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ABSTRACT

Tidal stellar disruptions have traditionally been discussed as a probe of the single, massive black holes (MBHs) that are dormant in the nuclei of galaxies. In Chen et al. (2009), we used numerical scattering experiments to show that three-body interactions between bound stars in a stellar cusp and a non-evolving “hard” MBH binary will also produce a burst of tidal disruptions, caused by a combination of the secular “Kozai” effect and by close resonant encounters with the secondary hole. Here we derive basic analytical scalings of the stellar disruption rates with the system parameters, assess the relative importance of the Kozai and resonant encounter mechanisms as a function of time, discuss the impact of general relativistic (GR) and extended stellar cusp effects, and develop a hybrid model to self-consistently follow the shrinking of an MBH binary in a stellar background, including slingshot ejections and tidal disruptions. In the case of a fiducial binary with primary hole mass $M_1 = 10^7 M_\odot$ and mass ratio $q = M_2/M_1 = 1/81$, embedded in an isothermal cusp, we derive a stellar disruption rate $\dot{N}_* \sim 0.2 \text{yr}^{-1}$ lasting $\sim 3 \times 10^5 \text{yr}$. This rate is 3 orders of magnitude larger than the corresponding value for a single MBH fed by two-body relaxation, confirming our previous findings. For $q \ll 0.01$, the Kozai/chaotic effect could be quenched due to GR/cusp effects by an order of magnitude, but even in this case the stellar-disruption rate is still two orders of magnitude larger than that given by standard relaxation processes around a single MBH. Our results suggest that greater than 10% of the tidal-disruption events may originate in MBH binaries.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Stars that wander too close to the MBHs that reside at the center of galaxies are shredded by the tidal gravitational field of the hole. After a tidal disruption event, about half of the debris are spewed into eccentric bound orbits and fall back onto the hole, giving rise to a bright UV/X-ray outburst that may last for a few years (e.g. Rees 1988). “Tidal flares” from MBHs may have been observed in several nearby inactive galaxies (Komossa 2002, Esquej et al. 2007). The inferred stellar disruption frequency is $\sim 10^{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ per galaxy (with an order of magnitude uncertainty, Donlevy et al. 2002), comparable to the theoretical expectations for single MBHs fed by two-body relaxation (Wang & Merritt 2004).

Yet, MBHs are not expected to grow in isolation. According to the standard paradigm of structure formation in the universe, galaxies merge frequently during the assembly of their dark matter halos. As MBHs become incorporated into larger and larger halos, they sink to the center of the more massive progenitor owing to dynamical friction from distant stars, and form bound binaries (MBHBs). In a purely stellar background, as the binary separation decays, the effectiveness of dynamical friction slowly declines, and the pair then “hardens” via three-body interactions, i.e. by capturing stars that pass close to the holes and ejecting them at much higher velocities (e.g. Begelman et al. 1980, Quinlan 1996, Volonteri et al. 2003, Sesana et al. 2006). If the hardening continues sufficiently far, possibly driven by efficient stellar relaxation processes in a triaxial potential (e.g. Merritt & Poole 2004) or in the presence of massive perturbers (e.g. Perets et al. 2007, Perets & Alexander 2008), or by dissipative gaseous processes (e.g. Colpi & Dotti 2009), gravitational radiation losses finally take over, and the two MBHs will coalesce in less than a Hubble time (e.g. Merritt & Milosavljevic 2003, Sesana et al. 2003, 2007). In Chen et al. (2009), we used scattering experiments to show that gravitational slingshot interactions between a non-evolving, unequal-mass hard binary and a bound stellar cusp will inevitably be accompanied by a burst of stellar tidal disruptions. Our work differed from those by Ivanov et al. (2005), who developed an analytical theory of the secular evolution of stellar orbits in the gravitational field of a MBHB, and by Chen et al. (2008), who argued that stellar disruption rates by MBHBs fed by two-body relaxation would be smaller than those expected for single MBHs. Our numerical experiments revealed that a significant fraction of stars initially bound to the primary hole are scattered into its tidal disruption loss cone by resonant interactions with the secondary hole, close encounters that change the stellar orbital parameters in a chaotic way.

In this paper we continue our investigations of stellar disruptions by MBHBs embedded in bound stellar cusps. We develop a hybrid model that self-consistently follows over time the shrinking of an MBH binary, the evolution of the stellar cusp, and the stellar disruption rate. The plan is as follows. In §2, we introduce the basic theory of stellar disruption processes by MBHB systems. We describe our numerical scattering experiments in §3.
and discuss our results for different binary parameters as well as the effect of general relativistic corrections in §4. A detailed study of the properties of disrupted stars is carried out in §5. As a first step towards understanding the dependence of stellar consumptions on the parameters of the system, in §6 we fix the binary semimajor axis and its eccentricity, and calculate the stellar disruption rate in the stationary case. In §7 we present our hybrid model and calculate the disruption rates for an evolving, shrinking MBHB. Finally, we summarize and discuss our results in §8.

2. BASIC THEORY OF STELLAR DISRUPTIONS

Consider an isotropic background of stars all of mass $m_*$ and radius $r_*$, centered on an MBH. Let $Ψ(r)$ be the total gravitational potential at radius $r$, and $r_t = r_*(M_{\text{BH}}/m_*)^{1/3}$ the tidal disruption radius,

$$r_t \simeq 5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ pc} \left(\frac{r_*}{\text{K}_\odot}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{M_\odot}{M_{\text{BH}}/10^7 M_\odot}\right)^{1/3}. \quad (1)$$

The phase-space region of specific energy $E_*$ and specific angular momentum $J_*$ is bounded by

$$J_0^\alpha(E_*, r_t) = 2r_t^2[E_* - Ψ(r_t)] \quad (2)$$

is populated by stars on orbits crossing $r_t$, and thus susceptible to tidal disruption. We name this cone-like region of phase space the “tidal loss cone”. Whether the tidal loss cone can be emptied by stellar disruption depends on the efficiency of stellar relaxation. Let $T_r(E_*)$ be the relaxation timescale of stars with specific energy $E_*$, $P_r(E_*)$ their orbital period, and $J_r(E_*)$ the specific angular momentum of a circular orbit with energy $E_*$. In the “pinhole limit” (Lightman & Shapiro 1977), $P_r(E_*)/T_r(E_*) \gg J_0^\alpha(E_*, r_t)/J_r^c(E_*)$, a star can randomly walk in and out of the tidal loss cone within one orbital period, and the tidal loss cone remains almost full despite tidal disruptions. In the “diffusion limit”, $P_r(E_*)/T_r(E_*) \lesssim J_0^\alpha(E_*, r_t)/J_r^c(E_*)$, the tidal loss cone is emptied after a single orbital period, and stars diffuse into the loss cone on the relaxation timescale. Assume now that the central primary hole of mass $M_1$ forms a binary pair with a secondary hole of mass $M_2 < M_1$, and let $a$ be the semimajor axis of the system. The $E_* - J_*$ region of phase space bounded by

$$J_0^\alpha(E_*, a) = 2a^2[E_* - Ψ(a)] \quad (3)$$

is composed of orbits that are either inside or intersect a sphere of radius $a$. If the binary is “hard”, a star on such orbit will undergo a three-body interaction with the MBHB, so we refer to the phase space defined by equation (3) as the “interaction loss cone”. Three-body interactions perturb the energy and angular momentum of “intruder” stars, acting as an additional source of stellar relaxation. If three-body relaxation occurs in the diffusion regime, the stellar consumption rate will be enhanced.

To proceed further, we must first define some characteristic scales of a MBHB system. Recent numerical simulations have shown that three-body interactions between the binary and intruder stars result in significant energy exchange when the total stellar mass within the binary orbit is comparable to or smaller than the mass of the secondary hole (Baumgardt et al. 2006, Matsubayashi et al. 2007). We denote with $a_0$ such a critical binary separation: the binary shrinks by dynamical friction when $a \gtrsim a_0$, and by three-body processes at smaller separations. Following Sesana et al. (2008), we assume that the stellar distribution follows a double power-law with break radius $r_0$, defined as the radius of the “sphere of influence” containing a mass in stars equal to $2M_1$. For $r > r_0$, the stellar density profile follows an isothermal distribution,

$$\rho_*(r) = \frac{\sigma_*^2}{2\pi Gr^2}, \quad (4)$$

where $\sigma_*$ is the 1-D velocity dispersion, while for $r < r_0$ $\rho_*(r) \propto r^{-\gamma}$. It is easy to derive then

$$r_0 = (3 - \gamma)GM_1/\sigma_*^2 \simeq 4.6 \text{ pc} \ (3 - \gamma)M_7\sigma_{100}^{-2} \quad (5)$$

and $a_0 \equiv q^{1/(3-\gamma)}r_0$, where $M_7 \equiv M_1/10^7 M_\odot$, $q \equiv M_2/M_1$ is the binary mass ratio, and $\sigma_{100} \equiv \sigma_*/100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Notice that the “three-body radius” $a_0$ is larger than the conventional “hardening” radius $a_H = GM_2/(4\sigma_*^2)$ (Quinlan 1993). The ratio between the tidal radius of the primary hole, $r_{t1}$, and $a_0$,

$$\frac{r_{t1}}{a_0} \sim \frac{10^{-6}}{3 - \gamma} q^{-p} \frac{\sigma_{100}^2}{M_7^{1/3}} \left(\frac{r_*}{\text{K}_\odot}\right) \left(\frac{M_\odot}{m_*}\right)^{1/3}, \quad (6)$$

where $p \equiv 1/(3 - \gamma)$, indicates that the interaction loss cone of a binary is much larger than the tidal loss cone of a single MBH. Therefore, the transfer of only a small fraction of interacting stars into the tidal loss cone will cause a large enhancement of the stellar disruption rate.

When does the presence of a binary begin affecting the stellar disruption rate? Let us assume that, before the intrusion of the secondary hole, stellar relaxation is dominated by two-body interactions. Stars in the diffusion limit are bound to the primary hole, and their specific energy $E_*$ is related to the orbital semimajor axis $a_*$ by $E_* = -GM_1/(2a_*)$. The boundary between the pinhole and diffusion limits is then dictated by the condition

$$P_r(a_*)/T_r(a_*) = J_0^\alpha(a_*, r_{t1})/J_r^c(a_*) = r_{t1}/a_* \quad (7)$$

Substituting into the above equation the two-body relaxation timescale,

$$T_r(r) = \frac{\sqrt{2} \sigma_*^3}{\pi G^2 m_\odot \rho_*(r) \ln \Lambda} = 5 \text{ Gyr} \ \sigma_{100} \left(\frac{10}{\ln \Lambda}\right) \left(\frac{r_0}{1 \text{ pc}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right)^\gamma \quad (8)$$

(8)

(where $\ln \Lambda$ is the Coulomb logarithm) and the Keplerian orbital period of the star orbiting $M_1$, $P_r(a_*) = 2\pi[a_*/(GM_1)]^{1/2}$, and assuming $r_* = \text{K}_\odot$ and $m_* = M_\odot$, we can write the critical radius $a_0$ marking the boundary between pinhole and diffusion regimes as

$$\frac{a_0}{r_0} \simeq 0.332^{2/s}(3 - \gamma)^{-1/s} M_7^{2/3s} \sigma_{100}^{4/s} \left(\frac{\ln \Lambda}{10}\right)^{-2/s}, \quad (9)$$

where $s \equiv 5 - 2\gamma$. If a secondary hole is now added to the system, and the interaction loss cone is not empty, a significant enhancement of stellar disruptions occurs
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when the binary separation shrinks to \(a \sim a_c\). For an isothermal density profile and a primary hole satisfying the \(M_{\text{BH}}-\sigma\) relation, \(M_\text{f} = \sigma_{\text{f,100}}^2\) [Tremaine et al. 2002], equation (9) implies \(a_c > a_0\) as long as \(q < 0.1 M_{\text{f}}^{5/3}\), i.e., for unequal-mass binaries the enhancement of stellar disruptions starts during the dynamical friction early phases of the binary orbital evolution. N-body simulations have shown, however, that the secondary hole decays from \(a_c\) to \(a_0\) and enters the three-body interaction regime on a timescale \(< 10^5\) yr. Here, we ignore the early dynamical friction phases and focus on stellar disruptions induced by three-body scattering events. At binary separation \(a = a_0\), the interaction loss cone contains stars that can be bound or unbound to the primary. A bound star can interact with the binary multiple times before leaving the system, significantly increasing its probability of being tidally disrupted. For equal-mass binaries, the radius of influence \(r_0\) is comparable to the three-body radius \(a_0\), and most scattering events involve stars that are unbound (or marginally bound). The impact of bound stars is more important for unequal-mass MBHBs, and these systems will be the main focus of this paper.

A bound star with semimajor axis \(a_s < a/2\) never crosses the orbit of the secondary hole and undergoes a secular evolution in which its orbital eccentricity is excited and oscillates periodically, the so-called “Kozai effect” [Kozai 1962; Lidov 1962; Ivanov et al. 2003; Gualandris & Merritt 2009]. The period of oscillation (“Kozai timescale”) is

\[
T_K(a_*) = \frac{2}{3\pi q} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^{-3/2} P(a),
\]

where \(P(a) = 2\pi a^{3/2} \{G(M_1 + M_2)\}^{-1/2}\)

\[
\approx 10^3 \text{ yr} \ (1 + q)^{-1/2} M_\text{f}^{-1/2} \left(\frac{a}{0.1 \text{ pc}}\right)^{3/2}
\]

is the orbital period of the binary. Since \(T_K(a) \ll T_\text{f}(a)\), the Kozai mechanism is much more efficient than two-body interactions at repopulating the tidal loss cone. However, when \(q \ll 1, r_0 \gg a_0\) and the majority of bound stars have close encounters with the secondary hole that change the orbital elements of the star in a complicated chaotic way. In this regime: 1) numerical simulations are needed to give reasonable estimates of the tidal disruption rates; and 2) the contribution to the gravitational potential by background stars as well as stellar collisions can be neglected during the interaction. When \(a_s \ll a\), two-body relaxation can be more efficient than Kozai precession in changing stellar orbits (compare eqs. (8) and (10) and notice that \(\sigma_2^2 \propto a_s^{-1}\) at \(a_s \ll r_0\), but the number of these stars is negligible. Under these conditions, the problem can be tackled by means of restricted three-body scattering experiments.

3. SCATTERING EXPERIMENTS

The integration of the three-body encounter equations is performed in a coordinate system centered at the location of \(M_1\). Initially, the binary (of mass ratio \(q\) and eccentricity \(e\)) has a randomly-oriented orbit with \(M_2\) at its pericenter: stars move in the \(x-y\) plane with pericenters along the positive \(x\)-axis and random orbital phases.

The initial conditions of the restricted three-body problem are then completely defined by 6 variables, 3 for the binary and 3 for the star: 1) the inclination of the orbit of the binary, \(\theta\), i.e., the angle between the angular momentum of the binary and the \(z\) axis; 2) the longitude of the secondary hole ascending node, \(\lambda\); 3) the argument of the pericenter of the secondary hole, \(\phi\) (if \(e \neq 0\)); 4) the semimajor axis of the stellar orbit, \(a_s\); 5) the normalized (by the angular momentum of a circular orbit with the same semimajor axis) angular momentum of the star, \(j_s\); and 6) the orbital phase of the star, \(p_s\). We start each scattering experiment by generating 6 random numbers, with \(\cos \theta\) evenly sampled in the range \([-1, 1]\), and both \(\lambda\) and \(\phi\) uniformly distributed in the range \([0, 2\pi]\). We sample \(a_0\) logarithmically around \(a\) (the range is described in detail below) and \(J_s^2\) randomly between 0 and 1 (corresponding to an isotropic distribution). Given the \(j_s\) of a star, we numerical integrate one revolution of a Keplerian orbit with eccentricity \(e_s = (1 - J_s^2)^{1/2}\), and derive \(p_s(t)\) as a function of time \(t\). Then the initial orbital phase for the scattering experiment is drawn from the distribution function \(f(p_s) = dt/dp_s\).

Having defined the initial conditions, the orbit of each star was followed by integrating the coupled first-order differential equations

\[
\dot{r} = v
\]

\[
\dot{v} = -G \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{M_i (r - r_i)}{|r - r_i|^3},
\]

where \(r\) and \(v\) are the position and velocity vectors of the star and \(r_i\) is the position of the \(i\)th (\(i = 1, 2\)) MBH. When \(e \neq 0\), we included a subroutine to numerically compute the positions of the two holes at each timestep. The units in the numerical computation were \(M_{\odot}\) (solar mass), \(10^7\) yr; \(3\) the number of required integration timesteps reached \(10^8\). The integration was stopped if one of the following conditions was satisfied: \(1\) the star left the sphere of radius \(a(10^6 \times q)^{1/4}\), where the quadrupole force from the binary is ten orders of magnitude smaller than \(GM_{\odot}/a^2\), with positive energy; \(2\) the physical integration timescale exceeded \(10^{10}\) yr; \(3\) the number of required integration timesteps reached \(10^8\). Conditions \(2\) and \(3\) were adopted to save computational time, as a small fraction (\(\lesssim 3%\) depending on \(q\) and \(e\)) of stars are scattered into wide, bound orbits and may survive many revolutions. We have tested our code by reproducing Figures 4 and 6 of Sesana et al. [2008] (who used full three-body scattering experiments), and found excellent agreement.

4. TESTS

To understand the dependence of our results on various properties of the MBHB, such as \(q\) and \(e\), and the impact of general relativistic effects, we have performed a number of tests with \(N = 10^4\) stars in each run. The initial semimajor axis of the intruder star was sampled logarithmically in the interval \([1/2a, 2a]\), where three-body interactions are expected to be the strongest. We recorded the minimum separation between the stars and the holes during each scattering experiment, and ana-
encounter cross section of $M$ to the multi-encounter cross section at that for single encounters. In the following, we shall refer $q$ with a MBHB of mass ratio $cusp with $\sigma$ when $m$atically higher than the single-encounter probability: $M$ dominated by the primary hole, and will be the focus of unbound stars, if the initial distribution of pericentric distances is uniform, such fraction has the physical meaning of a close-encounter cross section (Chen et al. 2008). While for the bound stars considered here, the concept of cross section no longer strictly applies because the initial pericenter-distance distribution is not uniform, for convenience we shall still refer to this fraction as the close-encounter cross section in the following.

4.1. Close-encounter cross section

We performed scattering experiments for $q = 1/81$ and $e = 0.1$, where each star was allowed to encounter the binary as many times as required before the integration was stopped. Then the minimum separation between the star and each hole during the entire course of the interaction was recorded for the calculation of the “multi-encounter cross section”. We also recorded the first minimum separation (a local minimum in the distance-time curve) during the encounter between the star and each hole, and calculated the “single-encounter cross section”. The latter can be viewed as the interaction probability in the case of an isolated MBH. The resulting cross sections are plotted in Figure 1. As already shown by Chen et al. (2009), the multi-encounter cross section for $M_1$ is dramatically higher than the single-encounter probability: when $r \sim 10^{-4} a$, corresponding to the tidal radius of a primary hole with $M_7 = 1$ embedded in an isothermal cusp with $\sigma_{100} = 1$, at separation $a = a_0$, the multi-encounter cross section of $M_1$ is nearly 3 dex larger than that for single encounters. In the following, we shall refer to the multi-encounter cross section at $r_{11}$ as the “tidal disruption cross section”. Because this is much larger than the corresponding cross section for the secondary, stellar disruptions by an unequal-mass binary will be dominated by the primary hole, and will be the focus of our analysis.

4.2. Dependence on $q$ and $e$

To study the dependence of the close-encounter cross section on the binary mass ratio, we performed three additional sets of scattering experiments for $e = 0.1$ and $q = 1/9, 1/243, 1/729$, each using $10^4$ stars. The results show (Figure 2) that, as $q$ increases, the multi-encounter probability decreases from $41\%$ ($q = 1/729$) to $1.1\%$ ($q = 1/9$). This is because, as the perturbing force from the secondary hole becomes stronger, a star is more susceptible to ejection. Figure 3 shows the dependence of the multi-encounter cross section on binary eccentricity at fixed $q = 1/81$. For $r/a > 10^{-6}$, the cross section varies at most by a factor of 3 as $e$ increases from 0.1 to 0.9. When $r_{11}/a \approx 10^{-4}$, the tidal disruption probability does not depend significantly on eccentricity.

5. PROPERTIES OF DISRUPTED STARS

To understand the physical processes responsible for the enhancement of the tidal disruption probability, we need to investigate the properties of the disrupted stars. We performed new scattering experiments aimed at covering the whole parameter space of the interacting stellar population, extending the range of semimajor axis $a_s$ from $[a/2, 2a]$ to $[a/20, 20a]$. We ran four sets of numerical experiments, each consisting of $5 \times 10^4$ stars, for varying binary eccentricities and $q = 1/81$. A star is counted as disrupted if its separation from the primary hole becomes smaller than $r_{11}$. (To calculate $r_{11}/a_0$, the
fiducial parameters $M_f = 1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, and $\gamma = 2$ were used.)

5.1. Phase-space distribution

The semimajor axis of a MBHB typically shrinks by a factor of $\sim 10$ during the process of cusp erosion via three-body scatterings (Sesana et al. 2008). Below we scale the same scattering experiments and present results for two cases, $a = a_0$ and $a = a_0/10$. Figure 4 shows the distribution of disrupted stars in the $a_s - j_z$ plane for $e = 0.1$. The fraction of disrupted stars exceeds $19\%$ in the case $a = a_0/10$, and is close to $13\%$ for $a = a_0$. Many of the stars that get disrupted are initially located outside the tidal loss cone, showing that $j_z$ is not conserved during the three-body interaction: on the other hand, stars initially outside the interaction loss cones get disrupted only rarely. Figure 4 also shows an excess of stars at the resonance radii $a_s = a(m/n)^{2/3}$, where $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, indicating the importance of resonant interactions in refilling the tidal loss cone.

For a better understanding of the nature of disrupted stars we depict in Figure 5 their distribution in the $a_s - j_z$ plane. Both theoretical and numerical studies show that for stars that lie inside the binary orbit (with semimajor axis $a_s < a/2$), the angular momentum component parallel to the binary orbital angular momentum, $j_z$, does not change, while the angular momentum component perpendicular to $j_z$ undergoes secular evolution (Kozai 1962, Lidov 1962). This implies that stars in the wedge-like region $|j_z| < j_{lc}(r_{11}/a_s)$ will undergo secular evolution and finally enter the tidal loss cone and get disrupted. Figure 5 confirms that the majority of the disrupted stars with $a_s \lesssim a/2$ have $|j_z| \lesssim j_{lc}(r_{11}/a_s)$, i.e. lie within the region delimited by the solid lines. When $a_s \gtrsim a/2$, however, stars on eccentric orbits cross the orbit of the secondary hole, and can get disrupted even if $|j_z| \gg j_{lc}(r_{11}/a_s)$. These stars are difficult to model as their orbits are chaotic. Since the size of the interaction loss cone relative to the Kozai wedge increases with $a$ ($r_{11}/a$, decreases. As a result, strong chaotic three-body interactions rather than cumulative secular effects are responsible for the majority of the disruptions.

For an isotropic stellar distribution, the fraction of stars having semimajor axis in the range $(a_s, a_s + \Delta a_s)$ that reside inside the “Kozai wedge” is given by

$$ f_K(a_s/a) = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{j_{lc}(r_{12}/a_s)}^{j_{lc}(r_{11}/a_s)} \int_{j_{lc}(r_{11}/a_s)}^{j_{lc}(r_{11}/a_s)} \frac{dj_z}{j_z} dt_z. $$

(14)

In a binary system with $M_f = 1$, $e = 0.1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, $\gamma = 2$, and $a = a_0$, the mean fractions $f_K(a_s/a)$ in the strong-interaction regime $a/2 < a_s < 2a$ are $(0.0089, 0.025, 0.044, 0.074)$ for $q = (1/9, 1/81, 1/243, 1/729)$. These theoretical estimates are significantly smaller than the tidal disruption probabilities derived in § 2.2 except when $q = 1/9$, highlighting the importance of chaotic interactions in the repopulation of the loss cone. For $q = 1/9$, the theoretical tidal disruption cross section becomes comparable to the numerical one, because stars in the chaotic-interaction regime are more susceptible to early ejection. Figure 6 shows the distribution of disrupted stars in the $a_s - j_z$ plane for the extreme $e = 0.9$ case. Note that the interaction loss cone is $j_{lc}(1 + e)a/a_s$ when $e$ is large. The number of disrupted stars increases significantly relative to the low binary eccentricity case, to about $38\%$ when $a = a_0/10$ and $24\%$ when $a = a_0$. This enhancement occurs as more stars now cross the orbit of the secondary hole and interact chaotically with the binary. Moreover, any trace of the Kozai wedge disappears in this case. This is because, when $e = 0.9$, the apoastron of the secondary hole is $0.1a$; therefore, even stars with $a_s \ll a$ experience strong interactions with the secondary hole that destroy the secular, coherent accumulation of the Kozai mechanism.

5.2. Disruption timescales

During a scattering experiment a bound star may enter the tidal sphere of the primary black hole many times before it is ejected. When calculating the disruption rate, it is the time when the star first crosses $r_{11}$ that is relevant: in the following, we refer to this time as the “tidal disruption timescale”. In our numerical integrations, we record the times when each star first reaches 21 different separations logarithmically distributed within the range $\left[\log(100r_{11}/a_0), \log(100r_{11}/100a_0)\right]$. Then, for any binary separation between $a_0/100$ and $100a_0$, the tidal disruption timescale can be derived by interpolating between the recorded times. For example, the time when a star reaches $10r_{11}/a_0$ can be viewed as the tidal disruption timescale (in units of the binary orbital period) when the binary has shrunk to $a = a_0/10$. Figure 7 shows the tidal disruption timescale, $\tau$ (in unit of the binary period $P$), as a function of the initial semimajor axis, for $e = 0.1$. The dashed line indicates the stellar orbital period, $P_s = P(a_s/a)^{3/2}$. The cross symbols clustered around the dashed line represent stars that are disrupted within one orbital period because their initial pericenter distances are smaller than the tidal radius of $M_1$. The solid lines in Figure 6 trace instead the Kozai timescale. The formula derived in equation (10) applies to stars that orbit close to the primary hole while the secondary is far away (“inner problem”). In this case, the quadrupole force exerted by the secondary on the star,

$$ F_T \sim \left(\frac{Gm_sM_2}{a^3}a_s\right), $$

(15)

causes a torque $a_sF_T$ that changes the angular momentum of the star on the timescale

$$ T_K' = \frac{J_s}{dJ_s/dt} \approx \frac{m_s(2GM_1a_s)^{1/2}}{a_sF_T} = \frac{P}{2\pi q}\left(\frac{a}{a_s}\right)^{3/2}. $$

(16)

In spite of the many simplifications in the derivation of equation (16), $T_K'$ differs from the actual $T_K$ by only a factor of $4/3$.

Based on our understanding of the Kozai effect in the inner problem, we can now estimate the Kozai timescales for the case of orbit crossing between the intruder star and the secondary hole (“outer problem”). When $a_s \gg a$, the quadrupole force exerted on the star by the binary is

$$ F_T \sim \frac{Gm_sM_2a}{a_s^3}, $$

(17)

and $a_sF_T$ is the corresponding torque. Since the pericenter of a star must be smaller than $a$ (star lies in the
Fig. 4.— Distribution of disrupted stars in the $\alpha_\ast - j_2^2$ plane, assuming $e = 0.1$. Left panel: $a = a_0/10$. Right panel: $a = a_0$. The solid and dashed lines delineate, respectively, the tidal loss cones and the interaction loss cones. The parameters of the binary-stellar cusp are $q = 1/81$, $M_7 = 1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, and $\gamma = 2$. The excess of disrupted stars at radii $\alpha_\ast = a(m/n)^{2/3}$, where $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, is due to resonant interactions.

Fig. 5.— Same as Fig. 4 but in the $\alpha_\ast - j_{2z}$ plane.

Fig. 6.— Same as Fig. 5 but for $e = 0.9$. 
The condition $\dot{\omega}_{GR} = \dot{\omega}_K$ admits only one solution, $a_{s,cri} = (8\sqrt{2}\xi)^{-2/7}a$, where

$$\xi \equiv \frac{5q}{16} \left( \frac{r_{11}}{r_{S1}} \right) \left( \frac{a}{a_1} \right)^{1/2}$$

(23)

$$\simeq 1.64 \times 10^3 (3 - \gamma)^{1/2} M_T^{-1/3} \sigma_{100}^{-1} q^{(7-2\gamma)/(6-2\gamma)} \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{1/2}$$

(24)

If $a_0 < a_{s,cri}$, the Kozai evolution is suppressed by GR precession. Note that when $\xi < 1$, $\dot{\omega}_{GR} > \dot{\omega}_K$, and stellar disruptions from the secular Kozai mechanisms are suppressed for the entire stellar population, leaving only chaotic encounters to contribute to the tidal disruption rate in this regime. Since the ratio between the Schwarzschild radius and the tidal radius of an MBH,

$$r_S/r_t \simeq 0.19 M_T^{2/3} (R_\odot/r_\odot) (M_\odot/M_\odot)^{1/3},$$

(25)

increases with hole mass, GR effects typically become important when $M_{BH} > 3.6 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ (i.e. $r_t < 10 r_S$ for solar type stars).

Figure 9 shows the quantity $a_{s,cri}/a$ as a function of $q$ for different combinations of the parameters $(\gamma, a/a_0, M_T)$, assuming $M_T \propto \sigma_T^4$ (Tremaine et al. 2002). Following equations (24) and (25), the curves move toward the upper-right direction as $r_{11}/r_{S1}$ decreases or $r_{11}/a$ increases. In the upper-right corner of each curve in the plane $-a_{s,cri}/a$, the Kozai mechanism is effective, while in the lower-left corner $\dot{\omega}_{GR} > \dot{\omega}_K$ and stellar disruptions are suppressed. When $q \gtrsim 0.01$, the GR precession does not significantly affect the Kozai evolution of stars in the strong-interaction regime $(a_0 \in [a/2, 2a])$, when the stellar-disruption fraction is the highest (see Fig. 10).

For $q \lesssim 0.01$, however, GR effects are important in the strong-interaction regime, especially in the case of steep stellar cusps $(\gamma > 2)$, compact MBHBs $(a/a_0 \lesssim 0.3)$, or massive primary holes $(M_T \gtrsim 3)$.

To simulate numerically the effects of GR, we have run a series of scattering experiments for different values of $q$ and $e$ using the pseudo-Newtonian potential of Paczyński & Wiita (1980). We integrated the equations of motion

$$\dot{r} = v, \quad \dot{v} = -G \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{M_i (r - r_i)}{|r - r_i| (|r - r_i| - r_{Si})^2},$$

(26)

(27)

where $r_{Si}$ is the Schwarzschild radius of the $i$th hole. Each set of experiments followed $10^4$ particles sampled in the range $a_0 \in [a/20, 20a]$. For illustrative purposes, we calculated $r_{Si}/a$ assuming $a = a_0$, $M_T = 1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, and $q = 2$. For this parameter choice, $r_{11}/r_{S1} \simeq 5$. The integration of the stellar orbit was stopped at 1.01$r_{S1}$ to avoid the singularity at the Schwarzschild radius. Figure 10 compares the fractions of the disrupted particles in the GR versus the non-GR simulations. When $q = 1/9, 1/81$, the suppression of stellar disruptions by relativistic precession is important for $a_0 \lesssim a_{s,cri}$. When $q = 1/243$, $\dot{\omega}_{GR}$ is always larger than $\dot{\omega}_K$ ($\xi = 0.43$), and tidal disruptions are suppressed over the entire range of $a_0$.

The impact of an extended stellar cusp on the Kozai evolution can be addressed using similar arguments.
Fig. 7.— Tidal disruption timescales in unit of the binary period $P$ for $a = a_0/10$ (left panel) and $a = a_0$ (right panel), as a function of the initial semimajor axis of the intruder stars. The dashed line represent the initial stellar orbital periods, and the solid line marks the analytical Kozai timescale given by eq. (19). The red crosses refer to disrupted stars initially inside the Kozai wedge, $|j*| < j_*(r_1/a_*)$. System parameters as in Fig. 4.

Fig. 8.— Same as Fig. 7 but for $e = 0.9$.

Fig. 9.— Tracks of $a_*, e_*/a$ as a function of $q$ for different values of $\gamma$ (top), $a/a_0$ (middle), and $M_7$ (bottom). The variables are labeled in the top-right corner of each panel, and the fixed parameters in the lower-left corner.

The cusp-induced precession rate is $\dot{\omega}_c = K (1 - e_0^2) 1/2 \left( M_1 (a_*) \frac{4 \pi}{P(a)} \right) \left( \frac{a_*}{a} \right)^{-3/2}$, (28)
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where $K = (0.5, \sqrt{2}/\pi)$ for $\gamma = (2, 1.5)$ respectively, and $M_*(a_\star)$ is the stellar mass enclosed within a sphere of radius $a_\star$. The dependence of $\dot{\omega}_c$ on $(1 - e^2)^{1/2}$ indicates that the stellar cusp affects mostly circular orbits. Assuming the broken power-law distribution described in §2 the condition $\dot{\omega}_c = \dot{\omega}_K$ corresponds to a critical angular momentum

$$j^2_{c,cri} = \begin{cases} 
(15/16)K^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{\gamma-3}\left(\frac{a_\star}{a}\right)^{\gamma} & (a_\star < a/2) \\
(15\sqrt{2}/356)K^{-1}\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{\gamma-3}\left(\frac{a_\star}{a}\right)^{-7/2} & (a_\star \geq a/2)
\end{cases}$$

above which the Kozai effect is suppressed by cusp-induced precession. Figure 11 shows tracks of $j^2_{c,cri}$ in the $a_\star - j^2$ plane: once again, the phase space where the Kozai mechanism can operate is greatly reduced by cusp-induced precession. The suppression is expected to be more significant if $a$ or $\gamma$ increase, as the stellar mass enclosed by the stellar orbits increases.

We mimicked the effect of a broken power-law stellar cusp (§2) by including an external potential in the equations of motion. We set $\gamma = 2$ to maximize the effect of the cusp (see Fig. 11), and ran two sets of $10^4$ non-GR scattering experiments for $e = 0.1$ and $0.9$ respectively. The binary parameters were set to $q = 1/81$, $e = 0.1$, $M_\star = 1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, and $a = a_0$. Figure 12 show the initial values of $a_\star$, $j^2$ for the disrupted stars, while the fraction of tidal disruptions as a functions of $a_\star$ is shown in Figure 13. When $e = 0.1$, tidal disruption is almost completely suppressed when $a_\star \lesssim a/2$ for stars with $j_\star > j_{\star,cri}$; when $a_\star \gtrsim a/2$ a small fraction of stars with $j_\star > j_{\star,cri}$ still get disrupted from chaotic interactions. When $e = 0.9$, the suppression of stellar disruptions is also appreciable: a large fraction of stars with $j_\star > j_{\star,cri}$ are disrupted, however, because their orbits undergo chaotic interactions with the highly eccentric orbit of the secondary MBH. The dotted lines in Figure 13 show that, when $e$ is small, the tidal disruption fraction in the presence of a cusp potential is approximately equal to the fraction of disrupted stars with $j_\star > j_{\star,cri}$ when the cusp is neglected, with an error that is less than a factor of two. When $e$ is large, however, this method severely underestimates the true disruption fraction because chaotic three-body interactions at $j_\star > j_{\star,cri}$ are dominant.

6. Disruption Rates for Stationary Binaries

Having set the properties of the disrupted stars and tested the limitations of our approximations, we can now proceed to the calculation of the disruption rates. We fix the orbital separation $a$ and the eccentricity $e$ of the MBHB, and assume that the stellar cusp surrounding $M_1$ is isotropic and composed of solar-type stars only. The initial stellar distribution function, $f_0(a_\star, j_\star, j_{\star}) \equiv dn_0/da_\star$, can then be written as

$$\frac{dn_0}{da_\star} = \frac{2(3 - \gamma)M_\odot}{a_0 M_\odot} \frac{(a_\star/a_0)^{2-\gamma}}{a^{\gamma}}$$

where $dn_0/da_\star$ is the number of stars per unit semimajor axis $a_\star$, and the right hand side follows from the definition of $a_0$. We do not consider any sharp cutoff in the stellar distribution caused by stellar collision at small radii. Collisions will likely result in a shallower inner density profile rather than a well defined cutoff (Freitag & Benz 2002). Moreover, the inner cusp may be repopulated by efficient gas inflow-induced star formation during galaxy mergers (Zier 2006). Here we assume $\gamma = 2$ and 1.5 to account for this uncertainty.

The stellar disruption rate at time $t$ can then be calculated from

$$\dot{N}_*(t) = \int F(a_\star/a, t)(dn_0/da_\star)da_\star,$$

where $F(a_\star/a, t)dt$ is the fraction of stars with semimajor axis $a_\star$ that are disrupted in the time interval $(t, t + dt)$. If the loss-cone refilling is entirely due to the Kozai effect, then $F(a_\star/a, t)$ can be derived analytically as (Ivanov et al. 2005)

$$F(a_\star/a, t) = \frac{f_K(a_\star/a)}{T_K(a_\star/a)} \exp(-t/T_K),$$

where $f_K$ and $T_K$ are given by equations (14) and (16). The chaotic nature of strong three-body scattering events prevent the possibility of a simple analytical description; therefore, the total disruption rate, including the contribution of resonant interactions, has to be computed numerically from scattering experiments. We divide the $(a_\star/20a, 20a_\star/a)$ interval into 52 equal logarithmic bins. Given the parameters $e$ and $r_1/a$, we derive the function $F_1(\tau)$ numerically for each $a_\star/a$ bin using the recorded tidal disruption timescales in the corresponding scattering experiments, so that $F_1(\tau)\Delta\tau$ is the fraction of stars in the $i$th bin that are disrupted in the time interval $(\tau, \tau + \Delta\tau)$. When deriving $F_1(\tau)$, stars sampled in the range $j_\star(a_i) > j_{\star,cri}(r_1/a_i)$ are excluded. The total stellar disruption rate at time $t$ is then given by

$$\dot{N}_*(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{52} \frac{F_1(t/P)(dn_0/da_\star)(a_i)\Delta a_i}{P},$$

where $a_i$ and $\Delta a_i$ are the central semimajor axis and the width of the $i$th bin. To calculate the numerical stellar disruption rate, one must specify $P$ and $dn_0/da_\star$ in physical units. From the definition of $a_0$ and equations (11)
Fig. 12.— Distribution of disrupted stars in the $a_\ast - j_\ast^2$ plane when the potential of a stellar cusp is included (see text for the parameters of the scattering experiments). The eccentricity of the binary is set to $e = 0.1$ (left) and 0.9 (right). The solid lines show the tracks of $j_\ast^2$, $cri$.

Fig. 13.— Fraction of disrupted stars as a function of $a_\ast$ in scattering experiments with (solid curves) and without (dashed curves) the cusp potential. The dotted lines show the disrupted fractions estimated according to the $j_\ast < j_{\ast,cri}$ criterion. The left (right) panel assumes $e = 0.1$ ($e = 0.9$).

and (30), we have

$$P \simeq (3 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}) \frac{M_7}{\sigma_{100}^3} (3 - \gamma)^{3/2} \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^{3/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)$$

with $Q \equiv q^{3/(6-2\gamma)}/(1 + q)^{1/2}$, and

$$\frac{dn_0}{da_\ast} \simeq (4.3 \times 10^6 \text{ pc}^{-1}) q^\alpha \sigma_{100}^2 \left( \frac{a_\ast}{a_0} \right)^{2-\gamma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)$$

with $\alpha \equiv (2 - \gamma)/(3 - \gamma)$. Figure 14 shows the total stellar disruption rates calculated from equation (33) for a MBHB with $a = a_0$ and $a = a_0/10$ (and the standard system parameters $q = 1/81$, $e = 0.1$, $M_7 = 1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, and $\gamma = 2$). The stellar disruption rate remains constant for a timescale $P/q$ before decreasing rapidly, consistent with the Kozai time scaling. Compared to the rates for single MBHs fed by two-body relaxation, typically $10^{-4} - 10^{-5} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, the rates on the plateau are orders of magnitude higher. As $a$ decreases, the plateau rate increases while its duration shortens. Since the Kozai timescale increases as $a_\ast^{3/2}$ and the number of stars enclosed in the Kozai wedge scales as $a_\ast^{1/2}$, if $a$ shrinks by

Fig. 14.— Stellar disruption rates as a function of time for a stationary MBHB with semimajor axis $a = a_0/10$ (solid curves) and $a = a_0$ (dashed curves). The thick lines are the numerical rates derived from scattering experiments, and the thin lines are the analytical rates. System parameters are the same as in Fig. 4 and yield $P \simeq (400, 13) \text{ yr}$ for $a = (a_0, a_0/10)$. Fluctuations in the numerical rates at early times are due to poor statistics.
a factor of 10 the plateau phase becomes a factor $10^{3/2}$ shorter, while the disruption rate at the plateau increases by a factor of 10. The figure also depicts the analytical disruption rates calculated with equation (31) for comparison. These agree very well with the numerical results during the plateau phase, indicating that the tidal loss-cone refilling is initially dominated by the Kozai mechanism. At later times, stars inside the Kozai wedge are mostly depleted, and the analytical and numerical rates start to deviate from each other. Deviations in the post-plateau phase increase with increasing binary orbital separations. According to our numerical calculations, about $(1.8 \times 10^4, 1.1 \times 10^5)$ stars with $a/20 < a_s < 20a$ are disrupted over 10^8 years by binaries with $a = (a_0/10, a_0)$. The corresponding numbers in the analytical approximation are $(1.1 \times 10^4, 3.5 \times 10^4)$. The difference highlights the importance of close, resonant encounters with the secondary hole, which change the stellar orbits in a chaotic manner and fuel the tidal loss cone. Figure 15 shows the dependence of the disruption rate on the parameters $e$ and $\gamma$. Increasing the binary eccentricity only affects the rate in the post-plateau, chaotic-interaction-dominated phase.

The above results show that, whereas chaotic scatterings dominate the total number of disrupted stars, the Kozai theory provides a reasonably good description of the disruption rate during the plateau phase, as well as the correct order of magnitude of the total number of disruption. We can then use the Kozai theory to predict the scaling of the plateau rate with the system parameters,

$$\dot{N}_s \propto \frac{M_\ast f_K}{T_K}. \quad (36)$$

Here $M_\ast$ is total mass of the interacting stars, $M_\ast \propto M_1 q(a/a_0)^{3-\gamma}$ (from the definition of $a_0$), $f_K$ is the fraction of stars in the Kozai wedge, $f_K \propto (r_{11}/a)^{1/2}$, and $T_K$ is the Kozai timescale, $T_K \propto q^{-1}a^{3/2}M_1^{-1/2}$ (assuming that $a_s \sim a$). Substituting into equation (30), and using the definitions of $r_{11}$ and $a_0$, we finally obtain in the limit $q \ll 1$:

$$\dot{N}_s \propto (3-\gamma)^{-2}q^{(4-2\gamma)/(3-\gamma)} \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{1-\gamma} M_1^{1/3} \sigma_\ast^4 \quad (37)$$

where we have adopted $M_1 \propto \sigma_\ast^4$ from Tremaine et al. (2002) in the second proportionality. According to equation (37), when $q = 1/81$, as $\gamma$ decreases from 2 to 1.5, the peak stellar disruption rate drops by a factor of 40, consistent with the rates in Figure 15. If $\gamma = 2$, the disruption rate is proportional to $a^{-1}$, consistent with the left panel of Figure 14. The above analysis also implies that, when the stellar density profile is as steep as $\gamma \approx 2$, the peak stellar disruption rate is not sensitive to $q$, as shown by Chen et al. (2009). Assuming the $M_1 - \sigma_\ast$ relation, the peak rate should be proportional to $M_1^{2/3}$.

To investigate numerically the impact of GR and cusp-induced precession on the stellar disruption rate, we ran an additional set of $10^4$ scattering experiments that included the two effects simultaneously (see § 5.3 for details). The binary parameters were set to $q = 1/81$, $e = 0.1$, $\gamma = 2$, $M_2 = 1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, and $a = a_0$. The resulting stellar-disruption rate is shown in Figure 16 with the solid line, and is compared to the case in which the two effects are neglected (the dashed line). Because chaotic scatterings are not suppressed by secular effects, the two curves differ by only about a factor of two. The contribution from chaotic scatterings in the GR+cusp experiments can be gauged by the difference between the solid and the dotted curves, the latter derived by considering only stars with $a_s > a_{s,cri}$ and $j_s < j_{s,cri}$.

7. DISRUPTION RATES FOR DECAYING BINARIES
Recent calculations based on scattering experiments and ignoring stellar disruptions have suggested that both the binary semimajor axis and eccentricity will evolve rapidly during three-body interactions with ambient bound stars (Sesana et al. 2008). On the one hand, in a shrinking MBHB the interaction loss cone, the tidal disruption timescale, and the cusp stellar distribution all change with time, and this evolution will affect the stellar disruption rate. On the other hand, tidal disruptions halt the exchange of energy and angular momentum between the stars and the binary, altering its dynamical evolution. In order to compute a more realistic tidal consumption rate, a hybrid model is required that takes into account stellar ejections as well as disruptions, and that solves for the time evolution of the binary-stellar cusp system.

In evolving MBHB systems, the ratios $r_{S1}/a$ and $M_*(a)/M_1$ vary with time. In this case, simulating GR and cusp effects becomes extremely time consuming, because additional scattering experiments need to be carried out whenever $r_{S1}/a$ or $M_*(a)/M_1$ changes. For this reason, in this section we do not consider GR and cusp effects, and use the Newtonian scattering experiments without cusp potential to calculate the stellar disruption rate. We restrict the following discussion to the case $q \gtrsim 0.01$, where GR and stellar cusp precession suppress the stellar disruption rate by only a factor of two. When $q \ll 0.01$, our test calculations with $q = 1/729$ show that GR and cusp effects can suppress the stellar disruption rate by as much as a factor of ten.

7.1. Fate of interacting stars

Figure 17 compares the fraction of stars that are ejected from the system with those that are disrupted in scattering experiments with $q = 1/81$. Tidal disruptions produce two interesting effects: (i) when $a_\ast \sim a$ a significant fraction of stars experience strong interactions with the secondary hole and cross the tidal radius of $M_1$ before being ejected; (ii) frequent tidal disruptions occur even when $a_\ast \ll a$, a regime where ejections are rare. These are stars that are driven into the tidal loss cone by the Kozai mechanism. Tidal disruptions have then the double effect of partially suppressing stellar ejections (especially when the binary eccentricity is large) and at the same time of extending inward the influence domain of the binary (the $a_\ast/a$ interval where the black hole pair can alter the stellar cusp). Figure 18 shows the distributions of changes in specific energy and angular momentum ($z$-component) for the stars that are ejected and for those that are disrupted. Such distributions are narrowly peaked around zero in the case of the disrupted population, while are much broader and skewed towards positive values for the ejected component. The evolution of the MBHB is then determined by stellar ejections, since on average the disrupted stars do not exchange energy and orbital angular momentum with the binary.

7.2. Hybrid model

We finally describe our hybrid model. Given the binary-cusp system parameters $q$, $\gamma$, $M_T$, and $\sigma_{100}$, we calculate the corresponding value of the initial binary semimajor axis $a_0$. We use $a_0$ to describe the absolute semimajor axis of interacting stars, and only consider the relevant portion of the cusp enclosed in the $a_\ast$ interval $[10^{-3}a_0, 100a_0]$. This range is binned in 100 equally log-spaced bins labelled by the index $i$, and the initial stellar mass in each bin is given by $m_i = m_\ast \Delta a_\ast d\alpha(a_\ast)/da_\ast$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 100$), where $a_\ast$ is the centroid of the $i$th bin and $\Delta a_\ast$ is the bin width. At $t = 0$ the MBHB is at separation $a_0$ with eccentricity $e_0$ and period $P_0$; we then evolve the system numerically forward in time according to the equations

$$a_{k+1} = a_k - \frac{\Delta E_k}{E_k} a_k,$$

$$e_{k+1} = e_k - \frac{1 - e_k^2}{2e_k} \left( \frac{\Delta E_k}{E_k} + 2\frac{\Delta J_k}{J_k} \right),$$

where the index $k$ ($k \geq 0$) labels the timestep, $a_k$ and $e_k$ are the binary semimajor axis and eccentricity, $E_k$ and $J_k$ are the energy and angular momentum of the binary, and $\Delta$ refers to the variation in the $k$-th timestep $\Delta t$. The increments $\Delta J_k$ and $\Delta E_k$ depend on the mass $\sum_i \Delta m_{i,k}$ that interacts with the binary in the $k$-th timestep. The subtlety lies in properly extracting $\Delta m_{i,k}$ from the set of scattering experiments described in Section 3. Numerical experiments are carried at a fixed binary separation, and the relevant parameter in determining the fate of a star is the ratio $s = a_\ast/a$. In our runs we sample the range $1/20 < s < 20$, and this interval is divided in equally log-spaced bins labelled by the index $j$ as $s_j$. For each $s_j$ bin, we construct the functions $d[f/dt]_j$, $d[E/dt]_j$ and $d[J/dt]_j$, which are the differential fractions of ejected stars, mean energy exchange, and mean angular momentum exchange as a function of $\tau$, the time expressed in unit of the binary period. The trick is to assign to each bin $a_{\ast,i}$ the right $s_j$ value as the binary semimajor axis $a$ evolves, and to properly connect the physical time $t$ describing the evolution of the system to the ‘scattering experiment time’ $\tau$ (expressed in units of $P$). For the time being, let us ignore, for simplicity, the eccentricity evolution. The integration scheme then proceeds as follows.

Consider the first timestep $\Delta t_0$. In each $a_{\ast,i}$ bin, the amount of ejected (or disrupted) mass\footnote{Here we do not distinguish between ejected and disrupted stars. The distribution $d[f/dt]$ is actually split in $d[f/dt_{\text{j}}]$ and $d[f/dt_{\text{dis}}]$ to account for both components.} in this first timestep is

$$\Delta m_{i,0} = m_i \left[ \frac{df}{d\tau} \left|_{j_0} \right. (\tau = 0) \frac{\Delta t_0}{P_0} \right],$$

where $j_0$ identifies the $s_j$ bin to which the $a_{\ast,i}$ stellar bin belongs in the first timestep. If a particular $a_{\ast,i}$ bin lies outside the $1/20 < s < 20$ range, then it does not contribute in the evolution of the binary at the considered timestep. The binary separation $a$ is then evolved according to equation (35), where

$$\Delta E_0 = \sum_i \left[ \frac{dE}{d\tau} \left|_{j_0} \right. (\tau = 0) \frac{\Delta t_0}{P_0} \right] \Delta m_{i,0}$$

is given by summing over all $a_{\ast,i}$. We accordingly shrink the binary from $a_0$ to $a_1$.

Consider now the second timestep $\Delta t_1$. Since the stellar distribution changes with time, in principle one
should carry out new scattering experiments according to the updated stellar distribution to derive \( \frac{df}{d\tau} \bigg|_{\tau_1} \) at every timestep. However, as long as the stars depleted during the previous steps are appropriately excluded, the original scattering experiments can still be used. For the stars in a \( s_j \) bin, the elapsed scattering-experiment time \( \tau_{j,1} \) during the first timestep can be solved from the implicit equation:

\[
m_i \int_0^{\tau_{j,1}} \frac{df}{d\tau} \bigg|_{j_1} \ d\tau = \Delta m_{i,0},
\]

where \( \frac{df}{d\tau} \bigg|_{j} \) is the same function as in the first timestep, and \( j_1 \) identifies the new \( s_j \) bin to which the \( a_{*,i} \) stellar bin belongs in the second timestep. In the second timestep, the time zero point of the function \( \frac{df}{d\tau} \bigg|_{j_1} \) shifts to \( \tau = \tau_{j,1} \) to exclude the stars with depletion timescales shorter than \( \tau_{j,1} \), so the interaction mass becomes

\[
\Delta m_{i,1} = m_i \left[ \frac{df}{d\tau} \bigg|_{j_1} (\tau = \tau_{j,1}) \frac{\Delta t_1}{P_0} \left( \frac{a_1}{a_0} \right)^{-3/2} \right],
\]

where \( \left( a_1/a_0 \right)^{-3/2} \) accounts for the change in the period of the binary as it shrinks from \( a_0 \) to \( a_1 \). We then evolve again the binary according to equation (38), where now \( \Delta E_1 \) is given by

\[
\Delta E_1 = \sum_i \left[ \frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\tau} \bigg|_{j_1} (\tau = \tau_{j,1}) \frac{\Delta t_1}{P_0} \left( \frac{a_1}{a_0} \right)^{-3/2} \right] \Delta m_{i,1}.
\]

For a generic timestep \( \Delta t_k \), the interacting mass is

\[
\Delta m_{i,k} = m_i \left[ \frac{df}{d\tau} \bigg|_{j_k} (\tau = \tau_{j,k}) \frac{\Delta t_k}{P_0} \left( \frac{a_k}{a_0} \right)^{-3/2} \right],
\]

where \( j_k \) identifies the \( s_j \) bin to which the \( a_{*,i} \) stellar bin belongs in the \( k \)-th timestep, and \( \tau_{j,k} \) labels the value of \( \tau \) that solves the implicit equation:

\[
m_i \int_0^{\tau_{j,k}} \frac{df}{d\tau} \bigg|_{j_k} \ d\tau = \sum_{k'=0}^{k-1} \Delta m_{i,k'}.
\]

The binary is then evolved according to equation (38), where \( \Delta E_k \) is given by replacing the index 1 with \( k \) in

---

**Fig. 17.** Fractions of disrupted (solid lines) and ejected (dashed lines) stars as a function of stellar semimajor axis \( a^* \), for \( e = 0.1 \) (left panel) and 0.9 (right panel). Black thin lines: \( a = a_0/10 \). Red thick lines: \( a = a_0 \). The dotted line shows the ejection fractions if disruptions are not taken into account. All other system parameters are as in Fig. 4.

**Fig. 18.** Normalized distributions of changes in specific energy (left panel) and in the \( z \)-component of the specific orbital angular momentum (right panel) for the ejected (dashed curve) and disrupted (solid curve) stars when \( e = 0.1 \). Energy is given in unit of \( GM_{12}/a \), angular momentum in unit of \( (GM_{12} a)^{1/2} \). Line styles as in Fig. 17.
The unit of time, $P_1$, the agreement between the two is quite good, validating
Fig. 20.— Stellar disruption rates as a function of time for an evolving MBHB with $q = 1/81$, $M_T = 1$, $\sigma_{100} = 1$, and different combinations of the initial $e$, $\gamma$ values of the system. Each dotted curve is derived from $10^4$ test scattering experiments in which the MBHB is evolved according to the rates given by the hybrid model (see the solid lines in the right panels of Fig. 19). Other line styles as in Fig. 19.

account, the orbital semimajor axis shrinks by a factor of 10 on a timescale of $500P_0$ before the binary stalls; at the same time, the eccentricity increases significantly to $e \approx 0.5 - 1$, depending on the initial value of $e$. When compared with the central panel of Figure 7 in Sesana et al. (2008), the results of the two integration schemes appear to be in excellent agreement. The inclusion of stellar disruptions causes the binary to stall at slightly larger $a$ and higher $e$. The increase in the stalling radius is caused by the partial suppression of energetic ejections in favor of tidal disruptions. The larger eccentricity increase can be explained as follow. Sesana et al. (2008) demonstrated that stars with $a_e < a$ tend to drive the binary toward circularization, while stars with $a_e > a$ tend to increase its eccentricity. Since the former are the most susceptible to tidal disruptions, and disrupted stars do not exchange energy and angular momentum with the binary on the average, the relative contribution of stars with $a_e > a$ is larger in the presence of tidal disruptions, pushing the binary eccentricity to higher values. In a realistic situation, the shrinking of the binary would continue at $t > 500P_0$ because of loss-cone diffusion processes and gravitational wave emission, which are not considered in this study.

Figure 20 shows the stellar-disruption rates predicted by the hybrid model (solid and dashed lines) together with those derived by the test scattering experiments with the MBHB evolved by hand (dotted lines). During the first $500P_0$, the rate remains constant, at a level that is comparable to the peak value calculated for a stationary binary. The duration of the plateau, however, is longer in the case of a decaying pair, as new stars are continuously added to the time-varying interaction loss cone. At $t \gtrsim 500P_0$, the evolution time of the MBHB exceeds the tidal disruption timescale, strongly-interacting stars get depleted, and the consumption rate drops sharply. The figure also shows that the peak disruption rate is not sensitive to the binary eccentricity but depends on $\gamma$ according to the scaling relation in equation (47). After $10^8$ yr, the total number of disrupted

7.3. Results

Figure 19 shows the evolution of a MBHB with $q = 1/81$, $M_T = 1$, and $\sigma_{100} = 1$ according to our hybrid model. The unit of time, $P_0$, is the initial binary orbital period at $a = a_0$, equal to (400, 6700) yr when $\gamma = (2, 1.5)$. When stellar disruptions are not taken into account, the orbital semimajor axis shrinks by a factor of 10 on a timescale of $500P_0$ before the binary stalls; at the same time, the eccentricity increases significantly to $e \approx 0.5 - 1$, depending on the initial value of $e$. When compared with the central panel of Figure 7 in Sesana et al. (2008), the results of the two integration schemes appear to be in excellent agreement. The inclusion of stellar disruptions causes the binary to stall at slightly larger $a$ and higher $e$. The increase in the stalling radius is caused by the partial suppression of energetic ejections in favor of tidal disruptions. The larger eccentricity increase can be explained as follow. Sesana et al. (2008) demonstrated that stars with $a_e < a$ tend to drive the binary toward circularization, while stars with $a_e > a$ tend to increase its eccentricity. Since the former are the most susceptible to tidal disruptions, and disrupted stars do not exchange energy and angular momentum with the binary on the average, the relative contribution of stars with $a_e > a$ is larger in the presence of tidal disruptions, pushing the binary eccentricity to higher values. In a realistic situation, the shrinking of the binary would continue at $t > 500P_0$ because of loss-cone diffusion processes and gravitational wave emission, which are not considered in this study.

Figure 20 shows the stellar-disruption rates predicted by the hybrid model (solid and dashed lines) together with those derived by the test scattering experiments with the MBHB evolved by hand (dotted lines). During the first $500P_0$, the rate remains constant, at a level that is comparable to the peak value calculated for a stationary binary. The duration of the plateau, however, is longer in the case of a decaying pair, as new stars are continuously added to the time-varying interaction loss cone. At $t \gtrsim 500P_0$, the evolution time of the MBHB exceeds the tidal disruption timescale, strongly-interacting stars get depleted, and the consumption rate drops sharply. The figure also shows that the peak disruption rate is not sensitive to the binary eccentricity but depends on $\gamma$ according to the scaling relation in equation (47). After $10^8$ yr, the total number of disrupted
stars is \((6.5 \times 10^4, 2.3 \times 10^4)\) for \(\gamma = (2, 1.5)\). The disruption rate during the plateau phase remains constant even though equation (37) predicts an increase \(\propto a_0/a\) (assuming an isothermal cusp). Equation (37) was derived, however, assuming a stationary binary at different orbital separations in an unperturbed stellar profile. In our hybrid model, the binary shrinks while deleting the stellar cusp, and many of the stars available for disruption at, say, \(a = 0.1a_0\) are actually ejected or disrupted during the evolution of the pair from \(a_0\) to \(0.1a_0\), leveling off the disruption rate. Given that: (1) the disruption rate in the plateau phase remains constant and is consistent with the predictions of the Kozai mechanism even for evolving binaries; and (2) the duration of the plateau is of the order of the binary decay timescale, \(t_d \propto q^{-5/2}P_0\) (Sesana et al. 2008), the total number of disrupted stars can be scaled according to

\[
N_* \propto t_d N_\ast \propto (3-\gamma)^{-1/2}(2-\gamma)/(6-2\gamma)M_1^{2/3}a_*^{(3-\gamma)^{-1/2}(2-\gamma)/(6-2\gamma)}M_1^{-1/12},
\]

where we used equation (11) for \(P_0\) and the \(M_1 - a_*\) relation in the second proportionality. According to the above equation, for \(q = 1/81\), \(N_*\) should drop by a factor of 2.5 as \(\gamma\) varies from 2 to 1.5, consistent with the numbers derived from our hybrid model. Also, as long as \(\gamma \gtrsim 1.5\), \(N_*\) should not be very sensitive to the binary mass ratio \(q\). We stress that these scalings are derived from the no-GR/no-cusp experiments, and their validity is limited to binaries with \(q > 0.01\).

8. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the tidal disruption rate in a system composed by a MBHB and a bound stellar cusp. We have carried out numerical scattering experiments for a detailed investigation of the mechanisms responsible for the repopulation of the tidal loss cone, and developed a hybrid model to self-consistently solve for the evolution of the binary, the depletion of the stellar cusp, and thestellar consumption rate. Our main results can be summarized as follows:

1. For unequal binaries \((q < 0.1)\), the tidal disruption cross section for bound stars, which quantifies the probability of stellar disruption, is three orders of magnitude larger than the cross section for a single MBH fed by two-body relation. Two mechanisms contribute to such enhancement, the Kozai secular effect and chaotic resonant interactions. When the eccentricity of the MBHB is small, stars inside the Kozai wedge repopulate the tidal loss cone on the Kozai timescale, while stars outside the Kozai wedge but inside the interaction loss cone are scattered into the tidal loss cone at random times due to close interactions with the secondary hole. When the eccentricity is large, chaotic loss-cone repopulation becomes dominant over the entire range of stellar semimajor axis \(a_* \gtrsim (1-e)a\).

2. GR and cusp-induced precession quench the Kozai secular evolution of interacting stars, causing a significant suppression (by a factor of \(\sim 10\)) of the disruption rate for \(q < 0.01\). Therefore, the optimal enhancement of the tidal disruption rate by a MBHB occurs for mass ratios \(0.01 < q < 0.1\). Note that even if suppressed by a factor of \(\sim 10\), the tidal disruption rate for binaries with \(q < 0.01\) is still two order of magnitude larger than that given by standard relaxation processes around a single MBH.

3. If a MBHB with mass ratio \(q \ll 1\) does not evolve significantly during \(1/q\) revolutions, tidal disruptions of bound stars could initially persist at a constant rate (“plateau phase”) that is four dex higher than the typical rates predicted for single MBHs. After one Kozai timescale (evaluated at \(a_* = a\)), the tidal loss cone is repopulated mainly by chaotic interaction, and the stellar disruption rate decreases with time. The majority of stars are disrupted during a post-plateau later phase.

4. If a MBHB evolves significantly on a timescale of \(1/q\) revolution, the plateau phase of stellar disruptions may last longer than a Kozai timescale. Tidal disruptions of bound stars slow down the shrinking of the binary and speed up the growth of binary eccentricity.

Our results indicate that, after the formation of an unequal-mass MBHB at the center of a dense stellar cusp, the tidal disruption rate may go through three distinct evolutionary phases. The first phase begins shortly after the MBHs become bound, and is characterized by a disruption rate as high as \(0.1-1\) stars per year, resulting from the three-body interactions between the binary and the bound stars (Chen et al. 2009). When the decay timescale of the MBHB becomes longer than the tidal disruption timescales of stars with \(a_* \sim a\), a second phase starts, where cusp depletion from slingshot ejections and tidal disruptions causes a sharp drop in the disruption rate. Chen et al. (2008) showed that, unless stellar relaxation is far more efficient than two-body “collisions”, the tidal disruption rate in this phase is orders of magnitudes lower than typical for a single MBH. A third phase begins if the MBHB shrinks to the gravitational wave regime and eventually coalesces. The tidal disruption rate then gradually increases to the value typical for single MBHs, \(10^{-5} - 10^{-4} \text{ yr}^{-1}\), within one stellar relaxation timescale (Merritt & Wang 2005). The number of stars disrupted during phase I is about \(10^4 - 10^5\) for \(M_7 = 1\) and \(q = 1/81\). The number of stars disrupted in phases II and III depends on the efficiency of stellar relaxation, but would not significantly exceed \(10^5 - 10^6\). If a galaxy formed, on the average, one unequal-mass MBHB following a minor merger in its lifetime, then the above numbers imply that in a sample of tidal flares from MBHs of \(\sim 10^6 M_\odot\), about 10% of events would be associated to binaries. If a galaxy forms unequal-mass MBHBs multiple times during its lifetime, then the detection rate of tidal events from binaries in transient surveys may be higher. Given the very high rates, there is also the possibility to identify MBHBs in galaxies hosting multiple tidal flares within a years-to-decades time span. Over the next decade, synoptic surveys are expected to detect hundreds of tidal disruption candidates. A tidal flare associated to a MBHB is likely interrupted within one orbital period of the binary (Liu et al. 2009), therefore is distinguishable from the flares produced by
single MBHs, as long as the orbital period of the binary is shorter than the duration of a transient survey. If MBHB-driven disruptions account for 10% of the total rate, then the prospects of identifying MBHBs through tidal flares are promising. Because the predicted rates in the three phases are significantly different from one another, the average stellar disruption rate over the lifetime of a galaxy is sensitive to the infalling rate of secondary MBHs and the relative duration of each phase. A comparison between the observational detection rate of tidal events [Donley et al. 2002, Gezari et al. 2008] and those predicted during the three phases may then shed light on the abundance and dynamical evolution of MBHBs.
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