Deep-brain stimulation (DBS) is a rapidly evolving neurosurgical technique with continuously emerging applications and stimulation targets. The striking therapeutic benefit has been, however, established more or less empirically. This has opened new horizons for further basic and clinical research.

Functional MRI (fMRI) represents one of the practicable options to investigate neural circuity of patients with fully implanted and active DBS hardware. Increasing number of fMRI studies assessing active DBS evidences its experimental feasibility under strictly controlled safety standards.1,2,3,4

Here, we show that rigorous data analysis standards also need to be adhered to and highlight associated caveats. Specifically, we demonstrate that utmost caution should be exercised when analyzing fMRI data in the vicinity of the DBS electrode, due to severe geometric distortions and signal intensity drops (Fig. 1; Fig. 3), which may eventually culminate in false-positive findings (Fig. 2).

Methods

Images were acquired at 1.5 T on a MAGNETOM Symphony scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). Experiments included 

\[ T_1 \]-weighted (T1w) and resting-state fmRI (rs-fMRI) scans: 200 volumes of 

\[ T_1 \]-weighted functional whole-brain data were collected using a gradient-echo echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (TR/TE/FA = 3000/51 ms/90°), consisting of 31 axial, 3-mm thick slices with a nominal in-place resolution of 3x3x mm. Participants were instructed to follow a fixation-cross on a projector screen while remaining still in a supine position. T1w structural data were measured using a magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition gradient-echo (MP-RAGE; TR/TE/FA = 2140/1100/3.93 ms/15°). Field map images (modulation and phase) were collected using the standard stock sequence (gre_field_mapping; TR/TE/FA = 500/4.92 ms/65°). Functional images were realigned, co-registered with the structural images and resampled to 3x3x3 mm. Both anatomical and functional data were normalized to the MNI template.

In data shown in Figure 1, no spatial smoothing and no filtering were performed. The data were randomly selected from a patient with Parkinson’s disease (PD) pre- and post-implantation of DBS electrodes targeted at the subthalamic nucleus (STN). Spherical region of interest (ROI) with a 14 mm diameter was formed around the electrode tips (STN) bilaterally. ROI’s voxel-value histograms were computed from temporally-averaged functional data. In addition, distributions of whole-brain voxel intensities were calculated.

To emphasize the risk of potential false-positive results, rs-fMRI data from the same patient cohort were analyzed in various stages of their transition from levodopa (24 patients) to DBS treatment (13 patients). Pre-processing included spatial and temporal filtering of the fMRI data. Left ROI from Figure 1 was used as a seed-region for correlation analysis. A paired t-test was performed between normalized correlation maps of patients in particular treatment states, to observe the response to respective treatment (Fig. 2; a, b). All statistics used a family-wise error correction at \( p_{\text{FWE}} < 0.05 \). In both analyses (Fig. 1, Fig. 2), voxels exhibiting signal drops in T1w scans were excluded from the ROI, as performed in Ref. 3.

Results

The electrodes caused severe static magnetic field (\( B_0 \)) inhomogeneities, resulting in signal voids and image distortions (Fig. 1; Fig. 3).

Increased sensitivity of the fMRI signal to artifacts caused by the DBS leads is clearly visible post-implantation (Fig. 1). The intensity distribution within the ROI is broadened, with the majority of values shifted to the outlier range. In PD patients’ pre-surgery sessions (without electrodes), paired t-tests (dopaminergic medication on vs. off) revealed changes in functional connectivity of STN with thalamus and cerebellum (Fig. 2; a). Equivalent analysis (DBS on vs. off) of post-surgery sessions (electrodes in place) still yielded significant, yet ambivalent functional connectivity changes (Fig. 2; b; in particular cluster 2).

Discussion

Aforementioned artifacts are caused by the low bandwidth in phase-encoding direction of EPI employed for fMRI. Field map information suffices for artifact correction,5 but cannot recover signal dropouts. It is also evident that extracting data from a structure around the electrode tip, despite adjusting the ROI using T1w data,4 can easily compromise consequent analysis. Equivocal functional connectivity changes post-implantation in response to DBS (electrodes in place) demonstrate a possibility of obtaining a combination of false-positive and true effects, when selecting seed regions carelessly. Presented work suggests particularly cautious means of analyzing fMRI of patients with implanted DBS electrodes, and/or extremely careful interpretation of obtained results. We advocate excluding all fMRI voxels exhibiting signal drops from the analyses, until conclusive investigations quantifying the impact of aforementioned artifacts on the fMRI signal will be reported.
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