## ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH LETTERS

#### ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT • OPEN ACCESS

### Widespread carbon-dense peatlands in the Colombian lowlands

To cite this article before publication: R. Scott Winton et al 2025 Environ. Res. Lett. in press https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/adbc03

#### Manuscript version: Accepted Manuscript

Accepted Manuscript is "the version of the article accepted for publication including all changes made as a result of the peer review process, and which may also include the addition to the article by IOP Publishing of a header, an article ID, a cover sheet and/or an 'Accepted Manuscript' watermark, but excluding any other editing, typesetting or other changes made by IOP Publishing and/or its licensors"

This Accepted Manuscript is © 2025 The Author(s). Published by IOP Publishing Ltd.



As the Version of Record of this article is going to be / has been published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY 4.0 licence, this Accepted Manuscript is available for reuse under a CC BY 4.0 licence immediately.

Everyone is permitted to use all or part of the original content in this article, provided that they adhere to all the terms of the licence <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0">https://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0</a>

Although reasonable endeavours have been taken to obtain all necessary permissions from third parties to include their copyrighted content within this article, their full citation and copyright line may not be present in this Accepted Manuscript version. Before using any content from this article, please refer to the Version of Record on IOPscience once published for full citation and copyright details, as permissions may be required. All third party content is fully copyright protected and is not published on a gold open access basis under a CC BY licence, unless that is specifically stated in the figure caption in the Version of Record.

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

 

# Widespread carbon-dense peatlands in the Colombian lowlands

9		
10	3	R Scott Winton <sup>1,2,3,*</sup> Juan C Benavides <sup>4</sup> Edmundo Mendoza <sup>1</sup> Antie Uhde <sup>5,6</sup> Adam Hastie <sup>7</sup>
11	4	Furídice N. Honorio Coronado <sup>8</sup> Andres Giovanny Hernandez Ortega <sup>9</sup> Stella Paukku <sup>3</sup> Bailey
12	5	Mullins <sup>10</sup> Ibon del Aquila Pasquel <sup><math>11,12</math></sup> Gerardo A Avmard-Corredor <sup><math>13,14</math></sup> Tim R Baker <sup>15</sup>
13 14	6	Freddia C. Drapar <sup>16</sup> Corardo Eloros Llampazo <sup>11</sup> Pafael Herrora <sup>17</sup> Oliver L. Phillips <sup>15</sup> José
14	7	Manual Davina Huaymaaari <sup>11</sup> Hana tar Staaga <sup>18,19</sup> Juliana Strang <sup>20</sup> Jan T. Lawaar <sup>21</sup> Angela V
16	1	Manuel Reyna Huaymacan <sup>11</sup> , Hans ter Steege <sup>13,10</sup> , Juliana Stropp <sup>10</sup> , Ian T. Lawson <sup>11</sup> , Angela V.
17	8	Gallego-Salazz, Arnoud Boomzo, Bernnard Wenrilo, Allson M. Hoytz
18	0	*Corresponding Author convictor Queoe edu
19	9	Corresponding Author – <u>scwinton@ucsc.edu</u>
20	10	
21	11	<sup>1</sup> Department of Environmental Studies, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz,
22	12	California, USA
25 74	13	<sup>2</sup> Department of Earth System Science, Stanford University, Palo Alto, California, USA
25	14	<sup>3</sup> Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
26	15	<sup>4</sup> Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia
27	16	<sup>5</sup> Department of biogeochemical Processes, Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena,
28	17	Germany
29	18	<sup>6</sup> Department of Geography, Friedrich Schiller University, Jena, Germany
30	19	<sup>7</sup> Department of Botany, Physical Geography and Geoecology, Charles University, Eaculty of
31 22	20	Science Prague Czech Republic
32 33	20	<sup>8</sup> Royal Botanic Gardens, Kow Pichmond, LIK
34	21	<sup>9</sup> Universidad da Las Llanas Villevisanais Calembia
35	22	<sup>10</sup> University of New Lemmeking, Purkang New Lemenshing, UCA
36	23	<sup>10</sup> University of New Hampshire, Durnam, New Hampshire, USA
37	24	Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonia Peruana
38	25	<sup>12</sup> Universidad Nacional de la Amazonia Peruana, Iquitos, Peru
39	26	<sup>13</sup> UNELLEZ-Guanare, Programa de Ciencias del Agro y el Mar, Herbario Universitario (PORT),
40 41	27	Portuguesa, Venezuela
42	28	<sup>14</sup> Jardín Botánico de Bogotá, Bogotá DC., Colombia
43	29	<sup>15</sup> School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, U.K.
44	30	<sup>16</sup> School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
45	31	<sup>17</sup> Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas (IVIC), Caracas, Venezuela
46	32	<sup>18</sup> Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, The Netherlands
47	33	<sup>19</sup> Quantitative Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The
48 70	34	Netherlands
<del>5</del> 0	35	<sup>20</sup> Department of Biogeography, University of Trier, Trier, Germany
51	26	<sup>21</sup> School of Coography and Sustainable Development, University of St Androwa
52	30	<sup>22</sup> Coordination of Geography and Sustainable Development, University of St Andrews
53	37	<sup>22</sup> Geography, Faculty of Environment, Science and Economy, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
54	38	School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK
55	39	
50 57		
58		

Abstract. Peatlands are some of the world's most carbon-dense ecosystems and release substantial quantities of greenhouse gases when degraded. However, conserving peatlands in many tropical areas is challenging due to limited knowledge of their distribution. To address this, we surveyed soils and plant communities in Colombia's eastern lowlands, where few peatlands have previously been described. We documented peat soils >40 cm thick at 51 of more than 100 surveyed wetlands. We use our data to update a regional peatland classification, which includes a new and possibly widespread peatland type, "the white-sand peatland," as well as two distinctive open-canopy sub-types. Analysis of peat bulk density and organic matter content from 39 intact peat cores indicates that the average per-area carbon densities of these sites (490 to 1230 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, depending on type) is 4 to 10 times the typical carbon stock of a (non-peatland) Amazonian forest. We used remote sensing to upscale our observations, generating the first data-driven peatland map for the region. The total estimated carbon stock of these peatlands of 1.91 petagrams (Pg C) (2-sigma confidence interval, 0.60 to 4.22) approaches that of South America's largest known peatland complex in the northern Peruvian Amazon, indicating that substantial peat carbon stores on the continent have vet to be documented. These observations indicate that tropical peatlands may be far more diverse in form and structure and broadly distributed than is widely understood, which could have important implications for tropical peatland conservation strategies. 

## 58 Introduction

Tropical peatlands are among the world's most carbon dense ecosystems<sup>1–3</sup>, and their ongoing degradation and destruction is exacerbating the climate crisis<sup>4–8</sup> and impacting peoples' livelihoods<sup>9,10</sup>. Peatland protection is regarded as one of the more cost-effective natural climate solutions<sup>11,12</sup>, but despite their importance to global climate, the extent and distribution of peatlands throughout many parts of the global tropics remains highly uncertain<sup>13,14</sup>. 

One of the more enigmatic peatland regions is the Colombian lowlands in northern South America<sup>15</sup>. In Colombia, peatland accounting is extremely uncertain with published estimates of peat volume and area differing by orders of magnitude. At one extreme, the algorithmic Global Wetland Map product predicts roughly 50,000 km<sup>2</sup> of peatlands throughout the country's climatically and geologically diverse lowland regions, with peat thicknesses of up to 10 m. representing approximately 200 km<sup>3</sup> of peat<sup>16</sup>. In contrast, a synthesis based on soil maps shows only a few modest areas of mapped Histosols (710 km<sup>2</sup>) accounting for just 0.3 km<sup>3</sup> of peat<sup>1</sup>. Colombia is emerging from five decades of civil conflict and many rural areas have been inaccessible for scientific investigation until recently<sup>17</sup>, so it is possible that extensive peatlands have eluded field detection. Furthermore, the region is facing acute environmental degradation<sup>18</sup>, raising the prospect that peatland loss may be outpacing peatland detection. Field investigations are therefore crucial to determine whether peatlands are scarce or ubiquitous in Colombia's lowlands, how much carbon they hold, and more generally, to assess the accuracy of global peatland mapping products<sup>16,19,20</sup> in under-surveyed tropical regions. Tropical peat soils often occur beneath distinctive wetland-adapted plant communities<sup>21-23</sup> and 

79 thus peatland ecosystem classification serves as a foundation for understanding peatland

spatial distributions necessary for carbon stock estimations. Such ecosystem-peat soil linkages have not yet been established for Colombia; in fact, nearly all studies of tropical South American lowland peatland ecology to date have been conducted in Peru. Ecological peat classification systems for Peru<sup>24</sup> may not apply to parts of Colombia's lowlands where climate, soils, and geology are dramatically different, such as in the highly seasonal savanna region of the Orinoco basin (the Llanos Orientales), or among the nutrient-poor white sand forests of the Guiana shield-two ecoregions with little Peruvian analogue. An ecological classification of Colombian peatlands based on vegetation surveys and soil sampling is needed because, as in similarly inaccessible locations, the high cost of collecting field data in lowland Colombia means that peat accounting must depend upon remotely sensed ecosystem information in order to upscale from scarce field data and infer peatland distributions on a regional scale<sup>25,26</sup>

To advance our empirical understanding of the distribution, ecology and carbon stock of peatlands in the Colombian lowlands, we embarked on a series of field campaigns in search of potential peatlands. We used multispectral Landsat imagery to identify prospective peat-forming wetlands<sup>27,28</sup> and in the field, when peat was encountered, we sampled soils and plant communities to support classification into different types. We analyzed 39 extracted peat cores for organic matter content to estimate belowground ecosystem carbon densities. Finally, to generate a peat map and estimates of total peat area and carbon stock, we used remote sensing products and a random forest machine learning algorithm<sup>29</sup> to predict the distributions of peat-forming ecosystems throughout the region. 

## <sup>29</sup> 101 Materials and Methods

## 3233 102 Field campaigns

We undertook a series of field campaigns in Colombia's Eastern lowlands between October 2020 and February 2023 to search for peatlands among a variety of wetland types. The Global Wetlands Map V3<sup>16</sup> helped us identify regions of interest, which were further investigated using Landsat false color imagery of infrared and near-infrared bands and digital elevation models to look for wetland areas similar in appearance to known peatland sites in Peru (Fig. S1). Security and logistical limitations prevented us from visiting some promising regions, such as the middle and lower Rio Caquetá. Within our regions of interest, we visited the sites with the most convenient access by road or boat to efficiently visit wetlands and sample as many distinct potential peatland sites as possible. Altogether we assessed more than 100 discrete wetland sites across seven Colombian departments. 

At each wetland site we first determined whether peat was present, with a depth of 40 cm as a minimum following the USDA histosol definition<sup>30</sup>. If we determined a site to be a mineral soil wetland, we carried out a rapid survey of vegetation (noting dominant species and classifying the community type), hydrologic indicators and soil texture and color before moving on to search elsewhere. If we encountered at least 40 cm of peat, we established a transect up to 600 m long through the site taking rapid surveys with measurements of peat thickness, canopy height and density, and hydrologic and plant community observations every 100 m. At a central point on 

each transect we completed one detailed survey of a peatland that included a 0.1 ha floristic
inventory, identifying and measuring all trees of at least 10 cm diameter at breast height, as well
as extraction of an intact peat core in 50 cm sections using a Russian style peat auger until a

123 core section overlapped with underlying mineral material (Fig. S2).

#### 124 Laboratory analysis

All peat core sections were transferred to 4-cm PVC half tubes and wrapped in plastic wrap in the field, labeled, stored immediately in coolers and then transferred to freezers in the nearest town until the end of the regional campaign. At the end of each campaign cores were transferred frozen to Pontificia Universidad Javeriana in Bogota for processing. Each core was thawed and then sliced into 10 cm sections before being oven dried at 80°C and weighed for calculation of dry bulk density (dry weight (g) / volume ( $cm^3$ )). We performed loss on ignition assays from 39 cores at 10 cm intervals along each peat profile for a total of 1,046 analyses in a muffle furnace for 4 hours at 450°C. Since conversion factors from soil organic matter to soil organic carbon vary substantially between soil types <sup>31,32</sup>, we analyzed a subset of 42 samples for total carbon at the Environmental Measurements Facility at Stanford University using a ThermoScientific Flash elemental analyzer to generate a conversion factor specific to our data set. 

## <sup>27</sup><sub>28</sub> 137 **Carbon calculations**

We found a strongly linear relationship between % organic matter from loss on ignition and % C from elemental analysis (Fig. S3;  $r^2 = 0.98$ , p-value < 0.001) and used the slope of the regression line (%C = %OM \* 0.5591 -1.64) to estimate carbon content of samples for which we only had % organic matter data<sup>32</sup>. To calculate ecosystem belowground carbon density we summed carbon in each 10 cm layer of each of 39 fully processed peat cores using the following equation: 

<sup>39</sup> 157

$$EBCD = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (10 \times D_n \times \rho_n \times C_n)$$

Where EBCD is Ecosystem Belowground Carbon Density in Gg C ha<sup>-1</sup>, D<sub>n</sub> is thickness of the nth peat layer in cm (usually 10 cm except in case of missing data, in which case we interpolated linearly),  $\rho_n$  is dry bulk density of the nth peat layer in g cm<sup>-3</sup>, and C<sub>n</sub> is carbon content of the nth peat layer in %. For peat thickness, we defined the peat core bottom as the deepest sample containing at least 45% organic matter, the threshold recommended by a systematic review of peat classification systems in the context of extensive organic-rich valley soil observations from tropical Asia<sup>30</sup>. Because belowground ecosystem carbon densities were non-normally distributed, we used a bootstrap resampling with replacement approach to generate 100,000 simulated bootstrapped distributions from which we extracted mean values and 95% confidence intervals. This is a slightly different approach than in prior carbon estimates from Peru where authors had non-overlapping observations of peat bulk density, carbon content and thickness and treated these as independent measurements<sup>24,33</sup>. In this study we instead calculated the peat column carbon of an intact core from each site and treated those as 

independent measurements. This is preferable in a setting where peat columns contain high levels of mineral intrusions because the three variables of carbon content, thickness and bulk density tend to be correlated rather than independent with higher bulk densities associated with lower carbon content and deeper peat columns. To estimate peat carbon stock for each ecosystem we used a Monte Carlo method of randomly selecting a value from bootstrap simulated distributions of mean belowground ecosystem carbon density and our two distributions of estimated area (as described below) to multiply together to generate carbon stock values. We repeated this process 10<sup>7</sup> times to generate mean carbon stocks and 95% confidence intervals for each peatland type. **Floristic analysis** We compared the floristic composition of the 53 0.1-ha Colombian plots to a wide range of RAINFOR forest plots established in different ecosystem types in north-western Amazonia<sup>34–</sup> <sup>36</sup>.The RAINFOR dataset contains 116 forest plots of 0.1–1.0 ha in size, with small plot sizes (0.1-0.5 ha) generally established on low diversity ecosystems including peatland ecosystems, such as open peatlands, palm swamps and pole forests. Large plot sizes (1 ha) were generally used on more diverse ecosystems such as white-sand forests, seasonally flooded forests, and Terra Firme forests. Identification of all individuals with diameter at breast height (DBH) ≥ 10 cm was done by comparing botanical specimens collected in each plot with herbarium vouchers<sup>34</sup>. Only plots with at least 75% of stems identified to species level were selected. We built a matrix of the species abundance of the combined 169 plots. Scientific names of species were standardized using the Taxonomic Name Resolution Service online (Boyle et al., 2013; 2021). After removal of unidentified individuals, the matrix remained with 1,698 species and 40,618 individuals. We transformed the dataset using the Hellinger method and constructed the floristic distance matrix using the Euclidean distance in the 'vegan' package in R (Dixon, 2003). This distance matrix was used to create non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordinations optimized for three axes to visualize floristic dissimilarity among ecosystem types (Fig. S4). This ordination provides a way of assessing how similar plots are to one another based on the abundance of tree species. 

## <sup>42</sup> 187 **Mapping and upscaling**

To map peatlands, we took two steps. First, to leverage known linkages between ecosystem types and peat presence in the tropics<sup>24</sup>, we generated a land cover classification to identify areas corresponding to ecosystems with the potential for peat formation and those not known to support peat soils. Second, to capture spatial uncertainty of peat presence among potentially peat-forming ecosystems<sup>28</sup>, we assessed the probability of peat soil presence within potentially peat-forming ecosystems. For both classifications, we trained a random forest (RF) classifier<sup>29,37</sup> on 70% of the samples (stratified random selection) using a stratified group k-fold cross-validation (5 folds; see Fig. S5) and a maximum depth of 300 estimators. Maximum features per split were set to the square root of total number of features. The remaining 30 % of the samples were used for independent validation. All spatial modeling was performed using the python scikit-learn 

package<sup>38</sup>. For both classifiers we removed redundant variables from a larger group of potential variables to avoid overfitting, based on an assessment of partial dependency and comparison of classifier results using different variables. While some of the selected variables still show a cross-correlation, for example the wet and dry season HH and HV backscatter products (Table S2), we used them in the classifier as they were crucial in the separation of specific land cover classes (see Uhde et al. in review for more details). 

The land cover model was trained on a variety of earth observation products and derivatives conventionally used in digital peat mapping, including mean wet-season and mean dry-season backscatter of ALOS2 PALSAR2 L-band ScanSAR HH and HV data; Copernicus Sentinel-1 VV multi-temporal 5<sup>th</sup> percentile and standard deviation; Harmonized Landsat Sentinel-2 (HLS) shortwave-infrared (SWIR) and shortwave-infrared 2 (SWIR2) bands<sup>39</sup>, the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index<sup>25</sup>, and the Normalized Difference Wetness Index<sup>40</sup>. We also used the Copernicus GLO30 digital elevation model. To complement our field data with additional samples of the other land cover types (water, barren soil, urban, grassland, palm plantation), we inferred random samples from the satellite data or stratified by the Global Surface Water product<sup>41</sup> and the World Settlement Footprint<sup>42</sup>. We then applied this model to predict the land cover and ecosystem classes for the entire study area. We applied a two-fold post-classification morphological closing to filter for a minimum size of 5 ha per classified object. 

We grouped the land cover classes of potential peat (palm swamp, wet white-sand ecosystems, herbaceous/shrub wetland, and floodplain forest) together for peat probability predictions. We included floodplain forest in this second analysis because of high misclassifications with the potential peat classes in the land cover prediction and because it is likely that peatlands of this ecosystem type exist in Colombia (AGB and JCB personal observations) and it has been reported in Peru<sup>24</sup>. 

The second model, the peat classifier, constrained to potential peat classes (Fig. S6), utilized the ecosystem type and peat presence/absence reference data described in Fig. 1 as well as additional reference points from other sources (Fig. S7). The peat classifier model was trained using the ALOS2 PALSAR2 dry season HH and wet season HV backscatter and a flood fraction product derived from the HH backscatter time-series. We further included the Sentinel-1 VH multi-temporal standard deviation and the HLS NDVI and NDWI. The output generated a peat probability for each pixel of peatland landcover types. 

From this output we generated two estimates of peatland area by ecosystem type following different assumptions that create more inclusive or more conservative estimates. For the first, our "inclusive area estimate," we multiplied the area of each pixel by the peat probability (e.g. 0.30 X 900 m<sup>2</sup> = 270 m<sup>2</sup> of likely peat area, for a 30 m x 30 m pixel with an assigned probability of 30%). This generates a large estimate because of large areas with low probability for peat cover, especially in the floodplain forest class. Additionally, we generated an alternative more conservative estimate of peatland area, which discounts areas with low probability to 0. For this "conservative area estimate," we grouped the peatland probabilities result into four modal categories (very low probability, low probability, medium probability and high probability) as defined by local minima of the distribution function of probabilities. The conservative estimate of 

1	
1	
2	
2	
ر	
4	
5	
2	
6	
7	
0	
ð	
9	
10	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
10	
١ŏ	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
22	
25	
24	
25	
20	
26	
27	
20	
20	
29	
30	
50	
31	
32	
22	
55	
34	
35	
22	
36	
37	
28	
20	
39	
40	
11	
41	
42	
43	
10	
44	
45	
16	
40	
47	
48	
10	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
E /	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	

peat area assumes peat is present within the more probable modes of predicted peatland cover
 (medium and high probability) and absent from the low and lowest probability areas.

For each of these approaches to estimating area, we generated 95% confidence intervals from the confusion matrix of the classification to estimate map estimation error and 95% confidence intervals of each ecosystem type<sup>43</sup>. We used these 95% confidence intervals to simulate a distribution of 1000 values of area for each peatland type.

To estimate peat volume, we used a similar bootstrap resampling approach as described above for estimating carbon stocks, except instead of calculating ecosystem carbon densities, we simply generated mean values and 95% confidence intervals of depth for each peatland type. To estimate carbon stock (as described above) for the floodplain forest peatland class for which we lack soil cores, we substitute palm swamp soils data since these ecosystems are most closely related ecologically.

252

## <sup>4</sup> 253 **Results and Discussion**

#### 254 Wide distribution of peatlands

Our results demonstrate that peatlands are widely distributed throughout Colombia's eastern 255 256 lowlands. During 8 field campaigns spanning five Colombian departments, we visited 104 257 potentially peat-forming wetlands, finding 51 sites with peat soils >40 cm thick (Fig. 1, Table 258 S1). These peatlands exist within a variety of hydrogeochemical, geomorphologic and climatic settings, occurring on both whitewater and blackwater/clearwater floodplain terraces; in the 259 260 Andean piedmont as high as 400 m elevation; and overlying gray clayey sediment and whitesand soils derived from the Guiana Shield formation. We find peatlands to be present hundreds 261 of kilometers away from any previously published locations<sup>44,45</sup> or mapped Histosols<sup>46</sup> and within 262 regions and biomes not recognized to be conducive to peat formation, such as riparian 263 264 vegetation within savannas or shrublands and in white-sand forests (Fig. S8). In addition to their 265 wide spatial distribution, peatlands in the Colombian lowlands are ecologically diverse, occurring 266 among seven different ecoregions<sup>47</sup>.



Fig. 1. Map of new field observations of wetland soils from this study as well as prior information on predicted and confirmed peatland locations in the eastern Colombian lowlands. Insets detail clusters of peatland-rich regions we identified: Rio Ariari catchment in the Andean piedmont of the Amazon-Llanos ecological transition (A); the Rio Vaupés floodplain, a blackwater Amazonian river that feeds the Rio Negro (B); lower Rio Inirida blackwater catchment near the confluence with the Rio Orinoco (C): upper Rio Caquetá catchment in the Andean piedmont of the Amazon basin (D). Insets also detail regions with concentrations of predictively mapped peatlands, but where we were unable to detect any peatlands: palm swamps and riparian wetlands near the Rio Meta in the Llanos Orientales (E); upper Rio Guaviare floodplain, an Andean whitewater river tributary of the Orinoco (F). Red-tinted regions in the south cover the only three Colombia departments within the study area (Amazonas, Putumayo, Caquetá) with mapped histosols<sup>1</sup> or prior published peatland observations<sup>44,45</sup> (Fig. S7). Base map is public domain provided by Natural Earth (https://www.naturalearthdata.com/). 

48 281 Classification

We classified the surveyed lowland Colombian peatlands into two types based on our field observations of vegetation (Fig. 2) and subsoils (Fig. 3): palm swamp peatlands and white-sand peatlands. The two types differ in their hydrogeomorphic setting and geologic context, and their peats differ in their typical ranges of organic matter content and thickness. Each type can occur as a closed-canopy 'forest' or as a sparsely-treed 'open' ecosystem with a dense herbaceous 

 

1 2		
3	287	cover of grass/sedge. This ecosystem classification system extends and overlaps with a
4 5	288	previously developed system for Peruvian Amazonia <sup>24</sup> .
6		
7 8	289	vegetation
9	290	Palm swamps peatlands are the most readily encountered and widely distributed peatland type
10	291	in lowland Colombia. Although they are easily recognized by the dominance of the Mauritia
12	292	flexuosa palm (Fig. 2), many sites (38 out of 68 surveyed) did not support peat soils, despite
13	293	having forest structures and plant communities indistinguishable from those of palm swamp
14 15	294	peatlands. Non-peat-forming mineral soil palm swamps are known from perennially humid
15	295	Peru <sup>4</sup> °, but in Colombia they appear to be more prevalent, especially in the seasonally flooded
17	296	savannas of the Llanos Orientales where a highly seasonal climate with low precipitation
18	297	creates less lavorable hydrologic conditions for peat formation.
19 20	298	We also found peat in inundated white-sand ecosystems, named for their white sandy
21	299	substrates <sup>49</sup> , which we refer to as "white-sand peatlands" from hereon. This finding was
22	300	unexpected as peat has not been previously reported in these South American ecosystems.
23 24	301	Floristically and structurally, white-sand forests—whether peat-forming or not—differ markedly
25	302	from palm swamps, exhibiting a pole forest structure of dense, thin-stemmed and often stunted
26	303	trees. Although structurally similar, Colombian white-sand peatlands are floristically distinct from
27 28	304	"peatland pole forests" described from Peru <sup>23</sup> (Fig. S4) and are typically dominated by latex-
28 29	305	producing Hevea sp. (Fig. 2). The presence of a white-sand substrate beneath up to two meters
30	306	of peat soil is counterintuitive since sandy soils should have a poor water holding capacity and
31	307	be unlikely to support peatiand hydrology. Although we were unable to directly observe deep
32 33	308	soli layers, we suspect the presence of an impermeable bedrock of cement onstein layer
34	309	sand ecosystems are often mapped <sup>49</sup> . Interestingly, peat soils aton white sandy substrates have
35	311	been described in Kerangas heath forests of Southeast Asia <sup>50–52</sup> and a few studies describe
36 37	312	thick humus or organic soil layers in inundated white sand ecosystems from other tropical South
38	313	American countries <sup>53–55</sup> , suggesting this may be an underrecognized, but broadly distributed
39	314	peatland type.
40		

The herbaceous/shrub or "open" peatlands we encountered, although structurally alike, share a primary affinity with their principal forest type, rather than each other, in terms of both species composition (Fig. 2B) and soil profiles (Fig. 3). The distinction between forested and open canopy types is often a gradient or patchwork within structurally heterogeneous peatland complexes and may reflect successional trajectories<sup>21</sup> or local disturbance regimes from fire or other yet-to-be studied mechanisms. 

The peatland community typology we describe may be expanded in the future, as there are still regions in which wetlands have not been well-surveyed, especially in the southern part of the Colombian Amazon. Two types of peatlands described in Peru, "open peatlands" and hardwood swamp forested peatlands, have not yet been catalogued in Colombia (though one site, PLL\_CUN may be a candidate for a non-palm "open peatland"). Initial fieldwork in the flooded savannas of the Guiana Shield and in flooded forests of the Orinoco basin (JCB and AGS, 

 personal observation) suggests that these may also constitute distinctive, undescribed peatland
 ecosystems, with characteristic flora and soil properties, or perhaps end-members of poorly
 studied ecological gradients.



Fig. 2. We describe two types of peatlands in the Colombian lowlands based on plant community with two sub-types based on physiognomy (A). Palm swamps with dominance of the Mauritia flexuosa palm and white-sand peatlands with a distinctive pole forest community of thin, short trees often including latex (Hevea sp.) among other characteristic taxa (B). Both types are commonly closed-canopy forests but may also be encountered as herbaceous/shrub swamps or 'open' ecosystems. PS is an abbreviation for Palm Swamp and OWS is an abbreviation for Open White-Sand. Note that site PLL CUN contains four species which are not abundant in the dataset (Enterolobium schomburgkii, Calophyllum brasiliense, Macrolobium acaciifolium and Montrichardia arborescens) and is placed tentatively within the Open PS class due to its structural similarity and the observation of M. flexuosa present at the site outside the 0.1 ha plot. We also note that Hevea species encountered in white sand peatland plots lacked reproductive parts, making species level determinations tentative (see SI for further comment). 

#### 

#### 343 Soil profiles

Our analysis of peat column organic matter (OM) reveals a wide range of peat depths and patterns of organic content among Colombian peatlands, with clear differences between palm swamp peatlands and white-sand peatlands (Fig. 3). Because palm swamp peatlands are often associated with abandoned branches or floodplain terraces of whitewater rivers<sup>21,56,57</sup>, historical flood pulses have deposited mineral material episodically<sup>58,59</sup>, leading to dramatically fluctuating OM content down core. In contrast, white-sand peatlands lack mineral intrusions and maintain extremely high OM content throughout most of their profiles, a difference that reflects settings where blackwater flood waters carry little to no mineral sediment.

Palm swamp peats have a mean belowground ecosystem carbon density that is more than double that of white sand peatlands (1230 versus 490 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>) because of their deeper peat depths (mean of 2.40 versus 1.38 m) and higher bulk density (mean of 0.19 versus 0.09 g cm<sup>-3</sup>). For context, these peatland belowground carbon densities are four to 10 times greater than aboveground carbon density of Amazonian Terra Firme forests (roughly 125 Mg C ha<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>24</sup>. Although these relationships between peat depth and ecological community help constrain regional carbon stocks (Fig 4), variability and uncertainty remain substantial and further field investigations will yield further improvements in peat carbon accounting within and beyond Colombia.



**Fig. 3.** Profiles of organic matter (OM) content from loss on ignition sampled at 10 cm intervals from peat cores of the Colombian lowlands sorted by plant community (A). The vertical lines at 45% OM indicate our threshold for delimiting peat for the purposes of defining the core bottom and mineral intrusions<sup>30</sup> following Wust et al. (2003). Inset B shows ecosystem carbon density as calculated by organic matter content and bulk density for each site's peat column. Horizontal

black bars indicate mean. Core gaps (e.g. open palm swamp site PLL-ISL) represent water filled
horizons at sites with floating peat mats. OWS is an abbreviation for Open White-Sand. Site
details are listed in Table S1.



Fig. 4. Summary of peatland types in Colombia's eastern lowlands with associated plant communities, vegetation structure, soil organic matter content and ecosystem carbon density based on field observations of 51 peatland sites and lab analyses of 39 intact peat cores in the region. Palm swamp and white sand peatlands may be closed-canopy forests or open-canopy ecosystems with scattered trees and herbaceous cover. Soil profiles reflect the tendency of palm swamps to occur on whitewater floodplains and receive mineral intrusions, whereas white sand peatlands lack mineral inputs and have high concentrations of organic matter throughout their peat profiles. Profiles shown are examples from site PLO-SPB near Puerto Lopez, Meta and site PIG-TA2 near Puerto Inirida Guainía (Fig 3). 

<sup>43</sup><sub>44</sub> 380 Mapping and extrapolation

We upscaled our field observations from Colombia's eastern lowlands to build a map of peatland coverage (Fig. 5) and generate a "best guess" of peatland areal coverage of 19,230 km<sup>2</sup>. This "best guess" is the mean of two separate estimates (9,391 and 29,069 km<sup>2</sup>) of area generated using more "conservative" or more "inclusive" handling of large areas of wetlands with low predicted peat probabilities, respectively (see methods). We suggest that the true peatland area for the study area likely lies somewhere between 7,370 and 36,200 km<sup>2</sup>, which includes the 95% confidence intervals of both conservative and inclusive estimates. These area estimates are more than an order of magnitude greater than one based on mapped histosols (638 km<sup>2</sup>)<sup>1</sup>, but substantially less than estimates from some global peatland models (up to 

58,000 km<sup>2</sup>)<sup>16,20</sup> (Table 1). Our estimate of 46 km<sup>3</sup> of peat volume (mean of volumes calculated from conservative and inclusive areal estimates multiplied by mean depth of each peatland type) and of 1.91 Pg carbon (from mean of conservative and inclusive volume, mean bulk density and mean % carbon for each type<sup>24</sup>) also fall between widely divergent prior estimates for the region (0.32 to 214 km<sup>3</sup> and 0.02 to 10.8 Pg)<sup>1,16,19,20</sup>.



Fig. 5. Map of peatland density in the Colombian lowlands as predicted by a Random Forest
algorithm trained with our field observations as well as other previously published observations
of peat and non-peat soils (Fig. S7) and using multiple remote sensing products, such as
Copernicus Sentinel-1 and -2 and PALSAR2 (see Methods). The map has been upscaled from
30 x 30 m to 1 x 1 km to improve visibility at the scale of the study area, with peat density
representing the percentage of 30 x 30 m peat sub-pixels within each 1 x 1 km pixel. Black dots
and polygons in the interior of the study region are table mountains exceeding 400 m elevation,

404 which might support peat soils<sup>60</sup>, but which we exclude from our predictive mapping since we
405 lack field data from Colombia for such ecosystems.

Table 1. Estimates of peatland area, peat volume and carbon stock for the eastern lowlands of
 Colombia from this and previous studies. Reported estimates of area for this study are (or are
 calculated from) means of "conservative" and "inclusive" approaches to areal estimation (see
 Methods). Ranges in parentheses span 95% confidence intervals for both approaches.

	Page et al. 2011 <sup>1</sup>	Gumbricht et al. 2017 <sup>16</sup>	Xu et al. 2018 <sup>20</sup>	Melton et al. 2022 <sup>19</sup>	This study
Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	638 (427 – 1263)	52,915	57,879	27,260	19,230 (7,370 – 36,200)
Volume (km³)	0.32	214	124†	58†	46 (16 – 94)
Carbon (Pg)	0.02	10.8*	6.2*	2.9*	1.91 (0.60 – 4.22)

411 \*Carbon stock estimated from volume using mean percent carbon and bulk density from Page et al. 2011<sup>1</sup>
 412 \*Volume estimated from area using this study's mean peat depth of 2.14 m

#### <sup>30</sup> 413 Implications and controlling factors <sup>31</sup>

Our field peatland observations resolve the orders of magnitude discrepancy between estimates for peat area based on soils maps and those of more recent model outputs in Colombia. Although we find that peatlands are much scarcer and shallower throughout the study area than the Global Wetland Map predicts<sup>16</sup>, we are able to corroborate its authors' general conclusion-that peatlands are more widespread in the interior of tropical South America than is widely understood. Peatlands were previously documented in the Amazon of Colombia<sup>44,45</sup> and Peru<sup>24,56,61,62</sup>, but the occurrence of peatlands in the highly seasonal savanna ecoregion of the Llanos Orientales greatly extends our understanding of geographic range and environmental conditions under which peatlands can form and persist in the neotropics (though we note savanna peatlands from Venezuela, Brazil and Bolivia documented in the paleoecology literature<sup>63–65</sup>). The many wet white-sand peatlands we encountered near the Venezuelan border in the Guainía department (Fig. 1C) confirms peat presence in a region where peatlands have been predicted but had not been previously documented<sup>16,19,66</sup>. This updated understanding of peatland biogeography has important implications for conservation planning and Earth system modelling, which rely on accurate spatial distributions of critical wetland ecosystems.

- - Controls of peatland distribution

K We found that peatlands in lowland Colombia can form and persist well away from active river floodplains, which expands the scope of potential peat distribution on the South American continent to interfluvial regions where they may occur in association with springs, seepages or isolated depressions and remain largely overlooked. Many of these peatlands are likely to be groundwater-dependent, with shallow water tables difficult to detect via satellite and which might be excluded by global maps, in contrast to regularly flooded wetlands with more readily detected standing surface water<sup>67</sup>. In the absence of consistent year round rainfall or coastal tides, tropical peatlands need natural depressions and/or a source of groundwater to maintain the consistently saturated soil conditions required for peat formation in perennially warm settings<sup>68</sup>. Thus, a combination of rainfall patterns and hydrogeomorphology, along with potential organic matter recalcitrance factors<sup>69</sup>, together impose fundamental constraints on where tropical peatlands can form. In Colombia it is evident that groundwater allows for a wide distribution of peatlands and the same is likely to be true for many other tropical regions where peatlands have evaded scientific detection.

Although global predictive maps show promise, our data suggest that without field observations they may have limited applicability. We find that some of the larger wetland areas in the study area unanimously classified to be peatlands in predictive maps<sup>16,19,20</sup> may be largely, if not entirely, peat free. Although such areas are flat and receive high annual rainfall, peat formation is likely inhibited by extreme hydrological seasonality. A long dry season (Fig. S9) that exposes wetland soils to atmospheric oxygen likely prevents peat accumulation because of rapid decomposition, a phenomenon observed in artificially drained peatlands globally<sup>7,70</sup>—this is likely the case in the climatically-extreme core of the Llanos Orientales, which experiences little rainfall from December to March in most years (Fig. 1 E)<sup>71</sup>. In this very flat area of savanna landscape, a lack of topographic gradients to support groundwater aguifers that could maintain spring-fed swamps explains the lack of peat observations, in this study and previously<sup>72</sup>. Another limit to peat formation is that some river floodplains may be too dynamic for peat formation. Overbank flooding may bury peatlands under mineral silts and clays faster than peat can accumulate<sup>73</sup>, and river meandering may excavate and reprocess floodplain sediments more rapidly than the peat can form. River dynamics may explain the apparent scarcity of peatlands along some whitewater rivers, such as the upper Rio Guaviare (Fig. 1F). The apparent absence of peatlands in some areas likely reflects regional climatic or local hydrologic and topographic limits that render these areas largely free of peat. 

Further research is needed to more fully assess the occurrence of white-sand peatlands. Of the 29 inundated white-sand ecosystems we surveyed, just 9 supported surficial peat layers of >40 cm, suggesting that white-sand peatlands may not be common; we caution that all but one of these observations stem from a single region (Inirida, Guainia) and may not reflect patterns across the broader domain of white-sand ecosystems in Amazonia. Despite their apparent rarity, white-sand peatlands may be widely distributed, as descriptions of thick (>40 cm) organic horizons atop white-sand soils from Brazil<sup>54</sup>. Suriname<sup>55</sup> and Venezuela<sup>53</sup>, meet tropical peatland criteria<sup>30</sup> and span a wide swath of northern South America<sup>74</sup>. Also in need of further research are hardwood floodplain forest peatlands, which are poorly known, difficult to detect, 

472 and have rarely been recorded. Nonetheless, about three-quarters of the forested wetlands in
473 our study area are covered in hardwood floodplain forest, so it is important to determine
474 precisely what proportion of this large area of forest holds peat.

#### **Outlook for conservation**

Although our estimate of peatland carbon stocks for the Colombian lowlands remains highly uncertain, our central estimate of 1.91 Pg (mean of inclusive and conservative estimates) is more than one-third of that of the Pastaza-Marañon Foreland Basin (4.36<sup>26</sup> to 5.4 Pg<sup>33</sup>), the largest known peatland complex in South America, and roughly equivalent to 70 years of emissions from fossil fuels and industry in Colombia<sup>75</sup>. This finding emphasizes the need for further peatland research and carbon-motivated conservation efforts in Colombia, as well as in other global peatland hotspots identified by models, but which lack field data. An important and urgent<sup>18</sup> next step in Colombia will be an assessment of peatland threats, degradation and carbon losses, as has recently been carried out in Peru<sup>8,33,76,77</sup>. Anecdotally, we observed examples of palm swamp felling and many of the open palm swamp peatlands in the Llanos Orientales showed evidence of charring on tree trunks, indicating a history of peatland fires. It is possible that these peatlands may be well-adapted to withstand anthropogenic fire regimes<sup>78,79</sup> but, given the history of catastrophic peat fires elsewhere<sup>2,5,80</sup>, their sensitivity to fire should be investigated. 

Further socio-ecological research is needed to systematically assess evidence for past destruction and analyze ongoing threats. People that live among Colombian peatlands include farmers and ranchers as well as indigenous communities, which place a special cultural importance on water bodies<sup>81</sup>. Socio-ecological research should be a priority to assess interactions between local communities and peatlands, and to identify potential threats as well as opportunities for their protection under an umbrella of community-led sustainable development<sup>82-84</sup>. 

<sup>37</sup> 497 <sup>38</sup> 498

## **References**

- 500 1. Page, S. E., Rieley, J. O. & Banks, C. J. Global and regional importance of the tropical
- 501 peatland carbon pool. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 798–818 (2011).
- 48 502 2. Turetsky, M. R. *et al.* Global vulnerability of peatlands to fire and carbon loss. *Nature* 49
   50 503 *Geoscience* 8, 11–14 (2015).
- 52 504 3. Joosten, H., Sirin, A., Couwenberg, J., Laine, J. & Smith, P. The role of peatlands in climate
  - 505 regulation. in *Peatland Restoration and Ecosystem Services* (eds. Bonn, A., Allott, T.,

506		Evans, M., Joosten, H. & Stoneman, R.) 63–76 (Cambridge University Press, 2016).
507		doi:10.1017/CBO9781139177788.005.
508	4.	Kiely, L. et al. Assessing costs of Indonesian fires and the benefits of restoring peatland.
509		Nat Commun <b>12</b> , 7044 (2021).
510	5.	Page, S. E. & Hooijer, A. In the line of fire: the peatlands of Southeast Asia. Philosophical
511		Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 371, 20150176 (2016).
512	6.	Marcus, M. S., Hergoualc'h, K., Honorio Coronado, E. N. & Gutiérrez-Vélez, V. H. Spatial
513		distribution of degradation and deforestation of palm swamp peatlands and associated
514		carbon emissions in the Peruvian Amazon. Journal of Environmental Management 351,
515		119665 (2024).
516	7.	Hoyt, A. M., Chaussard, E., Seppalainen, S. S. & Harvey, C. F. Widespread subsidence and
517		carbon emissions across Southeast Asian peatlands. Nat. Geosci. 13, 435-440 (2020).
518	8.	Hergoualc'h, K. et al. Major carbon losses from degradation of Mauritia flexuosa peat
519		swamp forests in western Amazonia. Biogeochemistry 1–19 (2023) doi:10.1007/s10533-
520		023-01057-4.
521	9.	Jalilov, SM. et al. Why is tropical peatland conservation so challenging? Findings from a
522		livelihood assessment in Sumatra, Indonesia. <i>Mires and Peat</i> <b>30</b> , 1–20 (2024).
523	10.	Suarez, E. et al. Challenges and opportunities for restoration of high-elevation Andean
524		peatlands in Ecuador. Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change 27, 30 (2022).
525	11.	Leifeld, J. & Menichetti, L. The underappreciated potential of peatlands in global climate
526		change mitigation strategies /704/47/4113 /704/106/47 article. Nature Communications 9,
527		(2018).
528	12.	Griscom, B. W. et al. Natural climate solutions. Proceedings of the National Academy of
529		Sciences 114, 11645–11650 (2017).
530	13.	Minasny, B. et al. Digital mapping of peatlands – A critical review. Earth-Science Reviews
531	7	(2019) doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.05.014.
	17	
	506 507 508 509 510 511 512 513 514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 522 523 524 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530	506         507         508       4.         509       5.         510       5.         511       6.         512       6.         513       7.         514       7.         515       7.         516       7.         517       8.         519       9.         520       9.         521       9.         522       10.         523       10.         524       11.         525       11.         526       12.         527       528         527       12.         528       12.         529       13.         531       13.

AUTHOR SUBMITTED MANUSCRIPT - ERL-120189.R1

1 2			
3 4	532	14.	. Ribeiro, K. et al. Tropical peatlands and their contribution to the global carbon cycle and
5 6	533		climate. Global Change Biology 0-3 (2020) doi:10.1111/gcb.15408.
7 8	534	15.	. Malpica-Piñeros, C., Barthelmes, A. & Joosten, H. What, when, who and how? A review of
9 10	535		peatland research in Amazonia. <i>Mires and Peat</i> <b>31</b> , 1–26 (2024).
11 12	536	16.	. Gumbricht, T. et al. An expert system model for mapping tropical wetlands and peatlands
13 14	537		reveals South America as the largest contributor. Global Change Biology (2017)
15 16	538		doi:10.1111/ijlh.12426.
17 18 10	539	17.	. Murillo-Sandoval, P. J., Van Dexter, K., Van Den Hoek, J., Wrathall, D. & Kennedy, R. The
19 20 21	540		end of gunpoint conservation: forest disturbance after the Colombian peace agreement.
21 22 23	541		Environ. Res. Lett. 15, 034033 (2020).
24 25	542	18.	. López, J., Qian, Y., Murillo-Sandoval, P. J., Clerici, N. & Eklundh, L. Landscape connectivity
23 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	543		loss after the de-escalation of armed conflict in the Colombian Amazon (2011–2021). Global
	544		Ecology and Conservation <b>54</b> , e03094 (2024).
	545	19.	. Melton, J. R. et al. A map of global peatland extent created using machine learning (Peat-
32 33	546		ML). Geosci. Model Dev. 15, 4709–4738 (2022).
34 35	547	20.	. Xu, J., Morris, P. J., Liu, J. & Holden, J. PEATMAP: Refining estimates of global peatland
36 37	548		distribution based on a meta-analysis. Catena 160, 134–140 (2018).
38 39 40	549	21.	. Roucoux, K. H. et al. Vegetation development in an Amazonian peatland. Palaeogeography,
40 41 42	550		Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology 374, 242–255 (2013).
43 44	551	22.	. Lahteenoja, O. & Page, S. The large Amazonian peatland carbon sink in the subsiding
45 46	552		Pastaza-Maranon foreland basin, Peru. Global Change Biology 164–178 (2012)
47 48	553		doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02504.x.
49 50	554	23.	Draper, F. C. et al. Peatland forests are the least diverse tree communities documented in
51 52	555		Amazonia, but contribute to high regional beta-diversity. <i>Ecography</i> <b>41</b> , 1256–1269 (2018).
53 54	556	24.	Draper, F. C. et al. The distribution and amount of carbon in the largest peatland complex in
55 56	557	7	Amazonia. Environmental Research Letters 9, 124017 (2014).
57 58 50		10	
60		io	

Page 19 of 25

1 2			
3 4	558	25.	Minasny, B. et al. Mapping and monitoring peatland conditions from global to field scale.
5 6 7	559		Biogeochemistry (2023) doi:10.1007/s10533-023-01084-1.
7 8	560	26.	Bourgeau-chavez, L. L. et al. Advances in Amazonian Peatland Discrimination With Multi-
9 10	561		Temporal PALSAR Refines Estimates of Peatland Distribution, C Stocks and Deforestation.
11 12	562		frontiers in earth science <b>9</b> , 1–19 (2021).
13 14	563	27.	Hansen, M. C. & Loveland, T. R. A review of large area monitoring of land cover change
15 16	564		using Landsat data. Remote Sensing of Environment 122, 66–74 (2012).
17 18	565	28.	Dargie, G. C. et al. Age, extent and carbon storage of the central Congo Basin peatland
19 20 21	566		complex. <i>Nature</i> <b>542</b> , 86–90 (2017).
21 22 23	567	29.	Coronado, E. N. H. et al. Intensive field sampling increases the known extent of carbon-rich
23 24 25	568		Amazonian peatland pole forests. Environmental Research Letters (2021).
26 27	569	30.	Wust, R. A. J., Bustin, R. M. & Lavkulich, L. M. New classification systems for tropical
27 28 29	570		organic-rich deposits based on studies of the Tasek Bera. Catena 53, 133–163 (2003).
30 31	571	31.	Farmer, J. et al. Comparison of methods for quantifying soil carbon in tropical peats.
31 32 33	572		<i>Geoderma</i> <b>214–215</b> , 177–183 (2014).
34 35	573	32.	Pribyl, D. W. A critical review of the conventional SOC to SOM conversion factor. Geoderma
36 37	574		<b>156</b> , 75–83 (2010).
38 39	575	33.	Hastie, A. et al. Risks to carbon storage from land-use change revealed by peat thickness
40 41 42	576		maps of Peru. Nat. Geosci. 15, 369–374 (2022).
42 43 44	577	34.	ForestPlots.net et al. Taking the pulse of Earth's tropical forests using networks of highly
45 46	578		distributed plots. Biological Conservation 260, 108849 (2021).
47 48	579	35.	Lopez-Gonzalez, G., Lewis, S. L., Burkitt, M. & Phillips, O. L. ForestPlots.net: a web
49 50	580		application and research tool to manage and analyse tropical forest plot data. Journal of
51 52	581		Vegetation Science <b>22</b> , 610–613 (2011).
53 54	582	36.	Forestplots.net Database.
55 56	583	37.	Breiman, L. Random Forests. Machine Learning 45, 5–32 (2001).
57 58			
27		19	

1 2			
2 3 4	584	38.	Pedregosa, F. et al. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. J. Mach. Learn. Res. 12,
5 6	585		2825–2830 (2011).
7 8	586	39.	Claverie, M. et al. The Harmonized Landsat and Sentinel-2 surface reflectance data set.
9 10	587		Remote Sensing of Environment 219, 145–161 (2018).
11 12	588	40.	Gao, B. NDWI—A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid
13 14 15	589		water from space. Remote Sensing of Environment 58, 257–266 (1996).
15 16 17	590	41.	Pekel, JF., Cottam, A., Gorelick, N. & Belward, A. S. High-resolution mapping of global
17 18 19	591		surface water and its long-term changes. Nature 540, 418-422,1-14 (2016).
20 21	592	42.	Marconcini, M., Metz- Marconcini, A., Esch, T. & Gorelick, N. Understanding Current Trends
22 23	593		in Global Urbanisation - The World Settlement Footprint Suite. giforum 1, 33–38 (2021).
24 25	594	43.	Olofsson, P., Foody, G. M., Stehman, S. V. & Woodcock, C. E. Making better use of
26 27	595		accuracy data in land change studies: Estimating accuracy and area and quantifying
28 29	596		uncertainty using stratified estimation. Remote Sensing of Environment 129, 122–131
30 31 22	597		(2013).
32 33 34	598	44.	Santofimio Tamayo, A. G. Carbon accumulation patterns in soils of tropical peatlands from
35 36	599		alluvial origin (Caquetá, Colombia). (Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, 2018).
37 38	600	45.	Duivenvoorden, J. F. Tree species composition and rain forest-environment relationships in
39 40	601		the middle Caquetá area, Colombia, NW Amazonia. Vegetatio <b>120</b> , 91–113 (1995).
41 42	602	46.	Instituto Geográfico Agustín Codazzi. Geoportal: Datos Abiertos Agrología.
43 44	603	47.	Olson, D. M. et al. Terrestrial Ecoregions of the World: A New Map of Life on Earth.
45 46	604		<i>BioScience</i> <b>51</b> , 933 (2001).
47 48	605	48.	Flores Llampazo, G. et al. The presence of peat and variation in tree species composition
49 50	606		are under different hydrological controls in Amazonian wetland forests. Hydrological
51 52 53	607		Processes <b>36</b> , e14690 (2022).
53 54 55	608	49.	Adeney, J. M., Christensen, N. L., Vicentini, A. & Cohn-haft, M. White-sand Ecosystems in
56 57	609	7	Amazonia. Biotropica 48, 7–23 (2016).
58 59		20	

1 2			
3 4	610	50.	Miyamoto, K. et al. Habitat differentiation among tree species with small-scale variation of
5 6	611		humus depth and topography in a tropical heath forest of Central Kalimantan, Indonesia.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	612		Journal of Tropical Ecology 19, 43–54 (2003).
	613	51.	Davies, S. J. & Becker, P. Floristic Composition and Stand Structure of Mixed Dipterocarp
	614		and Heath Forests in Brunei Darussalam. Journal of Tropical Forest Science 8, 542–569
	615		(1996).
	616	52.	Bruning, E. F. Ecological studies in the Kerangas Forests of Sarawak and Brunei [Malaysia].
	617		(1974).
19 20 21	618	53.	Coomes, D. A. & Grubb, P. J. Amazonian caatinga and related communities at La
22 22 23	619		Esmeralda, Venezuela: Forest structure, physiognomy and floristics, and control by soil
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	620		factors. Vegetatio 122, 167–191 (1996).
	621	54.	Dubroeucq, D. & Volkoff, B. From Oxisols to Spodosols and Histosols: evolution of the soil
	622		mantles in the Rio Negro basin (Amazonia). Catena 32, 245'280 (1998).
	623	55.	Heyligers, P. C. Vegetation and Soil of a White-Sand Savanna in Suriname. (N. V.
	624		Noord'Hallandsche Uitgevers Maatschappij, Amsterdam, 1963).
	625	56.	Householder, J. E., Janovec, J. P., Tobler, M. W., Page, S. & Lähteenoja, O. Peatlands of
	626		the madre de dios river of peru: Distribution, geomorphology, and habitat diversity. Wetlands
38 39 40	627		<b>32</b> , 359–368 (2012).
40 41 42	628	57.	Sassoon, D. et al. Influence of flooding variability on the development of an Amazonian
43 44	629		peatland. Journal of Quaternary Science 39, 309–326 (2024).
45 46	630	58.	Junk, W. J. Ecology of swamps on the middle Amazon. (1983).
47 48	631	59.	Salo, J. et al. River dynamics and the diversity of Amazon lowland forest. Nature 322, 254-
49 50	632		258 (1986).
51 52	633	60.	Zinck, J. A. & Huber, O. Peatlands of the Western Guayana Highlands , Venezuela :
53 54	634		Properties and Paleogeographic Significance of Peats. 1–3 (2013).
55 56	7	7	
57 58 50		24	,
60		41	

2			
- 3 4	635	61.	Lahteenoja, O., Ruokolainen, K., Schulman, L. & Oinonen, M. Amazonian peatlands: an
5 6	636		ignored C sink and potential source. Global Change Biology 15, 2311–2320 (2009).
7 8	637	62.	Gonzales, M. L. & Baker, T. What Do We Know about Peruvian Peatlands? (2020).
9 10	638	63.	Rull, V., Montoya, E., Vegas-Vilarrúbia, T. & Ballesteros, T. New insights on palaeofires and
11 12	639		savannisation in northern South America. Quaternary Science Reviews 122, 158–165
13 14	640		(2015).
15 16	641	64.	Escobar-Torrez, K., Ledru, MP., Ortuño, T., Lombardo, U. & Renno, JF. Landscape
17 18 10	642		changes in the southern Amazonian foreland basin during the Holocene inferred from Lake
19 20 21	643		Ginebra, Beni, Bolivia. Quaternary Research 94, 46–60 (2020).
22 23	644	65.	Beer, F. et al. Peatlands in the Brazilian Cerrado: insights into knowledge, status and
24 25	645		research needs. Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation 22, 260–269 (2024).
26 27	646	66.	Hastie, Adam et al. A new data-driven map predicts substantial undocumented peatland
28 29	647		areas in Amazonia. Environmental Research Letters in press,.
30 31	648	67.	Tootchi, A., Jost, A. & Ducharne, A. Multi-source global wetland maps combining surface
32 33	649		water imagery and groundwater constraints. Earth System Science Data 11, 189–220
34 35 36	650		(2019).
30 37 38	651	68.	Ratnayake, A. S. Characteristics of Lowland Tropical Peatlands : Formation , Classification ,
39 40	652		and Decomposition. (2020) doi:10.31357/jtfe.v10i1.4685.
41 42	653	69.	Hodgkins, S. B. et al. Tropical peatland carbon storage linked to global latitudinal trends in
43 44	654		peat recalcitrance. Nature Communications 9, 3640 (2018).
45 46	655	70.	Hooijer, a. et al. Subsidence and carbon loss in drained tropical peatlands. Biogeosciences
47 48	656		<b>9</b> , 1053–1071 (2012).
49 50	657	71.	Mattos, C. R. C. et al. Double stress of waterlogging and drought drives forest-savanna
51 52 53	658		coexistence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences <b>120</b> , e2301255120 (2023).
54 55			
56 57		7	
58		20	
60		4Z	

1 2			
3 4	659	72.	. Martín-López, J. M., Verchot, L. V., Martius, C. & da Silva, M. Modeling the Spatial
5 6	660		Distribution of Soil Organic Carbon and Carbon Stocks in the Casanare Flooded Savannas
7 8	661		of the Colombian Llanos. Wetlands 43, 65 (2023).
9 10	662	73.	Wittmann, F., Junk, W. J. & Piedade, M. T. F. The várzea forests in Amazonia: flooding and
11 12	663		the highly dynamic geomorphology interact with natural forest succession. Forest Ecology
13 14 15	664		and Management <b>196</b> , 199–212 (2004).
15 16 17	665	74.	. Hastie, A. et al. A new data-driven map predicts substantial undocumented peatland areas
17 18 10	666		in Amazonia. Environ. Res. Lett. 19, 094019 (2024).
19 20 21 22	667	75.	Friedlingstein, P. et al. Global Carbon Budget 2023. Earth System Science Data 15, 5301-
21 22 23	668		5369 (2023).
24 25	669	76.	Roucoux, K. H. et al. Threats to intact tropical peatlands and opportunities for their
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 28	670		conservation. Conservation Biology (2017) doi:10.1111/cobi.12925.
	671	77.	Lawson, I. T. et al. The vulnerability of tropical peatlands to oil and gas exploration and
	672		extraction. Progress in Environmental Geography 1, 84–114 (2022).
	673	78.	Alizadeh, K., Cohen, M. & Behling, H. Origin and dynamics of the northern South American
	674		coastal savanna belt during the Holocene – the role of climate, sea-level, fire and humans.
	675		Quaternary Science Reviews 122, 51–62 (2015).
38 39	676	79.	Morcote-Ríos, G., Aceituno, F. J., Iriarte, J., Robinson, M. & Chaparro-Cárdenas, J. L.
40 41 42	677		Colonisation and early peopling of the Colombian Amazon during the Late Pleistocene and
42 43 44	678		the Early Holocene: New evidence from La Serranía La Lindosa. Quaternary International
45 46	679		<b>578</b> , 5–19 (2021).
47 48	680	80.	Page, S. E. et al. The amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia
49 50	681		during 1997. <i>Nature</i> <b>420</b> , 61–65 (2002).
51 52	682	81.	Angarita-Baéz, J. A. et al. Assessing and mapping cultural ecosystem services at
53 54	683		community level in the Colombian Amazon. International Journal of Biodiversity Science,
55 56	684	7	Ecosystem Services & Management 13, 280–296 (2017).
57 58		C	
59		23	

685 82. Fleischman, F. et al. Restoration prioritization must be informed by marginalized people.

- *Nature* **607**, E5–E6 (2022).
- 687 83. Lupascu, M. Peat management by local communities can reduce emissions. *Nature Climate*688 *Change* 11, 891–893 (2021).
- 689 84. Schulz, C. et al. Uses, cultural significance, and management of peatlands in the Peruvian
- 690 Amazon: Implications for conservation. *Biological Conservation* **235**, 189–198 (2019).
- 691 85. Martín-López, J. M., Verchot, L. V., Martius, C. & da Silva, M. Modeling the Spatial
- 692 Distribution of Soil Organic Carbon and Carbon Stocks in the Casanare Flooded Savannas
- 693 of the Colombian Llanos. *Wetlands* **43**, 65 (2023).
  - 694 86. Rainford, S., Martín-López, J. M. & Da Silva, M. Approximating Soil Organic Carbon Stock
  - 695 in the Eastern Plains of Colombia. *Front. Environ.* Sci. 9, (2021).
- 696 87. Paukku, S. Peatlands in Colombia. (ETH Zurich, 2021).

#### 698 Acknowledgements

We thank Fundación Horizonte Verde for helping administer and manage field activities. Jack Lamb, Ellie Walker, Carla Nicolini, Ari Barbella-Blaha and Ruby Gates analyzed peat samples via loss on ignition. Javier Mauricio Martin and Lou Verchot provided valuable insights into the status of soil types in the Casanare Department during field campaign planning. David Medeiros advised on map aesthetics for Fig. 1. Anamaria Rozo Perez (Javeriana) processed soil samples in the laboratory at Pontificia Universidad Javeriana in Bogota. Juan Carlos Berrio provided advice on potential peatland sites in the Meta Department. Gina Santofimio-Tamayo provided valuable advice on field methods and potential areas of peatlands in the Caquetá Department. Francisco Castro conducted floristic surveys for sites in the Guaviare and Vaupés Departments. Jair Felipe Restrepo Cañola conducted floristic surveys for some sites in the Meta and Guainia Departments. Henry Arellano-Peña, Rodolfo Vasquez Martinez and Abel Monteagudo-Mendoza contributed forest plot data. Tiia Määttä contributed original vector graphics of palms for Fig. 4, with additional clipart licensed from Biorender. This project has been supported by ForestPlots.net approved Research Project # 179. "Flora of Colombian Peatlands". The development of ForestPlots.net and data curation has been funded by several grants, including NE/B503384/1, NE/N012542/1, ERC Advanced Grant 291585—'T-FORCES', and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation.

1		
2	740	
4	716	Funding:
5	717	King Center on Global Development at Stanford University (RSW, AMH)
6	718	Swiss National Science Foundation grant 190328 (RSW)
7	719	NERC Knowledge Exchange Fellowship grant NE/V018760/2 (ENHC)
8	720	International Max Planck Research School for Global Biogeochemical Cycles (AU)
9	721	European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and
10	722	innovation programme grant agreement No 865403 (AGS)
11	700	Charles University (DDIMUS/22/SCI/012) Charles University Desceret Centre program
12	723	Chanes Oniversity (PRIMOS/23/SCI/013), Chanes Oniversity Research Centre program
13	724	(UNCE/24/SCI/006) and the OP JAK programme "Natural and anthropogenic geonazards"
14	725	(CZ.02.01.01/00/22_008/0004605) (AH)
15	726	National Science Foundation award 2406964 (AMH)
17	727	
18		
19	728	Author Contributions:
20		
21	729	Conceptualization: RSW, JCB, AH, AGS, AB, AMH
22	730	Funding Acquisition: RSW, BW, AH
23	731	Formal Analysis: RSW. AU. ENHC. BM
24	732	Investigation: RSW JCB FM AU AGHO SP BM GAAC AB
25	733	Data Curation: RSW/ EM ALL ENHC AGHO JAP GAAC GAAC ED OP GEL RH HTS IS
20 27	700	
27	734	JIVIRA, IRD
29	735	VISUAIIZATION: RSVV, AU, ENHC
30	736	Methodology: RSW, AU, AH
31	737	Project Administration: RSW, EM
32	738	Supervision: RSW, EM, BW, AH
33	739	Writing—original draft: RSW
34	740	Writing—review and editing: RSW, JCB, EM, AU, AH, ENHC, JAP, GAAC, FD, RH, AGS, BW,
35	741	AMH
36		
3/ 20	742	<b>Competing interests:</b> Authors declare that they have no competing interests.
20 20		
40	743	Data and Materials availability:
41		
42	744	All field data described in this manuscript as well as codes used to generate figures will be
43	745	archived in the open access Drived database. Plant specimens collected as part of this research
44	745	archived in the open access bryad database. I fait specimens collected as part of this research
45	740	are al the Herbano del Onnoquia (Herbano LLANOS).
46		
47		
48 40		
49 50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		