

Angewandte Chemie, Int. Ed. 50 (2011) 3313-3317



## **Dissolved Carbon Controls the Initial Stages of Nanocarbon Growth**

A. Rinaldi,<sup>1</sup> J.-P. Tessonnier,<sup>1</sup> M. E. Schuster,<sup>1</sup> R. Blume,<sup>1</sup> F. Girgsdies,<sup>1</sup> Q. Zhang,<sup>1</sup> T. Jacob,<sup>2</sup>\* S. B. Abd Hamid,<sup>3</sup> D. S. Su,<sup>1,4</sup>\* R. Schlögl<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, 14195 Berlin, Germany.

<sup>2</sup> Universität Ulm, Albert-Einstein-Allee 47, 89081 Ulm, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Combicat University Malaya, Kuala Lumpur 50603, Malaysia

<sup>4</sup>Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research, Chinese Academy of Science, 72 Wenhua Road, Shenyang 110016, China

\* Corresponding author: e-mail timo.jakob@uni-ulm.de, dangsheng@fhi-berlin.mpg.de,

Received 22 October 2010; Article published online: 22 March 2011; Published 28 March 2011

## Abstract

**Sneaked in**: Carbon atoms from defective supports are incorporated in nickel nanoparticles at relatively low temperatures (for example in a Ni(100) surface; Ni brown, C black) The dissolved carbon not only modifies the electronic properties of the metal but it also leads to a reconstruction of the nanoparticles. These findings may explain many of the differences in catalytic activity observed when supporting metals on carbon.

Keywords: carbon nanotubes; dissolved carbon; nanoparticle catalysts; nickel; supported catalysts

Carbon is a versatile material that, depending on its hybridization and assembly in one-, two- or threedimensional networks, exhibits important electronic and chemical properties with countless practical applications. For example, it is found in printer inks, pencils, water purification systems, thermal isolation and antistatic materials (1-3). More elaborate carbon materials such as carbon nanotubes are also employed in nanotechnology, with applications in sensing or field emission (4). Carbon black is cheap, easy to synthesize and to modify (4). Thus, it is also particularly suited as a support for heterogeneous catalysis as both the structure (macroscopic shape, porosity) and the surface chemistry can be tailored depending on the target application (4).

In catalysis, the support is known to act on heat and mass transfer but also on the active phase through strong metal-support interactions (SMSI effect) (5). In the case of black carbon, the structural order (graphitic character) adds one level of complexity but also one degree of freedom for logical catalyst design. It is of crucial importance to study how the graphitic character influences the anchoring of the nanoparticles and eventually modifies the catalytic activity of the metal. Varying the graphitic order might offer new and fascinating possibilities for catalyst design.

Herein we probe the metal-carbon support interaction by growing carbon nanotubes. Their observation by highresolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) yields direct information on the state of the active phase and in particular on a possible support effect. We anticipate that these results can also be extended to the growth of graphene. These nanotubes were grown by catalytic chemical vapor deposition (CCVD) of ethylene on two vaporgrown carbon fibers (VGCFs). VGCFs have a layer of disordered pyrolitic carbon on their surface, which can be graphitized by annealing at high temperature. Thus, supports with identical morphologies but different graphitic character can be easily synthesized. Herein, we used supports annealed at 1073 K (defective carbon) and at 3000 K (graphitic carbon). The pristine supports were not chemically modified before impregnation, in order to prevent any influence from surface chemistry on the catalytic activity of the Ni particles.

After reduction, the Ni/defective carbon (Ni/dC) and Ni/graphitic carbon (Ni/gC) samples were both exposed to ethylene and hydrogen at 973 K to grow carbon nanotubes. HRTEM images (Figure 1, Supporting Information S3) show that strongly disordered carbon nanofibers (CNFs) grew on the Ni/dC sample, whereas the Ni/gC led to quasiperfect multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs). It should be noted that the carbon nanofilaments (CNFs and MWCNTs) always grew in accordance with the tip-growth mechanism.

The Ni nanoparticles, which catalyze the growth of the nanofilaments, detach from the support in the early



**Fig. 1:** TEM images of a CNF and CNT grown from a) Ni/defective carbon (Ni/dC) and from b) Ni/graphitic carbon (Ni/gC). Aberrationcorrected HRTEM images of the two catalysts after reduction at 573 K and ramping in inert gas to 973 K reveal that nickel nanoparticles supported on defective carbon are polycrystalline (c) with many regions with strain and dislocations (e). In contrast, the nickel lattice in graphitic carbon supported particles is well-ordered (d,f). In situ XRD experiments show that the polycrystallinity is a result of atomic carbon incorporation from the defective support (g). In the case of Ni/gC, the nanoparticles remain in the metallic state (h). (Diffractograms in (g) and (h) are vertically shifted.)

stages of the reaction. Therefore, the observed phenomenon cannot be simply explained by the differences in metalsupport interaction (SMSI effect), or at least not as it is traditionally defined. The interaction of the Ni particles with the carbon supports modified the Ni either before exposure to ethylene or in the early stages of the catalytic reaction thus determining whether CNFs or MWCNTs are grown.

By combining several in situ characterization techniques with HRTEM and theoretical calculations, we aimed at identifying the origins of the observed structural differences in the nanofilament product. Obvious parameters such as nickel particles size and shape could be ruled out by HRTEM (Supporting Information, S4). Microstructural and crystallographic investigations involving HRTEM and in-situ X-ray diffraction (XRD) techniques were carried out on the two supported catalysts after reduction at 573 K in hydrogen and further heating to 973 K in inert gas (Fig. 1).

Before growth, both techniques agreed on the presence of face-centered cubic nickel for the two supported catalysts. However, in the case of the Ni/dC sample, broad and asymmetric nickel diffraction peaks were observed after reduction. The Ni(111) and (200) peaks at 44.5° and  $52^{\circ}$ , respectively, both exhibited a shoulder at higher d values (Fig. 1g). These shoulders shifted to 43.7° and 50.7° and were better resolved after the catalyst was exposed to ethylene. There is no known phase found to match these additional bands. We therefore suggest that the splitting of the Ni(111) and (200) peaks is most likely caused by carbon, from the defective support dissolving in the nickel lattice, resulting in its expansion as already observed for carbon dissolution in bulk nickel (6, 7). The result is a carbidic nickel, referred to below as  $NiC_x$  (8, 9). HRTEM further supports this interpretation, as a bimodal distribution of d spacings is observed for this sample (Fig. 1e and Supporting Information, S5). For comparison, in situ XRD and HRTEM investigations show that nickel on graphitic



**Fig. 2:** a) Top: Energy as a function of the distance of carbon to the Ni(100) and Ni(111) surfaces (in each case referenced to the adsorbed system). Bottom: Plan and side views of the Ni(100) and Ni(111) surfaces during the diffusion process. a1 and b1 show the adsorbed systems, a2 and b2 the transition states, and a3 and b3 the systems with subsurface carbon. b) Density of states for carbon adsorbed (on surface) and absorbed (subsurface) on/into Ni(100) and Ni(111). For each system, Ni atoms of the first two surface layers and the carbon atoms were analyzed. Whereas the nickel states have mostly d character, the carbon states have p character. (Spectra in (b) are vertically shifted.)

carbon remains in its metallic state throughout the experiment. The particles exhibit well-stacked rows of Ni atoms, thus forming large quasi defect-free domains (Fig. 1d and f). Furthermore, experimental *d* values from HRTEM images are in good agreement with those provided from crystallographic databases for metallic nickel ( $d_{[111]} = 0.2034$  nm).

To better understand the incorporation of carbon atoms in nickel, which can also be viewed as the initial stages of NiC<sub>x</sub> formation, we performed DFT calculations on the adsorption of carbon atoms on Ni(111) and Ni(100), followed by subsequent studies on the diffusion into the surface. Ni(111) represents the close-packed surface orientation, which can be expected to dominate nanoparticle surfaces, while Ni(100) represents a more open surface. For all studies, a coverage of 0.25 ML has been assumed, which gave the surface atoms enough freedom to rearrange during sub-surface diffusion. Carbon penetrates into the Ni(111) surface (see Fig. 2s and Supporting Information, S6 for details) and migrates to octahedral subsurface sites, with an effective energy barrier of 1.92 eV, which is comparable to an experimental value of  $1.9\pm0.1$  eV (8).

Interestingly, by carbon diffusing into the surface the system gains 0.29 eV per carbon atom, showing that even at the surface carbide formation is a thermodynamically

favorable process. In addition to Ni(111) planes, nanoparticles also offer a variety of low coordinated sites for carbon adsorption or absorption. We therefore investigated carbon diffusion into the Ni(100) plane (Fig. 2a). The energetic barrier is calculated to be 1.45 eV, which agrees with the measured value of  $1.4\pm0.1$  eV (8). Near lower-coordinated nickel surface sites or defects, which are expected to be of particular relevance for nanoparticles, we would even expect much lower energy barriers, an effect that has been confirmed recently (10). Figure 2b shows the localized density of states for Ni atoms of the first and second surface layers as well as for carbon.

Comparing the systems of adsorbed and absorbed carbon shows that on both Ni(100) and Ni(111) surfaces the carbon p states are stabilized when diffusing into the surfaces. This behavior is accompanied by a slight upward shift of the d states of surface nickel atoms, while nickel atoms of the second surface layer are almost unaffected. Therefore, carbon diffusion into the surface leads to pronounced changes of the localized density of states. These changes mainly modify the electronic structure of the surface, hence the catalytic activity of the Ni/NiC<sub>x</sub> particles.

The defective support exhibits a large amount of non-aromatic carbon on the surface, which could easily diffuse into the supported nickel particles. The high differ



**Fig. 3:** Evolution of the Ni2p XP spectra for Ni/dC (a) and Ni/gC (b) after reduction, heating to growth temperature in inert gas, and exposure to the reaction feed. The NiCx/Ni ratio increase significantly when nickel is supported on defective carbon or when nickel is exposed to ethylene pre-dosing at low temperatures (c).

ence in chemical potential between the support and the nickel drives the migration and dissolution of C atoms into the particles. However, in the case of the graphitic support, carbon atoms have to be first extracted from perfect graphene sheets, which is only possible by overcoming a high energy barrier, calculated to be above 3 eV. This barrier is high enough to prevent carbon extraction from graphene sheets, which explains why only very little NiC<sub>x</sub> is observed for Ni/gC.

To gain insight on the possible carbon incorporation, the nickel-based catalysts were investigated by means of in situ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to observe the evolution of the active phase during reduction and consecutive nanofilament growth (Fig. 3). The Ni2p peak can be fitted with two contributions, corresponding to metallic nickel (852.6 eV) and NiC<sub>x</sub> (853.5 eV). The NiC<sub>x</sub> to metallic nickel ratio differed for both samples after reduction at 573 K and ramping in inert atmosphere to 973 K.

While metallic nickel was the dominant phase for Ni/graphitic carbon, Ni/defective carbon exhibits a significantly higher  $NiC_x$  contribution prior to the exposure to ethylene (Fig. 3c). As the only available carbon source was

the catalyst support itself, these experiments further confirmed the role played by the support in the  $NiC_x$  formation. Both in situ XRD and XPS showed that carbon incorporation already started during reduction (Figs. 1g, 3a and c) and continued while ramping to 973 K in inert atmosphere (Figs. 3a and c).

The carbon diffusion is more likely to start at the metal-carbon support interface. Indeed, strains and dislocations in the Ni fcc structure were present in this interfacial region (Fig. 1e). Furthermore, previous investigations report the occurrence of dislocations and strain upon carbon incorporation in nickel (7, 11, 12). Surprisingly, we did not observe any encapsulation of the Ni nanoparticles with carbon after cooling to room temperature. This result suggests that the nickel-carbon compound is relatively stable and that carbon is not ejected from the particle, which is in agreement with our calculations.

It is also noteworthy that the amount of dissolved carbon measured by XRD and XPS after growth was significantly different for both samples (Figs. 1 and 3). While carbon further dissolves in Ni/dC under reaction conditions, Ni/gC remains in its metallic state. For the latter, the NiC<sub>x</sub> contribution in the XP spectrum is expected to mainly come from the interface between the nickel particle and the grown MWCNT, which raises the question about the role of dissolved carbon on the CNT growth mechanism. The majority of our results agree with the works of Helveg et al. (13) and of Hofmann et al. (14), showing that ethylene is first dissociated on the metallic nickel surface, followed by the surface diffusion of carbon atoms and finally assembling to grow a CNT. However, the surface sensitivity of the techniques we employed showed that the CNTs actually grew from a surface carbide (carbide layer on top of a bulk metallic nickel particle) instead of from purely metallic nickel. Nevertheless, bulk carbidic nickel, as in the case of the Ni/defective carbon, does not form. Therefore, we conclude that the growth of either CNFs or CNTs can be directly linked with carbon incorporation (sub-surface) in the nickel particles during the activation of the catalyst (Figure 4).



**Fig. 4:** The growth of nanocarbon from carbon-supported nickel catalyst. C light blue, C black/gray.



**Fig. 5:** TEM image of a CNF grown on nickel supported on graphitic carbon with ethylene predosing at 673 K prior to growth at 973 K (a). Evolution of the Ni2p spectra for Ni/gC after reduction, after ethylene dosing at 673 K and after heating to 973 K and after growth (b). In situ XRD pattern of Ni/gC after reduction, predosing, and growth (c). (Spectra in (c) are vertically shifted.)

We have conducted dosing experiments with ethylene at a low temperature on Ni/gC to test this hypothesis. At a low temperature part of the pulsed ethylene dissociates on the surface of the nickel particles to form carbon deposits, which should simulate the defective carbon support. In situ XP spectra showed that carbon was not incorporated in nickel instantly after pulsing ethylene at 673 K but actually diffused into the sub-surface during further heating to 973 K in inert gas (Figs. 5b and 3c). Nanofilaments grown at 973 K exhibit a disordered structure, similar to filaments grown on Ni/dC (Fig. 5a).

Our work highlights the ways carbon supports influence the catalytic activity of transition metal particles located on their surface. So far, the role of surface oxygen-containing functional groups was expected to explain observed differ-

## References

- O. Vohler, F. von Sturm, E. Wege, H. von Kienle, M. Voll, P. Kleinschmitt in Ullmann\_s Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, VCH, Weinheim, 1986.
- [2] J. Donnet, R. C. Bansal, M. Wang, Carbon Black, CRC, Boca Raton, 1993.
- [3] H. Marsh, F. Rodr\_guez-Reinoso, Activated Carbon, Elsevier, Dordrecht, 2006.

ences in catalytic activity. From our work, we demonstrate that defective carbon also plays a dramatic role. In the present case, it was possible to grow either CNFs or MWCNTs by simply introducing carbon atoms in the nickel particles prior to growth. Carbon atoms can either be provided by the support or by exposing the catalyst to hydrocarbons at a relatively low temperature (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the subsurface carbon species formed after pre-dosing with ethylene were stable under reaction conditions. The growth of CNFs instead of MWCNTs on Ni particles, in which carbon has been incorporated at low temperature, can be explained by both electronic and structural effects. As shown in Fig. 2b, carbon incorporation leads to a shift in the density of states which obviously modifies the catalytic activity of the nickel atoms. Furthermore, the diffusion of carbon in the nickel particles induced their reconstruction (Fig. 1c). The particles became polycrystalline, with faces oriented in various directions. During growth, short graphene sheets most likely formed on each of the faces and interconnected in a random way, thus leading to a soot-like structure.

These experiments lead to three major conclusions. First, it is now clear that differences in catalytic activity observed for the past 50 years for metals supported on various carbons can be partially explained by carbon incorporation from the support. The electronic and structural properties of metallic particles supported on carbon are chemically modified by carbon incorporation. The amount of introduced carbon remains relatively low and might only be observed with highly sensitive in situ techniques, which explains why such an effect has not been demonstrated before. We expect that carbon incorporation might explain differences observed, for example ammonia decomposition on Ru/C or hydrogenation reactions with Pd/C. For the latter, Teschner et al. observed a PdC<sub>x</sub> signal by *in-situ* XPS on a freshly prepared Pd/CNT catalyst which gave a higher selectivity in the pentyne hydrogenation reaction than  $Pd/Al_2O_3$  (15). Second, we observed carbon incorporation already during reduction at 573 K, which is an activation condition typical for catalysts. Therefore, carbon incorporation may also play a role for reactions occurring at low temperatures. Finally, we saw that it is possible to also introduce sub-surface carbon by exposing the active metal to hydrocarbons at low temperature. This offers new possibilities to control the catalytic activity of metal particles and might open new routes for the rational design of heterogeneous catalysts.

- [4] P. Serp, J. L. Figueiredo, Carbon Materials for Catalysis, Wiley, Hoboken, 2008.
- [5] S. J. Tauster, S. C. Fung, R. T. K. Baker, J. A. Horsley, Science 1981, 211, 1121 – 1125.
- [6] L. Zwell, E. Fasiska, Y. Nakada, A. Keh, Trans. Metall. Soc. AIME 1968, 242, 765 – 766.
- [7] V. K. Portnoi, Phys. Met. Metallogr. 2010, 109, 153 161.

- [8] A. Wiltner, C. Linsmeier, T. Jacob, J. Chem. Phys. 2008, 129, 084704.
- [9] Y. Zhao, C. Bowers, I. Spain, Carbon 1988, 26, 291 293.
- [10] M. P. Andersson, F. Abild-Pedersen, Surf. Sci. 2007, 601, 649-655.
- [11] J. Boah, P. Winchell, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 1975, 6, 717 724.
- [12] W. Cribb, R. Reed-Hill, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 1978, 9, 887 - 890.
- [13] S. Helveg, C. Lopez-Cartes, J. Sehested, P. L. Hansen, B. S. Clausen, J. R. Rostrup-Nielsen, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov, Nature 2004, 427, 426 – 429.
- [14] S. Hofmann, R. Blume, C. T. Wirth, M. Cantoro, R. Sharma, C. Ducati, M. H\_vecker, S. Zafeiratos, P. Schnoerch, A. Oestereich, D. Teschner, M. Albrecht, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlögl, J. Robertson J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 1648 – 1656.
- [15] D. Teschner, E. Vass, M. H\_vecker, P. Schnoerch, S. Zafeiratos, H. Sauer, A. Knop-Gericke, R. Schlögl, M. Chamam, A. Wootsch, A. S. Canning, J. J. Gamman, S. D. Jackson, J. McGregor, L. F. Gladden, J. Catal. 2006, 242, 26 – 37.