# FG/FxFG as well as GLFG repeats form a selective permeability barrier with self-healing properties 




#### Abstract

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. This license does not permit commercial exploitation without specific permission.


## Steffen Frey and Dirk Görlich*

Max-Planck-Institut für Biophysikalische Chemie, Am Fassberg, Göttingen, Germany

The permeability barrier of nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) controls all nucleo-cytoplasmic exchange. It is freely permeable for small molecules. Objects larger than $\approx 30 \mathrm{kDa}$ can efficiently cross this barrier only when bound to nuclear transport receptors (NTRs) that confer translocation-promoting properties. We had shown earlier that the permeability barrier can be reconstituted in the form of a saturated FG/FxFG repeat hydrogel. We now show that GLFG repeats, the other major FG repeat type, can also form highly selective hydrogels. While supporting massive, reversible importin-mediated cargo influx, FG/FxFG, GLFG or mixed hydrogels remained firm barriers towards inert objects that lacked nuclear transport signals. This indicates that FG hydrogels immediately reseal behind a translocating species and thus possess 'self-healing' properties. NTRs not only left the barrier intact, they even tightened it against passive influx, pointing to a role for NTRs in establishing and maintaining the permeability barrier of NPCs.
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## Introduction

Nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) are giant molecular assemblies that control the exchange of macromolecules between the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Mattaj and Englmeier, 1998; Görlich and Kutay, 1999; Adam, 2001; Macara, 2001; Rout and Aitchison, 2001; Pemberton and Paschal, 2005; Tran and Wente, 2006; D'Angelo and Hetzer, 2008). They pose a firm passive diffusion barrier for inert molecules larger than $\approx 2.5 \mathrm{~nm}$ in radius (see Mohr et al, 2009), but at the same time, NPCs remain highly permeable for shuttling nuclear transport receptors (NTRs) and even very large NTR •cargo complexes (Newmeyer et al, 1986; Mohr et al, 2009). Examples for NTRs are the prototypical nuclear import
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receptor importin $\beta$ (Imp $\beta$ ) (Chi et al, 1995; Görlich et al, 1995; Iovine et al, 1995) and exportin 1/CRM1 (Fornerod et al, 1997; Stade et al, 1997). Typically, a nuclear transport signal on a cargo molecule mediates its interaction with an NTR. The IBB domain, for example, allows direct binding to $\operatorname{Imp} \beta$ and constitutes one of the strongest known nuclear import signals (Weis et al, 1996; Görlich et al, 1996a).

Facilitated NPC passage is not directly coupled to ATP or GTP hydrolysis (Schwoebel et al, 1998; Englmeier et al, 1999; Ribbeck et al, 1999). Nevertheless, the nuclear transport machinery is able to pump cargoes against gradients of chemical activity. This is possible, because the RanGTPase system switches the shuttling NTRs in a compartment-specific manner between their low- and high-affinity forms for cargo binding (Rexach and Blobel, 1995; Görlich et al, 1996b; Fornerod et al, 1997; Kutay et al, 1997a).

NPCs are composed of multiple copies of $\approx 30$ different proteins known as nucleoporins or Nups (Rout et al, 2000). Nups not only form the rigid NPC scaffold but many of them also contain non-globular, natively unfolded protein modules typically containing FG repeats (Hurt, 1988; Denning et al, 2003). FG repeat domains are essential for viability (Strawn et $a l, 2004$ ) and comprise up to 50 repeat units. Each unit contains a hydrophobic cluster, typically of the sequence FG, FxFG or GLFG, which is embedded into a more hydrophilic spacer sequence (Denning and Rexach, 2007). FG repeats bind NTRs during facilitated NPC passage. Mutant NTRs that are defective in FG repeat binding also display defects in facilitated NPC passage (Iovine et al, 1995; Bayliss et al, 1999, 2000; Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001; Bednenko et al, 2003).

It is, however, a challenging task to explain how NPCs hinder the passive passage of inert material and how an NTR•FG repeat interaction promotes facilitated translocation. If the central channel of NPCs were lined with isolated binding sites for NTRs, then one would expect retention and delayed passage of the bound species. Such simple arrangement also gives no plausible explanation as to how inert material is selectively excluded from passage. The facts that any given NTR possesses multiple binding sites for FG repeats (Bayliss et al, 2002; Bednenko et al, 2003; Morrison et al, 2003; Isgro and Schulten, 2005) and, conversely, that FG repeat domains comprise multiple NTR-binding motifs indeed suggest that facilitated translocation involves more complicated interactions than only a binary binding between a receptor and isolated FG motifs.

To solve these problems, we previously proposed the selective phase or hydrogel model, which assumes that the central permeability barrier of NPCs consists of an FG repeat hydrogel (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001, 2002). Indeed, it has been shown that the FG/FxFG repeat domain from the yeast Nup Nsp1p not only formed a hydrogel as predicted (Frey et al, 2006) but also displayed permeability properties very
similar to those of authentic NPCs and allowed an up to 20000 -fold faster entry of a large NTR $\cdot$ cargo complex compared with the cargo alone (Frey and Görlich, 2007). To achieve this exquisite selectivity, the concentration of the FG hydrogel has to exceed a saturation limit of about $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, a concentration that is most probably exceeded also within authentic NPCs (Frey and Görlich, 2007).

The formation of an FG hydrogel relies on multivalent interactions between FG repeat domains. An FG hydrogel can therefore be considered a three-dimensional meshwork and the exclusion of inert material can be explained by a sieving effect according to the size of the meshes.

NTR•cargo complexes are typically far larger than the passive NPC exclusion limit and the expected size of the meshes. Their passage through NPCs must therefore involve a transient opening of those meshes that would otherwise obstruct their path. The hydrophobic clusters of the FG repeats not only bind NTRs but they are also required for gel formation and hence also for creating inter-repeat contacts (Frey et al, 2006). Binding of an NTR to these hydrophobic clusters might therefore destabilise and transiently open adjacent meshes, thereby allowing the receptor to enter the barrier (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001).

In this study, we show that not only FG/FxFG repeats but also GLFG repeats-the dominant repeat type of yeast NPCs—form highly selective hydrogels. GLFG gels suppressed the passive influx of inert material, but also allowed a more than three orders of magnitude faster entry of NTRs and their cargo complexes. This applied not only to yeast and mammalian importins but also to the exportin Crmlp. We also show that the entry of NTRs into an FG hydrogel is reversible and that RanGTP facilitates the exit of an Imp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complex from the gel. We observed that FG hydrogels suppressed the passive entry of inert material even when a massive influx of NTR•cargo complexes occurred, which mirrors the behaviour of authentic NPCs. This suggests that the resealing of the permeability barrier behind a translocating species does not require the complex composition and architecture of an NPC, but is instead mediated by the FG repeats themselves. Interestingly, NTRs even tightened the hydrogels against passive influx, pointing to a role for NTRs in establishing and maintaining the permeability barrier of nuclear pores. In the accompanying study (Mohr et al, 2009), we show that the dominant-negative human $\operatorname{Imp} \beta^{45-462}$ fragment (hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ ) (Kutay et al, 1997b) not only blocks facilitated NPC passage but also lowers the passive exclusion limit. We show here that these two striking effects are also observed with a GLFG hydrogel: The inhibitor hindered not only gel entry but also the intra-gel movement of the diffusing species. Thus, in vitro assembled FG hydrogels, despite their simple composition, reproduced all aspects of NPC permeability tested so far. This strongly supports the model that the NPC permeability barrier indeed is an FG hydrogel.

## Results

## The barrier formed by an FG hydrogel reseals immediately behind a translocating species

We previously showed that a saturated FG hydrogel restricts the influx of inert material, but permits an up to 20000 -fold faster entry of $\operatorname{Imp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complexes that were 5 -fold larger in mass than the inert reference object (Frey and Görlich,
2007). To mediate their entry into the gel, importins must locally perforate the hydrogel. If such perforations remained open or persisted for too long in authentic NPCs, then the permeability barrier would break down and nuclear and cytoplasmic contents would intermix (Figure 1A and B). However, NPCs remain strict barriers towards inert objects even when large NTR•cargo complexes pass (Newmeyer et al, 1986; accompanying study). Perforations in that context must therefore be extremely short lived and reseal immediately behind any translocating species (Figure 1C). It was, however, unclear whether FG repeat domains and NTRs are sufficient for resealing and whether in vitro assembled FG hydrogels reproduce NPC properties authentically enough to stay sealed against inert material even when NTRs penetrate the gel.

To address these questions, we chose MBP-mCherry, a $70-\mathrm{kDa}$ fusion between the maltose-binding protein (SwissProt POAEYO) and the monomeric red fluorescent protein mCherry (Shaner et al, 2004) as an inert permeation probe, and the FG/FxFG repeat domain from Nsplp (Hurt, 1988) as the building block of a saturated FG hydrogel. The influx of MBP-mCherry alone was slow, but still measurable (Figures 2C and 3A, Table I). We then pre-mixed $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of


Figure 1 Resealing modes of the permeability barrier. Cartoons sketch conceivable behaviours of the barrier towards nuclear transport receptors (NTRs) and inert material. (A) A scenario in which NTRs can penetrate into and through the barrier, but where no resealing behind the translocating species occurs. In this case, NTRs would cause a breakdown of the barrier. The problem should occur already at low NTR concentrations and would worsen with time. Eventually, the gel would disintegrate. (B) A scenario where resealing behind the translocating species is slow. In this case, NTRs would transiently collapse the barrier. The problem would increase with the load of facilitated gel entry. (C) A scenario where the barrier reseals immediately behind a translocating species. In this case, the barrier would stay tight against inert material, even at the highest transport load.

MBP-mCherry with $1.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of an IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex and allowed both species to enter the gel simultaneously. This NTR • cargo complex has a 2.4 -fold higher mass and an 1.4 -fold larger Stokes radius ( $R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) than MBP-mCherry (Figure 3D), yet it entered the gel at least 100 times faster (Figures 2D and 3B, Table I). The acceleration of influx was specific for the scImp $\beta$-bound cargo, because gel entry of the MBP-mCherry fusion, which lacked an import signal, was not enhanced in the presence of the importin (compare Figure 3A and B). Strikingly, we observed the same behaviour when the

FG hydrogel was challenged with an even larger NTR•cargo complex ( $500 \mathrm{kDa}, R_{\mathrm{S}}=6.7 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and a smaller inert permeation probe (mCherry, $27 \mathrm{kDa}, R_{\mathrm{S}}=2.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) (see Supplementary Figure S6). Thus, even large perforations formed by invading NTR•cargo complexes are not accessed by inert permeation probes. Instead, such lesions are short-lived and seal immediately behind the translocating species.

The assay should be very sensitive to even a low fraction of persisting perforations, because the $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complex is considerably larger than the passive cargo and because a very


Figure 2 Experimental set-up for studying influx into an FG hydrogel. (A) Illustration of the experimental set-up. (B) A saturated FG/FxFG ${ }_{2-601}^{\text {sspl }}$ hydrogel within the imaging chamber after completion of an influx experiment. Photographs were taken using a macro lens either under white light or UV illumination and overlaid. Note that the green NTR • cargo complex (IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ ) entered the gel, whereas the red inert reference molecule (MBP-mCherry) stayed out. (C) Influx of MBP-mCherry alone into a saturated FG/FxFG ${ }_{2}^{\mathrm{Nspl} 1}$ hydrogel followed by laser scanning confocal microscopy. Time elapsed after addition is indicated. Upper panels show the gel as detected by an incorporated Atto647Nlabelled tracer molecule. Lower panels show $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of MBP-mCherry added to the buffer side of the gel. The gel contained $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of FG/FxFG ${ }_{2}^{\mathrm{Nspl}} \mathrm{l}$. For quantification see Figure 3 and Table I. For false-colour code, see panel E. (D) Experiment shows simultaneous influx of MBP-mCherry and IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex into the same batch of hydrogel as shown in panel C. Note that the rapid influx of the NTR• cargo complex did not detectably increase the entry of the non-receptor-bound inert reference molecule MBP-mCherry. For quantification, see Figure 3. (E) Look-up table used for translation of grey scale into false-colour images.


Figure 3 Pre-incubation of the FG/FxFG hydrogel with scImp $\beta$ tightens the barrier against passive influx. Panels A-C show concentration profiles of mobile species during their entry into a saturated $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ hydrogel. The three time points ( $30 \mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$ and 30 min ) are colourcoded. For best comparison, the free concentration of the mobile species in buffer was scaled to 1. (A) Influx of MBP-mCherry alone. The comparison of the three time points indicates a slow, but still clearly detectable influx and a partition coefficient between gel and buffer of 0.18 . (B) Simultaneous influx of $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of MBP-mCherry and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of an IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex. The NTR $\cdot$ cargo complex entered the gel $\approx 100$ times faster than the passive species. The presence of the NTR $\cdot$ cargo complex did not increase influx of the passive species as compared with panel A. (C) The influx experiment was performed as in panel B, the difference being that the FG hydrogel had been pre-incubated for 180 min with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of unlabelled, cargo-free scImp $\beta$ before the fluorescent mobile species was added. The pretreatment had only a minor effect on influx of the NTR • cargo complex (slight reduction in entry rate and intra-gel diffusion coefficient), indicating that this type of FG hydrogel is very robust against competition and can sustain a very high load of facilitated transport. However, the pretreatment had the striking effect of tightening the barrier against passive influx, and thus improving the performance of the barrier greatly. The labelled scImp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complex now entered the gel at least 5000 times faster than the inert reference molecule MBP-mCherry. (D) Analytical gel filtration revealed a Stokes radius ( $R_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) of 3.6 nm for the above used inert reference molecule (MBP-mCherry) and 5.1 nm for the IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex.
large number of $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complexes, namely $\approx 1$ million per $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$ per minute, had entered the gel (Figure 3). This number indicates that every point on the gel surface had been perforated on average more than 100 times per minute (for derivation see Materials and methods). The experiments therefore suggest that resealing behind a translocating species is efficient not only in intact NPCs but also when an FG hydrogel of very simple composition is used as a barrier.

## NTRs even tighten the barrier against passive influx

We then performed a more drastic version of the experiment and pre-incubated the saturated $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ hydrogel for 3 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\operatorname{scImp} \beta$. This concentration should mimic a physiological transport receptor concentration, which is in the range of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for individual receptors and $\approx 10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for the total NTR concentration (U Jäkle and D Görlich, unpublished results, 2001). During this pre-incubation, scImp $\beta$ accumulated inside the gel at a concentration of $\approx 0.5 \mathrm{mM}$
( $\approx 50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$; data not shown). Interestingly, this pre-load hardly diminished the subsequent influx of the fluorescent IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex (Figure 3C). This documents an enormous capacity of this FG hydrogel for facilitated translocation, comparable with that of authentic NPCs, which sustain a flux of $\approx 100 \mathrm{MDa}$ per pore per second (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001). The pre-incubation with scImp $\beta$ had, however, a marked effect on the inert permeation probe and reduced the influx of MBP-mCherry to virtually non-detectable levels, that is, at least 50 -fold (Figure 3C, Table I). This effect was not only kinetic, but in fact the pre-incubation of the gel lowered the partition coefficient of the passive species between gel and buffer from 0.2 in an untreated gel to $\leqslant 0.02$, indicating that partitioning of MBP-mCherry into the gel became energetically even less favourable. For comparison, under the same conditions, the partition coefficient of the $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complex ( $\geqslant 100$ ) was 5000 -fold higher. The selectivity of

Table I Quantitation of influx of various mobile species into different types of FG hydrogels
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\hline \text { Gel type } & \text { Mobile species } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Pre-incubated } \\ \text { with } 10 \mu \mathrm{M} \text { of }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Partition coefficient } \\ \text { of mobile species } \\ \text { between gel } \\ \text { and buffer }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Entry rate } \\ \text { of mobile } \\ \text { species into } \\ \text { the barrier } \\ (\mathrm{nm} / \mathrm{s})\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Intra-gel diffusion } \\ \text { constant of } \\ \text { mobile species } \\ \left(10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}\right)\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Passage time } \\ \text { through an } \\ \text { NPC }(\mathrm{ms})^{a}\end{array}\right]$

For details of parameter estimation see Frey and Görlich (2007).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimation of passage time of mobile species through an NPC, whose 50 nm thick permeability barrier is filled with the specified FG hydrogel. For comparison, the passage time of $\operatorname{Imp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complexes through authentic NPCs is in the order of 10 ms (Kubitscheck et al, 2005; Yang and Musser, 2006).

NPCs or of an FG hydrogel can be expressed as the ratio between the entry rates of a facilitated and a passive species. It is truly remarkable that a high load of facilitated transport even improved the selectivity and thus the performance of the FG hydrogel as a barrier.

## NTRs tighten the barrier even towards GFP-sized passive probes

We then repeated the series of experiments with a smaller inert permeation probe, namely mCherry, which has a mass of 30 kDa and an $R_{\mathrm{S}}$ of 2.4 nm (Figure 4D). As expected, owing to its smaller size, mCherry alone entered the gel $\approx 10-$ fold faster (Figure 4A) than the MBP-mCherry fusion (Figure 3A). Consistent with the results from Figure 3 and with the behaviour of authentic NPCs (Mohr et al, 2009), the simultaneous addition of an IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex did not increase influx of the passive species. Even on the contrary, it changed the concentration profile of the passive species such that a dent complementary to the concentration profile of the NTR •cargo complex occurred (see 30 min time point in Figure 4 B and compare with Figure 3B), indicating that the partition coefficient of the passive species between gel and buffer had been lowered by the presence of the transport receptor in this region of the gel.

In the third experiment of this set, we pre-incubated the gel not with an empty NTR as in Figure 3, but with an IBB-MBPmEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex. This made a difference in several ways: (1) the receptor species used for the pre-incubation was more bulky and it should therefore melt larger holes into the gel (Figure 4D), (2) it was applied in its substrate-bound conformation and (3) the pre-accumulated NTR•cargo complex could be directly visualised and quantified within the gel. It reached an intra-gel concentration of $\approx 0.4 \mathrm{mM}$, corresponding to $\approx 70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ (Figure 4 C , lower panel).

This pre-incubation had the striking effect of suppressing the gel entry of mCherry to nearly non-detectable levels, that is, at least 100 -fold (Figure 4C, Table I; see also Supplementary Figure S6). The residual entry rate into the gel of $<1 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{s}$ translates to a first-order rate constant for nucleo-cytoplasmic equilibration in HeLa cell nuclei of $<5 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. In comparison, a GFP-sized protein equilibrates in permeabilised cells with a rate constant of $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (see Mohr et al, 2009). It thus appears that in vitro assembled FG hydrogel can perform significantly better as a passive diffusion barrier than NPCs themselves. In other words, the design of the system is so robust that NPCs do not need to exploit the full potential of FG hydrogels in order to keep nuclear contents and the cytoplasm separated.

Pre-loading of the FG hydrogel with an NTR-cargo complex strongly suppressed passive influx of inert material, but did not preclude facilitated gel entry. Instead, the chase experiment shown in Supplementary Figure S1 clearly shows that, even after extensive pre-loading, NTR•cargo complexes could efficiently enter such a very tight FG/FxFG hydrogel. In contrast, if the gel was similarly pre-treated with an anti-FG repeat antibody, a strikingly different effect was observed (Supplementary Figure S3). Such a gel lost its competence to mediate a facilitated entry, but still allowed a similar rate of passive influx as an untreated gel. These results indicate that the observed increase in selectivity is specific for gels pre-treated with NTRs.

## The GLFG domains from Nup49p and Nup57p can also form a highly selective hydrogel

So far, we tested only the $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ repeat domain from Nsplp for hydrogel formation and generation of a selective permeability barrier. Authentic NPCs, however, also contain another major class of FG repeats, namely GLFG repeats (Wente et al, 1992; Wimmer et al, 1992), in


Figure 4 Facilitated translocation tightens the FG/FxFG hydrogel even against passive influx of GFP-sized objects. The influx experiment was carried out as in Figure 3, but two differences were implemented: First, we used a smaller inert reference molecule (mCherry, Stokes radius $\left.\left(R_{\mathrm{S}}\right)=2.4 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ in order to enhance the sensitivity for small changes in passive permeability. Second, for pre-incubation, the 'empty' scImp $\beta$ was replaced by an IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex. The receptor used for the pre-incubation was therefore also detectable in the GFP channel. As expected from its smaller size, mCherry entered the untreated gel considerably faster than the MBP-mCherry fusion. The pre-incubation with the NTR•cargo complex diminished mCherry influx to very low levels. The pretreatment did, however, not abolish the NTR-mediated cargo entry (see Supplementary Figure 1).
which the NTR-binding hydrophobic clusters comprise a leucine and a phenylalanine sandwiched between two glycines. The repeat domain of Nsplp becomes essential for the viability of Saccharomyces cerevisiae when certain other FG repeat domains are deleted (Strawn et al, 2004). Nevertheless, viable yeast strains that lack all FxFG repeat domains have been constructed. In contrast, yeast strains that lack all GLFG repeats are not viable (Strawn et al, 2004), indicating that $S$. cerevisiae relies more heavily on GLFG- than on FxFG-type repeats. It was therefore a crucial question as to whether GLFG repeat domains can also form a hydrogel, and if so, which permeability properties such gel would have.

To address these questions, we chose Nup49p (Wente et al, 1992; Wimmer et al, 1992) and Nup57p (Grandi et al, 1995) (1) because both proteins form a tight complex with Nsplp (Grandi et al, 1995; Schlaich et al, 1997); (2) they are believed to be located near the central channel of the NPC, where the permeability barrier should have its most effective position; and (3) because strong genetic evidence suggests that their prototypical GLFG repeat domains are crucial for NPC function (Strawn et al, 2004). It should be noted that the
repeat domains of Nup49p and Nup57p differ from the Nsp1p repeats not only in the different predominating hydrophobic clusters but also in that they lack charged residues in the intervening spacer sequences. For further analysis, we generated a fusion between the GLFG repeat domains of Nup49p and Nup57p, expressed the corresponding His-tagged fusion protein in Escherichia coli and purified it on a nickel chelate matrix.

Preparing a homogeneous FG hydrogel in vitro is a technically challenging task. It requires initially suppressing inter-repeat interactions so that a homogeneous and sufficiently concentrated solution of the FG repeat domain can be prepared before gel formation is initiated. We solved this problem by loading the protein in guanidinium chloride onto a C18 reverse-phase HPLC column and eluted the protein as a TFA salt with an aqueous acetonitrile gradient. From the protein-containing fractions, a lyophilisate was prepared, which readily dissolved to $\approx 200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ in water or $0.1 \%$ TFA, and subsequently formed a tough gel within a few hours of incubation. The resulting GLFG hydrogel had a similar appearance as the Nsp1p-derived FG/FxFG hydrogel (see Figure 2B) and was used after


Figure 5 GLFG repeat domains also form a highly selective permeability barrier. Panels show influx of mobile species into a hydrogel made of $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ of a fusion between the GLFG repeat domains of Nup49p and Nup57p. Quantification was carried out analogous to Figure 3. (A) Influx of $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of MBP-mCherry alone. (B) Simultaneous influx of $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of MBP-mCherry and $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of IBB-MBP-mEGFP-scImp $\beta$ complex. (C) As panel B, however, the gel was pre-incubated for 180 min with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of scImp $\beta$. This pre-incubation tightened the barrier against passive influx, but also diminished receptor-mediated cargo entry as well as intra-gel diffusion of the NTR•cargo complex, suggesting that the GLFG gel is more sensitive to competition by scImp $\beta$ than the FG/FxFG gel (see Figure 3C). (D) As panel B, however, the gel was pre-incubated with the dominant-negative human $\operatorname{Imp} \beta^{45-462}$ fragment. This pre-incubation lead to a virtually complete block of passive influx and to a 100 -fold reduction in receptor-mediated gel entry. Intra-gel movement of the scImp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complex was essentially blocked (also see Supplementary Figure S4). The effect of the dominant-negative mutant on the GLFG gel was much stronger than on an FG/FxFG gel (see Supplementary Figure S4).
thorough equilibration in assay buffer for subsequent influx experiments.

Overall, the permeability properties of the GLFG gel appeared quite similar to the saturated $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ gel that was characterised before (Figure 3 and Frey and Görlich, 2007): (1) The GLFG gel was a good barrier against influx of the MBP-mCherry fusion protein (Figure 5A, Table I), but also allowed a $>100$-fold faster influx of an NTR $\cdot$ cargo complex (Figure 5B). (2) Similar to previous experiments using an FG/FxFG gel (Frey and Görlich, 2007), influx of scImp $\beta$ into the GLFG gel was limited only by the diffusion to the gel. As the result of rapid influx and rate-limiting diffusion, a deep depletion zone of the transport receptor formed in front of the gel (data not shown, but see Frey and Görlich, 2007). (3) As was the case for the FG/FxFG gel (Figure 3C), an excess of transport receptor diminished the passive influx into the gel (Figure 5C). (4) Similar to the FG/FxFG gel (Frey and Görlich, 2007), the GLFG gel permitted facilitated entry not only of scImp $\beta$ but also of other NTRs. This was tested for the yeast importins Pselp, Pdr6p and Yrb4p; the human importin transportin; and the yeast exportin Crmlp (Figure 6). In each case, the concentration profiles and entry rates were similar to scImp $\beta$.

At closer inspection, however, notable differences between the different gel types became evident: compared with the FG/FxFG gel, the enrichment of scImp $\beta$ within the GLFG gel was 5 -fold higher and intra-gel diffusion was $\approx 2$-fold slower (compare Figures 3B and 5B and see Table I). Also, the influx of $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complexes into the GLFG gel was more sensitive to competition by free scImp $\beta$ than was the entry into the FG/FxFG gel (compare Figures 3C and 5C). This competition not only diminished the influx of transport receptors but also their intra-gel movement (see Supplementary Figure S4 and Table I).

The largest difference, however, was in the response of the gels to the dominant-negative human $\operatorname{Imp} \beta^{45-462}$ fragment, which is a strong inhibitor of facilitated NPC passage (Kutay et al, 1997b) and also inhibitory to passive passage of inert material, in particular if the inert objects are not too small (see Mohr et al, 2009). Pre-incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the dominant-negative mutant caused only about a threefold reduction of scImp $\beta$-mediated cargo influx into the FG/FxFG gel (Supplementary Figure S5). On the GLFG gel, however, it had a dramatic effect. It reduced the influx of the scImp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complex into the GLFG gel by a factor of $>100$ (Figure 5 and Table I). The small amount of the NTR•cargo complex that had entered the gel remained close to the buffer/gel boundary and showed hardly any intra-gel movement, much as though the mutant had 'frozen' the gel (Supplementary Figure S4). The hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ fragment also diminished the passive influx of MBP-mCherry into the GLFG gel to nearly non-detectable levels (Figure 5D).

The assembly of FG repeat domains into a hydrogel is a multi-molecular reaction and should therefore show a high degree of cooperativity. Similar to a crystallisation process, one should expect that a critical protein concentration must be reached before the associates form. This critical concentration, however, is very different for the various FG repeat domains studied here. GLFG repeats show a high propensity to associate (Patel et al, 2007). This is evident from a phase separation of dilute GLFG solutions (e.g., $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) into a protein-rich and an aqueous phase ( S Frey, unpublished results, 2007). In contrast, the $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ domain stays fully soluble under the same conditions; it forms a gel only in sufficiently concentrated solutions ( $>7$ to $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). This difference explains why bead-binding assays easily detected GLFG interactions, while inter-molecular interactions between the $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ domain were less obvious (Patel


Figure 6 The GLFG hydrogel allows facilitated entry not only of scImp $\beta$ but also of other nuclear transport receptors (NTRs). The entry of the six indicated GFP-NTR fusions ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration on buffer side) into a saturated GLFG hydrogel was studied. Left panels show microscopic images in the GFP channel at the $30-\mathrm{s}$ and 30 -min time points, all taken at identical settings. Right panels show quantifications. Note that all tested NTRs entered the gel rapidly and showed a similar intra-gel movement, corresponding to a passage time through an NPC of $\approx 10$ to 20 ms .
et al, 2007). Apparently, it is mainly the high content of charged residues in the C-terminal part of the $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ domain that attenuates self-association (C Ader, S Frey, W Mass, D Görlich and M Baldus, in preparation). The N-terminal part, $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$, in contrast, behaves very much like the GLFG repeats. Function-wise, however, these differences are probably not very dramatic, because the attachment to the rigid body of the NPC scaffold forces the FG repeat domains to a very high local concentration. And once formed, GLFG as well as FG/FxFG gels are kinetically stable, they do not dissolve in excess of buffer and show similar permeabilities.

## Mixed FG/FxFG/GLFG hydrogels

Authentic NPCs contain various types of FG repeats. This posed the question whether mixed hydrogels made up of FG,

FxFG and GLFG repeats would show a qualitatively different behaviour than either an FG/FxFG or a GLFG gel. To test this, we generated a fusion protein comprising the GLFG repeat domain from Nup57p, the FG/FxFG repeat domain from Nsp1p and the GLFG repeat domain from Nup49p. The fusion protein was expressed, purified and jellified at a saturating concentration as described for the pure GLFG repeat domain.

The resulting mixed FG/FxFG/GLFG hydrogel performed again very well as a selective barrier. It suppressed the passive entry of inert material and allowed rapid influx of $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complexes (Figure 7 ). The rate of facilitated entry matched the influx into the GLFG gel and even exceeded the influx into an FG/FxFG gel (see Figure 8 for a direct comparison). At the same time, intra-gel diffusion of the NTRs appeared more than two times faster than within a pure GLFG gel and slightly faster than within the FG/FxFG gel. These quantitative differences point to different on and off rates of the various repeat motifs for NTR binding and for engaging in inter-repeat contacts. They suggest that certain NTRs traverse heterotypic FG•GLFG or FxFG•GLFG contacts more easily than homotypic GLFG contacts.

Compared with the pure GLFG gel, facilitated entry into the mixed hydrogel was more tolerant towards competition by an excess of transport receptors. Apparently, this reflects the fact that the mixed hydrogel also contains low-affinity NTR-binding sites that become saturated only at high receptor concentrations.

Combined, these data are consistent with the view that, owing to the higher diversity of hydrophobic patches and intervening spacer sequences, the mixed FG hydrogel is more robust and performs slightly better in the uptake of NTR•cargo complexes, in particular at higher transport loads. Overall, however, it was surprising to see how similar the permeability properties of FG/FxFG, GLFG and mixed FG/FxFG/GLFG hydrogels are. Although we do not yet know the atomic details of the intra-gel interactions, our data already suggest that functionally equivalent inter-repeat contacts can be created with various sequences.

## Efflux from an FG hydrogel

The fact that NTRs dissolve with a very high partition coefficient within FG hydrogels poses the question if they can exit such a gel again. In addressing this question, we faced the difficulty that efflux of the NTR only yields a very weak signal outside the gel. In addition, it is hard to judge whether an observed NTR signal in the buffer is specific and originates from NTR molecules that previously resided in the gel. To overcome these problems, we used phenyl-sepharose beads, which bind NTRs very tightly (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2002). They served not only as local sinks for NTRs in the buffer but also to detect the direction of the NTR source. Therefore, after thorough removal of any free complex, we placed the beads in front of an FG hydrogel that had been preloaded with $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complex. Over time, the beads attracted a strong $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo signal that showed a strikingly crescent-shaped distribution, with the regions of strongest staining pointing towards the gel (Figure 9A). This distribution indicates that the accumulated material indeed originated from the gel and was not just a remnant from the initial pre-incubation. Consistent with the assumption that the NTR molecules left the gel and diffused through buffer to

## Normalised concentration profiles across the buffer/gel boundary



Figure 7 Behaviour of a mixed FG/FxFG/GLFG hydrogel. A triple fusion comprising the FG repeat domains of the central Nsp1p•Nup49p•Nup57p complex was used to prepare a saturated FG hydrogel. Panels show quantification of influx into such gel, using the same probes and experimental conditions as in Figure 3A-C.
the beads, no direct contact between beads and gel was required for the effect.

We then repeated the experiment without a phenylsepharose sink (Figure 9B). As expected, also in this set-up, a weak efflux of the NTR • cargo complex from the gel into the buffer was observed (compare 1- and 180-min time points in Figure 9B). This efflux was clearly enhanced when GTPGsp1p (the yeast Ran orthologue) was added to the buffer side. Here, Ran probably acts through two mechanisms: it dissociates the cargo from $\operatorname{Imp} \beta$ (Rexach and Blobel, 1995; Görlich et al, 1996b) and weakens the interaction of $\operatorname{Imp} \beta$ with FG repeats (Harel et al, 2003; Walther et al, 2003). The first mechanism is evident from the fact that more cargo than scImp $\beta$ was released from the gel (Fig 9B). The second mechanism is evident because Gsp1p also increased the efflux of scImp $\beta$. Within cells, $\operatorname{Imp} \alpha \cdot \operatorname{Imp} \beta$ and $\operatorname{IBB} \cdot \operatorname{Imp} \beta$ complexes arrest at NPCs, until nuclear RanGTP terminates the translocation and releases cargo and $\operatorname{Imp} \alpha$ into the nucleus (Moore and Blobel, 1993; Görlich et al, 1996b). The observation that this reaction can be reproduced with an in vitro assembled FG hydrogel lends further support to the assumption that the permeability barrier of NPCs is indeed made up of such a gel.

## Discussion

## Models of NPC function

NPCs have a dual function. On the one hand, they must suppress intermixing of nuclear and cytoplasmic contents, while on the other they have to allow for efficient receptormediated biosynthetic transport, which supplies nuclei with proteins and the cytoplasm with translation components such as ribosomes. The mechanism of NPC function has been the key question since the early days of the nuclear transport field and many attempts have been made to explain the selectivity of nuclear pores.

Early models suggested, for example, that NPCs function like an iris diaphragm that is closed in the resting state, but opens for each transiting signal-bearing cargo (see e.g., Akey, 1992). Such purely 'mechanical' model appears unrealistic from today's perspective. However, it is useful to illustrate the principal problems of a 'general gating' mechanism: The barrier would break down whenever the gate is open, and because facilitated transport load is so high that NPCs must even transport many cargoes in parallel (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001), such mechanism cannot explain how NPCs keep nuclear and cytoplasmic contents separated from each other. Nevertheless, it is indeed possible that large-scale rigid-body movements of the entire NPC widen the central channel and facilitate passage of exceptionally large cargoes, such as ribosomal subunits. However, also such a widened pore has to be sealed against nonselective passage of inert material by a bona fide permeability barrier.

Meanwhile, it is accepted that FG repeat domains not only bind NTRs but also build the permeability barrier (Frey and Görlich, 2007; Patel et al, 2007). How these FG repeats form the barrier has been cast into different models (Macara, 2001; Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001; Rout et al, 2003). The 'virtual gate model' assumes that entropic exclusion by Brownian motion of the extended FG repeat domains is sufficient to explain the suppression of passive fluxes through NPCs and that NTRs overcome this 'entropic barrier' by binding the repeats (Rout et al, 2003). Although peripheral, non-interacting FG repeat domains might indeed enlarge the target area of NPCs and 'feed' NTRs into the actual permeability barrier, this model could so far not explain the characteristic size selectivity of NPCs.

Numerous observations speak in favour of the FG hydrogel or selective phase model (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001; Frey and Görlich, 2007). It differs from the virtual gate model foremost by the assumption that FG repeat domains interact
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Figure 8 Comparison of scImp $\beta$-mediated facilitated entry into different types of FG hydrogels. To allow a direct comparison between FG/FxFG, GLFG, and mixed hydrogels, influx of IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex into these gels was measured at identical settings and quantifications were plotted to identical scales. The FG/FxFG gel (left panel) showed the weakest enrichment of the NTR•cargo complex and fast intra-gel diffusion. The GLFG gel (middle panel) was characterised by a much stronger enrichment and slower intra-gel diffusion of the mobile species. The mixed FG/FxFG/GLFG gel (right panel) showed an intermediate enrichment of the transport receptor and yet fast intra-gel diffusion. It appeared to be the most efficient gel in terms of absorbing the transport receptor.
with each other, thereby forming a hydrogel. The gel-forming interactions comprise an essential hydrophobic component (Frey et al, 2006) as well as hydrophilic contacts (C Ader, S Frey, W Mass, D Görlich and M Baldus, in preparation), indirect contacts mediated by NTRs (this study) and possibly also entanglement between different FG repeat domains. The mesh size of this gel, corresponding roughly to the length of one repeat unit (typical $3-6 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), determines the size limit for unhindered passage of inert material. This fits nicely the observation that GFP-sized objects (diameter $\approx 5 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) are already significantly delayed in their NPC passage, whereas smaller ones, such as aprotinin (diameter of 3 nm ) experience little resistance (see Mohr et al, 2009).

We have shown that the FG/FxFG repeat domain from Nsp1p (Frey and Görlich, 2007) as well as the GLFG repeat domains from Nup49p and Nup57p (this study) not only form hydrogels but also that these hydrogels behave like highly selective barriers. They exclude inert macromolecules, while similarly sized macromolecules recruited to an NTR can enter the gel up to four orders of magnitude faster. It should be noted that binding to FG motifs is necessary but not sufficient for facilitated entry into the FG hydrogel. For example, antibodies directed against FG motifs only bind to the surface of the gel and fail to penetrate into the gel (Supplementary Figure S2), probably because they are unable to dissociate inter-repeat contacts. The behaviour of NTRs is therefore highly specific.

Importantly, NTR-mediated influx rates into in vitro assembled, saturated FG hydrogels are similar to passage rates through authentic NPCs (Table I; Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001; Ribbeck and Görlich, 2002). Similarly, the intra-gel diffusion coefficients of NTR•cargo complexes allowed a remarkably accurate estimation of NPC passage times and vice versa (Table I; Kubitscheck et al, 2005; Yang and Musser, 2006; Frey and Görlich, 2007). In addition, we have shown that the hydrogel system also reproduces the exit of NTR•cargo complexes and that exit of an $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complex from the gel is stimulated by RanGTP (Figure 9), which recapitulates results obtained with intact NPCs.

## NTRs even tighten the barrier

The most stringent requirement to the permeability barrier is to stay tight against passive entry even when facilitated influx occurs. Here, we provide proof of concept that FG hydrogels fully fulfil this requirement. Similar to authentic NPCs
(Newmeyer et al, 1986; Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001; Naim et al, 2006; Mohr et al, 2009), FG/FxFG, GLFG and mixed hydrogels can sustain a tremendous facilitated influx of NTRs and NTR•cargo complexes (Table I, Figures 3-5 and 7). Nevertheless, these gels remain tight barriers for inert molecules that are not NTR-bound. This suggests that the permeability barrier seals tightly around a translocating species and that the holes, which need to be melted into the barrier for accommodating translocating material, are very short lived. Amazingly, the barrier even tightens with increasing translocation load. This applies to bona fide NPCs (Mohr et al, 2009) as well as to the in vitro reconstituted permeability barrier (this study).

There are several straightforward explanations for this effect. The first relates to volume exclusion. scImp $\beta$ reaches high concentrations inside the gel, namely an estimated $0.5 \mathrm{mM}(50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ after 180 min of pre-incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of scImp $\beta$. It should then occupy $10-20 \%$ of the available volume of the gel, thereby posing additional obstacles for inert species entering the gel and forcing the structures formed by the FG domains to shrink to smaller effective mesh sizes. Furthermore, as the NTRs bind the FG motifs, this process will increase the total number of contacts within the gel and 'subdivide' meshes into smaller ones. In other words, NTRs not only become enclosed by the barrier but also participate in barrier function; they hinder inert material from traversing NPCs and they lower the FG repeat concentration required for proper barrier function.

## The selectivity factor of NPCs

NTRs accelerate NPC passage of a bound cargo compared with free inert macromolecules of the same size. The magnitude of the effect depends on the size of the transported species. A protein as small as aprotinin or a z-domain traverses NPCs already as rapidly as a transport receptor (Mohr et al, 2009); such rapid passage can therefore not be further accelerated. A significant acceleration can only be observed for larger objects. The acceleration factor is around $10-100$ for GFP-sized objects and can be $>10000$ in the case of the $120-\mathrm{kDa}$ tetrameric RedStar protein (Frey and Görlich, 2007; this study).
The magnitude of the effect, however, is not constant for a given inert molecule, but it increases with facilitated transport load. mCherry, for example, entered 'virgin' gels 100 times more slowly than scImp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complexes. Preloading


Figure 9 Entry of NTR • cargo complexes into an FG hydrogel is reversible. (A) A saturated $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ hydrogel was preloaded overnight with an NTR • cargo complex ( $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of GFP-scImp $\beta \cdot$ IBB-MBP-mCherry in the buffer). The free complex was removed by several buffer changes and phenyl-sepharose beads were placed in front of the gel. Then, confocal scans detecting cargo and receptor were started. The beads served as a trap for scImp $\beta$ and strongly accumulated NTR and cargo over time. The accumulated signal was strongest on the side that faced the gel, identifying the hydrogel as the source of the NTR • cargo complex. Bar diagrams translate false colour look-up tables into grey scale. (B) An FG hydrogel was loaded with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of NTR•cargo complex as in panel A. The first scan (1) shows the loading of the gel. (2) A preloaded gel immediately after removing the free NTR $\cdot$ cargo complex by three buffer changes. (3) A preloaded gel after 3 h incubation with buffer and (4) after incubation with $4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of GTP-Gsp1p (S. cerevisiae Ran). Gsp1p increased the efflux of the cargo and of scImp $\beta$ from the gel. Two different scan settings of the experiment are shown, optimised to visualise either low or high protein concentrations.
of the barrier with NTRs improved this to a factor of 10000 by suppressing the passive influx, while leaving facilitated entry (at least into the FG/FxFG gel) largely unaffected. The selectivity factor of 10000 leads to the better performance of the defined FG hydrogels than that of authentic NPCs in permeabilised cells. This observation emphasises that the FG hydrogel-based permeability barrier is an extremely robust and stress-tolerant system and it suggests that cells hardly ever need to exhaust the full potential of this sorting system.

A recent study (Jovanovic-Talisman et al, 2009) describes artificial nanopores filled with FG repeats from Nsp1p. These reproduced some features of NPCs, but even when NTRs were used to improve the barrier, these nanopores only reached a selectivity factor of $3-5$. One possible explanation for this 10 - to 1000 -fold poorer performance compared with authentic NPCs and with the FG hydrogels is that the coupling density of the repeat domains within the nanopores was too low and did not reach the saturation limit.

## The response of authentic NPCs to the dominantnegative human Imp $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{45-462}$ mutant is reproduced by FG hydrogels

A very interesting parallel between authentic NPCs and the FG hydrogels is the effect of the dominant-negative human Imp $\beta^{45-462}$ fragment. Already at low concentrations it blocks all tested NTR-mediated pathways through NPCs (Kutay et al, 1997b) and also inhibits passive NPC passage of GFP-sized or larger inert objects (Mohr et al, 2009). The hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ mutant is deficient in Ran binding and it was initially assumed that its great inhibitory potential is due to the fact that RanGTP cannot release the mutant from high-affinity binding sites on the nuclear side of the NPC (Kutay et al, 1997b). This is, however, only a part of the explanation. The mutant oligomerises (see Mohr et al, 2009) and it is probably
the multiplicity of binding sites in the oligomer that allows the mutant to bind that avidly to certain FG repeats.

The mutant, however, does not block all FG repeats. Instead, $N L S \cdot \operatorname{Imp} \alpha \cdot \operatorname{Imp} \beta$ complexes could still dock to NPCs that had been clogged by the mutant (Kutay et al, 1997b). Similarly, we observed that the mutant had only a minor impact on the $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ gel, but severely inhibited the entry of NTR•cargo complexes and inert objects into the GLFG gel. Interestingly, the mutant also markedly impaired diffusion within the GLFG gel and, thus, locked the gel in a non-dynamic, 'frozen' state. This illustrates nicely that an affinity for FG gel repeats is not sufficient for effectively crossing the barrier. Instead, the strength and quality of the interactions must be well balanced. In view of the discussion regarding models of NPC function, the parallel observations of the mutant's effects on authentic NPCs and in vitro assembled FG hydrogels make another point, that is, they strongly suggest that facilitated NPC passage and NTRmediated entry into an FG hydrogel represent essentially the same process.

## Materials and methods

## E. coli expression vectors

Plasmids used in this study are summarised in Table II. The backbone of the indicated expression vectors was typically derived from pQE80 (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Exceptions are labelled explicitly. Plasmids allow for recombinant expression of indicated proteins in E. coli. DNA sequences coding for recombinant proteins are supplied as Supplementary data. Complete plasmid sequences are available on request.

## Expression, purification and labelling of proteins

Expression and purification of the following proteins were carried out as previously described: Alexa488-labelled transportin (Ribbeck and Görlich, 2001) and hsImp $\beta^{45-462}-$ His $_{6}$ (Kutay et al, 1997b).

Table II Escherichia coli expression vectors

| Name | Protein name | Expressed protein | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pSF345 | Nsp1FG/FxFG | His $_{10}$-TEV-Nsp1p ${ }^{2-601}$-Cys | Frey et al (2006) |
| pSF847 | Nup57GLFG-Nup49GLFG | $\mathrm{His}_{10}$-TEV-Nup57p ${ }^{1-233}$-Nup49p ${ }^{1-246}$-Cys | This study |
| pSF776 | Nup57GLFG-Nsp1FG/FxFG-Nup49GLFG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { His }_{10} \text {-TEV-Nup57p } \\ & \text { Nup49p }{ }^{1-246} \text {-Cys } \end{aligned}$ | This study |
| pSF851 | IBB-MBP-mEGFP | $\mathrm{His}_{14}$-TEV-IBB-MBP-mEGFP | This study |
| pSF844 | MBP-mCherry | His $_{14}$-TEV-MBP-mCherry | This study; Shaner et al (2004) |
| pSF846 | mCherry | $\mathrm{His}_{14}$-TEV-mCherry | This study |
| pSF856 | IBB-MBP-mCherry | $\mathrm{His}_{14}$-TEV-IBB-MBP-mCherry | This study |
| pSF881 | IBB-ZsGreen | $\mathrm{His}_{14}$-TEV-IBB-ZsGreen | This study; Matz et al (1999) |
| pQE30-scImp $\beta$ | Importin $\beta$ (Kap95p) | scImp $\beta$ - $\mathrm{His}_{6}$ | Görlich et al (1996b) |
| pSF582 | GFP-Pdr6p (Kap122p) | His $_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Pdr6p | Frey and Görlich (2007) |
| pSF586 | GFP-Yrb4p (Kap123p) | His $_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Yrb4p | Frey and Görlich (2007) |
| pSF587 | GFP-importin $\beta$ (Kap95p) | $\mathrm{His}_{10}$-GFP-TEV-scImp $\beta$ | Frey and Görlich (2007) |
| pSF588 | GFP-Pse1p (Kap121p) | $\mathrm{His}_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Pse1p | Frey and Görlich (2007) |
| pSF879 | GFP-Crm1p | $\mathrm{His}_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Crm1p | This study |
| pQE60-hsTrn1 | Human transportin1 | $\mathrm{His}_{6}$-transportin1 | Izaurralde et al (1997) |
| pQE60-hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ | hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ | hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ - $_{\text {His }}^{6}$ | Kutay et al (1997b) |

$\mathrm{His}_{6} / \mathrm{His}_{10} / \mathrm{His}_{14}$, histidine tag; IBB, importin $\beta$-binding domain (corresponding to amino acids 2-63 of Saccharomyces cerevisiae Srp1p); TEV, TEV protease recognition site.

For expression of diffusion substrates, E. coli strain BLR was transformed with the respective plasmid and grown at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{OD}_{600}=1-2$ in TB medium supplemented with $50 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ kanamycin. Protein expression was induced with 0.3 mM of IPTG and cells were further allowed to grow at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. A total of 1 mM of PMSF (phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride) and 5 mM of EDTA were added to the culture. After centrifugation and resuspension of the cell pellet in HS buffer ( 50 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 8.0 ), $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 5 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 1 mM imidazole, 10 mM DTT), the cells were disrupted by sonification and the lysate was cleared by centrifugation at 37000 r.p.m. for 60 min . Cleared lysates were applied to nickel-sepharose equilibrated with HS buffer. After washing off unbound proteins with HS buffer followed by buffer A ( 44 mM Tris$\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5), 290 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 4.4 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.44 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 2 mM DTT), proteins were eluted with buffer A supplemented with 300 mM of imidazole. The His-tag was cut off with TEV protease (1:50 enzyme to substrate ratio) at room temperature. Cut proteins were further purified by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 16/60 column (Pharmacia) equilibrated with buffer A followed by a second passage over nickel-sepharose. Purified proteins were supplemented with $1 / 10$ volume of 2.5 M sucrose, concentrated to $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and frozen in liquid nitrogen.

Expression of $\mathrm{His}_{10}$-GFP-tagged NTRs and $\operatorname{scImp}^{2} \beta$-His $_{6}$ was performed similarly. Briefly, cells were grown in 2YT medium supplemented with $2 \%$ glycerol, 30 mM of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ and appropriate antibiotics. Expression was induced with 0.5 mM of IPTG and allowed to proceed for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cell lysis and washing steps were carried out in buffer C ( 50 mM Tris- HCl ( pH 7.5 ), 200 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, 2 mM DTT) supplemented with 1 mM of imidazole. Proteins eluted from the nickel-sepharose were directly purified by gel filtration equilibrated with buffer $C$.

Nucleoporin FG repeat domains were expressed and purified essentially as previously described for $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}_{2-601}^{\mathrm{Nsp1}}$ (Frey et al, 2006). Briefly, repeat domains were expressed in E. coli and purified on nickel-sepharose under denaturing conditions. If necessary, eluted proteins were subjected to covalent chromatography on a thiopyridine-activated, SH-reactive matrix. To obtain fluorescently labelled repeat domains, the C-terminal cysteine was reacted with Atto647N maleimide. All repeat domains were purified by reversephase HPLC, eluted with increasing concentrations of acetonitrile in $0.15 \%$ TFA and lyophilised.

For purity of recombinant proteins, see Supplementary Figure 57.

## Analytical gel filtration

Each pair of NTR $\cdot$ cargo complex and inert diffusion substrate was mixed with plasmid DNA and GDP as markers for the void volume ( $V_{0}$ ) or total volume ( $V_{\text {tot }}$ ) of the column, respectively, and analysed by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 10/30 column (Pharmacia)
equilibrated with buffer B ( 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ), 130 mM NaCl , $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA) supplemented with 2 mM DTT. The column was calibrated with a mixture of plasmid DNA, Ferritin, BSA, GFP, cytochrome $c$ and GDP. Relative retention $\left(R_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ values were determined from the absolute retention volumes ( $V_{\mathrm{abs}}$ ) according to the formula: $R_{\mathrm{r}}=\left(V_{\mathrm{abs}}-V_{0}\right) /\left(V_{\text {tot }}-V_{0}\right)$.

## Preparation of FG repeat hydrogels

Lyophilised repeat domains (TFA salt) were dissolved at a concentration of 200 mg protein per ml in $0.2 \%$ TFA. A volume of $0.7-1.0 \mu \mathrm{l}$ drops were spotted onto uncoated 18 -well microslides (ibidi, Munich, Germany) and allowed to complete gelation for $12-24 \mathrm{~h}$. GLFG-containing repeat domains jellified already at low pH. Gel formation of the Nsp1 FG/FxFG repeat domain was initiated by adding 200 mM of Tris base. All gels were complemented by $0.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the respective Atto647N-labelled repeat domain. Before performing influx experiments, gels were equilibrated for 24 h in a large excess of buffer B ( 20 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ), 130 mM NaCl , $2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.2 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA).

## Microscopy

Gel entry of fluorescent substrate molecules was assayed using an SP5 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a $63 \times$ glycerol immersion objective (Leica, Bensheim, Germany). Briefly, the buffer/gel boundary was positioned at the centre of the observable area and the focal plane set to $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ above the surface of the slide. A total of 31 frames ( $1024 \times 512$ pixels) were recorded in intervals of 60 s in appropriate channels, using the 633-nm laser line to monitor the position of the gel and either the $458-\mathrm{nm}$ laser line (for GFP, ZsGreen or Alexa488 fluorescence) or the $561-\mathrm{nm}$ laser line (for mCherry fluorescence). Fluorescent substrates (in buffer B) were added after recording of the first frame. mCherry and MBP-mCherry were used at $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ concentration, the monomeric $\operatorname{scImp} \beta \cdot$ cargo complex was pre-formed from $1.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ IBB-MBPmEGFP and $1.3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ scImp $\beta$, if not explicitly noted differently. The IBB-ZsGreen $\cdot \operatorname{scImp} \beta$ complex was pre-formed using an 1.3 -fold excess of scImp $\beta$ over theoretically available IBB signals and purified from free scImp $\beta$ by gel filtration. For pre-incubations, the complex was used at $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ final concentration (tetrameric complex) in the buffer reservoir. Fluorescent NTRs were used at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

## Antibodies

Antibodies against the FG/FxFG repeat domain of Nsp1p (amino acids 2-601) were raised in rabbits and affinity purified using the immobilised antigen. For fluorescent labelling, the antibody was reacted with DyLight488 NHS ester (Thermo Scientific) in PBS. The final preparation contained $\sim 1.4$ molecules of dye per IgG molecule.

## Estimation of the number of NTR entry events per $\boldsymbol{\mu m} \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ gel surface

During the 30 min of the experiment (Figure 3), the scImp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complex spread $\approx 50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ deep into the gel, reaching an average concentration of $\approx 150 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This corresponds to an influx of 45 million molecules per $\mu \mathrm{m}^{2}$. Given that an scImp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complex projects to $80 \mathrm{~nm}^{2}$, this indicates that every point on the gel surface had been perforated more than 3000 times within 30 min or 100 times per min.

## Numerical evaluation of fluxes into the gels

This was essentially performed as previously described (Frey and Görlich, 2007).
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Supplementary Figure S1: Preincubation of the FG/FxFG hydrogel with excess of IBB-MBP-mEGFP• scImp $\beta$ complex does not block the gel for subsequent facilitated influx.
A saturated FG/FxFG hydrogel was preincubated for 6.5 hours with $13 \mu \mathrm{M}$ IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex. The green NTR $\bullet$ cargo complex in the buffer was then exchanged for an analogous red complex ( $13 \mu \mathrm{M}$ IBB-MBP-mCherry ${ }^{\bullet}$ scImp $\beta$ ), and the distribution of both complexes was measured by confocal laser scanning microscopy at the indicated intervals.

Note that the newly added NTR $\bullet$ cargo complex could efficiently enter the gel, even though the gel had been pre-loaded with a concentration of the NTR•cargo complex that essentially precluded passive entry of GFP-sized objects (see Figure 4).
concentration profile of fluorescent species


Supplementary Figure S2: The interaction of an FG/FxFG repeat hydrogel with scImp $\beta$ is fundamentally different from its interaction with an anti-FG repeat antibody.
A saturated FG hydrogel was prepared from the FG/FxFG repeat domain of Nsp1p and incubated for 3h with either $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ GFP-scImp $\beta$ (A) or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of a DyLight488-labelled, affinity-purified polyclonal antibody directed against the repeats (B).
Graphs show concentration profiles of the fluorescent species across the buffer-gel boundary. While GFPscImp $\beta$ migrated deep into the gel, the anti-FG repeat antibody remained stuck at the surface of the gel, where it reached a similar concentration of $480 \mu \mathrm{M}(\approx 70 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ as the GFP-scImp $\beta$ fusion $(570 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \approx 70$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ). This observation suggests that a mere binding of macromolecules to an FG hydrogel is not sufficient for mediating facillitated transport.
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Supplementary Figure S3: Pre-Incubation with an anti-FG repeat antibody drastically reduces the selectivity of an FG/FxFG repeat hydrogel .
An Nsp1p FG/FxFG hydrogel was either left untreated (A) or pre-incubated for 3 h with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of an affinity-purified polyclonal antibody directed against the FG/FxFG repeats of Nsp1p (B). The antibody was the same as in Supplementary Figure S2, but it was used in an unlabelled form. Then, similar to the experiment shown in Fig. 4, mCherry and the IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex were allowed to simultaneously enter each of the gels.
In contrast to the results obtained after pre-incubation with $\operatorname{scImp} \beta$ (Fig. 3) or with the IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex (Fig. 4), the antibody treatment had no significant effect on passive influx, but instead dramatically blocked facilitated gel entry (at least a 100-fold inhibition).
The selectivity of the barrier can be expressed as the ratio of facilitated and passive influx. While this ratio is increased upon pre-incubation with scImp $\beta$ or scImp $\beta \cdot$ cargo complexes (Fig. 3), the antibody pre-treatment decreased the selectivity of an FG/FxFG-hydrogel by at least two orders of magnitude. Thus, the interaction of $\operatorname{scImp} \beta$ with the gel is fundamentally different from the antibody•hydrogel interaction.
normalised concentration profiles across buffer/gel boundary


Supplementary Figure S4: GFLG and FG/FxFG hydrogels differ in their response to preincubation with scImp $\beta$ or the hsImp $\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathbf{4 5 - 4 6 2}}$ fragment.
Saturated GLFG (upper panels) or FG/FxFG hydrogels (bottom panels) were prepared and either used without preincubation (A) or after 180 minutes of preincubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \operatorname{scImp} \beta(\mathbf{B})$ or $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the dominant negative hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ fragment (C). Concentration profiles of IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex after 30 minutes influx into these gels are shown.

The figure partially recapitulates data shown in Figure 5. However, for better comparison of intra-gel movement, each profile was scaled to its maximum concentration. Note that in the GLFG hydrogel (upper panels) the dominant-negative hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ mutant $(\mathbf{C})$ did not only drastically reduce the enrichment of the NTR•cargo complex at the buffer/gel boundary, but also slowed down diffusion within the hydrogel. In comparison, full length scImp $\beta(\mathbf{B})$ had less pronounced effects on both parameters. The FG/FxFG hydrogel (lower panels) was far more resistant towards pre-incubation with either $\operatorname{scImp} \beta(\mathrm{B})$ or the hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ fragment (C).


## Supplementary Figure S5: The dominant-negative hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ fragment only has a weak effect on facilitated influx into an $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}$ hydrogel

The figure recapitulates data shown in Figure S4, however, several time points are shown and profiles are drawn at identical scale.
A saturated $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}$ hydrogel was prepared as in Figure 3 and either used without preincubation (A) or after preincubation for 180 minutes with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ fragment (B). Concentration profiles of IBB-MBP-mEGFP•scImp $\beta$ complex at indicated time points are shown.
Note that the dominant-negative hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ mutant clearly inhibited the facilitated influx, however, the effect was still weak compared to its drastic effect on the GLFG gel (see Figure 5).


Supplementary Figure S6. The FG/FxFG hydrogel retains its barrier function towards small proteins even when challenged with a large NTR•cargo complex

A-D, Influx of mCherry ( $29 \mathrm{kD}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}=2.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) into an $\mathrm{FG} / \mathrm{FxFG}$ hydrogel was analysed without pre-incubation (A), after 1 min pre-incubation with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the tetrameric IBB-ZsGreen•scImp $\beta$ complex ( $500 \mathrm{kD}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{S}}=6.7 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) followed by a 3 hours wash-out period (B), and after 3 hours continuous pre-incubation with the same NTR•cargo complex in the buffer (C and D). In contrast to C, the NTR•cargo complex was present in D also during influx of mCherry.

Note that none of the pre-incubation schemes led to an increased influx of mCherry into the FG/FxFG hydrogel. Even on the contrary: Prolonged pre-incubation with NTR•cargo complex even tightened the hydrogel towards influx of inert cargo. Thus, perforations transiently formed by ingressing NTR•cargo complexes are not accessible to an inert permeation probe and must therefore rapidly and efficiently reseal behind a translocating species.

E, Analytical gel filtration of mCherry and the IBB-ZsGreen•scImp $\beta$ complex used for the experiments in A-D.


Supplementary Figure S7: Quality of purified recombinant proteins used in this study.
$2.5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ (A-D, F) or $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ (E) of indicated purified recombinant proteins were run on $10 \%$ or $7-14 \%$ SDSPAGE gels and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G250.
A: Proteins used for preparation of FG hydrogels
B: Fluorescent proteins used for gel influx and efflux experiments (Figures 2-9, S1 and S3-S6)
C: scImp $\beta$ used for gel influx experiments (Figures 2-5, 6, 7, S1 and S3-S6) in conjunction with IBB fusion proteins shown in B.
D: hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ mutant used for influx inhibition experiments (Figures 5, S4, S5)
E: Fluorescent transport receptors used for gel influx and efflux experiments (Figures 6, 9)
F: Gsp1p and Prp20p used for efflux experiments (Figure 9)

# Coding sequences and amino acid sequences of proteins used in this study 

| Plasmid name | pSF345 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His $_{10}$-TEV-Nsp1p $p^{1-601}-$ Cys |  |
| Features (nt) | $4-33$ | His $_{10}-$ tag |
|  | $40-63$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $76-1875$ | Nsp1p ${ }^{1-601}$ |

atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatccggagaaaacctgtacttccagggtacctccatggggaacttcaatacacct
 91 caacaaaacaaaacgcccttttcgttcgggactgccaacaataactctaacaccaccaatcagaattcctctactggtgcgggcgccttc
 ggaacaggtcaatcaacatttggtttcaacaattctgcgccaaataacacgaacaatgcaaactcttcaatcacacctgcatttggtagc
 $\mathrm{N} S \mathrm{~S}$ ( tccttattcaataacagtactaatagcaatacgacaaacctgcgttcggcggtttgaattttggcggcggcaataacacaactcctagc $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{S}\end{array}$ 541 tcgaccggtaatgctaacacaagtaataacttatttggcgctaccgcaaatgcgaataagccagccttttcctttggtgcaaccactaac
 631 gacgataaaaaaacagaaccagacaagcctgctttctcctttaattcaagtgtcggtaataagacagacgctcaagctcccactacagga
 ttttcctttggttcacaactaggtggaaacaaaacggttaacgaggctgcaaagccttccctttcatttggttcaggatcagctggtgct aacccagctggagcttcgcaacccgaacctacaactaatgaaccagcaaaaccagccctctcttttggtactgcaacatccgacaataag
 acaactaacacaaccccaagtttttcgtttggtgctaaatcggatgaaaataaagctggtgctacttctaagcctgccttctcattcggt
 caagccagaagaaaagaaggatgataattcctcaaaaccagctttctcatttggtgccaaatcaaatgaagacaagcaagatggaacg
 gctaagccagcattttcctttggtgccaagcccgcggagaagaataacaatgaaacctccaagccggcattctcttttggagcaaagtct gatgagaaaaaggatggtgatgcctctaaacctgccttctcttttggcgctaaaccggatgaaaataaagctagtgctacttctaagcct
 gccttctcattcggcgctaagccagaagaaaagaaggatgataattcctcaaaaccagctttctcatttggtgccaaatcaaatgaagac
 1351 aagcaagatggaacggctaagccagcattttcctttggtgccaagcccgcggaaaagaataacaatgaaacttccaagccggcattctct
 tttggagcaaagtccgatgagaaaaaggatggcgatgcctctaaacctgccttctcatttggtgctaaatcggatgaaaaaaaggacagt
 gattcttcgaagcctgccttctcatttggtactaaatcgaatgaaaaaaaggacagtggttcttcgaagcctgccttctcattcggtgct
 aaacccgatgaaaagaagaatgatgaagtctccaagcctgccttctcattcggagcaaaggctaacgaaaagaaagaaagtgatgaatca

1711 aaatcagccttttcatttggttctaagcctacaggaaaggaggaaggcgatggggcgaaggctgccatttcctttggtgctaaacccgaa
 gaacagaaatccagtgatacgagcaagccagcgtttacttttggtgctcaaaaagataatgagaagaaaacagagtgctga


| Plasmid name | pSF847 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His $_{10}$-TEV-Nup57 $p^{1-233}-$ Nup49p ${ }^{1-246}-$ Cys |  |
| Features (nt) | $4-33$ | His $_{10}-$ tag |
|  | $40-60$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $76-771$ | Nup57p $p^{1-233}$ |
|  | $781-1515$ | Nup49p $p^{1-246}$ |

1 atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatccggagaaaacctgtacttccagggtacctccatggggtttggtttcagcggt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}M & H & H & H & H & H & H & H & H & H & H & G & S & G & E & N & L & Y & F & Q & G & T & S & M & G & F & G & F & S & G\end{array}$
91 agtaataacgggttcggaaataaaccagctggttcgaccggtttttcatttggacaaaacaataacaatacaaatactcaaccttcggct
 tcaggatttggttttgggggcagccagccaaattctgggacggctactaccggagggtttggtgcaaatcaggccacaaatacttttgga $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{T}\end{array} \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{T} . \mathrm{F} . \mathrm{G}$ $S$ acacaagtagtacaggtggaggccttttcggtaataagcctgctcttggcagcctaggaagttcaagcactaccgcttcagga 361 actacagctactggaacaggtttatttgggcaacaaacagcacaacctcaacaatccaccattggaggtgggttatttggaaacaagcca $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}T & T & A & T & G & T & G & L & F & G & Q & Q & T & A & Q & P & Q & Q & S & T & I & G & G & G & L & F & G & N & K & P\end{array}$ actaccacaacgggaggtcttttcggcaacagtgcacaaacaatagtaccacaagtgggggtttatttggtaataaagtaggatccact $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}T & T & T & T & G & G & L & F & G & N & S & A & Q & N & N & S & T & T & S & G & G & L & F & G & N & K & V & G & S & T\end{array}$
541 ggctcactgatgggcggcaatagcactcaaaacacatctaacatgaatgcaggcggactttttggtgcaaagcctcagaatactacagcg
 actacgggaggcctatttggcagtaagccacaaggatcaacaactaatggtggcctgtttggaagcggcacccagaataacaataccctt

721 gggggaggtggcctttttggccaatcccagcagcctcaaacaaacactgcgttcatggggtttggattaaataaagcatcttcgacacct
 gcaggtgggctctttggtcaggccagcggagctagcactggaaacgcgaatactgggttttcgtttggtgggactcaaactggacaaaac
 901 accggcccaagtacaggtggactatttggcgctaaaccagccggatctacaggaggattaggtgcatcatttggtcagcagcaacaacaa

991 tctcagacaaatgcatttggaggaagcgccaccaccggagggggccttttcggtaacaaacctaacaatacggcgaacactgggggcggg
 ttatttggcgctaattcgaacagtaattctggcagtttgtttggttccaacaatgcacagacgagtcgtggtttgtttggtaataataac
 actaataatatcaataatagtagtagtggcatgaataatgcaagcgctggactatttggctctaaacctgcaggaggcacttctttgttc


351 ggtaatacgaccactggtggagggttatttggctccaaaccgacaggagcaacgtctttgtttggttcatcaaataacaacaacaataac
 aataatagtaacaacatcatgagtgcgtcaggcgggctatttggtaatcagcagcagcaactgcagcagcaaccatgttga



1 atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatccggagaaaacctgtacttccagggtacctccatggggtttggtttcagcggt
 91 agtaataacgggttcggaaataaaccagctggttcgaccggtttttcatttggacaaaacaataacaatacaaatactcaaccttctgct
 181 tcaggatttggttttgggggcagccagccaaattctgggacggctactaccggagggtttggtgcaaatcaggccacaaatacttttgga

 361 actacagctactggaacaggtttatttgggcaacaaacagcacaacctcaacaatccaccattggaggtgggttatttggaaacaagcca $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}T & T & A & T & G & T & G & L & F & G & Q & Q & T & A & Q & P & Q & Q & S & T & I & G & G & G & L & F & G & N\end{array}$ 451 actaccacaacgggaggtcttttcggcaacagtgcacaaaacaatagtaccacaagtgggggtttatttggtaataaagtaggatccact
 gctactgatgggcggcaatagcactcaaaacacatctaacatgaatgcaggcggactttttggtgcaaagcctcagaatactacagcy $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A}\end{array}$ actacgggaggcctatttggcagtaagccacaaggatcaacaactaatggtggcctgtttggaagcggcacccagaataacaataccctt

 ccttttcgttcgggactgccaacaataactctaacaccaccaatcagaattcctctactggtgcgggcgccttcggaacaggtcaatca
 acatttggtttcaacaattctgcgccaaataacacgaacaatgcaaactcttcaatcacacctgcatttggtagcaataacactggtaat 91 actgcattcggtaacagtaaccccacaagtaacgtttttggcagcaacaattctacgactaatacatttggtagtaatagtgcagggaca

1081 tccctttttggatcttccagtgctcaacaaacgaagagcaatggaactgctggaggaaatacatttggttcttcatccttattcaataac
 agtactaatagcaatacgacaaaacctgcgttcggcggtttgaattttggcggcggcaataacacaactcctagctcgaccggtaatgct

 cagacaagcctgctttctcctttaattcaagtgtcgqtaataagacagacgctcaagctcccactacaggatttcctttggttca
 caactaggtggaaacaaaacggttaacgaggctgcaaagccttccctttcatttggttcaggatcagctggtgctaacccagctggagct

1531 tcgcaacccgaacctacaactaatgaaccagcaaaaccagccctctcttttggtactgcaacatccgacaataagacaactaacacaacc
 caagtttttcgtt ggtgctaaatcggatgaaaataaagctggtgctacttctaagcctgccttctcattcggtgctaagccagaagaa 171 aagaag
 tcctttggtgccaagcccgcggagaagaataacaatgaaacctccaagccggcattctcttttggagcaaagtctgatgagaaaaaggat
 gtgatgcctctaaacctgccttctcttttggcgctaaaccggatgaaaataaagctagtgctacttctaagcctgccttctcattcggc gctaagccagaagaaaagaaggatgataattcctcaaaaccagctttctcatttggtgccaaatcaaatgaagacaagcaagatggaacg

 gatgagaaaaaggatggcgatgcctctaaacctgccttctcatttggtgctaaatcggatgaaaaaaaggacagtgattcttcgaagcct

 gatgaagtctccaagcctgccttctcattcggagcaaaggctaacgaaaagaaagaaagtgatgaatcaaaatcagcctttca
 ttggttctaagcctacaggaaaggaggaaggcgatggggcgaaggctgccatttcctttggtgctaaacccgaagaacagaaatccagt

 (gcaggtgggctctttggtcaggccagcggagctagcactggaaacgcgaatactgggttttcgtttggtgggactcaaact
 gacaaaacaccggcccaagtacaggtggactatttggcgctaaaccagccggatctacaggaggattaggtgcatcatttggtcagcag

2791 caacaacaatctcagacaaatgcatttggaggaagcgccaccaccggagggggccttttcggtaacaaacctaacaatacggcgaacact
 gggggcgggttatttggcgctaattcgaacagtaattctggcagtttgtttggttccaacaatgcacagacgagtcgtggtttgtttggt
 aataataacactaataatatcaataatagtagtagtggcatgaataatgcaagcgctggactatttggctctaaacctgcaggaggcact
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{G}\end{array}$
3151 aataatgcaggtaatacgaccactggtggagggttatttggctccaaaccgacaggagcaacgtctttgtttggttcatcaaataacaac



| Plasmid name | pSF851 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His sis $_{14}$-TEV-IBB-MBP-mEGFP |  |
| Features (nt) | $1-78$ | His $_{14}$-tag |
|  | $79-96$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $103-288$ | S. cerevisiae Srp1p ${ }^{2-63}$ |
|  | $295-1395$ | Maltose-binding protein (MBP) |
|  | $1408-2118$ | mEGFP |

atgagcaagcatcaccatcattcaggccatcaccataccggacaccaccatcattcaggcagtcatcaccataccggcgagaacctgtat
 ttcaaggatccgataatggtacagattcttccacgagcaagttcgttcccgaatatagacgaacaaactttaagaataaaggcagattc tctgcagatgaacttcgtcgtcgtagagatacacaacaggtcgaattaagaaagcaaaagagatgaagctttggccaaagaagaac
信
 gtcggtaagaaattcgagaaagataccggaattaaagtcaccgttgagcatccggataaactggaagagaaattcccacaggttgcggca 451 actggcgatggccetgacattatcttctgggcacacgaccgctttggtggctacgctcaatctggcctgttggctgaaatcaccccggac
 K A F O D K I Y P F T W D A V R Y N G K I I A Y P I A V E A 631 ttatcgctgatttataacaaagatctgctgccgaacccgccaaaaacctgggaagagatcccggcgctggataaagaactgaaagcgaaa

721 ggtaagagcgcgctgatgttcaacctgcaagaaccgtacttcacctggccgctgattgctgctgacgggggttatgcgttcaagtatgaa
 81 aacggcaagtacgacattaaagacgtgggcgtggataacgctggcgcgaaagcgggtctgaccttcctggttgacctgattaaaaacaaa
 901 cacatgaatgcagacaccgattactccatcgcagaagctgcctttaataaaggcgaaacagcgatgaccatcaacggcccgtgggcatgg


1081 agcgcaggtattaacgccgccagtccgaacaaagagctggcaaaagagttcctcgaaaactatctgctgactgatgaaggtctggaagcg
 1171 gttaataaagacaaaccgctgggtgccgtagcgctgaagtcttacgaggaagagttggcgaaagatccacgtattgccgccaccatggaa

1261 aacgcccagaaaggtgaaatcatgccgaacatcccgcagatgtccgctttctggtatgccgtgcgtactgcggtgatcaacgccgccagc
 ggtcgtcagactgtcgatgaagccctgaaagacgcgcagactaatggcaccggaaccagcaagggcgaggagctgttcaccggggtggtg
 cccatcctggtcgagctggacggcgacgtaaacggccacaagttcagcgtgtccggcgagggcgagggcgatgccacctacggcaagctg

1531 accctgaagttcatctgcaccaccggcaagctgcccgtgccctggcccaccctcgtgaccaccctgacctacggcgtgcagtgcttcagc

1621 cgctaccccgaccacatgaagcagcacgacttcttcaagtccgccatgcccgaaggctacgtccaggagcgcaccatcttcttcaaggac
 1711 gacggcaactacaagaccogcgccgaggtgaagttcgagggcgacaccctggtgaaccgcatcgagctgaagggcatcgacttcaaggag

1801 gacggcaacatcctggggcacaagctggagtacaactacaacagccacaacgtctatatcatggccgacaagcagaagaacggcatcaag
 1891 gtgaacttcaagatccgccacaacatcgaggacggcagcgtgcagctcgccgaccactaccagcagaacacccccatcggcgacggcccc
 1981 gtgctgctgcccgacaaccactacctgagcacccagtccgccctgagcaaagaccccaacgagaagcgcgatcacatggtccttaaggag

2071 ttcgtgaccgccgccgggatcactctcggcatggacgagctgtacaagtaa
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{F} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{K} & \text { * }\end{array}$

| Plasmid name | pSF844 $^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His $_{14}$-TEV-MBP-mCherry |  |
| Features (nt) | $1-78$ | His $_{14}$-tag |
|  | $79-96$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $103-1203$ | Maltose-binding protein (MBP) |
|  | $1216-1917$ | mCherry |

1 atgagcaagcatcaccatcattcaggccatcaccataccggacaccaccatcattcaggcagtcatcaccataccggcgagaacctgtat
 tttcagggtaccaaaactgaagaaggtaaactggtaatctggattaacggcgataaaggctataacggtctcgctgaagtcggtaagaaa ttcgagaaagataccggaattaaagtcaccgttgagcatccggataaactggaagagaaattcccacaggttgcggcaactggcgatggc
 ctgacattatcttctgggcacacgaccgctttggtggctacgctcaatctggcctgttggctgaaatcaccccggacaaagcgttccag
 gacaagctgtatccgtttacctgggatgccgtacgttacaacggcaagctgattgcttaccogatcgctgttgaagcgttatcgctgatt
451 tataacaaagatctgctgccgaacccgccaaaaacctgggaagagatcccggcgctggataaagaactgaaagcgaaaggtaagagcgcg
 ctgatgttcaacctgcaagaaccgtacttcacctggccgctgattgctgctgacgggggttatgcgttcaagtatgaaaacggcaagtac
 gacattaaagacgtgggcgtggataacgctggcgcgaaagcgggtctgaccttcctggttgacctgattaaaaacaaacacatgaatgca 21 gacaccgattactccatcgcagaagctgcctttaataaaggcgaaacagcgatgaccatcaacggcccgtgggcatggtccaacatcgac
 accagcaaagtgaattatggtgtaacggtactgccgaccttcaagggtcaaccatccaaaccgttcgttggcgtgctgagcgcaggtatt
 901 aacgccgccagtccgaacaaagagctggcaaaagagttcctcgaaaactatctgctgactgatgaaggtctggaagcggttaataaagac

991 aaaccgctgggtgccgtagcgctgaagtcttacgaggaagagttggcgaaagatccacgtattgccgccaccatggaaaacgcccagaaa
 ggtgaaatcatgccgaacatcccgcagatgtccgctttctggtatgccgtgcgtactgcggtgatcaacgccgccagcggtcgtcagact
 gtcgatgaagccctgaaagacgcgcagactaatggcaccggaaccagcaagggcgaggaggataacatggccatcatcaaggagttcatg

1261 cgcttcaaggtgcacatggagggctccgtgaacggccacgagttcgagatcgagggcgagggcgagggccgcccctacgagggcacccag
 accgccaagctgaaggtgaccaagggtggccccctgcccttcgcctgggacatcctgtcccctcagttcatgtacggctccaaggcctac
 1441 gtgaagcaccccgccgacatccccgactacttgaagctgtccttccccgagggcttcaagtgggagcgegtgatgaacttcgaggacggc

1531 ggcgtggtgaccgtgacccaggactcctccctgcaggacggcgagttcatctacaaggtgaagctgcgcggcaccaacttcccctccgac
 ggccccgtaatgcagaagaagaccatgggctgggaggcctcctccgagcggatgtaccccgaggacggcgccctgaagggcgagatcaag


1801 tacaacgtcaacatcaagttggacatcacctcccacaacgaggactacaccatcgtggaacagtacgaacgcgccgagggccgccactcc
 accggcggcatggacgagctgtacaagtaa T G G M $\quad$ D $\quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{K}$ *

| Plasmid name | pSF846 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His $_{14}$-TEV-mCherry |  |
| Features (nt) | $1-78$ | His $_{14}$-tag |
|  | $79-96$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $103-804$ | mCherry |

1 atgagcaagcatcaccatcattcaggccatcaccataccggacaccaccatcattcaggcagtcatcaccataccggcgagaacctgtat

91 tttcagggtaccagcaagggcgaggaggataacatggccatcatcaaggagttcatgcgcttcaaggtgcacatggagggctccatgaac
 81 ggccacgagttcgagatcgagggcgagggcgagggccgcccctacgagggcacccagaccgccaagctgaaggtgaccaagggtggcccc

271 ctgcccttcgcctgggacatcctgtcccctcagttcatgtacggctccaaggcctacgtgaagcaccccgccgacatccccgactacttg
 61 aagctgtccttccccgagggcttcaagtgggagcgcgtgatgaacttcgaggacggcggcgtggtgaccgtgacccaggactcctccctg
 451 caggacggcgagttcatctacaaggtgaagctgcgcggcaccaacttcccctccgacggccccgtaatgcagaagaagaccatgggctgg

541 gaggcctcctccgagcggatgtaccccgaggacggcgccctgaagggcgagatcaagcagaggctgaagctgaaggacggcggccactac

631 gacgctgaggtcaagaccacctacaaggccaagaagcccgtgcagctgcccggcgcctacaacgtcaacatcaagttggacatcacctcc
 721 cacaacgaggactacaccatcgtggaacagtacgaacgcgccgagggccgccactccaccggcggcatggacgagctgtacaagtaa


| Plasmid name | pSF856 $^{2}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His $_{14}$-TEV-IBB-MBP-mCherry |  |
| Features (nt) | $1-78$ | His $_{14}$-tag |
|  | $79-96$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $103-288$ | S. cerevisiae Srp1p ${ }^{2-63}$ |
|  | $295-1395$ | Maltose-binding protein (MBP) |
|  | $1408-2109$ | mCherry |

atgagcaagcatcaccatcattcaggccatcaccataccggacaccaccatcattcaggcagtcatcaccataccggcgagaacctgtat
 91 tttcaaggatccgataatggtacagattcttccacgagcaagttcqttcccgaatatagacgaacaaactttaagaataaaggcagattc $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{F} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{K}\end{array}$
 ttatcgctgatttataacaaagatctgctgccgaacccgccaaaaacctgggaagagatcccggcgctggataaagaactgaaagcgaaa

 aacggcaagta

 S agcgcaggtattaacgccgccagtccgaacaaagagctggcaaaagagttcctcgaaaactatctgctgactgatgaaggtctggaagcg
gttaataaagacaaaccgctgggtgccgtagcgctgaagtcttacgaggaagagttggcgaaagatccacgtattgccgccaccatggaa
 ccagaaaggtgaaatcatgccgaacatcccgcagatgtccgctttctggtatgccgtgcgtactgcggtgatcaacgccgccagc
 1351 ggtcgtcagactgtcgatgaagccctgaaagacgcgcagactaatggcaccggaaccagcaagggcgaggaggataacatggccatcatc

1441 aaggagttcatgcgcttcaaggtgcacatggagggctccgtgaacggccacgagttcgagatcgagggcgagggcgagggccgcccctac
 gagggcacccagaccgccaagctgaaggtgaccaagggtggccccctgcccttcgcctgggacatcctgtcccctcagttcatgtacggc
 1621 tccaaggcctacgtgaagcaccccgccgacatccccgactacttgaagctgtccttccccgagggcttcaagtgggagcgcgtgatgaac

1711 ttcgaggacggcggcgtggtgaccgtgacccaggactcctccctgcaggacggcgagttcatctacaaggtgaagctgcgcggcaccaac

1801 ttcccctccgacggccccgtaatgcagaagaagaccatgggctgggaggcctcctccgagcggatgtaccccgaggacggcgccctgaag
 1891 ggcgagatcaagcagaggctgaagctgaaggacggcggccactacgacgctgaggtcaagaccacctacaaggccaagaagcccgtgcag

1981 ctgcccggcgcctacaacgtcaacatcaagttggacatcacctcccacaacgaggactacaccatcgtggaacagtacgaacgcgccgag


| Plasmid name | pSF881 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His $_{14}$ TEV-IBB-ZsGreen |  |
| Features (nt) | $1-78$ | His14-tag |
|  | $79-96$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $103-288$ | S. cerevisiae Srp1p 1 2-63 |
|  | $295-987$ | ZsGreen |

1 atgagcaagcatcaccatcattcaggccatcaccataccggacaccaccatcattcaggcagtcatcaccataccggcgagaacctgtat
 91 tttcaaggatccgataatggtacagattcttccacgagcaagttcgttcccgaatatagacgaacaaactttaagaataaaggcagattc
 181 tctgcagatgaacttcgtcgtcgtagagatacacaacaggtcgaattaagaaaagcaaaaagagatgaagctttggccaaaagaagaaac $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{A} & & \end{array}$ 271 tttattcccccaactgatggtaccgctcagtcaaagcatggtctaacaaaagaaatgacaatgaaataccgtatggaagggtgcgtcgat

361 ggacataaatttgtgatcacgggagagggcattggatatccgttcaaagggaaacaggctattaatctgtgtgtggtcgaaggtggacca
 451 ttgccatttgccgaagacatattgtcagctgcctttatgtacggaaacagggttttcactgaatatcctcaagacatagctgactatttc
 541 aagaactcgtgtcctgctggttatacatgggacaggtcttttctctttgaggatggagcagtttgcatatgtaatgcagatataacagtg

631 agtgttgaagaaaactgcatgtatcatgagtccaaattttatggagtgaattttcctgctgatggacctgtgatgaaaaagatgacagat

721 aactgggagccatcctgcgagaagatcataccagtacctaagcaggggatattgaaaggggatgtctccatgtacctccttctgaaggat

811 ggtgggcgtttacggtgccaattcgacacagtttacaaagcaaagtctgtgccaagaaagatgccggactggcacttcatccagcataag
 901 ctcacccgtgaagaccgcagcgatgctaagaatcagaaatggcatctgacagaacatgctattgcatccggatcagccttgccctaa


| Plasmid name | pQE30 scImp $\beta$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | Kap95p-His $_{6}$ |  |
| Features (nt) | $13-2595$ | scImp $\beta$ |
|  | $2608-2625$ | His $_{6}$-tag |

1 atgagaggatccatgtccaccgctgaatttgctcaactattggagaacagtatcttaagtcccgaccaaaacattcggttgactagtgaa
 acgcaattaaagaaattatctaatgacaacttcttacaatttgcaggtctttcttcgcaagtgcttatcgatgaaaatacaaagctagaa
 G $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { G } & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T}\end{array}$ Q V S P E A K N O I K T N A I T A I V S I E P R I A N A A A caattgat

 tcaaacaatatcttaatcgctattgtccagggtgcgcaatctacggaaacttccaaagcagtcagactggcagctctgaatgctcttgca
 gactctttaattttcatcaaaaataacatggaacgcgaaggtgaaagaaactaccttatgcaagtcgtctgtgaagccactcaagctgaa

 L Y A I T I A T M K S P N D 901 gaaatcgatattgcctatgagctcgctcaatttcctcaatctcctttacagagttataatttcgctctctcttctattaaagatgttgtc

 ttgtttgcccaaaactgtggcaatcatatcttagaacctgtattggaatttgttgaacaaaatattaccgctgacaactggaggaatcgt
 gaagctgctgtaatggcctttggttccattatggatggccctgataaggtccaaagaacctattacgttcaccaagccttaccatctatt

 gacccacaacagcatcttccaggtgtcgttcaagcttgtttaattgggttgcaagatcacccaaaagtggccacaaactgttcttggacc
 attatcaacttagtcgaacaattagcagaagccacaccatctccaatctataacttctatcccgctcttgtagatggtttgatcggtgct

1531 gcaaatagaattgataatgagttcaatgcccgtgcatccgccttttcagccttgacaacaatggttgaatacgccacggacacggtagct
 gaaacttccgcctccatttctacgtttgttatggacaaattagggcagacaatgagtgttgatgaaaatcaattaacgttagaagatgcg
 1711 caaagtttgcaagaattgcagtcaaatatattgactgttctagcagccgttattagaaaaagtccaagtagtgtagaaccggttgcagat 1801 atgcttatgggactgttcttcaggttgttggaaaaaaggactctgcgtttattgaagacgatgtgttttatgctattcagctctagct
 gcttctttgggtaaaggatttgagaaatacctggaaacattttctccctatttattaaaggctttaaatcaagtagattcgccagtttca
 1981 atcaccgcagtgggcttcatcgctgatatttcaaattcgttagaagaagatttcagaagatattccgatgctatgatgaatgtcttggct

2071 caaatgatctctaatccaaatgcaaggagagagttgaaaccagccgtcttgagcgtgttcggtgatattgcttccaatataggtgctgat
 tttattccctacttgaatgacatcatggcgttgtgtgttgctgcccaaaacacgaagccggaaaacggcacattagaagcccttgattat
 2251 caaataaaagtactagaagcagtactagatgcttatgtgggtatagtagcgggtcttcatgataaacctgaagctctgttcccttatgtt

2341 ggtactatattccagttcattgcacaagttgcagaagatcctcagctgtacagtgaggatgctacctcaagagcagcagtcggattgatt

2431 ggtgatatagcagcgatgtttccagacggctcaatcaaacaattttatggacaagactgggttattgattacattaaaagaactaggagt

2521 ggccaattgttcagtcaagccacaaaagatacagcaagatgggctagagagcaacagaagcgtcaattatccttaggatccagatctcat $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}G & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{H}\end{array}$
2611 caccatcaccatcactaa H H H H H

# Plasmid name <br> Encoded protein <br> Features (nt) 

pSF582
His $_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Pdr6p
4-33 $\mathrm{His}_{10}$-tag
40-751 GFP
763-784
790-4035 Pdr6p
TEV protease recognition site

1 atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatctagcaagggcgaggagctgttcaccggggtggtgcccatcctggtcgagctg
 91 gacggcgacgtaaacggccacaagttcagcgtgtccggcgagggcgagggcgatgccacctacggcaagctgaccctgaagttcatctgc
 accaccggcaagctgcccgtgccctggcccaccctcgtgaccaccctgacctacggcgtgcagtgcttcagccgctaccccgaccacatg

271 aagcagcacgacttcttcaagtccgccatgcccgaaggctacgtccaggagcgcaccatcttcttcaaggacgacggcaactacaagacc
解 51 cacaagctggagtacaactacaacagccacaacgtctatatcatggccgacaagcagaagaacggcatcaaggtgaacttcaagatccgc

541 cacaacatcgaggacggcagcgtgcagctcgccgaccactaccagcagaacacccccatcggcgacggccccgtgctgctgcccgacaac

 721 atcactctcggcatggacgaactgtacaaggcggggagctcgggtgaaaacctctacttccaaggtaccatgagctccattcacgaggtt $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { I } & T & \mathrm{~L} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{H}\end{array} \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{V}$
811 gtggctcttattgaagagctgtactctcctcatccgaaacatgatgttaatcagattcaacagagtttacagtcaatccagaaatcagag

901 caaggcttccacctagctaatgagcttttatctgatgacaagtactctgcgaatgtcaagtactttggtgcactgactttaactgtgcaa

991 ctaaatacgcggggtgaaaacgattatgaaaccctatggaatgttttcaggtcaaatttattgtacttgacgaaattcagtacattgtac

 1171 ccgcagcttaataacgctggaaatgaaaatatgattaagcaatggaacaatcccataaatacgtttattcaacttatgtcggttcaaaat

1261 caaaacattaatgcagaccaactgttgcttgactcaatcaactgctccttaacatacgagcaactgtctcaatttgttagcctatctcag

 1441 cacgaagtagtccatgagcatttatatatttcaactatggctttgatcaacttgaacttaactgcacaagctgtcttcaatcctaccgta

1531 tttgattgtattactgcttggattaattatatctcattaaccagaagcgtatcttcaagtggtagaatggatttgtcggaaatttttcaa
 $N$. gctaatgatccattattaatgagttacgatttgagacaacaaatcgagtgtattttcttaggtgtagtaaggcctgattctggcataacc

1801 gacatatctaacaaaaattcttggatgctacaatatatgaattatttagttaccaatgattttttttccgaattgaaggaattggccatt D I S N K N N S W M L
 1981 gatgaatatattcaggaatacatcaaagtccttttgcaaatgaccaatttccctttaactcctgtcttacaagagttcttttctgttaga

2071 atggttgatttttggttggatttatcggatgcttacactaatttggctagcgaaactttgaggccaaattctattgagctgtctacacaa
 tttttcagcagttgatcaatatttatttacccaaaatttctttgagtgtgaaacaaagaataattgaagaagagggtgagagcacttct V N $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{N}\end{array} \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{V}$ L I D G M G O M P A A S D tgttttgttttgaatactatattggttgatatgactctatcagaaagcccatggattaaaaatatcgttgatgccaacaaattcttcaac $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { C } & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{N}\end{array}$ 2521 caaaatgtcatatccgtcttccaaactggtttccaaactagtgccagtacaaaagtcagtcaaattttgaaacttgacttcgtaagaaca
 S cacacttgcaccaattttactagtaaaaacgaacaagaaaaaatttcgaacgataaacttgaagttatggttatcaagactgtttcaaca
 2791 ttatgtgagacatgccgtgaggagttgactccatatttgatgcattttataagctttttaaacacagtcattatgccagactccaatgtt
號
3061 t

3151 gagtttcaaagtttctggtccagcgatcccttacaaatccgatcgaaaattatgtgcaccattgataaggtcttggataattcaatatat

3241 tgtaaaaactccgcctttgtggagatcggttgtttgattgttggtaaaggattaaatctgcccgacggggaaccgtacttcctcaagtac

3331 aatatgtctgaagttatgaattttgttttgcggcatgtccccaactgtgagcttgctacttgcttgccttattttgtttatttattagaa

3421 aagcttatttcagagttcaggaaggaactaacaccacaagaatttgatttcatgtttgaaaagatccttctagtctattatgatgcatat


3511 attatcaatgatccggaccttttacaaatgacaataggttttgttaataacgttttggacgtgaagccaggcttagctattggcagtaaa
 3601 cattggacatcatttattttacctcaatttttaaaattaataccttcaagagaaaagttcacaattgttgcggtggcaaaattttggact

 3781 attatgtatggcttattccacacacaaaggtccgatctgaatagttacacagatctgctaagagctttggtagccaagtttccaattgaa
 3871 gcaagagagtggctcgttgctgttttgccccaaatatgtaacaatcctgcaggtcatgaaaaatttattaataaattacttattacgaga $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { A } & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{P} & \text { Q } & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{N}\end{array}$


# Plasmid name <br> Encoded protein <br> Features (nt) 

pSF586
His $_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Yrb4p
4-33
40-751
763-784
790-4131
$\mathrm{His}_{10}$-tag
GFP
TEV protease recognition site
Yrb4p

1 atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatctagcaagggcgaggagctgttcaccggggtggtgcccatcctggtcgagctg
 91 gacggcgacgtaaacggccacaagttcagcgtgtccggcgagggcgagggcgatgccacctacggcaagctgaccctgaagttcatctgc
 181 accaccggcaagctgcccgtgccctggcccaccctcgtgaccaccctgacctacggcgtgcagtgcttcagccgctaccccgaccacatg
 (cagcacgacttcttcaagtccgccatgcccgaaggctacgtccaggagcgcaccatcttcttcaaggacgacggcaactacaagacc
 361 cgcgccgaggtgaagttcgagggcgacaccctggtgaaccgcatcgagctgaagggcatcgacttcaaggaggacggcaacatcctggg
 451 cacaagctggagtacaactacaacagccacaacgtctatatcatggccgacaagcagaagaacggcatcaaggtgaacttcaagatccgc

541 cacaacatcgaggacggcagcgtgcagctcgccgaccactaccagcagaacacccccatcggcgacggccccgtgctgctgcccgacaac

631 cactacctgagcacccagtccgccctgagcaaagaccccaacgagaagcgcgatcacatggtcctgctggagttcgtgaccgccgccggg

721 atcactctcggcatggacgaactgtacaaggcggggagctcgggtgaaaacctctacttccaaggtaccatggatcaacaatttctaagt

 901 acaactttgccagctttgattcatattttgcagaacggctccgatgattcgttgaaacaactagctggtgttgaagctagaaagttggtt

991 tccaagcactggaacgccattgatgaatccactagagcctccatcaaaacatctcttttacaaacagcatttagtgagccaaaagaaaat
 ttcgtcattccaacgctcgagtaattgcttctattggtactgaagagctagatggtaacaaatggccagatttag V
 1171 caaactgcttctggtgaagacgttcaaacaagacaaactgccatttttattttgttttctctgttggaagatttcacctcttctctatct

1261 ggccacatcgatgactttttggctttgttctctcagacaattaatgatccatcttctttggagatcagatcattatctgcccaggctttg
 a
 1441 gttttagacgcagttatcaaggccgacgacaccatgaacgctaaattaatctttaactgtttaaacgatttcctgctattggactcccaa

1531 ttaacaggaaactttattgtggatttgattaagctgtctttacaaattgctgttaacagtgagattgacgaagatgttcgagtttttgct
 attcatcatatcttcattgtcctacagaaaatcgaaggtttctcaaagcaaattgggcccagaaattactgttgcagctttgaag
 1711 gtggcttgtgaagagatcgatgttgacgatgaattaaacaacgaagacgaaacaggcgaaaatgaggaaaatacaccctcttcttctgct

1801 attagactgttagctttcgcttcctctgaactgccaccatcccaggttgcttccgttattgttgaacacattccagctatgttgcaatcc
 gcaaacgtttttgagagaagagctattcttttagctatttccgttgctgttactggttctccagactacattttgtctcaattcgacaaa
 1981 attatccctgccaccataaacggtttgaaggatactgaaccgattgttaaattagctgctctgaagtgtattcaccaattaaccactgac

2071 ttacaagacgaagttgccaaatttcatgaagaatacttgccattgatcatcgatatcattgattctgcgaaaaacattgtcatttacaac
 tatgcaactgttgctttagatggtctgcttgagttcattgcttatgatgctatcgctaagtacttggatccattaatgaacaaactattc

体
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{A} & & \end{array}$
 attttgctcctttcttgaaaactatcctaccagaaatcttcaagacactagaattagatgaataccaattcaacttcgatggtgatgca
 2701 gaggatttagccgcatttgctgattctgccaatgaagaagaactacagaacaaattcaccgttaacactggtatttcctatgaaaaggaa

 aagtcgatgagtcgtacggtttaagagaaaccgctctaaacaccatctggaatgttgttaagtctgtcctgttggcttccaaagtagaa
 2971 ccagaaagctatcctaagggtatcccagcctcttcctacgttaatgctgatgttttggcggttattcaagctgccagggaaacttccatg

 acaacggcgactcttctatgctagaagctttgtgcatgcaagttcttagtgtcttgaagggtacccatacttgtcaaaccatt


3331 agtttatctcaagctttagctggtgattttgccaaagtctttgacaacttcagaccggttgttttcggattattccaatctaaatccaag
 anagagatcatccgcegtcggtgccgcctctgaattagctctaggtatgaaagaacaaaatccttcogtcatgaaatgctagaagcc


3511 ttagtcattagattaacaagcgataaatctttagaagttagaggtaacgcggcttatggtgttggtttgttgtgtgaatatgcttctatg
 3601 gacatctcagccgtttatgaacctgttttgaaggcgctttatgagttactaagtgctgctgaccaaaaggccttagcagccgaagatgac

3691 gaagccaccagagagattattgatagagcatacgctaatgctagtggatgtgtggccagaatggcttygaagaacagtgctctagttcca 3781 ttggaacaaactgttccagcattattggcacatttaccactaaacaccggttttgaagaatacaatccaattttcgaattgatcatgaaa
 3871 ctataccaggaaaatagtcccgttatcacaaacgaaaccccaaggatcatcgagattttcagtgctgtgtttaccaaagaaaatgataga $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A}\end{array}$
 4051 attgaattattgaagtatctaaacactacttacaacggaattgttgctcaaaatccggttttagctgcagtcattgcttga


| Plasmid name | pSF587 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | His $_{10}$-GFP-TEV-scImp $\beta$ |  |
| Features (nt) | $4-33$ | His $_{10}$-tag |
|  | $40-751$ | GFP |
|  | $763-784$ | TEV protease recognition site |
|  | $790-3375$ | scImp $\beta$ |

1 atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatctagcaagggcgaggagctgttcaccggggtggtgcccatcctggtcgagctg
 gacggcgacgtaaacggccacaagttcagcgtgtccggcgagggcgagggcgatgccacctacggcaagctgaccctgaagttcatctgc
 181 accaccggcaagctgcccgtgccctggcccaccctcgtgaccaccctgacctacggcgtgcagtgcttcagccgctaccccgaccacatg
 gcagcacgacttcttcaagtccgccatgcccgaaggctacgtccaggagcgcaccatcttcttcaaggacgacggcaactacaagacc
 gcgccgaggtgaagttcgagggcgacaccctggtgaaccgcatcgagctgaagggcatcgacttcaaggaggacggcaacatcctgggg $\begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccc}R & A & E & V & K & F & E & G & D & T & L & V & N & R & I & E & L & K & G & I & D & F & K & E & D & G & N\end{array}$
451 cacaagctggagtacaactacaacagccacaacgtctatatcatggccgacaagcagaagaacggcatcaaggtgaacttcaagatccgc
 (cgaggacggcagcqtgcagctcgccgaccactaccagcagaacacccccatcggcgacggccccgtgctgctgcccgacaac
 cacccagtccgccctgagcaaagaccccaacgagaagcgcgatcacatggtcctgctggagttcgtgaccgccgccggg 21 atcactctcggcatggacgaactgtacaaggcggggagctcgggtgaaaacctctacttccaaggtaccatgtccaccgctgaatttgct
解
 ttacaatttgcaggtctttcttcgcaagtgcttatcgatgaaaatacaaagctagaagggcgtattctagcggcgctaactttgaagaac

 taacgcgctgaccgcattggtctccatagaaccgcgtatagcaaatgcagcagctcaattgattgcggctatagcagatattgagttg

解
 aatctacggaaacttccaaagcagtcagactggcagctctgaatgctcttgcagactctttaattttcatcaaaaataacatggaa
 cgcgaaggtgaaagaaactaccttatgcaagtcgtctgtgaagccactcaagctgaagatatagaagttcaagcagctgcctttggttgt

1531 ttgtgtaaaatcatgtcactatactatacatttatgaaaccttacatggagcaagccctatatgcattgaccatagctacaatgaaatct
 atgataaagtagcttccatgactgtggaattctggtccactatttgtgaagaagaaatcgatattgcctatgagctcgctcaattt 711 cc
 analgatgacgattggaatgtttccatgtccgcaggtgcatgcttgcagttgtttgcccaaaactgtggcaatcatatcta gaacctgtattggaatttgttgaacaaaatattaccgctgacaactggaggaatcgtgaagctgctgtaatggcctttggttccattatg $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{E} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{M} & & \mathrm{A}\end{array}$
 aaggaaaccactgcttggtgtattggtagaattgctgattctgttgctgaatctatcgacccacaacagcatcttccaggtgtcgttcaa
 cttgtttaattgggttgcaagatcacccaaaagtggccacaaactgttcttggaccattatcaacttagtcgaacaattagcagaagcc acaccatctccaatctataacttctatcccgctcttgtagatggtttgatcggtgctgcaaatagaattgataatgagttcaatgcccgt
 gcatccgccttttcagccttgacaacaatggttgaatacgccacggacacggtagctgaaacttccgcctccatttctacgtttgttatg
 acaaattagggcagacaatgagtgttgatgaaaatcaattaacgttagaagatgcgcaaagtttgcaagaattgcagtcaaatatattg

 aaaaggactctgcgtttattgaagacgatgtgttttatgctatttcagctctagctgcttctttgggtaaaggatttgagaaatacctg
 aaacattttctccctatttattaaaggctttaaatcaagtagattcgccagtttcaatcaccgcagtgggcttcatcgctgatatttca

2791 aattcgttagaagaagatttcagaagatattccgatgctatgatgaatgtcttggctcaaatgatctctaatccaaatgcaaggagagag
 tgtgttgctgcccaaaacacgaagccggaaaacggcacattagaagcccttgattatcaaataaaagtactagaagcagtactagatgct

3061 tatgtgggtatagtagcgggtcttcatgataaacctgaagctctgttcccttatgttggtactatattccagttcattgcacaagttgca
 (ctcagctgtacagtgaggatgctacctcaagagcagcagtcggattgattggtgatatagcagcgatgtttccagacggctca

3241 atcaaacaattttatggacaagactgggttattgattacattaaaagaactaggagtggccaattgttcagtcaagccacaaaagataca

3331 gcaagatgggctagagagcaacagaagcgtcaattatccttataa
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}A & R & W & A & R & E & Q & Q & K & R & Q & L & S & L & *\end{array}$

Plasmid name
Encoded protein
Features (nt)
pSF588
His $_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Pse1p
4-33
40-751
763-784
790-4059
$\mathrm{His}_{10}$-tag
GFP
TEV protease recognition site

1 atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatctagcaagggcgaggagctgttcaccggggtggtgcccatcctggtcgagctg
 91 gacggcgacgtaaacggccacaagttcagcgtgtccggcgagggcgagggcgatgccacctacggcaagctgaccctgaagttcatctgc
 accaccggcaagctgccogtgccctggcccaccctcgtgaccaccctgacctacggcgtgcagtgcttcagccgctaccccgaccacatg caagtccgccatgcccg
 cgcgccgaggtgaagttcgagggcgacaccctggtgaaccgcatcgagctgaagggcatcgacttcaaggaggacggcaacatcctgggg
 acaagctggagtacaactacaacagccacaacgtctatatcatggccgacaagcagaagaacggcatcaaggtgaacttcaagatccgc 541 cacaacatcgaggacggcagcgtgcagctcgccgaccactaccagcagaacacccccatcggcgacggccccgtgctgctgcccgacaac

631 cactacctgagcacccagtccgccetgagcaaagaccccaacgagaagcgcgatcacatggtcctgctggagttcgtgaccgccgccggg
 I T L G M D E L Y K A G S S G E N I Y F O G T M S A I gttaatagaacattacttcagattgtccaggcgtttgcttcccctgacaatcaaatacgttctgtagctgagaaggctcttagtgaagaa

901 tggattaccgaaaacaatattgagtatcttttaacttttttggctgaacaagccgctttctcccaagatacaacagttgcagcattatct
 ctgttctgtttagaaaattagcattaaaagctcccccttcttcgaagcttatgattatgtccaaaaatatcacacatattaggaaagaa
 1081 gttcttgcacaaattcgttcttcattgttaaaagggtttttgtcggaaagagctgattcaattaggcacaaactatctgatgctattgct

1171 gagtgtgttcaagacgacttaccagcatggccagaattactacaagctttaatagagtctttaaaaagcggtaacccaaattttagagaa
 cagttttagaattttgacgactgtaccttatttaattaccgctgttgacatcaacagtatcttaccaatttttcaatcaggctttact
 1351 gatgcaagtgataatgtcaaaattgctgcagttacggctttcgtgggttattttaagcaactaccaaaatctgagtggtccaagttaggt

1441 attttattaccaagtcttttgaatagtttaccaagatttttagatgatggtaaggacgatgcccttgcatcagtttttgaatcgttaatt
 gagttggtggaattggcaccaaaactattcaaggatatgtttgaccaaataatacaattcactgatatggttataaaaaataaggattta
 1621 gaacctccagcaagaaccacagcactcgaactgctaaccgttttcagcgagaacgctccccaaatgtgtaaatcgaaccagaattacggg

1711 caaactttagtgatggttactttaatcatgatgacggaggtatccatagatgatgatgatgcagcagaatggatagaatctgacgatacc
 gatgatgaagaggaagttacatatgaccacgctcgtcaagctcttgatcgtgttgctttaaagctgggtggtgaatatttggctgcacca
 $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{E}\end{array} \mathrm{G}$

 aatgttttgggtcaaatatctactgatttttcaccattcattcaaagaactgcacacgatagaattttgccggctttaatatct aaactaacgtcagaatgcacctcaagagttcaaacgcacgccgcagcggctctggttaacttttctgaattcgcttcgaaggatattctt
 $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{L} \mathrm{D}$ S L L T N L L V L I O S N K L Y V O gcatttattgctgaagctgcaaagaataaatttatcaagtattacgatactctaatgccattattattaaatgttttgaaggttaacaat

 caagagctgatttctatattggtcgctttacaaaactcagatatcgatgaagatgatgcgctcagatcatacttagaacaaagttggagc
 ggatttgccgaattctgggtgatgattttgttccgttgttaccgattgttataccacccctgctaattactgccaaagcaacgcaagac

 acacatccgtccttgacgataaagtatcagcaatggagctattacaaagctatgcgacacttttaagaggccaatttgctgtatatgtt
 aaagaagtaatggaagaaatagctctaccatcgcttgacttttacctacatgacggtgttcgtgctgcaggagcaactttaattcctatt

 aatgtcagaaccaatgccagaaatcacgcaagtttatcacaactcgttagtgaatggtattaaagtcatgggtgacaattgcttaagc
 gaagaccaattagcggcatttactaagggtgtctccgccaacttaactgacacttacgaaaggatgcaggatcgccatggtgatggtgat

3241 gaatataatgaaaatattgatgaagaggaagactttactgacgaagatcttctcgatgaaatcaacaagtctatcgcggccgttttgaaa
 accacaaatggtcattatctaaagaatttggagaatatatggcctatgataaacacattccttttagataatgaaccaattttagtcatt
 tttgcattagtagtgattggtgacttgattcaatatggtggcgaacaaactgctagcatgaagaacgcatttattccaaaggttaccgag

3511 tgcttgatttctcctgacgctcgtattcgccaagctgcttcttatataatcggtgtttgtgcccaatacgctccatctacatatgctgac


3601 gtttgcataccgactttagatacacttgttcagattgtcgattttccaggctccaaactggaagaaaatcgttcttcaacagagaatgcc $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & & \end{array}$ 3691 agtgcagccatcgccaaaattctttatgcatacaattccaacattcctaacgtagacacgtacacggctaattggttcaaaacgttacca
 3781 acaataactgacaaagaagctgcctcattcaactatcaatttttgagtcaattgattgaaaataattcgccaattgtgtgtgctcaatct
 3871 aatatctccgctgtagttgattcagtcatacaagccttgaatgagagaagtttgaccgaaagggaaggccaaacggtgataagttcagtt
 3961 aaaagttgttgggatttttgccttctagtgatgctatggcaattttcaatagatatccagctgatattatggagaaagtacataaatgg
 4051 tttgcataa

F A *

# Plasmid name <br> Encoded protein <br> Features (nt) 

pSF879
$\mathrm{His}_{10}$-GFP-TEV-Crm1p
4-33
$\mathrm{His}_{10}$-tag
40-751
GFP
763-784
TEV protease recognition site
793-4047 Crmlp

1 atgcaccaccatcaccatcaccaccatcaccacggatctagcaagggcgaggagctgttcaccggggtggtgcccatcctggtcgagctg
 91 gacggcgacgtaaacggccacaagttcagcgtgtccggcgagggcgagggcgatgccacctacggcaagctgaccctgaagttcatctgc
 accaccggcaagctgcccgtgccctggcccaccctcgtgaccaccctgacctacggcgtgcagtgcttcagccgctaccccgaccacatg

271 aagcagcacgacttcttcaagtccgccatgcccgaaggctacgtccaggagcgcaccatcttcttcaaggacgacggcaactacaagacc
 R 51 cacaagctggagtacaactacaacagccacaacgtctatatcatggccgacaagcagaagaacggcatcaaggtgaacttcaagatccgc
 N cactacctgagcacccagtccgccctgagcaaagaccccaacgagaagcgcgatcacatggtcctgctggagttcgtgaccgccgccggg
 721 atcactctcggcatggacgaactgtacaaggcggggagctcgggtgaaaacctctacttccaaggtaccggtatggaaggaattttggat

811 ttttctaacgacttagatatcgctctattagatcaggtggtatctacattctatcaaggttcaggtgttcagcaaaagcaagcccaagag
 901 attttgactaaatttcaagataatccagacgcttggcaaaaagctgaccaaattcttcaattctcaactaaccctcaatcaaaatttatt

 K
1171 aagcaggaatggcctcagaattggcctgaatttatcccagaactaatcggcagttcaagttcctctgttaatgtttgtgaaaataacatg

1261 atcgttttgaaactgctatccgaagaagtcttcgatttctctgcggaacaaatgacacaagctaaagctttacatttgaaaaattccatg
 $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { S } & \text { K } & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{S}\end{array}$ 1441 ttactgagatatttacattggattccttatcgttatatttatgaaaccaatattttggaattattaagcaccaaattcatgacatcgcct $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{S} \\ \mathrm{P}\end{array}$
1531 gatacaagagccatcacattgaaatgtttgactgaggtttcaaatctaaaaattccacaagataatgatttgataaaaagacaaactgta
 aatgatcaatctttcttacaagatttagcaatgtttctaactacatatctcgctcgtaatagggcccttctagagagtgatgaatcgtta

1801 agagagttgttgttgaatgcgcaccaatacttaattcaattatccaaaatcgaagaaagagaactatttaagacgacattggattactgg cataatttagtagcagatttgttttatgaggttcagcgtttgcctgctaccgaaatgagcccattgatacagttatcagtcggttcacaa
 1981 gctatctcaactggatctggcgccctaaatccggaatatatgaaaagatttccattaaaaaaacatatttacgaagaaatttgttcacag

2071 ttgagattggtcattattgaaaatatggttagaccagaagaagtccttgtggttgaaaatgatgaaggagaaattgttagagagttcgtt
 aaagaatcagacactatccaattatacaaatcagaaagagaggttcttgtatatttgacccatttgaatgttatcgatacagaagaaatc
 2251 atgatcagtaaattggcaaggcaaatcgatggttccgaatggtcatggcataatatcaacactttatcttgggctattggttccatatct
 G T M S E D T $\quad$ E aataaagctgttgttgcatcggatattatgtacgtcgtgggccaatatcctagatttttgaaggctcactggaattttctaagaacagtt
 2521 attttgaaactttttgaatttatgcatgaaactcatgagggtgttcaggatatggcatgtgacacattcattaagattgttcaaaaatgt

2611 aaatatcattttgttattcaacaaccccgtgagtctgagcctttcatccaaacaataattagggatattcaaaagacaactgctgaccta

2701 cagccgcaacaggtccatacattttacaaggcttgtggtattattatttctgaagaaagaagcgtagcggagagaaatagattattaagt

2791 gatttgatgcaactgccaaatatggcttgggacactatagtggaacaatccactgctaacccaacattgttgttagattctgaaactgtc
 K I I N I I K T N V A V C T S M G A D F Y P O L G H T Y Y
2971 aatatgcttcagctatacagagctgtttcttcgatgatttctgctcaagttgccgcagaaggtctaattgctacgaagacaccaaaggtt

3061 cgtggtttgagaaccatcaaaaaagagattctgaagcttgttgagacctatatatccaaggcgagaaatttggatgatgtcgttaaagtg


3241 acagtagtagaaaaggttggtcatatgatcccacaaggtgttattttaattttacagagtgtatttgaatgcactttggacatgattaac

3331 aaagacttcacagaatatccagagcatcgtgtagaattttacaagttattgaaggtcatcaatgagaaatcatttgctgcatttttggaa

3421 ctacctccggctgcctttaaattgtttgttgacgctatttgttgggcgttcaaacataacaatagagatgtcgaagttaatggtctacag


3511 attgcattagacctagtgaaaaacattgaaagaatgggaaatgtcccatttgcaaatgagttccacaagaattattttttcatttttgtc
 3601 agtgaaacgttttttgttttaaccgattccgaccacaaatccggtttttctaagcaagctttgttactaatgaagttgatttcttggtt
 3691 tatgataacaagatttcggtaccattatatcaagaagctgaggtaccacaaggaacttcaaatcaagtctacttaagccaatatttggct
 3781 aatatgttaagtaatgcattcccccatttaacatccgaacaaatcgcaagttttctctctgcattaactaaacaatacaaagacttagtg

 3961 aatgcattaatggaacagaatagactagagagggaaaaagctgccaagattggtgggttattaaagccttctgaacttgatgattag


| Plasmid name | pQE60-hsTrn1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | hsTrn1-His |  |
| Features (nt) | $1-2670$ | human Transportin 1 |
|  | $2683-2700$ | His $_{6}$-tag |

1 atggagtatgagtggaaacctgacgagcaagggcttcagcaaatcctgcagctgttgaaggagtcccagtccccagacaccaccatccag
 91 agaaccgtgcaacaaaaactggaacaacttaatcagtatccagactttaacaactacttgatttttgttcttacaaaattaaaatctgaa
 ggagaattgcagaattggcctgacctcttaccaaaactctgtagcctgttggattctgaagattataatacctgtgagggagcatttggt
 cccttcagaagatttgtgaagattctgctgagattttagacagtgatgttttagatcgtcctctcaacatcatgattcccaaattttta
 tgcacattgattcttttattgagaatctctttgcattagctggtgatgaagaaccagaggtacggaaaaatgtgtgccgagcacttgtg
 atgttgctcgaagttcgaatggatcgcctgcttcctcacatgcataatatagttgagtacatgctacagaggactcaagatcaagatgaa 811 aatgtggctttagaagcctgtgaattttggctaactttagctgaacagccaatatgcaaagatgtactcgtaaggcatcttcctaagttg
 attcctgtgttagtgaatggcatgaagtactcagacatagatattatcctacttaagggtgatgttgaagaagacgaaacgattcctgat
 agtgaacaggatatacggccacgttttcaccgatcgaggacggtggctcagcagcatgatgaagatggaattgaagaggaagatgatgat

 cgtgatgaactgctgccacatattttgccccttttgaaagaattactttttcatcatgaatgggttgttaaagaatcaggcattttggtt
 ttaggagcaattgctgaaggttgcatgcagggcatgattccatacttgcctgagcttattcctcaccttattcagtgcctctctgataaa

 aatgacagaattgctaaagcgcatcctggacagcaacaagagagtacaagaagctgcctgcagtgcctttgctaccctagaagaggag
 gcttgtacagaacttgttccttaccttgcttatatacttgataccctggtctttgcatttagtaaataccagcataagaacctgctcatt

1621 ctttacgatgccataggaacattagcagattcagtaggacatcatttaaacaaaccagaatatattcagatgctaatgcctccactgatc
 cagaaatggaacatgttaaaggatgaagataaagatctcttccctttacttgagtgcctatcttcagttgccacagcactgcagtctgga
 ttccttccgtactgtgaacctgtgtatcagcgttgtgtaaacctagtacagaagactcttgcacaagccatgctaaacaatgctcaacca

 cagctggtagcccgaagtaacatcctgacactaatgtatcagtgcatgcaggataaaatgccagaagttcgacagagttcttttgccctg
 ttaggtgacctcacaaaagcttgctttcagcatgttaagccttgtatagctgatttcatgccaatattgggaaccaacctaaatccagaa



221 ttgcaccacial
 gtttgtcctcaagaggtggcccccatgctacagcagtttataagaccctggtgcacctctctgagaaacataagagacaatgaggaaaag
 gattcagcattccgtggaatttgtaccatgatcagtgtgaatcccagtggcgtaatccaagattttatattttttgtgatgccgttgca tcatggattaacccaaaagatgatctcagagacatgttctgtaagatccttcatggatttaaaaatcaagttggcgatgaaaattggagg

2611 cgtttctctgaccagtttcctcttcccttaaagagcgtcttgcagctttttatggtgttggatccagatctcatcaccatcaccatcac $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{R} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H}\end{array}$
2701 taa taa

| Plasmid name | pQE60-hsImp $\beta^{45-462}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Encoded protein | hsImp $^{45-462}$-His $_{6}$ |  |
| Features (nt) | $4-1257$ | hsImp $^{45-462}$ |
|  | $1270-1287$ | His $_{6}$-tag |

1 atggcaaatccaggaaacagtcaggttgccagagttgcagctggtctacaaatcaagaactctttgacatctaaagatccagatatcaag

91 gcacaatatcagcagaggtggcttgctattgatgctaatgctcgacgagaagtcaagaactatgttttgcagacattgggtacagaaact
 181 taccggcctagttctgcctcacagtgtgtggctggtattgcttgtgcagagatcccagtaaaccagtggccagaactcattcctcagctg

271 gtggccaatgtcacaaaccccaacagcacagagcacatgaaggagtcgacattggaagccatcggttatatttgccaagatatagaccca
 gagcagctacaagataaatccaatgagattctgactgccataatccaggggatgaggaaagaagagcctagtaataatgtgaagctagct
 gctacgaatgcactcctgaactcattggagttcaccaaagcaaactttgataaagagtctgaaaggcactttattatgcaggtggtctgt

541 gaagccacacagtgtccagatacgagggtacgagtggctgctttacagaatctggtgaagataatgtccttatattatcagtacatggag

 721 tccaatgtctgtgatgaggaaatggatttggccattgaagcttcagaggcagcagaacaaggacggccccctgagcacaccagcaagttt

811 tatgcgaagggagcactacagtatctggttccaatcctcacacagacactaactaaacaggacgaaaatgatgatgacgatgactggaac
 ccctgcaaagcagcaggggtgtgcctcatgcttctggccacctgctgtgaagatgacattgtcccacatgtcctccccttcattaaagaa
 991 cacatcaagaacccagattggcggtaccgggatgcagcagtgatggcttttggttgtatcttggaaggaccagagcccagtcagctcaaa
 1081 ccactagttatacaggctatgcccaccctaatagaattaatgaaagaccccagtgtagttgttcgagatacagctgcatggactgtaggc

1171 agaatttgtgagctgcttcctgaagctgccatcaatgatgtctacttggctcccctgctacagtgtctgattgaaggtctcagtgctgga
 1261 tccagatctcatcaccatcaccatcactaa
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { S } & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \text { * }\end{array}$
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