

# A robust and coherent network statistic for detecting gravitational waves from inspiralling compact binaries in non-Gaussian noise

**Sukanta Bose**

Department of Physics and Program of Astronomy, Washington State University, Pullman,  
WA 99164-2814, USA

and

Max Planck Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert Einstein Institut, Am Mühlenberg 1,  
Golm D-14476, Germany

E-mail: [sukanta@wsu.edu](mailto:sukanta@wsu.edu)

Received 3 October 2001

Published 11 March 2002

Online at [stacks.iop.org/CQG/19/1437](http://stacks.iop.org/CQG/19/1437)

## Abstract

The robust statistic proposed by Creighton (Creighton J D E 1999 *Phys. Rev. D* **60** 021101) and Allen *et al* (Allen *et al* 2001 *Preprint* gr-gc/010500) for the detection of stationary non-Gaussian noise is briefly reviewed. We compute the robust statistic for generic weak gravitational-wave signals in the mixture-Gaussian noise model to an accuracy higher than in those analyses, and reinterpret its role. Specifically, we obtain the coherent statistic for detecting gravitational-wave signals from inspiralling compact binaries with an arbitrary network of earth-based interferometers. Finally, we show that excess computational costs incurred owing to non-Gaussianity is negligible compared to the cost of detection in Gaussian noise.

PACS numbers: 0480N, 0705K, 9780

## 1. Introduction

Realistically, detector noise is not Gaussian. Using a network helps by introducing vetoes on instrumental artefacts. Seeking a robust statistic for non-Gaussian noise is aimed at improving these vetoes, leading to higher detection efficiencies.

Use of a network of detectors, as opposed to a single detector, is useful for a variety of reasons. Networks access a larger sky volume and parameter space. They provide better estimates of parameter values. Most significantly, they can provide better detection confidence, especially in the presence of non-stationarity. On the flip side, a network search, be it of the coherent or coincident kind, is much more expensive than a single detector search [1]. For modelled sources, a reduction in this cost may be achieved by way of analytic maximization

over source parameters. Indeed, exactly how such a cost reduction can be obtained in detecting Newtonian chirps in Gaussian noise was shown in [2]. One of the main aims of this study is to explore if a similar cost reduction is viable in searches of 2PN chirps in *non-Gaussian noise*.

In this study, we recompute more accurately, the robust statistic of Creighton [3] and Allen *et al* [4] for weak gravitational-wave (GW) signals from a generic source, and reinterpret its role. For this analysis, we model the detector noise as mixture-Gaussian and stationary. Extension to the case of a network of detectors with independent noises is made in a straightforward manner. We then specifically study the case of the 2PN chirp. We obtain the robust statistic for a coherent network search of such signals and show that a cost reduction akin to that discussed in [2] is possible through analytic maximization over a subset of parameters, which includes the polarization-ellipse angle,  $\psi$ , and the binary orbit's inclination angle  $\epsilon$ . Finally, we briefly discuss the computational cost accrued in excess of a search in *Gaussian noise* and show it to be negligible.

## 2. Noise model

It is well known that the strain  $x(t)$  in a detector is typically noisy. Assuming additive noise allows us to express this physical quantity as

$$x(t) = s(t) + n(t) \quad (1)$$

where  $s(t)$  is a gravitational-wave signal and the noise,  $n(t)$ , is generally non-Gaussian. The search challenge here is of filtering signals embedded in non-Gaussian noise. Henceforth, we will often refer to the above quantities by their discrete time-series relatives,  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$ , respectively. If the number of points in each times series is  $N$ , then the quantities in boldface live in an  $N$ -dimensional vector space. Their counterparts in the frequency domain are denoted by  $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{n}}$ , respectively.

To make progress in defining a detection statistic, we shall assume a certain model for the detector noise in this paper. Following Allen *et al* [4], we take the noise in a given detector to be described by the general probability distribution function (PDF):

$$p(\tilde{\mathbf{n}}) = \prod_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \frac{2}{\pi P_k} \exp \left[ -2g_k \left( \frac{|\tilde{n}_k|^2}{P_k} \right) \right] \quad (2)$$

where the covariance of different frequency components,  $\tilde{n}_k$ , of the noise is given by

$$\overline{\tilde{n}_k \tilde{n}_{k'}^*} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{kk'} P_k. \quad (3)$$

We take the noise in a detector to have zero mean, i.e.,  $\tilde{\mathbf{n}} = 0$ . In the particular case where one has  $g_k(y_k) = y_k$ , the above PDF describes *Gaussian noise* with zero mean. We assume here that the noise is stationary.

## 3. Detection statistic

Consider the strain,  $\mathbf{x}$ , in a given detector. Let the probability that it contains a signal of amplitude  $A$  be denoted by  $p(\mathbf{x}|A)$ . Then the likelihood ratio can be defined as

$$\Lambda = \frac{p(\mathbf{x}|A)}{p(\mathbf{x}|0)}. \quad (4)$$

When  $p(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$  is chosen to be the PDF of Gaussian noise, the Neyman–Pearson criterion yields the decision statistic to be

$$\ln \Lambda = A \times 4 \Re \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \frac{\tilde{s}_k^* \tilde{x}_k}{P_k} \right] + \text{const.} \quad (5)$$

This is the optimal statistic in such noise and for any strength,  $A$ , of the signal. For non-Gaussian noise, however,  $\ln \Lambda$  is generally a non-trivial function of  $A$ , and is not necessarily the optimal statistic for all  $A$ .

Since in the first stages of the upcoming detectors, we expect the SNR to be small, we seek a statistic that is *locally optimal* for small  $A$  [5]. This is given by

$$L = \left. \frac{d \ln \Lambda}{dA} \right|_{A=0} = 4 \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \Re \left( \frac{\tilde{s}_k^* \tilde{x}_k}{P_k} \right) g'_k \left( \frac{|\tilde{x}_k|^2}{P_k} \right) \quad (6)$$

where the prime ( $'$ ) on a function denotes its derivative with respect to its argument.

As an example of non-Gaussian noise, consider the mixture-Gaussian noise

$$p(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_i(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=1}^K \frac{w_i \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^\dagger \cdot \Phi^i \cdot \mathbf{n}\right)}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{R}^i}} \quad (7)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^K w_i = 1$ , and  $w_i > 0$  for all  $i$ .  $\mathbf{R}^i$  is the autocorrelation matrix of the  $i$ th component of the mixture-Gaussian distribution and  $\Phi^i := (\mathbf{R}^i)^{-1}$ . It is straightforward to infer  $p(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$  from above. Using the derivative of the associated  $g_k$  in the expression for the locally optimal statistic (LOS) above, we find

$$L = \frac{4}{p(\mathbf{x}|0)} \sum_{i=1}^K p_i(\mathbf{x}|0) \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \Re \left( \frac{\tilde{s}_k^* \tilde{x}_k}{P_k^i} \right) g'_k \left( \frac{|\tilde{x}_k|^2}{P_k^i} \right) \right] \quad (8)$$

which is just the weighted sum of the LOS for each component of the mixture-Gaussian noise.

#### 4. Special case of non-Gaussian noise

When the detector noise can be modelled as an ambient Gaussian noise interspersed with occasional large noise bursts (with a Gaussian distributed amplitude), then

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{n}) &= p^G(\mathbf{n}) + p^B(\mathbf{n}) \\ &= \frac{w \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^\dagger \cdot \Phi^{G^i} \cdot \mathbf{n}\right)}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{R}^G}} + \frac{(1-w) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^\dagger \cdot \Phi^B \cdot \mathbf{n}\right)}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{R}^B}}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Using the  $p(\tilde{\mathbf{n}})$  associated with the above distribution in expression (8) for the LOS, we obtain

$$L = \frac{4}{(1+\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \Re \left( \frac{\tilde{s}_k^* \tilde{x}_k}{P'_k} \right) \quad (10)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{p^B(\mathbf{x}|0)}{p^G(\mathbf{x}|0)} = \frac{(1-w)}{w} \sqrt{\frac{\det \mathbf{R}^G}{\det \mathbf{R}^B}} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^\dagger \cdot (\Phi^G - \Phi^B) \cdot \mathbf{n}\right]. \quad (11)$$

The above LOS is very similar to that for Gaussian noise, except for a couple of differences. First, as noted in [4], it is now weighted by the prefactor  $(1+\alpha)^{-1}$ . Second, we find that the denominator inside the summand in equation (10) is not  $P_k$ , but  $P'_k$ :<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{1}{P'_k} \equiv \left[ \frac{1}{P_k^G} + \frac{\alpha}{P_k^B} \right]. \quad (12)$$

<sup>1</sup> It is important to note that the contribution to the statistic (10) arising from the second term on the right-hand side of equation (12) does not necessarily become negligible under the approximation,  $\mathbf{n}^\dagger \cdot \Phi^{G^i} \cdot \mathbf{n} \gg \mathbf{n}^\dagger \cdot \Phi^B \cdot \mathbf{n}$ , of [4]. In other words, such a term should be present even when this approximation is valid.

Therefore, equation (10) is a small but important generalization of a similar expression derived in [4]: the interpretation of the prefactor  $(1 + \alpha)^{-1}$  was correctly given in [4] as a factor that ‘vetoes’ the contribution to the LOS arising from large noise bursts. The factor  $P_k'^{-1}$  performs a similar vetoing, but at the level of each frequency band. A new interpretation is the following: if the presence of a signal coincides with that of a noise burst, then the factor  $P_k'^{-1}$  can disallow incorrect vetoing based purely on the factor of  $(1 + \alpha)^{-1}$ . This happens when the signal magnitude is such that the contribution of the summand turns out to be relatively large compared to  $(1 + \alpha)$ .

Armed with the general expression for the LOS, (10), we now examine the specific case of the detection statistic for the 2PN binary inspiral signal.

## 5. The inspiral waveform

The GW strain in the  $I$ th detector (with  $\mathbf{o}_{(I)}$  denoting the Euler angles of its orientation relative to a fiducial frame or detector) due to an inspiral chirp is [2]

$$s^I(t) = h_{ij}(t)d^{Iij} = A \Re [Q_I^* S^I(t) e^{i\delta_c}] \quad (13)$$

where  $Q^I$  are (normalized) functionals of the antenna-pattern functions  $F^I$ :

$$Q^I \propto \left[ \frac{1 + \cos^2 \epsilon}{2} \Re(F^I) + i \cos \epsilon \operatorname{Im}(F^I) \right] \quad (14)$$

with  $F^I = F(\psi, \theta, \phi; \mathbf{o}_{(I)})$  (see [6] for a definition) and  $(\theta, \phi)$  being the source-direction angles. The time variation in the chirp is confined to the quantity  $S^I(t)$ , which we define via its Fourier transform,  $\tilde{S}^I(f)$ . Denoting  $f_s$  as a fiducial frequency, which can be chosen to be the lowest seismic cut-off frequency in a network, the stationary-phase approximation can be shown to yield

$$\tilde{S}^I(f) \propto \left( \frac{f}{f_s} \right)^{-7/6} \exp[-i\Psi_{(I)}(f)]. \quad (15)$$

For the 2PN waveform, one has

$$\Psi_{(I)}(f; t_c, \theta, \phi, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) = 2\pi f \tau_{(I)}(\theta, \phi) + 2\pi f_s \varphi_\mu(f; \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) \vartheta^\mu \quad (16)$$

where  $\tau_{(I)}$  is the time delay, relative to a fiducial detector, in the arrival of the signal at detector  $I$  and

$$\vartheta^\mu = (t_c, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2 \quad (17)$$

with [7]

$$\vartheta^1 = \frac{5}{28}(\pi M f_s)^{-5/3} \eta^{-1} \quad \vartheta^2 = \frac{\pi}{4}(\pi M f_s)^{-2/3} \eta^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

$M$  in (18) is the total mass and  $\eta$  is the ratio of reduced mass to the total mass. The parameter coefficients are

$$\varphi_\mu = \left( \frac{f}{f_s}, \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{f}{f_s} \right)^{-5/3}, \varphi_2(f; \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) \right) \quad (19)$$

where the explicit form of  $\varphi_2$  will not be required here; the reader interested in this form is referred to [7]. The 2PN chirp, therefore, is completely defined by nine parameters:  $(A, \delta_c, \psi, \epsilon, \theta, \phi, t_c, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ , where  $A$  depends on the luminosity distance of the source.

## 6. Network statistic

For uncorrelated noise<sup>2</sup>, it is easy to see that the LOS for a network is the sum of the LOS for each detector. In the specific case of the non-Gaussian noise distribution given in equation (9), this implies that in a network of  $M$  detectors

$$L_{\text{Net}} = \sum_{I=1}^M \frac{4}{(1 + \alpha_I)} \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \Re \left( \frac{\tilde{S}_k^{I*} \tilde{x}_k^I}{P_k^{I'}} \right). \quad (20)$$

What is not apparent, however, is the fact that the same analytic maximization over parameters that is possible in Gaussian noise [2] also goes through for non-Gaussian noise. We now illustrate in the specific case of the non-Gaussian noise distribution in equation (9) that this is indeed true. For the 2PN waveform, we have

$$L_{\text{Net}} = \sum_{I=1}^M \frac{\langle \Re [e^{i\delta_c} Q_I^* S^I], x^I \rangle_{(I)}}{(1 + \alpha_I)} = \sum_{I=1}^M \Re [e^{i\delta_c} Q_I^* C^I] \quad (21)$$

where

$$C^I := \frac{\langle S^I, x^I \rangle_{(I)}}{(1 + \alpha_I)} = \frac{4}{(1 + \alpha_I)} \sum_{k=1}^{(N-1)/2} \Re \left( \frac{\tilde{S}_k^{I*} \tilde{x}_k^I}{P_k^{I'}} \right). \quad (22)$$

Maximizing  $L_{\text{Net}}$  with respect to  $\delta_c$  gives

$$L_{\text{Net}} \Big|_{\delta_c} = \left| \sum_{I=1}^M Q_I^* C^I \right| =: |\mathbf{Q} \cdot \mathbf{C}|. \quad (23)$$

The above statistic has essentially the same form as that in [2] (which addressed the detection of Newtonian chirps in Gaussian noise), with the only exception being that the  $C^I$  are now defined differently. The difference arising from the extension to 2PN waveforms is confined to  $S^I$ , which appears solely in  $C^I$  and not in  $Q^I$ . Also, the changes owing to non-Gaussianity appear only in  $C^I$  through  $\alpha_I$  and  $P_k^{I'}$ . The parameters  $\psi$  and  $\epsilon$ , on the other hand, appear in  $\mathbf{Q}$  alone. Therefore, the maximization of the above statistic over  $\{\psi, \epsilon\}$  can be performed analytically in precisely the same way as was first shown in [2]. Consequently, the statistic resulting from such a maximization is

$$L_{\text{Net}} \Big|_{\delta_c, \psi, \epsilon} = \|\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{H}}\| \quad (24)$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$  is the projection of the network cross-correlation vector  $\mathbf{C}$  on the helicity plane  $\mathcal{H}$ , which is defined in terms of the source-direction angles  $\{\theta, \phi\}$  and the known orientation angles,  $\mathbf{o}^I$ , of each detector in a manner identical to that given in [2]. Note that the above statistic can be applied to searches of signals from deterministic sources other than chirps as well, as long as such a source can be modelled (i.e.,  $S^I$  of such a signal can be obtained) and the signal sought is transient (namely, the beam-pattern functions change negligibly while the signal dwells in the detector bandwidths).

## 7. Computational costs

Excess cost owing to non-Gaussianity is additive in nature: for detector  $I$ , it is determined by the cost of computing the value of  $\alpha_{(I)}$  and, therefore, that of

$$\|x^I\|^2 = \langle x^I, x^I \rangle_{(I)}. \quad (25)$$

<sup>2</sup> For treatment of correlated detector noises, see, e.g., [8].

This is just the cost of  $N$  complex multiplications. Thus, for  $M$  such data trains, it is  $4NM$ . As an example, the computational cost of a LIGO-I search for 2PN waveforms in Gaussian noise is about  $10^{11}$  flops for  $m_{\min} = 0.2M_{\odot}$  and  $N = 10^6$ .

This cost is much higher compared to the additional cost in non-Gaussian noise, which for one detector is

$$4N \simeq 4 \times 10^6. \quad (26)$$

Computational costs for coherent and coincident searches in Gaussian noise with a network of detectors is discussed in greater detail in [1].

In conclusion, our robust network statistic for inspiral search in non-Gaussian noise is a simple generalization of the statistic found for Gaussian noise. The excess computational cost necessary to make a search robust is much less than costs for Gaussian searches.

## References

- [1] Pai A, Bose S and Dhurandhar S 2002 Computational cost for detecting inspiralling binaries using a network of laser interferometric detectors *Proc. of the 4th Edoardo Amaldi Conf. on Gravitational Waves (Perth, Western Australia, 8–13 July, 2001)* *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** 1477
- [2] Bose S, Pai A and Dhurandhar S 2000 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **9** 325  
(Bose S, Pai A and Dhurandhar S 2000 *Preprint gr-qc/0002010*)
- [3] Creighton J D E 1999 *Phys. Rev. D* **60** 021101  
(Creighton J D E 1999 *Preprint gr-qc/9901075*)
- [4] Allen B, Creighton J, Flanagan E and Romano J 2001 Robust statistics for deterministic and stochastic gravitational waves in non-Gaussian noise *Preprint gr-qc/0105100*
- [5] Kassam S A 1988 *Signal Detection in Non-Gaussian Noise* (New York: Springer)
- [6] Pai A, Dhurandhar S and Bose S 2001 *Phys. Rev. D* **64** 042004  
(Pai A, Dhurandhar S and Bose S 2000 *Preprint gr-qc/0009078*)
- [7] Owen B and Sathyaprakash B S 1999 *Phys. Rev. D* **60** 022002
- [8] Finn S 2001 *Phys. Rev. D* **63** 102001  
(Finn S 2000 *Preprint gr-qc/0010033*)