1	Drought and fire affect soil CO ₂ efflux and use of non-structural carbon by roots
2	in forests of southern Amazonia
3	
4	Wanderley Rocha da Silva ^a , Divino Vicente Silvério ^b , Leonardo Maracahipes-Santos ^c ,
5	Susan Trumbore ^d , Yadvinder Malhi ^{e,f} , Lucieta Guerreiro Martorano ^{a,g} , Paulo Monteiro
6	Brando ^{c,h}
7	
8	^a Programa de Pós-Graduação em Sociedade, Natureza e Desenvolvimento (PPGSND),
9	Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará, UFOPA, Rua Vera Paz, s/n (Unidade Tapajós)
10	Bairro Salé, 68035-110, Santarém, Pará, Brazil.
11	^b Departamento de Biologia, Universidade Federal Rural da Amazônia (UFRA), Capitão
12	Poço 68650-000, Pará, Brazil.
13	^c Instituto de Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia (IPAM), Rua Horizontina 104, 78640-
14	000, Canarana, MT, Brazil.
15	^d Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany.
16	e Environmental Change Institute (ECI), School of Geography and the Environment,
17	University of Oxford, UK
18	^f Leverhulme Centre for Nature Recovery, University of Oxford, UK
19	^g Programa de Pós-Graduação, Rede Bionorte, Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa
20	Agropecuária, Embrapa Amazonia Oriental, Travessa NS Um A, Diamantino, 68020-
21	640, Santarém, PA, Brazil.
22	^h Yale School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States.
23	
24	

25 Correspondence

26	* Wanderley Rocha da Silva: Programa de Pós-Graduação em Sociedade, Natureza e
27	Desenvolvimento (PPGSND), Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará, UFOPA, Rua Vera
28	Paz, s/n (Unidade Tapajós) Bairro Salé, CEP 68035-110, Santarém, Pará, Brazil. E-mail:
29	wanderbio@gmail.com (W. Rocha). And Leonardo Maracahipes-Santos: Instituto de
30	Pesquisa Ambiental da Amazônia (IPAM), 78640-000, Rua Horizontina, 104, Centro,
31	Canarana, MT, Brazil. E-mail address: maracahipesbio@gmail.com (L. Maracahipes-
32	Santos).

33

- 34 **Running Head:** Fire and Root Carbon Dynamics
- 35

36 Funding information

The National Science Foundation (IOS #1457602/1457662, DEB #1541851, LTREB 37 38 #2027827 and EAR #1739724) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico—CNPq; PELD-TANG (#441703/2016-0; #441940/2020-0) provided vital 39 40 funding support for this research. The Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de 41 Nível Superior (CAPES/BRASIL) provided scholarship for WRS а (#88887.901746/2023-00; FINANCE CODE 001). 42

Drought and fire events reduce the ability of tropical forests to cycle and store carbon due 45 to elevated tree mortality and reduced growth. However, the combined effects of drought 46 and fire on soil CO₂ efflux and subsurface carbon cycling remain poorly understood, 47 particularly in the Amazon. Here we evaluated how multiple burns and extreme drought 48 events affect soil CO₂ efflux and the use of non-structural carbon (NSC) in the roots of 49 forest species in southern Amazonia. We studied two different plots, one intact forest 50 (control) and another that was burned annually from 2004 until 2010 (burned). We 51 monitored: a) soil CO₂ efflux every three months between 2009 and 2012 (n = 25); b) the 52 amount of above ground litterfall (n = 21; c) the production of fine roots (n = 16) every 53 54 three months for the same period; and d) estimated the age of NSC used in the production of fine roots from radiocarbon (samples from 2009-2011; n = 15). Multiple fires in the 55 burn plot reduced soil CO₂ efflux by 18.7% compared to the control plot, and altered the 56 relationships between litter fluxes, soil temperature and soil CO₂ efflux. After the 2010 57 drought, soil CO_2 efflux in 2011 in the control plot was reduced by 17%. Relatively 58 freshly fixed C was used to produce new fine roots in 2009 (<2 years), but the age of C 59 used to grow new roots in 2010 and 2011 increased to 2-4 years old, especially in the 60 61 burned plot. Our results indicate that fire and drought events reduce soil CO₂ efflux and vegetation productivity, and that the survival of some plants relies on the storage of NSC. 62 63

- 64 Keywords: Drought events, Burning, Perennial seasonal forest, Carbon allocation.
- 65

66 1. INTRODUCTION

67

Natural fires rarely occur in the Amazon (Hammond & ter Steege, 1998; Turcq et al., 68 1998; Behling et al., 2001; Bush et al., 2004; Bush et al., 2007), but anthropogenic fires 69 can ignite large wildfires during episodic drought events (Nepstad et al., 1999; Moutinho 70 & Nepstad, 2001; Laurence & Vasconcelos, 2000). Under severe droughts, large areas of 71 Amazonian forests can dry out and drive increased fuel loads, resulting in increased forest 72 flammability. During the drought conditions in 1998, for example, an estimated one-third 73 of the Amazon region became flammable (Nepstad et al., 1999). The occurrence of 74 75 droughts in Amazonia may become more frequent in the future due to predicted climate change (IPCC, 2007; Duffy et al., 2015). Fire thus is likely to become an even more 76 important component of the dynamics and trajectory of Amazonian forests in the future 77 78 (Brando et al., 2020).

Severe Amazon drought events impact the global carbon cycle. The extra area 79 burned in drought year fires drive increased CO₂ emissions (Alencar et al., 2006). 80 However, drought also can lead to net ecosystem C losses through increased tree mortality 81 and reduced C sinks from tree growth (Lewis et al. 2011). In 2005 and 2010, Lewis et al. 82 83 (2011) estimated these 'committed' fluxes as 1.6 PgC (0.8-2.6 95%CI) and 2.2 PgC (1.2 -3.4,95% CI), respectively. Previous studies have recorded similar effects of drought on 84 carbon stocks in Amazonian forests, for example, in 1992 and 1998 (Nepstad et al., 2004). 85 86 The transitional forests between Amazonia and the Cerrado are highly vulnerable to compounding disturbances associated with fires and droughts. These 87 forests, located along the 'Arc of Deforestation' are highly fragmented (Marques et al., 88 2020), and experience a prolonged dry season. They are among the fastest-warming 89 regions in the Amazon (Marengo et al., 2018), including an increase in seasonal drought 90

associated with a delay in the onset of the rainy season over the past ~40 years. They have
been an epicenter of fire activity in recent years, and some measurements of atmospheric
CO₂ suggest that these forests are becoming a net source of carbon to the atmosphere,
perhaps indicating a loss in resilience (Ivanauskas et al., 2004; Balch et al., 2008; Balch
et al., 2013). Severe droughts occurring with *El Niño* years in 2005, 2010 and 2016, make
them more vulnerable to fires that often start in cattle ranching and agricultural areas
(Brando et al., 2014; Alencar et al., 2004).

Studies of carbon impacts of forest fires and droughts in forests tend to focus on 98 changes in aboveground carbon that occur due to direct emissions from burning material 99 100 or committed emissions associated with tree mortality during and after drought events 101 (Lewis et al. 2011). Fewer studies have investigated belowground impacts, including the legacy effects on above-and belowground productivity and the transfer of CO₂ from the 102 103 soil to the atmosphere (Brown & Lugo, 1982; Malhi, Aragon, Galbraith, et al., 2009; Malhi, Aragon, Metcalfe, et al., 2009). Questions remain about whether drought 104 decreases or increases soil respiration in tropical forests (Lewis et al., 2011; Rocha et al., 105 2013; Metcalfe et al., 2018). According to Vogt et al. (1996), a large portion of the carbon 106 107 stored in tropical forests is belowground, and root biomass accounts for half of the carbon 108 that is recycled annually by some forests. Although severe droughts and wildfires can affect belowground processes (Rocha et al., 2013; Metcalfe et al., 2018), our 109 understanding of changes in root production, carbon allocation, and overall respiration 110 111 remains poorly quantified.

Fire has many effects on forest ecosystem dynamics. First, burning of surface litter can reduce the input of organic matter into the soil, leading to reductions in not only litter but also soil respiration (Nepstad et al., 1999b; Balch et al., 2008; Balch et al., 2013). Second, fires kill trees and their roots, altering an important carbon input and source of soil carbon efflux (Rocha et al., 2013; Doughty et al., 2015; Metcafe et al., 2018). Third,
fires alter species composition and competition for limiting resources, including light,
water, and nutrients, potentially increasing the growth of some species, and reducing
those of others, with unclear effects on soil carbon dynamics/soil respiration. Therefore,
the overall effect of forest fires on soil respiration and root production is complex
(Doughty et al., 2015) and requires further investigation.

122 Tropical trees in transitional forests have several adaptations to cope with dry and hot conditions that allow the maintenance of high photosynthesis rates and carbon 123 storage during the long dry season. These include production of roots to access deeper 124 125 soil water, and in some species storage of nonstructural carbohydrate (NSC) reserves (Hererra Ramírez et al. 2023). The role of NSC in seasonal and extreme drought is 126 complex and varies by plant organ and species (Ramierz et al. 2024). During periods of 127 C depletion caused by stomatal closure or leaf abscission associated with prolonged 128 drought, plants must utilize their reserves to maintain basic physiological processes 129 (Malhi et al., 2011; Doughty et al., 2015), such as respiration and growth. As carbon 130 limitation persists, plants will utilize older NSC reserves to maintain basic functions 131 (Richardson et al., 2015; Muhr et al., 2018; D'Andrea et al., 2019), including fueling new 132 133 root growth (Vargas et al., 2009). Thus, the age of the carbon used and respired by plants can indicate the level of stress plants experience during droughts, as well as following 134 disturbances (Wurth et al., 2005; Sala et al., 2012). 135

In this study, we take advantage of a large-scale disturbance experiment in southeastern Amazonia (Figure 1) to investigate the effects of drought stress and fire on processes influencing soil CO₂ efflux and belowground carbon allocation in Cerrado-Amazon transition forests. We evaluated soil temperature and moisture, soil and stem respiration, fine root production, and the radiocarbon age of carbon used to grow new fine

roots in a large-scale disturbance experiment in southeastern Amazonia (Figure 1). The 141 experimental area consisted of three 50 ha experimental plots, one unburned [Control], 142 143 and two that were experimentally burned annually or triennially between 2004 and 2010 (Balch et al., 2008; Brando et al., 2012). The area was also affected by two drought events, 144 one in 2007 and another in 2010 (Brando et al., 2014). We were thus able to study the 145 effects of drought on belowground carbon cycling by comparing control plots in 2010 146 versus subsequent years, and fire effects (with and without drought) by comparing control 147 and fire plots. The effects of the combination of drought and fire on soil CO₂ efflux 148 processes and carbon allocation in the plants of the Cerrado-Amazon transition forests 149 150 can: 1) significantly reduce soil CO₂ efflux due to increased mortality and reduction of the litter layer; 2) influence litter production by increasing seasonality, which may be 151 reinforced by a more intense drought period; 3) reduce the production of fine roots as a 152 result of fire and drought stress, reinforcing the importance of analyzing other variables 153 that explain interactions in the soil-plant-atmosphere system; and 4) allocate relatively 154 older non-structural carbon to build new structures, given that trees subjected to fire and 155 drought stress are expected to decrease photosynthesis. 156

157 Here, we aimed to evaluate the factors controlling soil carbon cycling in a 158 primary forest under natural and fire-affected conditions, as well as the effects of drought and multiple burns capable of affecting processes such as soil CO₂ efflux and the use of 159 non-structural carbon (NSC) in root growth in southern Amazonia. We tested three 160 161 hypotheses: a) Drought alone will impact inputs by increasing aboveground litterfall in the drought year, but reducing root productivity and new leaves that can fuel 162 decomposition in the subsequent year; b) Soil CO₂ efflux will be lower in the burned 163 forest than in the unburned forest area due to reductions in surface litter and fine root 164 production in areas that experienced high mortality from fire. This reduction in soil CO₂ 165

efflux occurs despite increases in soil temperature, humidity, and overall necromass production associated with tree mortality in the burned forest. b) Trees subjected to combined drought and fire disturbance stresses allocate older nonstructural carbon reserves to produce new roots.

170

171 2. METHODS

Soil CO₂ efflux combines several processes, including root respiration, 172 decomposition of surface litter, and decomposition of root litter and soil organic matter. 173 174 One of the goals of this study was to compare variations in the rates of CO₂ efflux with the rates of inputs of fresh plant litter. Litter decomposition is typically rapid in tropical 175 forests given the high temperatures and moisture contents, as well as the need to recycle 176 nutrients (Montignini & Jordan, 2002). In this study, we measured seasonal and 177 interannual variations in fine root production and litterfall as inputs, as well as the overall 178 CO₂ efflux to study how fire and drought stresses influence these in a southeastern 179 Amazon forest. 180

181 **2.1. Study site**

The study area is located in Fazenda Tanguro (~85,000 ha), Mato Grosso state, 182 approximately 30 km north of the southern border of the Amazon Rainforest in Brazil 183 (130 04'35. 39 "S, 520 23'08. 85 W") (Figure 1). The area represents open ombrophilous 184 forests and is about 50 km from the Cerrado biome (IBGE, 1993). (IBGE, 1993). The 185 average annual precipitation is $\sim 1770 \text{ mm} (2005-2011)$, with a very intense dry season, 186 with monthly rainfall between May and August below 10 mm. The mean annual air 187 temperature is ~ 25°C, with an average daily and seasonal variation of 5°C above and 188 below the average. The soil type at the site is dystrophic Red-Yellow Latosol (Radam 189 Brasil, 1974; Brazilian soil classification), and soils are well-drained. Groundwater depth 190

is at ~15 m below the surface, and no soil layers prevented root penetration along the soil 191 192 profile. Thise soils of this region are among the least fertile in the Amazon (Table S1) and 193 are representative of much of the Eastern Amazon (Quesada et al., 2010; Quesada et al., 2012). The study was conducted in a remnant fragment of transitional forest that was 194 195 maintained as a forest reserve protected by the owner, as mandated by Brazilian law. The natural vegetation of the farm (44,000 ha) is classified as Perennial Seasonal Forest 196 (Ivanauskas et al., 2008). The average canopy height is ~20 meters, and the diversity of 197 plant species is relatively low when compared to the more humid forests typical of central 198 Amazonia (Balch et al., 2008), with only 97 species of trees and lianas per hectare larger 199 200 than 10 cm dbh [diameter at breast height 1.3 m above ground].

- 201
- 202

203 2.2. Meteorological data

Solar radiation, air temperature, relative humidity, and rainfall time series data were collected at an automatic weather station (AWS) located at 13.08 °S and 51.48 °W. The original data were measured at an hourly time resolution from January 2009 to December 207 2012. We calculated maximum cumulative water deficit (MCWD), a well-established drought index (Aragão et al., 2007), based on precipitation recorded at a weather station located in an open area near the study plots.

210

211 2.3. Soil CO2 efflux, Temperature, and Humidity

Total soil CO₂ efflux for each month was measured at 25 points (Figure 1) along transects spanning the length of each plot, at a distance of 250 m from the edge. CO₂ efflux was measured by circulating air between headspace within a flow-through chamber with an infrared gas analyzer or IRGA (EGM-4 IRGA and SRC-1, PP *Systems, Hitchin*, UK). The chamber top was fit onto plastic (PVC) tubes that were permanently fixed in the soil so that each location was measured repeatedly. The tubes were 12 cm in diameter and 10 cm long, with a small portion inserted at a depth of 2 cm into the soil. At the time of each flux measurement, soil surface temperature (depth of 10 centimeters) was measured with a thermometer (T260 probe, Testo Ltd., Hampshire, UK), and moisture was measured using a *Hydrosense* probe (Campbell Scientific Ltd., Loughborough, UK).



222

Figure 1. Location of the study area on Tanguro Farm (left on the image). Colors indicate vegetation type and land use (forest = green; crop = gray/beige). On the right is the control plot (no fire), on the left is the burned plot (burned every year), and in the center is the plot burned every third year (not studied here). The light green stripes indicate transect F, where all collection points in plots A and C are located.



For litter collection, we installed 25 trays (60 cm long × 40 cm wide) at a height 1 m from the ground. Trays were installed every 20 m along the transect within each plot (Figure 1), and collected every two weeks. The collected litter was divided into leaf and non-leaf materials, stored in labeled paper bags, oven-dried at 65°C for 48h, and then weighed.

235 **2.5. Root collections**

To estimate fine root production, 16 root ingrowth collars were installed in each 236 plot (control and burned). Ingrowth collars had dimensions of 40 cm in length and 20 cm 237 in diameter. They were filled with root-free soil and equipped with screens to allow the 238 239 entrance of fine roots. Ingrowth cores were installed (using the methodology of Vogt et al. [1998]) every 20 m in each plot (Figure 1). Collections began in February 2009 and 240 ended in October 2011. Every three months, the cores were removed, and the roots that 241 242 had grown in through the screens were manually removed from the soil in each core according to the root extraction methodology of Metcalfe et al. (2007). Roots were picked 243 for a period of 40 minutes, while a relationship between the time of picking and the 244 cumulative mass of root extraction over time a range of time intervals was used to predict 245 overall root mass (Metcalfe et al.,2007). The root-free soil from each core was returned 246 247 to and the core reinstalled in the plot. Thus, the same soil was used repeatedly over the 2year period. The roots collected from each ingrowth core were washed thoroughly, oven 248 dried at 65°C for 48 h until a constant mass was reached, and then weighed. After 249 weighing, the collected root samples were stored in sealed paper bags. 250

251 2.6. Analysis of ¹⁴C age of carbon used to grow new roots

We used the method of tracking 'bomb' radiocarbon to estimate the age of carbon used to grow new roots. This method relies on the fact that, following a sudden increase caused by atmospheric nuclear weapons testing in 1964, the radiocarbon content of atmospheric CO_2 has declined with time as this excess was taken up by biota and the oceans and been diluted by ¹⁴C-free CO_2 emitted from fossil fuel burning. Photosynthesis in any of the past 40 years will fix CO_2 with the ¹⁴C signature equal to that years' atmospheric ¹⁴CO₂. C that is stored for several years in plants will reflect that it was fixed in the past by having a higher ¹⁴C content – that reflects how many years elapsed since its C was originally fixed from the atmosphere. For more details see Trumbore (2009); Muhr et al. (2014), Hilman et al. (2021).

In this study, roots picked from the ingrowth cores were known to have grown within the previous 3 months. In total, 15 individual root samples were selected per plot (control and burned): 10 from cores sampling root growth during the rainy and/or postfire periods for each year (2009 and 2010) and five from cores sampled roots grown during the dry season for each year (2009–2011). Sample selection was uneven due to the costs of ¹⁴C analyses and was focused on times with higher root production.

These samples were analyzed in the ¹⁴C laboratory at the Max Planck Institute 268 for Biogeochemistry in Germany. First, they were extracted with an acid-base-acid 269 270 washes to remove soluble components such that the main C analyzed represented structural C. Then the dried extracted samples were ground, combusted, converted to 271 272 graphite and subsequently analyzed using Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (Steinhof et al., 2017). Results are reported as Δ ¹⁴C, the difference (in parts per thousand [‰]) 273 between measured (in 2012) root samples and a preindustrial wood standard. Using this 274 notation, Δ^{14} C of 0‰ means that the ${}^{14}C/{}^{12}$ C of the standard is equal to that of the 275 preindustrial sample. Positive values of Δ^{14} C indicate that the C in the sample was fixed 276 from the atmosphere since the 1960s, while negative values of ¹⁴C indicate that sufficient 277 time (e.g., hundreds to thousands of years) has elapsed since C fixation for radioactive 278 decay of ¹⁴C. 279

We estimated the mean age of carbon in fine root structural tissues by comparing 280 the measured Δ ¹⁴C with the Δ ¹⁴C-CO₂ in the atmosphere as reported for the southern 281 Hemisphere Zones 1 and 2 (Hua et al. 2022), or the time elapsed since the C used to 282 produce root tissues was fixed from the atmosphere. This mean age represents the time 283 elapsed since the atmosphere last had the Δ ¹⁴C value measured in the sample (Hilman et 284 al. 2021). Samples of annual plants sampled over several years at the Tanguro ranch 285 correspond to the southern hemisphere curve of Hua et al. (2022) and indicate there are 286 no local factors influencing the atmospheric Δ^{14} C-CO₂ at this site. 287

288 2.7. Statistical Analysis

The possible differences in soil CO_2 efflux between the plots studied (control and burned) and between the seasons (dry and rainy) were verified using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with two factors, followed by Tukey's test. A simple regression analysis was used to verify the relationship between soil CO_2 efflux, soil humidity, and temperature. Throughout the text, the means are presented as the standard error (\pm SE). All statistical analyses were performed using R software (R Core Team, 2024).

295

296 **3. RESULTS**

297

298 **3.1. Differences between treatments**

Soil moisture (<20 cm depth) had large seasonal variation but minor differences between control and burned plots (Figure 2, Table 1). The highest soil moisture was observed in the second half of the rainy season (February–March) and the lowest soil moisture was observed at the end of the dry season (September) in both plots. The minimum soil moisture occurred at the end of the dry period of 2010 in both plots (5.16% (v/v) and 5.32% in the control and burned plots, respectively). In contrast, the highest soil moisture content occurred in the burned (22.48%) and control (21.36%) plots during the
2012 and 2009 rainy periods. Water deficits expressed as monthly maximum cumulative
water deficit (MCWD) increased over the dry season months (Figure 2). Greatest MCWD
values occur in 2010, the drought year.

Mean soil temperature (depth of 10 centimeters) varied according to seasonality 309 and plot (Figure 2). Highest soil temperatures occurred in the dry season (September-310 311 December) in the fire-disturbed plots, and lowest temperatures between May and July (Figure 2, Table 1). There was considerable interannual variation in soil temperature 312 (Figure 2, Table 1). For example, in 2009, the highest soil temperatures were observed in 313 314 March (25.6°C) and September (24.8°C). However, in 2010, the highest temperatures were recorded in December (27.4°C) and October (25.3°C). The highest temperatures in 315 2011 were recorded in the dry season in August and December (27.9°C and 26.7°C). In 316 317 2012, the highest temperatures recorded were (28.3°C) in September and (25.7°C) in April (Figures 2 and 3, Table 1). 318

319

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4952810



15

Figure 2. Seasonal climate data averages for monthly precipitation (2009–2011), soil moisture (2009–2012), air temperature, soil temperature (depth of 10 centimeters), and climatological maximum soil water deficit of the MCWD between 2009 and 2011 from top to bottom on the graph. All variables were recorded at a weather station located in an open area near the study plots, except for soil moisture, which was recorded using sensors installed in each plot.

329 **3.2. Soil CO2 efflux**

Soil CO₂ efflux varied among treatments, seasons, and years (2009–2012) (Figure 3, Table 1). Across all years of observation, average soil CO₂ efflux was 18.7% lower in the burned plot than in the control plot. Despite this difference, both treatments had similar seasonal patterns, with lower soil CO₂ efflux rates during the dry season and higher rates during the wet season (Figure 3). On average, soil CO₂ efflux was ~15% higher in both plots during the rainy season than in the dry season.

In addition to the variability in soil CO₂ efflux between the two plots and seasons, we observed high interannual variability in CO₂ efflux (Table 1). In particular, the driest sampling years (2010 and 2011) had lower CO₂ efflux rates in both plots. For example, in 2011, CO₂ efflux (0.13 μ mol CO₂ m² s⁻¹) was the lowest recorded, while in 2010, the lowest recorded was (0.16 μ mol CO₂ m² s⁻¹). In 2012, the lowest soil CO₂ efflux rate (0.18 μ mol CO₂ m² s⁻¹) was recorded during the dry season in August of that year (Figure 3, Table 1).

Table 1. Variation in soil CO₂ fluxes (μ mol CO₂ m² s⁻¹) from the soil, with minimum, mean, and maximum values for each year in the control and burned plots during the sampling period.

		Soil CO	2 efflux rates	(μ mol CO ₂ m ² s ⁻¹)	
		Control			Burned	
	Minimum	Average	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Maximum
2009	0.27	0.48	0.69	0.16	0.32	0.47
2010	0.16	0.41	0.76	0.13	0.34	0.61
2011	0.13	0.35	0.65	0.17	0.27	0.46
2012	0.18	0.38	0.68	0.20	0.30	0.41



Figure 3. Mean ± standard error of the seasonal variation in the control and burned plots
of soil carbon dioxide, temperature, and soil moisture measured at the time of collection
between 2009 and 2012 from top to bottom.

Soil CO₂ efflux was strongly influenced by soil moisture (P < 0.001, $R^2 = 0.26$; Figure 4) and temperature (P < 0.001, $R^2 = 0.32$). The relationship between CO₂ fluxes and temperature was stronger for control than burned plots (Figure 4a), while both treatments responded similarly to soil moisture variation (Figure 4b).

357

352



358

Figure 4. Relationships between soil CO₂ efflux and temperature (a), and moisture (b) in
both treatment plots.

361

362 **3.3. Fine Root Production**

The mass of new root biomass in ingrowth cores showed a strong reduction over time but was higher in the control compared to burned plots (Figure 5). For example, in 2009, the control plot had much higher average fine root production compared to the burned plot (4.14 g m⁻² year⁻¹ versus 2.34 g m⁻² year⁻¹). In 2010, root production declined in both plots, but the average root production in the control plot was still much higher 368 (1.25 g m⁻² year⁻¹) than in the burned plot (0.29 g m⁻² year⁻¹). Both plots strongly 369 decreased in average root production over time, and the decline was more pronounced in 370 the burned plots. For example, in the first year of the study, root production was 2.34 g 371 m⁻² year⁻¹, but in the last year, it was 0.10 g m⁻² year⁻¹ (Figure 5).



372

Figure 5. Means plus standard error of root growth in ingrowth cores up to 30 cm depthin the burned plot (yellow) and control plot (green) throughout the collection period.

375

376 **3.4. Age of fine root carbon**

Radiocarbon contents of fine (<2mm) roots picked from the ingrowth cores 377 between 2009 and 2011 showed considerable variation. During the same period, 378 379 atmospheric Δ^{14} CO₂ was declining at a rate averaging ~4.2 ‰ per year (based on Hua et al. 2022), in agreement with annual plant measurements. In 2009, most ingrown root 380 381 samples from both control and burned plots (80%) had Δ^{14} C values close to those measured in annual plants and atmospheric CO₂ samples collected during the same year 382 (Δ^{14} C of 50.9‰ versus 50.3‰ of the atmosphere Δ^{14} CO₂), indicating that the carbon 383 384 allocated to root construction was fixed from the atmosphere in the same year. Notably,





Figure 6. Differences in the carbon allocation period Δ^{14} C (‰) for the root analyses of the burned and control plots (2009–2011). The control plot is green, burned is yellow, while blue points represent annual plants collected in each year as a measure of growing

season atmospheric Δ^{14} CO₂. Vertical error bars indicate analytical error for each sample (\pm 2-4‰).

401

402 **3.5.** Litter production

Litter production between 2009 and 2012 was higher in the control than the 403 burned plots (Table 4). In both plots, with highest litterfall occurred during the dry season 404 405 (May - September) and lowest fluxes during the wet season (October - April) (Table 4). For example, in the control plot, the highest average monthly production (12 Mg biomass 406 ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) occurred during the dry period of 2009. The lowest average (2.58 Mg biomass 407 408 ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) was recorded during the 2012 rainy season. However, in the burned plot, the highest monthly average litter production occurred during the dry period of 2009 (11.52 409 Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). The lowest average (1.61 Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) was recorded 410 411 in March 2012 (Table 4), which was the rainy season.

412

Table 4. Leaf litter production (Mg biomass ha⁻¹ month⁻¹) with minimum, mean, and
maximum values during the study years between the control and burned plots.

		Litter prou)	
	X	Control			Burned	
	Minimum	Average	Maximum	Minimum	Average	Maximum
2009	3.22	6.16	12	2.36	5.51	11.50
2010	2.64	6.22	10	2.47	5.39	9.50
2011	2.63	5.07	9.3	1.73	4.67	8.03
2012	2.58	6.12	11.45	1.61	4.00	6.89

Litter production (Mg biomass ha-1 m-1)

This preprint research paper has not been peer reviewed. Electronic copy available at: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4952810

- We observed large interannual variability in litter production, with lower values in the years following the 2010 drought event. For example, during the years 2009, 2010 and 2011, the control plot litter production was 6.16 (2009), 6.22 (2010) and 5.07 (2011) Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, and burned plot litter production declined from 5.51 (2009) to 5.39 (2010) and 4.67 (2011) Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. In 2012, production increased again in the control plot (6.12 Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹), but continued to decrease in the burned plot (4.00 Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) (Table 4, Figure 7).
- 423

424 **3.6. Relationship between litter and soil CO2 efflux**

The relationship between soil CO_2 efflux and litter production was weak (Figure 7), although litter decomposition annually accounted for roughly a third of the CO_2 effluxes measured in the soils of both plots (Figure 10). For example, the ratio of soil CO_2 efflux to litter production between the plots was 0.29 in the control plot and 0.32 in the burned plot (Figure 7).



Figure 7. From top to bottom in the graph, annual averages of litter production in Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; relationship between soil CO₂ efflux and litter production; relationship between soil CO₂ efflux; annual relationship between soil CO₂ efflux (Mg CO₂ m² s⁻¹) and litter production (Mg biomass ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) between burned and control plots (2009–2012).

436

437 **4. DISCUSSION**

This study examined the effects of fire and drought on soil moisture, root 438 439 productivity, and soil CO₂ efflux in an Amazonian transitional forest, as well as the age of carbon utilized to grow new roots. Analyzing a control forest plot that suffered from 440 severe drought, in contrast to a forest that was frequently subjected to fires, helped us 441 understand the effect of combined fire and drought disturbance, demonstrating that 442 repeated fires and drought reduced litter and fine root production, altered the allocation 443 444 of carbon to root growth and significantly reduced soil CO₂ efflux. Declines in soil CO₂ efflux are mainly related to increased tree mortality from compounding of fire and 445 drought stresses (Brando et al. 2014), leading to diminished inputs of fast-decomposing 446 447 fine litter in the burned plots. Although litter inputs were lower, surface litter production made up a greater proportion of soil CO₂ efflux in the burn plots in most years. Soil 448 temperatures were higher in burn plots although no large effect on soil moisture was 449 450 observed. However, CO₂ efflux in the burned plots was less sensitive to soil temperature than in control plots. 451

The reduction in soil CO_2 efflux in the annually burned area is a partially unexpected result. Fire events increase tree mortality (Balch et al., 2008; Brando et al., 2012; Silverio et al., 2019) and the amount of dead wood decomposition, which would contribute to soil CO_2 efflux (Rocha et al., 2013; Metcalf et al., 2018). However, the

opposite was observed: a reduction in CO_2 efflux from the soil in the burned plot 456 457 compared with the control. Three processes are likely to have influenced this reduction: 1) Root productivity was lower in burned plots than in control plots (Figure 5). Owing to 458 the high tree mortality after the passage of the 2007 experimental fires (Brando et al., 459 2014), the number of living remnant trees growing in the annually burned plot was 460 drastically reduced - thus, both root productivity and root respiration declined. 2) Leaf 461 litter production also declined, coinciding with a reduction in leaf area index in the burned 462 plots compared to control plots during this period (Brando et al. 2019). 3) While indeed 463 more dead wood may be present, this was not necessarily well sampled by our soil CO₂ 464 efflux chambers; further higher air temperatures in burn plots could be associated with 465 466 overall drier wood and reductions in decomposition rate.

467

468 4.1. Fire and drought induce changes in the soil components of transitional forests

Seasonality had a strong influence on the production of litter, with the largest contributions of material between May and September (Figure 7), influenced by falling branches and leaves during the period of low rainfall in the region. Results from other areas of semi-deciduous tropical forests have identified increases in litter during the drier period of the year (Dantas & Phillipson, 1989; Scott et al., 1992; Boinskins, 1989; Songwe et al., 1988).

Considering the period of observations in this study, 2010 stood out with lower
productivity in both the control and burned plots compared to the other years studied.
Fine root production was reduced in the burned plot, probably because of the reduced
number of trees growing in the burned plot compared with the control plot (Balch et al.,
2008; Balch et al., 2011; Brando et al., 2012; Balch et al., 2013; Rocha et al., 2013;
Metcalf et al., 2018). However, a gradual reduction in root production was also observed

in control plots over time. This pattern of reduction in root production in both plots was 481 unexpected because there were no major changes in the dynamics and structure of the 482 control plot, nor were surface litter fluxes declining. Although the ingrowth core is a well-483 established method to quantify fine root growth, several studies suggest that the 484 disturbance associated with core installation, as well as alterations in soil characteristics 485 when the root-free soil is repeatedly placed back into the cores after new roots are 486 removed is a limitation (Hendricks et al., 2006). Particularly given the very nutrient-poor 487 soils at Tanguro, the lack of new nutrients from root turnover could lead to fewer new 488 roots exploring the ingrowth core and explain the reductions in root production observed 489 490 in both control and burned plots over time in our study.

491

492 **4.2.** Changes in soil CO₂ efflux processes

493 In the present study, fire, in conjunction with drought episodes, caused a decrease in soil CO₂ efflux rates as well as a reduction in the seasonal variation of CO₂ 494 efflux (Figure 3). The extrapolated annual CO₂ efflux (7.24 \pm 1.9 MgC ha⁻¹ year⁻¹) for the 495 control site are higher than other published estimates for the Amazon region (Doff Sotta 496 et al., 2004) (6.45 \pm 1.2 MgC ha⁻¹ year⁻¹), and southern Amazonia (6.6 \pm 2.0 MgC ha⁻¹ 497 498 year⁻¹) (Metcalfe et al., 2018), with 95% confidence interval. In a forest with more pronounced dry season in Acre, Brazil, Salimon et al. (2004) found similarly higher 499 annual soil C efflux in a study carried out in a secondary forest, a primary forest, and a 500 501 pasture area, with the highest CO_2 efflux observed in the forest during the rainy season. These results suggest that forests with a more pronounced dry season tend to have higher 502 503 CO_2 flux compared to more continuously wet systems.

The relationships between temperature, moisture and soil CO₂ efflux differed for
burned and control forests. In general, in the control forest, higher soil temperatures meant

higher soil CO_2 efflux (Figure 4). In contrast, the correlation between soil CO_2 efflux and temperature in the burned plot was not strong, despite experiencing overall higher soil temperatures (Figure 4). Similarly, soil CO_2 efflux increased with litterfall in the control forests, but the relationship was not so marked in the burn plots, despite a larger percentage of the overall flux coming from litter (Figure 7).

511

512 4.3. Fire and drought alter belowground C allocation

In this study, we found that fire and drought, in addition to causing a decrease in 513 soil CO₂ efflux rates, likely caused an increase in the age of the carbon allocated to grow 514 515 new roots (Figure 7), with mostly fresh photosynthetic products used to grow new roots 516 in 2009, but with 2-4-year-old C reserves used in 2010 and 2011, particularly in burned plots. Our results are in accord with other studies (e.g., Vargas et al. 2015, Richardson et 517 518 al. 2014), and indicate that trees under fire and drought stress rely more on older stored nonstructural carbon to grow new roots. This can indicate overall greater vulnerability of 519 the remnant trees in the burned plots, compared to the control plots that have greater 520 allocation of fresh photosynthetic products belowground. Together, our results suggest 521 522 that fire and drought significantly reduce carbon cycling and create stressful conditions 523 that can affect mortality in remaining trees not already killed by fire. With ongoing climate change, extreme drought and increased forest flammability can lead to 524 degradation of forests and reduced carbon stocks through higher mortality, accompanied 525 526 by reduction in CO2 efflux in the immediate years after disturbance.

527

528

529 5. CONCLUSION

530 Consecutive fire and extreme drought events in the seasonal forests of southern 531 Amazonia significantly reduced both above- and below-ground litter production and soil 532 CO_2 efflux. Reductions in litter production were associated with higher tree mortality due 533 to fire, while reductions in soil humidity during drought periods also reduced biological 534 activity.

Using root ingrowth cores, we saw a pronounced reduction in the production of fine roots in the burned plot, as well as a more gradual reduction in root production in the control plot. Given that these were not accompanied by similar declines either in aboveground litterfall or soil CO_2 efflux, we conclude that it is important to use other measures of productivity in addition to ingrowth cores, or to replace the soil used in the cores with each deployment in multi-year studies.

Trees subjected to fire and drought stress allocated relatively older nonstructural carbon to build new structures. Photosynthetic production is by fire and drought through reduced leaf area and increased stomatal closure, and reduced availability of fresh assimilation products can force trees to increase the use of reserves previously allocated to storage in the burned and control plots for growth of new fine roots.

546

547

548

549 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PMB and ST who conceived the project; WRS did the data collection and adjustments; DVS, LMS and WRS did the formal analysis and visualization of the data collected with the guidance of PMB; DVS and LMS helped with the visualization and validation of the data; LGM, PMB, ST helped with the visualization and validation of the data; WRS and PMB wrote the draft with revisions and edits by all the authors.

556 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the researchers who contributed their observations for use in this article; we 557 would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry for their support in the 558 carbon dating analysis; we thank IPAM field technician Ebis Nascimento for his support 559 in collecting data in the field throughout the research; we thank IPAM, the Amazon Forest 560 561 Inventory Network (RAINFOR) and the Amaggi for providing support and infrastructure; we thank the Universidade Federal do Oeste do Pará - UFOPA, through the support of 562 the CAPES/PDPG Strategic Partnerships in the States III postgraduate scholarship (No. 563 564 PROCESS 88887.901746/2023-00; FINANCE CODE 001) awarded to W.R.S. We 565 thanks the National Science Foundation (IOS #1457602/1457662, DEB #1541851, LTREB #2027827 and EAR #1739724) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento 566 567 Científico e Tecnológico-CNPq; PELD-TANG (#441703/2016-0; #441940/2020-0) provided vital funding support for this research. 568

569

570

571 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

572 The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from573 the corresponding author on reasonable request.

574

575 DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

576

577 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal
578 relationships that might appear to influence the work reported in this document.
579

580 ORCID

- 581 Wanderley Rocha da Silva (Orcid ID: 0009-0002-2078-9777)
- 582 Divino Vicente Silvério (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-1642-9496)
- 583 Leonardo Maracahipes-Santos (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-8402-1399)
- 584 Susan Trumbore (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3885-6202)
- 585 Yadvinder Malhi (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-3503-4783)
- 586 Lucieta Guerreiro Martorano (Orcid ID: 0000-0003-3893-3781)
- 587 Paulo Monteiro Brando (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-8952-7025)

- Anderson, J. M. 1992. Responses of soils to climate-change. Advances in Ecological
 Research, Washington, v. 22, p. 163-210, May.
- Baggs E. M., 2006. Partitioning the components of soil respiration: a research challenge,
 Plant Soil 284 1-5.
- Balch JK, Nepstad DC, Brando PM, Curran LM, Portela O, de Carvalho O, Lefebvre P.
 2008. Negative fire feedback in a transitional forest of southeastern Amazonia.
 Global Change Biology 14:2276-2287.
- Balch JK, Nepstad DC, Curran LM, Brando PM, Portela O, Guilherme P, ReuningScherer JD, de Carvalho Jr O. 2011. Size, species, and fire behavior predict tree and
 liana mortality from experimental burns in the Brazilian Amazon. Forest Ecology
- and Management 261:68-77.
- Behling, H., Keim, G., Irion, G., Junk, W., & Nunes de Mello, J. (2001). Holocene
 environmental changes in the central Amazon basin inferred from Lake Calado
 (Brazil). Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology and Paleoecology, 173, 87-101.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-0182(01)00321-2
- Boinskis, S. 1989. Seasonal patterns in a tropical lowland forest. Biotropica, Kansas, v.21,
 n.33, p.223-233.
- Bouma T.J.; Broekhuysen, A.G.M; Veen, B.W. (1996). Analysis of root respiration of
 Solanum tuberosum as related to growth, ion uptake and maintenance of biomass,
 Plant Physiol. Biochem. 34 795-806.

⁵⁸⁹

- Fire-induced tree mortality in a neotropical forest: the roles of bark traits, tree size,
 wood density, and fire behavior. Global Change Biology 18:630-641.
- Brando, P. M., Soares-Filho, B., Rodrigues, L., Assunção, A., Morton, D., Tuchschneider,
- D., Fernandes, E. C. M., Macedo, M. N., Oliveira, U., & Coe, M. T. (2020). The
 gathering firestorm in southern Amazonia. *Science Advances*, 6(2), e aay1632.
- 616 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay1632
- Brando, P.B.; Silverio, D.V.; Maracahipes, L.; Benzi, R.; Paolucci, L.; MaracahipesSantos, L.; Rattis, L.; Macedo, M.; Balch, J.K. 2024. Legacies of multiple
 disturbances on fruit and seed patterns in Amazonia: implications for forest
 functional traits. Ecosphere, ECS24780. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4780.
- Brando, P.M.; Balch, J.K.; Nepstad, D.C.; Morton, D.C.; Putz, F.E.; Coe, M.T.; et al.
- 622 2014. Abrupt increases in Amazonian tree mortality due to drought-fire
 623 interactions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
 624 of America 111: 6347–6352.
- Brown, S., & Lugo, A. E. (1982). The storage and production of organic matter in tropical
 forests and their role in the global carbon cycle. Biotropica, 14, 161-187.
- Bush, M. B., de Oliveira, P. E., Miller, M. C., Moreno, E., & Colinvaux, P. A. (2004).
 Amazonian paleoecological histories: One hill, 3 water sheds. Paleogeography,
 Paleoclimatology, and Paleoecology, 214, 359-393.
- 630 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2004.07.031</u>
- Bush, M. B., Silman, M. R., de Toledo, M. B., Listopad, C., Gosling, W. D., Williams,
 C., Krisel, C. (2007). Holocene fire and occupation in Amazonia: Records from two
 lake districts. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Biological Sciences, 362, 209-218. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2006.1980

635	Carvalho, M.A.M. & Figueiredo-Ribeiro, R.C.L. 2001. Fructans: occurrence, structure
636	and utilization, with emphasis on plants of the Brazilian cerrado. Pp. 77-89. In:
637	Lajolo, F.M.; Saura-Calixto, F.; Penna, E.W. & Menezes, E.W. (eds.). Fibra
638	Dietética en Iberoamérica: Tecnología y Salud. São Paulo, Livraria Varela.

- 639 Chambers, J. Q.; Tribuzy, E. S.; Toledo, L. C.; Crispim, B. F.; Higuchi, N.; Dos Santos,
- 540 J.; Araujo, A. C.; Kruijt, B.; Nobre, A. D.; Trumbore, S. E. 2004. Respiration from
- a tropical forest ecosystem: partitioning of sources and low carbon use efficiency.
- Ecological Applications, Washington, v.14, n.4, p. S72-S88.
- 643 Chapin F.S., Schulze E.D. & Mooney H.A. 1990. The ecology and economics of storage
 644 in plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 21, 423-447.
- 645 Cisneros Dozal L. M., Trumbore S. E., Hanson P. J. 2005. Partitioning sources of soil-
- respired CO2 and their seasonal variation using a unique radiocarbon tracer. Global
 Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005. 01061.x
- Coelho, M. M. 2005. Study of soil respiration in a transition forest in southwestern
 Amazonia. Física e Meio Ambiente, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá,
 46 p. p.
- Coelho, M. M. 2005. Study of soil respiration in a transition forest in southwestern
 Amazonia. Física e Meio Ambiente, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Cuiabá,
 46 p. p.
- D'Andrea, E., Rezaie, N., Battistelli, A., Gavrichkova, O., Kuhlmann, I., Matteucci, G.,
 Moscatello, S., Proietti, S., Scartazza, A., Trumbore, S. and Muhr, J. (2019),
 Winter's bite: beech trees survive complete defoliation due to spring late-frost
 damage by mobilizing old C reserves. New Phytol, 224: 625-631.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16047

- Dantas, M. Phillipson, J. 1989. Litterfall and litter nutrient content in primary and
 secondary Amazonian "Terra firme" rain forest. Jorrnal of Tropical Ecology, n,5,
 p.27-36.
- Davidson, E. A.; Trumbore, S. E., Amundson, R. Biogeochemistry. 2000. Soil warming
 and organic carbon content. Nature. London, v. 408, n. 6814, p. 789-790, Dec.
- Davidson, E.A.; Verchot, L.V.; Cattanio, J.H.; Ackerman, I.L.; Carvalho, J.E.M. 2000.
- Effects of soil water content on soil respiration in forests and cattle pastures of
 eastern Amazônia. Biogeochemistry, v.48, p.53-69.
- Dias, J. D. 2006. CO2 flux from soil respiration in native Amazonian Forest areas.
 Ecologia de Agrossistemas, Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, USP,
 MSc. Piracicaba.
- Dixon, R.K., S. Brown, R.A. Houghton, A.M. Solomon, M.C. Trexler and J. Wisniewski.
 1994a. Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems. Science 263:185-190.
- Doff Sotta, E., Meir, P., Malhi, Y., Nobre, A. D., Hodnett, M., & Grace, J. 2004. Soil
- 673 CO2 efflux in a tropical forest in the central Amazon. *Global Change Biology*,
 674 10(5), 601-617. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00761.x
- Doughty, C. E.; Metcalfe, D. B.; Girardin, C. A. J.; Amézquita, F. Farfán; Cabrera, D.
 Galiano; Huasco, W. Huaraca; Silva-Espejo, J. E.; Araujo-Murakami, A.; da Costa,
 M. C.; Rocha, W.; Feldpausch, T. R.; Mendoza, A. L. M.; da Costa, A. C. L.; Meir,
- P.; Phillips, O. L.; Malhi, Y. 2015. Drought impact on forest carbon dynamics and
 fluxes in Amazonia. Nature (London), v. 519, p. 78-82.
- Duffy, P. B.; Brando, P.; Asner, G. P.; Field, C. B. 2015 Projections of future
 meteorological drought and wet periods in the Amazon. PNAS, 112 (43) 1317213177. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1421010112

- Fang, C., Monccrieff, J. B. 2001. The dependence soil CO₂ efflux on the temperature. Soil
 Biology & Biochemistry. v.33, p.155-165.
- Farrar J. F., 1985. The respiratory source of CO2, Plant Cell Environ 8 427-438.
- 686 Farrar, J.; Pollock, C. & Gallagher, J. 2000. Sucrose and the integration of metabolism in
- 687vascular plants. Plant Science 154: 1-11.
- 688 Fernandes, S. A. P.; Bernoux, M.; Cerri, C. C.; Feigl, B. J.; Piccolo, M. C. 2002. Seasonal
- variation of soil chemical properties and CO2 and CH4 fluxes in unfertilized and
 P-fertilized pastures in an Ultisol of the Brazilian Amazon. Geoderma, v.107,
 p.227-241,
- Franco, A.C.; Souza, M.P. & Nardoto, G.B. 1996. Estabelecimento e crescimento de
 Dalbergia miscolobium em áreas de campo sujo e cerrado no DF. Pp. 84-92. In:
 H.S. Miranda; B.F.S. Dias & C.H. Saito (orgs.). Impacto de Queimadas em Área
 de Cerrado e Restinga. Brasília, ECL/Universidade de Brasília.
- Franklin, O. et al. Modeling carbon allocation in trees: a search for principles. Tree
 Physiol. 32, 648-666 (2012).
- Gaudinski J. B., Trumbore S.E., Davidson E. A. et al. (2000) Soil carbon cycling in a
 temperate forest: radiocarbon-based estimates of residence times, sequestration
 rates and partitioning of fluxes. Biogeochemistry, 51, 33-69
- George K., R.J. Norby, J.G. Hamilton, E.H. DeLucia, 2003, Fine-root respiration in a
 loblolly pine and sweetgum forest growing in elevated CO2, New Phytol 160 511522.
- 704 Gill RA, Jackson RB. 2000. Global patterns of root turnover for terrestrial ecosystems.
 705 New Phytologist 147: 13-31.

707	Maria: UFSM,.501p.
708	Grace, J. Carbon cycle. 2001. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity, New York, v.1, p. 69-629,
709	Mar.
710	Grace, J., Malhi, Y., Lloyd, J., Mcintyre, J., Miranda, A.; Meier, P. & Miranda, H. 1996.
711	The use of eddy covariance to infer the net carbon dioxide uptake of Brasilian rain
712	forest, Global Change Biology, v.2, p209-217.
713	Hammond, D. S., & ter Steege, H. (1998). Propensity of fire in Guyanan rainforests.
714	Conservation Biology,12,944-947. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-
715	1739.1998.012005944.x
716	Hansen J., E. Beck, 1994. Seasonal changes in the utilization and turnover of assimilation
717	products in 8-year-old Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) trees, Trees 8 172-182.
718	Hanson PJ, Edwards NT, Garten CT, Andrews JA. 2000. Separating root and soil
719	microbial contributions to soil respiration: a review of methods and observations.
720	Biogeochemistry 48:115-46.
721	Hendricks, J.J.; Hendrick, R.L.; Wilson, C.A.; Mitchell, R.J.; Pecot, S.D.; Guo, D. 2006.
722	Assessing the patterns and controls of fine root dynamics: an empirical test and
723	methodological review. Journal of Ecology 94: 40–57.
724	Herrera-Ramirez, D.; Hartmann, H.; Römermann, C.; Trumbore, S. E.; Muhr, J.;
725	Maracahipes-Santos, L.; Brando, P.; Silvério, D.; Huang, J.; Kuhlmann, I. et al.:
726	2023. Anatomical distribution of starch in the stemwood influences carbon
727	dynamics and suggests storage-growth trade-offs in some tropical trees. Journal of
728	Ecology 111 (11), pp. 2532 – 2548.

Gomes, A. G. & Varriale, M. C. 2001. Ecosystem modeling: An introduction. Santa

729	Hilman, B., Muhr, J., Helm, J., Kuhlmann, I., Schulze, ED., & Trumbore, S. (2021).
730	The size and the age of the metabolically active carbon in tree roots. Plant, Cell &
731	Environment, 44(8), 2522–2535. https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.14124
732	Hoffmann, W. A. 1999. Fire and population dynamics of woody plants in a neotropical
733	savanna: matrix model projections. Ecology 80: 1354-1369.
734	Hua Q, Turnbull JC, Santos GM, et al. 2022. Atmospheric radiocarbon for the period
735	1950-2019. Radiocarbon. 2022;64(4):723-745. doi:10.1017/RDC.2021.95
736	INPE (2006) Monitoring of the Brazilian Amazon Forest by satellite: Project PRODES.
737	(http://www.obt.inpe.br/prodes/index.html -Accessed: June 15, 2006).
738	Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia E Estatística - IBGE. 1993. Mapa de vegetação do Brasil.
739	Rio de Janeiro.
740	IPCC 2007. Climate change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. IPCC
741	Secretariat, Geneva. 22 pp.
742	Ivanauskas, N. M.; Monteiro, R.; Rodrigues, R. R. 2004. Structure of patch of Amazonian
743	Forest in the upper Rio Xingu Basin. Acta Amazônica, Manaus, v. 34, n. 2, p. 275-
744	299, jan.
745	Ivanauskas, N. M.; Monteiro, R.; Rodrigues, R. R. Structure of patch of Amazonian
746	Forest in the upper Rio Xingu Basin. Acta Amazônica, Manaus, v. 34, n. 2, p. 275-
747	299, jan. 2004.
748	Keller, F. & Pharr, D.M. 1996. Metabolism of carbohydrates in sinks and sources.
749	Galactosy1-Sucrose. Pp.157-184. In: Photoassimilate distribution in plants and
750	crops: source-sink relationships. New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc.

- Keller, M.; Kaplan, W. A. & Wofsy, S. C. 1986. Emissions of N2O, CH4 and CO2 from
 tropical forest soils. Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres) 91(D11):
 11,791-11,802.
- Kuzyakov Y., A.A. 2005. Larionova, Root and rhizomicrobial respiration: a review of
 approaches to estimate respiration by autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms in
 soil, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 168 503-520.
- Lal, R. Fausey, N. R.; Eckert, D. J. 1995. Land use and soil management effects on
 emissions of radiatively active gases from tow soils in Ohio. In. LAL, R.; Kimble,
 J.; Levine, E.; Stewart, B. A. (Ed). Soil management and greenhouse effect. Boca
 Raton, FL: CRC Press, p. 41-57.
- 761 Lambers, H. & Chapin, S. F. & Pons, T. 2008. Plant Physiological Ecology, Second
 762 edition. Springer. 640p.
- Laurance, W. F. & Vasconcelos, H. L. 2000. The decade of the decision" for Amazonia.
 Science Today 27 (160): 59-62.
- Lewis SL, Brando PM, Phillips OL, Van der Heijden GMF & Nepstad D. 2011. The 2010
 Amazon Drought. Science 331: 554.
- Lewis, D.H. 1984. Storage carbohydrates in vascular plants: distribution, physiology and
 metabolism. London, Cambridge University.
- M.H.; Jumel, K.; Tokarazuk, P.F.; Blanshard, J.M.V. & Harding, S.E. 1994.
 Simultaneous determination of the molecular-weight distributions of amyloses and
 the fine structures of amylopectins of native starches. Carbohydrate Research 260:
 99-117.

Malhi, Y., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Metcalfe, D. B., Paiva, R., Quesada, C. A., Almeida, S., 776 Teixeira, L. M. (2009). Comprehensive assessment of carbon productivity,

- 778 allocation and storage in three Amazonian forests. Global Change Biology, 15, 1255-1274. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01780.x 779
- Malhi, Y., Aragon, L. E. O. C., Galbraith, D., Huntingford, C., Fisher, R., Zelazowski, 780
- P., Meir, P. (2009). Exploring the likelihood and mechanism of a climate-change-781
- induced dieback of the Amazon rainforest. Proceedings of the National Academy 782 of Science, 106, 20610-20615. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0804619106 783
- Malhi, Y., Baldocchi, D. D. & Jarvis, P. G. 1999 The carbon balance of tropical, 784 785 temperate and boreal forests. Plant Cell Environ. 22, 715-740
- Malhi, Y., Doughty, C. & Galbraith, D. The allocation of ecosystem net primary 786 productivity in tropical forests. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 366, 3225-3245 (2011). 787
- 788 Martinez F., Y.O. Lazo, J.M. Fernandez-Galiano, J. Merino, 2002. Root respiration and
- associated costs in evergreen species of Quercus, Plant, Cell Environ 25 1271-1278. 789
- Medina, E. & Silva, J.F. 1990. Savannas of northern South America: a steady state 790 regulated by water-fire interactions on a background of low nutrient availability. 791 Journal of Biogeography 17: 403-413. 792
- Meier, P.; Grace; Miranda, A.C.; 1996. Lloyd,. Soil respiration in a rainforest in 793 Amazônia and cerrado in central Brazil. In: Gassh, . H.C.; Nobre, C.A.; Roberts, 794 .M.; VIctoria, R. L. (Eds.). Amazonian deforest and climate. Wiley, Chichester. p. 795 319-330. 796

- Metcalfe DB, Williams M, Aragão LEOC, Da Costa ACL, De Almeida SS, Braga AP,
 Gonçalves PHL, De Athaydes Silva J Jr, Malhi Y, Meir P. 2007b. A method for
 extracting plant roots from soil which facilitates rapid sample processing without
 compromising measurement accuracy. New Phytologist 174:697-703.
- 801 Metcalfe, D. B., Rocha, W., Balch, J. K., Brando, P. M., Doughty, C. E., & Malhi, Y.
- 802 (2018). Impacts of fire on sources of soil CO2 efflux in a dry Amazon rain forest.
 803 Global Change Biology, 24(8), 3629-3641.
- Montagnini, F., Jordan, C. F. (2002). Reciclaje de nutrientes. In: Guarigura, M. R.;
 Kattan, G. H. (Ed.). Ecología e conservación de bosques neotropicais. Cartago: Ed.
 LUR, p. 167 191,
- Mooney H. A. 1972. The carbon balance of plants. Annual Review of Ecology and
 Systematics 3, 315-346.
- Moutinho, P. & Nepstad, D. 2001. The ecological functions of forest ecosystems:
 implications for conservation and use of Amazonian biodiversity. In: Biodiversity
 in the Brazilian Amazon: assessment and priority actions for conservation,
 sustainable use and benefit sharing. Capobianco, J.P.R.; Veríssimo, A.; Moreira,
 A.; Sawyer, D.; Santos, I. & Pinto, L.P. (Orgs.). Liberdade Station. Instituto
 Socioambiental. São Paulo, Br. 540p.
- Muhr, J., Trumbore, S., Higuchi, N. and Kunert, N. (2018), Living on borrowed time –
 Amazonian trees use decade-old storage carbon to survive for months after
 complete stem girdling. New Phytol, 220: 111-120.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15302
- Nepstad D. C., de Carvalho CR, Davidson E. A. et al. (1994). The role of deep roots in
 the hydrological and carbon cycles of Amazonian forests and pastures. Nature, 372,

- 821 666-669. Hendrick RL, Pregitzer KS. 1993. Patterns of fine root mortality in two
 822 sugar maple forests. Nature 361: 59-61.
- Nepstad, D. C.; Moreira, A. G. & Alencar, A. A. 1999 b. Floresta em chamas: origins,
 impact and prevention of fire in the Amazon, 2nd edn. Pilot Program for the
 Protection of Brazilian Forests: Brasilia, Brazil.
- Panosso, A. R.; Marques Júnior, José; Pereira, Gener Tadeu; La Scala Jr, N. 2008. Spatial
 variability of CO2 emission, temperature and humidity in latosol after mechanized
 harvest without burning and manual with burning. In: V Encontro de PósGraduandos da Unesp, 2008, Jaboticabal SP. V Encontro de Pós-Graduandos da
 Unesp.
- Pinto júnior, O. B.; Sanches, Luciana; Nogueira, José de Souza; Vourlitis, George Louis,
 2006. Estimation of Q10 by soil CO2 efflux in the dry season in a tropical Amazon
 transition forest. Revista Brasileira de Meteorologia (Impresso), v. 21, p. 1-5.
- 834 Pooter, Ho., Remkers, C. & Lambers, H. 1990. Carbon and Nitrogen Economy of 24 Wild

835 Species Differing in Relative Growth Rate. Plant Physiology 94:621-627

- Post, W. M.; Emauel, W. R.; Zinke, P. J.; Stangebergeer. 1992. Soil carbon pools and
 word life zones. Nature, London, v. 298, p. 156-159, February.
- Quesada CA, Lloyd J, Schwarz M, Patino S, Baker TR, Czimczik C, Fyllas NM,
 Martinelli L, Nardoto GB, Schmerler J, Santos AJB, Hodnett MG, Herrera R,
 Luizao FJ, Arneth A, Lloyd G, Dezzeo N, Hilke I, Kuhlmann I, Raessler M, Brand
 WA, Geilmann H, Moraes JO, Carvalho FP, Araujo RN, Chaves JE, Cruz OF,
 Pimentel TP, Paiva R. 2010. Variations in chemical and physical properties of
 Amazon Forest soils in relation to their genesis. Biogeosciences 7:1515-1541.

844	Quesada, C. A, O. L. Phillips, M. Schwarz, C. I. Czimczik, T. R. Baker, S. Patiño, N. M.
845	Fyllas, M. G. Hodnett, R. Herrera, S. Almeida, E. Alvarez, D'avila, A. Arneth, L.
846	Arroyo, K. J. Chao, N. Dezzeo, T. Erwin, A. Di Fiore, N. Higuchi, E. Honorio
847	Coronado, E. M. Jimenez, T. Killeen, A. T. Lezama, G. Lloyd, G. Lopez-Gonzalez,
848	F.J. Luizão, Y. Malhi, A. Monteagudo, D. A. Neill, P. Núñez Vargas, R. Paiva, J.
849	Peacock, M. C. Peñuela, A. Peña Cruz, N. Pitman, N. Priante Filho, A. Prieto, H.
850	Ramírez, A. Rudas, R. Salomão, A.J. B. Santos, J. Schmerler, N. Silva, M. SIlveira,
851	R. Vásquez, I. Vieira, J. Terborgh, and J. Lloyd. 2012. Basin-wide variations in
852	Amazon Forest structure and function are mediated by both soils and climate.
853	Biogeosciences 9: 2203-2246.
854	R Core Team (2024)R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing R
855	Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-
856	project.org/>.

- Raich, J. W.; Schlesinger, W. H. 1992. The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration
 and its relationship to vegetation and climate. Tellus, Stockholm, v. 44, n. 2, p. 8199.
- Raich, J.; Ewel, J.; Oliveira, M. 1985. Solil CO2 efflux in simple and diverse ecosystems
 on volcanic soil in Costa Rica Turialba. v.35 no. 1, p.33-42.
- 862 Ramirez, J. A., Craven, D., Herrera, D., Posada, J. M., Reu, B., Sierra, C. A., Hoch, G., 863 Handa, I. T., and Messier, C. 2024. Non-structural carbohydrate concentrations in tree organs vary across biomes and leaf habits, but are independent of the fast-slow 864 economic Front. Plant doi: 865 plant spectrum. Sci. 15:1375958. 10.3389/fpls.2024.1375958 866

- Reth, S.; Markus, R.; Falge, E. 2005. The effect of soil water content, soil temperature,
 soil pH-value and the root mass on soil CO2 efflux A modified model. Plant and
 Soil, Dordrecht, v. 268, n. 1-2, p. 21-33
- 870 Rezende, M. O. O. 2004. The importance of soil organic matter in the carbon cycle (Part
- 871 I The carbon cycle in nature and soil quality from a chemical point of view).
 872 Available at: www.unb.br/iq/enqamb/aimportanciadoC.pdf.
- Ribeiro, C. E. R. Evaluation of the influence of temperature and soil moisture in the
 spatial-temporal variation of CO2 emission by a latosol. 2005. 55f. Master's
 Dissertation College of Agricultural and Veterinary Sciences, Paulista State
 University, Jaboticabal.
- 877 Richardson, A.D., Carbone, M.S., Huggett, B.A., Furze, M.E., Czimczik, C.I., Walker,
- J.C., Xu, X., Schaberg, P.G. and Murakami, P. (2015), Distribution and mixing of
 old and new nonstructural carbon in two temperate trees. New Phytol, 206: 590597. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13273
- 881 Rocha, W., Metcalfe, D. B., Doughty, C. E., Brando, P., Silverio, D., Halla-day, K.,
- Malhi, Y. (2013). Ecosystem productivity and carbon cycling in intact and annually
 burnt forest at the dry southern limit of the Amazon rainforest (Mato Grosso,
 Brazil). Plant Ecology & Diversity, 7,25-40.
 https://doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2013.798368.
- Rosenzweig, C.; Hiellel, D. 2000. Soil and global climate change: challenger and
 opportunities. Soil Science, New York, v. 165, p. 47-56.
- Sala, A., Woodruff, D. R. & Meinzer, F. C. Carbon dynamics in trees: feast or famine?
 Tree Physiol. 32, 764-775 (2012).

- Salimon, C. I.; Davidson, E. A.; Victoria, R. L.; Melo, A. W. F. 2004. CO2 flux from soil
 in pastures and forests in southwestern Amazonia. Global Change Biology, v. 10,
 n. 5, p. 833-843.
- Schlesinger, W. H. 1997. Carbon balance in terrestrial detritus. Annual Review of
 Ecology and Systematic. v. 8. P.51-81.
- Scott, D.A., Proctor, J., Thompson, J. 1992. Ecological studies on a lowland evergreen
 rain forest on Maracá Island, Roraima, Brazil. II litter and nutrient cycling. Journal
 of Ecology, new Tork, n.80 p.705-715.
- 898 Silvério, D.V.; Brando, B.P.; Bustamante, M.M.C.; Putz, F.E.; Marra, D.M.; Levick, S.R.;
- Trumbore, S.E. 2019. Fire, fragmentation, and windstorms: a recipe for tropical
 forest degradation. Journal of Ecology, v. 107, n. 2., p. 656-667.
- Sombroek, W., 2001. Spatial and temporal patterns of Amazon rainfall: consequences for
 the planning of agricultural occupation and the protection of primary forests.
 Ambio, 30, 388-396
- 904 Songwe, N.C., Fasehun, F. E., Okali, D.U.V. 1988. Litterfall and productivity in a tropical
- 905 rain forest, Southern Bakindu Forest Rserve, Cameron. Journal of Tropical
 906 Ecology, Aberdeen, n.4 p.25-37.
- Steinhof, A., Altenburg, M., & Machts, H. (2017). Sample preparation at the Jena 14C
 laboratory. Radiocarbon, 59(3), 815–830. https://doi.org/10.1017/rdc.2017.50
- Subke J. A., Hahn V., Battipaglia G. et. al., (2004) Feedback interactions between needle
 litter decomposition and rhizosphere activity. Oecologia, 139, 551-559.
- 911 Trumbore S, Brando P, and Hartmann H. 2015. Forest health and global change. Science
 912 349: 814-18. Trumbore, S. 2006. Carbon respired by terrestrial ecosystems recent
 913 progress and challenges. Global Change Biology, 12:141-153.

- 914 Trumbore S. E., 2000. Constraints on below-ground carbon cycling from radiocarbon:
 915 the age of soil organic matter and respired CO2, Ecological Applications,
 916 V10(N2):399-411.
- 917 Turcq, B., Sifeddine, A., Martin, L., Absy, M. L., Soubles, F., Sugio, K., Volkmer918 Ribeiro, C. (1998). Amazon rainforest fires: A 7000-year lacustrine record. Ambio,
 919 27, 139-142. https://doi.org/10.2307/ 4314700
- Vargas, R., Trumbore, S.E. and Allen, M.F. (2009), Evidence of old carbon used to grow
 new fine roots in a tropical forest. New Phytologist, 182: 710-718.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02789.x
- Veen B.W., Energy costs of ion transport, in: D.W. Rains, R.C. Valentine, A. Hollaender
 (Eds.), 1980. Genetic Engineering of Osmoregulation. Impact on Plant Productivity
 for Food, Chemicals and Energy, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 187-195.
- Vogt KA, Vogt DJ, Palmiotto PA, Boon P, O'Hara J, Asbjornsen H. 1996. Review of root
 dynamics in forest ecosystems grouped by climate, climatic forest type and species.
 Plant and Soil 187: 159-219.
- Vogt, K. A.; Vogt, D. J.; Bloomfield, J. 1998. Analysis of some direct and indirect
 methods for estimating root biomass and production of forest at in ecosystem level.
 Plant and Soil, Netherlands, v. 200, n. 1, p. 71-89.
- Waldrop M. P., Firestone M. K., 2004 b. Microbial community utilization of recalcitrant
 and simple carbon compounds: impact of oak-woodland plant communities.
 Oecologia, 138, 275-284.
- Wang Y, Amundson R, Niu X. F., 2000 Seasonal and altitudinal variation in
 decomposition of soil organicmatter inferred from radiocarbon measurements of
 soil CO2 flux. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 14, 199-211.

- 938 Wurth, M. K. R., Pelaez-Riedl, S., Wright, S. J. & Korner, C. Non-structural carbohydrate
- pools in a tropical forest. Oecologia 143, 11-24 (2005).