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The Hmong Diaspora: Preserved South-East Asian genetic ancestry in French Guianese Asians
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A B S T R A C T

The Hmong Diaspora is one of the widest modern human migrations. Mainly located in South-East Asia, the United States of America, and metropolitan France, a small community has also settled the Amazonian forest of French Guiana. We have biologically analysed 62 individuals of this unique Guianese population through three complementary genetic markers: mitochondrial DNA (HVS-I/II and coding region SNPs), Y-chromosome (SNPs and STRs), and the Gm allotypic system. All genetic systems showed a high conservation of the Asian gene pool (Asian ancestry: mtDNA = 100.0%; NRY = 99.1%; Gm = 96.6%), without a trace of founder effect. When compared across various Asian populations, the highest correlations were observed with Hmong-Mien groups still living in South-East Asia (Fst < 0.05; P-value < 0.05). Despite a long history punctuated by exodus, the French Guianese Hmong have maintained their original genetic diversity.

© 2012 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Hmong Diaspora is widely scattered in Asia, but also in America, Europe and Oceania. This human migration would have originated from the South Chinese province of Kweichow [1]. Associated with Neolithic cultures of Daxi (5300–6400 BP) and Qujialing (4600–5000 BP), the Hmong is an ancient and an important component of the human diversity of South East Asia [2]. The Han expansion, during the 16th century, forced the Hmong to leave their heartland and to settle the Yunnan highlands [2]. There, they developed an economy based on a shifting agriculture of crops like maize and millet, together with husbandry and hunting. These strategies permitted the Hmong to prosper among the other ethnic minorities of China, where they are also known as Miao. The Hmong share common cultural traits particularly with the Mien, including language, and genetic characteristics that cluster the Hmong and Mien together in the so-called Hmong-Mien group [3,4].

Following a period of calm, since the 18th century the Hmong have conflicted at various times with the Chinese government. They took refuge in northern Indochina where their skills in shifted cultivation rapidly integrated
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them into the regional economic network, and progressively gave the Hmong a relative institutional power, under the French colonial influence. However, the downside of the strategic role of the Hmong was the direct implication in the First Indochina War (1946–1954), the Vietnam War (1959–1975) and the Secret War in Laos (1964–1975). The latter triggered a massive exodus of Hmong from Laos toward northern Thailand, but also toward other continents. Almost 200,000 individuals went into exile to the United States and 15,000 to metropolitan France. Most of these migrants preferred to live in occidental cities but others were steered toward an unusual destination: French Guiana [5].

This South American territory, localised between Surinam and Brazil, has been a French colony since the 17th century. Today it only has three individuals per square kilometre. Welcoming the Hmong onto its soil represented a double advantage for the French government: to shelter former cooperating people along with populating areas of low population density where conditions of life are similar to those found in Laos [5]. Approximately 2100 Hmong are living in French Guiana. They reinstated their former rural farming communities with presenting all the social characteristics of South East Asia, and preserved their original Hmong cultural identity. But more than an ethnic isolate, they are also completely integrated in the French Guianese social network by significantly increasing the local agricultural production. Today, they constitute an important component of the ethnic diversity of French Guiana that is primarily composed by Amerindians, Europeans, Creoles and Noir Marron populations [6–10].

The French Guianese example of the Hmong Diaspora represents a unique human migration that has never been studied genetically. To this aim, three different and complementary genetic systems were analysed in this study. Uniparental lineages were determined through the analysis of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and non-recombinant Y-chromosome (NYR) haplotypes. Through the large number of populations that have previously been genotyped for these two systems, informative data are available to identify the ancestry of each haplotype observed in this study [11,12]. The third system was the biparental Gm allotypic system. This system comprises polymorphic antigenic markers located on the constant regions of the heavy chains of three subclasses of immunoglobulin G (IgG1, IgG2, and IgG3) [13,14]. The Gm system has been frequently studied in human populations and has shown heterogeneous haplotype frequencies among populations [15,16]. Our study proposes the first genetic insight into the Hmong Diaspora and its particular example in French Guiana. The present study will aim to answer two questions in particular:

- has the original Hmong genetic pattern been shaped by founder effect and admixture during their long exodus?
- is the French Guianese Hmong (FGH) gene pool still close to the Hmong pool seen in South East Asia today?

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Population sampled

A total of 141 individuals were sampled in the Cacao and Javouhey communities (Fig. 1) in 1980 under the auspices of the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (Paris, France). All samples were obtained with the informed consent of the participants. DNA was extracted recently by a phenol-chloroform protocol from sera, and stored at −20 °C. During the Cacao and Javouhey sample collection, pedigrees were recorded and used to select 62 maternal and 33 paternal unrelated lineages for the present study.

2.2. Laboratory methods

2.2.1. mtDNA

Maternal lineages were characterised by the sequencing of a large part of the D-loop region (16012-263) following previously described protocol [17]. All data were obtained on an ABI PRISM 3730 sequencer (PE, Applied Biosystems) and analysed with Sequence Scanner v.1.0 (PE,

![Fig. 1. Geographic location of the two Hmong communities sampled in French Guiana.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2012.10.003)
Applied Biosystems). For preliminary haplogroup assignment, each sequence was firstly aligned with rCRS [18] using BioEdit v.7.0.9.0, then tested for relevant single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the coding region (positions 10400, 10398, 7028, 5178, 13263, 6392) typed by Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphisms with the following enzymes: Alul, HpaI, and Ddel. The final haplogroup assignment was obtained from the differences with the rCRS by the most recent mtDNA phylogeny [12,19], then the haplotypes presented in relation to both the rCRS and RSRS references sequences [20].

2.2.2. NRY

Paternal lineages were determined by two types of markers. NRY haplotypes are a combination of seventeen Short Tandem Repeats (STRs), typed using the AmpFLSTR Yfiler® kit (PE, Applied Biosystems), and informative SNPs (UEPs: SRY 10831, M213, M9, M70, M22, Tat, 92R7, M173, P25, M122, M134, M175, P31, M50, M101, M119, SRY465, 47z, M88, M95, M216, M174, P197), typed by SNaPshot® minisequencing (PE, Applied Biosystems) using already published primers [11]. All data were read on an ABI PRISM 3730 sequencer and analysed with Genemapper v.4.0 (PE, Applied Biosystems). The YAP analysis has been obtained following Hammer and Horai [21]. The haplogroup assignment follows the recently updated NRY phylogeny [11,22].

2.2.3. Gm

The plasma samples were tested for G1m (1, 2, 3, 17), G2m (23), G3m (5, 6, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 21, 24) immunoglobulin allotypic markers by using a classical haemagglutination inhibition method [23]. The reagents used are described in [24] and [25]. The assignment of Gm haplotypes follows the International System for Human Gene Nomenclature (ISGN). They are indicated in the following order in the text: G3m, G1m, and G2m. They are set in simplified forms [13]. Allotypes are separated by commas, and the subclasses are separated by semicolons. The notation “…” indicates that the sample was tested for G2m (23) but found to be negative, and “***” stands for 10,11,13,14.

2.3. Data analyses

Rates of genetic diversity based on mtDNA, NRY and Gm data were calculated using the ARLEQUIN 3.11 software package [26]. For each of these systems, a database was compiled from published studies and divided in eight groups: French Guiana, East Asia (China, Mongolia, Taiwan, Nepal, South Korea and Japan), mainland South East Asia (Thailand, Vietnam and Malaysia), South Asia (India, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh), Insular South East Asia (Indonesia, Philippines), West Europe (France, Italy, United Kingdom, Germany and Spain) (Additional file 1). The mtDNA database is composed by 139 populations representing 11,944 HVS-I associated with their corresponding haplogroup assignment. The NRY database was composed of 50 populations representing 11,094 individuals typed for Y-STR profiles. The Gm database contains information on 21,798 individuals from 127 populations (Additional file 1).

Haplotype networks were generated for two pan-Asian lineages, the mtDNA haplogroup M* and the Y-chromosome haplogroup O3*, using respectively the HVS-I data and the Y-STR core haplotype (DYS19, DYS389I, DYS389II, DYS390, DYS391, DYS392, DYS393) from the FGH data and all Asian comparable data, via the median-joining algorithm of Network v.4.5.1.6 (www.fluxus-engineering.com). To obtain the most parsimonious networks the reticulation permissivity was set to zero. Data were pre-processed using the star contraction option in Network v.4.5.1.6 [27]. For the mtDNA data, hypermutable sites were identified by post-processing using the Steiner (MP) algorithm and removed from the analysis [28]. Weight of each Y-STR loci were characterised according to its variance in the O3a* sample, as previously described [29]. Tajima’s D and Fu’s Fs tests were calculated with mtDNA data using the ARLEQUIN 3.11 software package [26].

Cross-population comparisons based on HVS-I mtDNAs, Y-STR haplotypes and Gm lineages were performed using ARLEQUIN 3.11 [26] and depicted through the Fst parameter Significance is given for P-values under 0.05. Matrices of the Fst genetic distances and P-values are available upon request. All results obtained for the comparison between the FGH and each population of the database were graphically plotted on a map using Surfer v.8.0, using the location of each population given in the corresponding study. Percentage of homology (perfect match) between FGH data and the databases has been performed from HVS-I mtDNA and Y-STR core haplotype. Admixture estimates were calculated by two different methods: mY calculated by Admix v.2.0 from haplogroup assignments [30] and the Lineages Sharing rate (LS) from haplotypic data [31]. All estimates were computed from 10,000 bootstrap replications without taking into account the molecular distances. Five hypothetical parental populations were tested: Mainland South East Asia, Insular South East Asia, East Asia, South Asia and Europe. Each of these groups is composed by all corresponding data compiled in the database. A haplotype matching probability has been calculated for each FGH haplotypes in each of these five hypothetical parental populations.

3. Results

3.1. mtDNA

3.1.1. Gene diversity

A total of 33 different mtDNA haplotypes were characterised among the 62 Hmong individuals sampled in French Guiana (Additional file 2). The mtDNA sequence diversity computed (H_{mtDNA} = 0.910 ± 0.005; MPP_{mtDNA} = 9.10 ± 4.29) was within the range generally observed in South East Asian populations [32,33]. It is close to the ones present in Hmong-Mien populations living between the South of China and the North of Thailand [4,34]. Although the Tajima’s test is not significant (D = −1.298 ± 0.075), this diversity is associated with a significant value for Fu’s test, revealing a population expansion (Fs = −16.276 ± 0.000).

All mtDNA haplotypes were phylogenetically identified following the latest classification scheme [12]. The
majority were found to belong to haplogroups frequent in Asia [35]. Among them, most were clustered in the M* haplogroup (26.9%) revealing the importance of the Eurasian founder node in the complexity of the genetic structure in East Asia, particularly in the region interfacing India and China [36,37]. The phylogenetic tree for this haplogroup showed no single FGH founder haplotype, but there is a presence of many haplotypes from within the overall Asian diversity (Additional file 3). The percentage of homology show that FGH M* HVS-I haplotypes are shared at 70% by South Asian individuals (Additional file 6). Other haplogroups frequent in Asia were also found to be present, such as the B subclades B5/B5a (22.5%), and B4 (3.2%), F1a (11.2%), the M subclades M5 (7.9%), M7a (3.2%), M8/C* (3.2%), M25 and M7b (1.6% each), C5 (4.8%), N9a (4.8%), D* (3.2%), and F3a1 (3.2%). Two individuals were classified within the H1C4b haplogroup frequent in Europe, but their HVS-I profile was homologous to those found in South Asia (Additional file 6). No mitochondrial haplogroup frequent in Amerindian or African groups was detected.

3.1.2. Population cross-comparisons

From these results, population pairwise Fst comparisons were computed from haplotypic data to evaluate the genetic distances between the FGH and each population present in the Asian database (Fig. 2). Relatively low genetic divergences were observed (0 < Fst < 0.25) with all the populations of the database, revealing the complexity of the Asian maternal diversity [37]. Twenty-four Asian populations presented significantly low genetic divergences (Fst < 0.05; P-value < 0.05) which identified the clearest links with the FGH: 13 from India, 6 from the South of China, 1 from Thailand, 1 from Vietnam, 1 from Sri Lanka, 1 from Mongolia and 1 from Bangladesh.

3.1.3. Admixture analysis

To estimate the potential contribution of geographical dispersal to the mitochondrial inheritance, admixture ratios were calculated according to different models from five hypothetical parental populations (Table 1). All estimators pinpoint South Asian (LS = 0.453; mY = 0.711; Table 1) and Mainland South East Asian (LS = 0.201; mY = 0.289; Table 1) populations as the major sources of the FGH maternal inheritance.

3.2. NRY

3.2.1. Gene diversity

Twenty-four different NRY haplotypes were detected among the 33 sampled FGH men (YHRD accession Number YA003693; Additional file 4). The genetic diversity revealed by the Y-STR haplotypes is as high as the diversity found in East Asian populations (H_NRY = 0.9773 ± 0.0132) [34].
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**Fig. 2.** Map and level of genetic variance (Fst) between the FGH and 139 Asian populations (black crosses) examined for the first hypervariable segment of the mtDNA.
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These Y-STR haplotypes were phylogenetically identified by Y-SNP following the latest classification scheme [11]. They all belong to Asian haplogroups [38]: O3a (48.5%), D (24.2%), C (15.1%), O2a1 (6.1%) and O1a (6.1%). No FGH founder haplotype was detected within the phylogenetic tree of O3a*. Instead, the FGH profiles were found to be broadly scattered within the East Asian diversity (Additional file 6). The percentage of homology rose to 78% with O3* Y-STR core haplotypes found in East Asia (Additional file 6). No European, Amerindian or African NRY haplogroup was identified.

3.2.2. Population cross-comparisons

The population pairwise Fst comparisons performed for the core Y-STR haplotypes of the FGH with the Asian populations of the database (Fig. 3) showed a higher geographical structuring than the maternal data. Although relatively low genetic divergences are observed (0.05 < Fst < 0.25) with all the populations of the database, only three of them present significantly low genetic divergence (Fst < 0.05). Furthermore, they are all located in a region covering the South of China and the North of Thailand.

3.2.3. Admixture analysis

Although the paternal genetic relationship appears to be localised, admixture tests were performed to estimate the ratio of the most probable parental populations (Table 2). All estimators localize major ancestries in East Asia (LS = 0.459; mY = 1.000; Table 2) and in Mainland South East Asia (LS = 0.290; mY = 0.000; Table 2).

![Fig. 3. Map and level of genetic variance (Fst) between the FGH and 50 Asian populations (black crosses) examined for the non-recombinant region of the Y-chromosome.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Mainland South East Asia</th>
<th>South Asia</th>
<th>East Asia</th>
<th>Insular South East Asia</th>
<th>Europe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>0.201</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mY</td>
<td>0.289 (±0.085)</td>
<td>0.711 (±0.085)</td>
<td>0 (± 41.051)</td>
<td>0 (±1.909)</td>
<td>0 (±1.805)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td>0.582</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n: number of individuals; LS: lineages sharing [31]; mY: Dupanloup and Bertorelle’s method [30].
3.3. Gm

3.3.1. Gene diversity

Among the 62 FGH typed, the observed phenotypes can be explained by four different Gm haplotypes. The inherent genetic diversity appears to be low but still within the range observed in South East Asian populations (0.4424 ± 0.0152) [16]. Although Gm 21*: 1,17; (22.1%) and Gm 21*: 1,2,17; (3.4%) are common in Asia as in Europe, haplotypes Gm 5*: 1,3; (71.6%) and Gm 15,16*: 1,17; (2.9%) are particularly present in Asian groups.

3.3.2. Population cross-comparisons

As expected for a biparental marker, the results obtained for the population pairwise Fst comparison shows an intermediate pattern to the uniparental markers (Fig. 4). Although high genetic divergence was observed with the Tibetan groups (Fst > 0.25), 20 of the 39 populations of the Asian database present relatively low Fst levels (0 < Fst < 0.25), identifying the relative homogeneity of this system in East Asia [16,39]. Nine populations have significantly low genetic divergence from the FGH (Fst < 0.05), all located in the South of China or in the North of Thailand. These results converge with both the mtDNA and NRY analyses.

3.3.3. Admixture analysis

As for the uniparental markers, the contribution of hypothetical parental populations was estimated. Mainland South East Asia (LS = 0.324; mY = 0.594; Table 3) and Insular South East Asia (LS = 0.288; mY = 0.363; Table 3) are indicated as the major source of the FGH Gm inheritance.
4. Discussion

4.1. An Asian genetic diversity in French Guiana

Herein we provided immunoglobulin, mtDNA and Y-chromosome data in two Hmong populations from French Guiana to define the dynamics of the Hmong settlement in the area. The combined use of uniparental and biparental genetic markers is a crucial strategy to highlight the demographical patterns of modern human expansion, migration rates, co-evolution of genes with cultural traits, and sex-biased admixture [40–45]. Previously, such procedure has furnished interesting insights about the genetic history of the understudied area [8,10].

Although the Hmong arrived in French Guiana as recently as 1975, the Hmong Diaspora started centuries ago. During this complex history, the Hmong population rapidly adapted itself to each new environment, including in inhospitable areas. Two traits are particularly noticeable in aspects of the FGH gene pool assessed in French Guiana. Firstly, for many communities of Diasporas, the original genetic diversity is often shaped by founding effects and/or genetic drift, particularly when settling isolated locations [46]. This pattern could have been detected in the FGH, especially considering the small number of initial immigrants (around 2000 individuals). However, on the contrary, the analyses of major uniparental FGH haplogroups showed no founder effect. The uniparental FGH haplotypes were scattered broadly within the corresponding networks of the ancestral Asian populations, without any FGH cluster identifiable (Additional files 3 and 5). This absence of founder effect has echoed in the results estimating a demographical expansion on the mitochondrial data (Fs = −16.276 [±0.000]). It indicates that the FGH gene pool has not been affected by geographical isolation during the different phases of Hmong migration, despite their recent status of “refugees” in French Guiana or their former one of “highlanders” in South-East Asia [47]. It reveals that their isolation is only relative, since they interacted frequently with other communities, notably through their economic role. It is also likely that the Hmong constituted sustainable communities whose numbers were sufficiently large such that their gene pool was not affected by founder effects and/or genetic drift.

The second remarkable feature of the FGH genetic inheritance is that it reveals the maintenance of a predominantly Asian identity right throughout the history of the Diaspora. The three genetic systems analysed in this study revealed a conserved Asian ancestry (Asian ancestry [A]; AmtDNA = 100%; ANR Y = 99.1%; ACM = 96.6%; Tables 1–3).

Admixture events with individuals from other continents were obviously rare due to the relatively recent timeframe, but still might have occurred during the European colonisation of Indochina. In fact there was a low, but non-negligible, estimated component of European ancestry (AmtdNA = 0%; ANR Y = 0.9%; ACM = 3.4%; Tables 1–3) which might be explained by punctual admixture with French or English during the end of 20th century, but is more probably due to a shared ancestry with Indian groups (unfortunately no shared haplotype can dissociate these two hypotheses (data not shown)) [36,48].

Despite a history punctuated by many conflicts and wars, which led to the exodus of one Hmong community toward French Guiana, this population has conserved a representative genetic diversity, linking it directly to the Asian continent. The rates of the FGH genetic diversity (HmtDNA = 0.91; HNRY = 0.96; HCM = 0.44) are within the range of values found in most Asian groups [4,16,49]. Among them, some examples are particularly interesting, such as the Hmong-Mien in Thailand (HmtDNA = 0.93; HNRY = 1.00; HCM = 0.23) [16,34] or the Miao groups in southern provinces of China (HmtDNA = 0.94; HNRY = 1.00; HCM = 0.23) [4,39,50]. In addition to the homology, these values also reflect the conservation of a major cultural trait, i.e. kinship based on patriilocality and patrilineage [34]. The desire to protect their cultural integrity gave to the Hmong Diaspora a cultural unity [2]. This has ultimately led to the preservation of the Asian genetic background during the different phases of the Hmong Diaspora.

4.2. A preserved Hmong genetic identity

Within the complex, overall Asian genetic diversity, the Hmong have their own specific patterns [3]. In preserving their original Asian gene pool, the FGH have clearly maintained these particularities. Each studied genetic system was found to be composed by the wide spectra of haplotypes frequent in South East Asia. The FGH maternal lineage showed high frequencies of haplogroups M* (33.8%) and B5a (22.5%), that are comparable to those present in the Miao of the Chinese province of Yunnan (Fq M* = 23.1%; Fq B5a = 23.5%) [4]. Their paternal lineages are characterized by high frequencies of O3* (48.5%) and D* (24.2%) as in the Miao of the Chinese province of Yunnan (Fq O3* = 57.1%; Fq D* = 28.6%) [51]. Finally, most frequent FGH Gm haplotypes are Gm5*; 1.3:.. (71.6%) and Gm21: 1.17:.. (22.1%) that are predominant in the Miao of Thailand (Fq Gm5*:1.3:.. = 73.9%; Fq Gm21:1.17:.. = 20.7%) [16]. These empirical observations are statistically attested by pairwise Fst comparisons computed from haplotype data.
Among Asian populations showing significantly low levels of differentiation (Fst < 0.05; P-value < 0.01) with FGH, populations located in north Thailand (Fst_mDNA = 0.03; Fst_NRY = 0.05, Fst_cem = 0.03; Figs. 2–4) and in the southeastern Chinese provinces of Yunnan-Guangxi (Fst_mDNA = 0.04–0.05, Fst_NRY = 0.05, Fst_cem = 0.03–0.04; Figs. 2–4) are the only ones that show this pattern for all studied systems. The FGH reveal the same patrimony present in their homeland, unifying them genetically to the Asian Hmong.

All other significantly low Fst values reflect a surprising sex-biased genetic patrimony composed by a South maternal imprint (South_A_mDNA = 58.2%; 15 South Asian populations on 24 Asian populations with Fst < 0.05; Fig. 2) and an East paternal imprint (East_A_NRY = 72.9%; 2 East Asian populations on 3 Asian populations with Fst < 0.05; Fig. 3). Two explanations can be evoked. The first is the relative low genotyping resolution of the mtDNA coding region of FGH M* lineages and the high frequency of homoplasmic HVS-I/II sequences in this particular haplogroup. For example FGH lineages classified by their HVS-I/II sequences in the M5 haplogroup, which is rare in East Asia, have the same HVS-I sequence as a Chinese Miao individual which has been characterised as a M7 lineage, frequent in China [4]. It may lead to an over-estimation of the South Asian maternal ancestry. However it is noticeable that these FGH M* lineages are mostly integrated in the South Asian diversity (Additional file 6). Therefore the second explanation could be a dual origin. These two components would be due to the high number of FGH haplotypes belonging to maternal haplogroup M*, mostly integrated within the South Asian diversity, and paternal haplogroup O3a*, scattered within the East Asian diversity (Additional file 6). It could be interpreted as an old maternal patrimony, inherited from Palaeolithic migrations, admixed with a Neolithic paternal gene pool, probably during the Han expansion [4, 49, 52]. It could also be the results of multiple gene flows occurred during the different exodus of the Hmong Diaspora. The admixture of these two gene flows gave to the FGH population their genetic characteristics which are close to those observed in South East Asia (Fig. 4). Thus, the FGH have conserved the entire genetic diversity of their complex ancestry, giving to this particular example of the Hmong Diaspora its genetic unity.

In South America, gene and/or cultural flows into non-Native populations are frequently documented. This is for instance illustrated by the genetic structure of the Afro-Brazilian quilombos [53], or the Creole languages coupled with the woodworking techniques of the Noir Marron from Suriname and French Guiana [6] taken from the neighbouring European-origin and Native American ethnic groups. As far as the FGH population is concerned, a small amount of allochthonous cultural traits have also percolated through the population. For instance, though traditional Hmong clothing is worn on special occasions, younger Hmong usually wear Western style clothing. Furthermore, many Hmong have been converted to Christianity. Lastly, education is attended in French so that many Hmong are also bilingual [5]. On the contrary, the FGH retain cultural traditions from Laos, especially when dealing with the agricultural practices which is favoured by the similarity of the environment. Mating is also restricted within the Hmong community, and for this to happen the FGH maintain tied links with the Hmong established in the United States and France to look for spouses [5].

Therefore, the FGH population managed to integrate the whole Hmong culture within the French Guianese social network, and the entire Hmong genetic inheritance within the wider French Guianese genetic diversity. Our study provides a first insight into this population and its genetic diversity, but further studies in other Hmong communities across different continents, using comparable genetic markers, would bring a deeper understanding of the genetic dispersal that occurred during the Hmong Diaspora.
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