

DBI inflation in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravityMichael Koehn,^{1,*} Jean-Luc Lehners,^{1,†} and Burt A. Ovrut^{2,‡}¹*Max-Planck-Institute for Gravitational Physics, Albert Einstein Institute, 14476 Golm, Germany*²*Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6396, USA*

(Received 9 August 2012; published 7 December 2012)

It was recently demonstrated that, when coupled to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity, the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action constructed from a single chiral superfield has the property that when the higher-derivative terms become important, the potential becomes negative. Thus, DBI inflation cannot occur in its most interesting, relativistic regime. In this paper, it is shown how to overcome this problem by coupling the model to one or more additional chiral supermultiplets. In this way, one obtains effective single real scalar field DBI models with arbitrary positive potentials, as well as multiple real scalar field DBI inflation models with hybrid potentials.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.86.123510](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.123510)

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq

I. INTRODUCTION

Inflation is a possible solution to the flatness and horizon puzzles of standard big bang cosmology. It was discovered more than 30 years ago [1–3], and is considered by many as the leading cosmological model of the early Universe. This is due in large part to its ability to generate nearly scale-invariant density perturbations at the same time as addressing the above-mentioned puzzles. However, inflation is not unique in this regard. For example, during an ekpyrotic phase [4–6], where the Universe contracts very slowly, the same big bang puzzles can be addressed and nearly scale-invariant density perturbations can be generated.¹ It follows, therefore, that to understand the actual history of our universe, we must make progress in two directions. On one hand, it is important to work out the detailed predictions of the various models of the early Universe—in particular, the different predictions they make regarding the non-Gaussian features in the primordial density perturbations [11–18]. On the other hand, it is imperative to develop the microphysical structure of the various cosmological models. In this paper, we will be mainly interested in this second aspect with the focus on inflationary models.

Our aim is to study inflationary theories with higher-derivative kinetic actions in the context of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity.² Although our work will be purely within this supergravity context, the motivation stems from the string theory. There, the dynamics of D branes and M5 branes are described

by the Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) action [20].³ This action is unusual in that it contains higher-derivative terms which are essential to understanding its dynamics.⁴ Furthermore, interactions between branes (and anti-branes) can generate an effective potential [23–27]. In such a setting, inflationary models based on the DBI action, in which the inflaton field is identified with a position modulus of the brane, have been constructed and shown to lead to interesting observational predictions—such as equilateral non-Gaussianities [28,29]. These models have mainly been analyzed in the nonsupersymmetric effective field theory. However, realistic string compactifications typically preserve minimal supersymmetry in four dimensions; see, for example Refs. [30,31]. It is of interest, therefore, to reformulate these models within the context of four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity.

In a recent paper [32], we developed a formalism for coupling chiral supermultiplets with higher-derivative kinetic terms to supergravity. Restricting to a single chiral superfield, we constructed a supergravitational generalization of the single real scalar DBI action. This supergravity theory then contains the DBI action of two real scalar fields: the constituents of the lowest component of the chiral supermultiplet along with a specific potential energy. In the process, however, we discovered that when the higher-derivative terms become significant, the potential energy necessarily becomes negative regardless of the form of the superpotential. Thus, with a single chiral supermultiplet, DBI inflation cannot occur. In this paper, we will show how this restriction can be overcome by coupling the supergravity DBI theory to one or more additional chiral superfields—each, however, with canonical

*michael.koehn@aei.mpg.de

†jlehners@aei.mpg.de

‡ovrut@elcapitan.hep.upenn.edu

¹In these models, one must also understand the transition from a contracting to an expanding phase. This remains an open issue, but see Refs. [7–10].²A study of DBI inflation in global supersymmetry (with an added Einstein-Hilbert term) was performed in Ref. [19].³The effective description in terms of the DBI action is valid at arbitrary velocity, but only as long as the proper acceleration of the branes is small.⁴Higher-derivative terms involving the extrinsic and intrinsic brane curvatures—such as those discussed in Refs. [21,22]—can arise as well. We will not consider these couplings here, but note that they might be significant in certain applications.

two-derivative kinetic terms. Such couplings can lead to positive, inflationary potentials via the elimination of the new auxiliary fields. The required couplings are similar, and in some cases, identical to those previously considered in several two-derivative inflationary models in supergravity [33–35]. However, in the higher-derivative context, they lead to a number of new features, and to different predictions for cosmological observations.

We have two main results: (i) Within the context of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity, we provide a method for obtaining DBI inflation for a single real scalar component of a chiral superfield with an arbitrary potential energy. This is accomplished both when the higher-derivative terms are negligible and, more importantly, in the relativistic regime where the higher-derivative terms are dominant. We achieve this by coupling the single chiral superfield DBI theory to one additional chiral supermultiplet, with two-derivative kinetic energy, constrained Kähler potential and specific holomorphic couplings. (ii) We show how one can obtain multireal field DBI models with positive potentials. There are two possibilities here. First, within the context of the models just discussed one can allow the scalar superpartner of the inflaton field to fully participate in the dynamics. This is accomplished by easing restrictions on the Kähler potential. In this case, the potential for the second real scalar field is automatically determined. Second, and more generally, one can couple the supergravity DBI theory to two or more additional chiral supermultiplets—in which case there is more freedom in constructing multifield potentials. The multireal scalar field models are of clear phenomenological interest, since they can each be compatible with current observational data while making predictions that are testable in the near future [36,37].

The plan of the paper is the following. In Sec. II, we review the construction of single chiral superfield DBI actions in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. This reveals that, in the relativistic regime, the potential for both real component scalars in the DBI action is negative and thus prohibits inflation from occurring. In Sec. III, we show how the inclusion of a second chiral supermultiplet modifies this conclusion. In fact, via a judicious choice of both the Kähler potential and superpotential, this allows arbitrary positive potentials to be constructed for a single real DBI scalar field, while simultaneously fixing the remaining three real scalars. In the beginning of the next section, we briefly discuss how this theory can be modified so that both real component scalars of the DBI superfield become dynamical. In Sec. IV, we introduce a third chiral superfield. This allows us to construct a more general class of multifield models of DBI inflation in supergravity, including, for example, models with inflationary potentials of the hybrid type. We conclude in Sec. V.

II. HIGHER-DERIVATIVE KINETIC TERMS IN SUPERGRAVITY

In Ref. [32], we showed how to couple chiral superfields with higher-derivative kinetic terms to four-dimensional

$\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity.⁵ Since we are interested in cosmological applications, fermionic component fields will be ignored throughout. The construction takes place in curved superspace, which is the most natural setting for writing actions invariant under local supersymmetry transformations. A chiral superfield Φ then admits the expansion

$$\Phi = A + \Theta^\alpha \Theta_\alpha F, \quad (2.1)$$

where A is a complex scalar field and F is a complex auxiliary field. The Θ coordinates are Grassmann-valued and carry local Lorentz indices (α denotes the index of a two-component Weyl spinor). They extend ordinary spacetime to curved superspace, and are defined precisely so that A and F arise as the components of Φ in the above expansion. In curved superspace, supersymmetric Lagrangians can be constructed from the chiral integrals

$$\int d^2\Theta (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 8R)L, \quad (2.2)$$

where L is a scalar Hermitian function. The chiral projector in curved superspace is $\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 8R$, where $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_\alpha$ is a spinorial component of the curved superspace covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_A = \{\mathcal{D}_a, \mathcal{D}_\alpha, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\}$ and R is the curvature superfield. In its component expansion, R contains the Ricci scalar \mathcal{R} as well as the auxiliary fields of supergravity—namely a complex scalar M and a real vector b_m . The purely bosonic components in the Θ expansion of R are

$$R = -\frac{1}{6}M + \Theta^2 \left(\frac{1}{12}\mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{9}MM^* - \frac{1}{18}b_m b^m + \frac{1}{6}i e_a^m \mathcal{D}_m b^a \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Another superfield that we will need is the chiral density \mathcal{E} with expansion

$$2\mathcal{E} = e(1 - \Theta^2 M^*), \quad (2.4)$$

where e is the determinant of the vierbein. Note that the tangent space Lorentz indices $A = \{a, \alpha, \dot{\alpha}\}$ are related to the spacetime indices $M = \{m, \mu, \dot{\mu}\}$ via the supervielbein E_M^A and its inverse, with $E_m^a = e_m^a$ being the ordinary vierbein. For a complete discussion of curved superspace we refer the reader to Ref. [47].

The supergravity theory of chiral supermultiplets with higher-derivative kinetic terms is defined via the Lagrangian

⁵Also see Ref. [38], where related results were obtained. Earlier work of interest includes Refs. [39–46].

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \int d^2\Theta d^2\mathcal{E} \left[\frac{3}{8} (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 8R) e^{-K(\Phi^i, \Phi^{\dagger k^*})/3} + W(\Phi^i) \right] \\ & + \text{H.c.} - \frac{1}{8} \int d^2\Theta d^2\mathcal{E} (\bar{\mathcal{D}}^2 - 8R) \\ & \times \mathcal{D}\Phi^i \mathcal{D}\Phi^j \bar{\mathcal{D}}\Phi^{\dagger k^*} \bar{\mathcal{D}}\Phi^{\dagger l^*} T_{ijk^*l^*} + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The first two terms contain the Kähler potential $K(\Phi^i, \Phi^{\dagger k^*})$, which is a Hermitian function of the chiral superfields Φ^i (where index $i = 1, 2, \dots$ enumerates the fields) and the superpotential, given by the holomorphic function $W(\Phi^i)$. By themselves, these terms lead to “normal” two-derivative kinetic energy and a potential for the scalar superfields coupled to canonical supergravity. The final term, however, describes chiral superfields with higher-derivative kinetic energy. $T_{ijk^*l^*}$ is a tensor superfield that is Hermitian and symmetric in the indices i, j as well as in k^*, l^* . It contains

$$16T = \frac{f(\Phi, \Phi^\dagger)}{1 + f\partial\Phi \cdot \partial\Phi^\dagger e^{K/3} + \sqrt{(1 + f\partial\Phi \cdot \partial\Phi^\dagger e^{K/3})^2 - f^2(\partial\Phi)^2(\partial\Phi^\dagger)^2} e^{2K/3}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Here $f(\Phi, \Phi^\dagger)$ is an arbitrary Hermitian function and we have used the notation that $\partial\Phi \cdot \partial\Phi^\dagger = g^{mn} \mathcal{D}_m \Phi \mathcal{D}_n \Phi^\dagger$. In a brane setting, the lowest component of the f function can be identified with the warp factor of the direction in which the brane moves. Performing the $d^2\Theta$ integral in the Lagrangian (2.5) picks out the Θ^2 component of the integrand. A feature of chiral supergravity is that, after performing this integration, one does not end up in Einstein

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{e} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} + 3e^K |W|^2 - \frac{1}{f} \left(\sqrt{1 + 2f\partial A \cdot \partial A^* + f^2(\partial A \cdot \partial A^*)^2 - f^2(\partial A)^2(\partial A^*)^2} - 1 \right) + e^{K/3} |F|^2 + e^{2K/3} (F(D_A W) + F^*(D_A W)^*) \\ & - 32e^{K/3} |F|^2 \partial A \cdot \partial A^* \mathcal{T} + 16e^{2K/3} |F|^4 \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here \mathcal{T} , which is the Weyl rescaled lowest component of T , is given by

$$16\mathcal{T} = \frac{f}{1 + f\partial A \cdot \partial A^* + \sqrt{(1 + f\partial A \cdot \partial A^*)^2 - f^2(\partial A)^2(\partial A^*)^2}} \quad (2.10)$$

with $f = f(A, A^*)$. The second line of (2.9) can be recognized as the DBI action for the *two* real scalar fields ϕ, ξ that make up the complex scalar A [48]. That is, the simplest $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity generalization of the single real scalar DBI action naturally produces a DBI theory for both real scalar component fields. As can be seen from the action, when the fields depend only on time there exists an upper bound on the velocity of A given by

$$|\dot{A}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2f}. \quad (2.11)$$

an arbitrary real function of the chiral superfields and their covariant spacetime derivatives \mathcal{D}_m , with all such indices contracted. Here, we will be interested in the case where only one of the chiral superfields, namely $\Phi^1 \equiv \Phi$, has a higher-derivative action—the generalization to many superfields with higher-derivative actions being straightforward. In that case, $T_{ijk^*l^*}$ effectively reduces to a single arbitrary function T of Φ, Φ^\dagger and their spacetime derivatives.

By choosing this function appropriately, one can write a supergravity version of the single real scalar field DBI action. It turns out that we need to consider a Kähler potential with the property

$$\frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial\Phi \partial\Phi^\dagger} \Big| = K_{,AA^*} = 1 \quad (2.6)$$

and a tensor superfield [32,48]

frame. Rather, one has to perform a Weyl rescaling of the fields first, with the vierbein transforming as

$$e_m^a \rightarrow e_m^a e^{K/6}. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that this rescaling also removes the factors of $e^{K/3}$ in (2.7). Then, after eliminating the auxiliary fields b_m, M of the supergravity multiplet, the Lagrangian reduces to

The so-called *relativistic regime* corresponds to the situation where this bound is (almost) saturated. Models of DBI inflation [28] exploit this inequality. As the brane moves towards a region of large f , the scalars are automatically constrained to move slowly, allowing for inflation to occur on potentials that would otherwise be too steep.

In the above Lagrangian, the auxiliary field F has not yet been eliminated. Its equation of motion is algebraic, and given by

$$F + e^{K/3} (D_A W)^* + 32F\mathcal{T} (e^{K/3} |F|^2 - \partial A \cdot \partial A^*) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

Interestingly, this is a cubic equation. Thus, F admits up to three solutions. In our previous paper [32], we showed that one of these solutions, which we termed the *ordinary branch*, is directly related to the usual solution for F that one obtains in the absence of higher-derivative terms.

In this paper, we only consider this branch. The remaining two branches lead to entirely different theories, which are not continuously connected to the ordinary branch as the higher-derivative terms become small. The ordinary branch solution for F is given by

$$F = F_+ + F_-, \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\pm} &= \left(-\frac{q}{2} \pm \left(\left(\frac{q}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{p}{3} \right)^3 \right)^{1/2} \right)^{1/3}, \\ q &= \frac{1}{32\mathcal{T}} \frac{(D_A W)^{*2}}{D_A W}, \\ p &= e^{-K/3} \frac{(D_A W)^*}{D_A W} \left(\frac{1}{32\mathcal{T}} - \partial A \cdot \partial A^* \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

When f is small, so is \mathcal{T} and F approaches the usual solution

$$\frac{1}{e} \mathcal{L}_{\text{rel.}} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} + 3e^K |W|^2 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{e^K |D_A W|^2}{(4f e^K |D_A W|^2)^{1/3}} - \frac{1}{f} \left(\sqrt{1 + 2f \partial A \cdot \partial A^* + f^2 (\partial A \cdot \partial A^*)^2 - f^2 (\partial A)^2 (\partial A^*)^2} - 1 \right) + \mathcal{O}(f^{-2/3}). \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, to leading order the potential is given by

$$V_{\text{rel}} = -3e^K |W|^2, \quad (2.19)$$

which is negative for any choice of superpotential. The term arising from eliminating F is subleading. It is evident, therefore, that inflation cannot occur since a phase of de Sitter-like expansion requires a positive energy density in the Universe. Thus, supergravitational relativistic DBI inflation with a single chiral superfield does not work.

III. DBI INFLATION FROM COUPLING TO A SECOND SUPERFIELD

We have shown that, in the relativistic limit, the supergravitational DBI theory of a single chiral supermultiplet Φ has a negative potential energy and, hence, inflation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{e} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} + 3e^K |W|^2 - K_{,BB^*} \partial B \cdot \partial B^* - \frac{1}{f} \left(\sqrt{1 + 2f \partial A \cdot \partial A^* + f^2 (\partial A \cdot \partial A^*)^2 - f^2 (\partial A)^2 (\partial A^*)^2} - 1 \right) \\ &\quad + K_{,BB^*} e^{K/3} |F_B|^2 + e^{2K/3} (F_B (D_B W) + F_B^* (D_B W)^*) + e^{K/3} |F|^2 + e^{2K/3} (F (D_A W) + F^* (D_A W)^*) \\ &\quad - 32e^{K/3} |F|^2 \partial A \cdot \partial A^* \mathcal{T} + 16e^{2K/3} |F|^4 \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

In this expression, the auxiliary fields F , F_B of the two chiral multiplets have not yet been eliminated. Their equations of motion are given by

$$F + e^{K/3} (D_A W)^* + 32F \mathcal{T} (e^{K/3} |F|^2 - \partial A \cdot \partial A^*) = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

⁶One could equally well assume that it also has higher-derivative kinetic terms, but that they are unimportant in the vacuum. For simplicity, we will not pursue this option here.

$$F \approx -e^{K/3} (D_A W)^* \quad (f \text{ small}). \quad (2.15)$$

In this *nonrelativistic* limit, after substituting for F one obtains the usual potential

$$V_{\text{non-rel.}} = e^K (|D_A W|^2 - 3|W|^2). \quad (2.16)$$

Note that this expression is only valid as long as the higher-derivative terms in A are irrelevant.

More interesting for our purposes is the *relativistic limit*, where f is large and $|\dot{A}|^2$ correspondingly small, with $\mathcal{T} \approx f/8$. In that case, the solution for F approaches

$$F \approx -\left(\frac{(D_A W)^{*2}}{4f D_A W} \right)^{1/3} \quad (f \text{ large}). \quad (2.17)$$

After substituting for F in the relativistic limit, the Lagrangian becomes

cannot occur. Let us now extend this theory by coupling it to a second chiral superfield S with component expansion

$$S = B + \Theta^\alpha \Theta_\alpha F_B. \quad (3.1)$$

Here B is a complex scalar and F_B the complex auxiliary field associated with S . We will assume that this second field has a two-derivative action.⁶ Then, choosing a Kähler potential such that

$$K_{,AA^*} = 1, \quad (3.2)$$

$$K_{,AB^*} = 0 = K_{,A^*B}, \quad (3.3)$$

and after the same manipulations as in the previous section—for example, Weyl rescaling the action and eliminating the auxiliary fields b_m , M —we obtain the Lagrangian

$$K_{,BB^*} F_B + e^{K/3} (D_B W)^* = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Note that these equations are not coupled and, thus, F can be eliminated as in the previous section. It is also straightforward to substitute for F_B , since its equation of motion is algebraic and linear. In the nonrelativistic limit—that is, when f is small—one obtains the usual potential

$$V_{\text{non-rel.,2 superfields}} = e^K (|D_A W|^2 + K^{,BB^*} |D_B W|^2 - 3|W|^2). \quad (3.7)$$

However, in the relativistic limit the $|D_A W|^2$ term again is subdominant and the potential becomes

$$V_{\text{rel.,2 superfields}} = e^K (K^{,BB^*} |D_B W|^2 - 3e^K |W|^2). \quad (3.8)$$

Comparing this to expression (2.19), we see that in the two superfield case a new, positive definite term enters the potential energy. Hence, by choosing the superpotential appropriately, the overall potential can be made positive along the direction(s) of interest in field space—thus enabling inflation to occur.

We will first be interested in the case where one allows the two real scalars in

$$A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi + i\xi) \quad (3.9)$$

to be dynamically relevant. These scalars both have kinetic terms of the DBI form—as is evident, for example, from (2.18). Our formalism also implies that, after the potential energy has been chosen for the first scalar, the potential of the second scalar is automatically determined. Moreover, when the Kähler potential satisfies certain additional requirements—which we derive below—this second scalar can be stabilized. In this case, our construction allows one to obtain an arbitrary positive potential. Choosing this appropriately leads effectively to a single real component field model of DBI inflation.

We choose for the superpotential W an Ansatz first used in Ref. [33] and analyzed, in detail, in Ref. [34] within the context of ordinary two-derivative supergravity. This Ansatz is

$$W = S w(\Phi), \quad (3.10)$$

where $w(\Phi)$ is a “real” holomorphic function of Φ ; that is, $w(\Phi) = \sum_n c_n \Phi^n$ with $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The coefficients are chosen to be real for simplicity. The lowest component of W is given by $Bw(A)$. On the $B = 0$ plane, we have $W = 0$, $D_B W = w(A)$ and, hence, the potential energy (3.8) becomes

$$V_{B=0} = e^{K(A,A^*)} K^{,BB^*} |w(A)|^2. \quad (3.11)$$

Here, the Kähler potential is also evaluated at $B = 0$. The B field can always be rescaled so that its kinetic term is canonical (when $B = 0$). Correspondingly, we will take $K_{,BB^*}|_{B=0} = 1$. Then the potential further simplifies to

$$V_{B=0} = e^{K(A,A^*)} |w(A)|^2. \quad (3.12)$$

For this expression to be physically relevant, one must ensure that the dynamics is restricted to the $B = 0$ plane. That is, the two real scalar fields b, d , defined by

$$B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (b + id), \quad (3.13)$$

must be stabilized with zero vacuum expectation values. In an inflationary context, this means that around $b = d = 0$ the scalar squared masses m_b^2, m_d^2 must be positive and at least as large as the Hubble expansion scale H^2 . A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} m_b^2 &= \left. \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial b^2} \right|_{b=d=0} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial B^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial B \partial B^*} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial B^{*2}} \right) \Big|_{B=0} \\ &= -e^{K(A,A^*)} |w(A)|^2 K_{,BBB^*B^*}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

with a similar expression for m_d^2 . One can assume that, during inflation, the dynamics is dominated by the potential and, thus, the Friedmann equation implies that $V \approx 3H^2$. Then the requirement that $m_b^2, m_d^2 \gtrsim H^2$ translates into the stability condition

$$K_{,BBB^*B^*} \lesssim -\frac{1}{3}. \quad (3.15)$$

This condition is analogous to that found in two-derivative supergravity models [34]. It can be satisfied, for example, if the Kähler potential includes a term $\zeta (BB^*)^2$ with $\zeta \lesssim -1/12$.

Now note that for the superpotential (3.10), $D_A W$ is proportional to B and hence vanishes on the $B = 0$ plane. Thus, the potential term $e^K |D_A W|^2$ that becomes subdominant in the relativistic limit, is actually zero on the inflationary trajectory for models of this type. This can also be seen directly from the equation of motion (3.5) for F . For the Ansatz (3.10) the ordinary branch solution for F is simply the trivial solution $F = 0$ if we restrict to the $B = 0$ plane. In other words, in going from the approximately two-derivative regime to the relativistic DBI regime, the potential does *not* change for the models considered here. This special feature is entirely nontrivial, and arises as a direct consequence of the choice (3.10). It greatly facilitates the analysis of the corresponding inflationary models.

Let us now restrict the theory further, so that only a single real scalar field in (3.9) remains dynamical. For this purpose, choose the Kähler potential to depend on Φ, Φ^\dagger via the combination $-\frac{1}{2} (\Phi - \Phi^\dagger)^2$ only. Then, the Kähler potential will not depend on ϕ . Correspondingly, if ξ is now stabilized around $\xi = 0$ with a sufficiently high mass, then the dynamics will take place entirely in the ϕ direction with the potential

$$V_\phi = w\left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus, any smooth positive potential can be engineered in this way, simply by identifying w with the square root of the

desired potential and analytically continuing w to the complex plane [34]. However, for consistency, one must check under what conditions ξ is stabilized. Its mass along the putative inflationary trajectory is given by

$$\begin{aligned} m_\xi^2 &= \left. \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \xi^2} \right|_{\xi=b=d=0} \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial A^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial A \partial A^*} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial A^{*2}} \right) \Big|_{\xi=B=0} \\ &= -w w'' + w'^2 + 2w^2(1 - K_{,AA^*BB^*}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where $w' = w_{,A}|_{\xi=0}$. This mass is identical to that obtained in two-derivative supergravity theories [34]. A working model of single real component field DBI inflation must then satisfy $m_\xi^2 \gtrsim H^2$ —otherwise perturbations in the ξ field also become relevant. When w''/w and $(w'/w)^2$ are small (bearing in mind that for DBI inflation they need not be as small as for two-derivative inflation), this translates into the requirement

$$K_{,AA^*BB^*} \lesssim \frac{5}{6}. \quad (3.18)$$

An example of a Kähler potential satisfying all of the above assumptions and stability constraints was discussed in Ref. [34]. Here, we will simply repeat it for specificity. It is given by

$$K = -\frac{1}{2}(\Phi - \Phi^\dagger)^2 + SS^\dagger + \zeta(SS^\dagger)^2 + \frac{\gamma}{2}SS^\dagger(\Phi - \Phi^\dagger)^2, \quad (3.19)$$

with $\zeta \lesssim -1/12$ and $\gamma \gtrsim 5/6$.

IV. COUPLING TO ADDITIONAL CHIRAL SUPERFIELDS

DBI inflation was inspired by the string theory, and is of importance because it has a more direct link to microphysics than most inflationary models. The higher-derivative terms play a crucial role in DBI theories, since they lead to the speed limit (2.11). They also imply the generation of significant equilateral non-Gaussianity [28,29]. Interestingly, models of single real scalar field DBI inflation are already tightly constrained by current observations—precisely because of the constraints imposed by the underlying microphysics. Such models could be ruled out in the near future [49–52]. However, restricting to a single real scalar field is not necessary within a string theory context. For example, many DBI models that have been considered focus on a D3 brane moving along a warped throat of an internal Calabi-Yau manifold. The radial direction is typically identified with the inflaton. By construction, however, such models naturally have multiple real scalar fields, with the angular directions in the Calabi-Yau space providing the additional degrees of freedom [36]. Hence, it is of interest to also study multifield models of DBI inflation. For such theories, the constraints arising from the comparison with observational data are typically less severe. An interesting

recent example is provided in Ref. [37], which is in agreement with all current observations, but where significant non-Gaussianities of both local and equilateral type are predicted.

The models studied in the previous section, if the second real scalar ξ is *not* stabilized, can be regarded as two real scalar field models. This can be achieved by removing restriction (3.18) on the Kähler potential. However, the form of the potential (3.12) is then rather restrictive. We found that an essentially arbitrary positive potential could be obtained in the purely ϕ direction by choosing $w(A)$ appropriately. But, given $w(A)$, the potential for the second field ξ is then determined at the same time. Hence, there is a risk that the second direction spoils the suitability of the potential for inflationary dynamics [53]. It turns out that more flexibility in constructing multireal scalar field potentials can be obtained by coupling our theory to a third chiral superfield Ψ , with component expansion

$$\Psi = C + \Theta^\alpha \Theta_\alpha F_C. \quad (4.1)$$

We will assume that Ψ , just like S , does not appear with higher-derivative kinetic terms in the Lagrangian. Then, in analogy with F_B above, the auxiliary field F_C is easily eliminated. Furthermore, in addition to conditions (3.2) and (3.3), we restrict the Kähler potential to satisfy

$$K_{,BC^*} = 0 = K_{,B^*C}. \quad (4.2)$$

In the relativistic limit, the potential now becomes

$$V_{\text{rel.,3superfields}} = e^{K(K_{,BB^*}|D_B W|^2 + K_{,CC^*}|D_C W|^2 - 3|W|^2)}. \quad (4.3)$$

In the nonrelativistic limit there would be an additional term $e^K |D_A W|^2$.

When considering multiple fields, inflationary models of the so-called *hybrid* type are of particular interest. In such theories, inflation occurs along a direction that becomes unstable at a certain field value. At this point, the inflationary trajectory makes a turn in field space, following the locally steepest direction to a true minimum of the potential. To obtain such models in two-derivative supergravity, a superpotential of the form

$$W = \Phi(a_1 \Psi^2 - a_2) \quad (4.4)$$

has been used [54,55], where $a_{1,2}$ are constants.⁷ In the present context, this approach does not work. The reason is that the $D_A W$ terms, which are needed to obtain the desired potential, are subdominant in the relativistic regime. However, instead of coupling Ψ directly to Φ , one can

⁷In the context of supersymmetric grand unified theory models, this can be generalized to a pair of conjugate chiral fields Ψ , Ψ^c , which transform nontrivially under the action of a gauge group—see, for example Refs. [56,57]. In this case, one may choose a superpotential of the form $W = \Phi(a_1 \Psi \Psi^c - a_2)$.

couple Ψ to S instead, while continuing to couple S to Φ as in the previous section. Thus, we consider the superpotential

$$W = Sw(\Phi, \Psi), \quad (4.5)$$

where w is now a holomorphic function of Φ and Ψ , and where we will assume that in the double Taylor series expansion of w in Φ, Ψ only real coefficients occur. The lowest component of this superpotential is $Bw(A, C)$. Note that $D_A W, D_C W$ and W itself are all proportional to B . Hence, if the stability condition (3.15) holds, then the dynamics once again takes place entirely on the hypersurface $B = 0$. The potential is then generated solely by the $D_B W$ term, and reduces to

$$V_{B=0} = e^{K(A, A^*, C, C^*)} |w(A, C)|^2. \quad (4.6)$$

Note that since $D_A W$ is zero in the field space region of interest, the corresponding ordinary branch solution for F is once again simply $F = 0$. Therefore, the potential is *always* given by the above expression, whether the higher-derivative DBI terms are important or not.

Similar to the analysis of Sec. III, we now investigate whether one can further restrict the dynamics to the two directions $\phi = \sqrt{2}\text{Re}(A)$ and $\rho = \sqrt{2}\text{Re}(C)$. For this to be possible, we must ensure that the directions $\xi = \sqrt{2}\text{Im}(A)$ and $\tau = \sqrt{2}\text{Im}(C)$ are stabilized when $B = 0$. Assuming that the Kähler potential depends only on the combinations $-\frac{1}{2}(\Phi - \Phi^\dagger)^2$ and $-\frac{1}{2}(\Psi - \Psi^\dagger)^2$, an analogous calculation to (3.17) shows that the corresponding masses are given by

$$m_\xi^2 = -ww_{,AA} + w_{,A}{}^2 + 2w^2(1 - K_{,AA^*BB^*}), \quad (4.7)$$

$$m_\tau^2 = -ww_{,CC} + w_{,C}{}^2 + 2w^2(1 - K_{,CC^*BB^*}), \quad (4.8)$$

where all terms are evaluated at $B = 0$. Dynamical stability during inflation is guaranteed if these masses are larger than the Hubble scale. As above, neglecting $w_{,AA}/w, w_{,CC}/w, w_{,A}^2/w^2$ and $w_{,C}^2/w^2$, we obtain the following requirements on the Kähler potential:

$$K_{,AA^*BB^*} \lesssim \frac{5}{6}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$K_{,CC^*BB^*} \lesssim \frac{5}{6}. \quad (4.10)$$

Under these conditions, the potential energy further simplifies to

$$V_{\phi, \rho} = \left(w \left(\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right)^2. \quad (4.11)$$

An example of a Kähler potential satisfying all of the assumptions and constraints above is given by an extension of (3.19),

$$K = -\frac{1}{2}(\Phi - \Phi^\dagger)^2 + SS^\dagger + \zeta(SS^\dagger)^2 + \frac{\gamma_1}{2}SS^\dagger(\Phi - \Phi^\dagger)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\Psi - \Psi^\dagger)^2 + \frac{\gamma_2}{2}SS^\dagger(\Psi - \Psi^\dagger)^2, \quad (4.12)$$

with $\zeta \lesssim -1/12$, $\gamma_1 \gtrsim 5/6$, $\gamma_2 \gtrsim 5/6$. In this case, four out of the six real scalars fields are stabilized. The two remaining scalars are dynamical fields, moving in an essentially arbitrary potential given by (4.11). For example, a typical hybrid potential can be obtained by choosing

$$W_{\text{hybrid}} = S\sqrt{a_0^2(2\Phi^2 + 4a_1\Phi^2\Psi^2 + (a_2 - 2a_3\Psi^2)^2)}, \quad (4.13)$$

with real positive constants $a_{0,1,2,3}$. This leads to the potential energy

$$V_{\text{hybrid}} = a_0^2(\phi^2 + a_1\phi^2\rho^2 + (a_2 - a_3\rho^2)^2). \quad (4.14)$$

For $\phi > \sqrt{2a_2a_3/a_1}$, inflation takes place along the $\rho = 0$ line with potential $a_0^2(\phi^2 + a_2^2)$. For $\phi < \sqrt{2a_2a_3/a_1}$, the transverse direction turns over, and two new minima now arise at $\phi = 0, \rho = \pm\sqrt{a_2/a_3}$, at which points the potential vanishes—see Fig. 1. This example illustrates how two-field potentials can be engineered by choosing the superpotential appropriately. One special feature of the models considered here is that the kinetic terms are also




FIG. 1 (color online). This graph depicts the field space trajectory in a potential of the hybrid form (4.14), with $a_0 = a_2 = 1, a_1 = 10, a_3 = 4$. For illustration purposes, we have plotted the logarithm of the potential rather than the potential itself. The trajectory first evolves along the ϕ direction with a ϕ^2 potential, and then turns as the transverse direction becomes unstable. Inflation ends as the trajectory reaches a true minimum of the potential at $\phi = 0, \rho = 1/2$ (or $-1/2$). For the models we have constructed, the kinetic terms are also of a “hybrid” type: the ϕ field evolves according to a DBI kinetic term, while ρ is governed by a standard two-derivative kinetic term.

hybrid—in the sense that ϕ has a higher-derivative DBI action, while the kinetic term for ρ is a canonical two-derivative one.

We should add that for models where the additional fields transform nontrivially under a gauge group, radiative corrections must be taken into account [57]. A more thorough analysis is then required on a case by case basis. Additionally, we would like to note that in all of our constructions, we have looked only at the inflationary sector of the theory. In a more complete setting, it is important to check that the interactions with other sectors do not spoil the inflationary dynamics [58]. Of course, this issue must also be analyzed with a specific model at hand.

V. CONCLUSIONS

One of the most important problems in cosmology is to find a scenario for the early Universe that is not only in agreement with observations, but is also rooted in a sensible microphysical theory. Only in this way can cosmology and particle physics be united, and a consistent theory of our universe be obtained. While still far from this goal, we have analyzed a small aspect of the problem in this paper, showing how to construct models of DBI inflation in four-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity.

Our recent supergravity analysis of higher-derivative actions showed that if one tries to construct a model of DBI inflation from a single chiral superfield, it is bound to fail—since the potential becomes negative when the higher-derivative terms become important. In this paper, we circumvented this problem by coupling the theory to one or more additional chiral superfields. In fact, the construction in Sec. IV can be generalized to an arbitrary number N of chiral superfields—each with two-derivative kinetic terms and appropriately constrained Kähler potential—and considering a superpotential of the form

$$W = S_W(\Phi^1, \Phi^2, \dots, \Phi^N). \quad (5.1)$$

Then, not only can the potential energy be positive but one can construct a wide range of potential functions for the original DBI scalar $\phi = \sqrt{2}\text{Re}(\Phi^1)$ and $N - 1$ additional real scalars. The remaining real scalars, that is, the two

making up the lowest component of S and one scalar in the lowest component of all the other chiral superfields, can be stabilized with masses above the Hubble scale if the Kähler potential satisfies certain requirements discussed in the text. Our analysis can be viewed as a “proof in principle” that models of multireal scalar field DBI inflation can be constructed in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity.

A crucial feature of the analysis of chiral superfields with higher-derivative actions is that, via the elimination of the auxiliary fields, the potential energy generically depends not only on the superpotential, but on the strength of the higher-derivative terms as well. Thus, in general, the potential changes during the dynamical evolution. In this paper, we have shown that, for the constrained Kähler potentials and superpotentials above, this turns out not to be the case. The contributions to the potential that depend on the higher-derivative terms vanish in the region of field space of dynamical interest. Thus, the potential remains unchanged as the higher-derivative terms become large or small. This feature considerably simplifies the study of the models considered here, and renders them more accessible for deriving their predictions for cosmological observations. We hope to pursue this topic in the near future.

Our construction illustrates that it is far from straightforward to realize DBI inflation in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity. We have shown one way in which the desired positive potentials can be obtained from an effective model-building point of view. It is interesting to ask whether there exist other ways of realizing DBI inflation within the context of supergravity. More importantly, however, is the question of whether or not such constructions can be obtained from a full-fledged string compactification, or from some other fundamental theory of particle physics. These are pertinent questions for future research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. K. and J. L. L. gratefully acknowledge the support of the European Research Council via the Starting Grant No. 256994. B. A. O. is supported in part by the DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-76-ER-03071 and the NSF under Grant No. 1001296.

-
- [1] A. H. Guth, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 347 (1981).
 - [2] A. D. Linde, *Phys. Lett.* **108B**, 389 (1982).
 - [3] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48**, 1220 (1982).
 - [4] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, P. J. Steinhardt, and N. Turok, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 123522 (2001).
 - [5] J.-L. Lehners, P. McFadden, N. Turok, and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 103501 (2007).
 - [6] J.-L. Lehners, *Phys. Rep.* **465**, 223 (2008).
 - [7] N. Turok, M. Perry, and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 106004 (2004).
 - [8] E. I. Buchbinder, J. Khoury, and B. A. Ovrut, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 123503 (2007).
 - [9] E. I. Buchbinder, J. Khoury, and B. A. Ovrut, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2007) 076.
 - [10] J.-L. Lehners, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **28**, 204004 (2011).
 - [11] J. M. Maldacena, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2003) 013.

- [12] X. Chen, *Adv. Astron.* **2010**, 638979 (2010).
- [13] E. I. Buchbinder, J. Khoury, and B. A. Ovrut, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 171302 (2008).
- [14] K. Koyama, S. Mizuno, F. Vernizzi, and D. Wands, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **11** (2007) 024.
- [15] J.-L. Lehners and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 063533 (2008).
- [16] J.-L. Lehners and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 023506 (2008).
- [17] J.-L. Lehners and S. Renaux-Petel, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 063503 (2009).
- [18] J.-L. Lehners and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 103520 (2009).
- [19] S. Sasaki, M. Yamaguchi, and D. Yokoyama, *Phys. Lett. B* **718**, 1 (2012).
- [20] R. Leigh, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **04**, 2767 (1989).
- [21] J. Khoury, B. A. Ovrut, and J. Stokes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2012) 15.
- [22] B. A. Ovrut and J. Stokes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2012) 65.
- [23] G. Dvali and S. H. Tye, *Phys. Lett. B* **450**, 72 (1999).
- [24] E. Lima, B. A. Ovrut, and J. Park, *Nucl. Phys.* **B626**, 113 (2002).
- [25] E. I. Buchbinder, R. Donagi, and B. A. Ovrut, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2002) 066.
- [26] S. Kachru, R. Kallosh, A. D. Linde, J. M. Maldacena, L. P. McAllister, and S. P. Trivedi, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **10** (2003) 013.
- [27] J. Gray, A. Lukas, and B. Ovrut, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 066007 (2007).
- [28] E. Silverstein and D. Tong, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 103505 (2004).
- [29] M. Alishahiha, E. Silverstein, and D. Tong, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 123505 (2004).
- [30] V. Braun, Y.-H. He, B. A. Ovrut, and T. Pantev, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2006) 043.
- [31] A. Lukas, B. A. Ovrut, K. Stelle, and D. Waldram, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 086001 (1999).
- [32] M. Koehn, J.-L. Lehners, and B. A. Ovrut, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 085019 (2012).
- [33] M. Kawasaki, M. Yamaguchi, and T. Yanagida, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3572 (2000).
- [34] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, and T. Rube, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 043507 (2011).
- [35] G. Lazarides and C. Panagiotakopoulos, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, R559 (1995).
- [36] D. Langlois, S. Renaux-Petel, D. A. Steer, and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 063523 (2008).
- [37] T. Kidani, K. Koyama, and S. Mizuno, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 083503 (2012).
- [38] F. Farakos and A. Kehagias, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2012) 77.
- [39] J. Khoury, J.-L. Lehners, and B. Ovrut, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 125031 (2011).
- [40] J. Khoury, J.-L. Lehners, and B. A. Ovrut, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 043521 (2011).
- [41] I. Buchbinder and S. Kuzenko, *Phys. Lett. B* **202**, 233 (1988).
- [42] I. Buchbinder, S. Kuzenko, and Z. Yarevskaya, *Nucl. Phys.* **B411**, 665 (1994).
- [43] A. Banin, I. Buchbinder, and N. Pletnev, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 045010 (2006).
- [44] F. Brandt, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **11**, 849 (1994).
- [45] F. Brandt, *Ann. Phys. (Amsterdam, Neth.)* **259**, 357 (1997).
- [46] I. Antoniadis, E. Dudas, and D. Ghilencea, *J. High Energy Phys.* **03** (2008) 045.
- [47] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity* (Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1992).
- [48] M. Rocek and A. A. Tseytlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 106001 (1999).
- [49] D. Baumann and L. McAllister, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 123508 (2007).
- [50] J. E. Lidsey and I. Huston, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **07** (2007) 002.
- [51] T. Kobayashi, S. Mukohyama, and S. Kinoshita, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **01** (2008) 028.
- [52] R. Bean, X. Chen, H. Peiris, and J. Xu, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 023527 (2008).
- [53] B. A. Ovrut and P. J. Steinhardt, *Phys. Lett.* **133B**, 161 (1983).
- [54] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart, and D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* **49**, 6410 (1994).
- [55] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rep.* **314**, 1 (1999).
- [56] A. D. Linde and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, R1841 (1997).
- [57] M. Yamaguchi, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **28**, 103001 (2011).
- [58] S. Hardeman, J. M. Oberreuter, G. A. Palma, K. Schalm, and T. van der Aalst, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2011) 009.