Structure and dynamics of Rh surfaces

Jianjun Xie and Matthias Scheffler Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, D-14195 Berlin-Dahlem, Germany (August 7, 1997)

Lattice relaxations, surface phonon spectra, surface energies, and work functions are calculated for Rh(100) and Rh(110) surfaces using density-functional theory and the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave method. Both, the local-density approximation and the generalized gradient approximation to the exchange-correlation functional are considered. The force constants are obtained from the directly calculated atomic forces, and the temperature dependence of the surface relaxation is evaluated by minimizing the free energy of the system. The anharmonicity of the atomic vibrations is taken into account within the quasiharmonic approximation. The importance of contributions from different phonons to the surface relaxation is analyzed.

68.35.Bs, 63.20.Ry, 75.30.Pd

I. INTRODUCTION

Experimentally, the structure of a solid surface can be determined by LEED¹, ion scattering², X-ray diffraction³, and helium-atom scattering³. Surface phonons can be measured by high-resolution electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS)⁴ and inelastic helium-atom scattering⁵. It is well understood that the first interlayer separation of metal surfaces is typically contracted at room temperature⁶, and only few exceptions to this "rule" have been discovered so far. Due to the anharmonicity of the interatomic potentials, both the surface interlayer spacing and the bulk lattice constant depend on temperature. Furthermore, because of lower symmetry, the anharmonicity at the surface is expected to be more significant than that in the bulk.

First-principles calculations of lattice relaxations $^{6-11}$ and surface phonons for $metals^{12-15}$ typically employ the density-functional theory $(DFT)^{16}$. In most previous work, the equilibrium geometry was determined by minimizing the total energy of the system (we will call this the "static equilibrium"). We note that the surface structure obtained in this way corresponds to T = 0 K and neglects the effects of zero-point vibrations. With respect to the latter we note that for example for the crystal bulk zero-point vibrations give rise to an increase of the lattice constant by about 0.2-0.5%, compared to the geometry determined by the total-energy minimum 17,18 . Starting from the "static equilibrium" geometry, surface phonons were calculated either directly (using the supercell approach)^{12–14} or by applying density-functional perturbation theory 15,19 .

At finite temperature, the equilibrium geometry is determined by the minimum of the free energy, which, in addition to the total energy, includes the contribution from lattice vibrations. Typically this gives rise to a lattice expansion, but it is also possible that contractions occur¹⁸. Because anharmonicity is more pronounced at the surface than in the bulk an increased interlayer spacing of the top layer, d_{12} , is to be expected^{20,21}. Recently, Narasimhan and Scheffler²² and Cho and Scheffler²³ performed a theoretical study of the thermal expansion of Ag(111) and Rh(100). The free energy was calculated by including the contribution of phonons in the quasiharmonic approximation^{18,24}. The temperature dependence of d_{12} was obtained by minimizing the free energy of the considered slab with respect to d_{12} . In these studies^{22,23}, as a first approximation, only the top layer was allowed to vibrate as a whole parallel and perpendicular to the surface. The effective vibrational "modes" considered in these works correspond to modes at the Brillouin zone center for the top layer vibrating on a rigid substrate. No information about the true surface phonon spectrum was obtained, and thus, not even an attempt was made to discuss how a good-quality summation over the phonon Brillouin zone may affect the result. In fact a better treatment is quite elaborate as it requires the diagonalization of the dynamical matrix of the whole slab. In order to improve on the previous calculations 23 , we present in this paper a theoretical study of the structure and dynamics of Rh(100) and Rh(110) surfaces by including vibrations of the whole slab. The interplanar force constants are obtained from the directly calculated atomic forces using the full-potential linearized augmented plane wave (FP-LAPW) method^{25,26}. It has been shown^{12,13} that the surface phonon modes for wave vectors at high-symmetry points of the surface Brillouin zone (SBZ) can be determined from the knowledge of interplanar force constants. In the present work, these force constants and the corresponding surface phonons are calculated as a function of the interlayer spacing at the surface. The temperature dependence of surface relaxation is then determined by minimizing the free energy within the quasiharmonic approximation. Contributions from different phonon modes to the vibrational free energy will be examined. In Section II, we will describe some details of the calculation method. The results are presented in Section III.

II. METHOD OF CALCULATION

A. General theory

We consider a crystal slab consisting of a finite number of atomic layers perpendicular to the z direction, and of infinite extension in the x and y directions. In the quasiharmonic approximation, the free-energy function of the considered system can be written as¹⁸

$$F(\lbrace d_{ij}\rbrace, T) = \Phi(\lbrace d_{ij}\rbrace) + F_{\text{vib}}(\lbrace d_{ij}\rbrace, T)$$
$$= \Phi(\lbrace d_{ij}\rbrace) + k_{\text{B}}T \sum_{\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}} \sum_{p=1}^{3N}$$
$$\ln \left\{ 2 \sinh \left(\frac{\hbar \omega_p(\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}, \lbrace d_{ij}\rbrace)}{2k_{\text{B}}T} \right) \right\} \quad , \qquad (2.1)$$

where Φ is the static total energy which can be obtained by first-principles calculations, $k_{\rm B}$ and \hbar are the Boltzmann and the Planck constants, and $\{d_{ij}\}$ is the set of inter-layer distances, d_{12}, d_{23}, \cdots , between layers 1 and 2, 2 and 3, etc. The vibrational free energy is denoted as $F_{\rm vib}$, and $\omega_p(\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}, \{d_{ij}\})$ is the frequency of the *p*-th mode for a given wave vector \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} , evaluated at the geometry defined by $\{d_{ij}\}$; and N is the number of atoms in the slab. Anharmonicity of the inter-atomic potentials is included in this description because Φ and the vibrational frequencies ω_p depend on the inter-layer distances $\{d_{ij}\}$. The free energy and the equilibrium distances $\{d_{ij}\}$ at a given temperature are determined by the minimum of $F(\{d_{ij}\}, T)$ with respect to $\{d_{ij}\}$.

In the present work, we have calculated the vibrational frequencies and the corresponding free energy using the slab model²⁷ and density-functional theory. We expand the static total energy, Φ , of the system in a Taylor series

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{l},\alpha} \phi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{l}) u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{l}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{l},\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{l}',\beta} \phi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{l}') u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{l}) u_{\beta}(\mathbf{l}') + \dots \quad , \qquad (2.2)$$

where $u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{l})$ is the α component ($\alpha = x, y, z$) of the displacement of the **l**-th atom from its mean position $\mathbf{R}_0(\mathbf{l})$ (taking the thermal expansion of the lattice into account). The instantaneous position of an atom is given by $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{l})=\mathbf{R}_0(\mathbf{l})+\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{l})$. We consider here the monatomic lattice, and the set of integers $\mathbf{l}=(l_1, l_2, l_3)$, which specify a particular atom, has the following meaning: l_3 labels the crystal planes lying parallel to the surface, and l_1, l_2 specify the points in the two-dimensional lattice which spans a plane. In the quasiharmonic approximation the equations of motion are

$$M\frac{d^2}{dt^2}u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{l}) = -\sum_{\mathbf{l}',\beta}\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{l}')u_{\beta}(\mathbf{l}') \quad , \tag{2.3}$$

where M is the atomic mass, $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}')$ is the force constant which is defined by

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{l},\mathbf{l}') = \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{l})\partial u_{\beta}(\mathbf{l}')}\right)_{0} \quad . \tag{2.4}$$

The subscript "0" in Eq.(2.4) indicates that the force constants $\phi_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}')$ are to be evaluated at the mean positions of the atoms, rather than at the positions of the static equilibrium. Due to the two-dimensional translational property of the slab, the normal mode solutions to Eq.(2.3) have the form²⁷

$$u_{\alpha}(\mathbf{l}) = M^{-1/2} Q_0 \zeta_{\alpha}(l_3) \exp\{i[\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}) + \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(l_3) - \omega t]\} \quad ,$$
(2.5)

where Q_0 is the vibrational amplitude, $\zeta_{\alpha}(l_3)$ is a polarization vector which will turn out to be the eigenvectors of the dynamical matrix, and ω is the vibrational frequency. Following the notation of Ref.²⁷, we have $\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = (q_x, q_y), \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel} = (x, y), \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(\mathbf{l}) = \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(l_{\parallel}) + \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(l_3), \mathbf{l}_{\parallel} = (l_1, l_2)$. Insertion of Eq. (2.5) into Eq. (2.3) leads to the eigenvalue equation

$$\sum_{l'_3,\beta} D_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l'_3; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) \zeta_{\beta}(l'_3; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) = \omega^2(\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) \zeta_{\beta}(l_3; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) \quad , \quad (2.6)$$

where the elements of the dynamical matrix are defined by

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l_3'; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}'} \phi_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l_3', \mathbf{l}_{\parallel}' - \mathbf{l}_{\parallel})$$
$$\times \exp\{i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot [\mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(l_{\parallel}' - \mathbf{l}_{\parallel})$$
$$+ \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(l_3') - \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(l_3)]\} \quad .$$
(2.7)

Once the force constants are obtained, the frequencies and polarization vectors for all modes in the slab for a given \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} can be obtained by diagonalization of a $3N \times 3N$ matrix.

B. Density functional theory calculation

In the present paper, the considered systems Rh(100)and Rh(110) are modeled by a periodic slab consisting of 7 layers of Rh and a vacuum region with the same thickness. We employ density-functional theory and the FP-LAPW method 25,26 . The exchange-correlation functional is treated by the local-density approximation $(LDA)^{28}$ as well as the generalized gradient approximation $(GGA)^{29}$. We first calculate the lattice relaxations, surface energies and work functions of Rh surfaces at T = 0 K neglecting the influence of zero-point vibrations. The geometry is optimized by a damped molecular dvnamics allowing the top two layers on both sides of slab to relax. The remaining atoms are kept at the bulk lattice sites. The energy cut-off for the FP-LAPW basis is taken to be 15 Ry and for the wave functions inside the muffin-tin spheres angular momenta are taken into

account up to $l_{max}^{wf} = 8$. The cut-off energy for the potential is taken as $G^2 = 100$ Ry. For the \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} -sampling, we use a uniform mesh of 15 points in the irreducible part of SBZ of the (1×1) surface displaced from $\overline{\Gamma}$.

In order to calculate phonon frequencies of the slab, an extension of the "frozen phonon" method¹² is used. For a selected \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} in the surface Brillouin zone, we can calculate all the interplanar force constant matrices by distorting the slab in an appropriate way. The obtained force constants allow us to set up the dynamical matrix and solve for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the system. The interplanar force constants coupling planes l_3 and l'_3 are given by

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{p}(l_{3}, l_{3}'; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) = \sum_{\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}'} \phi_{\alpha\beta}(l_{3}, l_{3}', \mathbf{l}_{\parallel}' - \mathbf{l}_{\parallel})$$
$$\exp\left[i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}' - \mathbf{l}_{\parallel})\right] \quad .$$
(2.8)

In the harmonic or quasiharmonic approximation, the interplanar force constants, $\phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l'_3; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel})$, are related to the forces by

$$\phi_{\alpha\beta}^{p}(l_{3},l_{3}';\mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) = -\frac{\partial F_{\alpha}(l_{3},\mathbf{q}_{\parallel})}{\partial u_{\beta}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel},l_{3}')} \approx -\frac{\Delta F_{\alpha}(l_{3},\mathbf{q}_{\parallel})}{u_{\beta}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel},l_{3}')} \quad , \quad (2.9)$$

where $\Delta F_{\alpha}(l_3, \mathbf{q}_{\parallel})$ is the α component of the force difference at atomic layer l_3 under a distortion of layer l'_3 in accordance with \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} , $u_{\beta}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}, l'_3)$ is the β component of atomic displacement at $(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}, l'_3)$. The displacement for other atoms in layer l'_3 is given by

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}', l_{3}') = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}, l_{3}') \exp[i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{0\parallel}(\mathbf{l}_{\parallel}' - \mathbf{l}_{\parallel})] \quad .$$
(2.10)

The dynamical matrix of Eq.(2.7) can then be written as

$$D_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l'_3; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) = \frac{1}{M} \phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l'_3; \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) \\ \exp\{i\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} \cdot [\mathbf{R}_{0||}(l'_3) - \mathbf{R}_{0||}(l_3)]\} \quad . \quad (2.11)$$

In order to calculate the thermal expansion, we need to know the interplanar force constants as a function of the interlayer distance. In most cases, the thermal expansion of the lattice at the surface along z direction is expected to be more significant than the expansion of the bulk lattice constant. The latter also defines the expansion of interatomic distance in the x-y plane. We therefore calculate the surface interplanar force constants as a function of d_{12} . Furthermore, we calculate the interplanar force constants for the crystal bulk. From all the interplanar force constants, the dynamical matrix (as a function of d_{12}) of a thick slab can be constructed. In fact, for the phonon calculations it is important to use a significantly thicker slab than for the electronic structure calculations, in order to obtain a reliable description of surface modes, surface resonances and the surface phonon density of states 12,27 . We therefore calculate the surface phonon spectra for Rh(100) and Rh(110) for a 201-layer thick slab. The surface relaxation $d_{12}(T)$ is then obtained by minimizing the free energy of this slab for various temperatures.

III. RESULTS

For Rh bulk the theoretically obtained lattice constant (neglecting the influence of zero-point vibrations) is $a^{\text{th}} = 3.79$ Å for DFT-LDA and $a^{\text{th}} = 3.83$ Å for DFT-GGA. The FP-LAPW parameters employed for these calculations are the same as those for the surface calculations listed in Section IIB, except that for the bulk study we use a mesh of 72 \mathbf{k} -points in the irreducible part of the fcc Brillouin zone. The experimental value is $a^{\text{exp}} = 3.80 \text{\AA}^{30}$. The surface properties of Rh are calculated with the theoretical bulk lattice constant. In Table I, we present the results of surface relaxations, work functions and surface energies of Rh(100) and Rh(110) calculated in the LDA and GGA neglecting the zero-point vibration. Results from other calculations and the experiments are also listed for comparison. The present results are in good agreement with those of the previous calculations 6,11,23,35. In the following calculations of the surface dynamics, we will use the GGA. The surface interplanar force constant will be calculated as a function of d_{12} , while the interlayer spacing d_{23} is kept as the static equilibrium value.

The calculated interplanar force constants coupling the surface layer with other layers in Rh(100) slab are given in Table II. Three high symmetry surface wave vectors are considered (see Fig. 1): $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} and \overline{M} . These results are obtained by distorting the slab around the zero-force geometry at T = 0 K, which is given in Table I. The corresponding results for the interior of the slab are given as well. The interplanar force constant matrices for the Rh(110) surface are given in Table III. Four wave vectors at $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} , \overline{Y} , and \overline{S} points in the SBZ are considered (see Fig. 1). It is not surprising to see in Table II and Table III that the intralayer part of the force constants $(\phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(1,1))$ are most significantly changed at the surface compared to that in the bulk because of the truncation of surface. At the same time, the interlayer part of force constants at surface $(\phi_{\alpha\beta}^p(1, l_3), l_3 = 2, 3)$ are also modified due to the surface relaxations. For most of the matrix elements in Table III, there is a trend for an enhancement in magnitude for force constants coupling the surface layer to its neighbors compared with the bulk force-constant matrix elements. This is in agreement with the findings of $Al(110)^{12}$.

Anharmonicity is included in our studies by calculating the force constants as a function of the interlayer distance d_{12} . Figure 2 shows the variation of the surface interplanar force constants at the \overline{X} point for Rh(100). It can be clearly seen that the interlayer force constants decrease monotonically as d_{12} changes from 9% contraction to 3% expansion. This "softening" of the force constants reflects the anharmonicity of the bond strength at this surface. We also note that the intralayer force constants of the second layer ($\phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(2,2)$) are also significantly softened at the \overline{X} and \overline{M} points for Rh(100), and at the \overline{X} , \overline{Y} , and \overline{S} points for Rh(110), with the increase of d_{12} . While

at $\overline{\Gamma}$, there are no intraplanar vibrations, the "softening" of $\phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(2,2)$ is already included in the surface interplanar force constant $\phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(1,2)$. In the present work, the changes of the intraplanar force constants for the second layer with d_{12} are taken into account.

Having obtained the force constants for different d_{12} , we calculate the phonon frequencies of the slab for each d_{12} . Then, using Eq. (2.1), the temperature dependent top-layer relaxations for Rh(100) and Rh(110) are determined by minimizing the free energy with respect to d_{12} . Figure 3 shows the variation of $\Delta d_{12}/d_0$ for Rh(100) with temperature in the case in which vibrations are calculated from different wave vectors \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} in the SBZ. The results of Cho and Scheffler²³ are also given for comparison. It can be seen that when only the $\overline{\Gamma}$ point vibration is taken into account, the variation of $\Delta d_{12}/d_0$ with temperature is in close agreement with the results of Ref.²³. The small difference is due to the fact that only the top layer was allowed to vibrate in Ref.²³, while in the present work, vibrations of the whole 201-layers thick slab are included. At low temperature (below 300 K), the vibrations from the $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} , and \overline{M} points contribute with similar importance to the surface expansion. The differences between the contributions of the different \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} vectors becomes significant at high temperature because of the different frequency distributions between $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} and $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$.

Using the "frozen" phonon $method^{12,39,40}$, it is not practicable to get the phonon frequency for an arbitrary \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} point in SBZ. For phonon, in contrast to electrons, it is in fact acceptable to approximate the summation over the whole SBZ by the contributions from only the highsymmetry \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} points. The weights of $\overline{\Gamma}$, $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$, and $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ are $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \text{ and } \frac{1}{4}, \text{ respectively. Thus, the vibrational free energy is given by } F_{\text{vib}} = \frac{1}{4}F_{\text{vib}}(\overline{\Gamma}) + \frac{2}{4}F_{\text{vib}}(\overline{X}) + \frac{1}{4}F_{\text{vib}}(\overline{M}).$ The temperature dependence of the top-layer relaxation for Rh(100) by including all the vibrational contributions from $\overline{X}, \overline{M}$, and $\overline{\Gamma}$ is shown in Fig. 4. The arrow marks the result of the surface relaxation obtained when lattice vibrations (also zero-point effects) are neglected. We find that at low temperature the thermal expansion for Rh(100) is close to the result of Ref.²³. At 300 K, the toplayer relaxation is -1.64%, the value of Ref.²³ is -1.45%. However, for higher temperature the thermal expansion will be overestimated if only the vibrations at $\overline{\Gamma}$ point are included. In general, our improved calculations confirm the conclusion of Ref.²³. The calculated surface thermal expansion coefficient is $\alpha_s = (d_{12})^{-1} (\partial d_{12} / \partial T) =$ $40.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1}$ at T=300 K, which is 5.0 times larger than in the bulk ($\alpha_b = 8.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-141}$). Thus for Rh(100) the thermal expansion is clearly increased at the surface. The different contributions to the surface relaxation from vibrations parallel to the surface and perpendicular to the surface are also shown in Fig. 4. All the vibrational modes at $\overline{\mathbf{X}}$, $\overline{\Gamma}$, and $\overline{\mathbf{M}}$ are included. The results in Fig. 4 confirm that the parallel vibrations have a significantly larger influence on the surface thermal expansion than the perpendicular vibrations (z-direction). This is in agreement with the findings and conclusions of Refs.^{22,23}.

We now turn to the more open (110) surface. The temperature dependence of the top-layer relaxation for Rh(110) is shown in Fig. 5. The vibrational free energy is calculated by summing over the wave vectors $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} , \overline{Y} , and \overline{S} in the SBZ. These four points all have the same weight, therefore the vibrational free energy is given by $F_{\rm vib} = \frac{1}{4} [F_{\rm vib}(\overline{\Gamma}) + F_{\rm vib}(\overline{X}) + F_{\rm vib}(\overline{Y}) + F_{\rm vib}(\overline{S})].$ Similar to Rh(100), the contribution to the thermal expansion of d_{12} from in-plane vibrations is larger than that from out-plane vibrations. At T=300 K we obtain the surface thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha_s = 59.4 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$, which is 7.2 times larger than the bulk thermal expansion coefficient. Comparing the thermal expansion coefficient of Rh(110) with that of Rh(100), we find that Rh(110) exhibits more significant anharmonicity than Rh(100). The top-layer relaxation is $\Delta d_{12}/d_0 = -7.4\%$ at T=300 K which is close to the result of a room temperature LEED analysis³⁶, which gave a value of $\Delta d_{12}/d_0 = -6.9\%$. The surface relaxation obtained when just the total energy is minimized is noticeably different, namely $\Delta d_{12}/d_0 =$ -9.2%.

In Table IV, we present the calculated surface phonon frequencies corresponding to the surface relaxation at T=300 K. The local density of states are obtained from

$$D_{\mathbf{q}_{\parallel},\alpha}(\omega) = \sum_{p} \delta(\omega - \omega_{p}) |\zeta_{\alpha}(1, \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}, p)|^{2}$$
(3.1)

where $\zeta_{\alpha}(1, \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}, p)$ is the surface layer polarization vector for the *p*-th normal mode of the slab with respect to wave vector \mathbf{q}_{\parallel} , α denotes x, y and z. The calculated surface phonon densities of states are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Different plots correspond to different polarizations. At the M point for Rh(100) (Fig. 6), results for y polarization are identical to those for the x polarization due to symmetry. It can be seen that in all cases (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7), the surface modes are strongly localized at surface and are well separated from the bulk modes. Thus it should be possible to identify them in EELS or He scattering experiments. It is these localized surface phonons that are most sensitive to the surface relaxations, and hence govern the anharmonic thermal expansion at the surface. It can be seen in Figs. 6 and 7 that there are more localized surface phonon modes polarized in the xand y directions than in the z direction. This is one of the reasons why the parallel vibrations give a more important contribution to the thermal expansion (see Figs. 4 and 5).

IV. SUMMARY

In conclusion, we have used full-potential FP-LAPW method to investigate the surface structures and surface phonon spectra of Rh(100) and Rh(110) surfaces. We

studied the thermal expansion by taking anharmonicity into account within the quasiharmonic approximation. It is found that different phonons give different contributions to the surface relaxation in the range of high temperature (higher than 300 K). The surface thermal expansion coefficients calculated by including all the high symmetry points in surface Brillouin zone are $40.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1}$ for Rh(100) and $59.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{K}^{-1}$ for Rh(110) at T=300 K, which are 5.0 and 7.2 times larger than that of the bulk, respectively. The obtained surface relaxations of Rh(100) and Rh(110) at T = 300 K are in agreement with the experimental measurements at room temperature. The calculated results confirm that the inplane vibrations give a more important contribution to the surface thermal expansion than the out-of-plane vibrations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of the authors (J.J. Xie) would like to acknowledge the financial support from Alexander von Humboldt foundation in Germany.

- ¹ M.A. van Hove, W.H. Weinberg and C.M. Chan, *Low Energy Electron Diffraction* (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1986).
- ² C. Varlas, *Topics in Current Physics*, Vol.41, Eds. W. Schommers and P. von Blankenhagen (Springer, New York, 1986) p.111.
- ³ K.H. Rieder, *Topics in Current Physics*, Vol.41, Eds. W. Schommers and P. von Blankenhagen (Springer, New York, 1986) p.17.
- J. Als-Nielsen, *Topics in Current Physics*, Vol.43, Eds. W. Schommers and P. von Blankenhagen (Springer, New York, 1987) p.181.
- ⁴ H. Ibach and D.L. Mills, *Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy* and Surface Vibrations (Academic Press, New York, 1982).
- ⁵ J.P. Toennies, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A5, 440(1987); in: Proc. Solvay Conf. on Surface Science, Ed. F. de. Wette (Springer, Heidelberg, 1988).
- ⁶ M. Methfessel, D. Hennig, and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. B 46, 4816 (1992).
- ⁷ Th. Rodach, K.P. Bohnen, and K.M. Ho, Surf. Sci. **286**, 66 (1993).
- ⁸ P.J. Feibelman and D.R. Hammann, Surf. Sci. **234**, 377 (1990).
- ⁹ J. Redinger, P. Weinberger, H. Erschbaumer, R. Podloucky, C.L. Fu, and A.J. Freeman, Phys. Rev. B44, 8288 (1991).
- ¹⁰ I. Morrison, D.M. Bylander, and L. Kleinman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1083 (1993).
- ¹¹ A. Eichler, J. Hafner, J. Furtmueller, and G. Kresse, Surf. Sci. **346**, 300 (1996).

- ¹² K.M. Ho and K.P. Bohnen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 934 (1986); Phys. Rev. B38, 12897 (1988).
- ¹³ K.P. Bohnen and K.M. Ho, Surf. Sci. Rep. **19**, 99 (1993).
- ¹⁴ K.-P. Bohnen, A. Eichler, and J. Hafner, Surf. Sci. **368**, 222 (1996).
- ¹⁵ A.G. Eguiluz, A.A. Maradudin and R.F. Wallis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 309 (1988).
- ¹⁶ For a review of Density Functional Theory, see: S. Lundqvist and N.H. March, Eds., *Theory of the Inhomogeneous Electron Gas* (Plenum, New York, 1983).
- ¹⁷ V.L. Morruzi, J.F. Janak, and A.R. Williams, *Calculated Electronic Properties of Metals* (Pergamon, New York, 1978).
- ¹⁸ S. Biernacki and M. Scheffler, Phys. Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 290 (1989).
- ¹⁹ P. Pavone, K. Karch, O. Schütt, W. Windl, D. Strauch, P. Giannozzi, and S. Baroni, Phys. Rev. B48, 3156 (1993).
- ²⁰ Y. Cao and E.H. Conrad, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 44 (1990).
 ²¹ P. Statiris, H.C. Lu, and T. Gustafsson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3574 (1994).
- ²² S. Narasimhan and M. Scheffler, Z. Phys. Chem. **202**, 253(1997).
- ²³ J.H. Cho and M. Scheffler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1299 (1997).
- ²⁴ R.E. Allen and F. W. de Wette, Phys. Rev. **179**, 873 (1969).
- ²⁵ P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, P. Dufek and R. Augustyn, WIEN95, Technical University of Vienna 1995. (Improved and updated Unix version of the original copyrighted WIEN-code, which was published by P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, P. Sorantin, and S.B. Trickey, in Comput. Phys. Commun. **59**, 399 (1990).
- ²⁶ B. Kohler, S. Wilke, M. Scheffler, R. Kouba, and C. Ambrosch-Draxl, Comput. Phys. Commun. **94**, 31 (1996).
- ²⁷ R.E. Allen, G.P. Alldredge, and F.W. de Wette, Phys. Rev. B4, 1648 (1971).
- ²⁸ J.P. Perdew and Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B45, 13244 (1992).
- ²⁹ J.P. Perdew, J.A. Chevary, S.H. Vosko, K.A. Jackson, M.R. Pederson, D.J. Singh and C. Fiolhais, Phys. Rev. B46, 6671 (1992).
- ³⁰ C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics, 6th ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1986), p.23.
- ³¹ W. Oed, B. Dötsch, L. Hammer, K. Heinz, and K. Müller, Surf. Sci. **207**, 562 (1978).
- ³² American Institute of Physics Handbook, 2nd ed. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963), p. 9-8, 4-66, and 9-150.
- ³³ W.R. Tyson, Canadian Metallurgical Quarterly 14, 307 (1975).
- ³⁴ A.M. Begley, S.K. Kim, F. Jona, and P.M. Marcus, Phys. Rev. B48, 12326 (1993).
- ³⁵ K. Stokbro, Phys. Rev. B**53**, 6869 (1996).
- ³⁶ W. Nichtl, N. Bickel, L. Hammer, K. Heinz, and K. Müller, Surf. Sci. **188**, L729 (1987).
- ³⁷ CRC handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 67th ed. (1987),
 p. E-89.
- ³⁸ L.Z. Mezey and J. Giber, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **21**, 1569 (1982).
- ³⁹ M.T. Yin and M.L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B**26**, 3259 (1982).
- ⁴⁰ G.P. Srivastava, in: The Physics of Phonons, (Adam Hilger, 1990), p.72-75.
- ⁴¹ CRC handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 76th ed. (1996),



Figure 1

FIG. 1. Surface Brillouin zones for fcc (100) and (110) surfaces. High symmetry points are indicated.



^{Figure 2}/_{FIG. 2.} Variation of the surface interplanar force constants at \overline{X} with $\Delta d_{12}/d_0$ for Rh(100).



Figure 3

FIG. 3. Top-layer relaxation of Rh(100) as a function of temperature calculated in different approximations, i.e., including different vibration modes. The results of Cho and Scheffler²³ are shown for comparison.



FIG. 4. Top-layer relaxation of Rh(100) as a function of temperature calculated by including the vibration modes at $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} , and \overline{M} . The contributions from vibration parallel and perpendicular to the surface are are displayed. The arrow points at the result obtained when vibrational contributions to the free energy are neglected completely. The results of Cho and Scheffler²³ are shown for comparison.



Figure 5

FIG. 5. Top-layer relaxation of Rh(110) as a function of temperature calculated by including the vibrational modes at $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} , \overline{Y} , and \overline{S} . The contributions from vibrations parallel and perpendicular to the surface are displayed. The arrow points at the result obtained when vibrational contributions to the free energy are neglected completely.



FIG. 6. Surface phonon density of states of Rh(100) for the wave vectors at the \overline{X} and \overline{M} points in the surface Brillouin zone.



Figure 7

FIG. 7. Surface phonon density of states of Rh(110) for the wave vectors at \overline{X} , \overline{Y} , and \overline{S} points in the surface Brillouin zone.

TABLE I. Surface relaxations $\Delta d_{12}/d_0$ and $\Delta d_{23}/d_0$, work function ϕ (eV) and surface energies σ (eV/atom) for Rh(100) and Rh(110) surfaces obtained by different calculations and experiments. The results correspond to T = 0 K, and none of the theoretical values includes the effect of zero-point vibrations.

		$\Delta d_{12}/d_0$	$\Delta d_{23}/d_0$	ϕ	σ
Rh(100) L	DA^{6}	-3.5%	_	5.25	1.27
L	LDA^{11}	-3.8%	+0.7%	_	1.44
L	LDA^{23}	-3.0%	-0.2%	5.26	1.29
C	GGA^{23}	-2.8%	-0.1%	4.92	1.04
t	his - LDA	-3.3%	-0.2%	5.30	1.23
t	his - GGA	-3.0%	-0.1%	4.91	0.99
e	xperiment	$+0.5\pm1.0\%^{31}$	$0{\pm}1.5\%^{31}$	4.65^{32}	1.12^{33}
e	xperiment	$-1.16 \pm 1.6\%^{34}$	$0{\pm}1.6\%^{34}$		
Rh(110) L	LDA^{35}	-10.2%	+2.5%	4.99	1.92
L	DA^{11}	-9.8%	+2.6%	-	2.05
t	his - LDA	-9.9%	+2.0%	4.94	1.85
t	his - GGA	-9.2%	+2.1%	4.59	1.43
e	xperiment	$-6.9 \pm 1.0\%^{36}$	$+1.9\pm1.0\%^{36}$	4.98^{37}	1.27^{38}

TABLE II. Interplanar force constants of Rh(100) coupling the surface layer to other layers for wave vectors at $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} , and \overline{M} at $\Delta d_{12}/d_0 = -3.0\%$, $\Delta d_{23}/d_0 = -0.1\%$. The units are 10^4 dyn/cm. The corresponding interplanar force constants for the interior layers of the slab are also shown for comparison. The indices $(\alpha\beta)$ and the arguments (l_3, l'_3) of $\phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l'_3)$ are combined as $(l_3\alpha, l'_3\beta)$. Matrix elements not listed are zero by symmetry.

$\overline{(l_3lpha, l_3'eta)}$	Surface	Interior	$(l_3 lpha, l_3' eta)$	Surface	Interior
			$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = \overline{\Gamma}$		
(1x, 2x)	-4.89	-4.92	(1x, 3x)	-0.03	-0.30
(1z, 2z)	-8.34	-7.65	(1z, 3z)	-1.45	-1.84
			$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = \overline{\mathrm{X}}$		
(1x, 1x)	14.65	26.23	(1x, 3x)	0.53	0.39
(1y, 1y)	6.34	10.18	(1y, 3y)	-0.08	0.03
(1z, 1z)	10.15	17.07	(1z, 3z)	-0.57	-0.70
(1x, 2z)	-6.42	-5.46	(1z, 2x)	-5.41	-5.46
			$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = \overline{\mathrm{M}}$		
(1x, 1x)	14.60	23.70	(1x, 3x)	-0.50	-0.72
(1z, 1z)	15.01	18.49	(1z, 3z)	-0.88	-0.59
(1x, 2y)	-2.59	-2.73	(1y, 2x)	-2.59	-2.73

TABLE III. Interplanar force constants for Rh(110) at $\overline{\Gamma}$, \overline{X} , \overline{Y} , and \overline{S} at $\Delta d_{12}/d_0 = -9.2\%$, $\Delta d_{23}/d_0 = 2.1\%$. The units are 10^4 dyn/cm. The corresponding interplanar force constants for the interior layers of the slab are also shown for comparison. The indices $(\alpha\beta)$ and the arguments (l_3, l'_3) of $\phi^p_{\alpha\beta}(l_3, l'_3)$ are combined as $(l_3\alpha, l'_3\beta)$. Matrix elements not listed are zero by symmetry.

$(l_3 lpha, l_3' eta)$	Surface	Interior	$(l_3 lpha, l_3' eta)$	Surface	Interior
			$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = \overline{\Gamma}$		
(1x, 2x)	-5.13	-4.30	(1x, 3x)	0.31	-0.86
(1y, 2y)	-7.88	-7.14	(1y, 3y)	0.15	-0.14
(1z, 2z)	-3.95	-3.55	(1z, 3z)	-6.87	-4.44
			X		
	10 50		$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = \mathbf{X}$		
(1x, 1x)	13.52	25.40	(1x, 3x)	0.71	0.62
(1y, 1y)	9.51	17.24	(1y, 3y)	-0.07	-0.96
(1z, 1z)	13.68	16.56	(1z, 3z)	-5.88	-3.61
(1x, 2z)	-1.77	-3.09	(1z, 2x)	-3.48	-3.09
			$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{v}} = \overline{\mathbf{V}}$		
$(1r \ 1r)$	5 69	10.81	$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = 1$ (1x, 3x)	_0.49	0.11
(1x, 1x) (1x, 1y)	0.11	20.50	(1x, 5x) (1x, 3x)	1 74	1.08
(1y, 1y)	9.11	20.00	(1y, 3y)	1.14	1.00
(1z, 1z)	13.08	16.12	(1z, 5z)	-5.78	-5.07
(1y, 2z)	-5.52	-5.81	(1z, 2y)	-5.52	-5.81
			$\mathbf{q}_{\parallel} = \overline{S}$		
(1x, 1x)	13.83	24.16	(1x, 3x)	1.74	0.94
(1u, 1u)	10.21	17.81	(1y, 3y)	0.76	0.25
(1z, 1z)	13.66	16.44	(1z, 3z)	-6.20	-2.92
(1x, 1x) $(1x, 2y)$	-6.12	-5.23	(1x, 5x) (1y, 2x)	-4.23	-5.23

TABLE IV. Calculated surface phonon frequencies ν for Rh(100) and Rh(110) corresponding to surface relaxation at T=300 K. Units are in THz.

Rh(100)				Rh(110)					
X		M	X		Y		$\overline{\mathbf{S}}$		
ν	mode	ν	mode	ν	mode	ν	mode	ν	mode
2.97	S_1	4.44	S_1	3.44	S_1	2.50	S_1	3.54	S_1
3.36	S_4	4.50	L_1	3.54	S_2	2.62	S_2	3.66	S_2
3.42	S_2			5.13	S_7	3.65	S_3	3.78	S_3
5.40	S_6					4.52	S_5	5.26	S_7