Change of functions of the first person pronouns in Chinese

Vicky Tzuyin Lai & Zygmunt Frajzyngier University of Colorado, Boulder

In Classical Chinese, there were four first person pronouns: wu2, wo3, yu2, yu3, and a zero-pronoun¹ with the following functions: wu2 was the default marking the speaker; wo3 coded contrast between the speaker and others; yu3 was used when talking about the speaker in connection with heaven, kings, or death; yu2 was used exclusively by kings and by speakers with high social status. The zero pronoun is primarily used in the second situation. Various social changes have motivated the reduction of pronouns. In Mandarin,² only wo3 and the zero pronoun are in use. Yu3 has been lost because the domains in which it was used are no longer taboo. Yu2 exists only in modern artists' speech. Wo3 is retained as the default, while wo3 with a longer vowel and full fall-rise tone codes contrastive function. The zero pronoun is also retained. The findings imply that the lack of functional motivation for a form leads to its loss.

1. Introduction

Classical Chinese (BC479-AD220) had five forms to encode the first person singular: wu2, wo3, yu2, yu3, and the zero pronoun. In modern Mandarin (1900-present), only wo3 and the zero pronoun remain. The research question of the study is as follows: what motivated the reduction of forms? In order to answer this question, we need to look at the functions coded by the five forms in Classical Chinese and the functions of the two forms in modern Mandarin.

Pulleyblank (1995) pointed out that the syntactic distributions and semantic denotations of the five pronouns in Classical Chinese overlap, but did not investigate any difference in their functions. Other works looked at pragmatic functions in more recent Chinese. Lee (1999) found that in 18th century Chinese, the variation

^{1.} Numbers indicate tones.

^{2. &}quot;Chinese" here refers to the written language that might have been used in various spoken language/dialect groups in classical times. "Mandarin" refers to the formal language that is presently spoken and written in China and Taiwan.

between singular and plural first person pronouns could convey social meanings. Xiong (1998) suggested that the zero pronoun in modern Mandarin was used to convey modesty, shyness, self-denigration, and respect for others.

The present paper suggests that the five pronouns had different pragmatic functions. We hypothesize that because the social norms have changed —i.e. the need for the overt marking of some pragmatic functions is no longer needed —, some of the pronouns are reduced. In addition, the pronouns wu2 and wo3 merged.

In what follows, we describe the principle functions of the five forms in Classical Chinese. We then examine first person pronouns in Modern Mandarin. Finally, we propose that the loss of function motivates the loss of forms.

Data 2.

For Classical Chinese (BC500-AD250), we used Lunyu "Confucius Book" (BC479) and Zhuangzi "Daoist Book" (BC286). Lunyu is a written record of questions and answers between Confucius and his disciples that took place in the state of Lu (the northeastern part of contemporary China). The language in Lunyu mainly reflects the Lu dialect of Classical Chinese. Zhuangzi is a philosopher from the State of Song, located south of *Lu* state. The period of Classical Chinese lasted for about 700 years, from the birth of Confucius to the end of the Han Dynasty in AD220. In the first five pages of Lunyu, there are 101 entries for wu2, 46 entries for wo3, 0 entries for yu2, 19 entries for yu3, and 10 instances of the unmarked first person. Given the absence of yu2 in Lunyu, we examined 9 entries for yu2 in Zhuangzi. We also checked some pre-Classical Chinese on oracle bones described by Chen (1956). For Modern Mandarin (AD1900-present), we used Academia Sinica Balanced Corpus of Modern Mandarin Chinese, which contains five million words and reflects the Mandarin Chinese written and spoken in Taiwan. In addition, we looked at the frequency of 1st person pronouns in the Academia Sinica Recent Chinese Corpus.

The function of wu2 in Classical Chinese

Wu2 seems to be the default pronoun that appears most frequently, as mentioned above. It occurs pre-verbally in the subject position 85% of the times (Examples 1 and 2). Wu2 also functions as a possessive pronoun (14% of the total), e.g. "my behavior" in (1) and "my theory" in (3):

| (2) | 夏 | 禮 | 吾wu2 | 能 | 营 | Z |
|-----|----------------|------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | xia Xia-pro | li rite | wu 1sg-sub | nengyan can | speak | zhi it |
| | 杞 | 不 | 足 | 徵 | 也 | |
| | qi Qi-pro | bu NEG | zu enough | zheng reference | ye ye-pai | RT. |

"The Xia rite, I can explain. The Qi rite, I don't have enough reference."

(3) 吾wu2 渞 DI 貫 1 wu dao yi yi guan zhi 1sg-pos dao one and through "My theory can connect all together."

See also the use of wu2 in Examples (8) and (9) later in this paper.

The function of wo3 in Classical Chinese

Wo3 is either the subject (39%) pre-verbally as in (4) and (5), or the object (59%) post-verbally as in (5).

- (4) 賜也. 爾 愛 Įį: 羊. 我wo3 其 漕 qi yang wo ai Ci-pro ye 2sg-sub love the goat 1sg-sub love the rite. "Ci, you love the goat (for sacrifice in the ritual). I love the ritual."
- (5) 間 於 我wo3. 我wo3 孟孫 Mengswen wen xiao yu wo wo dui yue wu wei Mengswen ask filial to 1sg-obj, 1sg-sub to say no disobey "Mengswen asked me about what it is to be filial. I said to him 'no disobedience'."

Wo3 contrasts the speaker with other participants. In (4), Confucius was addressing his disciple named Ci and wo3 occurs after the mentioning of the proper name Ci and the second person singular subject. Confucius was contrasting the difference of their viewpoints with respect to sacrificing the goat in the ritual. The example can be interpreted as "Contrary to you, Ci, I prefer the ritual itself." In (5), Confucius is telling the disciple Fanchi how he answered Mengswen's question about the essence of the notion "filial". The form wo3 is thus in contrast with the participant named Mengswen.

Further evidence supporting the contrastive function of wo3 can be found in (6). Confucius was retelling a story to a group of disciples about what happened when a farmer asked him questions. Wo3 is used contrastively.

^{3.} We supply pinyin transliterations with the understanding that these may not be the actual pronunciation in Classical Chinese.

(6) 有 鄙夫 問 於 我wo3, 空 空 如 也
you bifu wen yu wo kong kong ru ye
exit farmer ask to 1sG-OBJ empty empty like ye-PART
"There was a farmer who asked me. I had nothing to teach"

Even when *wo3* functions as a possessive pronoun (2% of all tokens), it still has a contrastive function:

(7) 三 人 行, 必 有 **我 wo3** 師 san ren xing bi you wo shi three people walk must exist 1sg-pos teacher "In a group of people, there must be a teacher of mine."

In (8) and (9), *wo3* is used twice with a contrastive function.⁴ The default pronoun *wu2* is required if the speaker intends to refer to himself non-contrastively:

- (8)我wo3 不 欲 人 Z 加 諸 我wo3 担 zhi iia wo vu ren zhu wo NEG want people pos impose onto 1sg-obj ye 吾wu2 亦 欲 **Æ** 加 諸 zhu wn vi yu wu iia ren 1sg-sub also want nothing impose onto "I don't want others to impose anything on me. I also don't want to impose anything on other people."
- (9) 季氏 傅 閔子騫 為 室 wei minziqian fei zai Jishi-PRO make/invite Minziqian-PRO become Fei minister 閔子騫 日 :善 為 我wo3 辭 焉. 如有 復 Minzigian vue shan wei wo qi ru vou fu van Minziqian say nice for 1sg-obj reject yan-part if exist back 我wo3 者. [[] 吾wu2 在汶 矣 wo zhe ze bi shang vi wu zai wen 1sg-obj person, then 1sg-sub must on Wen on vi-part "Jishi asked Minzigian to be the minister of Fei. Minzigian said, 'Say something nice and reject the position for me. If someone comes back to (persuade) me again, I shall be (not around, but) on the river Wen."

There is no exception to the contrastive function of wo3 in *Lunyu*.

^{4.} The unit of utterance does not refer to the boundary of the sentences. It is intended to refer to a semantically complete and coherent production. Note that there is no punctuation in the original text of the Confucius book. Scholars added this punctuation later on.

The function of yu2 in Classical Chinese 5.

In Lunyu, there are no instances of yu2. Chen (1956) found yu2 in pre-Classical Chinese on the oracle bones dated back to the Shang dynasty (BC1600-BC1066). He found that yu2 is used exclusively by kings when they refer to themselves. We hypothesize that the usage by emperors continued in Classical Chinese. The analysis of the 9 entries of yu2 in Zhuan-zi confirms this hypothesis. Guangchengzi in (10) and Shuen in (11) are emperors.

- (10)庸成子 來! \Box 余 yu2 語 4 guangchengzi vue lai vu vu Guangchengzi-PRO say come! 1SG-SUB tell 2sg-obj "Guangchengzi said, 'Come! I tell you."
- (11)舜以 天下 讓 莾 卷. 卷 *:* shan shuen tianxia rang juan shan juan vue Shuen-pro to good book, good book say nation let 1 於 Z 中 余 yu2 宇宙 yuzhou zhi yu zhong among universe middle 1sg-sub MOD

The function of yu3 in Classical Chinese

There are 19 entries of yu3 in Lunyu. Chen (1956) considered yu3 a graphic alternative to yu2 in both pre-Classical and Classical Chinese. However, we suspect that yu3 and yu2 could be used interchangeably in Classical Chinese. At the first sight, Chen's statement seems to hold because yu3 is indeed used by Confucious when he quotes the emperor Wu (BC1066-BC221) in (12):

舜有 戶 Ŧ 天下 治 (12)TTT \mathcal{F} chen shuen vou wu ren er tianxia zhi wu wang Shuen-PRO have minister five people and nation rule Wu king Æ. + *□* : **予**yu3 有 亂 Λ chen shi you luan people have minister ten mess "Shuen had five ministers and managed the nation well. Wu king said,

I have ten capable ministers (in a messy era)."

[&]quot;Shuan wrote the book entitled 'let the virtuous people rule the nation' and the book says, 'I, in the middle of the universe'"

Other examples in *Lunyu*, however, demonstrate that *yu3* indicates a lower status of the speaker in comparison to other concepts (e.g. death, heaven, emperor). Support for this hypothesis is provided by Examples (13), (14), and (15). In (13), Confucius used *yu3* to contrast himself relative to heaven. In (14), he talked about his funeral and used *yu3* when he referred to his own death. In (15), Confucius and his disciples were chased by *Huankui* in a life and death situation. He comforted his disciples by saying that heaven would take care of him no matter what *Huankui* might do to him:

"Though I don't get a great funeral, would I die beside the road?"

"Confucius said, 'Heaven bestowed me with virtue. What could Huankui do to me?'"

In all of these sentences, Confucius puts himself in a context where there are concepts of near-death, death, and heaven. Together with the context of emperor in (12), the function of *yu3* is to lower the status of the speaker in comparison to other concepts.

The function of the zero pronoun in Classical Chinese

The 10 instances of the unmarked first person pronoun ('zero pronouns') in the first five pages of *Lunyu* are in complements of verbs of saying.

There are, however, instances where the complement of verbs of saying do have overt pronouns. The overt coding is motivated by other structural considerations, as in the following example where the first person pronoun is the first part of a nominal conjunction:

| (17) | 子 | | 日: | 吾 wu2 | 與 | | 言 | 終 | 月, | 不 | 違 |
|------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|---|-----------------|-------------|----|----------------|
| | zi Con | ıfucius | | wu 1SG-SUB | | | | | | | wei against |
| | 如 | 愚。 | ze | ro pronoun | 退 | Ī | 前 | 省 | 其 | 私, | 亦 |
| | ru as | yu stup | – id ze | ro pronoun | tui retu | | | xing reflect | - | | yi but |
| | 足 | | 以 | 發。 | 回 | 也 | | 不 | 愚 | | |
| | zu enoi | | yi to be | fa inspiring | | ye ye- | | | yu stupi | d | |

"Confucius said, 'I talked with Hui all day. No argument as if he were stupid. (ZERO) returned home and reflected on the details. It was nothing short of inspiring. Hui is not stupid."

Summary of functions in the first person singular pronouns in Classical Chinese

In Classical Chinese, wu2 is the default form when a speaker makes reference to himself. Wo3 is used when the speaker contrasts himself with other referents. Yu2 is used exclusively by emperors in Pre-Classical times and by speakers with higher social status in Classical Chinese. Yu3 is used when the speaker intends to

lower his⁵ status in comparison to other concepts in the context. The zero pronoun is used as a complement of verbs of saying in quoted speech by people with lower status and in the subsequent mentions by people with higher status. A summary table is shown below

Table 1. Summary of functions of the first singular pronouns wu2, wo3, yu2, yu3, and the zero pronoun in Lunyu

| 1sg pronoun | Contrastive | Lowering speaker's status | Quoted speech | |
|---------------|-------------|---|---------------|--|
| wu2 | × | * | × | |
| wo3 | ✓ | * | × | |
| yu2 | × | By emperor only | × | |
| уиЗ | × | By speakers vs. heaven, emperor & death | × | |
| Zero pronouns | × | √ · | ✓ | |

The diachronic change of the first singular pronouns

To empirically determine what forms of the first person singular pronouns have been lost, we examined the four pronouns in the corpora of recent Chinese and modern Mandarin. The frequency of occurrence is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Representative frequency of occurrence of the first singular pronouns wu2, wo3, yu2, yu3, and the zero pronoun in Classical Chinese, recent Chinese, and modern Mandarin

| Chinese | Classical (Lunyu) | Recent (5 novel) | Modern (balanced) |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| wu2 | 101 | 63 | 27 |
| wo3 | 46 | 1000 | 5000 |
| yu2 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| уи3 | 17 | 0.4 | 0 |
| zero pronoun | yes | yes | yes |

We can see that the form wu2 is disappearing, wo3 has become the default first person singular pronoun, yu2 disappeared completely but then reappeared in modern Mandarin, and zero pronouns seem to have always remained in the language.

To determine whether wu2 and yu2 truly exist in modern Mandarin, we looked at the 27 occurrences of wu2 and the 32 occurrences of yu2. None of the 27 wu2 tokens come from spoken data. Wu2 occurs only in formal written

^{5.} Only male referents were found in *Lunyu*.

documents that imitate an ancient writing style. All of the 32 examples of yu2 were used by an artist who tried to convey that he looks down on the mundane world. At this point, we can confidently suggest that the forms of wu2, yu2, and yu3 are truly lost in modern Mandarin.

Motivations for the loss of forms

Our findings indicate that the loss of a form results from the loss of a function. Yu2 and yu3 are lost because the functions they used to code are no longer required in the society. The function of yu2 is lost because there is no emperor, the monarchy having been abolished in China in 1911. The function of yu3 is lost because taboo topics are expressed in some other ways (e.g. lexical items and figurative expressions) in modern Mandarin. The function of wu2 is taken over by wo3 and hence the loss of the form wu2.

Why would the function of wu2 be replaced by wo3, when wu2 was the more frequent and less marked form, yet wo3 was less frequent and more marked? The phonetically similar wu2 and wo3 merged. The fact that wo3 took over the default function follows the behavior of other marked forms that lose their marked nature and become default forms. In new grammaticalization, wo3 with added stress expresses contrastive focus in modern Mandarin.

In conclusion, our finding suggests that a lack of functional motivation may lead to the reduction of the form, and that the more marked form assumes the function of the less marked form.

References

Chen, Mengjia 陳夢家. 1956. 殷虛卜辭綜述 "Oracle Bones". Beijing: Kexue Publication.

Frajzyngier, Zygmunt. 1997. "Pronouns and Agreement: Systems interaction in the coding of reference". Atomism and Binding ed. by Hans Benis, Pierre Pica, & Johan Rooryck, 115–140. Dordrecht: Foris.

Frajzyngier, Zygmunt & Erin Shay. 2003. Explaining Language Structure Through Systems Interaction. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

Lee 1999

Mao, LuMing R. 1996. "Chinese First Person Pronoun and Social Implicature". Journal of Asian Pacific Communication 7:3-4.106-128.

Pulleyblank, Edwin G. 1995. Outline of Classical Chinese Grammar. Vancouver: UBC Press.

Tsai, Xi Qin. 1994. Analects of Confucius (3rd edition 2003). Beijing: Sinolingua.

Xiong, Xueliang. 1998. "First Person Zero Anaphor as a Cognitive Unit in Chinese". Word 49:3.