Please cite as:

Dingemanse, Mark. 2010. Folk definitions of ideophones. In Elisabeth Norcliffe & N. J. Enfield (eds.), Field Manual Volume 13, 24-29. Nijmegen: Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics.

doi:10.17617/2.529151.

You can find this entry on:

http://fieldmanuals.mpi.nl/volumes/2010/folk-definitions-of-ideophones/

REGULATIONS ON USE

Stephen C. Levinson and Asifa Majid

This website and the materials herewith supplied have been developed by members of the Language and Cognition Department of the Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics (formerly the Cognitive Anthropology Research Group). In a number of cases materials were designed in collaboration with staff from other MPI departments.

Proper attribution

Any use of the materials should be acknowledged in publications, presentations and other public materials. Entries have been developed by different individuals. Please cite authors as indicated on the webpage and front page of the pdf entry. Use of associated stimuli should also be cited by acknowledging the field manual entry. Intellectual property rights are hereby asserted.

No redistribution

We urge you not to redistribute these files yourself; instead point people to the appropriate page on the Field Manual archives site. This is important for the continuing presence of the website. We will be updating materials, correcting errors and adding information over time. The most recent versions of materials can always be found on our website.

Be in touch

The materials are being released in the spirit of intellectual co-operation. In some cases the authors of entries have not had the chance to publish results yet. It is expected that users will share results garnered from use of these materials in free intellectual exchange before publication. You are encouraged to get in touch with us if you are going to use these materials for collecting data. These manuals were originally intended as working documents for internal use only. They were supplemented by verbal instructions and additional guidelines in many cases.

The contents of manuals, entries therein and field-kit materials are modified from time to time, and this provides an additional motivation for keeping close contact with the Language and Cognition Department. We would welcome suggestions for changes and additions, and comments on the viability of different materials and techniques in various field situations.

Contact

Email us via <u>http://fieldmanuals.mpi.nl/contact/</u> Language and Cognition Department Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics Postbox310, 6500AH, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

FOLK DEFINITIONS OF IDEOPHONES Mark Dingemanse

Project	Categories across Language and Cognition			
Task	Eliciting folk definitions of ideophones			
Goal of task	Studying the meaning and use of ideophones using video-recordings of spontaneous, informal explanations.			
Prerequisites	A list of ideophones. Fam iliarity with the Exp ressives task (Tufvesson 2007) is useful.			
Outcome	Ideophones are typologically widespread, but reliable data on their meaning and use is still very scarce. Data contributes to a description of ideophones in the field language. The pooled cross-linguistic data will also constitute an in itial step towa rds a comparison of the meaning and use of ideophones across languages, culminating in an overview publication or —if there is enough interest— a collected volume.			

Background³

Ideophones are m arked words that de pict sensory events, like English *hippety-hoppety* 'in a limping and hobbling manner' or Siwu *mukumuku* 'mouth movements of a toothless person eating', *nyenene* 'sensation of shivering', and *nyãkãnyãkã* 'sensation of grooved texture'. Their rich semantics has often been singled out for comment and presented as a challenge for lexicographers and translators (Childs 1993; Noss 1999; N uckolls 2000), but despite some pioneering papers by W illiam Samarin (1967; 1970), there are surp risingly few attem pts at determining the meaning of ideophones. This task is a field procedure in ideophone research that provides a way of constructing rich prim ary data (Bernard et al. 1986) in a planned context while ensuring a large amount of spontaneity and freedom.

Folk definitions are explications or paraphras es in the language under investigation (rather than the language of an alysis). Folk definitions have been used as a guide to sem antics in anthropological linguistics, especially within the ethnoscience paradigm. Most studies in this domain have been primarily interested in the semantic principles underlying the construction of such definitions (e.g. Casagrande and Hale 1967; Manes 1980). However, it is also possible to extract information on the types of words defined: in the P apago folk definitions collected by Casagrande and Hale, most verbs, for example, are defined by describing a scene or event; nouns for natural kind s usually get an attributive de finition listing characteristic properties or attributes; and body- parts and material culture are mostly defined functionally. Even if folk definitions by lingu istically n aïve speakers d o not usually corre spond to the lexicographer's ideal of both *characterising* and *delimiting* the meaning of a given term, they can be highly useful as a complement to other lexicographic methods.

Task

The task consists of video-recording speaker s' spontaneous, informal explanations of ideophones in their own language and analysing these. Its cr ucial properties are (1) its reliance on the language under study itself, (2) its insist ence on oral, spontaneous

³ Thanks to Sylvia Tufvesson and Nick Enfield for input on the development of this entry.

explanation, and (3) its use of video recording to capture essential multi-modal information such as gesture, posture, and facial expressions.

First, the actual work is done in the speaker's own language. This m eans, first of all, that the pool of potential participants is maximised to include basically everyone with communicative competence in the language. No writing skills are needed, and nothing bars m onolinguals (often an interesting group from a sociolinguistic point of view) from participating. It means, secondly, that the dubious step of providing translation equiva lents in the investigator's metalanguage (usually o ne or another variety of SAE) is postponed at leas t until *after* the process of data collection; the data of course will be much the richer for it.

Secondly, turning to the nature of the explanations, it is important to note that the exercise takes place where both the speak er and the phenom enon under investigation are most at home: the realm of spoken language. The speaker, usually one at a tim e^4 (though the audience will play a role too), is simply asked to 'explain' or 'clarify' certain words in their own language. No further instructions are given as to how the explanations should be constructed, nor is any clue provided about the nature of the words to be explained. This is so that we can learn about the 'unmarked' way of thinking and talking about ideophones. The ideophones them selves will simply be read aloud one by one by the investigator or an assistant.

Third, the explanations are videotaped. The advantages of video recordings are obvious, chief among them the sheer richness of the data compared to audio recordings and/or field notes. It is especially im portant to capture gestur al behaviour, as its im portance to ideophonic performance has long been noted but not adequa tely studied. In addition, consultants find it easier to help transcribe video reco rdings because of the many non-verbal cues th at can be attended to in deciphering the material.

Research questions

- 1. What strategies are us ed in informal explanations of ideophones? (Some possibilities are mentioned under Analysis below.)
- 2. What do structure and content of the expl anations teach us about naïve speakers' understanding of the meanings of ideophones?

Directions for use

- 1 Have a list of ideophones ready. Sixty ide ophones can be done in under an hour. The ideophones are read from the list in a set order to make transcription easier. Be prepared to take note of ideophones that are new or that differ in any way from the ones on your list.
- 2 Collect definitions from several different spea kers to control for idiolectal variation and idiosyncratic explanation strategies. Aim for definitions of at least 20 ideophones by three different speakers (collecting this would not take more than two hours in all).
- 3 (Optionally.) Include som e nouns and verbs to be able to com pare the explanatory strategies used.

⁴ A variant with a group of s peakers is also possible, and has at times proven quite fruitful. There is a payoff however in terms of clarity: with a group of people it is more difficult to satisfy the conditions for a u seful recording of constant quality; in addition, the resulting materials will be more difficult to analyse.

- 4 Preferably, the session should take p lace outdoors in an open space. The space should be not too public (otherwise there will be too m uch interference of passersby), but certainly not too confined either. A compound or garden usually does fine.
- 5 The speaker should have the feeling that they are communicating with an audience rather than speaking into the cam era, so they shoul d be provided with cues signalling attention and engagement by the fieldworker and/or a few others. (Too m any people present, and the folk definitions become self-conscious performances or loose focus; too few, and the speaker may loose interest. The language prof iciency of the fieldworker is a factor too. Tinker with this to determine the best circumstances for your field site.)
- 6 Aim to gather data for both m ale and fe male speakers. It is probably advisable to start with assistants that are m iddle-aged and older, for they usually have the w idest knowledge of ideophones. Start with people who are expressive and not shy to appear on camera.
- 7 Do a first rough time-aligned transcription of the data in the field, to clarify any questions that may arise. Glossing and gesture analysis follow later. If you keep to the order on your list this will speed up the transcription process and make later comparisons easier.

Recording Tips

- 1 Always make sure to have the full body of the speaker in the shot; all kinds of movements may turn out to be significant. Som e sp eakers like to walk ar ound, especially while enacting certain ideophones. Use a wide angle lens to capture as m uch as possible, and point out the approximate range to the speaker (so that they do not walk out of the visual field).
- 2 In general, keep cam era movement to the m inimum; it will be dif ficult to analys e the parts of the recording where the camera is moving.
- 3 Avoid sessions that are too long. Alt hough this depends on the energy and resourcefulness of the speaker, it is probably good to take a short break at least after every hour of recording.
- 4 The usual recording 'best practices' obtain, e.g.: use an external microphone connected to the camera for higher quality sound; have a backup sound recorder running; bring ample replacement batteries.

Analysis

This task generates rich data that can be used to study the meaning and use of ideophones. Working from time-aligned transcriptions of the video recorded folk definitions, determine what kind of strategies speakers use to explain the meanings of ideophones. If you have also gathered folk definition of some other major word classes, compare them to see if and how they differ from each other.

In a pilot of this task done with speakers of Siwu, a Kwa language of eastern Ghana, the following strategies are used to define ideophon es (arranged roughly in order of frequency): describing a scene in which they would be used; using synonym s and antonyms as semantic anchoring points to de limit their meaning; citing a typical use case (for example, an insult); accompanying the words with illustrative gestures; and providing a verbal paraphrase. These strategies are often com bined. The scenes and use cases provide crucial inform ation on how ideophones are typically used (to be validat ed with corpus data) and on the background knowledge that underpins their use; synonym s and antonym s throw light on the lexical

structuring of the domain; and gestures provide impossible to attain with verbal paraphrase.

detailed sem antic cues that a re all but

For an example of how gestures elucidate the lexical semantics of ideophones, consider the case of the Siwu ideoph ones *giligili* vs. *minimini*. The difference between them turns out to be hard to articulate; both seem to be about some kind of roundness. However, looking at the gestures in the folk definitions of f our different speakers, a clear distinction em erges. In explaining *giligili*, all four speakers draw a circle in space, often with their index finger. In contrast, for *minimini*, all speakers gesture a sphere with both hands. We see thus that the gesture changes with the word form, suggesting that *giligili* should be glossed as 'circular outline' and *minimini* as 'spherical' — a distinction that is in line with their ev eryday use (e.g. dig a circular pit [*giligili*], make the lump of fufu round [*minimini*]). Iconic gestures are a useful complem ent t o verbal explicati on because they are good for depicting im ageschematic aspects of the meaning of ideophones.

Appendix: examples of transcribed folk definitions from Siwu

These examples illustrate what folk definitions can look like and how they may be useful for understanding the meanings of ideophones.

1 Folk definition of *petepete* 'thin, fragile' by speaker FK

ìra	né	<i>'n-se</i>	petepete-petepetepete		
thing	REL	SC:REL-be	IDPH.thin.fragile-INT3		
			—G1 —		
ì-i-gbògbòrò					
it-NEG-IDPH.sturdy					
—G2 —					
ì-i-tòtòrò					
it-NEG-IDPH.thick					
G3					
ì-se petepete-petepete					
it-COP IDPH.thin.fragile-INT3					
G4		—			

G1: BH symmetric, gently pinching an imaginary thin object between thumb and forefinger G2: BH symmetric, making fists at chest-level

G3: RH index finger being pinched with thumb and forefinger of the LH as if measuring thickness G4: LH palm up, RH palm down, lightly tapping at the fingertips of the extended index fingers

"Something thin and fragile. It's not strong; it's not thick; it is thin and fragile."

DISCUSSION. Each of the four ideop hone tokens in this definition is su pported by a single time-aligned depictive gesture. The first gesture accompanying *petepete* depicts som ething very thin being measured between the fingertips; the gentle tapping of forefinger and thumb underlines the fragility evoked by the ideophone. The next ideophone, *gbogboro*, forcefully contrasts with this fragility by evok ing an im age of toughness and power. Ideoph one and gesture form a multi-modal unit embedded in a negative construction, so in effect the speaker is saying 'it is not like *this*', where 'this' is the im age of strength and toughness evoked by

ideophone plus gesture. The sam e holds for the next ideophone+gesture constellation. Here the measuring event of the first gesture is repeated, but this time with the thickness of one finger being pinched between forefinger and the umb; again a negative construction indicates 'it is not thick like *this*'. The contrast is underlined by a final repetition of *petepete* with a slightly modified variant of the first gesture, this time lightly tapping at the fingertips of the extended forefingers. The cumulative effect of these ideophone+gesture constellations is a maximum of explicitness with a minimum of words: *petepete* is not strong; it's not thick; it is thin and fragile.

2 Folk definition of yààà 'water gushing' by speaker RO

n-du se yààààà

MI-water go IDPH.gushing:INT

'Water is gushing yààà.'

gэ kàdo pɛ, ngɔ n-du se yààà mì-bò à-vo атғ when rain beat, how MI-water go IDPH.gushing SCR.MI-enter A.PL-house inside 'When it has rained, the way the water gushes yààà, entering the houses.' mì-se kere yààà wààà mì-se kà-kɔi biara kekè i SCR.MI-go just IDPH.gushing IDPH.splash SCR.MI-go LOC KA-place each every 'It just goes yààà (gushing) wààà (splashing) into everyplace.'

"Water is gushing *yààà*. When it has rained, the way the water gushes *yààà*, entering the houses. It just goes *yààà* (gushing) *wààà* (splashing) into everyplace."

DISCUSSION. RO first provides a single sentence exemplifying the use of the ideophone: "water gushes $y\dot{a}\dot{a}\dot{a}\dot{a}$ ". Then he sk etches a scene all t oo familiar in this m ountain village: how when it rains, water gushes forth, splash ing all over and flooding everything. In his explanation he provides us not only with an everyday context in which this ideophone is likely to be used, but also with a second ideophone $w\dot{a}\dot{a}\dot{a}$, closely related in m eaning and form. (That both are existing, conventionalised forms was checked later with other speakers.)

References

- Bernard, H. Russell, Pelto, P. J., W erner, O., Boster, J., Romney, A. K., Johnson, A., Em ber, C. R., & Kasakoff, A. (1986). The Construction of P rimary Data in Cultural Anthropology. *Current Anthropology*, 27(4), 382-396.
- Casagrande, J. B. & Hale, K. (1967). Sem antic Relationships in Papago Folk Definitions. In D. Hymes & W. E. Bittle (Eds.), *Studies in Southwestern Ethno-linguistics* (pp. 165-193. The Hague: Mouton.
- Childs, G. T. (1993). Lexicography in West Africa: Some problems and issues. *Lexikos* 3, 13-28.
- Manes, J. (1980). W ays of Defining: Folk Definitions and the S tudy of Se mantics. *Forum Linguisticum* 5(1980), 122-139.
- Noss, P. A. (1999). The Ideophone: A Dilemma for Translation and Translation Theory. In P. F. A. Kotey (Ed.), *New Dimensions in African Linguistics and Languages* (pp. 261-272). Trenton, NJ: Africa World Press.

- Nuckolls, J. B. (2000). Spoken in the spirit of gest ure: Translating sound sym bolism in a Pastaza Quechua Narrative. In J. Sherzer & K. Sa mmons (Eds.), *Translating Native Latin American Verbal Art* (pp. 233-251). Washington, DC: Smithsonian Press.
- Samarin, W. J. (1967). Determ ining the m eaning of ideophones. Journal of West African Languages 4(2), 35-41.
- Samarin, W. J. (1970). Field procedures in ideophone research. *Journal of African Languages* 9(1), 27-30.
- Tufvesson, S. (2007). Expressives. In Asifa Majid (Ed.), *Field Manual Volume 10*, 53-57. Nijmegen: MPI for Psycholinguistics.