



EDITORIAL

10.1029/2024AV001290

Peer Review The peer review history for this article is available as a PDF in the Supporting Information.

Key Points:

- The editors thank the 2023 peer reviewers

Correspondence to:

S. Trumbore,
trumbore@bgc-jena.mpg.de

Citation:

Barros, A., Becker, T., Cardenas, M. B., Davidson, E., Gruber, N., Hofmann, E., et al. (2024). Thank you to our 2023 peer reviewers. *AGU Advances*, 5, e2024AV001290. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024AV001290>

























Received 19 APR 2024

Accepted 19 APR 2024

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: Ana Barros, Thorsten Becker, M. Bayani Cardenas, Eric Davidson, Nicolas Gruber, Eileen Hofmann, Mary Hudson, Tissa Illangasekare, Sarah Kang, Alberto Montanari, Marcos Moreno, Francis Nimmo, Larry Paxton, Francois Primeau, Vincent Salters, David Schimel, Bjorn Stevens, Hang Su, Jessica Tierney, Susan Trumbore, Donald Wuebbles, Peter Zeitler, Binzheng Zhang, Xi Zhang

Thank You to Our 2023 Peer Reviewers

Ana Barros¹ , Thorsten Becker² , M. Bayani Cardenas² , Eric Davidson³ , Nicolas Gruber⁴ , Eileen Hofmann⁵ , Mary Hudson⁶ , Tissa Illangasekare⁷ , Sarah Kang⁸ , Alberto Montanari⁹ , Marcos Moreno¹⁰ , Francis Nimmo¹¹ , Larry Paxton¹² , Francois Primeau¹³ , Vincent Salters¹⁴ , David Schimel¹⁵ , Bjorn Stevens⁸ , Hang Su¹⁶ , Jessica Tierney¹⁷ , Susan Trumbore¹⁸ , Donald Wuebbles¹ , Peter Zeitler¹⁹ , Binzheng Zhang²⁰ , and Xi Zhang²¹ 

¹University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL, USA, ²University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA, ³University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science, Frostburg, MD, USA, ⁴ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland, ⁵Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA, ⁶Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA, ⁷Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO, USA, ⁸Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany, ⁹Universita' di Bologna, Bologna, Italy, ¹⁰Pontifical Catholic University of Chile, Santiago, Chile, ¹¹University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA, ¹²Johns Hopkins University, Laurel, MD, USA, ¹³University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA, ¹⁴Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL, USA, ¹⁵Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA, ¹⁶Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany, ¹⁷University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA, ¹⁸Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany, ¹⁹Lehigh University, Bethlehem, PA, USA, ²⁰Department of Earth Sciences and Laboratory for Space Sciences, Hong Kong University, Hong Kong, Hong Kong, ²¹Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA, USA

Abstract On behalf of the AGU Advances editorial team, we would like to express our sincere gratitude to everyone who reviewed manuscripts for us in 2023. Peer review is time-consuming, but it remains essential to the scientific process. Advances reviewers continue to help define the scope of our journal by commenting specifically on whether a paper is likely to have broad and immediate impact. We also appreciate the degree to which reviewers have embraced AGU's open data strategies, although this obviously takes more time.

Plain Language Summary At AGU Advances, we respect the time of reviewers. Papers submitted to AGU Advances first go through an editorial review process. We send for review only those papers that the consulting editors agree are “reviewer-ready”—that advance the science and communicate results clearly and without obvious errors. This year, we increased the standard requested time to review from 2 to 3 weeks in recognition that we ask reviewers to take on full-length papers with the added need to consider the availability of data. If after review, we think the paper better suited to another journal, we try to streamline the process by allowing authors to transfer reviews if we decide the paper is better suited to another AGU journal. We received 281 submissions in 2023, and 177 reviewers contributed to their evaluation. With AGU Advances transparent review, the reviewer comments and author responses for every paper are published as a supplement to the paper. We still feel the numbers of papers and reviewers are small enough that we will refrain from publishing the names this year—you know who you are, and we thank you!

© 2024. The Authors.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.