

38. Jahrestreffen Deutscher Katalytiker

16.-18. März 2005, Weimar



# Investigation of Structural Transformations during Synthesis of MoVTeNb mixed oxide catalysts

P. Beato, A. Blume, O. Timpe, G. Weinberg, R.E. Jentoft, F. Girgsdies, A.Trunschke, R. Schlögl

Fritz-Haber Institut der MPG, Abt. Anorganische Chemie,14195 Berlin

E. Omar, Q. Basher, L. Mhod Salim , F.A. Hamid, S.B.A. Hamid

Combinatorial Technology and Catalysis Research Centre (COMBICAT)

University Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

See also P89, P93, P98

Acknowledgements: S. Knobl, D. Niemeyer, V. Makwana, J. Wagner, U. Wild

# Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Preparation of a catalyst for direct oxidation of propane to acrylic acid
- 3. Raman spectroscopy on aqueous solutions
- 4. Drying of the precipitate
- 5. Activation of the catalyst precursor
- 6. Conclusions

# Manufacture of acrylic acid





•Acrylic acid yields up to 87%

•Propene accounts for about 80% of the variable costs

•Alternative raw material: propane

- •Liquified petroleum gas (LPG) from refineries
- •Natural gas liquid (NGL) from gas fields

### Catalysts for propane oxidation to acrylic acid



### Examples of catalysts studied in propane oxidation to acrylic acid

- Modified vanadium pyrophosphate (VPO) catalysts
  - Ce/VPO (Y<sub>AA</sub> ca. 19%)
  - VPO/TiO<sub>2</sub>-SiO<sub>2</sub> ( $Y_{AA}$  ca. 13%)
- Heteropoly acids and salts
  - $H_{1.26}Cs_{2.5}Fe_{0.08}P_1V_1Mo_{11}O_{40}(Y_{AA} ca. 13\%)$
- Multi-component metal oxide catalysts
  - $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.125}O_x(Y_{AA} ca. 48\%)$
  - T. Ushikubo, H. Nakamura, Y. Koyasu, S. Wajiki, Mitsubishi Kasei Corporation, US 005380933A (Jan.10, 1995).

### **Structural aspects of MoVTeNb catalysts**



# **Objectives and approach**

 $Mo_1V_{0.3}Te_{0.23}Nb_{0.125}O_x$  would serve as a basis for a possible commercial process to convert propane directly to acrylic acid

•Described preparation procedure not reproducible ( $Y_{AA} = 0 - 50\%$ )

•Systematic inspection of the preparation procedure required

•Which preparation step is crucial for phase distribution in the final catalyst?

<i>in-situ</i> Raman spectroscopy:	Monitoring of formation and rearrangement of molecular building blocks
X-ray diffraction:	Phase composition of precursors and final catalyst
Scanning electron microscopy (SEM):	Microstructure

#### 2. Preparation procedure

# Preparation of Mo<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0.3</sub>Te<sub>0.23</sub>Nb<sub>0.125</sub>O<sub>x</sub>: "Slurry method"



#### 3. Aqueous solutions

### **Raman spectroscopy on individual POM solutions**



$$7 \text{ MoO}_4^{2-} + 8 \text{ H}^+ \longrightarrow [\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{6-} + 4 \text{ H}_2\text{O}_{24}$$

ν [cm <sup>-1</sup> ]	assignment		
937 (s) 893 (m)	v (Mo=O) Mo <sub>7</sub> O <sub>24</sub> <sup>6-</sup>		
955 (sh)	ν (Mo=O) Mo <sub>8</sub> O <sub>26</sub> <sup>4-</sup>		
944 (s) 900 (sh)	v (V=O) [VO <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>n-</sup>		
644 (s)	ν (Te-O)		
570 (m) 942 (s) 919 (s)	v (Nb-O) v (Nb=O)		

M.T. Pope, Heteropoly and Isopoly Oxometalates, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1983.

#### 3. Aqueous solutions

## Raman spectroscopy on mixed solutions



 $TeMo_6 = 0.126 mol Mo + 0.021 mol Te$ + 0.008 mol residual  $Te(OH)_6$ 

I.L. Botto et al. Mater. Chem. Phys.47 (1997) 37.

ν [cm<sup>-1</sup>] assignment ν (Mo=O) Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub><sup>6-</sup> 937 (s) 893 (m) v(V=O) [VO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>n-</sup> 950 (sh) 980 (s) ν (V=O) [V<sub>10</sub>O<sub>28</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> 956 (m) 848 (m) v (V-O-V) or v (Mo-O-V)  $\nu$  (V=O)  $[H_{x}V_{10}O_{28}]^{(6-x)-1}$ 1000 (m) or v (M=O)  $[TeMo_5VO_{24}]^{7-1}$ 975 (vw) ν (Mo=O) [TeMo<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> 937 (s) 899 (m)



3. Drying

### Raman spectra of spray-dried precursors



3. Drying

### **SEM** images of the spray-dried precursor



Molar ratios of elements normalized to Me	D
from EDX	

	spot 1	spot 2	spot 3	spot 4	synthesis
Мо	1	1	1	1	1
V	0.30	0.33	0.28	0.35	0.3
Те	0.28	0.24	0.25	0.27	0.23
Nb	0.22	0.13	0.20	0.20	0.125

### **Decomposition of the Anderson-type precursor**

Thermogravimetry / Differential Scanning Calorimetry

1. Calcination in static air up to 275°C (10°C/min)

2. Activation in flowing He up to 600°C (2°C/min)



38. Jahrestreffen Deutscher Katalytiker, 16.-18. März 2005, Weimar

### **Development of phase structures**



### **Development of phase structures**



\*simulation based on the model of P. De Santo et al. Z. Kristallogr. **219** (2004) 152.

### **Development of phase structures**



# Conclusions

An Anderson-type molybdotellurate is formed during preparation of Mo<sub>1</sub>V<sub>0.30</sub>Te<sub>0.23</sub>Nb<sub>0.125</sub>O<sub>x</sub> in the initial ternary MoVTe solution.
V mainly coexists with the molybdotellurate as protonated decavanadate.
Nb does not change the structure of the polyoxometalate species in solution.

•The Anderson-type heteropolyanion is preserved in the spray-dried material.

•Crucial for catalyst activation seems to be the calcination in air at 275°C that results in the decomposition of the Anderson-type species and significant structural rearrangements.

•Thermal treatment in He at 600°C leads to the crystallization of the phases established during calcination and may cause the formation of other phases.