



ER-mediated control for abundance, quality, and signaling of transmembrane immune receptors in plants

Nico Tintor¹ and Yusuke Saijo^{1,2,3} *

¹ Department of Plant Microbe Interactions, Max Planck Institute for Plant Breeding Research, Cologne, Germany

² Laboratory of Plant Immunity, Graduate School of Biological Sciences, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Ikoma, Japan

³ Japan Science and Technology, Precursory Research for Embryonic Science and Technology, Kawaguchi, Japan

Edited by:

Patrick Schäfer, University of Warwick, UK

Reviewed by:

Frederik Börnke, Leibniz-Institute for Vegetable and Ornamental Crops, Germany

Marco Reitz, University of Warwick, UK

*Correspondence:

Yusuke Saijo, Laboratory of Plant Immunity, Graduate School of Biological Sciences, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, 630-0192 Ikoma, Japan
e-mail: saijo@bs.naist.jp

Plants recognize a wide range of microbes with cell-surface and intracellular immune receptors. Transmembrane pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) initiate immune responses upon recognition of cognate ligands characteristic of microbes or aberrant cellular states, designated microbe-associated molecular patterns or danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), respectively. Pattern-triggered immunity provides a first line of defense that restricts the invasion and propagation of both adapted and non-adapted pathogens. Receptor kinases (RKs) and receptor-like proteins (RLPs) with an extracellular leucine-rich repeat or lysine-motif (LysM) domain are extensively used as PRRs. The correct folding of the extracellular domain of these receptors is under quality control (QC) in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), which thus provides a critical step in plant immunity. Genetic and structural insight suggests that ERQC regulates not only the abundance and quality of transmembrane receptors but also affects signal sorting between multi-branched pathways downstream of the receptor. However, ERQC dysfunction can also positively stimulate plant immunity, possibly through cell death and DAMP signaling pathways.

Keywords: ERQC, ER stress, MAMP/DAMP, immune receptor, LRR/LysM

INTRODUCTION

Plants sense their encounters to microbes through immune receptors that monitor extracellular or intracellular spaces for pathogen-associated ligands (Jones and Dangl, 2006; Boller and Felix, 2009; Dodds and Rathjen, 2010). Cell-surface receptors involve not only PRRs that recognize microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) or danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) to confer pattern-triggered immunity (PTI), but also the resistance (R) proteins that recognize pathogen effectors to confer effector-triggered immunity (ETI). Among the former, FLS2 and EFR recognize the bacterial MAMPs flagellin (flg22 epitope) and the elongation factor EF-Tu (elf18 epitope), respectively (Gomez-Gomez and Boller, 2000; Zipfel et al., 2006). Among the latter, the tomato LRR-RLPs Cf proteins and Ve1 and the rice LRR-RK XA21 confer immunity against *Cladosporium fulvum*, *Verticillium dahliae*, and *Xanthomonas oryzae*, respectively (Song et al., 1995; Rivas and Thomas, 2005; de Jonge et al., 2012). Adapted pathogens can escape or overcome the defenses mounted by these extracellular receptors, with the aid of effectors. However, pathogen effectors acting within the host cells are directly or indirectly recognized by intracellular nucleotide-binding and LRR (NB-LRR) domain-containing R proteins, which leads to strong ETI activation that terminates pathogen growth.

The ER plays a central role in the biogenesis and intracellular distribution of transmembrane receptors according to their folding states and cellular demands. Hence, the ER homeostasis and prompt adaptation to ER stress are vital for plant life and health.

TRANSMEMBRANE IMMUNE RECEPTORS AND REGULATORS IN PLANTS

In plants, the extracellular leucine-rich repeat (LRR) and lysine-motif (LysM) domains define two major structural modules that recur in the extracellular domain of PRRs. PRRs include the LRR-RKs FLS2, EFR, and PEPR1/2 (for the endogenous Pep peptides; Krol et al., 2010; Yamaguchi et al., 2010), the LRR-RLPs LeEIX1/2 (for fungal xylanase; Ron and Avni, 2004), the LysM-RK CERK1 (for fungal chitin; Miya et al., 2007; Wan et al., 2008), the LysM-RLPs CEBiP (for fungal chitin; Kaku et al., 2006) and LYM1 and LYM3 (for bacterial peptidoglycan; Willmann et al., 2011). PRR-associated RKs and/or RLPs have been also described. The LRR-RK BAK1 (and/or its related SERK members) associates with numerous LRR-RKs, including the PRRs FLS2, EFR, and PEPR1/2, and also the defense regulators BIR1 and SOBIR1/EVR (Chinchilla et al., 2007; Ryan et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2009; Postel et al., 2010; Schulze et al., 2010). The tomato SOBIR1 ortholog interacts with Cf-4 and Ve-1 and is required for their ETI functions (Liebrand et al., 2013b). SOBIR1/EVR also acts together with the LRR-RLP RLP30, apparently in PTI against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Zhang et al., 2013). CERK1 also serves LYM1 and LYM3 in peptidoglycan perception (Willmann et al., 2011). Given the BAK1-independence of CERK1-mediated chitin signaling (Heese et al., 2007; Shan et al., 2008; Gimenez-Ibanez et al., 2009), the LRR-PRRs and LysM-PRRs might act in separate receptor complexes. In sum, extensive engagement of transmembrane receptors and regulators represents a key principle in plant immunity.

EVOLUTIONARILY CONSERVED ERQC PATHWAYS UNDERLIE PLANT-SPECIFIC SECRETORY PROCESSES

In eukaryotes, including plants, the biogenesis of transmembrane or secretory proteins occurs through the ER. Folding status of these proteins are monitored during their folding and maturation by a mechanism termed ERQC that ensures the delivery of properly folded proteins to their functional sites (Anelli and Sitia, 2008). This is essential in all eukaryotes tested (Kelleher and Gilmore, 2006). One pathway employs the Hsp70 family member BiP that acts in a multi-protein complex with the Hsp40 family members ERdj and stromal cell-derived factor 2 (SDF2; Meunier et al., 2002). A second pathway relies on Asn (N)-glycosylation (Glc₃Man₉GlcNAc₂ conjugation) on the nascent client proteins catalyzed by the oligosaccharyltransferase (OST) complex. An N-glycosylation inhibitor, tunicamycin, is widely used as an inducer of ER stress in plants (Koizumi et al., 1999). Subsequent folding of N-glycosylated proteins occurs through a pathway involving glucosidases I and II (GI and GII), the folding cycle via the ER chaperones calreticulin (CRT) and calnexin (CNX), and UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase (UGGT) that are highly conserved in eukaryotes (Kelleher and Gilmore, 2006). However, plants exhibit better tolerance to single gene disruptions in the N-glycosylation pathway downstream of the OST-mediated step (see below). N-glycoproteomics studies comparing seven model organisms including *Arabidopsis* also revealed the existence of lineage-specific N-glycosylated proteomes in a much larger portion than previously thought (Zielinska et al., 2012). Over-representation of extracellular functions in lineage-specific N-glycoproteomes implies a role for N-glycosylation in the divergence of extracellular and/or secretory functions unique to the plant lineage.

ERQC FOR PLANT IMMUNE RECEPTORS AND REGULATORS

Genetic tractability for ERQC components in plant models facilitates unraveling their roles in different branches of plant immunity. Genetic studies on *Arabidopsis* elf18-hyposensitive mutants led to the discovery for an ER N-glycosylation pathway involving the OST complex subunits STT3A and OST3/6, CRT3, UGGT, GII that is essential for EFR but not FLS2 biogenesis (Li et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2009; Nekrasov et al., 2009; Saijo et al., 2009; Haweker et al., 2010; Farid et al., 2013). Consistently, compared to FLS2, EFR is highly vulnerable toward chemical interference with the OST function or N-glycosylation site substitutions in the receptor LRR domain (Nekrasov et al., 2009; Saijo et al., 2009; Haweker et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012). In addition, EFR biogenesis also specifically requires SDF2, ERdj3b, and BiP (Nekrasov et al., 2009). It remains elusive how these ERQC pathways are coordinated.

This N-glycosylation pathway is also required for SA-inducible but EFR-independent resistance (Saijo et al., 2009). Consistent with this, SA-induced resistance is reduced in the absence of BiPs or the OST subunit DAD1 (Wang et al., 2005). By contrast, DAD1 is dispensable for EFR accumulation and function (Haweker et al., 2010). In *Nicotiana benthamiana* that inherently lacks EFR, CRT3a is also required for PTI to the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* (Matsukawa et al., 2013). These findings imply the existence of another ERQC client receptor(s) than EFR mediating these immune responses.

Genetic studies with misfolded but signaling-competent alleles of the LRR-RK brassinosteroid receptor BRI1, designated *bri1-5* and *bri1-9*, have also revealed a role for a common set of ERQC components, CRT3, UGGT, and BiP, in the ER retention of the BRI1 variants (Jin et al., 2007, 2009; Hong et al., 2008). However, OST3/6 seems to be dispensable for this ERQC (Farid et al., 2013). This again points to partial client-specific divergence in the usage of the OST complex subunits in the N-glycosylation pathway. The overall composition and precise mode of actions for the OST complex subunits remain to be elucidated.

Proteomics studies revealed BiPs and CRTs among Cf-4- and Ve1 interacting proteins *in vivo* (Liebrand et al., 2012, 2013a). Of four BiP members and three CRT members in tomato and *N. benthamiana*, only silencing of CRT3a (a plant-specific CRT; Christensen et al., 2010) compromises the proper glycosylation and biogenesis of functional Cf-4 protein. By contrast, silencing of single BiP members (except BiP4) and CRT members impaired Ve1-mediated resistance, without a significant decrease in the accumulation of complex glycan-conjugated Ve1. It is possible that these ER chaperones rather serve the LRR-RKs SOBIR1, SERK1, or BAK1 that is required for Ve1 function (Fradin et al., 2009, 2011; Liebrand et al., 2013b). Likewise, BiP3, SDF2, ERdj3B, CNX1, and CRT3 were recovered among XA21-associated proteins from rice plants (Park et al., 2010, 2013). BiP3 overexpression and SDF2 silencing both lower XA21-mediated resistance, pointing to a critical role for the ER homeostasis in this receptor pathway.

Compared to CRT3, CRT2 appears to have a minor role in PTI (Li et al., 2009; Christensen et al., 2010). True CRT2 function might be obscured by its dual role: CRT2 overexpression in *Arabidopsis* led to constitutive SA accumulation and *PR* gene activation in a manner dependent on its C-terminal Ca²⁺-binding domain, but it rather lowered bacterial resistance (Qiu et al., 2011). However, the perturbation of the N-terminal chaperone domain allowed CRT2 to enhance bacterial resistance. It might be that CRT2 chaperone function serves a defense suppressor whilst Ca²⁺-buffering function promotes SA-based immunity. In *N. benthamiana*, both CRT2 and CRT3 are required for the expression of the LRR-RK IRK1 that is essential for the NB-LRR R protein-mediated ETI to *Tobacco mosaic virus* (Caplan et al., 2009).

CRT-mediated defense suppression is exploited by the root-knot nematode *Meloidogyne incognita* for virulence promotion (Jaouannet et al., 2013). The nematode secretes CRT, which can suppress MAMP responses, into the apoplast spaces during plant infection. In plants and animals, CRTs localize not only inside but also outside the ER (Baluska et al., 1999; Sharma et al., 2004; Krysko et al., 2013). Whether extracellular CRT pools modulate immunity in plants, like in mammals, requires future investigation.

In contrast to the aforementioned receptors that strictly require a subset of ERQC components for their biogenesis and/or function, there are also receptors that exhibit relative robustness to ERQC dysfunction, such as FLS2 and PEPRI/2 (Tintor et al., 2013). Future studies will be required to elucidate the molecular determinants for the differences in ERQC dependency.




FIGURE 1 | A model for ER-mediated control of PRR biogenesis and signaling. In weakly dysfunctional alleles of ERQC components (right), folding defects of the extracellular domain of PRRs might affect stable accumulation at the plasma membrane (PM), subcellular trafficking, assembly of pre- and post-recognition complexes, or combinations thereof. Importantly, this can selectively impair a subset of diverse signaling outputs downstream of the receptor.

ROLE FOR THE LRR DOMAIN CONFORMATION IN IMMUNE RECEPTOR COMPLEX FORMATION AND SIGNALING

The question of how a single receptor governs diverse signaling outputs represents an important challenge in receptor biology. In weakly dysfunctional alleles of CRT3 and GII, the tested signaling outputs of EFR are differentially, but not uniformly, impaired without a significant decrease in the receptor steady-state levels (Lu et al., 2009; Saijo et al., 2009). In these alleles, the degree of signaling defects is correlated with that of decreases in EFR-ligand binding. It remains elusive how the lowered ligand binding differentially affects multi-branched signaling pathways emanating from the receptor. Nevertheless, these findings predict that signal separation for these diverse outputs occurs at the level of or in the proximity to the receptor, and can be influenced by the folding states of the LRR domain (Figure 1).

A crystal structure of flg22-bound FLS2 and BAK1 ectodomains revealed that the FLS2 ectodomain forms a superhelical structure with the flg22 binding site in the concave surface of the LRR 3–16 (Sun et al., 2013). This is in agreement with previous studies on the receptor-ligand binding (Dunning et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2012). Importantly, flg22 binding occurs in the FLS2-BAK1 interface and seems to stabilize their interaction. Strikingly, perturbations of the FLS2-BAK1 interface differentially affect separate signaling outputs. BAK1 point substitutions in key residues of this interface almost abolished MPK4/MPK11 activation without substantial effects on MPK3/MPK6 activation. Flg22 variants incapable of BAK1 binding reduce FLS2-BAK1 interaction and a ROS burst without affecting MPK activation. These results suggest that changes in either FLS2 or BAK1 LRR conformation alter the receptor complex formation and function, and importantly, which selectively influences downstream signaling pathways. This model further predicts the existence of distinct signaling complexes defined by the LRR domain conformations of FLS2 and

BAK1, which are specifically assigned to diverse signaling outputs. Such complexes might be separated spatiotemporally from each other and/or different in the composition of accessory proteins.

SUBCELLULAR PARTITIONING AND TURNOVER OF THE RECEPTOR IN PATTERN RECOGNITION RECEPTORS SIGNALING

The mechanisms underlying the subcellular partitioning of PRRs between their biogenesis and functional sites are still poorly understood in plants (Popescu, 2012). The reticulon-like proteins RTNLB1/RTNLB2 regulate the accumulation of functional FLS2 at the PM, possibly by controlling the ER exit of FLS2 (Lee et al., 2011). In rice, a chaperone complex consisting of Hsp90 and its co-chaperon Hop/Sti1 promote the delivery of CERK1 from the ER to the PM (Chen et al., 2010). This work also raises the possibility that PRRs associate with their signaling partners during their travel in the secretory pathway. Detailed cell biological studies are needed to precisely decipher the subcellular dynamics of these immune receptors.

PRR ubiquitination has emerged as a determinant for the receptor levels by targeting the receptors for degradation and/or modulating their membrane trafficking. Direct ubiquitination and subsequent degradation of the receptor contributes to signal attenuation for FLS2 (Lu et al., 2011). Upon flg22 binding, the FLS2 complex recruits two related U-box E3 ligases, PUB12 and PUB13, along with BAK1. A flg22-induced increase in BAK1 kinase activity on PUB12/PUB13 suggests a model in which BAK1-mediated phosphorylation promotes PUB12/13-mediated FLS2 ubiquitination. However, given that SOBIR1 is required to stabilize Cf-4 (Liebrand et al., 2013b), degradation of one of the receptor-interacting proteins might cause the receptor destabilization. Future investigation is required for how trans-phosphorylation between FLS2 and BAK1 influences the recruitment and phosphorylation of PUB12/PUB13 and how PUB phosphorylation influences the E3 ligase activity. Another E3 ligase triplet, PUB22/23/24 also acts as a negative regulator for FLS2, EFR, and PEPR signaling (Trujillo et al., 2008; Stegmann et al., 2012). Yeast two-hybrid screens for the E3 ligase interactors led to the identification of the exocyst subunit EXO70B2 as a target for PUB22-mediated ubiquitination (Stegmann et al., 2012). EXO70B2 contributes to different PTI-associated outputs, implying its influence on the receptor function, possibly through maintaining the PM receptor pool. The defects of *exo70b2* mutants in responses to different MAMPs and pathogens point to a role for EXO70B2 in a common step between different receptor pathways. Exocyst subunit degradation might lower the delivery of these receptors to the PM. However, compared to the *pub* triple mutants, the defects of *exo70b2* mutants in PTI-related outputs are much smaller, implying the existence of another ubiquitination target(s) for these E3 ligases. Interestingly, the *Medicago truncatula* E3 ligase PUB1 has been also described to interact with and negatively regulate the LysM-RLK LYK3-mediated nodulation (Mbengue et al., 2010). This molecular logic might be widespread for transmembrane receptors in plant-microbe interactions.

Pattern recognition receptors undergo ligand-induced internalization from the PM, as described for FLS2 and LeEIX2 (Robatzek

et al., 2006; Bar and Avni, 2009). Although this process is closely correlated with immune signaling activation, it remains to be determined whether it serves signal activation or attenuation of the PRRs. Recent studies showed that *flg22* perception transiently lowers the steady-state FLS2 levels and causes signal de-sensitization, which is followed by the replenishment of FLS2 accumulation and signal re-sensitization (Smith et al., 2013). It is of high interest to correlate this turnover event with subcellular dynamics of the receptor.

ENDOPLASMIC RETICULUM STRESS AND DEFENSE REGULATION

Overloading of misfolded proteins beyond the capacity of ERQC, termed ER stress, induces the so-called unfolded protein response (UPR) that is characterized by the induction of ER chaperones. Although close associations between UPR, disease, and immunity have been well documented in animals (Todd et al., 2008), much less information is available in plants (Vitale and Boston, 2008; Eichmann and Schafer, 2012). Two arms of UPR signaling have been described in *Arabidopsis* that involve the transmembrane transcription factors (TFs) bZIP17/bZIP28 and the protein kinase/ribonuclease IRE1 (Iwata and Koizumi, 2012; Howell, 2013). IRE1 serves to generate an active form of the TF bZIP60 via its mRNA processing. The two homologues IRE1a and IRE1b, as well as bZIP60, contribute to SA-mediated antibacterial immunity (Moreno et al., 2012). It seems likely that UPR-based increase of ERQC capacity ensures the supply of functional transmembrane regulators and alleviates cell death or damages that are caused by excessive ER stress (Howell, 2013). Indeed, *ire1a ire1b* plants show enhanced cell death upon ER stress, pointing to a role for the proper UPR in the ER homeostasis (Mishiba et al., 2013). ER stress-induced cell death is exploited by the mutualistic fungus *Piriformospora indica* for *Arabidopsis* root infection (Qiang et al., 2012). The fungal colonization is dependent on active suppression of the host UPR, ER disintegration and subsequent vacuolar processing enzyme-mediated vacuolar collapse leading to cell death in the colonized cells. Impaired ER integrity might disturb vesicular secretion of antimicrobial and/or defense signaling molecules, which could also facilitate fungal colonization.

Excessive ER stress also induces autophagy, another link to plant defense responses (see more details in Hayward and Dinesh-Kumar, 2011; Teh and Hofius, 2014). Both pro-survival and pro-death functions have been assigned to autophagy in the control of immune responses. Likewise, excessive ER stress can also positively influence plant immunity. In this respect, it is conceivable that ER stress-induced cell death emits DAMPs, as documented in animals (Krysko et al., 2013). This possibility remains understudied in plants. ER stress induces the TF OsWRKY45 that promotes SA-based defense in rice (Hayashi et al., 2012) and systemic immunity in cucumber (Sticher and Metraux, 2000). The mechanisms by which excessive ER stress is sensed and linked to defense activation represent an important future challenge.

CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE

The ER regulates the abundance, quality and signaling function of transmembrane immune receptors. Genetic and proteomic

studies led to the identification of ERQC components that are critical for PRR biogenesis in different plant species. Interestingly, emerging evidence points to a role for ERQC in receptor signal sorting, possibly through controlling the folding states and thus conformations of the extracellular domains. Future studies will be needed to reveal how ERQC modulates the turnover, subcellular dynamics, complex assembly, and post-recognition signaling of PRRs. It is also of importance to show how PRR biogenesis is rewired during pathogen challenges, according to the extent of ER stress arisen. Excessive ER stress might facilitate to engage DAMP-mediated immune systems that are tolerant to ERQC dysfunction, and thereby can ultimately enhance plant immunity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We apologize to our colleagues whose work is not cited due to space limitations. Research in the lab is supported in part by the Max Planck Society and grants from the German Research Council SFB670 (to Yusuke Saijo) and from the MEXT of Japan (no. 25891014 to Yusuke Saijo).

REFERENCES

- Anelli, T., and Sitia, R. (2008). Protein quality control in the early secretory pathway. *EMBO J.* 27, 315–327. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7601974
- Baluska, F., Samaj, J., Napier, R., and Volkmann, D. (1999). Maize calreticulin localizes preferentially to plasmodesmata in root apex. *Plant J.* 19, 481–488. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00530.x
- Bar, M., and Avni, A. (2009). EHD2 inhibits signaling of leucine rich repeat receptor-like proteins. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 4, 682–684. doi: 10.4161/psb.4.7.9078
- Boller, T., and Felix, G. (2009). A renaissance of elicitors: perception of microbe-associated molecular patterns and danger signals by pattern-recognition receptors. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 60, 379–406. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105346
- Caplan, J. L., Zhu, X., Mamillapalli, P., Marathe, R., Anandalakshmi, R., and Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2009). Induced ER chaperones regulate a receptor-like kinase to mediate antiviral innate immune response in plants. *Cell Host Microbe* 6, 457–469. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2009.10.005
- Chen, L., Hamada, S., Fujiwara, M., Zhu, T., Thao, N. P., Wong, H. L., et al. (2010). The Hop/Sti1-Hsp90 chaperone complex facilitates the maturation and transport of a PAMP receptor in rice innate immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* 7, 185–196. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2010.02.008
- Chinchilla, D., Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Kemmerling, B., Nurnberger, T., Jones, J. D., et al. (2007). A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. *Nature* 448, 497–500. doi: 10.1038/nature05999
- Christensen, A., Svensson, K., Thelin, L., Zhang, W., Tintor, N., Prins, D., et al. (2010). Higher plant calreticulins have acquired specialized functions in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS ONE* 5:e11342. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011342
- de Jonge, R., van Esse, H. P., Maruthachalam, K., Bolton, M. D., Santhanam, P., Saber, M. K., et al. (2012). Tomato immune receptor Ve1 recognizes effector of multiple fungal pathogens uncovered by genome and RNA sequencing. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 5110–5115. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1119623109
- Dodds, P. N., and Rathjen, J. P. (2010). Plant immunity: towards an integrated view of plant–pathogen interactions. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 11, 539–548. doi: 10.1038/nrg2812
- Dunning, F. M., Sun, W., Jansen, K. L., Helft, L., and Bent, A. F. (2007). Identification and mutational analysis of *Arabidopsis* FLS2 leucine-rich repeat domain residues that contribute to flagellin perception. *Plant Cell* 19, 3297–3313. doi: 10.1105/tpc.106.048801
- Eichmann, R., and Schafer, P. (2012). The endoplasmic reticulum in plant immunity and cell death. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:200. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00200
- Farid, A., Malinovsky, F. G., Veit, C., Schoberer, J., Zipfel, C., and Strasser, R. (2013). Specialized roles of the conserved subunit OST3/6 of the oligosaccharyltransferase complex in innate immunity and tolerance to abiotic stresses. *Plant Physiol.* 162, 24–38. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.215509

- Fradin, E. F., Abd-El-Halim, A., Masini, L., van den Berg, G. C., Joosten, M. H., and Thomma, B. P. (2011). Interfamily transfer of tomato Ve1 mediates *Verticillium* resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 156, 2255–2265. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.180067
- Fradin, E. F., Zhang, Z., Juarez Ayala, J. C., Castroverde, C. D., Nazar, R. N., Robb, J., et al. (2009). Genetic dissection of *Verticillium* wilt resistance mediated by tomato Ve1. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 320–332. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.136762
- Gao, M., Wang, X., Wang, D., Xu, F., Ding, X., Zhang, Z., et al. (2009). Regulation of cell death and innate immunity by two receptor-like kinases in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell Host Microbe* 6, 34–44. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2009.05.019
- Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Hann, D. R., Ntoukakis, V., Petutschnig, E., Lipka, V., and Rathjen, J. P. (2009). AvrPtoB targets the LysM receptor kinase CERK1 to promote bacterial virulence on plants. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 423–429. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2009.01.054
- Gomez-Gomez, L., and Boller, T. (2000). FLS2: an LRR receptor-like kinase involved in the perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in *Arabidopsis*. *Mol. Cell.* 5, 1003–1011. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)80265-8
- Haweker, H., Rips, S., Koiwa, H., Salomon, S., Saijo, Y., Chinchilla, D., et al. (2010). Pattern recognition receptors require N-glycosylation to mediate plant immunity. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 4629–4636. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.063073
- Hayashi, S., Wakasa, Y., and Takaiwa, F. (2012). Functional integration between defence and IRE1-mediated ER stress response in rice. *Sci. Rep.* 2, 670. doi: 10.1038/srep00670
- Hayward, A. P., and Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2011). What can plant autophagy do for an innate immune response? *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 49, 557–576. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-072910-095333
- Heese, A., Hann, D. R., Gimenez-Ibanez, S., Jones, A. M., He, K., Li, J., et al. (2007). The receptor-like kinase SERK3/BAK1 is a central regulator of innate immunity in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 12217–12222. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0705306104
- Hong, Z., Jin, H., Tzfira, T., and Li, J. (2008). Multiple mechanism-mediated retention of a defective brassinosteroid receptor in the endoplasmic reticulum of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20, 3418–3429. doi: 10.1105/tpc.108.061879
- Howell, S. H. (2013). Endoplasmic reticulum stress responses in plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 64, 477–499. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120053
- Iwata, Y., and Koizumi, N. (2012). Plant transducers of the endoplasmic reticulum unfolded protein response. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 720–727. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.06.014
- Jaouannet, M., Magliano, M., Arguel, M. J., Gourgues, M., Evangelisti, E., Abad, P., et al. (2013). The root-knot nematode calreticulin Mi-CRT is a key effector in plant defense suppression. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 26, 97–105. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-05-12-0130-R
- Jin, H., Hong, Z., Su, W., and Li, J. (2009). A plant-specific calreticulin is a key retention factor for a defective brassinosteroid receptor in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 13612–13617. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906144106
- Jin, H., Yan, Z., Nam, K. H., and Li, J. (2007). Allele-specific suppression of a defective brassinosteroid receptor reveals a physiological role of UGGT in ER quality control. *Mol. Cell.* 26, 821–830. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2007.05.015
- Jones, J. D., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323–329. doi: 10.1038/nature05286
- Kaku, H., Nishizawa, Y., Ishii-Minami, N., Akimoto-Tomiya, C., Dohmae, N., Takio, K., et al. (2006). Plant cells recognize chitin fragments for defense signaling through a plasma membrane receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 11086–11091. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508882103
- Kelleher, D. J., and Gilmore, R. (2006). An evolving view of the eukaryotic oligosaccharyltransferase. *Glycobiology* 16, 47R–62R. doi: 10.1093/glycob/cwj066
- Koizumi, N., Ujino, T., Sano, H., and Chrispeels, M. J. (1999). Overexpression of a gene that encodes the first enzyme in the biosynthesis of asparagine-linked glycans makes plants resistant to tunicamycin and obviates the tunicamycin-induced unfolded protein response. *Plant Physiol.* 121, 353–361. doi: 10.1104/pp.121.2.353
- Krol, E., Mentzel, T., Chinchilla, D., Boller, T., Felix, G., Kemmerling, B., et al. (2010). Perception of the *Arabidopsis* danger signal peptide 1 involves the pattern recognition receptor AtPEPR1 and its close homologue AtPEPR2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 13471–13479. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.097394
- Krysko, O., Love Aes, T., Bachert, C., Vandennebeele, P., and Krysko, D. V. (2013). Many faces of DAMPs in cancer therapy. *Cell Death Dis.* 4, e631. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.156
- Lee, H. Y., Bowen, C. H., Popescu, G. V., Kang, H. G., Kato, N., Ma, S., et al. (2011). *Arabidopsis* RTN1B1 and RTN1B2 Reticulon-like proteins regulate intracellular trafficking and activity of the FLS2 immune receptor. *Plant Cell* 23, 3374–3391. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.089656
- Li, J., Zhao-Hui, C., Batoux, M., Nekrasov, V., Roux, M., Chinchilla, D., et al. (2009). Specific ER quality control components required for biogenesis of the plant innate immune receptor EFR. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 15973–15978. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0905532106
- Liebrand, T. W., Kombrink, A., Zhang, Z., Sklenar, J., Jones, A. M., Robatzek, S., et al. (2013a). Chaperones of the endoplasmic reticulum are required for Ve1-mediated resistance to *Verticillium*. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 15, 109–117. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12071
- Liebrand, T. W., van den Berg, G. C., Zhang, Z., Smit, P., Cordewener, J. H., America, A. H., et al. (2013b). Receptor-like kinase SOBIR1/EVR interacts with receptor-like proteins in plant immunity against fungal infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 10010–10015. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1220015110
- Liebrand, T. W., Smit, P., Abd-El-Halim, A., de Jonge, R., Cordewener, J. H., America, A. H., et al. (2012). Endoplasmic reticulum-quality control chaperones facilitate the biogenesis of Cf receptor-like proteins involved in pathogen resistance of tomato. *Plant Physiol.* 159, 1819–1833. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.196741
- Lu, D., Lin, W., Gao, X., Wu, S., Cheng, C., Avila, J., et al. (2011). Direct ubiquitination of pattern recognition receptor FLS2 attenuates plant innate immunity. *Science* 332, 1439–1442. doi: 10.1126/science.1204903
- Lu, X., Tintor, N., Mentzel, T., Kombrink, E., Boller, T., Robatzek, S., et al. (2009). Uncoupling of sustained MAMP receptor signaling from early outputs in an *Arabidopsis* endoplasmic reticulum glucosidase II allele. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 22522–22527. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0907711106
- Matsukawa, M., Shibata, Y., Ohtsu, M., Mizutani, A., Mori, H., Wang, P., et al. (2013). *Nicotiana benthamiana* calreticulin 3a is required for the ethylene-mediated production of phytoalexins and disease resistance against oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora infestans*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 26, 880–892. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-12-12-0301-R
- Mbengue, M., Camut, S., de Carvalho-Niebel, F., Deslandes, L., Froidure, S., Klaus-Heisen, D., et al. (2010). The *Medicago truncatula* E3 ubiquitin ligase PUB1 interacts with the LYK3 symbiotic receptor and negatively regulates infection and nodulation. *Plant Cell* 22, 3474–3488. doi: 10.1105/tpc.110.075861
- Meunier, L., Usherwood, Y. K., Chung, K. T., and Hendershot, L. M. (2002). A subset of chaperones and folding enzymes form multiprotein complexes in endoplasmic reticulum to bind nascent proteins. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 13, 4456–4469. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E02-05-0311
- Mishiba, K., Nagashima, Y., Suzuki, E., Hayashi, N., Ogata, Y., Shimada, Y., et al. (2013). Defects in IRE1 enhance cell death and fail to degrade mRNAs encoding secretory pathway proteins in the *Arabidopsis* unfolded protein response. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 5713–5718. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1219047110
- Miya, A., Albert, P., Shinya, T., Desaki, Y., Ichimura, K., Shirasu, K., et al. (2007). CERK1, a LysM receptor kinase, is essential for chitin elicitor signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 19613–19618. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0705147104
- Moreno, A. A., Mukhtar, M. S., Blanco, F., Boatwright, J. L., Moreno, I., Jordan, M. R., et al. (2012). IRE1/bZIP60-mediated unfolded protein response plays distinct roles in plant immunity and abiotic stress responses. *PLoS ONE* 7:e31944. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031944
- Mueller, K., Bittel, P., Chinchilla, D., Jehle, A. K., Albert, M., Boller, T., et al. (2012). Chimeric FLS2 receptors reveal the basis for differential flagellin perception in *Arabidopsis* and tomato. *Plant Cell* 24, 2213–2224. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.096073
- Nekrasov, V., Li, J., Batoux, M., Roux, M., Chu, Z. H., Lacombe, S., et al. (2009). Control of the pattern-recognition receptor EFR by an ER protein complex in plant immunity. *EMBO J.* 28, 3428–3438. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.262
- Park, C. J., Bart, R., Chern, M., Canlas, P. E., Bai, W., and Ronald, P. C. (2010). Overexpression of the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP3 regulates XA21-mediated innate immunity in rice. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9262. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009262
- Park, C. J., Sharma, R., Lefebvre, B., Canlas, P. E., and Ronald, P. C. (2013). The endoplasmic reticulum-quality control component SDF2 is essential for XA21-mediated immunity in rice. *Plant Sci.* 210, 53–60. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2013.05.003
- Popescu, S. C. (2012). A model for the biosynthesis and transport of plasma membrane-associated signaling receptors to the cell surface. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:71. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00071

- Postel, S., Kufner, I., Beuter, C., Mazzotta, S., Schwedt, A., Borlotti, A., et al. (2010). The multifunctional leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase BAK1 is implicated in *Arabidopsis* development and immunity. *Eur. J. Cell Biol.* 89, 169–174. doi: 10.1016/j.ejcb.2009.11.001
- Qiang, X., Zechmann, B., Reitz, M. U., Kogel, K. H., and Schafer, P. (2012). The mutualistic fungus *Piriformospora indica* colonizes *Arabidopsis* roots by inducing an endoplasmic reticulum stress-triggered caspase-dependent cell death. *Plant Cell* 24, 794–809. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.093260
- Qiu, Y., Xi, J., Du, L., Roje, S., and Poovaiah, B. W. (2011). A dual regulatory role of *Arabidopsis* calreticulin-2 in plant innate immunity. *Plant J.* 69, 489–500. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04807.x
- Rivas, S., and Thomas, C. M. (2005). Molecular interactions between tomato and the leaf mold pathogen *Cladosporium fulvum*. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 43, 395–436. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.43.040204.140224
- Robatzek, S., Chinchilla, D., and Boller, T. (2006). Ligand-induced endocytosis of the pattern recognition receptor FLS2 in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* 20, 537–542. doi: 10.1101/gad.366506
- Ron, M., and Avni, A. (2004). The receptor for the fungal elicitor ethylene-inducing xylanase is a member of a resistance-like gene family in tomato. *Plant Cell* 16, 1604–1615. doi: 10.1105/tpc.022475
- Ryan, C. A., Huffaker, A., and Yamaguchi, Y. (2007). New insights into innate immunity in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell Microbiol.* 9, 1902–1908. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.00991.x
- Saijo, Y., Tintor, N., Lu, X., Rauf, P., Pajeroska-Mukhtar, K., Haweker, H., et al. (2009). Receptor quality control in the endoplasmic reticulum for plant innate immunity. *EMBO J.* 28, 3439–3449. doi: 10.1038/emboj.2009.263
- Schulze, B., Mentzel, T., Jehle, A. K., Mueller, K., Beeler, S., Boller, T., et al. (2010). Rapid heteromerization and phosphorylation of ligand-activated plant transmembrane receptors and their associated kinase BAK1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 9444–9451. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.096842
- Shan, L., He, P., Li, J., Heese, A., Peck, S. C., Nurnberger, T., et al. (2008). Bacterial effectors target the common signaling partner BAK1 to disrupt multiple MAMP receptor-signaling complexes and impede plant immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* 4, 17–27. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2008.05.017
- Sharma, A., Isogai, M., Yamamoto, T., Sakaguchi, K., Hashimoto, J., and Komatsu, S. (2004). A novel interaction between calreticulin and ubiquitin-like nuclear protein in rice. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 45, 684–692. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pch077
- Smith, J. M., Salamango, D. J., Leslie, M. E., Collins, C. A., and Heese, A. (2013). Sensitivity to Flg22 is modulated by ligand-induced degradation and de novo synthesis of the endogenous flagellin-receptor FLAGELLIN-SENSING2. *Plant Physiol.* 164, 440–454. doi: 10.1104/pp.113.229179
- Song, W. Y., Wang, G. L., Chen, L. L., Kim, H. S., Pi, L. Y., Holsten, T., et al. (1995). A receptor kinase-like protein encoded by the rice disease resistance gene, Xa21. *Science* 270, 1804–1806. doi: 10.1126/science.270.5243.1804
- Stegmann, M., Anderson, R. G., Ichimura, K., Pecenkova, T., Reuter, P., Zarsky, V., et al. (2012). The ubiquitin ligase PUB22 targets a subunit of the exocyst complex required for PAMP-triggered responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 24, 4703–4716. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.104463
- Sticher, L. A., and Metraux, J. P. (2000). Inhibitors of N-linked glycosylation induce systemic acquired resistance in cucumber. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 56, 245–252. doi: 10.1006/pmpp.2000.0271
- Sun, W., Cao, Y., Jansen Labby, K., Bittel, P., Boller, T., and Bent, A. F. (2012). Probing the *Arabidopsis* flagellin receptor: FLS2-FLS2 association and the contributions of specific domains to signaling function. *Plant Cell* 24, 1096–1113. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.095919
- Sun, Y., Li, L., Macho, A. P., Han, Z., Hu, Z., Zipfel, C., et al. (2013). Structural basis for flg22-induced activation of the *Arabidopsis* FLS2-BAK1 immune complex. *Science* 342, 624–628. doi: 10.1126/science.1243825
- Teh, O. K., and Hofius, D. (2014). Membrane trafficking and autophagy in pathogen-triggered cell death and immunity. *J. Exp. Bot.* doi: 10.1093/jxb/ert441 [Epub ahead of print].
- Tintor, N., Ross, A., Kanehara, K., Yamada, K., Fan, L., Kemmerling, B., et al. (2013). Layered pattern receptor signaling via ethylene and endogenous elicitor peptides during *Arabidopsis* immunity to bacterial infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 6211–6216. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1216780110
- Todd, D. J., Lee, A. H., and Glimcher, L. H. (2008). The endoplasmic reticulum stress response in immunity and autoimmunity. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 8, 663–674. doi: 10.1038/nri2359
- Trujillo, M., Ichimura, K., Casais, C., and Shirasu, K. (2008). Negative regulation of PAMP-triggered immunity by an E3 ubiquitin ligase triplet in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1396–1401. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.07.085
- Vitale, A., and Boston, R. S. (2008). Endoplasmic reticulum quality control and the unfolded protein response: insights from plants. *Traffic* 9, 1581–1588. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00780.x
- Wan, J., Zhang, X. C., Neece, D., Ramonell, K. M., Clough, S., Kim, S. Y., et al. (2008). A LysM receptor-like kinase plays a critical role in chitin signaling and fungal resistance in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 20, 471–481. doi: 10.1105/tpc.107.056754
- Wang, D., Weaver, N. D., Kesarwani, M., and Dong, X. (2005). Induction of protein secretory pathway is required for systemic acquired resistance. *Science* 308, 1036–1040. doi: 10.1126/science.1108791
- Willmann, R., Lajunen, H. M., Erbs, G., Newman, M. A., Kolb, D., Tsuda, K., et al. (2011). *Arabidopsis* lysin-motif proteins LYM1 LYM3 CERK1 mediate bacterial peptidoglycan sensing and immunity to bacterial infection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 19824–19829. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1112862108
- Yamaguchi, Y., Huffaker, A., Bryan, A. C., Tax, F. E., and Ryan, C. A. (2010). PEPR2 is a second receptor for the Pep1 and Pep2 peptides and contributes to defense responses in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 22, 508–522. doi: 10.1105/tpc.109.068874
- Zhang, W., Fraiture, M., Kolb, D., Loffelhardt, B., Desaki, Y., Boutrot, F. E., et al. (2013). *Arabidopsis* receptor-like protein30 and receptor-like kinase suppressor of BIR1-1/EVERSHED mediate innate immunity to necrotrophic fungi. *Plant Cell* 25, 4227–4241. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.117010
- Zielinska, D. F., Gnad, F., Schropp, K., Wisniewski, J. R., and Mann, M. (2012). Mapping N-glycosylation sites across seven evolutionarily distant species reveals a divergent substrate proteome despite a common core machinery. *Mol. Cell.* 46, 542–548. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.04.031
- Zipfel, C., Kunze, G., Chinchilla, D., Caniard, A., Jones, J. D., Boller, T., et al. (2006). Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts agrobacterium-mediated transformation. *Cell* 125, 749–760. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.037

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 19 January 2014; accepted: 7 February 2014; published online: 25 February 2014.

Citation: Tintor N and Saijo Y (2014) ER-mediated control for abundance, quality, and signaling of transmembrane immune receptors in plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 5:65. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2014.00065

This article was submitted to *Plant Cell Biology*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Plant Science*.

Copyright © 2014 Tintor and Saijo. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.