°59′00″S 36°14′25″E 651M	++	+++	++	+	+	+	+	+
F06	Commicarpus grandifloras	Root	1°59′00″S 36°14′25″E 651M	++++	-	-	-	+	Ð	-	ND
F07	Commicarpus grandifloras	Root	1°59′00″S 36°14′25″E 651M	++++	+	-		-	-	-	-
F08	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Root	1°52′02′′S 36°14′46′′E 587M	++++	+	2	-	ND	-	-	+
F09	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Stem	1°52′02″S 36°14′46″E 587M	++++	++	+	-	-	+	-	ND
F10	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Root	1°52′02″S 36°14′46″E 587M		+	-	-	-	-	-	-
F11	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Leaves	1°52′02″S 36°14′46″E 587M	+++	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
F12	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Root	1°52′02′′S 36°14′46′′E 587M	++++	+	-	-	-	-	ND	+
F13	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Leaves	1°52′02″S 36°14′46″E 587M	++++	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
F14	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Stem	1°52′02′′S 36°14′46′′E 587M	+++	++	-	-	+	-	-	+
F15	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Root	1°52′02′′S 36°14′46″E 587M	++++	++	-	-	ND	+	+	+
F16	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Root	1°52′02′′S 36°14′46″E 587M	+++	++	-	-	-	-	-	+
F17	Indigofera spinosa Forssk	Leaves	1°52′02′′S 36°14′46″E 587M	++++	++	+	-	-	-	-	-
F18	Indigofera	Root	1°52′02″S	+++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+

821 Table 1: Endophytic fungal isolates, their respective source plants, and their physiological characteristics

	spinosa Forssk		36°14′46′′E 587M								
F19	Indigofera	Stem	1°52′02″S	++++	-	-	-	-	+	-	+
/	spinosa		36°14′46″E								
	Forssk		587M								
F20	Indigofera	Leaves	1°52′02″S	+++	+	-	-	-	-	+	-
	spinosa		36°14′46″E								
	Forssk		587M								
F21	Indigofera	Root	1°52′02″S	+++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+
	spinosa		36°14′46′′E								
	Forssk		587M								
F22	Indigofera	Leaves	1 52'02''S	++++	++	+	-	+	+	ND	+
	spinosa		36 14'46"E								
500	Forssk	T	58/M								
F23	Indigofera	Leaves	1 52'02''S	+++	+	+	-	-	+	+	ND
	spinosa Eouaak		30 14'40"E								
E24	FORSSK	Stam	1°52/02//S						1	1	
Γ24	spinosa	Stem	1 52 02 5 36°1 <i>4/</i> 46″E			-	-	-	т	T	T
	Forssk		587M								
F25	Indigofera	Root	1°52′02″S	++	-	-	-	+	- /	+	
120	spinosa		36°14′46″E						(· ,>	7
	Forssk		587M								
F26	Indigofera	Root	1°52′02′′S	++++	+	++	+	+ /	+	-	ND
	spinosa		36°14′46″E						\mathbf{i}		
	Forssk		587M								
F27	Indigofera	Root	1°52′02′′S	++++	++	+	-	+	-	+	+
	spinosa		36°14′46″E								
	Forssk		587M					$\mathbf{\mathbf{Y}}$			
F28	Tarchonanthu	Root	1°53′41″S	++	++	+	+	+	-	+	-
	s camphoratus		36°15′12″E								
			616M								
F29	Tarchonanthu	Root	1 53′41″S	++	++		-	-	-	-	+
	s camphoratus		36°15′12″E								
T.A.C			616M								
F30	Tarchonanthu	Root	1 53'41''S		Y	-	-	-	-	-	+
	s camphoratus		36 15'12"E								
F21	T 1 1	D (016M	Y							
F31	Iarchonanthu	Root	1 53'41''S	++	+	-	-	+	-	-	-
	s camphoratus		50 15 12"E								
E22	Tanahanandh	Doot	010IVI								
г 32	1 arcnonanthu	Koot	1 35'41"5 26°15'12''E	+++	++	+	-	-	-	+	т
	s campnoratus		50 15 12 E								
F33	Tarchonanthy	Root	1°53'41''S	++++	+		_	_	+	_	_
1.33	s camphoratus	KOOL	36°15'12''F			-	-	-	'	-	-
	scumpnoratus		616M								
F34	Tarchonanthy	Root	1°53'41''S	++++	-	-	-	ND	_	-	ND
1.2.1	s camphoratus		36°15′12″E					1.12			
	- campnoranas		616M								
F35	Tarchonanthu	Root.	1°53′41′′S	+++	++	+	-	+	-	+	ND
	s camphoratus	V Y	36°15′12″E								=
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Y	616M								
F36					+	-	-	-	+	-	+
F36	Tarchonanthu	Stem	1°53′41″S	+++							
F36	Tarchonanthu s camphoratus	Stem	1°53′41″S 36°15′12″E	+++	1						
F36	Tarchonanthu s camphoratus	Stem	1°53'41"S 36°15'12"E 616M	+++							
F36 F37 🛦	Tarchonanthu s camphoratus Tarchonanthu	Stem Stem	1°53'41''S 36°15'12''E 616M 1°53'41''S	+++	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
F36 F37	Tarchonanthu s camphoratus Tarchonanthu s camphoratus	Stem Stem	1`53'41''S 36°15'12''E 616M 1`53'41''S 36°15'12''E	+++	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
F36	Tarchonanthu s camphoratus Tarchonanthu s camphoratus	Stem Stem	1`53'41''S 36°15'12''E 616M 1`53'41''S 36°15'12''E 616M	+++	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
F36 F37 F38	Tarchonanthu s camphoratus Tarchonanthu s camphoratus Tarchonanthu	Stem Stem Leaves	1 53'41''S 36°15'12''E 616M 1 53'41''S 36°15'12''E 616M 1 °53'41''S	+++	-	-	-	-+	+ +	-	-

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	- + + -
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- + - +
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	+ - +
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ - + -
Alton is camphoratus Derive of 5 15'12''E 616M Alton is camphoratus Alton is camphoratus F41 Tarchonanthu s camphoratus Leaves $1^{+}53'41''S - 616M$ ++++ + - + </td <td>+ + ND</td>	+ + ND
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- + -
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- + -
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	+
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	+
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	+ - -
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ND
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ND -
F43 Prosopis juliflora Stem $156'52''S$ $36^{\circ}14'25''E$ 654M $+++$ $ -$ <td>ND -</td>	ND -
Julifiora 36 14 25 ° E 654M F44 Prosopis julifiora Root 1° 56'52''S 36° 14'25''E 654M ++ - - + ND - F45 Prosopis julifiora Root 1° 56'52''S 56'52''S +++ - - + ND - F45 Prosopis julifiora Root 1° 56'52''S 56'52''S +++ - - - + + + F46 Prosopis juliflora Root 1° 56'52''S 56'52''S ++++ + + - - + + + F47 Prosopis juliflora Root 1° 56'52''S 56'52''S +++ - - +	
F44 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $36^{\circ}14'25''E$ 654M ++ - - + ND - F45 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $36^{\circ}14'25''E$ 654M +++ - - + ND - F45 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ 654M ++++ - - - + + F46 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ 654M ++++ + - - + + F47 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ 654M +++ - - - +	-
F44 Prosopis juliflora Root 1 36 32 S (14'25''E) (54M) $+++$ $ +$ ND $-$ F45 Prosopis juliflora Root 1 56'52''S (14'25''E) (54M) $+++$ $ +$ $+$	-
$julifora$ 30 1425 E $654M$ $654M$ F45 $Prosopis$ juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $+++$ $ +$ $+$ F46 $Prosopis$ juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $++++$ $+$ $ +$ $+$ F46 $Prosopis$ juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $++++$ $+$ $ +$ $+$ F47 $Prosopis$ juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $+++$ $ +$ $+$ F48 $Prosopis$ juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $+++$ $ ND$ $+$ $-$ F49 $Prosopis$ $Stem Stem 1^{\circ}56'52''S552''S ++++ ND + - $	
F45 Prosopis juliflora Root $156'52''S56'14'25''E654M +++ - - + + F46 Prosopisjuliflora Root 156'52''S654M ++++ + - - + + F46 Prosopisjuliflora Root 156'52''S654M ++++ + - - + + F47 Prosopisjuliflora Root 156'52''S654M ++++ - - + + + F48 Prosopisjuliflora Root 156'52''S654M ++++ - - ND + - F49 Prosopis Stem 156'52''S ++++ - - ND + - $	
F10 Prosopis Root 1500257 147257 $juliflora$ $36^{\circ}14'25'7$ $654M$ $++++$ $+$ F46 Prosopis Root $156'52''S$ $++++$ $+$ $juliflora$ Root $156'52''S$ $++++$ $+$ $-$ F47 Prosopis Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $+++$ $ -$ F47 Prosopis Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $+++$ $ -$ F48 Prosopis Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $+++$ $ -$ F48 Prosopis Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $+++$ $ -$ F49 Prosopis Stem $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $++++$ $ +$	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
F46 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $36^{\circ}14'25''E$ 654M ++++ +++ ++ + - + + F47 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $36^{\circ}14'25''E$ 654M +++ - - + <	// I
juliflora $36^{\circ}14'25''E$ $654M$ $+++$ $ -$ F47Prosopis julifloraRoot $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $+++$ $ -$ F48Prosopis julifloraRoot $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $+++$ $ -$ F48Prosopis julifloraRoot $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $+++$ $ -$ F49Prosopis $654M$ Stem $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ $++++$ $ -$	+
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
F47 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ +++ - - + + F48 Prosopis juliflora Root $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ +++ - - + <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
juliflora $36 14'25''E$ $654M$ F48Prosopis julifloraRoot $1°56'52''S$ $36°14'25''E654MF49Prosopis1°56'52''S++++++++$	+
F48Prosopis julifloraRoot $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ +++-ND+F49ProsopisStem $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $654M$ ++++-++	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-
F49 Prosonic Stem $1^{\circ}56'52''S$ $++++$	
	+
iuliflora 36°14′25″E	
654M	
F50 Prosopis Stem 1°56'52''S +++ ++ + + +	-
juliflora 36°14′25″E	
654M	
F51 Prosopis Root 1°56′52″S ++ + + +	+
juliflora 36°14′25″E	
654M	
F52 <i>Prosopis</i> Root $1.56'52''S$ $+++$ $+$ $ +$	+
juliflora 36 14 25 "E	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	+
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
654M	1
F54 Lactuca Root 2°00'04''S +++++ + + +	
inermis 36°13′56″E	-
Forssk 606M	-
F55 Lactuca Root 2 ⁰ 00'04''S ++ + + + -	-
<i>inermis</i> 36 ⁰ 13'56"E	
Forssk 606M	- ND
	- ND
F56 Lactuca Leaves $2^{0}00'04''S$ +++ +	
F56 Lactuca Leaves $2^{0}00'04''S$ +++ + inermis $36^{0}13'56''E$ +++ +	- ND -
F56 Lactuca Leaves $2^{0}00'04''S$ +++ + inermis $36^{0}13'56''E$ $606M$ ++++ + +	- ND -
F56 Lactuca inernis Forssk Leaves $2^{0}00'04''S$ $36^{0}13'56''E$ $606M$ +++ - - - + F57 Lactuca Stem $2^{0}00'04''S$ $26^{0}12'56''E$ ++ + - - + +	- ND - +
F56 Lactuca inernis Forssk Leaves $2^{0}00'04''S$ 606M +++ - - - + F57 Lactuca inernis Eoresk Stem $2^{0}00'04''S$ $36^{0}13'56''E$ ++ + - - + + F57 Lactuca inernis Eoresk Stem $2^{0}00'04''S$ 606M ++ + - + + +	- ND - +
F56 Lactuca inervis Forssk Leaves $2^{0}00'04''S$ 606M +++ - - - + F57 Lactuca inervis Forssk Stem $2^{0}00'04''S$ $36^{0}13'56''E$ 606M ++ + - - + + F57 Lactuca inervis Forssk Stem $2^{0}00'04''S$ 606M ++ + - + + +	- ND - + +
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- ND - + + +

F59	Lactuca	Leaves	2°00′04″S	++++	++	+	-	+	-	-	-
	inermis		36 ⁰ 13′56″E								
	Forssk		606M								
F60	Lactuca	Leaves	2°00′04″S	++	+	-	-	-	+	+	+
	inermis		36 ⁰ 13′56″E								
	Forssk		606M								

MAT

822 Key: ^aGrowth response to salt concentrations: -, no growth; +, Slight growth: ++, Low growth: +++,

823 Moderate growth; ++++, Full growth. ^bExo-enzyme production: -, No production: +, production. ND not

tested. Lines in bold indicate the isolates and source plants that were used for further experiments