

**Electronic Supplement to:  
First ground-based FTIR observations of methane  
in the inner tropics over several years (Petersen et  
al.)**

## **1 Methane from biomass burning emissions**

Using typical emission factors of CO and CH<sub>4</sub> from biomass burning of tropical forest (see Table 1 in main document), we can estimate the enhancement of CH<sub>4</sub> relative to background levels emitted from biomass burning.

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{CH}_4)_{BB} &= \frac{EF(\text{CH}_4)}{EF(\text{CO})} \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\ &= \frac{6.8}{104} \left( \frac{[\text{g CH}_4]}{[\text{g CO}]} \right)^{-1} \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\ &= \frac{6.8}{104} \left( \frac{16.04\text{g mol}^{-1}}{28.01\text{g mol}^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\ &= 0.114 \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB}\end{aligned}$$

For example, during the events of biomass burning pollution in LDS2005 CO enhancements of up to  $1.5 \times 10^{18}$  molec cm<sup>-2</sup> above background are observed (see Petersen et al. (2008)). From these observed CO levels, we estimate  $1.7 \times 10^{17}$  molec/cm<sup>2</sup> of additional methane from tropical fire biomass burning:

$$\begin{aligned}(\text{CH}_4)_{BB} &= 0.114 \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\ &= 0.114 \cdot (1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}) \\ &= 1.7 \times 10^{17} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}\end{aligned}$$

From the observed CO levels during the LDS 2005, we expect 0.3 to  $1.7 \times 10^{17}$  molec/cm<sup>2</sup> of additional methane from biomass burning assuming tropical forest emission factors. This is equivalent of around 0.1 to 0.5 % (2 to 9 ppb).

## **2 Biomass burning signatures in the ratio CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>**

In this section, we investigate the influence of biomass burning on the CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ratio. Using typical emission factors of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> from biomass

burning of tropical forest (see Table 1), we can estimate the enhancement of CO<sub>2</sub> relative to background levels emitted from biomass burning.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{CO}_2)_{BB} &= \frac{EF(\text{CO}_2)}{EF(\text{CO})} \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\
 &= \frac{1580 \text{ [g CO}_2\text{]}}{104 \text{ [g CO]}} \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\
 &= \frac{1580}{104} \left( \frac{16.04 \text{g mol}^{-1}}{44.01 \text{g mol}^{-1}} \right)^{-1} \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\
 &= 9.67 \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the high CO enhancement of  $1.5 \times 10^{18}$  molec cm<sup>-2</sup> during the LDS 2005, this yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\text{CO}_2)_{BB} &= 9.67 \cdot (\text{CO})_{BB} \\
 &= 9.67 \cdot (1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}) \\
 &= 14.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}
 \end{aligned}$$

The ratio CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> for background level of 1720 ppb for methane and 360 ppm for carbon dioxide is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\text{CH}_4}{\text{CO}_2} &= \frac{1720 \text{ ppb}}{360 \text{ ppm}} \\
 &= \frac{3.7 \times 10^{19} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}}{7.7 \times 10^{21} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}} \\
 &= 0.00481 \frac{\text{molec cm}^{-2}}{\text{molec cm}^{-2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

For the CO enhancement of  $1.5 \times 10^{18}$  molec cm<sup>-2</sup> during the LDS 2005, the ratio is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\text{CH}_4 + (\text{CH}_4)_{BB}}{\text{CO}_2 + (\text{CO}_2)_{BB}} &= \frac{(3.7 \times 10^{19} + 1.7 \times 10^{17}) \text{ molec cm}^{-2}}{(7.7 \times 10^{21} + 14.5 \times 10^{18}) \text{ molec cm}^{-2}} \\
 &= 0.00482 \frac{\text{molec cm}^{-2}}{\text{molec cm}^{-2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

The methane emissions due to biomass burning are hidden in the CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ratio as both species are enhanced in a similar way, as shown above. The good agreement of the CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> ratio of FTIR and satellite and the differences between the FTIR and satellite XCH<sub>4</sub> indicate that the influence of

biomass burning for methane can hardly be detected by the satellite (with this retrieval method). The consistency of the FTIR and satellite observations of  $\text{CH}_4/\text{CO}_2$  suggests that biomass burning might be the cause for the observed differences between the FTIR observations and the satellite observations of  $\text{XCH}_4$  and the TM5 model.

## References

- Andreae, M. O. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 15, 955–966, 2001.
- Petersen, A. K., Warneke, T., Lawrence, M. G., Notholt, J., and Schrems, O.: First ground-based FTIR observations of the seasonal variation of carbon monoxide in the tropics, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, doi: 10.1029/2007GL031393, 2008.