

Structure–activity relationships of ternary Cu/ZrO₂/CeO₂ catalysts for methanol steam reforming

*A. Dennstedt^a, A. Mastalir^{a,b}, B. Frank^b, A. Szizybalski^a, H. Soerijanto^{a,b},
A. Deshpande^c, M. Niederberger^c, R. Schomäcker^b, R. Schlögl^a, T. Ressler^a*

*^a Fritz-Haber-Institut der MPG, Abteilung Anorganische Chemie,
Faradayweg 4-6, 14195 Berlin, Germany*

^b Institut für Chemie, TU Berlin,

Sekr. TC-8, Straße des 17. Juni 124, 10623 Berlin, Germany

*^c Max-Planck-Institut für Kolloid- und Grenzflächenforschung,
Abteilung Kolloidchemie, MPI-KG, 14424 Potsdam, Germany*

The combustion of hydrogen in a fuel cell is regarded as a clean process, providing energy and releasing only water as exhaust [1]. Methanol can be a storage and transport medium for hydrogen. The production of H₂ from CH₃OH can be performed by steam reforming of methanol which affords a substantial H₂ yield, and high CO₂ selectivity with Cu based catalysts [1]. Unfortunately, CO is formed as a byproduct of this reaction. In order to eliminate the need for gas purification, more selective catalysts need to be developed. Here structure–activity relationships for ternary Cu/ZrO₂/CeO₂ (CZC) catalysts with different Cu content (5% to 35%) were investigated under methanol steam reforming conditions.

The catalysts were prepared by coprecipitation followed by a templating technique on polystyrene beads [2]. Characteristic CuO peaks in the XRD patterns could be distinguished only for the samples with the highest Cu contents. The in situ XRD experiments of the sample CZC35 clearly showed the formation of Cu₂O and Cu after reduction either in H₂ or in a mixture of H₂O and CH₃OH. The reduction in H₂ was measured by in situ X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) at the Cu K edge. The XAS investigations during the heating ramp from 298 K to 523 K revealed a higher reduction temperature with increasing Cu content. The variation in the Cu concentration altered the microstructure of the Cu particles and, thus, the active Cu surface, which considerably affected the catalytic behavior of these catalysts.

References:

- [1] P.J. de Wild, M.J.F.M. Verhaak, *Catal. Today* 60 (2000) 3.
[2] A. Mastalir, et. al., *Journal of Catalysis* 230 (2005) 464.