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Abstract. Raman microspectroscopy and quantitative backscattered electron imaging (qBEI) of bone are powerful tools to investigate bone material properties. Both methods provide information on the degree of bone matrix mineralization. However, a head-to-head comparison of these outcomes from identical bone areas has not been performed to date. In femoral midshaft cross sections of three women, 99 regions (20 x 20 $\mu$m$^2$) were selected inside osteones and interstitial bone covering a wide range of matrix mineralization. As the focus of this study was only on regions undergoing secondary mineralization, zones exhibiting a distinct gradient in mineral content close to the mineralization front were excluded. The same regions were measured by both methods. We found a linear correlation ($R^2 = 0.75$) between mineral/matrix as measured by Raman spectroscopy and the wt. %Mineral/(100-wt. %Mineral) as obtained by qBEI, in good agreement with theoretical estimations. The observed deviations of single values from the linear regression line were determined to reflect biological heterogeneities. The data of this study demonstrate the good correspondence between Raman and qBEI outcomes in describing tissue mineralization. The obtained correlation is likely sensitive to changes in bone tissue composition, providing an approach to detect potential deviations from normal bone. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI: [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.19.6.065002]
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1 Introduction

Bone is a biomaterial with a strict hierarchical structure.$^1$ The interplay of its architecture down to the micrometer scale with a composite of organic matrix and mineral phases at the nanoscale results in unique material properties and favorable characteristics in resisting mechanical stress.$^2$ To investigate the impact of pathological and drug-related changes on bone strength, both bone architecture and the quality of the mineralized bone matrix need to be taken into account in addition to routinely reported bone mineral density (BMD) as determined by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry. To date, considerable effort has been dedicated to study the bone quality and to characterize the composition of the organic matrix–mineral composite.

As a consequence, the application of Raman spectroscopy for analysis has increased dramatically over the last years$^3-8$ and has become a useful tool for the investigation of bone material, offering simultaneous information on the properties of its organic matrix and mineral phase. The mineral/matrix ratio [i.e., mineral phase ($\text{PO}_4$)] normalized to organic matrix (amide)] is a frequently used parameter to describe the bone matrix mineralization, with several studies demonstrating that this ratio is altered due to disease or during treatment. For example Morris et al. observed changes in the mineral/matrix ratio in murine femora after mechanical stimulation.$^7$ Lane et al. studied the glucocorticoid-treated mice and found changes in the mineral/matrix ratio as well as in the elastic modulus of lumbar vertebrae.$^9-14$ Recent studies combining Raman microspectroscopy with fluorescence microscopy investigated the tissue parameters at defined tissues, thus independently of bone turnover.$^{12-14}$

In addition to Raman spectroscopy, scanning electron microscopy using high-energy backscattered electrons (BEs) has also been proven to be a powerful tool for the examination of bone matrix mineralization. Quantitative backscattered electron imaging (qBEI) is a validated and established quantitative method for the determination of the local Ca content (weight percent Ca—wt. %Ca).$^{15}$ Although Raman microspectroscopy provides information on several parameters based on the characteristic chemical bonds present in the mineral and the organic matrix bone components, qBEI offers the ability to determine the average atomic number of the analyzed material and consequently to quantify the weight fraction of Ca or percentage (wt. %Ca) in bone material. Both methods have a spatial resolution of about 1 $\mu$m and can be applied on bulk polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) embedded samples with polished surfaces in a
nondestructive way. In the past, qBEI and Raman spectroscopy were shown to be comprehensive methods to characterize the mineralized bone matrix. In several studies, Raman measurements as well as qBEI analysis were performed on the same samples, but while qBEI parameters usually refer to frequency distributions of mineral content within the large bone regions, the Raman parameters are obtained in microanatomical areas of specific tissue ages, usually located near the mineralization front. A head-to-head comparison of both methods with identical measurement regions describing bone matrix mineralization has not been performed to date.

Thus, the aim of this study was to elucidate the relationship between the mineral/matrix ratio as assessed by Raman microspectroscopy and the mean calcium content as obtained by qBEI in healthy bone, undergoing the secondary mineralization phase. To interpret the mineral/matrix Raman intensity and qBEI outcomes together, a fundamental knowledge of the correlation between these parameters is required. How is the mineral/matrix ratio linked with the Ca content as measured by qBEI in these regions? Can the technical variation be separated from biological heterogeneity? What is the statistical power of each technique?

Low-mineralized regions (below 17.5 wt. %Ca) were excluded in this study because of technical restrictions (accuracy of image matching). Biological features, such as increased microporosity (PMMA content) or highly variable Ca/P ratio are reported to change rapidly in these regions with the distance to the mineralization front. Therefore, it must be noted that the outcome of this study does not necessarily extrapolate to freshly mineralized regions.

The mineral/matrix ratio was calculated from the integrated area of the $\nu_2PO_4$ and the amide III peaks [Fig. 1(c)]. We chose the $\nu_2PO_4$ and the amide III bands as it was previously shown that they have minimal susceptibility to tissue organization/orientation effects. The Ca content (Ca mass fraction) was derived from BE signal intensities (gray levels in qBEI image) that reflect the local electron density of the bone material. For this purpose, the gray levels were standardized against reference materials (carbon and aluminum) with known electron densities (atomic numbers). The mineral/matrix ratios and the local Ca concentrations were measured in well-defined, identical osteonal areas of bone matrix selected exclusively in the secondary mineralization phase, for the reasons stated previously. Furthermore, only healthy human bone was considered for this study. Since in the selected bone regions, the overwhelming amount of Ca and PO$_4$ is encountered within the poorly crystalline hydroxyapatite crystallites, the hypothesis tested was that the
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mineral/matrix ratio is strongly correlated with the Ca concentration. Complementing the instrumental measurements, theoretical estimations were also performed so as to model the type of the expected correlation between qBEI and Raman parameters. In addition to pure hydroxypatite, curves for other types of calcium phosphates were calculated. Finally, the technical and biological variations of Raman and qBEI outcomes were investigated.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Samples and Preparation

We analyzed the samples from the femoral midshaft of three women without any history of metabolic bone disease based on pathology records. (Sample 1: 29 years; Sample 2: 39 years; and Sample 3: 55 years). The samples were provided by the Department of Forensic Medicine of the Medical University Vienna. The undecalcified samples were fixed in 70% ethanol, dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, and embedded in PMMA. To ensure a minimal disturbance of the circular shape of the osteons, about 1-cm-thick discs were cut perpendicular to the bone axis of the femoral midshaft region using a low-speed diamond saw (Buehler Isomet, Lake Bluff, Illinois). The cross-section surfaces were grounded by sand paper and subsequently polished using diamond suspension (3 and 1 μm grain size) on a precision polishing device (PM5 Logitech, Glasgow, Scotland), and carbon-coated (Ager SEM Carbon Coater, Stansted, UK) prior to qBEI.

The study was performed in accordance with the Institutional Ethical Review Board of the Department of Forensic Medicine of the Medical University of Vienna.

2.2 Measurement Procedures

Raman microspectroscopy and qBEI were performed on the identical sample surface in osteonal and interstitial bones. To achieve that, Raman measurements were performed prior to qBEI because the carbon coating, which is used for qBEI, interferes with the Raman signal (fluorescence). Before this, a pre-selection of the bone areas of interest was also done using qBEI with subsequent removal of the coating.

The first run of qBEI acquisition was used to select 99 osteons and interstitial regions where the Raman measurements were eventually collected. The grinding and polishing procedure to remove the carbon coating resulted in a slight change of the section plane. Then, Raman microspectroscopy was performed in a grid of 5 × 5 measurement points, defining square regions of interest (ROI, 20 × 20 μm²). The ROIs were selected inside osteons or interstitial regions, without any overlap with cement lines [Fig. 1(a)]. Light microscope images with the indications of the measurement points were also acquired.

Subsequently, the samples were carbon coated again and the second run of qBEI acquisition was performed on the identical bone surface plane as analyzed by Raman previously (200× magnification, 0.9-μm pixel size). Using ImageJ for image processing and analysis (version 1.44F, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland), it was possible to overlay the light microscope images taken through the Raman device and the qBEI images with an uncertainty of about 3 μm [Fig. 1(a)]. The positions of the regions of interest (ROIs) were transferred to the qBEI image [Fig. 1(b)]. For every ROI, an average wt. %Ca value was derived and associated with the corresponding average mineral/matrix value as assessed by Raman. Osteocyte lacunae were excluded from the measurements in both methods.

2.3 Raman Analysis

Raman spectra were obtained in an area of 20 × 20 μm² ROI in a 5 × 5 grid (step size: ~5 μm) [Fig. 1(a)] with a Senterra (Bruker Optics GmbH, Ettlingen, Germany, 785 nm, 100 mW laser) using a 50× objective (NA 0.75). Confocality was achieved using a slit-type aperture of 50 × 1000 μm (spot size ~1 μm). Once acquired, the Raman spectra were baseline corrected (rubber band, 5 iterations) to account for fluorescence, and the mineral/matrix ratio was calculated from the ratio of the integrated peak areas of the v3PO4 (410 to 460 cm⁻¹) to the amide III (1215 to 1300 cm⁻¹) [Fig. 1(c)]. It has previously been shown that the mineral/matrix ratio assessed with these parameters is not orientation dependent. The calculated values were averaged, resulting in a single mineral/integer value representative for the corresponding ROI.

2.4 qBEI

qBEI microscopy is a validated and well-established method for the quantitative and spatially resolved assessment of the bone mineral content. In a scanning electron microscope, the intensity of BEs is proportional to the average atomic number (Z) of the target material. As a consequence, the qBEI intensity [converted to gray values (GVs) in the images] can be either correlated with the weight percent bone mineral or calcium (wt. %Ca), if a constant Ca/P ratio inside the mineral is assumed. The method includes a calibration with standard reference materials, which is performed before every set of measurements. Using the 8 bit image of a reference sample, GVs of pure carbon (C, Z = 6) and pure aluminum (Al, Z = 13) are set to 25 and 225, respectively, by adjusting image brightness and contrast. The GVs of mineralized bone tissue were directly converted into wt. %Ca. According to the calibration procedure, nonmineralized bone matrix (osteoid, Z = ~6) has 0 wt. %Ca and pure hydroxypatite (Z = 14.06), which has 39.86 wt. %Ca due to its composition. More details can be found in the literature.

A comparison between qBEI and energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) analysis verified the linear dependency of the wt. %Ca (assessed by quantitative EDX) and the qBEI backscattered signal. As a result of the calibration and the comparison with EDX readings, a linear equation was derived describing the correlation between the GV and the Ca content in weight percent: wt. %Ca = −4.332 + 0.1733 × GV. Therefore, one GV step corresponds to a change of about 0.17 wt. %Ca. Furthermore, within this study, the intra-assay and the inter-assay technical variance of this method were assessed when measuring the same region of trabecular bone from a human iliac crest biopsy at 50x magnification for six and eight times, respectively (intra-assay variance: 0.27% of mean the Ca content and inter-assay variance: 0.30% of mean the Ca content).

All measurements of the studies mentioned above and the current study were performed with a digital scanning electron microscope (DSM 962; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a four-quadrant semiconductor BE detector. Images of the
current study were generated at 200× magnification featuring a pixel size of 0.9 μm. Measurements were performed at an acceleration voltage of 20 kV with a probe current of 110 pA. The working distance was set to 15 mm. Based on previously published reports, the information depth at 20 kV is about 1.5 μm in a bone-like material.26

2.5 Determination of Technical and Biological Variations and Statistical Analysis

Technical variations were calculated for both techniques. In the case of Raman analysis, one measurement point in a secondary mineralized osteon was measured 20 times with the same measurement parameters as used in this study. The technical variation was defined as ±2 sigma of the standard error of the mean.

For qBEI, a 600 × 470 μm area of human cortical bone was measured 20 times at 200× magnification with the same measurement parameters as used in this study. Six ROIs of 20 × 20 μm were selected inside different bone structural units and average Ca concentrations were evaluated for every ROI. Therefore, for each of the six ROI sets, the standard deviations (SDs) were calculated using the 20 calcium mean values of the ROIs. The calculations included a correction for a slight beam damage effect.

The technical variation of the qBEI method was defined as ±2 sigma of the average SD.

The 95% prediction bands in Fig. 2 represent the linear bandwidth that includes 95% of the data points. The actual slope lies within the confidence with a probability of 95%. For the comparison of the SDs, the coefficients of variation (COV) Mann-Whitney tests were performed, where p < 0.05 was considered significant.

Statistical analysis was performed with Graphpad (La Jolla, California) Prism 5.0d for MacOSX.

3 Results

3.1 Mineral/Matrix Versus wt. %Ca Results

Each point in Fig. 1(d) depicts the average value of one ROI [i.e., the mean value of 25 Raman measurements and ~450 qBEI pixels as shown in Fig. 1(a)]. The mineral/matrix integrated area ratio varied between 0.32 and 1.55 within a range from 17.6 to 27.0 wt. %Ca (R² = 0.72) as assessed by qBEI. In Fig. 1(d), a ratio (mineral/matrix) is plotted versus a fraction (wt. %Ca). For mathematical reasons, the relationship between these parameters is not expected to be directly proportional (linear, going through zero).

This is in line with our results, where the regression line does not extrapolate to zero. In order to better understand this relationship, we investigated the theoretical correlation of our Raman and qBEI results.

3.2 Theoretical Correlation of the Raman and qBEI Results

To estimate the relationship between Ca content (wt. %Ca) and \(v_1\)PO₄/amide III integrated area ratio (mineral/matrix), we consider bone tissue in a small volume of a resin-embedded bone section to consist of mineral (poorly crystalline carbonated hydroxyapatite), protein matrix (mainly collagen with minor contribution from noncollagenous proteins, lipids, proteoglycans, and water), and inclusions of PMMA. To obtain a simple analytical relation, several simplifications were made:

a. The contributions of PMMA were ignored. This is based on the fact that in secondary mineralized osteonal bone tissue, resin penetrates primarily via porous areas of the bone substance, which is mostly the osteocytic network (cell lacunae and canaliculi), accounting for less than 1% of the bone volume.27 Moreover, the PMMA Raman peak observed in the bone areas considered in this study was close to the detection limit.

b. We assume mineral with a given Ca/P ratio, which in the case of pure, highly crystalline hydroxyapatite corresponds to 1.67, even though bone is a highly dynamic material with local variations in the apatite composition as discussed below.

c. The following estimate is based on the assumption that the overwhelming majority of PO₄ groups and Ca ions present in the tissue are encountered in the mineral. Other contributions of Ca and PO₄, like those from phosphorylated noncollagenous proteins or protein-bound calcium, are therefore neglected.

Assuming a known composition of apatite, weight percent mineral (wt. %Mineral) can be derived from the qBEI outcome. This is done using the mass of mineral per PO₄ group (\(m_{\text{mineral}}\)) and the mass of organic matrix per amide vibrational unit (\(m_{\text{amide III}}\)). These parameters are not directly accessible using qBEI but can be used to express wt. %Mineral as done in Eq. (1). \(N_{\text{PO4}}\) and \(N_{\text{amideIII}}\) are the numbers of the corresponding vibrational units (per unit volume). As a result of these definitions, wt. %Mineral can be written as the mass of the mineral divided by the mass of the mineral phase plus the mass of the organic matrix

\[
\text{wt\% Mineral} = \frac{N_{\text{PO4}} \cdot m_{\text{mineral}}}{N_{\text{PO4}} \cdot m_{\text{mineral}} + N_{\text{amideIII}} \cdot m_{\text{amideIII}}} : 100. \tag{1}
\]

This can be transformed to the wt. %Mineral ratio shown below

\[
\text{wt\%Mineral} = \frac{N_{\text{PO4}} \cdot m_{\text{mineral}}}{N_{\text{amideIII}} \cdot m_{\text{amideIII}}} = \frac{100}{100 - \text{wt\%Mineral}}. \tag{2}
\]

When Ca/P is given, this can be correlated to the fraction of mineral present in the tissue. According to the approximation of pure hydroxyapatite (Ca/P = 1.67), wt. %Mineral equals wt. %Ca × 2.51.25 Therefore, the left side of Eq. (2) can be calculated from the qBEI results and is interpreted as the amount of mineral divided by the amount of nonmineral (organic) matrix (since the assumption is that in secondary mineralized packets, the PMMA contribution is negligible).

The relationship between the intensity of Raman scattered light \(I(v_1)\) and the primary beam intensity \(I_0\) was derived previously.28 According to this work, linear superposition becomes problematic in turbid media due to the absorption of Raman scattered light. These errors should be diminished due to the confocal setup minimizing the optical path through the medium. All constants and parameters linked to the vibration properties can be summarized by the molecule-dependent parameters \(R_{\text{amideIII}}\) and \(R_{\text{PO4}}\), respectively. These definitions allow simplifying the mineral/matrix ratio as shown in Eq. (3). \(R_{\text{amideIII}} \cdot N_{\text{amideIII}}\) is representative for the whole...
amide III signal, although it should be kept in mind that this spectral area encompasses numerous vibrations. Therefore, $R_{amide\ III} = N_{amide\ III}$ can be replaced by a sum over all contributing $R_i = N_i$. Due to the confocal experimental setup, the measurement volume $V_{PO_4}$ and $V_{amide\ III}$ can be treated as equal for each measurement spot.

$$
\text{Mineral}(v_4PO_4) = \frac{I_{PO_4}}{I_{amide\ III}} = \frac{I_0 \cdot V \cdot R_{PO_4} \cdot N_{PO_4}}{I_0 \cdot V \cdot R_{amide\ III} \cdot N_{amide\ III}} = \frac{R_{PO_4} \cdot N_{PO_4}}{R_{amide\ III} \cdot N_{amide\ III}},
$$

where $m_{\text{mineral}}$ and $m_{\text{amide\ III}}$ [Eq. (2)] as well as $R_{PO_4}$ and $R_{amide\ III}$ [Eq. (3)] are constants. As a result, a linear correlation is expected when inserting measurement points in a wt. $\%$ Mineral-ratio versus a mineral/matrix diagram

$$
\text{Mineral}(v_4PO_4) = \frac{R_{PO_4}/m_{\text{mineral}}}{m_{amide\ III}}.
$$

The theoretical slope of the linear regression leads to

$$
\text{Slope} = \frac{R_{PO_4}}{m_{\text{mineral}}/m_{amide\ III}}.
$$

Quantitative calculations of this slope are complex due to the parameters $R_{amate\ III}$ and $R_{PO_4}$, which include unknown contributions from the optical parameters, Raman tensors, and measurement geometry. Also, the estimations for $m_{\text{amide\ III}}$ require detailed information on the composition of the organic matrix. Nevertheless, regarding the measurements of mineral/matrix and wt. $\%$Ca in healthy secondary mineralized bone, resulting from these theoretical considerations two definite statements can be made:

1. A linear correlation between the mineral/matrix ratio (Raman) and wt. $\%$Mineral/(100-wt. $\%$Mineral) (qBEI) is expected. The mathematical transition from wt. $\%$Mineral/(100-wt. $\%$Mineral) to wt. $\%$Ca includes a conversion from a ratio to a fraction. Therefore, linearity is not preserved when deriving the theoretical correlation between mineral/matrix and wt. $\%$Ca.

2. A linear direct proportionality between mineral/matrix and wt. $\%$Mineral/(100-wt. $\%$Mineral) is expected as a result of the absence of an additive constant (offset) in Eq. (4).

### 3.3 Mineral/Matrix Versus wt. $\%$Mineral/(100-wt. $\%$Mineral) Results

Figure 1(d) shows the mineral/matrix versus wt. $\%$Ca measurements. The transformation of the $x$-axis from wt. $\%$Ca to wt. $\%$Mineral/(100-wt. $\%$Mineral) as introduced in Eq. (2) (with the approximation of hydroxyapatite with $Ca/P = 1.67$) increases linearity ($R^2 = 0.75$) (Fig. 2). Within the 95% confidence bands of the slope, we found a linear direct proportional behavior of these parameters, in excellent agreement with the theoretical considerations.

![Figure 2](http://biomedicaloptics.spiedigitallibrary.org/)  

**Fig. 2** Correlation of mineral/matrix ($v_4PO_4/amide\ III$) assessed by Raman and wt. $\%$Mineral/(100-wt. $\%$Mineral) measured by qBEI. Each data point refers to an ROI. Additionally, the linear regression line (black dashed line), the 95% confidence bands of the slope (dark dashed line), and the 95% prediction bands (bright dashed line) are shown. As predicted by theoretical estimations, the plotted data are correlated linearly ($R^2 = 0.75$).

### 3.4 Calculations on the Influence of the Ca/P Ratio of Bone Mineral

From a theoretical point of view, it is possible to estimate changes in the mineral/matrix ratio in the case of a changed mineral composition (assuming relatively unaltered organic matrix) like the influence of other mineral phases or the degree of the apatite crystal lattice ion substitutions. Extending Eq. (4), we now introduce a parameter $\alpha$, which depends on a hypothetical mineral composition and describes the relationship between wt. $\%$Ca to wt. $\%$Mineral. $\alpha$ equals 2.51 for pure hydroxyapatite and changes due to the properties of the mineral [5].

$$
\text{Mineral}(v_4PO_4) = \frac{wt.\%\text{Ca} \cdot \alpha \cdot m_{amide\ III}}{100 - wt.\%\text{Ca} \cdot \alpha \cdot m_{\text{mineral}}}.
$$

The last term of Eq. (6) can be summarized to the factor $K$

$$
K = \frac{m_{amide\ III}}{m_{\text{mineral}}}.
$$

Figure 3 illustrates the mineral/matrix ratio calculated from arbitrarily chosen wt. $\%$Ca values for three different values of $K$, $K_2$ was chosen to fit the results of our measurements. Resulting from the approximation that mineral consists of pure hydroxyapatite ($Ca/P = 1.67$), the range between the two dashed lines reflects the difference between a hypothetical 1:1 mixture of hydroxyapatite and octacalcium phosphate (2Ca$_4$H(PO$_4$)$_4$ · 2.5H$_2$O) ($Ca/P = 1.5$, $K_1 = 0.63, \alpha = 2.70$) and type B-carbonated hydroxyapatite with $Ca/P = 1.9$ (Ca$_6$(PO$_4$)$_5$(CO$_3$)(OH)$_2$), $K_3 = 0.54, \alpha = 2.49$).

### 3.5 Technical Variations Versus Biological Variations

Despite the highly linear correlation, the question lingers whether the deviations from the regression line in Fig. 2 are due to technical variations of the measurement setup, or due to biological heterogeneities influencing Raman and qBEI
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signals in different manners. To determine the influence of these factors, in Fig. 4(a), the error bars are added to the data points representing 2 sigma (Raman: 0.0088 mineral/matrix, qBEI: 0.099 wt. %Ca) of the technical variation as described above. For both Raman and qBEI, the technical variability causes only minor uncertainties. Thus, we conclude that the technical variations are too low to substantially contribute to the deviation of the data points from the regression line.

In Fig. 4(b), the error bars illustrate the measured SD within each ROI. Therefore, error bars in the y-direction represent the SD of the mineral/matrix ratio of the 25 measurement points of the corresponding region. To achieve comparable statistics between Raman and qBEI, within every ROI average GVs of all 5 × 5 pixel bins were used to calculate the SDs for qBEI. Hence, for Raman and for qBEI 25 values per ROI are used to determine the biological variances, but nevertheless the statistical power is different. This is due to the fact that for qBEI, each value itself is the average over a field of 5 × 5 pixels. As a consequence, this procedure reduces the noise in the qBEI results causing reductions of the SDs and the COV.

The comparison of these results with the technical variations [Fig. 4(a)] leads to the conclusion that the variations within a 20 × 20 μm² ROI are predominantly due to a biological variation rather than fluctuations caused by the measurement process. Furthermore, the magnitude of the SDs strongly suggests a dependency on the degree of mineralization (wt. %Ca) for both qBEI and Raman. Low-mineralized ROIs (<21 wt. %Ca) have significantly higher SDs in both methods (p < 0.0001) compared to the ROIs with a Ca content between 21 and 26 wt. %.

Interestingly, for highly mineralized ROIs (>26 wt. %Ca), the SD deviation in wt. %Ca remains unchanged (p = 0.528), while the SDs of the mineral/matrix values increase significantly compared to those with medium mineral content (p = 0.014). The results of this analysis are shown in Table 1.

When the COVs are calculated, the significant differences of the variations between the low- and the medium-mineralized regions remain (p < 0.0001), while the COVs of the medium and highly mineralized regions are equal for Raman (p = 0.708) and qBEI (p = 0.959) (Table 1).

The significances for qBEI were also calculated with the same results for the wt. %Matrix-ratio as introduced in Eq. (2), so that changes due to the conversion to wt. %Ca can be excluded as confounding factors.

Table 1  Median SDs and coefficients of variation (COV) within the regions of interest (ROI) for Raman and qBEI for three ranges of different mineralizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Median SDs of the ROI</th>
<th>Raman—SD</th>
<th>qBEI—SD</th>
<th>Raman—COV</th>
<th>qBEI—COV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 73)</td>
<td>(n = 19)</td>
<td>(n = 7)</td>
<td>0.0924</td>
<td>0.0482†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0815</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;21 wt. %Ca</td>
<td>Raman—SD</td>
<td>0.0924</td>
<td>0.0482†</td>
<td>0.0815</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>qBEI—SD</td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>0.426†</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 to 26 wt. %Ca</td>
<td>Raman—COV</td>
<td>0.1807</td>
<td>0.06096*</td>
<td>0.06035</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>qBEI—COV</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.018†</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†p < 0.0001 versus low mineralized (<21 wt. %Ca).
‡p < 0.05 versus highly mineralized (>26 wt. %Ca).
for both methods, we found that the scattering of the data points corresponded to the concentration range present in human bones [Fig. 1]. The Ca content of the analyzed measurement fields ranges from 4.1 wt. %Ca up to 27 wt. %Ca covering most of the Ca-phosphate mixture of hydroxyapatite and octacalcium phosphate (Ca/P = 1.5), as well as for type B-carbonated hydroxyapatite (Ca/P = 1.9).

The Ca/P ratios of 1.5 and 1.9 in this model may reflect exaggerated deviations in the mineral composition from pure hydroxyapatite and likely do not relate to appreciable phases occurring in bone. Nevertheless, it reveals in which way changes in the mineral are altering the correlation between wt. %Ca and mineral/matrix. Since the gray shaded region in Fig. 3 corresponds to the 95% confidence interval from the measured scatter of mineral/matrix and wt. %Ca values in Fig. 2, it can be speculated that the variability between and within the ROIs originates from distinct local changes of the mineral composition. However, the origin of these changes remains unclear. Fluctuations in the degree of carbonate substitution, the presence of mineral phases other than hydroxyapatite, or Ca substitution with ions such as Mg, K, or Ca can be plausible explanations. This is in agreement with the previous studies showing that the chemical composition of hydroxyapatite changes as a function of the location (thus tissue age) within an osteon.

Of course, it cannot be excluded that changes in the organic matrix also contribute to the observed variability. For example, phosphorylated organic molecules potentially increase the local PO4 content, and protein-bound Ca may also contribute to our results.

4.3 Variability within an ROI

We observed a significant increase of the SDs in the lower mineralized ROIs for both methods (Table 1). These regions (<21 wt. %Ca) were located in osteons with ongoing bone apposition (mineralizing surfaces), which were identified by a distinct gradient of mineral content down to the level of porous bone. In such young osteons the degree of mineralization increases as a function of distance to the Haversian channel. This causes a gradient of mineralization within the ROIs and therefore enhanced SDs for the outcome of both methods (Table 1). Also, the local biological variance might be increased in very young bone. The fact that these differences remain
significant when normalizing the SDs for the mean value and calculating the COV supports these statements.

The variability of the calcium content within the ROIs in the highly mineralized osteons or interstitial regions (>26 wt. %Ca) remained unchanged. Interestingly, the variability of the mineral/matrix ratio increased in these regions significantly. In contrast to that, a statistical analysis of the COVs of these regions showed no significant differences between medium and highly mineralized ROIs. Therefore, it can be assumed that for Raman, the variability in the mineral/matrix ratio is a function of the degree of mineralization and tissue age, unlike qBEI.

Regarding qBEI, it was previously reported that there are slight variations in the mineralization and also in the mechanical parameters between adjacent lamellae of osteonal bone, likely contributing to the observed heterogeneity within a 20 x 20 μm ROI.  

4.4 Interpretation of the Slopes

As the curves in Fig. 3 correspond to straight lines with various slopes in the mineral/matrix ratio versus wt. %Mineral-ratio diagram in Fig. 2, distinct changes in the slope of the regression line would indicate fundamental changes in the tissue composition of the secondary mineralized regions. Therefore, this type of measurement may prove to be an extra tool for the investigation of bone quality in diseased bone as it is reflective of altered mineral composition and may also prove to be a key factor bridging altered bone quality as assessed by Raman spectroscopy with altered BMD distribution. In the future, together with the Raman evaluation of the v3 PO4/CO3 ratio (a measurement for the degree of carbonate incorporation) and energy dispersive x-ray analysis (EDX) (quantification of the Ca/P ratio and the Na and K content), we expect to be able to check whether an altered slope is due to a different mineral composition or if abnormal composition of the organic matrix must be considered.

In this study, the variations in the slopes between the individuals showed no significant differences (Fig. 5). However, due to the low sample number and the study design, slight changes in the slopes might not reach significance. The presented techniques can be used to obtain data from a larger healthy reference cohort to generate a more robust tool for clinical investigation. It must be noted that, in this case, the acquisition settings must be the same for the measurements on different samples assuring comparability of the gained data.

Nevertheless, the comparison of measurements on diseased bone with the data shown in this study might help to understand changes in the mineralization process, if distinct deviations from the presented correlation are observed.

Raman measurements are often performed close to the regions of new bone formation, between fluorescent labels, marking young regions with strictly defined tissue age—a tissue type that is not covered in this study. The combination of Raman and qBEI as used in this study but closer to the mineralization front provides a promising topic for future investigation. Thus, the very early stages of bone formation can be analyzed. The base for such an analysis is an extremely precise matching of the points of measurements between both methods, which is still challenging (at least 1 μm accuracy). Superimposing optical and qBEI images can most likely not achieve this.

Nevertheless, a correlation of the Raman parameters with the tissue age or Ca concentration is expected to yield significant insights into the evolution of bone mineralization in health and disease. The interpretation of the correlation between Raman and qBEI data close to the mineralization front is expected to become even more complicated because in these regions the chemical composition of the measurement volume changes systematically as a function of the location, and the makeup of the organic matrix is also rapidly changing. In these narrow bands the Ca content as measured by qBEI and also the mineral/matrix ratio increase drastically with the distance from the osteoid surface. How the regression curves [Figs. 1(d) and 2] behave in these interface regions remains unknown. Deviations from the linear regression are expected according to an increase of the PMMA content linked to the higher micro-porosity. Additionally parameters such as mineral crystallinity or relative lipids and proteoglycan content are reported to change at mineralization fronts as a function of distance from the Haversian canal. Once a technique for precise matching of Raman and qBEI measurements is developed, such studies can help to elucidate underlying mechanisms of tissue mineralization.

5 Conclusion

Through a combination of Raman microspectroscopy and quantitative backscattered electron microscopy, v3 PO4/amide III Raman intensities (mineral/matrix ratio) data were linked with Ca content (wt. %Ca) data. A linear correlation of mineral/matrix (Raman) with the transformed wt. %Mineral/wt. %Organic Matrix (qBEI) was observed in healthy human bone matrix being in secondary mineralization phase. This type of correlation is in agreement with theoretical considerations. The local variability of both parameters inside bone structural units is caused by biological heterogeneity and was found to depend on the degree of mineralization. The combination of Raman and qBEI provides a novel approach for the detection of changes in the composition of bone tissue that might help to understand the mineralization process in cases of diseased bone.
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